#### MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ON PLANT ADDITIONS ELECTRIC UTILITY - SOUTH DAKOTA FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Acct.		Plant	Annual D	epreciation
<u>No.</u>	Account	Additions 1/	Rate	Amount
	Steam Production Plant			
	<u>Heskett - 810</u>			
311	Structures & Improvements	\$52,074	5.75%	\$2,994
312	Boiler Plant Equipment	41,684	3.37%	1,405
314	Turbogenerator units	7,363	4.03%	297
315	Accessory Equipment	31,152	3.51%	1,093
316	Miscellaneous Equipment	3,566	3.72%	133
	Total Heskett	\$135,839		\$5,922
	Lewis & Clark - 820			
311	Structures & Improvements	\$35,077	2.14%	\$751
312	Boiler Plant Equipment	45,321	4.16%	1,885
314	Turbogenerator units	16,733	2.41%	403
316	Miscellaneous Equipment	1,043	4.67%	49
	Total Lewis & Clark	\$98,174		\$3,088
	<u> Coyote - 830</u>			
311	Structures & Improvements	\$524	1.01%	\$5
312	Boiler Plant Equipment	70,509	1.35%	952
314	Turbogenerator units	20,787	2.42%	503
315	Accessory Equipment	459	1.67%	8
316	Miscellaneous Equipment	3,008	3.85%	116
	Total Coyote	\$95,287		\$1,584
	Big Stone - 861			
311	Structures & Improvements	\$3,467	0.69%	\$24
312	Boiler Plant Equipment	167,414	3.48%	5,826
314	Turbogenerator units	500	3.57%	18
315	Accessory Equipment	240	2.33%	6
316	Miscellaneous Equipment	572_	4.32%	25
	Total Big Stone	\$172,193		\$5,899
	Total Steam Production			
311	Structures & Improvements	\$91,142		\$3,774
312	Boiler Plant Equipment	324,928		10,068
314	Turbogenerator units	45,383		1,221
315	Accessory Equipment	31,851		1,107
316	Miscellaneous Equipment	8,189		323
	Total Steam Production	\$501,493		\$16,493

#### MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ON PLANT ADDITIONS ELECTRIC UTILITY - SOUTH DAKOTA FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Acct.		Plant	Annual D	epreciation
No.	Account	Additions 1/	Rate	Amount
······	Other Production Plant			
	Heskett III Gas Turbine - 810			
344	Generators	\$46,813	2.48%	\$1,161
	Glendive Turbine - Unit 1 and Common - 851			
344	Generators	\$7,518	2.74%	\$206
346	Miscellaneous Equipment	944	10.42%	98
	Total Glendive Turbine - Unit 1	\$8,462		\$304
	Miles City Turbine - 852			
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Acces.	\$4,667	10.69%	\$499
344	Generators	9,160	4.11%	376
345	Accessory Equipment	5,030	11.41%	574
	Total Miles City Turbine	\$18,857		\$1,449
244	Diamond Willow Wind Farm - 856	046 700	r 000/	<b>¢000</b>
344	Generators	\$15,796	5.88%	\$929
	<u>Ormat - 857</u>			
344	Generators	\$6,322	5.21%	\$329
044	Generators	φ0,02Z	0.2170	<i>4020</i>
	Cedar Hills Wind Farm - 858			
344	Generators	\$6,903	5.03%	\$347
- • •		· · · · · ·		• - • •
	Other Production Summary			
342	Fuel Holders, Producers & Acces.	\$4,667		\$499
344	Generators	92,512		3,348
345	Accessory Equipment	5,030		574
346	Miscellaneous Equipment	944		98
	Total Other Production	\$103,153		\$4,519
	Transmission Plant			
353	Station Equipment	\$290,552	1.58%	\$4,591
355	Poles and Fixtures	204,842	2.99%	6,125
356	Overhead Conductor & Devices	177,145	1.53%	2,710
	Total Transmission Plant	\$672,539		\$13,426
	Distribution Plant			
362	Distribution Plant Station Equipment	\$97,492	1.92%	\$1,872
362 365	Overhead Conductors & Devices	18,758	2.91%	546
365 367	Underground Conductor & Devices	116,924	3.00%	3,508
368 368	Line Transformers	264,849	2.10%	
369	Services	40,739	2.65%	5,562 1,080
369 370	Meters	40,167	2.05% 7.19%	2,888
370 373	Street Lights	17,656	2.88%	2,000 508
513	Total Distribution Plant	\$596,585	2,0070	\$15,964
		4000,000		Ψ10,004

#### MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. DEPRECIATION EXPENSE ON PLANT ADDITIONS **ELECTRIC UTILITY - SOUTH DAKOTA** FOR THE TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

Acct.		Plant	Annual De	preciation
No.	Account	Additions 1/	Rate	Amount
	General Plant			
392.2	Trans. Equip., Unitized	\$73,835	5.48% 2/	\$4,046
394.1	Tools,Shop&Gar. EqNon-Un.	15,243	5.00%	762
396.2	Power Operated Equip.	147,861	5.39% 2/	7,970
397.1	Radio Comm. EquipFixed	810	6.67%	54
	Total General Plant	\$237,749	•	\$12,832
303	Intangible Plant - General	\$34,167	3/	\$3,417
	Common Plant			
390	Structures and Improvements	\$11,627	2.31%	\$269
391.1	Furniture and Fixtures	14,903	6.67%	994
391.3	Computer Equip PC	4,587	20.00%	917
391.5	Computer Equip Other	35,503	20.00%	7,101
392.2	Trans. Equip., Unitized	36,167	6.65% 2/	2,405
394.1	Tools, Shop & Gar. Equip., Non-Un.	291	5.56%	16
397.1	Radio Comm. EquipFixed	32,880	6.67%	2,193
397.3	General Tele. Comm. Equip.	5,415	10.00%	542
397.8	Network Equipment	2,966	20.00%	593
398	Miscellaneous Equipment	978	5.00%	49
	Total Common Plant	\$145,317	-	\$15,079
303	Intangible Plant - Common	\$122,533	3/	\$10,548
Т	otal Electric Plant in Service	\$2,413,536	-	\$92,278
41.0	Dula 20:40:40:54 Obstansant Duranas 00			

See Rule 20:10:13:54, Statement D, pages 3 - 9.
 Charged to a clearing account.

3/ Amortization based on life of each item.

#### Summary or Original Cost of Utility Plant in Service as of December 31, 2014 and Related Annual Depreciation Expense Under Present and Proposed Rates

								Propose	d Rates				
		Original	Prese	ent Rates	Proposed Pl	int Only Rates	Proposed G	ross Salv Rates	Proposed I	COR Rates	Total Prop	posed Rales	Net
Account		Cost		Annual		Алпиа		Annual		Annual		Annual	Change
No.	Description	12/31/14	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Depr., Exp.
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(Q)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	0)	(k)	(1)
	DEPRECIABLE PLANT												
390,0	General Plant General Structures	49,299,196.01	2.11%	1,042,311.35	2.60%	1,281,779,10	0.02%	9,859.84	-0.31%	(152,827.51)	2.31%	1,138,811.43	96,500.08
	OFFICE FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT												
391,1	Office Furniture & Equipment	2,599,028.93	6.67%	173,268.60	6,67%	173,268.60	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	6.67%	173,268,60	0,00
	Computer Equipment - PC	1,796,304.13	20.00%	359,260.83	20.00%	359,260,83	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	20.00%	359,260.83	0,00
	Computer Equipment - Other	2,083,247.21	20.00%	416,649,44	20.00%	415,649,44	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	20.00%	416,649,44	0.00
	TOTAL Account 391	6,478,580.27	14.65%	949,178.87	14.65%	949,178.87	0,00%	0.00	0.00%	0,00	14,65%	949,178.87	0.00
	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT												
392.1	Transportation Equipment (Trailers)	2,482,58	4,17%	103.52	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00 (1	0.00%	0.00	(103.52)
392,2	Transportation Equipment (Cars & Trucks)	7,053,425.86	4.11%	289,895.80	10,73%	756,832.59	-4.08%	(287,779.78)	0,00%	0,00	6.65%	469,052.82	179,157.02
	TOTAL Account 392	7,055,908.44	4.11%	289,999.32	10.73%	756,832.59	-4.08%	(287,779.78)	0.00%	0.00	6.65%	469,052.82	179,053.50
393.0	Stores Equipment	97,054.09	3.33%	3,235.14	3,33%	3,235.14	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	3.33%	3,235.14	0.00
	TOOLS, SHOP & GARAGE EQ.												
394.1	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip. (Non-Unitized)	514,458.89		28,581.05	5,56%	28,581.05	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5.56%	28,581.05	0,00
394.3	Vehicle Maintenance Equipment	104,823.25	5.00%	5,241.16	5,00%	5,241.16	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5.00%	5,241.16	0.00
394,4	Vehicle Refueling Equipment	14,529,19	5.00%	726.45	5.00%	726.46	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5,00%	726.46	0.00
	TOTAL Account 394	633,811.33	5,45%	34,548.67	5,45%	34,548.67	0,00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5.45%	34,548,67	0.00
	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT												
397.1	Radio Communication Equip. (Fixed)	1,591,742.35		106,116.16	6.67%	106,116.16	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	Ð.OO	6.67%	106,116.16	0.00
397.2	Radio Communication Equip. (Mobile)	810,002.48	6,67%	54,000.17	6.67%	54,000,17	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0,00	6.67%	54,000.17	0.00
397.3	General Telephone Communication Equip.	508,064.64		50,806.46	10.00%	50,806.46	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	10.00%	50,806,46	0.00
397.5	Supervisory & Telemetering Equip.	26,716.56		1,781.10	6.67%	1,781.10	0.00%	0,00	0.00%	0.00	6.67%	1,781,10	0.00
397,8	Network Equipment	275,521.69	20.00%	55,104.34	20.00%	55,104.34	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	20.00%	55,104.34	0.00
	TOTAL Account 397	3,212,047.72	8.34%	267,808.23	8.34%	267,808.23	0,00%	0.00	0,00%	0,00	8,34%	267,808.23	0.00
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	1,238,732.27	5.00%	61,936.61	5.00%	61,936,61	0,00%	0.00	0.00%	0,00	5.00%	61,936.61	0.00
	Sub-Total (General Plant) Amortization	11,660,225.68	11.29%	1,316,707.52	11.29%	1,316,707.52	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	11.29%	1,316,707.52	0.00
	TOTAL General Plant	68,015,330,13	3.89%	2,649,018.19	4.93%	3,355,319.21	-0.41%	(277,919.94)	-0.22%	(152,827.51)	4.30%	2,924,571.77	275,553.58
	TOTAL Depreciable Plant	68,015,330.13	3,89%	2,649,018.19	4.93%	3,355,319.21	-0.41%	(277,919.94)	-0.22%	(152,827.51)	4.30%	2,924,571.77	275,553.58

Ч-- 4

#### Summary or Original Cost of Utility Plant in Service as of December 31, 2014 and Related Annual Depreciation Expense Under Present and Proposed Rates

								Propose	d Rates				
		Original	Pres	ent Rates	Proposed P	ant Only Rates	Proposed G	ross Salv Rates	Proposed	COR Rates	Total Pro	posed Rates	Net
Account		Cost		Annual		Annual		Annual		Annual		Annuai	Change
<u>No.</u> (a)	Description	12/31/14	Rate %	Accrual	_Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Depr., Exp.
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(9)	(1)	(g)	(h)	0	0)	(k)	(1)
	Amortizable Plant												
392.3	Aircraft Equipment	4,333,824.70											
	TOTAL Amortizable Plant	4,333,824.70											
	NON-DEPRECIABLE PLANT												
389.0	Land & Land Rights (General)	3,086,836.15											
	Total Land	3,086,836.15											
	INTANGIBLE PLANT												
303.0	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	50,883,328.74											
	Total Intangible Plant	50,883,328.74											
	TOTAL Non-Depreciable Plant	53,970,164.89											
	TOTAL Plant in Service (1) Account Fully Depreciated. No further curr	126,319,319.72 ent depreciation accru	<b>9</b>  .										

4

Table 1

#### Summary or Original Cost of Utility Plant in Service as of December 31, 2014 and Related Annual Depreciation Expense Under Present and Proposed Rates

								Propose	d Rates				
		Original	Prese	ent Rates	Proposed Pla	ant Only Rates	Proposed G	ross Salv Rates	Proposed	COR Rates	Total Prop	oosed Rates	Net
Account		Cost		Annual		Annual		Annual		Annual	Rate %	Annual	Change
No.	Description	12/31/14	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accruat		Accrual	Depr., Exp.
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(g)	(1)	(g)	(h)	(1)	0)	(k)	(1)
	DEPRECIABLE PLANT												
390.D	General Plant General Structures	49,299,196.01	2,11%	1,042,311.35	2.60%	1,281,779.10	0.02%	9,859.84	-0.31%	(152,827.51)	2.31%	1,138,811.43	96,500.08
	OFFICE FURNITURE & EQUIPMENT												
391.1	Office Furniture & Equipment	2,599,028.93	6.67%	173,268,60	6.67%	173,268,60	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	6.67%	173,268,60	0.00
391.3	Computer Equipment - PC	1,796,304.13	20.00%	359,260.83	20.00%	359,260,83	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	20.00%	359,260,83	0.00
391.5	Computer Equipment - Other	2,083,247.21	20.00%	416,649.44	20.00%	416,649.44	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	20.00%	416,649.44	0.00
	TOTAL Account 391	6,478,580.27	14.65%	949,178.87	14.65%	949,178.87	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	14.65%	949,178.87	0.00
	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT												
392.1	Transportation Equipment (Trailers)	2,482.58	4,17%	103,52	0.00%	0,00	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00 (1)	0.00%	0.00	(103.52)
392.2	Transportation Equipment (Cars & Trucks)	7,053,425.86	4.11%	289,895.80	10.73%	756,832,59	-4.08%	(287,779.78)	0.00%	0.00	6,65%	469,052.82	179,157.02
	TOTAL Account 392	7,055,908.44	4.11%	289,999.32	10.73%	756,832.59	-4.08%	(287,779.78)	0.00%	0.00	6.65%	469,052.82	179,053.50
393.0	Stores Equipment	97,054.09	3.33%	3,235.14	3,33%	3,235.14	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	3.33%	3,235.14	0.00
	TOOLS, SHOP & GARAGE EQ.												
394.1	Tools, Shop & Garage Equip. (Non-Unitized)	514,458.89	5.56%	28,581.05	5,56%	28,581.05	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5.56%	28,581.05	0.00
394.3	Vehicle Maintenance Equipment	1D4,823.25	5.00%	5,241.16	5.00%	5,241.15	0,00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5,00%	5,241.16	0.00
394.4	Vehicle Refueling Equipment	14,529.19	5.00%	726.46	5,00%	726,46	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5,00%	726.46	0.00
	TOTAL Account 394	633,811.33	5.45%	34,548.67	5.45%	34,548.67	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	5,45%	34,548.67	0.00
	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT												
397.1	Radio Communication Equip. (Fixed)	1,591,742.35	6.67%	106,116,16	6.67%	106,116,16	0.00%	0,00	0.00%	0.00	6.67%	106,116,16	0.00
397.2	Radio Communication Equip. (Mobile)	810,002.48	6.67%	54,000.17	6.67%	54,000.17	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	6.67%	54,000.17	0.00
397.3	General Telephone Communication Equip.	508,064.64	10.00%	50,806.46	10.00%	50,806,46	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	10.00%	50,806.46	0.00
397.5	Supervisory & Telemetering Equip.	26,716.56	6.67%	1,781.10	6.67%	1,781,10	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	6.67%	1,781.10	0.00
397.8	Network Equipment	275,521.69	20.00%	55,104.34	20.00%	55,104,34	0.00%	0.00	0,00%	0.00	20.00%	55,104,34	0.00
	TOTAL Account 397	3,212,047.72	B.34%	267,808.23	8.34%	267,808.23	0.00%	0.00	0,00%	0.00	8,34%	267,808.23	0.00
398.0	Miscellaneous Equipment	1,238,732.27	5.00%	61,936,61	5.00%	61,935.61	0.00%	0,00	0.00%	0.00	5.00%	61,936.61	0.00
	Sub-Total (General Plant) Amortization	11,660,225.68	11.29%	1,316,707,52	11.29%	1,316,707.52	0.00%	0.00	0.00%	0.00	11.29%	1,316,707.52	0.00
	TOTAL General Plant	68,015,330.13	3.89%	2,649,018,19	4.93%	3,355,319.21	-0.41%	(277,919.94)	-0,22%	(152,827.51)	4.30%	2,924,571.77	275,553.58
	TOTAL Depreciable Plant	68,015,330.13	3.89%	2,649,018.19	4.93%	3,355,319.21	-0.41%	(277,919.94)	-0.22%	(152,827.51)	4.30%	2,924,571.77	275,553.58

J-6

#### Summary or Original Cost of Utility Plant in Service as of December 31, 2014 and Related Annual Depreciation Expense Under Present and Proposed Rates

								Propose	d Rates				
		Original	Prese	ent Rates	Proposed P	lant Only Rates	Proposed G	ross Salv Rates	Proposed	COR Rates	Total Pro	posed Rates	Net
Account		Cost		Annual		Annual		Annual		Annual		Annual	Change
<u>No.</u> (a)	Description	12/31/14	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Depr., Exp.
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(1)	(g)	(f)	(9)	(h)	(1)	0)	(k)	(1)
	Amortizable Plant												
392.3	Aircraft Equipment	4,333,824.70											
	TOTAL Amortizable Plant	4,333,824.70											
	NON-DEPRECIABLE PLANT												
389.0	Land & Land Rights (General)	3,086,836.15											
	Total Land	3,086,835.15											
303.0	INTANGIBLE PLANT Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	50,883,328.74											
303.0	Miscellareous mangible Plant	50,005,528.74											
	Total Intangible Plant	50,883,328.74											
	TOTAL Non-Depreciable Plant	53,970,164.89											
	TOTAL Plant in Service (1) Account Fully Depreciated. No further current	126,319,319.72 nt depreciation accru											

2-7

#### MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. CALCULATION OF INTANGIBLE AMORTIZATION GAS UTILITY - SOUTH DAKOTA PRO FORMA 2015

FP-307783 FP-309100	<u>2015 General Intangible Additions</u> Purchase Powerbase software - General Office Install Distribution SCADA - General Office	Total <u>Company</u> \$3,512 30,655	Expected Year Life 10 10	Amortization \$351 3,066	Average
		\$34,167		\$3,417	\$1,709
		Total	Expected		
	2015 Common Intangible Additions	Company	Year Life	Amortization	
FP-100256	Mobile workforce software - General Office	\$7,242	10	\$724	
FP-101673	Customer information system upgrade - General Office	73,956	13	5,689	
FP-200902	Upgrade Endpoint program - General Office	2,916	10	292	
FP-301563	GIS data conversion - General Office	31,986	10	3,199	
FP-302625	ECM upgrade - General Office	2,679	10	268	
FP-307224	Purchase additional CC&B App License - General Office	2,358	10	236	
FP-307421	PowerPlan CPI tax calculation - General Office	809	10	81	
FP-307530	Purchase Customer Care Software - General Office	587	10	59	
		\$122,533		\$10,548	\$5,274

Summary	<u>2015</u>
General Intangible	
2014 Plant	\$16,268
2015 additions	1,709
Total general intangible	\$17,977
Common Intangible	
2014 Plant	\$69,516
2015 additions	5,274
Total common intangible	\$74,790

Object/Subsidiary	4040	Select / Skip To	Period / Date
Company	00001	Montana Dakota Utilities Co.	Thru Date 01/31/2015
Ledger Type 1	UG	South Dakota Electric	Type/Subledger
Ledger Type 2	AA	General Ledger	

Rec	ords 1 - 2				<u>Customize Grid</u>	Default	dimploference
	Account Number	Level O Detail			South Dakota Ele Balance	ctric Sou Bali	ith I anc
F	1.4040	<u> </u>	Common			5,792.96	dinin -
			Total Object Acco	1		5,792.96	 
4			u.				

Trial	Baland	e By	Objec	t - Trial	Baland	e by	Object				17.
<u>S</u> elect	Find C	Close	<u>R</u> ow Щ	Tools				1 ************************************		caracter a communitation franchistic franchistation	1.077430004.00040.000100.00004.00004.00004.00004.00004.0000
Obj	ect/Subs	sidiary	l'ar availante	4041	······································	··········	Select / Skip To	[	Period / Date		**********************
Con	npany			00001		Monte	ana Dakota Utilities Co.	. ר	Thru Date	01/31/2	2015
Led	ger Type	e 1		UG		South	Dakota Electric	Т	ype/Subledger		*
Led	ger Typ	e 2	[	AA		Genei	ral Ledger				

Reco	ords-12			Customize Grid Default	<b>~</b>
	Account Number	Level Of Detail	Account Description	South Dakota Electric Balance	South Dał Period Ba
	1.4041	4	Electric	1,355.64	
	·		Total Object Account 4041	1,355.64	+
<					

Þ

#### MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. AMORTIZATION OF GENERATION FACILITY DECOMMISSIONING EXPENSE ELECTRIC UTILITY - SOUTH DAKOTA TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

	Decommissioning Cost	Estimated Remaining	Annual	Pro Forma
Facility	Total Electric 1/	Life	Amortization	South Dakota
R.M. Heskett Plant 2/	\$3,939,192	13	\$303,015	\$15,638
Lewis & Clark 2/	6,648,080	10	664,808	34,309
Coyote 2/	5,372,575	26	206,638	10,664
Big Stone 2/	3,444,725	12	287,060	14,814
Glendive Unit 1 2/	1,023,620	7	146,231	7,547
Glendive Unit 2 2/	2,564,923	31	82,739	4,270
Miles City 2/	1,328,819	2	664,410	34,288
Glen Ullin 2/	79,030	14	5,645	291
Heskett III Turbine 2/, 4/	1,639,121	42	39,027	2,014
RICE Unit - L&C 2/, 4/	1,639,121	40	40,978	2,115
Diamond Willow Wind Farm 3/	380,922	12	31,744	1,629
Cedar Hills Wind Farm 3/	713,978	15	47,599	2,443
Thunder Spirit Wind Farm 3/, 5/	2,361,619	20	118,081	6,061
Total	\$31,135,725		\$2,637,975	\$136,083

1/ Based on decommissioning studies performed by Sargent & Lundy (Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. facilities) and Rachel Contracting (joint owned facilities).

- 2/ Allocated based on the 12-month integrated system peak demand factor (factor no. 15).
- 3/ Allocated based on 80% of the interconnected system Kwh sales and 20% of the 12-month integrated system peak demand factor (factor no. 271).
- 4/ Average of existing turbines: Glendive Units 1 & 2 and Miles City.

5/ Based on Cedar Hills estimated cost.

Probably Retirement Date (2014 Depreciation Study)

Generating Unit	Retire Date	Remaining
Heskett Generating Station	2028	13
Lewis & Clark Generating Station	2025	10
Coyote Generating Station	2041	26
Big Stone Generating Station	2027	12
Glendive Turbine 1	2022	7 1/
Glendive Turbine 2	2046	31 1/
Miles City Turbine	2017	2
Portable Generators	2047	32
Ormat Generation Facility	2029	14
Heskett III Turbine	2057	42
RICE Unit - L&C	2055	40
Diamond Willow Wind Farm	2027	12
Cedar Hills Wind Farm	2030	15
Thunder Spirit Wind Farm	2035	20

1/ Study was conducted with one cost for both units. Allocated based on Plant Investment.

#### Montana-Dakota Utilties Electric Division

#### Summary or Original Cost of Utility Plant in Service as of December 31, 2014 And Related Annual Depreciation Expense (By Location) Under Present and Proposed Rates

			Probable		Original	Pres	ent Rates	Propo	sed Rates	Net
			Retirement		Cost		Annual		Annual	Change
	No.	Code	<u>Date</u>	Description	12/31/14	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accruat	<u>Depr. Exp.</u>
	(a)			(b)	(C)	(d)	(e)	(ľ)	(g)	(h)
		DEPR		PLANT						
	311.00			Structures and improvements						
	511.00	8100	2028	(1) Heskett Generating Station	29,286,009.04	5.11%	1,496,515.06	5.75%	1,683,945.52	187,430.46
		8200	2025	Lewis & Clark Generating Station	4,529,429.02	1.91%	86,512.09	2,14%	96,929.78	10,417.69
		8300	2041	Coyote Generating Station	26,506,987,36	1.42%	376,399.22	1.01%	267,720.57	(108,678.65)
		8610	2027	Big Stone Generating Station	9,509,529,19	0.75%	71,321.47	0,69%	65,615,75	(5,705.72)
		8720	2060	Wygen III Generating Station	3,131,340.50	2.00%	62,626.81	2,09%	65,445.02	2,818.21
				Total Account 311	72,963,295.11	2.87%	2,093,374.65	2,99%	2,179,656.64	86,281.99
	312.00			Boiler Plant Equipment						
		8100	2028	Heskett Generating Station	53,007,326.24	3.46%	1,834,053.49	3.37%	1,786,346.89	(47,706.60)
		8200	2025	Lewis & Clark Generating Station	24,225,690.93	2.92%	707,390.18	4.16%	1,007,788.74	300,398.56
		8300	2041	Coyote Generating Station	70,892,375.25	1.75%	1,240,616.57	1.35%	957,047.07	(283,569.50)
		B610	2027	Big Stone Generating Station	34,242,520.56	2.48%	849,214.51	3,48%	1,191,639,72	342,425.21
		8720	2060	Wygen III Generating Station Total Account 312	29,649,043.71	2.00%	592,980.87	2,68%	794,594.37	201,613.50
					212,016,956.69	2.46%	5,224,255.62	2,71%	5,737,416.79	513,161.17
	314.00			Turbogenerator Units						
		8100	2028	Heskett Generating Station	16,946,831,28	3.65%	652,453.00	4.03%	682,957.30	30,504.30
		8200	2025	Lewis & Clark Generating Station	6,292,021,98	0.87%	54,740.59	2.41%	151,637.73	96,897.14
		8300	2041	Coyote Generating Station	19,576,598.97	2.46%	481,584.33	2.42%	473,753.70	(7,830.63)
		8610	2027	Big Stone Generating Station	\$2,161,777.33	3.99%	485,254.92	3.57%	434,175.45	(51,079.47)
		8720	2060	Wygen III Generating Station	29,068,711.91	2.00%	581,374.24	2.79%	B11,017.06	229,642.82
				Total Account 314	84,045,941.47	2.68%	2,255,407.08	3.04%	2,553,541.24	298,134.16
	315.00			Accessory Electric Equipment						
		B100	2028	Heskett Generating Station	2,168,858.49	1.05%	22,773.01	3.51%	76,126,93	53,353.92
		8200	2025	Lewis & Clark Generating Station	1,003,799.83	1.12%	11,242.56	0.57%	5,721.66	(5,520.90)
		8300	2041	Coyote Generating Station	8,748,738.96	1.76%	153,977.81	1.67%	146,103.94	(7,873.87)
		8610	2027	Big Stone Generating Station	4,237,158.97	1.00%	42,371.59	2.33%	98,725.80	56,354.21
		6720	2060	Wygen III Generating Station	3,588,263.76	2.00%	71,765.28	2.51%	90,065.42	18,300.14
				Total Account 315	19,746,820.01	1.53%	302,130.25	2.11%	416,743.75	1 14,613.50
	316.00			Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment						
	010.00	8100	2028	Heskett Generating Station	7,625,713.21	4.56%	347,732.52	3.72%	283,676.53	(64,055.99)
		8200	2025	Lewis & Clark Generating Station	5,096,633.26	5.34%	272,160.22	4.67%	238,012.77	(34,147.45)
		8300	2041	Coyote Generaling Station	3,610,109.70	4.67%	168,592.12	3.85%	138,989.22	(29,602.90)
		8610	2027	Big Stone Generating Station	1,258,777.87	2.09%	26,308.46	4.32%	54,379.20	28,070.74
		8720	2060	Wygen III Generating Station	9,443.78	2.00%	188.86	3.43%	323,92	135.04
				Total Account 316	17,600,677.82	4.63%	814,982.20	4.06%	715,381.64	-99,600.56
				Total Depreciable Steam Production Plant	406,373,691.10	2.63%	10,690,149.80	2.86%	11,602,740.06	9112,590.26
	341.10			OTHER PRODUCTION PLANT Structures and Improvements						
		8510	2022	Glendive Turbine 1	278,336.07	3.42%	9,519.09	0.51%	1,419.51	<b>(</b> 8,099,58)
	•	8512	2022	Glendive Turbine 2	15,386.47	3.42%	526.22	0.51%	24.62	(501,60)
<b>x</b> .	1 Stra	8520	2045	Miles City Turbine	207,622.13	3.53%	7,329,06	8.23%	17,087.30	9,758.24
1000	$\cup_{\Lambda}$	8550	2047	Portable Generators	166,110.58	3,43%	5,697.59	0.15%	249.17	(5,448.42)
White's	~0 <sup>\``</sup>			Total Account 341.10	667,455.25	3.46%	23,071,96	2.81%	18,780.60	<b>4</b> 4,291.36)
	2									
i' Xo	341.20			Structures & Impr-Wind Farm						
		8560	2027	Diamond Willow Wind Farm	3,363,993,85	5.17%	173,918,48	1.92%	64,588.68	(109,329.80)
		8580	2030	Cedar Hills Wind Farm	2,799,226.32	5.00%	139,961.32	4.59%	128,484,49	(111,476.83)
				Total Account 341.20	6,163,220.17	5,09%	313,879.80	3.13%	193,073.17	-1 20,806.63 -1 25,097.99
				Total Account 341	6,830,675.42	4.93%	336,951.76	3.10%	211,853.77	-F 20,001.00
	342.00			Fuel Holders, Producers and Accessories						
		8510	2022	Glendive Turbine 1	309,452,61	1.09%	3,373.03	2,68%	8,293.33	4,920.30
		8512	2046	Glendive Turbine 2	2,055,650.83	1.09%	22,406.59	0.81%	16,650.77	<b>∢</b> 5,755.82)
		8520	2015	Miles City Turbine	200,837.28	2,33%	4,679.51	27.44%	55,109.75	50,430.24
		8550	2047	Portable Generators	156,064.84	3,19%	4,978.47	0.75%	1,170,49	(3,807.98)
				Total Account 342	2,722,005.56	1.30%	35,437.60	2.98%	81,224.34	<b>4</b> 5,786.74
	344.10			Generators						
		8110	2057	Heskett III	52,131,730.78	0.00%	0.00	1.75%	912,305.29	9132,305.29
		B510	2022	Glendive Turbine 1	6,735,796.33	2,54%	171,089,23	1.97%	132,695.19	(38,394.04)
		8512	2046	Glendive Turbine 2	17,968,383,93	1,69%	303,665.69	1.84%	330,618.26	26,952.57
		8520	2015	Miles City Turbine	2,668,314.37	1.00%	26,683.14	25.93%	691,893.92	6🗃 5,210.78

#### Montana-Dakota Utilties Electric Division

#### Summary or Original Cost of Utility Plant in Service as of December 31, 2014 And Related Annual Depreciation Expense (By Location) Under Present and Proposed Rates

		Probable		Original	Prese	ent Rates	Propos	ed Rates	Net
Account	Location	Retirement		Cost		Annual		Annual	Change
No.	Code	Date	Description	12/31/14	Rate %	Accrual	Rate %	Accrual	Depr. Exp.
(a)	ouglestationer.		(b)	(c)	(d)	(8)	(1)	(g)	(h)
	DEPR		ANT						
	8550	2047	Portable Generators	1.397.371.30	1.97%	27.52B.21	2,14%	29,903,75	2,375,54
	8570	2029	Ormat Generation Facility	15,184,122.44	5.00%	759,206,12	3,74%	567,886,18	(191,319.94)
	0070	2020	Total Account 344.10	96,085,719.15	1.34%	1,288,172.39	2.77%	2,665,302.59	1,377,130.20
344,20			Generators-Wind Farm						
0 / I.N.C	8560	2027	Diamond Willow Wind Farm	49,146,139.62	5.17%	2,540,855.42	4,32%	2,123,113,23	(417,742.19)
	8580	2030	Cedar Hills Wind Farm	35,054,454,74	5.00%	1,752,722.74	3.69%	1,293,509.38	(459,213,36)
	0000	2000	Total Account 344.20	84,200,594,36	5.10%	4,293,578.16	4.06%	3,416,622.61	-876,955,55
			Total Account 344	180,286,313.51	3.10%	5,581,750.55	3.37%	6,081,925.20	500,174.65
345.10			Accessory Electric Equipment						
010.10	8510	2022	Glendive Turbine 1	466.573.49	3.62%	16,889,96	0.88%	4,105,85	(12,784.11)
	8520	2015	Miles City Turbine	346,031.49	3,78%	13.079.99	12.29%	42.527.27	29,447.28
	8550	2013	Portable Generators	572,984.71	4.75%	27,216.77	0.39%	2,234.64	(24,982.13)
	6330	2047	Total Account 345,10	1,385,589.69	4.13%	57,186.72	3.53%	48,867.76	(8,318.96)
345.20			Accessory Elec Equip-Wind Farm						
545.20	8560	2027	Diamond Willow Wind Farm	8,293,797,94	5.17%	428,789.35	3.82%	316.823.08	(111,966,27)
	8580	2027	Cedar Hills Wind Farm	5,967,801.82	5.00%	298,390.09	3.88%	231,550.71	(66,839,38)
	6000	2030					3.85%	548,373.79	-178,805.65
			Total Account 345.20	14,261,599.76	5.10%	727,179.44			
			Total Account 345	15,647,189.45	5.01%	784,366.16	3.82%	597,241.55	-187,124.61
346.10			Miscellaneous Power Plant Equipment		10.000				
	8110	2057	Heskett III	1,045,533.32	0.00%	0,00	2.10%	21,956.20	21,956.20
	8510	2022	Glendive Turbine 1	126,677.17	2.27%	2,875.57	6.45%	B,170.68	5,295.11
	8512	2046	Glendive Turbine 2	12,613.98	2.27%	286.34	2.57%	324.18	37.84
	8520	2015	Miles City Turbine	17,989.02	2.50%	449.73	59.56%	10,714.26	10,264,53
			Total Account 346.10	1,202,813.49	0.30%	3,611.64	3.42%	41,165.32	37,553.68
346.20			Accessory Elec Equip-Wind Farm						
	8560	2027	Diamond Willow Wind Farm	55,790.93	5.17%	2,884.39	3.02%	1,684,89	(1,199.50)
	8580	2030	Cedar Hills Wind Farm	63,308.47	5.00%	3,165.42	3.03%	1,918.25	(1,247.17)
			Total Account 346.20	119,099.40	5.08%	6,049.81	3.03%	3,603.14	-2,446.67
			Total Account 346	1,321,912.89	0.73%	9,661.45	3.39%	44,768.46	35,107.01
			Total Depreciable Other Production Plant	206,808,096.83	3.26%	6,748,167.52	3.39%	7,017,013.32	268,845.80
			Mr. Const. Marked Ultimed Johnson Definement i	Deta Conden Liva	- Moor Hooka	# 2029: Darkatt f	1 2021: Hocket	פרחל ל א	

(1)

Life Span Method Utilzed. Interim Retirement Rate. Service Lives Vary. Heskett 2028; Heskett # 1 2021; Heskett # 2 2028

Object/Subsidiary	1085	Select / Skip To	Period / Date	
Company	*		Thru Date	12/31/2014
Ledger Type 1	AA Gene	ral Ledger	Type/Subledger	
Ledger Type 2	AA Gene	ral Ledger		

lecord	Is 1 - 2			Customize Grid Default
1. Sec.	:count Imber	Level Of Detail	Account Description	General Ledger Balance Balan
5	1.1085	3	Montana Decommissioning	13,869,894.32-
2			Total Object Account 1085	13,869,894.32-

Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

**Decommissioning Study Report** 

Prepared for Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.



SL-011737 Project 13065-001 May 2013

Sargent S

Prepared by

Lundy Luc Consulting

55 East Monroe Street - Chicago, IL 60603-5780 USA

J-15

# Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. Decommissioning Study Report

Prepared for Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.

> SL-011737 May 2013



55 East Monroe Street Chicago, IL 60603-5780 USA

# Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. Decommissioning Study Report

Prepared by	R.S. Light Russell S. Light Lead Environmental Evaluator	R.C. Kinsinger Robert C. Kinsinger Lead Estimator
	B.Andric Borko Andric Estimator	
Reviewed by	Mark E. Dowd Project Lead	
Approved by	Robert P. Charles Project Manager	<u>June 7, 2013</u> Date
		SL-011737
		May 2013
	Sai	rgent & Lundy
		55 East Monroe Street

Chicago, IL 60603-5780 USA

•

#### LEGAL NOTICE

This report (Deliverable) was prepared by Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. (S&L), expressly for the sole use of Montana Dakota Utilities Co., a division of MDU Resources Inc., (Montana-Dakota) in accordance with the agreement between S&L and Montana-Dakota. This Deliverable was prepared using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by engineers practicing under similar circumstances. Montana-Dakota acknowledges (1) S&L prepared this Deliverable subject to the particular scope limitations, budgetary and time constraints, and business objectives of Montana-Dakota; (2) information and data provided by others may not have been independently verified by S&L; and (3) the information and data contained in this Deliverable are time sensitive and changes in the data, applicable codes, standards, and acceptable engineering practices may invalidate the findings of this Deliverable. Any use or reliance upon this Deliverable by third parties shall be at their sole risk.



i SL-011737 *Contents* Final

#### Confidential

# **Decommissioning Study Report**

## CONTENTS

Sec	tion Page
	EXECUTIVE SUMMARYES-1
1.	INTRODUCTION1-1
1.1	Scope1-1
1.2	Approach1-2
	1.2.1 Environmental Assessment and Environmental Regulatory Review1-2
	1.2.2 Decommissioning Cost Estimate1-5
1.3	Sargent & Lundy LLC1-6
2.	SITE DESCRIPTION
2.1	Glen Ullin2-1
2.2	R. M. Heskett
2.3	Lewis & Clark
2.4	Glendive2-3
2.5	Miles City2-3
2.6	Diamond Willow Wind Farms2-4
2.7	Cedar Hills Wind Farm2-4
3.	INPUT TO DECOMMISSIONING COST ESTIMATE
3.1	Document Review
	3.1.1 Glen Ullin
	3.1.2 R. M. Heskett
	3.1.3 Lewis & Clark

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



# CONTENTS (cont.)

Sec	<u>tion</u>		Page
	3.1.4	Glendive	
	3.1.5	Miles City	3-6
	3.1.6	Diamond Willow Wind Farms	3-7
	3.1.7	Cedar Hills Wind Farm	
3.2	Reg	gulatory Review	3-8
	3.2.1	Federal Requirements	
	3.2.2	Montana Requirements	3-11
	3.2.3	North Dakota Requirements	
3.3	Staf	ff Interview Summary	3-12
	3.3.1	Glen Ullin	
	3.3.2	R. M. Heskett	
	3.3.3	Lewis & Clark	
	3.3.4	Glendive and Miles City	3-13
	3.3.5	Diamond Willow Wind Farms and Cedar Hills Wind Farm	3-13
3.4	Site	e Visit	3-14
	3.4.1	Glen Ullin	3-14
	3.4.2	R. M. Heskett	3-14
	3.4.3	Lewis & Clark	3-15
	3.4.4	Glendive	3-15
	3.4.5	Miles City	3-16
	3.4.6	Diamond Willow Wind Farms	3-16
	3.4.7	Cedar Hills Wind Farm	3-17
4.	EVAL	LUATION	
4.1	Reg	gulatory Impact	
	4.1.1	Glen Ullin	

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.

J-20



# **CONTENTS** (cont.)

Sect	ion	Page
	4.1.3	Lewis & Clark Station
	4.1.4	Glendive CT Facility
	4.1.5	Miles City CT Facility
	4.1.6	Diamond Willow Wind Farms
	4.1.7	Cedar Hills Wind Farm
5.	DECC	MMISSIONING COST
5.1	Gle	1 Ullin
5.2	R. N	1. Heskett
5.3	Lew	ris & Clark
5.4	Gle	ndive5-8
5.5	Mil	es City5-9
5.6	Dia	nond Willow Wind Farms
5.7	Ced	ar Hills Wind Farm
6.	CONC	CLUSION AND SUMMARY6-1

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



## **APPENDIXES**

- A. Glen Ullin Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- B. R. M. Heskett Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- C. Lewis & Clark Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- D. Glendive Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- E. Miles City Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- F. Diamond Willow Wind Farms Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- G. Cedar Hills Wind Farm Decommissioning Cost Estimate
- H. Cedar Hills Wind Farm/Diamond Willow Wind Farms Comparison
- I. Glendive/Miles City Comparison
- J. R. M. Heskett/Lewis & Clark Comparison
- K. R. M. Heskett Ash Landfill Closure Estimate EngTech Inc.



v SL-011737 *Tables* Final

#### Confidential

# TABLES

#### <u>Table</u>

Page

Table ES-1 — Cost Estimate Summary	ES-2
Table 5-1 — Example Crew Rates	
Table 5-2 — Estimated Scrap Prices	5-3
Table 6-1 — Cost Estimate Summary	6-2

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



vi SL-011737 Acronyms and Abbreviations Final

### Confidential

# ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Term	Definition or Clarification		
ACM	Asbestos-containing material		
ACWM	Asbestos-containing waste material		
AST	Aboveground storage tank		
CCR	Coal combustion residuals		
СТ	Combustion turbine		
DEQ	Department of Environmental Quality		
FD	Forced draft (fan)		
FGD	Flue-gas desulfurization		
G&A	General and Administrative		
HDPE	High-density polyethylene		
ID	Induced draft (fan)		
MSDS	Material safety data sheet		
PACM	Presumed asbestos containing material		
PCB	Polychlorinated biphenyl compounds		
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act		
REG facility	Recovered Energy Generation facility		
RO	Reverse osmosis		
S&L	Sargent & Lundy LLC		
SCCT	Simple-cycle combustion turbine		
SPCC	Spill prevention, control, and countermeasures		
USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
UST	Underground storage tank		

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.

1-24



## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This report documents the findings of a study on the following sites to determine a budgetary cost to decommission the plants and a review of environmental regulations to determine the impact of those regulations on decommissioning these plants:

- R. M. Heskett Station in Mandan, North Dakota
- Lewis & Clark Station in Sidney, Montana
- Miles City combustion turbine in Miles City, Montana
- Glendive combustion turbines in Glendive, Montana
- Cedar Hills wind farm located near Rhame, North Dakota
- Diamond Willow wind farms located near Baker, Montana
- A recovered energy generation (REG) facility located near Glen Ullin, North Dakota

The environmental and regulatory evaluation revealed no substantive issues that could affect the cost of decommissioning any of the seven facilities evaluated.

The specific issues of note are the following:

- The removal to a recycling facility of pentane and Dowtherm from the Glen Ullin REG.
- R. M. Heskett will require some lead paint and some asbestos remediation.
- R. M. Heskett had a significant oil spill in the substation yard 10 years ago that was remediated and should not effect decommissioning.
- R. M. Heskett has no ash ponds to close therefore minimizing the cost to decommission the plant.
- Lewis & Clark has two scrubber ash ponds and a bottom ash pond that will require closure.
- Lewis & Clark has significant amounts of asbestos that will require remediation. The plant must also remediate lead paint.
- Glendive experienced significant oil spills in 2003 and 2005 that have been remediated. Historically, there have been other smaller oil spills in the vicinity of the fuel forwarding building. There may still be some contaminated soils present near the fuel forwarding building; however, this should not cause any effect on the decommissioning of the facility.

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



ES-2 SL-011737 Executive Summary Final

#### Confidential

- Scrap values for the Cedar Hills and Diamond Willow wind farms exceeded the labor costs to dismantle the facilities resulting in a higher cost to dismantle the smaller Cedar Hills wind farm strictly due to the 15% contingency applied to the cost.
- The cost of decommissioning the single-unit Lewis & Clark (L&C) station exceeded the dualunit R. M. Heskett station. The major contributor to this result was the removal of the ash ponds at L&C. R. M. Heskett has no ash ponds. L&C also has two stacks.
- R. M. Heskett ash landfill decommissioning costs determined by EngTech Inc.

The results of the cost estimate are shown in the following table:

Site	Decommissioning Cost Estimate		
Glen Ullin	\$79,030		
R. M. Heskett Plant *	\$3,939,192		
Lewis & Clark	\$6,648,080		
Glendive	\$3,588,543		
Miles City	\$1,328,819		
Diamond Willow Wind Farms	\$380,922		
Cedar Hills Wind Farm	\$713,978		
Total	\$16,678,564		

Table ES-1 — Cost Estimate Summary

\* R. M. Heskett Ash landfill closure Estimate of \$350,278 provided by Montana-Dakota Utilities. Estimate performed by EngTech Inc and not reviewed by Sargent & Lundy. This cost is provided for completeness of the estimate

These estimates were determined using inputs from the environmental review, staff interviews and documentation reviews. The accuracy of the estimates is +20% to -30%.

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 SCOPE

A study on the following sites was conducted to determine a budgetary cost to decommission the plants and a review of environmental regulations to determine the impact of those regulations on decommissioning these plants:

- R. M. Heskett Station in Mandan, North Dakota
- Lewis & Clark Station in Sidney, Montana
- Miles City combustion turbine in Miles City, Montana
- Glendive combustion turbines in Glendive, Montana
- Cedar Hills wind farm located near Rhame, North Dakota
- Diamond Willow wind farms located near Baker, Montana
- A recovered energy generation (REG) facility located near Glen Ullin, North Dakota

The following tasks were evaluated for each site listed above:

- Review of applicable state and local environmental requirements for each site
- Identification, removal and disposal of hazardous materials on site (i.e., lead paint, batteries, asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), mercury and mercury containing devices, contaminated soils and other surfaces, radioactive materials, diesel, gasoline, mineral oil, other lubricating, insulating and process oils and chemicals, water treatment chemicals, treated lumber and poles, etc.)
- Removal of all aboveground structures (i.e., buildings, emissions monitoring and pollution control equipment, stack, fuel and material handling equipment, tanks and piping, ash handling, water intakes, water plant equipment, wastewater management facilities and discharge structures, etc.)
- Removal of underground foundations, utilities and piping (i.e., industrial process, city or rural water, sanitary, natural gas lines, corrosion protection systems) to below finished grade (2 ft)
- Groundwater well abandonment(s)
- Removal of electric cable vaults/duct bank
- Remediation of residual on-site fly ash, coal storage, tire-derived fuel, etc.

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



- Ash pond closures
- Ash landfill closure (R. M. Heskett ash disposal site already has estimates for closure costs)
- Ash landfill closure Estimated to be \$350,278.91 from EngTech Inc. and Montana Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana Dakota)
- · Removal of on-site access roads, parking lots, and rail lines
- Electrical isolation (modify existing switchyard facilities to maintain continuity of local transmission grid system, removal and disposal of electric poles and electrical equipment)
- Demolition debris (haul away or on-site burial of inert waste)
- Salvage of any equipment or materials, including consideration of soil spoil from operations such as dredging and ash site construction
- Verify earthen top cover and site grade
- Re-seed grass to all reclaimed areas

This report includes a demolition/decommissioning cost estimate dated December 20, 2012, including decommissioning estimate assumptions, explanation of cost categories, summary of decommissioning costs, crew rates, productivity factors and unit costs, and any other information deemed pertinent.

### 1.2 APPROACH

#### 1.2.1 Environmental Assessment and Environmental Regulatory Review

As input into the Decommissioning Study and high-level cost estimate for the Montana-Dakota Utilities generating stations, an environmental assessment and environmental regulatory review was conducted to quantify potential decommissioning requirements, remediation requirements, solid waste management and disposal standards, and site closure and post-closure requirements. The general approach used to prepare the environmental assessment included the following:

- Environmental Site Assessment
- Regulatory Review
- Decommissioning and Remediation Plan
- Cost Estimate Inputs

This document contains confidential or proprietary information. It shall not be reproduced, discussed, reviewed, or released, in whole or in part, to any party other than the intended recipient(s) and their agents with a need to know such information unless Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. has provided written permission otherwise. © Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. 2013.



July 17th, 2013

Mr. Loyal Demmer Depreciation Accountant Otter Tail Power Company 215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537

Re: Decommissioning Study for Big Stone Plant in Big Stone City, South Dakota

#### Introduction:

Rachel Contracting was hired to provide estimating services for the decommissioning and demolition of several sites owned by Otter Tail Power Company.

The primary scope of work would include the removal of:

- 2 Mile Intake Line including Screen House
- Administrative Building
- Turbine Room and Boiler Building
- Bag House Structure
- Lime Prep and Unloading Buildings
- Boiler Stack
- Live Coal Storage Building including Transfer House, Crusher and Conveying Equipment
- Reclamation of existing Cooling Pond, Cooling Pond, Evaporation Pond, Holding Pond and Make-up Pond
- Miscellaneous Yard Buildings (Warehouse, Pump House, Fab Shop, Coal Yard Garage, Alternative Fuel Building, etc.)
- Yard Structures (Ash Silo, Fuel Oil Tanks, Water Tanks, etc.)
- Reclamation of the Ash Pond and Ash Disposal Facility (ARO)
- Removal of Site Concrete, Roads and Parking Lots

For this estimate we contacted the local and state municipalities regarding permit fees. We contacted local landfills and recycling facilities to discuss disposal options. At the time of this estimate, disposal costs locally were at \$12.00 per cubic yard, concrete recycling will take place on the site, recycled steel was valued locally at approximately \$250.00 per ton and #1 copper was valued at approximately \$3.15 per pound.

Since 2008, the date of the last decommissioning studies, there has been increases in costs of services for the decommissioning projects. Labor costs have increased 12.5% in five years. Trucking and equipment rates have increased 25%. Disposal rates have increased 30%. The value for recycled carbon steel decreased 26%, while non-ferrous metals recycle market remained primarily unchanged. The pricing in this study reflects these changes as of December of 2012.

Due to the age of construction and based on visual observation, it is assumed that no asbestos containing materials are present at the site. Regulated wastes are present in the structures being estimated.



#### Work Included Pricing Estimate:

- Permit Fees and Notifications
- Engineering Surveys and Storm Water Prevention Plans
- Site Security

p.

\*

- Mobilization Fees and Competent Supervision
- Erosion Controls (Rock Entrances, Silt Fence and Inlet Protection)
- Well Abandonment and Septic Abandonment
- Allowance provided for collection of regulated wastes (Bulbs, Ballasts, Switches, Batteries, Freon, Oils, etc.).
- Demolition of Buildings and Structures Noted Above
- Removal of all Slabs, Foundations and Utility Vaults
- Removal of Underground Lines
- Re-Grade Site to Match Surrounding Grades
- Topsoil and Seed Site Upon Completion

#### Total Estimated Costs:

1. All Work describe above (excluding AQCS systems and ARO) –	\$ 18,354,000
2. Breakout for AQCS demolition	\$ 840,000
3. ARO breakdown	\$ 2,770,000
1. Includes capping disposal area with 2.5' clay liner	
4. <u>Salvage values in 2012</u>	(\$ 6,789,000)
Total net estimated costs for decommissioning -	\$ 15,175,000
Estimated Cost Breakdowns of Decommissioning Services:	
Pre-Demolition Services	
General Conditions (Permit Fees, Notifications, Engineering Fees) -	\$ 82,000
Cost of Mobilization, Per Diems and Competent Supervision -	\$ 405,000
Erosion Control -	\$ 91,000
Well Abandonment, Septic, Environmental and Hazardous Material Remediation-	\$ 545,000
Pre-Demolition Costs	\$ 1,123,000
Demolition Services	
Offices, Turbine Floor, Boiler Building and Lime Prep	
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 10,798,000
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	\$ 2,762,000
Total	\$ 13,560,000
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Demolition of AQCS systems (bag house and associated equipment)	
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 743,000
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	\$ 97,000
Total	\$ 840,000
498' Boiler Stack	
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 360,000
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	\$ 117,000
Total	\$ 477,000



. 1

~

Live Coal Storage Conveying Equipment and Associated Coal Buildings			
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 621,000		
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	<u>\$ 112,000</u>		
Total	\$ 733,000		
Warehouse, Service Building, Maintenance Shop, Lime Softener, Fab Shop and A Building	Alternative Fuel		
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 230,000		
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	\$ 135,000		
Total	\$ 365,000		
Fuel Tanks, Water Storage Tank, Hydrogen Storage, Ash Silo, Roads, Parking, RR Spurs and Miscellaneous Site Removals.			
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 323,000		
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	\$ 112,000		
Total	\$ 435,000		
Abandon 36" Intake and Return Line and demolition of Screen House			
Costs of Labor and Equipment	\$ 642,000		
Transportation, On Site Crushing and Disposal Fees	<u>\$ 109,000</u>		
Total	\$ 751,000		
ARO's – reclamation of ash pits, lime sludge ponds, and brine ponds 1 & 2 (It is assumed that all spent materials will remain onsite and all fill materials will be provided from the site for this pricing)			
Costs of labor and equipment	\$ 2,770,000		
Total	\$ 2,770,000		
Site Restoration	<u>\$ 910,000</u>		
Total Estimated Costs for Services	\$ 21,964,000		
Estimated Salvage Revenue in 2012 Values	(\$6,789,000)		

All labor, equipment, materials, fuel and scrap values were priced at the values in December of 2012. Pricing may fluctuate in the future. Salvage revenue was based on scrap values only, no residual resale values were utilized for this estimate.

Sincerely,

Don Ritsen Senior Demolition Estimator Rachel Contracting



July 19, 2013

Mr. Loyal Demmer Depreciation Accountant Otter Tail Power Company 215 South Cascade Street Fergus Falls, MN 56537

Re: Decommissioning Study for Coyote Station Power Facility in Beulah, North Dakota.

#### Introduction:

Rachel contracting was hired to provide estimating services for the decommissioning and demolition of several sites owned by Otter Tail Power Company.

The primary scope of work would include the removal of;

- Administrative Building
- Turbine room and Boiler Building
- Bag house structure
- Lime prep building and lime unloading building
- Boiler Stack
- Live Coal Storage building including transfer house, crusher and conveying equipment
- Cooling tower
- Misc. yard buildings (Warehouses, training, coal yard garage, carpenters, ambulance, ect)
- Yard structures (Ash silo, fuel oil tanks, water tanks, ect)
- Reclamation of the ash pond, discharge ponds, ect)
- · Removal of site concrete, roads and parking lots
- Removal of existing screen house, 26 mile intake and return line with surge pond reclamation

For this estimate we contacted the appropriate municipalities regarding permit fees. We contacted local landfills and recycling facilities to discuss disposal options. This estimate reflects costs as of December, 2012. Disposal costs locally were at \$27.00 per ton, concrete recycling would take place on the site, recycle steel was valued locally at approximately \$198.00 per ton and #1 copper was valued at approximately \$3.15 per pound.

Since 2008, the date of the last decommissioning studies, there has been significant increases in the demands for local North Dakota labor. Due to housing, per diems and payroll increases, labor costs have increased 60% in five years. Trucking and equipment rates have increased 25%. Disposal rates have increased 8%. The demand for recycled carbon steel decreased 26%, while non-ferrous metals recycle market remained primarily unchanged. The pricing in this study reflects these changes as of December of 2012.

Due to the age of construction and based on visual observation it is assumed that no asbestos containing materials are present at the site. Regulated wastes are present in the structures being estimated.



#### Work Included pricing estimate:

- Permit fees and notifications
- Engineering surveys and storm water prevention plans
- Site security
- Mobilization fees and competent supervision
- Erosion controls (Rock entrances, silt fence and inlet protection)
- Well abandonment and septic abandonment
- Allowance provided for collection of regulated wastes (Bulbs, ballasts, switches, batteries, freon, oils, ect.)
- Demolition of buildings and structures noted above
- Removal of all slabs, foundations and utility vaults
- Removal of underground lines
- Re-grade site to match surrounding grades
- Topsoil and seed site upon completion

#### **Total Estimated Costs**

1. All work describe above –	\$20,629,300
2. Ash Disposal Facilities -	\$861,000
a. Includes capping disposal area with 2.5' clay liner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total Estimated costs for decommissioning	\$21,490,300

#### Estimate breakdown:

### **Estimated Cost of Decommissioning Services:**

Pre-Demolition Services	
-------------------------	--

General Conditions (Permit fees, notifications, engineering fees) -	\$83,000
Cost of Mobilization, per diems and competent supervision -	\$405,000
Erosion control -	\$115,000
Well abandonment, septic, environmental and hazardous material remediation-	\$731,000
Pre-demolition costs	\$1,334,000

#### **Demolition Services**

Offices, turbine floor, boiler building, lime prep, aux. boiler building and bag house			
Costs of labor and equipment	\$11,814,000		
Transportation, on site crushing and disposal fees	<u>\$2,189,000</u>		
Total	\$14,003,000		
Boiler Stack			
Costs of labor and equipment	\$496,000		
Transportation, on site crushing and disposal fees	\$109,000		
Total	\$605,000		
Live coal storage conveying equipment and associated coal buildings			

Costs of labor and equipment \$731,000



. .

Transportation, on site crushing and disposal fees	\$88,000
Total	\$819,000
Cooling Tower, 2 - warehouses, carpenters shop, maintenance shop, coal yar shop, lime unloading building, ambulance building, training center and gua	rd shack
Costs of labor and equipment	\$324,000
<u>Transportation, on site crushing and disposal fees</u> Total	<u>\$215,000</u> \$539,000
Fuel tanks 1 and 2, water storage tank, hydrogen storage, ash silo, roads, parl and misc. site removals.	king, RR spurs
Costs of labor and equipment	\$743,000
Transportation, on site crushing and disposal fees	\$108,000
Total	\$851,000
Removal of 36" intake and return line including; demolition of screen house, surge pond and site restoration Costs of labor and equipment Restoration Transportation, on site crushing and disposal fees	, reclamation of \$5,900,000 \$119,000 \$148,000
Total	\$6,167,000
Removal of thermal water system and infrastructure Costs of labor and equipment Transportation and disposal fees Total	\$72,000 <u>\$29,300</u> \$101,300
Site Restoration	\$3,431,000
Total Estimated costs for services	\$27,850,300
Estimated salvage revenue in 2012 values Net estimated decommissioning costs	<u>(\$6,360,000)</u> <b>\$21,490,30</b> 0

All labor, equipment, materials, fuel and scrap values were priced at the values as of December 2012. Pricing may fluctuate in the future. Salvage revenue was based on scrap values only, no residual resale values were utilized for this estimate.

Since

Don Ritsen Senior Demolition Estimator Rachel Contracting

### Jacobson, Travis

From:	Neigum, Darcy
Sent:	Wednesday, April 22, 2015 9:12 AM
То:	Jacobson, Travis
Subject:	RE: Decomissioning

I read your original numbers wrong. Sorry.

Thanks for sending the report. The major difference between CH and DW is the number of turbines and the foundations used for each project. The TSW project will have foundations similar to CH which is probably a better comparison on a scope of decommissioning.

Using CH numbers the decommissioning costs of TSW would be:

(\$713,978 / 13 turbines) \* 43 turbines = \$2,361,619 (I would not add any escalators to this value)

Efficiencies of decommissioning a larger project could yield a 10% savings for an estimated decommissioning cost for TSW of

\$2,361,619 \* (1 - 0.1) = \$2,125,457

From: Jacobson, Travis Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2015 8:40 AM To: Neigum, Darcy Subject: RE: Decomissioning

Darcy,

I did the same thing as you and saw the bigger number as DW. However, Cedar Hills is actually the larger number. I looked at page 12 of the attachment and it talks about salvage values exceeding the cost of dismantling.

With that in mind, thoughts?

From: Neigum, Darcy Sent: Wednesday, April 22, 2015 8:23 AM To: Jacobson, Travis Subject: RE: Decomissioning

Travis,

It would seem reasonable for TSW to look at the per unit decommissioning cost for CH and DW. DW has a decommissioning cost of \$35,700 per turbine while CH has a per unit decommissioning cost of \$29,300 per turbine. I would have expected the CH cost to be higher as there less turbines (13 versus 20).

I would suggest using the \$35,700 per turbine for TSW or \$1,548,000 for the entire project. If you wanted to apply a 10% escalation factor to this total that would seem appropriate for a current cost of \$1,702,800.

Darcy

From: Jacobson, Travis Sent: Tuesday, April 21, 2015 2:25 PM To: Neigum, Darcy Subject: Decomissioning

Darcy,

Below you will see the estimated decommissioning costs for Montana-Dakota's generating units, except for units no yet placed in service. Can you provide your thoughts or contact a vendor to get an estimate for Thunder Spirit? Garret and Tamie thought Alete may have similar wind units that we could utilize.

Let me know you thoughts. Thanks

# MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO. AMORTIZATION OF GENERATION FACILITY DECOMMISSIONING EXPENSE ELECTRIC UTILITY - MONTANA TWELVE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

			· ···· ···· ···	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Decommissioning Cost	Estimated Remaining	Annual	Pro Forma	Total
Facility	Total Electric 1/	Life	Amortization	Montana	Montana
R.M. Heskett Plant 2/	\$3,939,192	13	\$303,015	568,611	\$891,943
Lewis & Clark 2/	6,648,080	10	664,808	150,531	1,505,311
Coyote 2/	5,372,575	26	206,638	46,788	1,216,501
Big Stone 2/	3.444,725	12	287,060	64,998	779,982
Glendive Unit 1 2/	1,290,147	7	184,307	41,732	292,125
Glendive Unit 2 2/	2,298,396	31	74,142	16,788	520,421
Miles City 2/	1,328,819		1,328,819	300,882	300,882
Glen Ullin 2/	79.030	14	5,645	1,278	17,895
Heskett III Turbine	0	42	Ö	О т	0
RICE Unit - L&C	0	43	0	0	Q
Diamond Willow Wind Farm 3/	380,922	12	31,744	8,177	98,121
Cedar Hills Wind Farm 3/	713,978	15	47,599	12,261	183,911
Thunder Spirit Wind Farm 3/	0	20	0	0 ;	0
Total	\$25,495,864	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	\$3,133,775	\$712,046	\$5,807,092
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 			

1/ Based on decommissioning studies performed by Sargent & Lundy (Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. facilities) and Rachel Contracting (joint owned facilities). 2/ Allocated based on the 12-month integrated system peak demand factor (factor no. 15). 3/ Allocated based on 80% of the interconnected system Kwh sales and 20% of the 12-month integrated system

peak demand factor (factor no. 271).

**Travis Jacobson** 

Manager, Regulatory Affairs Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. 400 North Fourth Street Bismarck, ND 58501 (701) 222-7855 travis.jacobson@mdu.com