Direct Testimony and Exhibits Jill S. Tietjen

Before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission of the State of South Dakota

<u>با المع</u>

In the Matter of the Application of Black Hills Power, Inc., a South Dakota Corporation

> For Authority to Increase Rates in South Dakota

> > Docket No. EL14-\_\_\_\_

March 31, 2014

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

a .....

I.	INTRODUCTION & QUALIFICATIONS	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	NEED FOR RESOURCES	3
IV.	BLACK HILLS POWER'S INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN	6
V.	SELECTION OF CPGS	13

# **EXHIBITS**

Exhibit JST-1 Jill Tietjen Qualifications Exhibit JST-2 Black Hills Power 2011 Integrated Resource Plan

#### I. INTRODUCTION & QUALIFICATIONS

2 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

A. My name is Jill S. Tietjen. My business address is 8547 E. Arapahoe Road, PMB
J189, Greenwood Village, Colorado.

# 5

## Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?

A. I am the President and CEO of Technically Speaking, Inc., a firm that provides
 engineering consulting services. I have held this position since the firm was
 incorporated in August of 2005. Previously, I was self-employed as an
 engineering consultant.

# 10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND WORK 11 BACKGROUND.

12 A. I graduated from the University of Virginia with a B.S. in Applied Mathematics (minor in Electrical Engineering) in 1976. I began my career with Duke Power 13 14 Company and spent five years as a Planning Engineer in the System Planning Department (1976-1981). While at Duke Power Company, I earned my MBA 15 from the University of North Carolina at Charlotte in 1979. I subsequently joined 16 Mobil Oil Corporation's Mining and Coal Division where I worked from 1981-17 1984 as a planning analyst. I became a registered professional engineer in 18 Colorado in 1982. I joined Stone & Webster Management Consultants in 1984 19 and by the time I left in 1992 had progressed to Assistant Vice President. I served 20 as Principal and leader of the utility planning practice at Hagler Bailly Consulting 21 during 1992-1995. In 1995, I rejoined Stone & Webster Management Consultants 22

1		as an Assistant Vice President and office manager for the Denver office, a position
2		that I served in through 1997. Since 1997, I have been on staff at the University of
3		Colorado at Boulder. From 1997-2005, I was also self-employed as an
4		engineering consultant. Also in 1997, I was elected as an outside director on the
5		Board of Directors of Georgia Transmission Corporation and still serve in that
6		capacity. In 2010, I was elected as an outside director for Merrick & Company of
7		Aurora, Colorado. My resume, testimony listing, and publications listing are
8		attached to my testimony as Exhibit JST-1.
9	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY IN PROCEEDINGS BEFORE
10		<b>REGULATORY COMMISSIONS?</b>
11	A.	Yes. I have testified before regulatory commissions in South Dakota, Wyoming,
12		Colorado, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Missouri, and Ohio. I have testified
13		on behalf of Black Hills Corporation subsidiaries in South Dakota, Wyoming and
14		Colorado.
15	Q.	FOR WHOM ARE YOU TESTIFYING ON BEHALF OF TODAY?
16	A.	I am testifying on behalf of Black Hills Power, Inc. (Black Hills Power).
17		II. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>
18	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
19	A.	I demonstrate the need for a new resource on the Black Hills Power system in the
20		2014 timeframe. I discuss Black Hills Power's 2011 Integrated Resource Plan
21		(BHP IRP) that was conducted to determine how that resource need should be
22		fulfilled. I then discuss the selection of the Cheyenne Prairie Generating Station

-----

.)

:---

1 (CPGS) as the resource that should be installed in 2014 and the associated 2 rationale.

3

## III. <u>NEED FOR RESOURCES</u>

# 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FACTORS AFFECTING THE NEED FOR NEW 5 RESOURCES ON THE BLACK HILLS POWER SYSTEM.

A. Two primary factors are driving the need for new resources on the Black Hills
Power system. The first is regulations promulgated by the Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA) and the resulting retirements of several of Black Hills
Power's older coal-fired generating units. The second is the expiration of the
Reserve Capacity and Integration Agreement (RCIA) between Black Hills Power
and PacifiCorp.

12 HOW DID BLACK HILLS POWER EVALUATE THE COST OF О. 13 **RETROFITTING THE POWER PLANTS THAT DO NOT COMPLY** WITH THE NEW EPA NATIONAL EMISSION STANDARDS FOR 14 15 HAZARDOUS AIR **POLLUTANTS** FOR AREA SOURCES: INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND INSTITUTIONAL BOILERS (AREA 16 17 **SOURCE RULES)?** 

-

A. Black Hills Power contracted with an engineering firm, CH2M HILL, to perform
 an analysis on several of its smaller coal-fired generating units. The analysis
 provided an estimate of the capital cost to add emission controls to these units to
 meet the existing and future air pollution control requirements. These
 requirements would entail the addition of emission controls, installation of

1 monitoring equipment, restrictions on the quality of coal received and adherence 2 to new operating parameters established during the compliance test. The units 3 evaluated were Neil Simpson Unit 1; Osage Units 1, 2, and 3; and Ben French 4 Unit 1, which are all coal-fired units. After reviewing the study results, including 5 life extension costs, Black Hills Corporation made the decision to retire (and 6 replace) the Neil Simpson 1, Osage 1-3 and Ben French 1 units because that 7 option was more cost effective than retrofitting the units. Ben French, Osage 1-3, 8 and Neil Simpson 1 were officially retired in March of 2014. With these 9 retirements, Black Hills Power's generation capacity was reduced by 71 MW.

# 10 Q. WHEN DID THE RCIA EXPIRE AND WHAT IS THE RESULT OF THAT 11 EXPIRATION?

The RCIA expired June 30, 2012. Under the RCIA, Black Hills Power could 12 A. 13 count the Ben French combustion turbine (CT) capacity as 100 MW. This was 14 important because the output from Black Hills Power's older coal-fired generating 15 units is reduced at higher ambient temperatures. Those higher temperatures occur 16 in the summer months of June, July, and August, and coincide with Black Hills Power's peak load periods. The RCIA allowed Black Hills Power the right to call 17 18 on PacifiCorp for any of the 100 MW that could not be generated by the Ben 19 French CTs. After termination of the RCIA, the Ben French CTs are rated at 72 MW in the summer. This means that the termination of the RCIA has led to a total 20 21 of 28 MW of capacity that could no longer be counted as Black Hills Power

	1		resources available to meet the	summer peak demand. This loss of 28 MW of
	2		capacity began July 1, 2012.	
	3	Q.	IN TOTAL, HOW MUCH N	NET SUMMER CAPACITY WILL BLACK
	4		HILLS POWER HAVE RET	IRED OR OTHERWISE LOST BETWEEN
	5		2011 AND 2014?	
	6	A.	Black Hills Power will have los	t 99 MW of net summer capacity, calculated as
	7		follows:	
	8		RCIA expiration	28 MW
	9		Osage retirement	33 MW
	10		Ben French retirement	22 MW
	11		Neil Simpson 1 retirement	<u>16 MW</u>
)	12		TOTAL	99 MW
	13	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE HOW	TO FIND THIS 99 MW DECREASE IN
	14		<b>RESOURCES IN THE BHP IR</b>	P.
	15	A.	The Load and Resource Balance	provided as Appendix B in the BHP IRP reflects
	16		the 28 MW loss due to the exp	iration of the RCIA and the retirements of Ben
	17		French 1 (22 MW) and Neil Simp	pson 1 (16 MW). A discussion of the retirement
	18		of the Osage units (33 MW) is co	ontained in Section 6.2 of the BHP IRP. Because
	19		the Osage units were in cold stora	age at the time the BHP IRP was conducted (and
	20		not expected to be reactivated b	before their 2014 retirement), those 33 MW of
	21		capacity are not reflected as ava	ilable either in Table 6-1 or Appendix B of the
	22		BHP IRP.	
: 1 امریک				5

. . . . .

:

------

<u>.</u>..

# Q. WHAT PERCENTAGE OF BLACK HILLS POWER'S PEAK DEMAND IS REPRESENTED BY THIS 99 MW OF CAPACITY LOSSES FROM 2012 THROUGH 2014?

A. In the BHP IRP, Black Hills Power's peak demand in 2014 is estimated to be 430
MW (see Table 4-1 of the BHP IRP). Thus, the loss of 99 MW represented 23%
of Black Hills Power's 2014 projected peak summer demand.

## 7 IV. <u>BLACK HILLS POWER'S INTEGRATED RESOURCE PLAN</u>

8

Q.

## PLEASE DESCRIBE THE BHP IRP.

9 A. The BHP IRP examined the period 2011-2030 and determined the appropriate resources to fill resource needs over that 20-year period. A load forecast of 10 11 projected peak demands and annual energy consumption was developed. 12 Appropriate assumptions were made for the wide variety of parameters required to 13 model the operation of the generating units. Characteristics required to model all 14 existing resources were confirmed. The analysis considered a range of conventional supply-side resources as well as renewable resources with modeling 15 16 and operational parameters developed for each. The computer modeling that was 17 conducted consisted of capacity expansion, production costing, sensitivity, and risk analysis. Ventyx, a leading integrated resource planning vendor, performed 18 19 the computer modeling. The BHP IRP is provided as Exhibit JST-2 to my 20 testimony.

# 1 Q. WHAT CATEGORIES OF ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLIE THE 2 PREPARATION OF AN IRP?

A. In addition to the load forecast, assumptions are needed for fuel prices, financial
parameters, capital cost of generation resources, the level of reserves required,
plant operational parameters, and the market price of energy. Assumptions must
also be made for the demand-side management programs put in place during the
planning period and their peak demand and annual energy impact.

# 8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PRICE FORECASTS USED FOR FUEL COSTS

9

# IN THE BHP IRP.

Black Hills Power used a coal price forecast that reflects the cost as of May 2011 10 A. 11 incurred for fuel delivered to its coal-fired power plants. The coal prices were 12 then escalated to result in the forecast to match the Ventyx reference case, with 13 annual coal escalation averaging about 3% per year. Natural gas price forecasts 14 were developed from Ventyx's WECC 2011 Spring Reference Case Henry Hub 15 forecast. The Henry Hub values were adjusted for transportation costs to more 16 accurately reflect the price of natural gas as delivered to Black Hills Power's generating facilities. 17

18

19

į

# Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR THE MARKET PRICE OF ENERGY.

A. Electricity price estimates for the Wyoming region were derived from Ventyx's
 2011 Spring Reference Case and are the basis on which Black Hills Power's
 market transactions were priced. Values were developed for four differing

1		scenarios that require correlation between natural gas prices and market prices -
2		base, environmental, low gas, and high gas.
3	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FINANCIAL PARAMETER ASSUMPTIONS
4		USED FOR THIS IRP.
5	А.	Assumptions were required for various financial parameters, including the
6		discount rate, the capital structure, and the levelized fixed charge rates for each of
7		the resource alternatives. The assumptions used for the BHP IRP are shown on
8		Table 3-3.
9	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CAPITAL COSTS OF GENERATION
10		<b>RESOURCE ASSUMPTIONS.</b>
11	A.	Black Hills Power used the Ventyx 2011 Spring Reference Case assumptions for
12		capital costs of a variety of generation resources (shown in Tables 6-3 through 6-
13		8). These assumptions include the direct capital costs of coal, a variety of natural
14		gas-fired configurations and renewable generation that are non-site specific. This
15		means that the costs for transmission interconnection, gas fuel supply system
16		installation and ancillary equipment were not included in the capital cost
17		assumptions.
18	Q.	WHAT LEVEL OF PLANNING RESERVE MARGIN WAS USED FOR
19		THE BHP IRP AND HOW WAS THIS LEVEL DETERMINED?
20	A.	Black Hills Power assumed a planning reserve margin of 15% over projected peak
21		demand for this analysis. It was anticipated that the level of reserve margin

----

)

-

)	1		required by Black Hills Power will not change during the entire 20-year planning
	2		horizon evaluated in the BHP IRP.
	3	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE DEMAND-SIDE MANAGEMENT
	4		ASSUMPTIONS USED FOR THE BHP IRP.
	5	A.	The Black Hills Power Demand-Side Management programs as defined in SD
	6		PUC Docket #EL11-002 and approved by the Commission were assumed to be
	7		implemented. Those programs and the associated savings in demand and energy
	8		are reflected in Section 5.0 of the BHP IRP.
	9	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RANGE OF NEW CONVENTIONAL
	10		<b>RESOURCES EXAMINED IN THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE BHP</b>
	11		IRP.
)	12	А.	Conventional resources examined in the BHP IRP include coal-fired capacity,
	13		natural gas-fired simple cycle and combined cycle combustion turbines, the
	14		purchase of a portion of an existing unit, and firm market purchases.
	15	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE RENEWABLE RESOURCES EXAMINED IN
	16		THE COURSE OF PREPARING THE BHP IRP.
	17	A.	The renewable resources examined were wind and solar photovoltaics.
	18	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE PROCESS USED TO DETERMINE THE LOAD
	19		FORECAST AND THE RESULTS.
	20	A.	Ventyx developed a load forecast for Black Hills Power by trending historical
	21		peak demands and annual energy and modifying the results for expected load
\.	22		additions in 2012 through 2016. The trended growth for Black Hills Power is
			9

-

· · ·

-

1.0% for both peak demand and annual energy as shown on Table 4-1 of the BHP
 IRP. These growth rates do not reflect any significant increases in loads for major
 industrial customers on the Black Hills Power system as no significant increases
 were expected at the time the BHP IRP was prepared. As set forth in the BHP
 IRP, loads for major industrial customers are expected to trend forward without
 significant decreases or increases throughout the planning horizon.

# 7 Q. HOW DOES THE LOAD FORECAST DETERMINE CAPACITY 8 REQUIREMENTS FOR BLACK HILLS POWER?

9 A. Black Hills Power must maintain sufficient capacity to support peak load
10 requirements plus planning reserves. Black Hills Power has a legal obligation to
11 serve the needs of its customers – as those needs exist today and as they grow over
12 time. The first year that Black Hills Power has a capacity deficit is 2014 as shown
13 on Figure ES-1.

14

## 4 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY EXPLAIN CAPACITY EXPANSION MODELING.

15 Capacity expansion modeling is a process used to determine the appropriate type, A. 16 size, and timing for economic resource additions for utilities. The utility's existing 17 generation resources and future resource alternatives are inputted into a capacity 18 expansion model with a forecasted load and other appropriate parameters over the 19 entire planning horizon. The model simulates utility operation, serves the 20 forecasted load with the utility's existing resources, and economically "selects" 21 additional resources from the list of available resource alternatives subject to the 22 planning constraints. The typical criterion for evaluation is the expected present value of revenue requirements (PVRR). Capacity expansion plans are developed
 for scenarios that vary the assumptions in order to simulate changing market and
 load conditions.

#### 4

# Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY EXPLAIN PRODUCTION COSTING MODELING.

A. Production cost modeling is a process used to forecast system costs over a
specified planning horizon. A production cost model includes an hourly dispatch
model, with a load forecast and fixed resources to serve that load. The model
simulates a load every hour, then economically serves that load with the available
resources, and captures the associated cost.

### 10 Q. WHAT SCENARIOS WERE EXAMINED IN THE BHP IRP?

As described in Section 7.1 of the BHP IRP, scenarios examined included base, 11 A. 12 environmental, high gas, low gas, high load, low load, step load, Gillette Top Load, Base with No Firm Market, and No Combined Cycle Conversion Option. 13 What this means is that alternative expansion plans were developed for each 14 scenario, costs were determined for each scenario, and a risk assessment was 15 conducted for each scenario. The resulting optimal expansion plans for each 16 scenario are reflected on Table 7-2 of the BHP IRP. A conversion of a 17 combustion turbine to combined cycle operation was selected as the resource 18 choice for 2014 for each scenario except the scenario in which that resource option 19 20 was specifically excluded (which is reflected in the BHP IRP as the "No CC Conv Option"). 21

1	Q.	HOW DOES THE 2011 LOAD FORECAST COMPARE TO ACTUALLY
2		EXPERIENCED LOADS IN THE 2011-2013 TIME FRAME?
3	А.	The 2011 peak demand of 452 MW and the 2012 peak demand of 449 MW
4		reached levels that were forecast for the 2017-2018 time frame in the BHP IRP.
5		The 2013 peak demand experienced was lower than forecast in the BHP IRP.
6	Q.	IN WHAT YEAR DID THE BHP IRP FIRST SHOW A CAPACITY
7		DEFICIT?
8	A.	The first year to show a capacity deficit in the BHP IRP is 2014. This capacity
9		deficit is shown graphically in Figure ES-1 on page ES-5 of the BHP IRP.
10	Q.	WHAT GENERATION RESOURCE WAS SELECTED IN THE BHP IRP
11		TO MEET THE 2014 RESOURCE NEED.
12	A.	The resource shown to meet Black Hills Power's 2014 need in the BHP IRP is the
13		conversion of a combustion turbine unit to combined cycle operation. This
14		resource selection results in the addition of 55 MW to the Black Hills Power
15		system. Thus, in order to satisfy reserve margin requirements, the BHP IRP
16		further shows that Black Hills Power would purchase 25 MW of firm power in
17		2014. Note that as discussed previously in my testimony, 99 MW of resources are
18		no longer available due to retirements and contract expiration. The BHP IRP
19		selects 80 MW of resources in 2014 (less than 99 MW) to meet reserve margin
20		criteria and serve load.

<u>;</u> —

j

<u>к</u> 1

the second

1 V. SELECTION OF CPGS 2 Q. DO YOU BELIEVE THAT THE BHP IRP SELECTED A RESOURCE LIKE OR SIMILAR TO CPGS? 3 4 A. Yes. The BHP IRP selected a 2014 resource that was the conversion of a simple 5 cycle combustion turbine to combined cycle operation, resulting in the addition of 55 MW to the Black Hills Power system, plus the procurement of 25 MW of firm 6 7 market power. As stated in the Executive Summary and the Action Plan of the BHP IRP, Black Hills Power's 2014 resource would be procured by "Purchase or 8 otherwise obtain a simple cycle combustion turbine to be converted to combined 9 10 cycle operation in 2014." The BHP IRP does not specify location or size of that simple cycle combustion turbine other than that it supports a 55 MW addition 11 12 when converted to combined cycle operation.

Q. DO YOU THINK THAT A SIMPLE CYCLE COMBUSTION TURBINE
CONVERTED TO COMBINED CYCLE OPERATION IN CHEYENNE,
WYOMING IS WHAT WAS INTENDED BY THE BHP IRP?

A. Yes. I think it is one of several choices available to Black Hills Power. I believe that an IRP identifies the type of resource that makes the most economic sense to add for any given capacity deficit. I further believe that it is up to the utility to make the business decision based on the business case that makes the most sense for that utility's customers. In this instance, an opportunity existed for synergies with a capacity need demonstrated by an affiliate of Black Hills Power –

Cheyenne Light, Fuel & Power. In my opinion, Black Hills Power properly took
 advantage of those synergies to construct CPGS.

# **3 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

4 A. Yes, it does.