

Volume 2A

Testimony and Schedules of Witnesses:

Kevin Moug

Financial Soundness

Capital Structure

Cost of Capital

Before the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

State of South Dakota

In the Matter of the Application of Otter Tail Corporation

d/b/a Otter Tail Power Company

For Authority to Increase Rates for Electric Utility

Service in South Dakota

Docket No. EL08 ____

Exhibit ____

**FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS, CAPITAL STRUCTURE
AND COST OF CAPITAL**

Direct Testimony and Schedules of

Kevin G. Moug

October 31, 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| I. | INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS | 1 |
| II. | PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY | 1 |
| III. | CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF DEBT | 3 |
| IV. | INVESTMENT PLANS AND FUTURE CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS | 8 |
| V. | FORMATION OF HOLDING COMPANY | 10 |
| VI. | CONCLUSION | 11 |

1 **I. INTRODUCTION AND QUALIFICATIONS**

2

3 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.

4 A. My name is Kevin G. Moug. My business address is 4334 18th Avenue SW, Suite
5 200, Fargo ND 58103.

6

7 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?

8 A. I am the Chief Financial Officer of Otter Tail Corporation (“OTC”). Otter Tail Power
9 Company (“OTP”) is currently an operating division of OTC.

10

11 Q. WHO ARE YOU TESTIFYING FOR?

12 A. I am testifying on behalf of OTP.

13

14 Q. HAVE YOU INCLUDED A DESCRIPTION OF YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND
15 EMPLOYMENT EXPERIENCE?

16 A. Yes, a description of my educational and employment experience is included as
17 Exhibit___(KGM-1), Schedule 1.

18

19 Q. WERE YOUR SCHEDULES PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR
20 SUPERVISION?

21 Yes.

22

23 **II. PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY**

24

25 Q. WHAT ARE THE PURPOSES OF YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

26 A. The purposes of my Direct Testimony are to discuss financial issues that have
27 important implications for the overall financial soundness of OTP and our ability to
28 complete OTP’s substantial investment plans. I will address the capital structure and
29 associated cost of financing for OTP’s electric utility operations. I will also discuss

1 the relationship between the substantial investment plans of OTP and its financial
 2 soundness, including a discussion of the impact of decisions by the South Dakota
 3 Public Utilities Commission (the “Commission”) on those plans.

4
 5 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR TESTIMONY AND YOUR
 6 RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE COMMISSION.

7 A. OTP recommends an overall cost of capital of 8.89 percent. This cost of capital is
 8 based on: (i) OTP’s thirteen month average divisional capital structure for 2007,
 9 consisting of 40.3 percent long term debt, 2.8 percent short term debt, 3.6 percent
 10 preferred stock, and 53.3 percent common equity; and (ii) OTP’s costs of long-term
 11 debt, short-term debt, and preferred stock; and (iii) an 11.25 percent return on equity
 12 (“ROE”), which is explained in the Direct Testimony of Mr. Robert B. Hevert.
 13 Exhibit___ (KGM-1). Schedule 2, and the following table, provide a summary of
 14 OTP’s capital structure and the costs of the components of its proposed capital
 15 structure.

| | Amount | Percentage of Total Capitalization | Cost of Capital | Weighted Cost of Capital |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Long-Term Debt | \$173,571,466 | 40.3% | 6.33% | 2.55% |
| Short-Term Debt | 12,154,247 | 2.8% | 5.85% | 0.16% |
| Long-Term and Short- Term Debt | \$185,725,713 | 43.1% | 6.30% | 2.72% |
| Preferred Stock | 15,500,000 | 3.6% | 4.75% | 0.17% |
| Common Equity | 229,633,133 | 53.3% | 11.25% | 6.00% |
| Total Capitalization | <u>\$430,858,846</u> | <u>100.0%</u> | | <u>8.89%</u> |

17
 18 The use of OTP’s divisional capital structure and recommended ROE provides the
 19 foundation for OTP’s financial soundness and for the accomplishment of its
 20 investment objectives. The proposed capital structure will also remain appropriate for
 21 ratemaking purposes in the event that a holding company structure is formed, as we
 22 have proposed in Case No EL08-025.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

Q. HOW IS THE BALANCE OF YOUR TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

A. First, I will explain our recommended capital structure, including costs of debt and preferred stock, and discuss how that capital structure relates to OTC. Second, I will discuss the financial requirements needed to accomplish OTP's overall investment plan, and the importance of maintaining OTP's financial soundness to the accomplishment of that investment plan. Third, I will address the formation of a holding company, including an explanation of why our proposed capital structure and cost of capital remain appropriate for this rate case.

Q. HAS OTP PROVIDED THE REQUIRED STATEMENT AND SCHEDULES OF THE ACTUAL AND PROPOSED 2007 AVERAGE CAPITAL STRUCTURES AND COSTS OF CAPITAL?

A. Yes. Statement G and Schedules G1 through G4 have been provided and are being sponsored by Mr. Peter Beithon. Exhibit___(KGM-1), Schedule 2, attached to my testimony is a summary of the capital structures in this case. Details and supporting calculations are included with the work papers for the cost of service studies in Volume 4A.

III. CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COST OF DEBT

Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE OTP'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND COSTS.

A. OTP is currently a separate operating division of OTC and was throughout the 2007 Test Year. OTP's electric utility operations and investments are financed with a combination of long-term debt, short-term debt, preferred stock and common equity, which comprise OTP's capital structure. OTP's 2007 Test Year capital structure is set forth on Exhibit___(KGM-1), Schedule 2, and reflects the amount and costs of the capital used to finance the investments and operations of OTP. OTP's cost of capital is based on its separate 2007 average divisional capital structure, consisting of 40.3 percent long-term debt, 2.8 percent short-term debt, 3.6 percent preferred stock, and

1 53.3 percent common equity. It is also based on OTP's costs of long-term debt, short-
2 term debt, and preferred stock, and an 11.25 percent ROE.

3
4 **Long-Term Debt**

5
6 Q. WHAT IS THE AMOUNT AND COST OF OTP'S LONG-TERM DEBT IN THE
7 PROPOSED CAPITAL STRUCTURE?

8 A. The amount of OTP's long term debt in the capital structure is \$173.6 million and the
9 cost of long-term debt is 6.33 percent, as shown on Exhibit___(KGM-1), Schedule 2.

10
11 Q. HOW WERE THE AMOUNT AND THE COST OF OTP'S LONG-TERM DEBT
12 CALCULATED?

13 A. The amount and cost of OTP's long-term debt were calculated on the basis of the 13-
14 month average beginning December 31, 2006 and ending December 31, 2007.

15
16 Q. HOW IS LONG TERM DEBT ASSIGNED TO OTP?

17 A. The portfolio of long-term debt that has been assigned to OTP reflects application of
18 several factors. Some debt has been issued expressly for utility purposes and may
19 have restrictions that limit usage to utility purposes. Other debt issued by OTC is not
20 related to utility operations and is, accordingly, excluded from the OTP-utility long-
21 term debt portfolio. Debt is assigned to OTP-utility in the year in which it is issued by
22 OTC and remains assigned to OTP thereafter.

23
24 **Short-Term Debt**

25
26 Q. WHAT ARE OTP'S SHORT-TERM DEBT AND ASSOCIATED COSTS?

27 A. The short-term debt of \$12.2 million and cost of 5.85 percent were based on the
28 average of daily outstanding balances for the 2007 Actual Year.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Preferred Stock

Q. IS THERE A COMPONENT OF PREFERRED STOCK IN OTP’S CAPITAL STRUCTURE?

A. Yes. OTP’s capital structure includes \$15.5 million of Preferred Stock at a cost of 4.75 percent.

Common Equity

Q. HOW WAS THE AMOUNT AND PERCENTAGE OF OTP’S TEST YEAR COMMON EQUITY DETERMINED?

A. The \$229.6 million and 53.3 percent of OTP’s common equity were based on a 13-month average from December 31, 2006 through December 31, 2007.

Q. ARE COSTS INCURRED IN CONNECTION WITH ISSUING COMMON STOCK?

A. Yes. When common stock is issued to the public, the corporation issuing the stock incurs costs in the process of issuance, including underwriter discounts, audit, legal, printing and listing fees and other expenses of issuance. When these issuance costs (also known as “flotation costs”) are incurred, they reduce the net proceeds received by the corporation issuing the stock. Flotation costs are not an “expense” and they are not reflected in the annual income statement of the issuing corporation. When newly issued equity is allocated to OTP, the portion of the issuance costs related to that equity is allocated to OTP.

Q. HAS COMMON STOCK BEEN RECENTLY ISSUED?

A. Yes. The Company completed a \$155 million common stock offering in September 2008. A portion of the net proceeds from the equity offering were used to finance the construction of the Ashtabula Wind Center. This is a regulated rate base investment in which OTP will own 32 wind turbines rated at 1.5 megawatts each.

1 Q. IS IT PROBABLE THAT ADDITIONAL COMMON STOCK WILL BE ISSUED IN
2 CONNECTION WITH OTP’S INVESTMENT PLANS?

3 A. Yes. As further explained by Mr. Brause, OTP’s investment plans show that the
4 substantial increases in investments that began in the 2007 Test Year will continue for
5 the next five years. These investment plans will substantially increase the need for
6 equity at OTP, and make a further common equity issuance probable.

7
8 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE OWNERS OF OTC’S COMMON STOCK.

9 A. Our ownership mix consists of 57 percent individual investors and 43 percent
10 institutional investors. By contrast, according to Edison Electric Institute (“EEI”), the
11 average for other electric utilities ownership mix is 34 percent individual investors and
12 66 percent institutional investors. Based on information about our registered common
13 stock owners, we know we have a substantial concentration of common stock owners
14 in South Dakota (3 percent), Minnesota (44 percent), and North Dakota (13 percent).
15 While these percentages do not reflect the distribution of total share ownership, we do
16 conclude that we have a concentration of individual (“retail”) ownership among
17 persons who are within our service region and that we are, in a significant sense,
18 locally owned.

19

20 **Capital Structure**

21

22 Q. DOES OTP MAINTAIN A SEPARATE DIVISIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE?

23 A. Yes.

24

25 Q. WHEN WAS OTP’S DIVISIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE ESTABLISHED?

26 A. OTP’s separate divisional capital structure was established in 1997, and it has been
27 maintained on a separate basis since that time.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31

Q. DOES OTC SUPPLY CAPITAL TO OTP?

A. Yes. As a division of OTC, OTP receives all of its capital through OTC. OTP's capital structure reflects the capital that has been used to fund the operations and investments in OTP's electric utility operations.

Q. IS OTP'S DIVISIONAL CAPITAL STRUCTURE APPROPRIATE FOR THE CALCULATION OF OTP'S RATE OF RETURN?

A. Yes. The OTP capital structure is appropriate for several reasons:

1. The OTP divisional capital structure reflects the capital that has provided financing for OTP's electric utility operations and properties;
2. The OTP divisional capital structure is supported by the need for capital resources to fulfill OTP's substantial investment plans for its electric utility operations; and
3. The OTP capital structure is similar to the capital structures of other electric generation and distribution companies, as Mr. Hevert explains in his Direct Testimony.

Q. WHAT FACTORS DOES OTP'S CAPITAL STRUCTURE REFLECT?

A. The OTP capital structure reflects the combination of several factors, including: (i) the common equity, debt, and preferred stock, that had been used to finance the OTP electric utility operations; (ii) OTP earnings and capital contributions by OTC, which increase the common equity of OTP; (iii) the funding of dividends on preferred stock and a portion of the dividends on common equity, which decreases the common equity of OTP; and (iv) the goal of maintaining a capital structure for OTP that is appropriate for an electric utility.

Q. IS THE OTP CAPITAL STRUCTURE USED IN THE MANAGEMENT OF OTP?

A. Yes. The OTP capital structure provides the foundation for evaluation of the financial performance of OTP and is used for management of OTP.

1 Q. HOW DOES OTP'S TEST YEAR COMMON EQUITY RATIO COMPARE TO
2 OTHER ELECTRIC UTILITIES?

3 A. As Mr. Hevert explains, OTP's proposed equity ratio is appropriate in relation to the
4 equity ratios of his comparable companies.
5

6 **IV. INVESTMENT PLANS AND FUTURE CAPITAL**
7 **REQUIREMENTS**
8

9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE OTP'S ANTICIPATED CAPITAL EXPENDITURES.

10 A. As Mr. Brause explains, the investment plans for OTP have increased dramatically.
11 Investments were approximately \$25 million in 2004, \$30 million in 2005, and \$35
12 million in 2006, an average of \$30 million per year. In contrast, in 2007 OTP invested
13 \$126 million, including our investment in the Langdon Wind Project. As noted in our
14 September 24, 2008 Prospectus Supplement, we also expect to invest approximately
15 \$121 in the Ashtabula Wind Center. As reflected in OTC's June 30, 2008 Form 10-Q,
16 it is anticipated that investments for OTP for the 5-year period of 2008-2012 will be
17 approximately \$880 million, which would be an average of over \$175 million per
18 year. These investments include approximately \$336 million for Big Stone II, \$121
19 million of the Ashtabula Wind Center, and \$67 million for CapX 2020 transmission
20 projects. As explained by Mr. Brause, even if OTP's participation in Big Stone II
21 changes, a similar, if not costlier, base load generation investment will be required.
22

23 Q. WILL THE AUTHORIZED ROE AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN THIS
24 PROCEEDING HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE ABILITY OF OTP TO ACHIEVE
25 ITS INVESTMENT PLANS?

26 A. Yes. The ROE and capital structure authorized in this proceeding will have a
27 substantial impact on our ability to carry out OTP's 5-year investment plan in two
28 important ways. First, the ROE and capital structure will have a direct impact on the
29 level of earnings authorized by the Commission. That level of earnings will, in turn,
30 directly impact our ability to fund capital investment with internally generated funds.

1 Second, the ROE and capital structure authorized in this proceeding will have a
2 significant effect on the perceptions of investors. These perceptions will have a
3 substantial impact on both the availability and the cost of the capital needed to carry
4 out OTP's investment plans. Investors are very aware of a utility's regulatory
5 environment and the authorized ROE and capital structure are significant elements of
6 that regulatory environment. The reaction of investors is likely to be heightened by
7 the combination of: (i) the fact that OTP has not requested a general rate increase since
8 1987; and (ii) the scale of the OTP investment plan, particularly in contrast to its
9 historic investment levels.

10
11 Q. WHAT ARE OTC'S CURRENT SENIOR UNSECURED CREDIT RATINGS

12 A. Moody's Investors Services maintains an A3 rating, with a negative outlook. This
13 rating was just reaffirmed by Moody's in September 2008. Standard and Poor's
14 ("S&P) maintains a BBB- rating, with a stable outlook. This rating was issued in
15 September 2008 as well. The previous rating by S&P was BBB+, with a negative
16 outlook.

17
18 Q. WHY DID S&P INITIATE A DOWNGRADE OF OTC'S SENIOR UNSECURED
19 CREDIT RATING?

20 A. OTC's credit profile reflects the combination its regulated electric utility business and
21 the non-electric businesses. The non-electric businesses are considered to have higher
22 risk, given the unregulated nature of these businesses. The lowering of the S&P rating
23 relates to OTC's growing non-electric businesses which are considered to have a
24 higher degree of earnings and cash flow volatility than OTP. Also, S&P believes
25 these businesses will be under greater pressure given current economic conditions.
26 Another factor noted by S&P is the large construction program being undertaken by
27 OTP. The financial effect of OTP's construction program will ultimately depend on
28 external financing and the level of regulatory support during and after construction.
29 S&P has concerns that cost overruns or construction delays could result in declining
30 financial measures. In fact, our plan to form a holding company was undertaken, in
31 large part, to enable OTP to obtain separate (and higher) debt ratings than OTC. We

1 anticipate that, after the formation of a holding company, OTP will have the ability to
2 obtain such separate credit ratings and believe that those ratings may be higher than
3 OTC's debt ratings.

4
5 Q. DOES THIS DOWNGRADE IMPACT THE SOUTH DAKOTA RATE CASE?

6 A. No. The requested cost of capital and regulated return on equity are not impacted by
7 this downgrade. The costs of long term debt, short term debt, and preferred stock are
8 based on embedded, historical costs, not on current debt ratings. Thus, there is no
9 effect on those costs. The ROE is forward looking and based on a comparable group,
10 not on OTP or OTC specifically. Thus, there is no effect on the ROE.

11
12 **V. FORMATION OF HOLDING COMPANY**

13
14 Q. HAS OTC COMPLETED THE FORMATION OF THE HOLDING COMPANY?

15 A. No. OTC has not yet obtained all necessary regulatory approvals, and the formation
16 of the holding company would not occur prior to April 1, 2009 in any event.

17
18 Q. IS THE IMPLEMENTATION OF A HOLDING COMPANY CONSISTENT WITH
19 OTC'S AND OTP'S LONG-RUN FINANCIAL STRATEGIES?

20 A. Yes. OTC has had a long run financial strategy of enhancing the financial separation
21 of OTP from the unregulated operations of OTC. In fact, that strategy has been a
22 primary financial objective of OTC that began with the establishment of a separate
23 divisional capital structure in 1997, was expanded with the separation of the corporate
24 group in 2001, and is being completed with the formation of the holding company.

25
26 Q. DOES THE COMMISSION NEED TO DETERMINE THE CAPITAL STRUCTURE
27 OF A NEW OTP SUBSIDIARY IN THIS PROCEEDING?

28 A. No. This proceeding is concerned with the appropriate capital structure and cost of
29 capital for ratemaking based on the 2007 Test Year. The OTP capital structure that is
30 implemented after formation of the holding company will remain subject to

1 Commission review and oversight, and OTC and OTP will provide full information
2 regarding the capital structures for OTP and any new holding company.

3
4
5 **VI. CONCLUSION**

6
7 Q. CAN YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR CONCLUSIONS?

8 A. Yes. I recommend the Commission approve a capital structure consisting of 53.3
9 percent equity, an ROE of 11.25 percent and an overall rate of return of 8.89 percent.

10
11 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

12 A. Yes, it does.

KEVIN G. MOUG

EMPLOYMENT

| | | |
|--------------|--|-----------------|
| 2001-PRESENT | Otter Tail Corporation <i>Chief Financial Officer</i> | Fargo, ND |
| 1996-PRESENT | Varistar Corporation <i>Chief Financial Officer & Treasurer</i> | Fargo, ND |
| 1993-1996 | Advance Dental Management <i>Chief Financial Officer</i> | Mondovi, WI |
| 1981-1993 | Deloitte & Touche <i>Senior Manager – Middle Market Practice</i> | Minneapolis, MN |

EDUCATION

- Bachelor of Science in Business Administration University of North Dakota

INDUSTRY CERTIFICATIONS

- Certified Public Accountant

PROFESSIONAL AFFILIATIONS

- | | |
|--|--------------------|
| • American Institute of Certified Public Accountants | Member |
| • Financial Executive International | Member |
| • US Bank Advisory Board | Board Member |
| • Minn-Kota Chapter of American Red Cross | Board of Directors |
| • Innovis Health | Board of Directors |

RATE OF RETURN COST OF CAPITAL SCHEDULE

| | <u>(A)</u> | <u>(B)</u> | <u>(C)</u> | <u>(D)</u> |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <u>Capitalization:</u> | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Percent of Total Capitalization</u> | <u>Cost of Capital</u> | <u>Weighted Cost of Capital</u> |
| <u>2007 ACTUAL YEAR</u> | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt | \$173,571,466 | 40.3% | 6.33% | 2.55% |
| Short-Term Debt | <u>12,154,247</u> | <u>2.8%</u> | 5.85% | <u>0.17%</u> |
| Long-Term and Short-Term Debt | \$185,725,713 | 43.1% | 6.30% | 2.72% |
| Preferred Stock | 15,500,000 | 3.6% | 4.75% | 0.17% |
| Common Equity | <u>229,633,133</u> | <u>53.3%</u> | 4.51% | <u>2.40%</u> |
| Total Capitalization | <u><u>\$430,858,846</u></u> | <u><u>100.0%</u></u> | | <u><u>5.29%</u></u> |
| <u>2007 TEST YEAR</u> | | | | |
| Long-Term Debt | \$173,571,466 | 40.3% | 6.33% | 2.55% |
| Short-Term Debt | <u>12,154,247</u> | <u>2.8%</u> | 5.85% | <u>0.16%</u> |
| Long-Term and Short-Term Debt | \$185,725,713 | 43.1% | 6.30% | 2.72% |
| Preferred Stock | 15,500,000 | 3.6% | 4.75% | 0.17% |
| Common Equity | <u>229,633,133</u> | <u>53.3%</u> | 11.25% | <u>6.00%</u> |
| Total Capitalization | <u><u>\$430,858,846</u></u> | <u><u>100.0%</u></u> | | <u><u>8.89%</u></u> |

All are based on 13-month average balances.

Details in JCOSS W/P D-1 through D-3