Exhibit AC34

1

1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL19-003 BY CROWNED RIDGE WIND II, LLC FOR
5	A PERMIT OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN GRANT AND CODINGTON COUNTIES
6	
7	Transcript of Proceeding July 9, 2019
8	9.45 a.m.
9	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,
10	GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN CHRIS NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN
11	KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER
12	COMMISSION STAFF Karen Cremer
13	Adam de Hueck Eric Paulson
14	Jon Thurber Patrick Steffensen
15	Joseph Rezac Lorena Reichert
16	Darren Kearney Brittany Mehlhaff
17	Deb Gregg Kaitlyn Baucom
18	APPEARANCES
19	Miles Schumacher and Brian Murphy,
20	appearing on behalf of Crowned Ridge Wind II;
21	David Ganje, appearing on behalf of the Intervenors;
22	
23	Kristen Edwards and Mikal Hanson, appearing on behalf of Staff.
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR Precision Reporting, 213 S. Main, Onida, South Dakota
25	cwittler@venturecomm.net

Application by Crowned Ridge Wind, LLC for a Permit of a 3 Wind Energy Facility in Grant and Codington County. The question before the Commission is shall the Commission, grant, deny, or grant with conditions a permit for the construction of a wind energy facility to Crowned Ridge Wind, LLC pursuant to South Dakota Codified Law 49-41B-25? Or how shall the Commission proceed? We had agreed by order that we would provide the Applicants 10 minutes, the Intervenors 10, Staff 10, and 10 then the Applicant 3 minutes for an opportunity to do some -- I don't want to call it recross. Attempt at 12 rebuttal, I guess I will say. 13 14 First I'd like to acknowledge the receipt of the letter from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and the 15 16 Applicant's letter of response to that and Mr. Ganje's letter in regard -- or motion regarding striking it. 17 They will be filed as part of the docket. 18 However, these items were not presented during an 19 evidentiary hearing so all of it is just simply going to be filed as part of the docket as we do with any 21 22 correspondence during the process. 23 There are, of course, portions of the letter from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service that was presented 24 25 during the meeting -- during the evidentiary hearing so 4

CHAIRMAN HANSON: EL-003. In the Matter of the

The following transcript of proceedings was
held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota
State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
South Dakota, on the 9th day of July, 2019, commencing at
9:45 a.m.

7

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 evidence.
4 So Mr. Ganje's Motion to Exclude is not
5 necessary because it's excluded just by the fact that it
6 wasn't part of the evidentiary hearing.
7 So we will move then to the oral testimony from
8 the parties at this time, and the Applicant will have -9 you don't have to take all 10 minutes, but you have -10 you have 10 minutes.
11 MR. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Chairman Hanson.
12 Commissioners, Miles Schumacher, Lynn, Jackson, Shultz &
13 Lebrun on behalf of Crowned Ridge.

that obviously exists, but the rest of it is just part of

a communication system so it's not part of the actual

shows that Crowned Ridge has met its burden of proof on each of the four statutory elements of SDCL 49-41B-22 and the other applicable requirements of Chapter 49-41B.

On the first element of 49-41B-22, the project will comply with all applicable laws and rules, including the county setbacks required in Grant and Codington Counties. Further, Crowned Ridge has agreed to Condition 1, which requires it to obtain all governmental permits which reasonably may be required by any

applicable governmental unit. There is no evidence in

the proceedings that the project will not comply with all

The weight of the record in this proceeding

7-9-19 (EL19-003)

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

applicable laws and rules.

The Intervenors' proposed Findings of Fact generally argue that since Crowned Ridge has not yet submitted certain permits for approvals it is not showing it will comply with the law. However, there is no requirement that Crowned Ridge obtain all permits prior to the close of the record.

Crowned Ridge in Section 24 of its Application listed the applicable permits and approvals, and as has been done in other recent wind proceedings, Crowned Ridge will adhere to the requirements of Condition No. 1 and obtain all required permits for construction and operation of the project prior to engaging in the particular activity covered by that permit and will file those permits with the Commission as part of its compliance filings. Crowned Ridge has, therefore, met its burden of proof that it will comply with all applicable laws and rules.

On the second element of SDCL 49-41B-22, the evidence shows that the project will not pose a threat of serious injury to the environment nor to the social and economic condition of the inhabitants or expected inhabitants in the siting area. Crowned Ridge is committed to implement numerous and reasonable avoidance mitigation measures to minimize the impact on the

environment. It has conducted consultation with

South Dakota Native American tribes to protect cultural resources in addition to working with South Dakota SHPO.

4 Intervenors contend that some of Crowned Ridge's

5 studies are still in process and that it did not study

the Cattle Ridge portion of the project area.

the cattle Mage portion of the project area.

7 Intervenors apparently incorrectly believe that the

8 environmental and cultural study and protection is a

static process. It is not. It is a dynamic process in

10 which Crowned Ridge continues to work to ensure that the

11 environmental and cultural protection of the Crowned

12 Ridge Project continues throughout the development,

13 construction, and operation period as was explained by

Witness Sappington at the evidentiary hearing.

Witness Sappington also explained that the Cattle Ridge portion was studied, and she did coordinate with the appropriate state agencies by providing shapefiles, which are what the agencies use to understand impacts, not the two dimensional map, which is the focus of Intervenors' arguments.

The Intervenors raise issues of whether Crowned Ridge addressed mammals such as the northern [sic] river otter. Not only did the Application address this but witness Sappington in her rebuttal addressed the northern river otter in the context of the project.

Staff Witness Kirschenmann also addressed this mammal stating, "Filing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and putting into place practices to reduce or eliminate sedimentation will help negate potential negative impacts to northern river otters that may be entering near the project area." Applicant has committed to file a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan, including practices to mitigate sedimentation.

Among other commitments, Applicant is required under Condition No. 32 to conduct two years of independently conducted postconstruction avian and bat mortality monitoring for the project. The Applicant committed to file a wildlife conservation strategy, which includes both direct and indirect effects, as well as the wildlife mitigation measures set forth in the Application prior to starting construction.

Pursuant to Condition No. 33, the Applicant will file a Bird and Bat Conservation Strategy again prior to the start of construction. The evidence again shows the project will not pose a serious threat of injury to the environment.

Turning to economic impacts, the project will produce benefits to the community, including the payment of property taxes, lease payments, temporary jobs for 250 construction workers and 12 permanent workers

1 stationed in South Dakota.

Crowned Ridge has also agreed to Conditions
No. 7, 8, and 24 that address impacts on local
communication and transportation systems. It has
provided three studies in Attachment K of its Application
that show the project is expected to have a negligible
effect, if any, on the assessed value of private property
and, therefore, on property taxes.

In summary, some of the evidence in this proceeding and subject to the proposed conditions set forth in Attachment A of Crowned Ridge's Brief, Crowned Ridge has met its burden that the project will not pose a threat of serious injury to the environment, nor to the social and economic condition of the inhabitants or expected inhabitants in the siting area.

Similarly, the record shows that the project will not substantially impair the health, safety, or welfare of the inhabitants. Applicant has appropriately minimized the sound level produced from the project to no more than 45 dBA at any nonparticipant's residence and no more than 50 dBA at any participant residences.

Crowned Ridge's sound level also complies with the ordinances in Codington and Grant Counties, as well as applying the more restrictive Grant County sound ordinance for the entire project.

The project also complies with Grant and Codington County ordinances not to produce more than 30 hours of shadow flicker annually with the exception of one participant that is at approximately 37 hours, and Crowned Ridge is committed to work with that participant for a waiver on shadow flicker or curtail the turbine so that the participant does not experience more than 30 hours annually.

The studies that produce the sound and flicker results use a number of assumptions to produce very conservative results.

Crowned Ridge presented a Ph.D. and medical doctor, both with many years of relevant experience, that testified that the project as designed will not substantially impair the health or welfare of the inhabitants. There is no evidence to the contrary.

Instead, Intervenors argue that Staff Witness Hessler and Dr. Ollson support the imposition of a 40 dBA threshold. But a plain reading of the hearing transcript and exhibits presented shows that Intervenors are wrong. Neither Mr. Hessler nor Dr. Ollson's past statements or testimony in this proceeding, unless manipulated beyond their original intent, can be read to support imposing a 40 dBA threshold for this project.

Further, Crowned Ridge worked with Staff to

adopt and codify in Condition No. 26 Witness Hessler's proposal to use alternative turbine sites instead of primary sites as a way to reduce certain nonparticipant sound despite the evidence from both doctors that the project as proposed would not substantially impair the health or welfare of the inhabitants.

Turning to safety, the Applicant will meet or exceed required setbacks established for safety and also implement safety practices during construction, operation, and maintenance, including grounding wind turbines in accordance with National Electrical Safety Codes. The Applicant will monitor the operation of the project 24 hours a day seven days a week through the Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition System.

The Applicant will implement a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan and a Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Plan, part of which will coordinate with state and local disaster services in the event of the accidental release of contaminants.

Condition No. 40 also requires the Applicant to use two methods to detect icing conditions on turbine blades to shut down the turbines when they are accumulating ice. Thus, the evidence shows that the project will not substantially impair safety.

The record also demonstrates that the proposed

project will not unduly interfere with the orderly
 development of the region, which is demonstrated by the
 granting of conditional use permits for the project by
 Grant and Codington Counties.

In support of financial protections for decommissioning, Crowned Ridge has agreed to establish an escrow agreement consistent with the Commission's past rulings. The Applicant does, however, request that the Applicant be allowed that the escrow agreement be filed 30 days instead of 60 days prior to commencement of commercial operations so Crowned Ridge has that time to work with the counties to recognize that the Commission has taken the lead on decommissioning so that we don't have duplicative escrow requirements on the project. Crowned Ridge also will state that it agrees

we received yesterday.

In sum, the project has met its burden of proof to be granted a facilities permit, and Crowned Ridge would respectfully request that the Commission vote to

with Staff's proposed change to Condition No. 12, which

21 grant the permit.

Thank you.

23 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you very much. And did24 you state your name at the beginning, Mr. Schumacher.

25 MR. SCHUMACHER: I did. And, for the record,

Mr. Murphy will cover the rebuttal portion of the
 argument.

3 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

We will then turn to Intervenors. Mr. Ganje.

MR. GANJE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. This

is David Ganje speaking on behalf of the Intervenors. I

7 would respectfully refer to the Intervenors' Proposed

Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, Intervenors'

Posthearing Brief in this matter for a detailed

10 discussion of the issues and concern that Intervenors

have.

I will state and discuss some of the issues in my 10 minutes but I won't be able to discuss all of them of course and I don't know that you would want to necessarily hear all of them.

I would respectfully submit that the Commission should not consider the evidence submitted in support of the sound and flicker modeling which does not meet the test of reliability. When evidence is reliable it is able to be trusted, and it must be definitive.

The disclaimers written in the sound and flicker studies negate reliability of the evidence. The disclaimers expressly state in writing that no one should or can rely on the information provided. I would respectfully submit that it would be a bad precedent if

1 the disclaimer of liability and the limitation of remedy 2 given by the sound and flicker expert in this proceeding were accepted by the Commission. Such a practice would 4 be greeted with suspicion by the public and the affected 5 inhabitants. It would be bad public policy to accept 6 such an unwarranted technical work as the basis for 7 granting the permit. I discussed in some detail the 8 disclaimer issue in the paperwork submitted after the

Next I would discuss the Applicant's last turbine map, which is Exhibit A55. This map is a confused mix of existing land rights as well as proposed turbine drops and turbine moves. The map does not indicate where the moves will be placed, but that is only part of the problem. That map lists three landowner parcels inside the project as "pending," and pending means they don't have agreements.

And this is all after the Applicant disclosed supposed lapsed landowner agreements pursuant to order of this Commission on Friday, June 7. So what we have here is an incomplete map and an incomplete project, and we have an incomplete ability to understand the project, even though they were required to list all of this stuff before.

Under the Administrative Rules if a wind energy

facility is proposed, the Applicant must provide a

disclosure of the setback distances from the property

3 lines. Setback distances are not found anywhere in the

4 Application with regard to Grant County property lines.

Next I would ask the Commission respectfully to consider the testimony of Mr. Baker, the Applicant's land value expert. Land values were really not presented by

8 the Applicant as required by South Dakota rules.

9 Mr. Baker did not know or review property values in

10 Codington and Grant County. He was unfamiliar with the

11 market and with the values. He did not compare or

12 understand the consequences of the project on the values

13 in Grant and Codington County. It's not in the

14 testimony.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

evidentiary hearing.

Next I would ask the Commission to consider the fact that Applicant failed to include anywhere in the Application any overhead maps for use of the public and the Intervenors to understand better what was going on with this proposal. There are no overhead maps in this record.

21 Next I would ask the Commission to consider a 22 problem with this -- a large problem with this 23 Application. 15,000 acres known as the Cattle Ridge

24 portion of the project are unaccounted for under

Applicant's duty to provide environmental studies. This

1 amounts to an area which includes 25 designated and 2 alternate turbines.

3 Biological impacts were not adequately analyzed. 4 Applicant did no avian study whatsoever of the Cattle

Ridge portion of the project. 6 Next I would ask the Commission to consider my 7 objections to the testimony of Ms. Sappington.

8 Ms. Sappington was allowed to testify to the prior filed

9 prefiled testimony of Ms. Wells, Kimberly Wells.

10 Ms. Sappington is an archeologist, and Ms. Wells is a

11 wildlife biologist specialist. In fact, Ms. Wells'

12 prefiled testimony asserted numerous conclusions and

13 opinions that had to do with the impacts on wildlife.

14 Those are areas that Ms. Sappington should not have been 15

allowed to testify, and I do not believe the Commission 16 should consider her testimony as reliable.

Next I would address some of the Mr. Hessler recommendations. Mr. Hessler made a recommendation to relocate 16 turbines, but the Applicant in reality is only offering to move six turbines, not the seven some of the record indicates that they're talking about.

Applicant proposes to drop 16 turbines from the project, but these are not the 16 turbines Hessler recommends to the Commission. Of the Hessler

25 recommendation, Applicant only proposes to drop six

turbines. And the representation that Applicant will

drop the 16 is again a false presentation to the

3 Commission.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

14

4 The number of turbines dropped include five turbine locations with expired leases. Well, they

6 couldn't use them anyway. They're not dropped. They're

7 unavailable to the Applicant. And, further, two of the 8 so-called drop turbines are not related to this project.

9 The two are a part of a project called Crowned Ridge

10 No. II. They're turbines that belong to Crowned Ridge

II, not this project.

12 And, further, three of the turbines proposed to 13 be moved are not related to this project. The three 14 turbines are part of Crowned Ridge No. II project, again 15 not this project.

10 of the recommended relocations will still be in place and not moved if this project is approved by the Commission. And regarding relocation of turbines, at the end of its case the Applicant still has not disclosed or chooses not to disclose where it will be relocating turbines. The 16 relocations are recommended by Witness Hessler because in his opinion "they are unduly and unnecessarily affecting nonparticipating residents."

24 This cannot be ignored by the Commission. The 25 Applicant's several witnesses in this proceeding do not

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

18

reflect any dispute or any criticism with the recommendation of Mr. Hessler that 16 turbines should be relocated.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

I'll next say a few words about mammal studies. Applicant has done no mammal studies, even though Staff Witness Kirschenmann testified that rigorous wildlife surveys should be completed, and a survey by definition of Fish & Wildlife includes an inventory and monitoring at the same time. That was not done.

Next I would submit to this Commission with all respect that this project results in a taking of property rights, period. Mr. Haley measured sound at residences rather than at landowners' property lines as required by the Codington County Ordinance. The record will reflect that.

16 Next I will suggest that the Applicant is 17 arguing that no substantial evidence contradicts the 18 testimony of two of its witnesses, Ollson and McCunney. That's not true. Staff Witness Hessler indicated 16 20 times in this hearing, including in his prior writings, his opinion that 40 dBA should be the sound standard for 22 a wind farm. And Applicant witness Ollson indicated 10 23 times in this record, including in his prior writings, 24 that 40 dBA should be the sound standard for a wind farm. 25 That is evidence and that is substantial

evidence and it contradicts any statements made in support of Applicant's request for a 45 and 50 dBA standard.

Next, a preconstruction sound survey was recommended by Staff Witness Hessler and, indeed, was recommended in prior writings by Applicant Witness Ollson. A preconstruction sound survey is in the best interest of the community and the inhabitants, yet it has not been done, and it was not done by the Applicant in this case.

This is an incomplete Application under any interpretation. I respectfully again refer the Commission to Intervenors' Proposed Findings of Fact which lists the incomplete nature of the Application and detail. There is no full, adequate, and understandable picture of this Application that's been presented upon which Intervenors could understand what will happen if this project is constructed.

I would respectfully ask that the Commission deny the Application and deny granting the permit.

21 Thank you.

22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Ganje. A 23 number of interesting points.

24 And we will turn our attention to Staff.

25 Ms. Edwards.

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. This is Kristen Edwards for Staff. In our brief we laid out three main focus areas, the first being the escrow account, the 4 shadow flicker at receptor No. 10, which is 37 hours, and the postconstruction lek monitoring.

First to speak to the decommissioning escrow account. Staff's main concern was that any escrow account established had the protections afforded by Senate Bill 16 which went into effect on July 1, and this was discussed in our brief.

Based upon the concessions Applicant made in their brief on page 11, it sounds like we have resolved this issue. In its brief Applicant asked for two things regarding the escrow condition; first 30 days, not 60, and, second, a finding that the escrow will be sufficient for decommissioning the entire project.

The 60 days is just the standard we've asked for in the past and other companies have had as a condition on their permits. We don't take any position on either of those requests from the company, though.

One thing I would note, though, is when the company does file that escrow account if it is within 30 days, they need to be cognizant of the Commission's schedule because it requires approval before commercial operation. So they need to be cognizant of the need to

20

1 get that in front of the Commission and have time to 2 review it.

The only other thing I'd say with respect to the escrow account is just for the benefit of others in this room that are dying to know how we review the banks. When they do file that we'll look into the FDIC has a website where you can check the financial stabilities of the bank right down to their return on equity and see how viable they are, if they've had any failures in the past, to see where all of their locations are.

We do a Westlaw search to see if they have any red flag lawsuits out there and run a general internet search to see what their locations look like and make sure they meet the legal standard for systematic and continuous contacts in the forum. So all of that will be done when the escrow account is filed.

Next the shadow flicker at receptor No. 10, which is a participating landowner in Codington County. Right now the way it's modeled, they'll have 37 hours of shadow flicker, which would either require a waiver or some sort of mitigation to get it below the 30 hours required by the county.

I would have liked to have known by now whether or not they were going to get the waiver, but based upon the statements they just made and those in their briefs,

1 it doesn't sound like they have accomplished that yet,

although they must hope to. It would have been nice to

3 know at this point whether it's been obtained.

4 Therefore, Staff recommends a curtailment plan be

submitted and approved by the Commission. That way we

6 have a firm commitment and know what turbine will be

7 curtailed, when it will be curtailed, and how it will be

curtailed going into this.

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

17

Next the lek surveys. Applicant continues to resist the lek surveys advocated for by Staff and its witness. As you may recall from Mr. Kirschenmann's testimony, we are not talking about an extensive or expensive survey. We are talking about an estimated 15 days of survey effort for a 25-year project.

Crowned Ridge chose not to adhere to the one-mile setback that some other projects have and that U.S. Fish & Wildlife advocates but that it should comply with a reasonable alternative, which is Staff's proposed condition as filed as Exhibit S7.

The record supports this condition, and it is further supported by the lack of any meaningful rebuttal on the part of the Applicant.

In conclusion, we would definitely like to take a moment to commend the Applicant for working with Staff with the Hessler seven. It is definitely refreshing to

see that willingness to take some mitigation efforts upon Staff's request.

And as far as Condition No. 12 that Mr. Schumacher just mentioned, we didn't file that in the docket but it came up and we brought it to Applicant's

6 attention yesterday that the condition doesn't specify

7 when the plan should be filed and it seems intuitive that

8 the cultural studies plan would be filed prior to

9 commencement of construction. However, it wasn't

10 specified in the condition that it would be prior to

11 commencement of construction, and that issue came up with

12 a different project so we wanted it to just be laid out

13 in there in Condition No. 12. So we would just ask that

14 the language prior to commencement of construction be

15 added to that condition.

16 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you very much. And we

18 will turn to the Applicant for a three-minute rebuttal.

19 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. Brian Murphy on behalf 20

of Crowned Ridge Wind. I will be brief, and I appreciate 21 the comments of Staff with regard to the Hessler seven.

22

But I'll start with the witnesses and Intervenors are 23

stating their testimony should not be in the evidentiary

24 record. I thought the Commission was very clear in their

rulings during the evidentiary record that the

1 reliability and weight of those witnesses would be taken

2 into account and that those objections were overruled. I

3 did not hear anything new from the Intervenors' argument

4 or evidence that suggests that the Commission should

5 change its mind on giving the proper weight to the

6 witnesses that were presented over the objections

7 deleting that testimony from the evidentiary record.

8 With regard to the Cattle Ridge Project, the 9 study of mammals, the avian studies, I would refer the

10 Commission to page 4 in the Footnote 17 of our brief that

11 lays out specifically studies that were done for Cattle

12 Ridge, how they were done, the evidentiary evidence from

13 our witnesses, how that was communicated to the agencies.

I would also point to our Application in Section 11 that 14

15 explains how the avian impacts for the Cattle Ridge

16 portion was folded into the Application.

To me the record is replete with references not just to the entire project but studies of the entire project. I would reiterate to what Attorney Schumacher stated, and it is our solid position that these studies are not static. They are dynamic, and we have continued to coordinate with the actions on the entire project area and will continue to do so throughout the term of this project.

Our conditions go to the entire project area.

Our studies have been full and with regard to the entire

2 project area. I just want -- and I'm happy to answer any

3 questions along those lines, but I think the record is 4

clear.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

22

5 With regard to pending landowners, I have the

6 latest status on those landowners. Happy to share that

7 with the Commission if that is so desired, but our

8 position at the evidentiary hearing and today is we have

9 the land necessary to construct the project. We don't

10 need those additional landowners to do so.

11 With regard to the lek survey and

12 postconstruction monitoring, I respect Staff's position.

13 I also believe that we have indicated in regard to Data

14 Requests our testimony, specifically our Rebuttal

15 Testimony, that given the low population of leks in this

16 area, that setting a precedent of doing postconstruction

17 monitoring for leks would be one that we could not

18 support unless ordered upon us.

19

We will obviously do it if it's ordered, but we don't think the record supports in this area of the state doing that postconstruction monitoring.

Thank you, and we're happy to answer any questions. We have folks on the phone if you so desire.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

Questions by Commissioners.

20

21

22

23

24

raise any issues to us regarding a lack of study in that
 area. So we were comfortable with the Application as it
 was filed, given that our expert didn't raise any issues
 with that portion of the project area.

I guess I'll just leave it at that for now.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. So my next question, during the evidentiary hearing there was a lot of confusion on how to study leks. And so sometimes we heard from the Applicant that it could be an aviation study, but then when Staff witness came on they said, you know, that is not the same study as a lek study.

So are you confident as a Staff that there is current studies that we can see the leks, and is that -- oh, I printed a Figure 6 on environmental constraints. It's a map with the yellow dots of the leks and then, of course, the big pink circle with the bald eagles.

Are you confident that that has been studied in a robust way and that can protect that area -- that will be protected with that one-third of a mile instead of a mile?

MR. KEARNEY: This is Darren Kearney for Staff again. I think that the lek data is a little dated in some respects as far as when they did the original lek surveys and then the follow-up studies.

The Avian Use Studies that were completed

recently were not true lek studies based on Staff's understanding of how those studies are completed versus a lek study. We would have liked to have seen more current lek studies. However, those were not done, I don't think. Or if they were, they were incorporated in the Avian Use Studies and not properly accounted for in the methodology.

But, in any event, I think that that's the genesis for this recommended condition from the GF&P as to monitor leks that are in the area that are less than one mile from the turbines to see if there are any impacts on them.

So we know that there could be leks in the area. We don't know exactly where at this point in time. We have some general locations based on the earlier lek studies, and Staff would ask the Commission to require lek monitoring on any that are found within one mile of a turbine.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. I'm going to come back and ask you a question about mitigation if they see that there's issues in the future, if there's a mitigation agreement to that season, breeding season. I'm going to come back to you, Mr. Kearney, on that, but I'm going to go actually to the Applicant.

So today when you gave your oral arguments you

talked about a dynamic study instead of a static study.
 So when you talk about dynamic, as you can tell at the
 evidentiary hearing and today, Commissioners and actually
 the -- or one Commissioner and the Staff are concerned
 about your lek study being outdated, and GF&P said that
 too.

So you had time this spring, I mean, during the mating season to continue that. Did you continue that and made it dynamic or -- tell me what "dynamic" means dealing with leks.

MR. MURPHY: With leks. And I would ask your permission to bring in one of the experts who could better answer that than myself. And, Kim or Sarah, whoever is in the best position to provide the answer to that question, I would ask you to do so.

You may be on mute.

17 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: They need to confer;18 right? Figure out who's going to talk?

MS. WELLS: My apologies. I was on mute.

Sarah, would you like to take that?

MS. SAPPINGTON: Sure. So as far as the Avian
Use Survey, there was question on that. The Avian Use
Survey covers leks. It wasn't a lek survey. But, again,
our folks that go out, the avian experts who go out and

25 do these surveys, do listen for leks while they are out

1 there as well. So just wanted to make note of that.

As far as any other studies that were done,
there were previous studies done as noted in the
Application.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. That's about as vague as I -- I mean, that's terribly vague. Because you heard from GF&P that the aviation studies is not a lek study, and you cannot hardly determine a robust lek study through an aviation study.

So when I read the briefs of the Applicant they continue to tell the Commission in their brief that the aviation study is a lek study, but the expert of the Staff says no. So I'm -- you know, I just become frustrated when I read both sides and who is the expert and who can I put the evidence and the weight on.

So, Applicant, I'm going to give you anotherbite at the apple here, and then I'm going to go toStaff.

MR. MURPHY: Thank you. And I'll go back to -- and it's my words that it's dynamic and not static. And I would ask Sarah or Kim to weigh in, I think, more directly on your question.

So let's assume we get a permit. We're getting ready for construction. We're finalizing not only certain strategies around wildlife, but we're out there

surveying, doing more specific understanding of where we're constructing.

I think the Commissioner's question is during that period of time are our studies open? Are our studies going to count leks? Are we going to make sure that our commitment, for example, to not construct within a certain distance of leks is abided by?

Sarah, if you understand -- hopefully you understand my question. When I say "dynamic" it means that we are open with that study; if we find an issue, we're not going to ignore it. We're going as to fold it into our commitments and our practices.

MS. WELLS: So this is Kim Wells. I can take that. I think there may be some confusion in how a lek study is done.

So, in short, a lek study is done during the lekking season, which is approximately March or April to June or July. One of two methods or often in combination.

You count birds at leks because they concentrate, and that's the easiest time to locate them when they're localizing during that season. You can do that on the ground, meaning you drive around where there's access, or you can do that from the air via an airplane, a helicopter, or a fixed wing or both. Depends

on your access in the situation.

So I don't believe there's any issue here with whether we conducted an appropriate lek survey. We've done so in the last couple of years, as well as had prior surveys done that were appropriate. We also supplemented that with a query of the state agency's data on leks, which is a database they maintain to help supplemental information on leks, which is a similar thing to what we do with eagle nests.

So, in short, our position as the Applicant is that we have done appropriate surveys, and I wonder if there may be some confusion on what a lek survey is or how it is done. And we're happy to provide more details.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So I'm going to go to Staff next.

Certainly there were some questions during the evidentiary hearing, and you just heard the Applicant say they did a lek study in the last couple of years. And my recollection is that was not necessarily a focused lek study, but the focused lek study is back in -- my memory is going to be not the best, but I thought it was 2007-2008.

So, Staff, tell me about your understanding of a
lek study if it is appropriate, and then I'm going to
come back to that question. And let's stay there, and

1 then I'm going to come back to my next question.

Staff.

MR. KEARNEY: This is Darren Kearney for Staff.
You're correct that studies were done in '07 and
'08. For some reason I'm also recalling that a study was
done after that, I want to say around 2016, but the
Applicant would need to confirm that. I don't recall
exactly where I'm getting that date from, but I want to
say that a study has been done after the 2007, 2008 time
frame.

The most current studies that were done in the project area were the Avian Use Surveys, which are point count surveys. And in those methods nowhere did Staff find an explanation of using fixed wing aircraft or helicopters or driving the project area to document and count the number of birds at leks.

That is why we're concerned that, you know, the Avian Use Surveys may not account for all the leks -- or may not be representative of the leks in the project area. So that's kind of where we came up with our position for requiring postconstruction lek monitoring.

22 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Now I'm going to23 ask you the question about this postconstruction24 monitoring.

25 So if we see impacts during the breeding season

on these leks that the company -- if the permit is given
 today, they're asking to make it one-third of a mile
 instead of Game, Fish & Parks, which is an expert in the
 field is suggesting a mile.

So what happens if you see negative impact on those leks? Are you going to bring it back to us and we'll have a mitigation where they will have to shut off certain hours of the day or certain times of the year, or what will we be looking at?

MR. KEARNEY: The lek monitoring is a data gathering condition, and with the data that they collect, the GF&P can use that to inform future siting decisions as to whether or not one mile of buffer is correct, three-tenths of a mile buffer is correct. So the main reason for that postconstruction monitoring condition is for future siting decisions and kind of the adding to the scientific knowledge about wind turbine operations on leks.

With that said, if there are results that show there are impacts to leks during operations, they could incorporate some operational changes into their wildlife conservation strategy, which is similar to what's done for bird and bat mortality monitoring.

For bird and bat mortality monitoring if there are certain turbines that have higher levels of mortality

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

40

than expected, then the Applicant will work with the developer -- or the GF&P or Fish & Wildlife Service to come up with possible operational changes to the turbines.

5 That's all done outside of the Commission's 6 purview and between the GF&P, Fish & Wildlife, and the 7 Applicant. Staff was envisioning a similar process here 8 where, you know, the GF&P could review the results of the 9 lek monitoring survey and say we think that this turbine 10 could potentially be impacting this lek. Would you be 11 willing to do any operational measures, you know, shut 12 the turbine off, curtail the turbine for three hours in 13 the morning during this period during the lekking season 14 and work it out that way without review from the 15 Commission, as is done for the avian bird and bat 16 mortality monitoring.

17 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So I'm going to go to the 18 Applicant next then. Are you willing to work with Game, 19 Fish & Parks like you do with bird and bat mortality 20 studies on the lek studies? Help us understand as a Commission how comfortable -- I'll decide that later 21 22 after you state -- make your statement.

23 Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

24 MS. WELLS: Sure. This is Kim Wells with the 25 Applicant. We have committed to continue working with

the State and will continue to do so. I think there's some confusion in what the different avian studies are for that I could clear up.

So general Avian Use Studies are a point count, which means that they fix points that are -- there's a system of points that are distributed throughout the whole site. Those don't target leks. So I think there's some confusion about which avian species are targeted by which surveys because leks or grouse generally occur in prairie habitat, which is why you map out suitable prairie habitat and then you look for leks where you count them down.

So the lek surveys are what we target for detecting leks. That is different than the avian point counts, which are to characterize all bird game throughout the site. So I just wanted to make that clear because I hear some confusion.

So to return to the point, as we have described in our Application and our materials, we believe there is no peer-reviewed evidence in the Dakotas that actually suggests there is displacement or avoidance behavior by grouse species, sharp-tailed grouse in particular, which is what we're talking about here.

24 So the ask was to consider looking for an effect 25 that has not actually been detected in the peer-reviewed

literature. So we are not unwilling to do that; however, we want to be clear on the record that the peer-reviewed evidence does not support or demonstrate that such an effect has been common. That does not mean that may not occur, but there's certainly not evidence in the record to support that.

Second, one of the reasons we've had concerns with the postconstruction lek monitoring is because it's been a little confusing to us to understand what the purpose and the objective is for that.

In the absence of clear peer-reviewed evidence suggesting there's an impact, there's not a clear rationale for us to demonstrate what problem or issue we are trying to solve. We are certainly willing to look at those leks within a mile of certain types of infrastructure and document existing patterns of disturbance, which there are several.

We have several leks that are in close proximity to roads and other features that suggest some of those existing human associated disturbances have not deterred the species from lekking or behaving.

So, in summary, we're happy to further consult and work with the State. We believe there's an absence of peer-reviewed literature in the Dakotas supporting any avoidance or displacement impacts and are certainly

38

1 willing to continue working with the Commission and the 2 state agency if this is a condition required of our

3 permit.

4 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. 5 Mr. Chairman, I'm going to take a rest and give 6 the mic. over to you for a few minutes.

7 MS. EDWARDS: Can I just -- this is Kristen 8 Edwards of Staff. Can I just -- no? Thank you.

9 I'll just interject that while Mr. Kearney's 10 done an excellent job of answering questions on the fly, 11 we now also have GF&P on the phone.

12 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Also have whom? 13 MS. EDWARDS: A representative from Game, Fish & 14 Parks.

15 CHAIRMAN HANSON: With whooping cranes -- thank 16 you.

17 Commissioner Fiegen, I cannot tell you how much 18 adrenaline and excitement I have when I hear you as such 19 a strong proponent for wildlife and leks.

Staff, I am prepared to make motions to add all 21 of the recommendations, leks, shadow flicker, and 22 decommissioning, and you gave us some -- in relationship 23 to the Staff's suggestions. And I am wondering --

24 You were talking about decommissioning. It 25 sounded as if you were backing off on some of the

21

22

23

24

25

(Discussion off the record.)

You're adopting Exhibit A61, and then you're

then we'll take a break for Cheri and for the rest of us

adding a variety of amendments to that. And I assume

so that we can do some digesting here.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I have a question on this, and

20

21

22

23

24

25

expert.

up.

Mr. Thurber and Mr. Kearney and then certainly our panel

MS. EDWARDS: Mr. Thurber is going to look it

It was from docket EL18-053 is where that

discussion took place. So Mr. Thurber is just going to

45 1 these are all of the amendments that --2 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Well, all except for the 3 reference this morning to a change needed in No. 12. And 4 I am prepared to add that when we have discussion. 5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. We will take a 6 break to 10:30. Excuse me --7 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Does he want to speak at 8 all on some of the changes that he has made, or do you 9 want us to digest it? I don't know if you want to do a 10 recap, or should we just go through it? 11 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I'm assuming we probably 12 need to make sure it's out on the website before I do any 13 discussion. 14 MS. EDWARDS: It is. COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. I'm told it's there

15 16 so --

CHAIRMAN HANSON: It just arrived. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I don't really want to do discussion necessarily. I just thought it would be easy to do a one-minute recap. But that's okay. I'll just start studving.

22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, unless you have some 23 questions that you want to ask. 24

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I have no idea yet. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. We'll be off the record

for a moment.

17

18

19

20

21

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

(Discussion off the record.)

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Nelson, on the description that you have regarding decommissioning information, is this identical to what the Staff's recommendation is, or is this --

COMMISSIONER NELSON: No. There are two changes in this from the decommissioning provisions that we have utilized in the past.

The first change is in the first line where I have changed 60 days to 30 days, and that is at the Applicant's request. And I think Staff did an adequate job of explaining that within those 30 days Staff has to have time to review the escrow agreement and get it in front of us for approval. And so the burden, if we go to 30 days, is on the Applicant to make sure that can all happen. I'm willing to accommodate them on that.

The second change is found under Sub F. In another hearing that we had very recently there was concern about bank stability. And in an effort to make sure that these funds are being put into banks that are regulated within the State of South Dakota I've made a change from what we have typically had here, and this change says that the escrow account agent shall be a South Dakota chartered state bank or a nationally

chartered bank with an office located in South Dakota. And that change means that the bank must then be regulated by South Dakota regulators as opposed to a regulator in another state or federal bank regulators. CHAIRMAN HANSON: And on the first portion,

6 No. 1, for simplicity, does that include all of these 7 suggestions from Attorney Cremer?

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

14

15

46

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I believe A, B, C, and D I 9 believe the answer is yes. And she is shaking her head 10 yes.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Those are mostly typos except for C, which is obviously a replacement utilizing the new Exhibit A63, which is the sound measurement protocol.

16 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Correct. Okay. Thank you. 17 Any further questions on this motion at this 18 juncture?

19 We will take a -- let's do a 15-minute. And 20 that clock is not correct. So it will be 11:30 when we 21

22 (A short recess is taken.) 23 CHAIRMAN HANSON: We will resume the hearing in 24 Docket EL19-003. Where we left was a motion by Nelson to

48

25 adopt Exhibit A61 with amendments that had been -- well,

I'll let you explain it. It is far easier to follow than

2 what it appears when it first landed on our desks. 3

Mr. Nelson. Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: If I might, I will readily admit that Mr. Ganje makes a very valid point in that this particular docket has been probably one of the most difficult for us to get a firm understanding of where particular turbines are going to be, what the impacts are going to be on specific locations. It's been a grind to get where we are today.

That said, I can say today that I do have a good understanding of where the turbines are going to be, what the impacts are going to be to the various areas of the proposed project.

As talked about, we still have these FAA determinations. But we also understand if those turbines aren't used, we know where the alternative turbines are.

One of the other things, and Staff already made note of this, but the fact that the Applicant agreed to drop those seven turbines and the particular impact that that had on at least two of the Intervenors, a very positive impact on the noise level of the residences of two of the Intervenors I think is substantial. And having considered all of that and considered all of the evidence that's been presented, I believe that the

Applicant has met the burden required in state law to obtain a permit.

And as I say in every one of these hearings and meetings, it is not for us to make a judgment on whether wind energy is good or bad, but it is our job to make a judgment on whether or not the Applicant has met the burden in state law. And I believe they do, provided that the conditions I've proposed are attached to the permit. And let me speak just briefly to those.

I am proposing we adopt A6-1, which is the conditions that both Staff and the company agreed to, with some very minor grammatical amendments to those.

Also including the amendment to No. 12 that was talked about here today requiring that document to be filed prior to construction. And then adding the decommissioning escrow account so that we are assured that there will be proper funds available at the time of decommissioning and that those funds will be properly taken care of between now and then.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I'd be happy to answer any questions on the motion, if there are any.

22 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

23 The motion is, in essence, easily understood 24 from the standpoint of what it accomplishes. I think 25

everyone has spent a considerable amount of time on

1 Exhibit A61 and the first changes, just eliminating not

2 for -- the second is paragraph 22, the first sentence,

3 deleting the word "adjustment" and making a correction

4 there.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Most of us were anticipating the motion deleting paragraph 26 and supplanting it with A63. And paragraph 29A, delete 9-1. Make it accurate as 13.1.2. So we appreciate that very much.

And I was prepared to make a motion as well pertaining to the decommissioning so I appreciate what you did there.

12 The escrow account, making that specific the way 13 that you do is appreciated as well.

14 30 days, I struggle with. I think that our 15 process that we've had in the past being 60 days, I was 16 anticipating making a compromise of 45, but I'm still 17 teetering towards 60 days because I really struggle with 18 the amount of time -- we all know how much our Staff has 19 to do, and somewhat that's -- it just makes sense to 20 continue to be -- to have all of our work synchronized 21 there.

22 I will -- we have a motion to amend, and I think 23 the first thing to do is to -- well, we made it a main 24 motion.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Correct. It is a main

1 motion at this point.

2 CHAIRMAN HANSON: So we don't -- even though it 3 amends A61, it is a main motion.

4 So at this time I was going to make a motion on 5 leks. However --

6 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: You can make it.

7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen is going 8 to make it.

9 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Well, I will if you don't. 10 Counting on you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: You sounded passionate. I thought you would pursue it.

If not, then I will pursue one other small motion first, and that's what I had discussed earlier on the Exhibit A63 that you show in 1C in deleting paragraph 26 and replacing it with Exhibit A63.

On Subsection B I would -- at the very last sentence, the last word is "low." I would strike "low" and insert "lower than full power." And that is the motion to amend. Since I discussed it earlier, I won't discuss it at this time.

22 MR. KEARNEY: This is Darren for Staff. Can I 23 interject here for a second?

24 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes. Absolutely, Darren. 25 Thank you.

50

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. KEARNEY: For that sound condition, the wind speed is referenced in Subpart E of the condition. And

3 so essentially I think what you're looking for where you

4 quantify what "low" means can be found in subpart E2.

5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: E2 you say?

6 MR. KEARNEY: Yes. Of the sound condition.

7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: As Caesar said, "et tu?"

8 Discard those samples measured with 10-minute 9 average ground wind speeds greater than 5. Is greater

than 5.

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

11 MR. KEARNEY: So what Subpart B says is that if 12 you don't get enough readings when the ground level wind 13 speed is 5 meters per second or less and the wind 14 turbines are operating at full output, then you use the 15 10 on/off test, which the wind speed doesn't really

16 matter because you're doing an on/off turbine shutdown 17 test.

So if you want to quantify the wind speed in the last sentence of Subpart B, it would be the results from these tests may be used to make up for any shortfall in collecting 10 samples measured when the ground level wind speed is less than 5 meters per second. Less than or equal to 5 meters per second.

24 CHAIRMAN HANSON: So you're suggesting that 25 verbiage at the end of B?

```
53
 1
              MR. KEARNEY: Correct. If you want to amend
 2
    Subpart B to specify what low means.
 3
             Low means less than or equal to 5 meters per
 4
    second.
 5
             COMMISSIONER NELSON: And, Darren, would you
 6
    also include that being during a 10-minute average
 7
    ground -- 10-minute average ground speed?
 8
              MR. KEARNEY: Yeah. Because then it would tie
 9
    it in to what Subpart E says. So yes.
10
             CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, Darren, I would like you
11
    to repeat how you would -- I have it in my mind, but I'd
12
    like you to repeat what would be placed at the end of I
13
    believe it's -- starts with "ground level wind speed is."
14
              MR. KEARNEY: So I would strike "ground level
15
    wind speed is low," and then put in "10-minute average
16
    ground wind speed is less than or equal to 5 meters per
17
    second."
18
             CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you very much, Darren.
19
    Appreciate your participation here.
20
             That is my motion.
21
             MR. KEARNEY: Sorry. I just wanted to make sure
22
```

include A63 and Exhibit A61; correct? And then amend --54 CHAIRMAN HANSON: A63 is already part of the

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So your motion is to

2 main motion. 3 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Oh, okay. 4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: This is simply to amend B. 5 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Oh, I see it. I see. I'm 6 sorry. Thank you for clarifying that. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Sure. Any other question on 8 the motion? 9 Hearing none, this is on the motion to amend the 10

that makes sense to everybody, what I just stated.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Any questions?

11 Commissioner Nelson.

care of with the main motion.

main motion.

12 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Aye. 13

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen.

14 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.

15 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. The motion

16 carries.

23

24

25

23

24

25

1

17 Thank you, Staff, for helping out with that.

18 Appreciate it.

19 Commissioner Fiegen, do you have one? I have 20 others.

21 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I'm just going to yield to 22 the Chairman right now, and then we'll see.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Well, I won't need to do my decommissioning one because that has been taken

I will turn to discussion on the leks. I need to make the motion before I discuss it. So in Staff's posthearing brief on EL19-003 they discuss leks, and on page 8 they show Findings of Fact and suggest conditions under Exhibit S7. And I move to include those paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4 in the Findings of Fact and the -- and to incorporate the conclusions in the proposed permit -- in the permit conditions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

25

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I would also add that -- I have to explain this as I do it. The discussion pertaining to -- well, I'll just state that I also intend to -- I do not have it in writing, that the -- the study would be on the ground, that it would be an independent study, and it would be approved by the Commission. And I will discuss the motion then.

16 The reason I added the independent study on the 17 ground approved by the Commission is that during the 18 presentation and questions, the answers by the folks on 19 the phone from the Applicant, I felt an uneasiness from 20 the standpoint of having -- I certainly don't think we 21 should be using helicopters or airplanes for this sort of 22 thing, and I had an uneasiness about their devotion to 23 doing it properly. So I want to have the Commission make 24 the -- make that decision.

I found all of the brief by Staff to be

compelling: The decommissioning, the leks, the entirety 1

2 of it. The record clearly shows -- I'm not going to read

3 everything that the Commission -- or at that time Staff

wrote, but as one goes through it, they, I think, refuted 4

5 everything that Crowned Ridge had testified to from the

6 standpoint of positions that they had in opposition.

The fact that the Applicant is unaware of empirical peer-reviewed data that was orally argued and was part of their testimony is actually -- actually supports and gives reason to pursue this.

There's a tremendous opportunity to collect important scientific data. We have an exceptional and unique opportunity here to provide scientific information for a wildlife study. The fact that we don't have that information clearly says that if you have that opportunity to do it, we should pursue it, and information here could be helpful for future projects in South Dakota and elsewhere across the -- certainly across the United States, the Plains and I'm not certain where else. But certainly it would be valuable information.

It's not a new concept, as argued by Staff, to Crowned Ridge because they're already doing some similar bat mortality. But I would not have them pursue this. I would have it an independent study. And certainly the testimony that was provided shows that it's not expensive

24

25

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So do you mind if I ask Staff the question? CHAIRMAN HANSON: Please.

closely with Game, Fish & Parks in their recommendations.

4 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So, Staff, are you 5 comfortable that if these surveys show an issue with 6 disturbance of leks, that the Applicant is required to 7 work with GF&P?

But if you see something that --

24

25

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. EDWARDS: I can defer to Mr. Kearney on this, but it is my understanding that the way the condition is written as of now if there was a disturbance found, there is not mitigation. It's just use of that information for other projects going forward.

13 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So I'm going to ask a 14 question to Mr. Kearney.

So does Staff have some language we could use after maybe we vote on this that there could be some mitigation -- a process of mitigation working with GF&P? I think I heard the Applicant say, although it's not their preference, if the Commission states in their permit that they need to work with GF&P about these lek surveys and trying to have mitigation, they would be willing to. I don't have language for that so --

23 Just for the record, Darren, your attorney, 24 Kristen Edwards, is talking to the Applicant right now.

25 So just hang on, Mr. Kearney. I know what it's like 1 it as part of your motion.

say yes or no.

2 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. Thank you. 3 Further discussion. Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I'll say yes. I'll have

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I'm going to resist this

5

motion. And I want to explain why.

6 I think we all agree there is a dire need for 7 scientific information in this area. Unfortunately, the 8 plan that has been laid out does not get us scientific 9 information.

If you'll remember during the hearing, I asked Mr. Kirschenmann a key question, and that question was unless you also at the same time analyze a control, you have no idea what the information you've gathered through this lek survey is telling you. Okay. So let me explain that.

They do a lek survey, and they don't find grouse. Do we make an assumption that we don't find grouse because of the wind turbines? Or is there some other reason? And unless you have a control in your study, you don't know the answer to that question. All you know is there aren't grouse there.

And I'm very uncomfortable with us mandating a study that does not get us solid, scientific information. And, particularly, if we are then going to use that information to require the Applicant to do some kind of

7-9-19 (EL19-003)

mitigation when we don't even know what caused the grouse to not be there.

And so if we're going to go down this path, we've got to make sure that this study actually gets us scientific information, and the only way to do that is require that they also study control areas which are going to be at this point outside of their project area. And how they get access to that, I have no idea. I mean, this is -- this is a big deal, and I don't think that we can answer those particular questions today.

Secondly, if you look at where those leks are located, the two leks that are on nonparticipant property are a mile away from the nearest turbine. They've met the GF&P requirement. The remainder of the leks are on participant property. And I guess I have some faith in those landowners to make their own decisions whether or not they were comfortable having turbines close to the leks on their property or not. But I think the most important point is in the case of the leks on nonparticipant property, they're a mile away.

And so while, again, we need solid scientific information in this area, we're begging for it, this motion I don't believe gets us there.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. I would take exception to the fact that it would take absolutely no

information and I'll put my faith in an independent company that studies it and provides us with their recommendation on how to study it. I think at this juncture it's premature to assume that there would be absolutely nothing of information or value to receive from it. And I think that Crowned Ridge's testimony itself refuted their own testimony and positions on those issues.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: If I could just ask the simple question. So if they do the survey and they show that the grouse aren't there, how do you know what caused that without having a control in your survey?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: As I said, I'll place faith in an independent, professional group that will make the study, and they will provide their -- there's many situations where you go into it not knowing exactly how they're going to accomplish it, and I'll put my faith in them.

Any further discussion on the motion to amend?
COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you for the
discussion from my fellow Commissioners. It has really
been a frustrating Application.

When we had such a limited time of six months
and a very complicated Application it didn't allow us a
lot of flexibility to do some things that I wanted to do.

If this would have been a year, the Staff might have had
 more time to work with you to figure some of this stuff
 out, but we didn't.

And so today I have to put my confidence in the
South Dakota Game, Fish & Parks. They testified on this
issue. They briefed me on the issue. I have to put my
confidence in their knowledge of the wildlife of

8 South Dakota. So I'm going to support the motion.

Is it perfect? No. With the amendment of the mitigation that you will need to also work with Game,
Fish & Parks if there's things seen, that's what I needed to see today. And without that, I don't know if I would have supported it.

So I appreciate the amendment to the amendment, and I'll be supported it.

16 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Further discussion on the17 motion to amend?

18 Hearing none, on the motion, Commissioner19 Nelson.

20 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Nay.

21 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen.22 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.

23 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. The motion

carries.

Brings us back to the main motion as amended.

1 Any further motions to amend?

On the main motion as amended, item 42 states
500 feet from any surrounding building. I would like to
be consistent with our other dockets where we arrived at
558 feet. I know that this is something that will give
us some opportunity for discussion so I move to amend to
558 feet.

Discussion on the motion.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I just have a question on **10** the 558.

So that was another docket, and I can't remember, was that Deuel Harvest?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Correct.

14 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. And refresh me on 15 my memory because we've had so many other wind dockets. 16 Were there others? And how did we come up with that 558

17 at Deuel Harvest? Or maybe the Staff can refresh my18 memory.

•

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Thurber.

20 MR. THURBER: Thank you.

In Deuel Harvest there was some manufacturer recommendations that we adopted. Subsequently, we got additional information from the manufacturer that -- a better definition of what those public use areas was.

And General Electric stated that a public use area isn't

7-9-19 (EL19-003)

15 work. 16 CHAIRMAN HANSON: I'll turn to Staff. Are you 17 comfortable too? 18 Okav.

19 All right. That's all the motions to amend that 20 I have. 21 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, if I can ask 22 the Staff a couple of questions on some recommendations

23 that they had possibly before we permit. 24 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Certainly. 25 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And one of the guestions

recommendation on page 10 at the bottom of the page. And 16 the Findings of Fact also to be included. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Discussion on the motion. 18 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I personally think it's a 19 pretty easy condition for the Applicant to adhere to. It 20 just gives us knowledge on what's happening there and 21 gets us an opportunity to understand if you have a waiver 22 or what your mitigation plan is. So I commend Staff for 23 bringing that to our attention in the brief. CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

24 25 Further discussion on the motion. Commissioner

7-9-19 (EL19-003)

17 And our Staff, as overworked as they are, have 18 to go through every "I" and -- people just don't realize 19 how many -- the volume of these cases and how much they 20 have to go through, and yet they go through several at 21 the same time, along with all the other 160 dockets that 22 we have every year.

So I always want to have more time to work with them. I'm glad that we will have that in the future. At

72

25 the same time I look at these, and I struggle with the

70

23

24

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Nothing further.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Nelson.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Commissioner Fiegen.

MR. MURPHY: I totally understand, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. Well, I hear your

On the motion to amend, Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Aye.

that and know that today.

5 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. Motion

8 carries.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

11

15

16

18

signed.

Nelson.

question in this regard?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Certainly.

participant. Is there not waiver language in their

MR. MURPHY: You and I are on the same

easement agreement dealing with this?

is yes. If it's the Cattle Ridge that we were

purchasing, I'm not sure the language is as clear.

that we draft is very clear that it is waived, but I

easements this individual signed or the options they

answer, but that leaves me with even greater puzzlement because obviously this has been an issue that's hanging

out there and I'm puzzled you wouldn't have researched

9 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I have one more question,

10 when you're ready.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Go ahead, please.

12 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So, Staff, also you stated

in No. 12, the cultural study plan prior to construction. 13

Have we addressed that yet? 14

MS. EDWARDS: I believe Commissioner Nelson did.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Great. I just

17 wanted to make sure.

Those are my questions, Mr. Chairman.

19 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Is there any further

20 amendments at this time?

21 Hearing none, is there discussion?

22 I have never been completely comfortable with

23 any of our wind dockets, the orders, the final. There's

24 always -- regardless of what we do, there's always some

25 effect on other property owners and the challenges that 1 challenges of shadow flicker and potential ice throw and

2 the aesthetics and sound and all of those things, and I

3 really truly believe that we come up with a good product,

4 a very good product from the standpoint of what we --

5 what we deal with.

6 And yet there's always going to be those folks 7 who are experiencing something undesirable themselves.

8 But the fact is the law is the law, and we've, I think,

9 done an admirable job of putting it together so that

10 everyone's protected. And, frankly, it's a renewable

11 energy that provides a lot of opportunities for our

12 country, and it does -- as has been presented, a real

13 opportunity for financial gain for folks in the ag

14 community that are struggling at times.

15 So I commend my fellow Commissioners for the 16 passion that they put into these. I know they work very 17 hard. I've seen them late at night working on them. And 18 our Staff as well.

19 So thank you to the Applicant, the Intervenors, 20 and to our Staff for all of what they have put into 21 this.

22 Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Are you speaking?

24 I just didn't want you voting yet.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I want to address one of

23

	73		75
1	Mr. Ganje's arguments that he made today. And he	1	our conditions.
2	indicated that we should not rely upon the projections	2	Thank you.
3	that the company submitted in regard to shadow flicker	3	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.
4	and sound.	4	At this time if there's no further discussion,
5	And I guess I'd make two statements in regard to	5	this is a vote on the main motion as amended.
6	that. First, it's what's been submitted in the record.	6	Commissioner Nelson.
7	Certainly Intervenors could have hired their own expert	7	COMMISSIONER NELSON: Aye.
8	and done their own analysis of both of those, and if they	8	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
9	felt that what the company gave us was not accurate, they	9	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
10	could have given us something from their experts. But we	10	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. The motion
11	don't have that.	11	carries. Thank you all. Travel safe.
12	But, even more importantly, even if those	12	(The proceeding is concluded at 12:20 p.m.)
13	even if Mr. Ganje is right and those estimates are not	13	
14	accurate, that does not negate the fact that the	14	
15	Applicant must meet the requirements of the shadow	15	
16	flicker and the sound limitations. I mean, they take a	16	
17	gamble that their estimates are right, and if they	17	
18	aren't, then there's going to be mitigation required down	18	
19	the road.	19	
20	And I think that's important for all to	20	
21	remember, that at the end of the day the operational	21	
22	aspects of this project have to meet the requirements	22	
23	that we and the counties have established.	23	
24	CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen.	24	
25	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. I think my	25	
	74	4	76
1	fellow Commissioners have made a lot of great statements	1 2	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA) :SS CERTIFICATE
2	today.	3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
3	This has been a tricky application because of	4	
4	the footprint. The footprint is a jigsaw puzzle, and so,	5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
5	therefore, it encompasses a lot of different people and	6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
6 7	there are impacts. And so this one has been more difficult than a rectangle that we have seen before.	8	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota: DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
8	Like Commissioner Hanson said, everything is	9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
9	based on facts and evidence. We are quasi-judicial	10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 9th day of July,
10	instead of legislative.	11	2019, and that the attached is a true and correct
11	We have addressed I believe today working	12 13	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
12	together as three and asking separate questions of other	14	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 25th day of July, 2019.
13	people, we have addressed a lot of concerns that have	15	34,7, 20131
14	been brought to our attention during the evidentiary	16	
15	hearing and the briefs. So that was my goal today at the	17	
16	end of the day that we can have addressed it so it's a	40	/s/ Cheri McComsey Wittler
17	combination that works for the people in that community.	18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
18	I appreciate the turbines that have been moved	19	Registered Professional Reporter
19	because most of the turbines that have been moved there's		Certified Realtime Reporter
20	been less impact for our Intervenors, and I certainly	20	
21	appreciate that.	24	
22	So thank you, fellow Commissioners, for working	21 22	
23	today. We don't get to talk behind closed doors. We	23	
24	have to do it all in public. And we've worked hard	24	
25	today, I think, to have addressed many of the issues in	25	

•	3	9-1 [1] - 50:7	acres [1] - 14:23	agreements [2] - 1
		90 [1] - 59:10	actions [1] - 23:22	13:17, 13:19
'07 [1] - 35:4	3 [3] - 3:11, 55:6,	9:45 [2] - 1:8, 2:5	activity [1] - 5:14	agrees [2] - 11:15,
'08 [1] - 35:5	57:20	9th [2] - 2:4, 76:10	actual [1] - 4:2	43:22
	30 [17] - 9:3, 9:8,		Adam [1] - 1:13	ahead [2] - 59:6, 70:11
1	11:10, 19:14, 19:23,	A	add [9] - 40:20, 41:17,	air [2] - 25:5, 33:24
	20:21, 41:9, 46:11,		44:5, 45:4, 55:9,	aircraft [1] - 35:14
/s [1] - 76:17	46:13, 46:16, 50:14,	a.m [2] - 1:8, 2:5	59:12, 67:13, 67:18,	airplane [1] - 33:25
	65:11, 65:12, 65:13,	A55 [1] - 13:11	67:20	airplanes [1] - 55:21
1	66:6, 66:8, 66:12	A57 [1] - 26:15	added [2] - 22:15,	alert [1] - 25:17
1 [5] - 4:22, 5:11, 19:9,	32 [1] - 7:10	A59 [1] - 26:13	55:16	allow [1] - 62:24
47:6, 55:6	33 [1] - 7: 1 7	A6-1 [1] - 49:10	adding [4] - 36:16,	allowed [3] - 11:9,
10 [21] - 3:10, 4:9,	36 [1] - 67:2	A61 [5] - 44:24, 47:25,	44:25, 49:15, 57:18	15:8, 15:15
4:10, 12:13, 16:16,	37 [3] - 9:4, 19:4,	50:1, 51:3, 53:25	addition [1] - 6:3	alternate [3] - 15:2,
17:22, 19:4, 20:17,	20:19	A63 [9] - 41:14, 41:17,	additional [3] - 24:10,	27:17, 43:11
41:21, 42:17, 52:15,		41:19, 47:14, 50:6,	64:23, 65:4	alternates [3] - 27:15,
52:21, 67:10, 67:11,	4	51:15, 51:16, 53:25,	address [4] - 6:23,	27:16, 27:23
67:24, 68:11, 68:15,	4 m 22.40 EE.0	54:1	8:3, 15:17, 72:25	alternative [3] - 10:2,
69:5	4 [3] - 23:10, 55:6, 57:20	abided [1] - 33:7	addressed [8] - 6:22,	21:18, 48:17
10-minute [4] - 52:8,	40 [5] - 9:18, 9:24,	ability [2] - 13:22,	6:24, 7:1, 70:14,	ambiguous [2] -
53:6, 53:7, 53:15		42:12	74:11, 74:13, 74:16,	41:24, 43:16
10:30 [1] - 45:6	10:20, 17:21, 17:24	able [2] - 12:13, 12:20	74:25	amend [13] - 50:22,
11 [2] - 19:12, 23:14	42 [1] - 64:2	above -entitled [2] -	adequate [2] - 18:15,	51:20, 53:1, 53:25,
11:30 [1] - 47:20	44.8 [1] - 26:23	2:2, 76:10	46:12	54:4, 54:9, 62:19,
12 [7] - 7:25, 11:16,	45 [4] - 8:20, 18:2,	absence [2] - 39:11,	adequately [1] - 15:3	63:17, 64:1, 64:6,
22:3, 22:13, 45:3,	26:14, 50:16	39:23	adhere [3] - 5:11,	66:19, 68:14, 70:3
49:13, 70:13	46.5 [1] - 26:16	absent [1] - 67:22	21:15, 68:19	amended [4] - 63:25,
12:20 [1] - 75:12	49-41 B [1] - 4:17	absolutely [3] - 51:24,	adjustment [1] - 50:3	64:2, 68:3, 75:5
13.1.2 [1] - 50:7	49-41 B-22 [3] - 4:16,	61:25, 62:5	administrative [1] -	amendment [7] -
14 [1] - 43:8	4:18, 5:19	accept [1] - 13:5	13:25	49:13, 59:13, 59:17,
15 [1] - 21:14	49-41 B-25 [2] - 3:8,	accepted [1] - 13:3	admirable [1] - 72:9	59:20, 63:9, 63:14
15,000 [1] - 14:23	44:12	access [3] - 33:24,	admit [1] - 48:5	amendments [6] -
15-minute [1] - 47:19	E	34:1, 61:8	adopt [3] - 10:1,	44:5, 44:25, 45:1,
16 [8] - 15:19, 15:22,	5	accidental [1] - 10:19	47:25, 49:10	47:25, 49:12, 70:20
15:23, 16:2, 16:21,	5 [7] - 52:9, 52:10,	accommodate [1] -	adopted [1] - 64:22	amends [1] - 51:3
17:2, 17:19, 19:9	52:13, 52:22, 52:23,	46:17	adopting [1] - 44:24	American [1] - 6:2
160 [1] - 71:21	53:3, 53:16	accomplish [1] -	adrenaline [1] - 40:18	amount [3] - 49:25,
17 [1] - 23:10	50 [2] - 8:21, 18:2	62:17	advocated [1] - 21:10	50:18, 71:13
1C [1] - 51:15	500 [2] - 2:3, 64:3	accomplished [1] -	advocates [1] - 21:17	amounts [1] - 15:1
	558 [5] - 64:5, 64:7,	21:1	aesthetics [1] - 72:2	analysis [1] - 73:8
2	64:10, 64:16, 65:9	accomplishes [1] -	affected [2] - 13:4,	analysts [1] - 67:17
		49:24	71:5	analyze [1] - 60:12
2 [1] - 55:6	6	accordance [1] -	affecting [1] - 16:23	analyzed [1] - 15:3
2007 [1] - 35:9		10:11	afforded [1] - 19:8	AND [1] - 1:5
2007 -2008 [1] - 34:22	6 [1] - 29:14	account [12] - 19:3,	ag [1] - 72:13	annually [2] - 9:3, 9:8
2008 [1] - 35:9	60 [10] - 11:10, 19:14,	19:7, 19:8, 19:22,	agencies [3] - 6:17,	answer [14] - 24:2,
2016 [1] - 35:6	19:17, 41:8, 46:11,	20:4, 20:16, 23:2,	6:18, 23:13	24:22, 26:20, 28:6,
2019 [4] - 1:7, 2:4,	50:15, 50:17, 65:12,	35:18, 46:24, 49:16,	agency [1] - 40:2	31:13, 31:14, 41:15,
76:11, 76:14	65:13, 65:20	50:12, 65:15 accounted [1] - 30:6	agency 's [1] - 34:6	47:9, 49:20, 59:12,
213 [1] - 1:24	7	accounted [1] - 30.6	agenda [1] - 66:14	60:20, 61:10, 69:10,
22 [1] - 50:2	7	10:23	agent [1] - 46:24	69:20
24 [3] - 5:8, 8:3, 10:13	7 [2] - 8:3, 13:20	accumulative [1] -	agree [3] - 43:21,	answering [1] - 40:10
25 [1] - 15:1		27:23	57:5, 60:6	answers [1] - 55:18
25-year [1] - 21:14	8	accurate [3] - 50:7,	agreeable [1] - 59:8	anticipating [2] - 50:5,
250 [1] - 7:25		73:9, 73:14	agreed [8] - 3:9, 4:21,	50:16
25th [1] - 76:13	8 [2] - 8:3, 55:4	acknowledge [1] -	8:2, 11:6, 26:22,	anyway [3] - 16:6,
26 [4] - 10:1, 41:18,		3:14	41:5, 48:19, 49:11	26:6, 43:15
50:6, 51:16	9	Acquisition [1] -	agreement [5] - 11:7,	apologies [1] - 31:19
29 A [1] - 50:7	9 [1] - 1 :7	10:14	11:9, 30:22, 46:14,	appearing [3] - 1:20,
	J [1] - 1.7		69:7	1:21, 1:23

apple [1] - 32:17 approvals [2] - 5:4, 23:9, 23:15, 31:24, **Bill** [1] - 19:9 14:23, 15:4, 23:8, applicable [6] - 4:17, 37:15, 38:2, 38:8, biological [1] - 15:3 23:11, 23:15, 28:4, 5.9 4:19, 4:24, 5:1, 5:9, 38:14 28:7, 28:23, 69:11 approved [4] - 16:17, biologist [1] - 15:11 5.18 21:5, 55:14, 55:17 aviation [4] - 29:9, bird [6] - 36:23, 36:24, caused [2] - 61:1, **Applicant** [67] - 3:11, April [1] - 33:17 32:7, 32:9, 32:12 37:15, 37:19, 38:15, 62:11 4:8, 7:6, 7:9, 7:12, avoidance [3] - 5:24, certain [11] - 5:4, 10:3, archeologist [1] -57:14 7:17, 8:18, 10:7, 38:21, 39:25 32:25, 33:7, 36:8, 15:10 Bird [1] - 7:18 36:25, 39:15, 56:19, 10:12, 10:15, 10:20, area [27] - 5:23, 6:6, **aware** [1] - 65:5 birds [2] - 33:20, 11:8, 11:9, 13:18, 7:6, 8:15, 15:1, aye [11] - 54:12, 54:14, 35:16 68:5, 71:7 14:1, 14:8, 14:16, 54:15, 63:22, 63:23, **certainly** [14] - 34:16, 23:22, 23:25, 24:2, bite [1] - 32:17 15:4, 15:19, 15:22, 39:5, 39:14, 39:25, 70:4, 70:6, 70:7, 24:16, 24:20, 25:15, blades [1] - 10:22 15:25, 16:1, 16:7, 28:9, 28:10, 28:12, 75:7, 75:9, 75:10 42:20, 44:18, 55:20, **bottom** [2] - 68:11, 16:19, 17:5, 17:16, 56:18, 56:20, 56:24, 28:24, 29:2, 29:4, 68:15 17:22, 18:6, 18:9, 29:18, 30:10, 30:13, В 66:24, 69:4, 73:7, **boulevard** [1] - 69:10 19:11, 19:13, 21:9, 35:12, 35:15, 35:20, 74:20 break [2] - 44:22, 45:6 backed [1] - 41:3 21:22, 21:24, 22:18, CERTIFICATE [1] -60:7, 61:7, 61:22, breeding [2] - 30:22, **backing** [1] - 40:25 28:11, 29:9, 30:24, 76.2 64:25 35:25 **bad** [3] - 12:25, 13:5, 32:10, 32:16, 34:10, Certified [2] - 76:6, areas [5] - 15:14, 19:3, Brian [2] - 1:19, 22:19 49.5 34:17, 35:7, 37:1, 76:19 48:13, 61:6, 64:24 brief [15] - 8:11, 12:9, Baker [2] - 14:6, 14:9 37:7, 37:18, 37:25, argue [2] - 5:3, 9:17 **CERTIFY** [1] - 76:8 19:2, 19:10, 19:12, **balance** [1] - 71:1 43:22, 44:18, 46:16, argued [2] - 56:8, cetera [1] - 28:13 19:13, 22:20, 23:10, 48:19, 49:1, 49:6, **bald** [1] - 29:16 56:21 CHAIRMAN [76] -32:11, 55:3, 55:25, 55:19, 56:7, 58:6, bank [6] - 20:8, 46:20, arguing [1] - 17:17 1:10, 1:10, 3:1, 67:20, 68:1, 68:4, 46:25, 47:1, 47:2, 58:18, 58:24, 59:3, argument [2] - 12:2, 11:23, 12:3, 18:22, 68:23 47:4 59:6, 60:25, 67:8, 22:17, 24:24, 27:7, 23:3 briefed [1] - 63:6 banks [2] - 20:5, 46:21 68:19, 69:2, 71:14, 40:12, 40:15, 41:13, arguments [3] - 6:20, briefly [1] - 49:9 72:19, 73:15 base [1] - 71:10 30:25, 73:1 42:7, 42:19, 43:16, briefs [3] - 20:25, Applicant 's [8] - 3:16, based [7] - 19:11, 43:23, 44:7, 44:21, arrived [2] - 45:17, 32:10, 74:15 13:10, 14:6, 14:25, 20:24, 30:1, 30:15, 45:5, 45:17, 45:22, 64:4 bring [3] - 31:12, 36:6, 16:25, 18:2, 22:5, 65:3, 71:9, 74:9 45:25, 46:3, 47:5, aspects [1] - 73:22 65:25 46:12 basis [1] - 13:6 47:11, 47:16, 47:23, asserted [1] - 15:12 **bringing** [1] - 68:23 **Applicants** [2] - 3:10, Bat [1] - 7:18 49:22, 51:2, 51:7, assessed [1] - 8:7 **brings** [1] - 63:25 41.12 **bat** [7] - 7:11, 36:23, 51:11, 51:24, 52:5, associated [1] - 39:20 **Brittany** [1] - 1:16 application [2] -36:24, 37:15, 37:19, 52:7, 52:24, 53:10, assume [4] - 32:23, brought [3] - 22:5, 62:22, 74:3 56:23, 57:14 53:18, 53:23, 54:1, 41:16, 44:25, 62:4 57:17, 74:14 APPLICATION [1] -Baucom [1] - 1:17 54:4, 54:7, 54:13, assuming [1] - 45:11 **buffer** [2] - 36:13, 1:4 **become** [1] - 32:13 54:15, 54:23, 57:20, assumption [1] -36:14 **Application** [19] - 3:2, **BEFORE** [1] - 1:9 58:3, 59:15, 59:19, 60:17 **building** [1] - 64:3 5:8, 6:23, 7:15, 8:5, 59:22, 60:2, 61:24, begging [1] - 61:22 assumptions [1] burden [7] - 4:15, 14:4, 14:17, 14:23, 62:13, 63:16, 63:21, beginning [1] - 11:24 9:10 5:17, 8:12, 11:18, 18:11, 18:14, 18:16, 63:23, 64:13, 64:19, behalf [6] - 1:20, 1:21, assured [1] - 49:16 46:15, 49:1, 49:7 18:20, 23:14, 23:16, 65:5, 65:16, 66:6, 1:23, 4:13, 12:6, attached [3] - 49:8, buy [1] - 67:15 28:25, 29:2, 32:4, 66:16, 66:24, 68:8, 22:19 67:21, 76:11 **BY** [1] - 1:4 38:19, 62:24 68:17, 68:24, 69:4, behaving [1] - 39:21 Attachment [2] - 8:5, 69:25, 70:2, 70:5, applying [1] - 8:24 behavior [1] - 38:21 8.11 C 70:7, 70:11, 70:19, **appointed** [1] - 76:8 behind [2] - 67:7, attempt [1] - 3:12 73:24, 75:3, 75:8, appreciate [10] -74:23 C37 [1] - 26:21 attention [4] - 18:24, 75:10 22:20, 50:8, 50:10, belong [1] - 16:10 Caesar [1] - 52:7 22:6, 68:23, 74:14 Chairman [12] - 4:11, 53:19, 54:18, 63:14, below [2] - 20:21, cannot [4] - 16:24, attorney [2] - 23:19, 65:6, 71:13, 74:18, 27:10, 40:5, 42:3, 26:14 25:14, 32:8, 40:17 58:23 74:21 43:7, 44:6, 49:20, benefit [1] - 20:4 Capitol [2] - 2:3 Attorney [1] - 47:7 54:22, 59:18, 66:21, appreciated [1] benefits [1] - 7:23 care [3] - 49:19, 54:25, available [2] - 28:15, 50:13 68:13, 70:18 best [3] - 18:7, 31:14, 59:17 49:17 **appropriate** [6] - 6:17, challenges [3] -34:21 carries [4] - 54:16, Avenue [1] - 2:3 70:25, 71:15, 72:1 25:17, 34:3, 34:5, **better** [3] - 14:18, 63:24, 70:8, 75:11 average [4] - 52:9, change [8] - 11:16, 34:11, 34:24 31:13, 64:24 53:6, 53:7, 53:15 case [4] - 16:19, 23:5, 45:3, 46:10, appropriately [1] **between** [3] - 37:6, 18:10, 43:17, 61:19 Avian [7] - 29:25, 8:18 46:18, 46:23, 46:24, 49:19, 71:2 cases [1] - 71:19 30:6, 31:21, 31:22, 47:2 approval [4] - 19:24, beyond [1] - 9:22 catch [1] - 71:16 35:12, 35:18, 38:4 changed [1] - 46:11 41:7, 46:15, 65:20 **big** [2] - 29:16, 61:9 Cattle [11] - 6:6, 6:16, avian [9] - 7:11, 15:4,

changes [5] - 36:21,	comments [1] - 22:21	70:9, 70:12, 70:16,	12:8	constructing [1] -
37:3, 45:8, 46:7,	commercial [2] -	72:23, 72:25, 73:25,	condition [26] - 5:22,	33:2
50:1	11:11, 19:24	75:7, 75:9	7:17, 8:14, 19:14,	construction [18] -
changing [1] - 65:13	COMMISSION [3] -	Commissioner 's [1] -	19:18, 21:19, 21:20,	3:6, 5:12, 6:13, 7:16,
Chapter [1] - 4:17	1:1, 1:9, 1:12	33:3	22:6, 22:10, 22:15,	7:19, 7:25, 10:9,
characterize [1] -	Commission [44] -	Commissioners [10] -	30:9, 36:11, 36:15,	22:9, 22:11, 22:14,
38:15	3:4, 3:5, 3:8, 5:15,	4:12, 24:25, 31:3,	40:2, 41:6, 41:11,	25:17, 25:19, 32:24,
chartered [2] - 46:25,	11:12, 11:20, 12:16,	43:25, 62:21, 65:7,	42:9, 52:1, 52:2,	44:10, 49:15, 65:21,
47:1	13:3, 13:20, 14:5,	65:10, 72:15, 74:1,	52:6, 58:10, 67:3,	66:10, 70:13
chat [2] - 65:8, 65:10	14:15, 14:21, 15:6,	74:22	67:5, 67:21, 68:3,	consult [1] - 39:22
check [2] - 20:7, 43:1	15:15, 15:24, 16:3,	commitment [3] -	68:19	consultation [1] - 6:1
Cheri [4] - 1:24, 44:22,	16:18, 16:24, 17:10,	21:6, 26:7, 33:6	Condition [8] - 4:22,	contacts [1] - 20:15
76:17, 76:18	18:13, 18:19, 21:5,	commitments [2] -	5:11, 7:10, 10:1,	contaminants [1] -
CHERI [1] - 76:5	22:24, 23:4, 23:10,	7:9, 33:12	10:20, 11:16, 22:3,	10:19
chooses [1] - 16:20	24:7, 25:16, 25:18,	committed [5] - 5:24,	22:13	contend [1] - 6:4
chose [1] - 21:15	30:16, 32:11, 37:15,	7:7, 7:13, 9:5, 37:25	conditional [1] - 11:3	context [1] - 6:25
CHRIS [1] - 1:10	37:21, 40:1, 44:10,	common [1] - 39:4	conditions [12] - 3:5,	continue [9] - 23:23,
circle [1] - 29:16	55:14, 55:17, 55:23,	communicated [1] -	8:2, 8:10, 10:21,	25:19, 31:8, 32:11,
clarifying [1] - 54:6	56:3, 58:19, 59:10,	23:13	23:25, 44:12, 49:8,	37:25, 38:1, 40:1,
clear [13] - 22:24,	66:1, 66:13, 67:24,	communication [2] -	49:11, 55:4, 55:8,	50:20
24:4, 25:25, 26:10,	69:14	4:2, 8:4	68:14, 75:1	continued [1] - 23:21
28:21, 38:3, 38:16,	commission [1] - 20:1	community [4] - 7:23,	conduct [1] - 7:10	continues [3] - 6:10,
39:2, 39:11, 39:12,	Commission 's [3] -	18:8, 72:14, 74:17	conducted [3] - 6:1,	6:12, 21:9
43:15, 69:12, 69:15	11:7, 19:23, 37:5	companies [1] - 19:18	7:11, 34:3	continuous [1] - 20:15
clearance [1] - 26:2	Commissioner [31] -	company [7] - 19:20,	confer [3] - 28:4,	contradicts [2] -
clearly [2] - 56:2,	12:5, 25:1, 27:9,	19:22, 36:1, 49:11,	28:17, 31:17	17:17, 18:1
56:15	27:12, 28:8, 31:4,	62:2, 73:3, 73:9	confidence [2] - 63:4,	contrary [1] - 9:16
clock [1] - 47:20	40:17, 44:8, 46:3,	compare [1] - 14:11	63:7	Control [1] - 10:14
close [3] - 5:7, 39:18,	48:3, 51:7, 54:11,	compelling [1] - 56:1	confident [4] - 25:21,	control [5] - 10:16,
61:17	54:13, 54:19, 57:2,	completed [3] - 17:7,	28:11, 29:12, 29:17	60:12, 60:19, 61:6,
closed [1] - 74:23	57:9, 60:3, 63:18,	29:25, 30:2	confirm [1] - 35:7	62:12
closely [1] - 57:24	63:21, 68:25, 69:24,	completely [2] -	confused [1] - 13:12	conversations [1] -
codes [1] - 10:12	69:25, 70:2, 70:3,	65:24, 70:22	confusing [2] - 27:14,	42:9
Codified [1] - 3:7	70:5, 70:15, 72:22,	compliance [3] - 5:16,	39:9	coordinate [3] - 6:16,
codify [1] - 10:1	73:24, 74:8, 75:6,	26:14, 27:1	confusion [6] - 29:8,	10:17, 23:22
Codington [9] - 3:3,	75:8 COMMISSIONER [77]	complicated [1] -	33:14, 34:12, 38:2,	copies [1] - 44:16
4:20, 8:23, 9:2, 11:4,		62:24	38:8, 38:17	copy [1] - 44:19
14:10, 14:13, 17:14,	- 1:11, 25:2, 25:11, 25:23, 26:4, 27:2,	complies [2] - 8:22,	consequences [1] -	correct [13] - 26:2,
20:18	25:23, 26:4, 27:2, 27:10, 28:1, 28:16,	9:1	14:12	26:3, 35:4, 36:13,
CODINGTON [1] - 1:5	28:20, 29:6, 30:19,	comply [5] - 4:19,	Conservation [1] -	36:14, 42:18, 47:16,
cognizant [2] - 19:23,	28:20, 29:6, 30:19, 31:17, 32:5, 34:14,	4:25, 5:5, 5:17,	7:18	47:20, 50:25, 53:1,
19:25	35:22, 37:17, 40:4,	21:17	conservation [2] -	53:25, 64:13, 76:11
collect [2] - 36:11,	43:2, 44:6, 44:9,	compromise [1] -	7:13, 36:22	correction [1] - 50:3
56:11	45:2, 45:7, 45:11,	50:16	conservative [1] -	correctly [2] - 26:5,
collecting [2] - 41:21,	45:2, 45:7, 45:11, 45:15, 45:18, 45:24,	concentrate [1] -	9:11	42:4
52:21	46:7, 47:8, 47:12,	33:21	consider [7] - 12:17,	correspondence [1] -
collection [1] - 43:11	48:4, 50:25, 51:6,	concept [1] - 56:21	14:6, 14:15, 14:21,	3:22
column [1] - 27:3	51:9, 53:5, 53:24,	concern [3] - 12:10,	15:6, 15:16, 38:24	count [6] - 33:5,
combination [2] -	54:3, 54:5, 54:12,	19:7, 46:20	considerable [1] -	33:20, 35:13, 35:16,
33:19, 74:17	54:14, 54:21, 57:10,	concerned [2] - 31:4,	49:25	38:4, 38:12
comfortable [6] -	58:1, 58:4, 58:13,	35:17	consideration [1] -	countermeasures [1]
29:2, 37:21, 58:5,	59:11, 59:16, 59:21,	concerns [2] - 39:7,	27:24	- 10:17
61:17, 66:17, 70:22	59:25, 60:4, 62:9,	74:13	considered [2] - 48:24	Counties [3] - 4:21,
commence [1] - 66:10	62:20, 63:20, 63:22,	concessions [1] -	consistent [2] - 11:7,	8:23, 11:4
commencement [5] -	64:9, 64:14, 65:14,	19:11	64:4	counties [2] - 11:12,
11:10, 22:9, 22:11,	66:21, 66:25, 67:25,	concluded [1] - 75:12	constraints [1] - 29:14	73:23
22:14, 65:20	68:9, 68:13, 68:18,	conclusion [1] - 21:23	construct [4] - 24:9,	COUNTIES [1] - 1:5
commencing [1] - 2:4	69:2, 69:5, 69:19,	conclusions [2] -	25:14, 26:1, 33:6	counting [1] - 51:10
commend [3] - 21:24,	70:1, 70:4, 70:6,	15:12, 55:7	constructed [1] -	country [1] - 72:12
68:22, 72:15		Conclusions [1] -	18:18	counts [1] - 38:15

county [2] - 4:20, 20:22 **COUNTY** [1] - 76:3 County [8] - 3:3, 8:24, 9:2, 14:4, 14:10, 14:13, 17:14, 20:18 couple [5] - 25:3, 28:3, 34:4, 34:18, 66:22 course [4] - 3:23, 12:14, 29:16, 57:19 cover [1] - 12:1 covered [1] - 5:14 covers [1] - 31:23 CR 1C37-P [1] - 26:12 cranes [1] - 40:15 Cremer [2] - 1:12, 47:7 criticism [1] - 17:1 Crocker [1] - 65:18 Crowned [37] - 1:20, 3:2, 3:7, 4:13, 4:15, 4:21, 5:3, 5:6, 5:8, 5:10, 5:16, 5:23, 6:4, 6:10, 6:11, 6:21, 8:2, 8:11, 8:22, 9:5, 9:12, 9:25, 11:6, 11:11, 11:15, 11:19, 16:9, 16:10, 16:14, 21:15, 22:20, 41:5, 44:11, 56:5, 56:22, 62:6, 69:10 CROWNED [1] - 1:4 crowned [1] - 8:11 CRR [1] - 1:24 cue [1] - 44:15 **cultural** [5] - 6:2, 6:8, 6:11, 22:8, 70:13 curious [1] - 42:19 current [3] - 29:13, 30:3, 35:11 curtail [2] - 9:6, 37:12 curtailed [3] - 21:7, 21:8 curtailment [3] - 21:4, 67:4, 67:15 **curtains** [1] - 67:16 cwittler @ venturecomm .net [1] - 1:25

D

DAKOTA [2] - 1:2, 76:1 Dakota [18] - 1:24, 2:2, 2:4, 3:7, 6:2, 6:3, 8:1, 14:8, 46:22, 46:25, 47:1, 47:3, 56:18, 57:21, 63:5, 63:8, 76:7, 76:13

41:25

delete [1] - 50:7

deleting [4] - 23:7,

50:3, 50:5, 51:15

demonstrate [2] -

Dakotas [2] - 38:20, 39.24 Darren [11] - 1:16, 28:18, 29:21, 35:3, 51:22, 51:24, 53:5, 53:10, 53:18, 58:23, 67:19 data [6] - 29:22, 34:6, 36:10, 36:11, 56:8, 56:12 Data [2] - 10:14, 24:13 database [1] - 34:7 date [1] - 35:8 Dated [1] - 76:13 dated [1] - 29:22 David [2] - 1:21, 12:6 days [22] - 10:13, 11:10, 19:14, 19:17, 19:23, 21:14, 41:8, 41:9, 43:8, 46:11, 46:13, 46:16, 50:14, 50:15, 50:17, 59:10, 65:12, 65:20, 66:6, 66:12 **dBA** [7] - 8:20, 8:21, 9:18, 9:24, 17:21, 17:24, 18:2 de [1] - 1:13 deal [3] - 57:3, 61:9, 72 · 5 dealing [4] - 26:6, 28:12, 31:10, 69:7 deals [1] - 26:8 Deb [1] - 1:17 **December** [1] - 66:10 decide [1] - 37:21 decision [2] - 25:12, 55:24 decisions [3] - 36:12, 36:16, 61:16 decommissioning [16] - 11:6, 11:13, 19:6, 19:16, 40:22, 40:24, 41:7, 41:11, 46:4, 46:8, 49:16, 49:18, 50:10, 54:24, 56:1, 65:16 defer [3] - 28:14, 42:11, 58:8 defined [1] - 65:1 definitely [2] - 21:23, 21:25 definition [2] - 17:7, 64:24 definitive [2] - 12:20,

39:3, 39:13 demonstrated [1] demonstrates [1] -10:25 deny [3] - 3:5, 18:20 described [1] - 38:18 description [1] - 46:4 designated [1] - 15:1 designed [1] - 9:14 desire [1] - 24:23 desired [1] - 24:7 desks [1] - 48:2 despite [1] - 10:4 detail [2] - 13:7, 18:15 detailed [1] - 12:9 **details** [1] - 34:13 detect [1] - 10:21 detected [1] - 38:25 detecting [1] - 38:14 determination [2] -25:5, 25:15 determinations [1] -48:16 **determine** [1] - 32:8 deterred [1] - 39:20 **Deuel** [4] - 64:12, 64:17, 64:21, 65:2 develop [1] - 71:3 developer [1] - 37:2 development [2] -6:12, 11:2 devotion [1] - 55:22 **difference** [1] - 26:18 different [4] - 22:12, 38:2, 38:14, 74:5 difficult [3] - 41:15, 48:7, 74:7 digest [1] - 45:9 digesting [1] - 44:23 dimensional [1] - 6:19 dire [1] - 60:6 direct [1] - 7:14 directly [1] - 32:22 disaster [1] - 10:18 discard [1] - 52:8 disclaimer [2] - 13:1, 13:8 disclaimers [2] -

62:19, 62:21, 63:16, 64:6, 64:8, 68:17, 68:25, 70:21, 75:4 displacement [2] -38:21, 39:25 dispute [1] - 17:1 distance [1] - 33:7 distances [2] - 14:2, 14:3 distributed [1] - 38:6 disturbance [3] -39:17, 58:6, 58:10 disturbances [1] -39:20 **DO** [1] - 76:8 docket [6] - 3:18, 3:21, 22:5, 42:24, 48:6.64:11 Docket [1] - 47:24 dockets [6] - 28:5, 42:16, 64:4, 64:15, 70:23, 71:21 doctor [1] - 9:13 doctors [1] - 10:4 docum ent [6] - 35:15, 39:16, 44:13, 44:14, 49:14, 65:24 done [30] - 5:10, 17:5, 17:9, 18:9, 20:16, 23:11, 23:12, 25:9, 28:25, 30:4, 32:2, 32:3, 33:15, 33:16, 34:4, 34:5, 34:11, 34:13, 35:4, 35:6, 35:9, 35:11, 36:22, 37:5, 37:15, 40:10, 65:12, 72:9, 73:8 doors [1] - 74:23 dots [1] - 29:15 double [1] - 43:1 double -check [1] -43:1 down [9] - 10:22, 20:8, 26:23, 27:16, 38:12, 57:19, 61:3, 71:9, 73:18 **Dr** [2] - 9:18, 9:21 draft [1] - 69:15 drive [1] - 33:23 driving [1] - 35:15 drop [5] - 15:22, 15:25, 16:2, 16:8, 48:20

19:10, 51:14, 51:20

12:10, 42:25, 45:4,

45:13, 45:19, 55:1,

55:10, 57:7, 60:3,

Discussion [2] -

discussion [18] -

44:20, 46:2

dropped [2] - 16:4, 16.6 drops [1] - 13:13 duly [1] - 76:8 duly -appointed [1] -76:8 **duplicative** [1] - 11:14 during [21] - 3:19, 3:22, 3:25, 10:9, 22:25, 29:7, 31:7, 33:3, 33:16, 33:22, 34:16, 35:25, 36:20, 37:13, 43:8, 53:6, 55:17, 60:10, 74:14 duty [1] - 14:25 dying [1] - 20:5 dynamic [8] - 6:9, 23:21, 31:1, 31:2, 31:9, 32:20, 33:9

Ε

e-mail [1] - 44:15 **E2** [2] - 52:4, 52:5 eagle [1] - 34:9 eagles [1] - 29:16 **easement** [1] - 69:7 easements [3] - 69:10, 69:14, 69:17 easier [1] - 48:1 easiest [1] - 33:21 easily [1] - 49:23 East [1] - 2:3 easy [2] - 45:19, 68:19 economic [3] - 5:22, 7:22, 8:14 edge [1] - 27:1 **EDWARDS** [15] - 19:1, 28:14, 40:7, 40:13, 41:3, 42:15, 42:22, 43:21, 45:14, 58:8, 65:17, 66:9, 67:11, 68:10, 70:15 **Edwards** [6] - 1:22, 18:25, 19:2, 40:8, 58:24, 68:10 effect [5] - 8:7, 19:9, 38:24, 39:4, 70:25 effects [1] - 7:14 effort [2] - 21:14, 46:20 efforts [1] - 22:1 either [3] - 19:19, 20:20, 67:17 EL-003 [1] - 3:1 EL 17-055 [1] - 65:19 **EL 18-053** [1] - 42:24 EL 19-003 [4] - 1:4, 44:9, 47:24, 55:3 Electric [1] - 64:25

12:21, 12:23

16:19

disclose [1] - 16:20

disclosed [2] - 13:18,

disclosure [1] - 14:2

discuss [7] - 12:12,

55:2, 55:3, 55:14

discussed [4] - 13:7,

discrepancy [1] - 26:9

12:13, 13:10, 51:21,

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
electrical [1] - 10:11
element [2] - 4:18,
5:19
elements [1] - 4:16
eliminate [1] - 7:4
eliminating [1] - 50:1
elsewhere [1] - 56:18
empirical [1] - 56:8
encompasses [1] -
74:5
end [5] - 16:19, 52:25,
53:12, 73:21, 74:16
Energy [1] - 3:3
ENERGY [1] - 1:5
energy [5] - 3:6,
13:25, 44:11, 49:5,
72:11
engaging [1] - 5:13
enjoyment [1] - 71:4
ensure [1] - 6:10
entering [1] - 7:6
•
entire [9] - 8:25,
19:16, 23:18, 23:22,
23:25, 24:1, 28:10,
28:12
entirety [1] - 56:1
entitled [2] - 2:2,
76:10
environment [4] -
5:21, 6:1, 7:21, 8:13
environmental [4] -
6:8, 6:11, 14:25,
29:14
envisioning [1] - 37:7
equal [3] - 52:23, 53:3,
53:16
equity [1] - 20:8
Eric [1] - 1:13
escrow [16] - 11:7,
11:9, 11:14, 19:3,
19:6, 19:7, 19:14,
19:15, 19:22, 20:4,
20:16, 46:14, 46:24,
49:16, 50:12, 65:14
essence [1] - 49:23
essentially [1] - 52:3
establish [1] - 11:6
established [3] - 10:8,
19:8, 73:23
19:8, 73:23
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13,
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8 evidence [25] - 4:3,
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8 evidence [25] - 4:3,
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8 evidence [25] - 4:3, 4:24, 5:20, 7:19, 8:9,
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8 evidence [25] - 4:3, 4:24, 5:20, 7:19, 8:9, 9:16, 10:4, 10:23,
19:8, 73:23 estimated [1] - 21:13 estimates [2] - 73:13, 73:17 et [2] - 28:13, 52:7 event [2] - 10:18, 30:8 evidence [25] - 4:3, 4:24, 5:20, 7:19, 8:9, 9:16, 10:4, 10:23, 12:17, 12:19, 12:22,

38:20, 39:3, 39:5, 39:11, 48:25, 71:7, 71:11, 74:9 evidentiary [17] - 3:20, 3:25, 4:6, 6:14, 13:9, 22:23, 22:25, 23:7, 23:12, 24:8, 25:3, 25:22, 27:14, 29:7, 31:3, 34:17, 74:14 exactly [4] - 30:14, 35:8, 57:16, 62:16 **example** [1] - 33:6 **exceed** [1] - 10:8 **excellent** [1] - 40:10 except [3] - 43:16, 45:2, 47:13 **exception** [3] - 9:3, 41:6, 61:25 exceptional [1] -56:12 **excitement** [1] - 40:18 **exclude** [1] - 4:4 excluded [1] - 4:5 excuse [2] - 44:7, 45:6 Exhibit [14] - 13:11, 21:19, 26:13, 26:15, 41:14, 41:17, 44:24, 47:14, 47:25, 50:1, 51:15, 51:16, 53:25, 55.5 exhibit [1] - 41:19 exhibits [1] - 9:20 existing [3] - 13:12, 39:16, 39:20 exists [1] - 4:1 **expected** [4] - 5:22, 8:6, 8:15, 37:1 expensive [2] - 21:13, 56:25 **experience** [2] - 9:7, 9:13 experiencing [1] -72:7 **expert** [9] - 13:2, 14:7, 29:3, 32:12, 32:14, 36:3, 42:13, 42:21, 73:7 **expertise** [1] - 42:12 experts [3] - 31:12, 31:24, 73:10 **expired** [1] - 16:5 explain [4] - 48:1, 55:9, 60:5, 60:14 **explained** [3] - 6:13, 6:15, 27:22 **explaining** [1] - 46:13 **explains** [1] - 23:15 explanation [1] -

expressly [1] - 12:23

extensive [1] - 21:12 **FAA** [3] - 25:19, 26:1, 48:15 **FAA's** [1] - 25:5 face [1] - 71:1 facilities [1] - 11:19 **FACILITY** [1] - 1:5 **Facility** [1] - 3:3 facility [3] - 3:6, 14:1, 44:11 Fact [6] - 5:2, 12:8, 18:13, 55:4, 55:6, 68:16 fact [9] - 4:5, 14:16, 15:11, 48:19, 56:7, 56:14, 61:25, 72:8, 73:14 facts [1] - 74:9 failed [1] - 14:16 failures [1] - 20:9 faith [4] - 61:15, 62:1, 62:13, 62:17 false [1] - 16:2 far [5] - 22:3, 29:23, 31:21, 32:2, 48:1 farm [2] - 17:22, 17:24 **Farm** [1] - 65:19 **FDIC** [1] - 20:6 features [1] - 39:19 federal [1] - 47:4 feelings [1] - 71:11 feet [4] - 64:3, 64:5, 64:7, 65:9 fellow [5] - 43:25, 62:21, 72:15, 74:1, 74:22 felt [3] - 55:19, 57:22, 73:9 few [2] - 17:4, 40:6 Fiegen [15] - 27:9, 40:17, 51:7, 54:13, 54:14, 54:19, 57:9, 63:21, 63:22, 70:2, 70:5, 70:6, 73:24, 75:8, 75:9 **FIEGEN** [49] - 1:11, 27:10, 28:1, 28:16, 28:20, 29:6, 30:19, 31:17, 32:5, 34:14, 35:22, 37:17, 40:4, 45:7, 45:18, 45:24, 51:6, 51:9, 53:24, 54:3, 54:5, 54:14, 54:21, 57:10, 58:1, 58:4, 58:13, 59:11,

66:25, 67:25, 68:9, 68:13, 68:18, 70:6, 70:9, 70:12, 70:16, 72:23, 73:25, 75:9 Fiegen 's [1] - 57:2 field [1] - 36:4 figure [3] - 28:8, 31:18, 63:2 Figure [1] - 29:14 file [10] - 5:14, 7:7, 7:13, 7:18, 19:22, 20:6, 22:4, 59:10, 66:10,67:23 filed [14] - 3:18, 3:21, 11:9, 15:8, 20:16, 21:19, 22:7, 22:8, 29:3, 41:7, 49:15, 65:19, 67:2, 67:14 filing [1] - 7:2 filings [1] - 5:16 final [1] - 70:23 **finalizing** [1] - 32:24 financial [3] - 11:5, 20:7, 72:13 **Findings** [6] - 5:2, 12:8, 18:13, 55:4, 55:6, 68:16 firm [2] - 21:6, 48:7 first [16] - 3:14, 4:18, 19:3, 19:6, 19:14, 28:3, 46:10, 47:5, 48:2, 50:1, 50:2, 50:23, 51:14, 65:24, 73:6 Fish [13] - 3:15, 3:24, 17:8, 21:17, 36:3, 37:2, 37:6, 37:19, 40:13, 57:21, 57:24, 63:5, 63:11 five [2] - 16:4, 25:5 fix [1] - 38:5 fixed [2] - 33:25, 35:14 flag [1] - 20:12 **flexibility** [1] - 62:25 flicker [15] - 9:3, 9:6, 9:9, 12:18, 12:21, 13:2, 19:4, 20:17, 20:20, 40:21, 67:1, 67:23, 72:1, 73:3, 73:16 fly [1] - 40:10 focus [2] - 6:19, 19:3

focused [2] - 34:19,

34:20

fold [1] - 33:11

folded [1] - 23:16

31:24, 55:18, 71:4,

folks [6] - 24:23,

72:6, 72:13

64:14, 65:14, 66:21,

follow [4] - 25:24, 29:24, 48:1, 71:8 follow -up [2] - 25:24, 29:24 following [2] - 2:1, 71:6 Footnote [1] - 23:10 **footprint** [2] - 74:4 FOR [1] - 1:4 forth [2] - 7:15, 8:11 **forum** [1] - 20:15 forward [1] - 58:12 four [2] - 4:16, 26:6 frame [1] - 35:10 frankly [1] - 72:10 Friday [1] - 13:20 friendly [3] - 59:13, 59:17, 59:20 front [2] - 20:1, 46:15 frustrated [1] - 32:14 **frustrating** [1] - 62:22 full [10] - 18:15, 24:1, 43:5, 43:9, 43:10, 43:13, 43:14, 43:18, 51:19, 52:14 funds [3] - 46:21, 49:17, 49:18 future [6] - 30:21, 36:12, 36:16, 56:17, 66:8, 71:24

G

gain [1] - 72:13 gamble [1] - 73:17 **Game** [7] - 36:3, 37:18, 40:13, 57:21, 57:24, 63:5, 63:10 game [1] - 38:15 Ganje [6] - 1:21, 12:4, 12:6, 18:22, 48:5, 73:13 **GANJE** [1] - 12:5 Ganje 's [3] - 3:16, 4:4, 73:1 **GARY** [1] - 1:10 gathered [1] - 60:13 gathering [1] - 36:11 general [3] - 20:12, 30:15, 38:4 General [1] - 64:25 generally [3] - 5:3, 38:9, 66:13 generate [1] - 43:13 **genesis** [1] - 30:9 **GF&P** [15] - 28:24, 30:9, 31:5, 32:7, 36:12, 37:2, 37:6, 37:8, 40:11, 57:13, 57:14, 58:7, 58:17,

59:16, 59:21, 59:25,

62:20, 63:22, 64:9,

58:20, 61:14 given [5] - 13:2, 24:15, 29:3, 36:1, 73:10 glad [1] - 71:24 goal [1] - 74:15 governmental [2] -4:22, 4:24 grammatical [1] -49:12 **GRANT** [1] - 1:5 Grant [9] - 3:3, 4:20, 8:23, 8:24, 9:1, 11:4, 14:4, 14:10, 14:13 grant [4] - 3:5, 11:21, 44:10 granted [1] - 11:19 granting [3] - 11:3, 13:7, 18:20 grants [1] - 25:16 great [3] - 57:3, 70:16, 74 · 1 greater [3] - 52:9, 69:20 green [1] - 59:3 greeted [1] - 13:4 Gregg [1] - 1:17 grind [1] - 48:9 ground [12] - 33:23, 41:22, 52:9, 52:12, 52:21, 53:7, 53:13, 53:14, 53:16, 55:12, 55:17 **grounding** [1] - 10:10 group [1] - 62:14 grouse [8] - 38:9, 38:22, 60:17, 60:18, 60:21, 61:1, 62:11 quess [6] - 3:13, 29:5, 43:12, 61:15, 65:22, 73:5 gut [1] - 71:12 guys [1] - 28:4

Н

habitat [2] - 38:10, Haley [2] - 17:12, 27:21 **half** [1] - 67:8 hang [1] - 58:25 hanging [1] - 69:21 HANSON [75] - 1:10, 3:1, 11:23, 12:3, 18:22, 22:17, 24:24, 27:7, 40:12, 40:15, 41:13, 42:7, 42:19, 43:16, 43:23, 44:7, 44:21, 45:5, 45:17, 45:22, 45:25, 46:3,

47:5, 47:11, 47:16, 47:23, 49:22, 51:2, 51:7, 51:11, 51:24, 52:5, 52:7, 52:24, 53:10, 53:18, 53:23, 54:1, 54:4, 54:7, 54:13, 54:15, 54:23, 57:20, 58:3, 59:15, 59:19, 59:22, 60:2, 61:24, 62:13, 63:16, 63:21, 63:23, 64:13, 64:19, 65:5, 65:16, 66:6, 66:16, 66:24, 68:8, 68:17, 68:24, 69:4, 69:25, 70:2, 70:5, 70:7, 70:11, 70:19, 73:24, 75:3, 75:8, 75:10 Hanson [11] - 1:22, 4:11, 27:11, 42:3, 43:7, 54:15, 59:11, 63:23, 70:7, 74:8, 75:10 happy [6] - 24:2, 24:6,

24:22, 34:13, 39:22, 49:20

hard [3] - 28:7, 72:17, 74:24

hardly [1] - 32:8 Harvest [4] - 64:12, 64:17, 64:21, 65:3 hazard [2] - 25:15,

25:18

head [2] - 47:9, 68:7 heads [1] - 43:19

health [3] - 8:17, 9:15, 10:6

hear [9] - 12:15, 23:3, 28:19, 28:20, 38:17, 40:18, 42:19, 43:19, 69:19

heard [6] - 29:9, 32:7, 34:17, 58:18, 59:5, 59:7

hearing [23] - 3:20, 3:25, 4:6, 6:14, 9:19, 13:9, 17:20, 24:8, 25:4, 25:20, 27:14, 29:7, 31:3, 34:17, 44:2, 44:3, 46:19,

47:23, 54:9, 60:10, 63:18, 70:21, 74:15

hearings [1] - 49:3 held [1] - 2:2

helicopter [1] - 33:25 helicopters [2] -35:15, 55:21

help [4] - 7:4, 26:18, 34:7, 37:20

helpful [1] - 56:17

helping [1] - 54:17 **HEREBY** [1] - 76:8 Hessler [16] - 9:18, 9:21, 15:17, 15:18, 15:23, 15:24, 16:22, 17:2, 17:19, 18:5, 21:25, 22:21, 26:22, 26:24, 26:25, 42:9 Hessler 's [3] - 10:1, 27:13, 27:17 higher [1] - 36:25 hired [1] - 73:7 holidays [1] - 66:11 hope [3] - 21:2, 25:13, 26:10 hopefully [1] - 33:8 hour [2] - 42:5, 67:8 hours [10] - 9:3, 9:4,

ı

9:8, 10:13, 19:4,

37:12,67:2

Hueck [1] - 1:13

human [1] - 39:20

20:19, 20:21, 36:8,

ice [2] - 10:23, 72:1 icing [1] - 10:21 idea [3] - 45:24, 60:13, 61:8 **identical** [1] - 46:5 ignore [1] - 33:11 ignored [1] - 16:24 **II** [5] - 1:4, 1:20, 16:10, 16:11, 16:14 impact [7] - 5:25, 27:18, 36:5, 39:12, 48:20, 48:22, 74:20 impacting [1] - 37:10 impacts [15] - 6:19, 7:5, 7:22, 8:3, 15:3, 15:13, 23:15, 27:23, 30:12, 35:25, 36:20, 39:25, 48:8, 48:13, 74:6

impair [4] - 8:17, 9:15, 10:5, 10:24 implement [3] - 5:24,

10:9, 10:15 implemented [2] -

26:22, 65:2 **important** [3] - 56:12, 61:19, 73:20

importantly [1] - 73:12 imposing [1] - 9:23 **imposition** [1] - 9:18

IN [2] - 1:4, 1:5 include [7] - 14:16,

16:4, 47:6, 53:6, 53:25, 55:5, 68:14

included [1] - 68:16 includes [3] - 7:14, 15:1, 17:8 including [7] - 4:19, 7:8, 7:23, 10:10, 17:20, 17:23, 49:13 incomplete [5] -13:21, 13:22, 18:11, 18.14 incorporate [2] -36:21, 55:7 incorporated [1] -30:5 incorrectly [2] - 6:7,

41:2 indeed [1] - 18:5 independent [6] -55:13, 55:16, 56:24, 57:23, 62:1, 62:14 independently [1] -7:11

indicate [2] - 13:14, 26:13 indicated [4] - 17:19, 17:22, 24:13, 73:2

indicates [2] - 15:21, 26:15 indicating) [1] - 44:13

indirect [1] - 7:14 individual [2] - 59:23, 69:17

infer [1] - 41:1 **inform** [1] - 36:12 information [20] -12:24, 34:8, 46:5, 56:13, 56:15, 56:17, 56:20, 58:12, 60:7, 60:9, 60:13, 60:23, 60:25, 61:5, 61:22, 62:1, 62:5, 64:23, 65:4, 67:12 infrastructure [1] -

39:16 **inhabitants** [9] - 5:22, 5:23, 8:14, 8:15, 8:18, 9:16, 10:6, 13:5, 18:8

injury [3] - 5:21, 7:20, 8:13

insert [1] - 51:19

inside [1] - 13:16 instead [7] - 9:17, 10:2, 11:10, 29:19, 31:1, 36:3, 74:10 intend [1] - 55:11

intent [1] - 9:23 interest [1] - 18:8

interesting [1] - 18:23 interfere [1] - 11:1 interject [2] - 40:9,

51:23 internet [1] - 20:12 interpretation [1] -18:12 Intervenors [19] -1:21, 3:10, 6:4, 6:7, 6:21, 9:17, 9:20, 12:4, 12:6, 12:10, 14:18, 18:17, 22:22, 48:21, 48:23, 71:15, 72:19, 73:7, 74:20 **Intervenors** '[6] - 5:2, 6:20, 12:7, 12:8, 18:13, 23:3 intuitive [1] - 22:7 **inventory** [1] - 17:8

issue [13] - 13:8, 19:13, 22:11, 25:7, 25:12, 27:17, 33:10, 34:2, 39:13, 58:5, 63:6, 69:21

issues [9] - 6:21, 12:10, 12:12, 29:1, 29:3, 30:21, 57:13, 62:8, 74:25 item [2] - 41:19, 64:2

items [2] - 3:19, 65:12

itself [1] - 62:7

J

Jackson [1] - 4:12 jigsaw [1] - 74:4 **job** [4] - 40:10, 46:13, 49:5, 72:9 jobs [1] - 7:24 Jon [1] - 1:14 Joseph [1] - 1:15 judgment [2] - 49:4, 49.6 judicial [1] - 74:9 **July** [6] - 1:7, 2:4, 19:9, 33:18, 76:10, 76:14 juncture [2] - 47:18, 62:4 June [2] - 13:20, 33:18

K

Kaitlyn [1] - 1:17 Karen [1] - 1:12 KEARNEY [15] -28:18, 28:22, 29:21, 35:3, 36:10, 51:22, 52:1, 52:6, 52:11, 53:1, 53:8, 53:14, 53:21, 67:19, 68:6 Kearney [16] - 1:16, 28:15, 28:18, 28:21,

29:21, 30:23, 35:3, 42:17, 42:20, 57:15, 57:17, 58:8, 58:14, 58:25, 67:12, 67:19 **Kearney 's** [1] - 40:9 key [1] - 60:11 **Kim** [4] - 31:13, 32:21, 33:13, 37:24 Kimberly [1] - 15:9 kind [5] - 25:23, 35:20, 36:16, 59:5, 60:25 Kirschenmann [3] -7:1, 17:6, 60:11 Kirschenmann 's [1] -21:11 **knowing** [1] - 62:16 knowledge [4] -36:17, 63:7, 68:20, 69:16 known [2] - 14:23, 20:23 Kristen [5] - 1:22, 19:1, 40:7, 58:24, 68:10 KRISTIE [1] - 1:11

L

lack [2] - 21:21, 29:1 laid [3] - 19:2, 22:12, 8.09 land [4] - 13:12, 14:6, 14:7, 24:9 landed [1] - 48:2 landowner [4] - 13:15, 13:19, 20:18, 67:15 landowners [4] - 24:5, 24:6, 24:10, 61:16 landowners '[1] -17:13 language [6] - 22:14, 58:15, 58:22, 69:6, 69:12, 69:14 lapsed [1] - 13:19 large [1] - 14:22 last [10] - 13:10, 34:4, 34:18, 41:20, 43:7, 51:17, 51:18, 52:19, 67:8, 68:11 late [1] - 72:17 latest [1] - 24:6 Law [2] - 3:8, 12:8 law [6] - 5:5, 49:1, 49:7, 71:8, 72:8 laws [3] - 4:19, 5:1, 5:18 lawsuits [1] - 20:12 lays [1] - 23:11 lead [1] - 11:13 lease [1] - 7:24

leases [1] - 16:5 least [2] - 42:15, 48:21 leave [1] - 29:5 leaves [1] - 69:20 Lebrun [1] - 4:13 left [2] - 25:3, 47:24 legal [1] - 20:14 legislative [1] - 74:10 legislators [2] - 71:8, 71:10 lek [35] - 19:5, 21:9, 21:10, 24:11, 29:11, 29:22, 29:23, 30:1, 30:3, 30:4, 30:15, 30:17, 31:5, 31:23, 32:7, 32:8, 32:12, 33:14, 33:16, 34:3, 34:12, 34:18, 34:19, 34:20, 34:24, 35:21, 36:10, 37:9, 37:10, 37:20, 38:13, 39:8, 58:20, 60:14, 60:16 lekking [3] - 33:17, 37:13, 39:21 leks [41] - 24:15, 24:17, 29:8, 29:13, 29:15, 30:10, 30:13, 31:10, 31:11, 31:23, 31:25, 33:5, 33:7, 33:20, 34:6, 34:8, 35:16, 35:18, 35:19, 36:1, 36:6, 36:18, 36:20, 38:7, 38:9, 38:11, 38:14, 39:15, 39:18, 40:19, 40:21, 51:5, 55:1, 55:3, 56:1, 58:6, 61:11, 61:12, 61:14, 61:18, 61:19 less [8] - 30:10, 42:17, 52:13, 52:22, 53:3, 53:16, 74:20 letter [4] - 3:15, 3:16, 3:17, 3:23 level [8] - 8:19, 8:22, 41:22, 48:22, 52:12,

52:21, 53:13, 53:14

levels [1] - 36:25

liability [1] - 13:1

limitation [1] - 13:1

limited [1] - 62:23

26:12, 27:13

65 1

limitations [1] - 73:16

Lindgren [3] - 26:11,

Lindgren 's [1] - 26:21

line [3] - 28:15, 46:10,

lines [5] - 14:3, 14:4,

17:13, 24:3, 42:6

light [1] - 59:3

Lynn [1] - 4:12

list [1] - 13:23

listed [1] - 5:9

39:24

44 · 11

61:12

48:9

listen [1] - 31:25

literature [2] - 39:1,

local [2] - 8:3, 10:18

locate [1] - 33:21

located [2] - 47:1,

locations [5] - 16:5,

43:18, 44:3, 57:7,

61:11, 68:4, 71:25

looked [3] - 65:22,

looking [6] - 27:3,

36:9, 38:24, 42:1,

51:18, 52:4, 53:2,

65:23, 66:3

42:4, 52:3

Lorena [1] - 1:15

loud [1] - 28:20

low [10] - 24:15,

53:3, 53:15

66:6

lower [5] - 43:10,

lowering [1] - 65:23

mail [1] - 44:15 main [13] - 19:2, 19:7, 36:14, 44:4, 50:23, 50:25, 51:3, 54:2, 54:10, 54:25, 63:25, 64:2, 75:5 Main [1] - 1:24 maintain [1] - 34:7 maintenance [1] -10:10 mammal [3] - 7:2, 17:4, 17:5 mammals [3] - 6:22, 23:9, 28:12 mandating [1] - 60:22 manipulated [1] - 9:22 manufacturer [2] -64:21, 64:23 map [8] - 6:19, 13:11, 13:13, 13:15, 13:21,

mapped [1] - 26:4 maps [2] - 14:17, 14 · 19 lists [2] - 13:15, 18:14 March [1] - 33:17 market [1] - 14:11 materials [1] - 38:19 **LLC** [4] - 1:4, 3:2, 3:7, mating [1] - 31:8 MATTER [1] - 1:4 matter [6] - 2:2, 3:1, localizing [1] - 33:22 12:9, 25:4, 52:16, 76:10 maximum [1] - 43:6 MCCOMSEY [1] - 76:5 McComsey [3] - 1:24, 20:10, 20:13, 30:15, 76:17, 76:18 McCunney [1] - 17:18 look [11] - 20:6, 20:13, mean [7] - 31:7, 32:6, 38:11, 39:14, 42:22, 39:4, 42:12, 61:8, 71:9, 73:16 meaning [2] - 33:23, 43:9 meaningful [1] - 21:21 means [8] - 13:17, 31:9, 33:9, 38:5, 47:2, 52:4, 53:2, 53:3 measured [4] - 17:12, 41:22, 52:8, 52:21 41:23, 41:24, 42:16, measurement [1] -47:14 measures [3] - 5:25, 7:15, 37:11 43:18, 51:19, 66:5,

medical [1] - 9:12 meet [5] - 10:7, 12:18, 20:14, 73:15, 73:22 meeting [1] - 3:25 meetings [2] - 49:4, 66:13 Mehlhaff [1] - 1:16 memory [3] - 34:20, 64:15, 64:18 mentioned [1] - 22:4 mentions [1] - 57:21 met [7] - 4:15, 5:16, 8:12, 11:18, 49:1, 49:6, 61:13 meters [6] - 42:17, 52:13, 52:22, 52:23, 53:3, 53:16 methodology [1] -30:7 methods [3] - 10:21, 33:18, 35:13 mic [1] - 40:6 middle [1] - 43:3 might [2] - 48:4, 63:1 Mikal [1] - 1:22 mile [12] - 21:16, 29:19, 29:20, 30:11, 30:17, 36:2, 36:4,

36:13, 36:14, 39:15, 61:13, 61:20 miles [1] - 42:5 Miles [2] - 1:19, 4:12 mind [3] - 23:5, 53:11, 58:1 minimize [1] - 5:25 **minimized** [1] - 8:19 minor [1] - 49:12 minute [2] - 22:18, 45:20 minutes [6] - 3:10, 3:11, 4:9, 4:10, 12:13.40:6 misunderstanding [1] - 27:3 **mitigate** [1] - 7:8 mitigating [1] - 67:23 mitigation [17] - 5:25, 7:15, 20:21, 22:1, 30:20, 30:22, 36:7, 58:11, 58:17, 58:21, 59:9, 61:1, 63:10, 68:22, 73:18 mix [1] - 13:12 modeled [2] - 20:19, 27:22 modeling [2] - 12:18, 27:21 moment [4] - 21:24, 27:6, 44:2, 46:1 monitor [2] - 10:12, 30:10 monitoring [16] - 7:12, 17:8, 19:5, 24:12, 24:17, 24:21, 30:17, 35:21, 35:24, 36:10, 36:15, 36:23, 36:24, 37:9, 37:16, 39:8 month [2] - 25:9, 25:11 months [1] - 62:23 morning [2] - 37:13, 45:3 mortality [8] - 7:12, 36:23, 36:24, 36:25, 37:16, 37:19, 56:23, 57:15 most [5] - 35:11, 48:6, 50:5, 61:18, 74:19 mostly [1] - 47:12 motion [50] - 3:17, 4:4, 43:17, 44:4, 47:17, 47:24, 49:21, 49:23, 50:5, 50:9, 50:22, 50:24, 51:1, 51:3, 51:4, 51:14, 51:20, 53:20, 53:24, 54:2, 54:8, 54:9,

54:10, 54:15, 54:25,

М

29:15, 38:10

55:2, 55:15, 57:8, 57:11, 57:12, 59:20, 60:1, 60:5, 61:23, 62:19, 63:8, 63:17, 63:18, 63:23, 63:25, 64:2, 64:8, 68:2, 68:17, 68:25, 70:3, 70:7, 75:5, 75:10 motions [5] - 40:20, 41:16, 44:5, 64:1, 66:19 move [6] - 4:7, 15:20, 44:9, 55:5, 64:6, 68:13 moved [4] - 16:13, 16:17, 74:18, 74:19 moves [2] - 13:13, 13:14 **MR** [33] - 4:11, 11:25, 12:5, 22:19, 25:8, 25:13, 26:3, 26:19, 27:19, 28:18, 28:22, 29:21, 31:11, 32:19, 35:3, 36:10, 42:3, 42:8, 43:22, 51:22, 52:1, 52:6, 52:11, 53:1, 53:8, 53:14, 53:21, 59:7, 64:20, 67:19, 68:6, 69:8, 69:24 **MS** [19] - 19:1, 28:14, 31:19, 31:21, 33:13, 37:24, 40:7, 40:13, 41:3, 42:15, 42:22, 43:21, 45:14, 58:8, 65:17, 66:9, 67:11, 68:10, 70:15 Murphy [3] - 1:19, 12:1, 22:19 **MURPHY** [14] - 22:19, 25:8, 25:13, 26:3, 26:19, 27:19, 31:11, 32:19, 42:3, 42:8, 43:22, 59:7, 69:8, 69:24 must [5] - 12:20, 14:1, 21:2, 47:2, 73:15 **mute** [2] - 31:16, 31:19

Ν

name [1] - 11:24 national [1] - 10:11 nationally [1] - 46:25 Native [1] - 6:2 nature [1] - 18:14 nay [1] - 63:20 near [1] - 7:6 nearest [1] - 61:13 necessarily [3] 12:15, 34:19, 45:19
necessary [2] - 4:5,
24:9
need [18] - 19:23,
19:25, 24:10, 28:10,
31:17, 35:7, 43:12,
45:12, 54:23, 55:1,
58:20, 59:12, 59:19,
60:6, 61:21, 63:10,
67:6
needed [2] - 45:3,
63:11

needs [1] - 44:19 negate [3] - 7:4, 12:22, 73:14

negative [2] - 7:5, 36:5

negligible [1] - 8:6 Nelson [15] - 25:1, 44:8, 46:3, 47:24, 48:3, 54:11, 60:3, 63:19, 69:1, 69:25, 70:3, 70:15, 72:22, 75:6

75:6

NELSON [29] - 1:10,
25:2, 25:11, 25:23,
26:4, 27:2, 43:2,
44:6, 44:9, 45:2,
45:11, 45:15, 46:7,
47:8, 47:12, 48:4,
50:25, 53:5, 54:12,
60:4, 62:9, 63:20,
69:2, 69:5, 69:19,
70:1, 70:4, 72:25,
75:7

Nelson 's [1] - 27:12
nests [1] - 34:9
never [1] - 70:22
new [5] - 23:3, 47:14,
56:21, 65:24, 67:12
next [19] - 13:10, 14:5,
14:15, 14:21, 15:6,
15:17, 17:4, 17:10,
17:16, 18:4, 20:17,
21:9, 25:9, 28:2,
29:6, 34:15, 35:1,
37:18, 59:10
nice [1] - 21:2
night [1] - 72:17

noise [3] - 26:13, 43:6, 48:22 none [4] - 44:2, 54:9, 63:18, 70:21 nonparticipant [4] -

10:3, 26:17, 61:12, 61:20

nonparticipant 's [1] -

8:20

nonparticipating [1] -

16:23 northern [3] - 6:22, 6:24, 7:5 Notary [2] - 76:7, 76:18 **note** [3] - 19:21, 32:1, 48:19 **noted** [1] - 32:3 nothing [3] - 62:5, 67:20, 70:1 **notice** [1] - 25:18 nowhere [1] - 35:13 **number** [4] - 9:10, 16:4, 18:23, 35:16 **numerous** [2] - 5:24, 15:12

0

objections [3] - 15:7, 23:2, 23:6 **objective** [1] - 39:10 obtain [4] - 4:22, 5:6, 5:12, 49:2 **obtained** [1] - 21:3 **obviously** [5] - 4:1, 24:19, 43:5, 47:13, 69:21 occur [2] - 38:9, 39:5 **OF** [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 76:1, 76:3 offering [1] - 15:20 office [1] - 47:1 often [1] - 33:18 **Ollson** [4] - 9:18, 17:18, 17:22, 18:7 Ollson 's [1] - 9:21 on/off [2] - 52:15, 52:16 once [1] - 44:17 one [37] - 9:4, 12:23, 19:21, 21:16, 24:17, 25:4, 26:5, 26:9, 27:23, 29:19, 30:11, 30:17, 31:4, 31:12, 33:18, 36:2, 36:13, 39:7, 44:2, 45:20, 48:6, 48:18, 49:3, 51:13, 54:19, 54:24, 56:4, 65:24, 66:25, 68:2, 69:9, 69:16, 70:9, 71:5, 72:25, 74:6 one -mile [1] - 21:16 one -minute [1] - 45:20

19.25 operational [4] -36:21, 37:3, 37:11, 73:21 operations [3] - 11:11, 36:17, 36:20 opinion [2] - 16:22, 17:21 opinions [1] - 15:13 opportunities [1] -72:11 opportunity [8] - 3:11, 56:11, 56:13, 56:16, 57:4, 64:6, 68:21, 72:13 opposed [2] - 47:3, 66:6 **opposition** [1] - 56:6 options [1] - 69:17 oral [2] - 4:7, 30:25 orally [1] - 56:8 order [3] - 3:9, 13:19, 59:20 ordered [2] - 24:18, 24:19 orderly [1] - 11:1 orders [1] - 70:23 ordinance [2] - 8:25, 17:14 ordinances [2] - 8:23, original [2] - 9:23, 29:23 **ORIGINAL** [1] - 1:5 otherwise [1] - 41:10 otter [2] - 6:23, 6:25 otters [1] - 7:5 outdated [1] - 31:5 output [1] - 52:14 outside [2] - 37:5, 61:7 overhead [2] - 14:17, 14:19 **overruled** [1] - 23:2 overworked [1] -71:17 own [4] - 61:16, 62:7, 73:7, 73:8 owners [2] - 70:25, 71:2

operating [1] - 52:14

operation [5] - 5:13,

6:13, 10:10, 10:12,

ŀ

p.m [1] - 75:12 **page** [9] - 19:12, 23:10, 55:4, 67:25, 68:5, 68:11, 68:15

panel [1] - 42:20 **paperwork** [1] - 13:8 paragraph [8] - 43:4, 50:2, 50:6, 51:16, 57:20, 68:12 paragraphs [1] - 55:6 parcels [1] - 13:16 Parks [7] - 36:3, 37:19, 40:14, 57:21, 57:24, 63:5, 63:11 part [15] - 3:18, 3:21, 4:1, 4:2, 4:6, 5:15, 10:17, 13:15, 16:9, 16:14, 21:22, 54:1, 56:9, 59:23, 60:1 participant [6] - 8:21, 9:4, 9:5, 9:7, 61:15, 69:6 participating [1] -20:18 participation [1] -53:19 particular [7] - 5:14, 26:14, 38:22, 48:6, 48:8, 48:20, 61:10 particularly [1] - 60:24 parties [5] - 4:8, 41:16, 42:2, 43:18, 44:16 passion [1] - 72:16 passionate [1] - 51:11 past [7] - 9:21, 11:7, 19:18, 20:9, 46:9, 50:15, 65:18 path [1] - 61:3 Patrick [1] - 1:14 patterns [1] - 39:16 Paulson [1] - 1:13 payment [1] - 7:23 payments [1] - 7:24 peer [6] - 38:20, 38:25, 39:2, 39:11, 39:24, 56:8 peer -reviewed [6] -38:20, 38:25, 39:2, 39:11, 39:24, 56:8 pending [3] - 13:16, 24:5 people [4] - 71:18, 74:5, 74:13, 74:17 per [7] - 42:5, 42:17, 52:13, 52:22, 52:23, 53:3, 53:16 perfect [1] - 63:9 **period** [4] - 6:13, 17:12, 33:4, 37:13 **permanent** [1] - 7:25

permission [1] - 31:12

PERMIT [1] - 1:5

Permit [1] - 3:2

Onida [2] - 1:24, 76:13

open [2] - 33:4, 33:10

one -third [2] - 29:19,

36:2

ones [1] - 66:8

2:6 5:14
permit [20] - 3:6, 5:14,
11:19, 11:21, 13:7,
18:20, 25:16, 32:23,
36:1, 40:3, 44:10,
49:2, 49:9, 55:8,
58:20, 59:13, 66:23,
67:4, 67:22
permits [8] - 4:23, 5:4, 5:6, 5:9, 5:12, 5:15,
5:6, 5:9, 5:12, 5:15, 11:3, 19:19
•
personally [1] - 68:18
pertaining [2] - 50:10, 55:10
Ph.D [1] - 9:12
phone [5] - 24:23,
40:11, 42:13, 55:19,
59:1
picture [1] - 18:16
Pierre [1] - 2:3
pink [1] - 29:16
place [6] - 7:3, 16:17,
42:1, 42:25, 44:15,
62:13
placed [3] - 13:14,
53:12, 67:4
plain [1] - 9:19
Plains [1] - 56:19
Plan [1] - 10:16
plan [15] - 7:3, 7:8,
10:17, 21:4, 22:7,
22:8, 41:7, 59:9,
60:8, 67:4, 67:14,
67:15, 67:23, 68:22,
70:13
point [15] - 21:3,
23:14, 26:24, 30:14,
35:12, 38:4, 38:14,
38:18, 48:5, 51:1,
61:7, 61:19, 65:22,
66:3, 66:4
points [4] - 18:23,
38:5, 38:6, 57:2
policy [1] - 13:5
Pollution [1] - 10:16
pollution [2] - 7:2, 7:7
population [1] - 24:15
portion [9] - 6:6, 6:16,
12:1, 14:24, 15:5,
23:16, 28:23, 29:4,
47:5
portions [1] - 3:23
pose [3] - 5:20, 7:20,
8:12
position [8] - 19:19,
23:20, 24:8, 24:12,
31:14, 34:10, 35:21,
41:10
positions [2] - 56:6, 62:7
positive [2] - 48:22,

59:19 possible [1] - 37:3 possibly [3] - 66:23, 67:3, 68:2 postconstruction [9] -7:11, 19:5, 24:12, 24:16, 24:21, 35:21, 35:23, 36:15, 39:8 posted [1] - 44:17 posthearing [2] -12:9, 55:3 potential [2] - 7:5, 72:1 potentially [1] - 37:10 power [7] - 43:5, 43:9, 43:10, 43:13, 43:14, 43:18, 51:19 practice [1] - 13:3 practices [4] - 7:3, 7:8, 10:9, 33:12 prairie [2] - 38:10, 38:11 precedent [3] - 12:25, 24:16, 66:7 Precision [1] - 1:24 preconstruction [2] -18:4, 18:7 prefer [1] - 41:25 preference [2] - 58:19, 59:15 prefiled [2] - 15:9, 15:12 premature [1] - 62:4 prepared [3] - 40:20, 45:4, 50:9 presentation [2] -16:2, 55:18 presented [10] - 3:19, 3:24, 9:12, 9:20, 14:7, 18:16, 23:6, 48:25, 71:7, 72:12 pretty [1] - 68:19 **Prevention** [1] - 10:16 prevention [3] - 7:3, 7:7, 10:16 previous [2] - 32:3, 42:15 previously [1] - 65:13 primary [1] - 10:3 printed [1] - 29:14 private [1] - 8:7 problem [4] - 13:15, 14:22, 39:13 proceed [1] - 3:8 proceeding [6] - 4:14, 8:10, 9:22, 13:2, 16:25, 75:12

Proceeding [1] - 1:7

4:25, 5:10, 76:9,

proceedings [5] - 2:1,

76:12 process [9] - 3:22, 6:5, 6:9, 37:7, 50:15, 58:17, 59:1, 71:6 produce [4] - 7:23, 9:2, 9:9, 9:10 produced [1] - 8:19 product [2] - 72:3, 72.4 production [2] - 43:6, 43:14 professional [1] -62:14 Professional [2] -76:6, 76:19 **Project** [2] - 6:12, 23:8 project [64] - 4:18, 4:25, 5:13, 5:20, 6:6, 6:25, 7:6, 7:12, 7:20, 7:22, 8:6, 8:12, 8:16, 8:19, 8:25, 9:1, 9:14, 9:24, 10:5, 10:13, 10:24, 11:1, 11:3, 11:14, 11:18, 13:16, 13:21, 13:22, 14:12, 14:24, 15:5, 15:23, 16:8, 16:9, 16:11, 16:13, 16:14, 16:15, 16:17, 17:11, 18:18, 19:16, 21:14, 22:12, 23:18, 23:19, 23:22, 23:24, 23:25, 24:2, 24:9, 27:13, 27:17, 27:22, 28:10, 28:12, 28:24, 29:4, 35:12, 35:15, 35:19, 48:14, 61:7, 73:22 projections [1] - 73:2 projects [3] - 21:16, 56:17, 58:12 **proof** [3] - 4:15, 5:17, 11:18 proper [2] - 23:5, 49:17 **properly** [3] - 30:6, 49:18, 55:23 property [18] - 7:24, 8:7, 8:8, 14:2, 14:4, 14:9, 17:11, 17:13, 26:11, 26:12, 61:12, 61:15, 61:18, 61:20, 65:1, 70:25, 71:2, 71:3 **proponent** [1] - 40:19 proposal [5] - 10:2, 14:19, 26:22, 26:24,

15:25 **proposing** [1] - 49:10 protect [4] - 6:2, 28:9, 28:11, 29:18 protected [2] - 29:19, 72:10 **protection** [2] - 6:8, 6:11 protections [2] - 11:5, 19:8 protocol [1] - 47:15 provide [7] - 3:9, 14:1, 14:25, 31:14, 34:13, 56:13, 62:15 provided [5] - 8:5, 12:24, 44:12, 49:7, 56:25 provides [2] - 62:2, 72:11 **providing** [1] - 6:17 provisions [1] - 46:8 proximity [1] - 39:18 public [6] - 13:4, 13:5, 14:17, 64:24, 64:25, 74:24 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:9 Public [2] - 76:7, 76:18 pull [1] - 68:7 **purchasing** [1] - 69:12 purpose [1] - 39:10 pursuant [4] - 3:7, 7:17, 13:19, 44:11 pursue [7] - 51:12, 51:13, 56:10, 56:16, 56:23, 57:4, 57:5 purview [1] - 37:6 **put** [10] - 32:15, 46:21, 53:15, 59:8, 62:1, 62:17, 63:4, 63:6, 72:16, 72:20 putting [3] - 7:3, 67:3, 72:9 puzzle [1] - 74:4 **puzzled** [1] - 69:22 puzzlement [1] -69:20 Q

14:1, 16:12, 18:13,

21:18, 25:6, 26:23,

48:14, 49:8, 55:7

proposes [2] - 15:22,

quantify [2] - 52:4, 52:18 quasi [1] - 74:9 quasi -judicial [1] -74.9 query [1] - 34:6

questions [20] - 24:3, 24:23, 24:25, 27:5, 27:8, 28:3, 34:16, 40:10, 44:1, 44:3, 45:23, 47:17, 49:21, 53:23, 55:18, 61:10, 66:22, 66:25, 70:18, 74:12 quiet [1] - 71:4

R

raise [3] - 6:21, 29:1, 29:3 rather [1] - 17:13 rationale [1] - 39:13 reach [1] - 42:11 read [6] - 9:23, 32:10, 32:14, 56:2, 57:22, 68:1 readily [1] - 48:4 reading [1] - 9:19 readings [1] - 52:12 ready [2] - 32:24, 70:10 real [1] - 72:12 reality [1] - 15:19 realize [1] - 71:18 really [12] - 14:7, 26:6, 28:7, 28:8, 41:24, 45:18, 50:17, 52:15, 62:21, 65:11, 71:13, 72:3 **Realtime** [2] - 76:6, 76:19 reason [5] - 35:5, 36:15, 55:16, 56:10, 60:19 reasonable [3] - 5:24, 21:18, 66:4 reasonably [1] - 4:23 reasons [2] - 25:21, 39:7 rebuttal [5] - 3:13, 6:24, 12:1, 21:21, 22:18 **Rebuttal** [1] - 24:14 recalling [1] - 35:5 recap [2] - 45:10, 45:20 receipt [1] - 3:14 receive [1] - 62:5 received [2] - 11:17, 65.4 recent [2] - 5:10, 41:12 recently [2] - 30:1, 46:19 receptor [8] - 19:4, 20:17, 26:12, 26:14,

proposed [16] - 5:2,

8:10, 10:5, 10:25,

11:16, 12:7, 13:12,

26:25

26:15, 67:11, 67:24, 47:3 4:17, 5:11, 11:14, 7:4, 7:8 river [3] - 6:22, 6:25, **see** [19] - 20:8, 20:10, 69:5 regulator [1] - 47:4 7:5 73:15, 73:22 receptors [1] - 26:10 regulators [2] - 47:3, requires [3] - 4:22, road [1] - 73:19 20:11, 20:13, 22:1, recess [1] - 47:22 47:4 10:20, 19:24 roads [1] - 39:19 29:13, 30:11, 30:20, recognize [1] - 11:12 Reichert [1] - 1:15 requiring [2] - 35:21, robust [3] - 28:9, 35:25, 36:5, 43:19, 49:14 44:1, 54:5, 54:22, recollection [1] reiterate [1] - 23:19 29:18, 32:8 57:25, 59:1, 63:12, researched [1] - 69:22 34:19 related [2] - 16:8, **room** [2] - 20:5, 59:2 65:23 recommendation [6] -16:13 residence [1] - 8:20 RPR [1] - 1:24 **Senate** [1] - 19:9 15:18, 15:25, 17:2, relationship [1] residences [3] - 8:21, rules [4] - 4:19, 5:1, 17:12, 48:22 **sense** [3] - 50:19, 46:6, 62:3, 68:15 40.22 5:18, 14:8 53:22, 57:3 recommendations release [1] - 10:19 residents [1] - 16:23 Rules [1] - 13:25 sentence [5] - 41:20, [10] - 15:18, 40:21, relevant [1] - 9:13 resist [2] - 21:10, 60:4 rulings [2] - 11:8, 43:7, 50:2, 51:18, 41:1, 41:4, 41:5, reliability [3] - 12:19, resolved [1] - 19:12 22:25 42:1, 57:6, 57:24, resources [1] - 6:3 run [1] - 20:12 52:19 12:22, 23:1 64:22,66:22 separate [1] - 74:12 reliable [2] - 12:19, respect [3] - 17:11, serious [3] - 5:21, recommended [6] -15:16 20:3, 24:12 S 7:20, 8:13 16:16, 16:21, 18:5, relocate [1] - 15:19 respectfully [7] -**S7** [2] - 21:19, 55:5 18:6, 30:9, 67:21 **Service** [3] - 3:15, relocated [1] - 17:3 11:20, 12:7, 12:16, safe [1] - 75:11 recommends [2] -3:24, 37:2 relocating [1] - 16:20 12:25, 14:5, 18:12, 15:24, 21:4 safety [6] - 8:17, 10:7, services [1] - 10:18 18:19 relocation [1] - 16:18 10:8, 10:9, 10:11, record [26] - 4:14, 5:7, set [2] - 7:15, 8:10 respects [1] - 29:23 relocations [2] -10:24 8:16, 10:25, 11:25, 16:16. 16:21 response [1] - 3:16 setback [4] - 14:2, sample [1] - 43:11 14:20, 15:21, 17:14, 14:3, 21:16, 65:2 rest [3] - 4:1, 40:5, rely [2] - 12:24, 73:2 samples [3] - 41:22, 17:23, 21:20, 22:24, 44.22 setbacks [2] - 4:20, remainder [1] - 61:14 52:8, 52:21 22:25, 23:7, 23:17, 10:8 restrictive [1] - 8:24 remedy [1] - 13:1 SAPPINGTON [1] -24:3, 24:20, 25:22, setting [2] - 24:16, result [1] - 71:1 remember [3] - 60:10, 31:21 39:2, 39:5, 43:20, 66:7 results [7] - 9:10, 64:12.73:21 **Sappington** [7] - 6:14, 44:20, 45:25, 46:2, 9:11, 17:11, 36:19, seven [6] - 10:13, renewable [1] - 72:10 6:15, 6:24, 15:7, 56:2, 58:23, 73:6 15:20, 21:25, 22:21, 37:8, 41:20, 52:19 repeat [2] - 53:11, 15:8, 15:10, 15:14 recross [1] - 3:12 27:15, 48:20 resume [2] - 47:21, 53:12 Sarah [4] - 31:13, rectangle [1] - 74:7 several [5] - 16:25, 47:23 replacement [1] -31:20, 32:21, 33:8 red [1] - 20:12 39:17, 39:18, 57:21, return [2] - 20:8, 38:18 47:13 scenes [1] - 67:7 reduce [2] - 7:4, 10:3 71:20 review [6] - 14:9, 20:2, replacing [1] - 51:16 refer [3] - 12:7, 18:12, schedule [1] - 19:24 **shadow** [12] - 9:3, 9:6, replete [1] - 23:17 20:5, 37:8, 37:14, Schumacher [5] -19:4, 20:17, 20:20, 23:9 46:14 report [1] - 69:13 1:19, 4:12, 11:24, **reference** [1] - 45:3 40:21, 67:1, 67:10, reviewed [7] - 28:25, Reported [1] - 1:24 22:4. 23:19 referenced [1] - 52:2 67:23, 72:1, 73:3, 38:20, 38:25, 39:2, Reporter [4] - 76:6, SCHUMACHER [2] -73:15 references [1] - 23:17 39:11, 39:24, 56:8 76:19, 76:19 4:11, 11:25 shaking [1] - 47:9 referred [1] - 43:8 Rezac [1] - 1:15 reporter [1] - 76:9 scientific [8] - 36:17, **shall** [4] - 3:4, 3:8, referring [1] - 68:8 Ridge [44] - 1:20, 3:2, **Reporting** [1] - 1:24 56:12, 56:13, 60:7, 46:24, 67:22 reflect [2] - 17:1, 3:7, 4:13, 4:15, 4:21, representation [1] -60:8, 60:23, 61:5, shapefiles [1] - 6:18 17:14 5:3, 5:6, 5:8, 5:10, 16:1 61:21 share [1] - 24:6 refresh [2] - 64:14, 5:16, 5:23, 6:6, 6:10, representative [2] scroll [1] - 26:19 sharp [1] - 38:22 64:17 6:12, 6:16, 6:22, 8:2, 35:19, 40:13 **SDCL** [3] - 4:16, 5:19, sharp -tailed [1] refreshing [1] - 21:25 9:5, 9:12, 9:25, 11:6, request [5] - 11:8, 44:12 refuted [2] - 56:4, 62:7 11:11, 11:15, 11:19, 38:22 11:20, 18:2, 22:2, search [2] - 20:11, regard [15] - 3:17, 14:23, 15:5, 16:9, **short** [3] - 33:16, 46:12 20:13 16:10, 16:14, 21:15, 34:10, 47:22 14:4, 22:21, 23:8, Requests [1] - 24:14 **season** [7] - 30:22, 24:1, 24:5, 24:11, 22:20, 23:8, 23:12, shortfall [2] - 41:21, requests [1] - 19:20 31:8, 33:17, 33:22, 24:13, 26:11, 26:21, 23:15, 28:4, 28:7, 52:20 require [4] - 20:20, 35:25, 37:13 41:17, 69:3, 69:9, 28:23, 41:5, 44:11, **shorthand** [2] - 76:9 30:16, 60:25, 61:6 **second** [13] - 5:19, 73:3, 73:5 56:5, 56:22, 69:10, **show** [6] - 8:6, 36:19, required [15] - 4:20, 19:15, 26:8, 39:7, 69:11 regarding [5] - 3:17, 51:15, 55:4, 58:5, 4:23, 5:12, 7:9, 10:8, 42:17, 46:18, 50:2, ridge [1] - 8:12 16:18, 19:14, 29:1, 62:10 13:23, 14:8, 17:13, 51:23, 52:13, 52:22, **RIDGE** [1] - 1:4 **showing** [1] - 5:4 20:22, 40:2, 43:10, 52:23, 53:4, 53:17 regardless [1] - 70:24 Ridge 's [4] - 6:4, 8:11, **shows** [8] - 4:15, 5:20, 49:1, 57:22, 58:6, secondly [1] - 61:11 region [1] - 11:2 8:22, 62:6 7:19, 8:16, 9:20, 73:18 Section [2] - 5:8, rights [3] - 13:12, 10:23, 56:2, 56:25 **Registered** [2] - 76:5, requirement [3] - 5:6, 23:14 17:12, 71:2 **SHPO** [1] - 6:3 76:19 61:14, 65:19 sedimentation [2] **rigorous** [1] - 17:6 regulated [2] - 46:22, **Shultz** [1] - 4:12 requirements [5] -

shut [3] - 10:22, 36:7, 37:11 **shutdown** [1] - 52:16 sic [1] - 6:22 sides [1] - 32:14 signed [3] - 67:9, 69:17, 69:18 similar [5] - 34:8, 36:22, 37:7, 56:22, 57:14 **similarly** [1] - 8:16 **simple** [1] - 62:10 **simplicity** [1] - 47:6 **simply** [2] - 3:20, 54:4 site [2] - 38:7, 38:16 **sites** [2] - 10:2, 10:3 **siting** [4] - 5:23, 8:15, 36:12, 36:16 **situation** [1] - 34:1 situations [1] - 62:16 **six** [4] - 15:20, 15:25, 27:14,62:23 small [1] - 51:13 so-called [1] - 16:8 social [2] - 5:21, 8:14 solid [3] - 23:20, 60:23, 61:21 solve [1] - 39:14 sometimes [2] - 29:8, **somewhat** [1] - 50:19 **sorry** [2] - 53:21, 54:6 sort [2] - 20:21, 55:21 sound [23] - 8:19, 8:22, 8:24, 9:9, 10:4, 12:18, 12:21, 13:2, 17:12, 17:21, 17:24, 18:4, 18:7, 21:1, 26:9, 26:15, 47:14, 52:1, 52:6, 72:2, 73:4, 73:16 sounded [2] - 40:25, 51:11 sounds [1] - 19:12 **SOUTH** [2] - 1:2, 76:1 South [18] - 1:24, 2:2, 2:4, 3:7, 6:2, 6:3, 8:1. 14:8. 46:22. 46:25, 47:1, 47:3, 56:18, 57:21, 63:5, 63:8, 76:7, 76:13 **speaking** [2] - 12:6, 72:23 **specialist** [1] - 15:11 species [3] - 38:8, 38:22, 39:21 **specific** [4] - 33:1, 48:9, 50:12, 59:9 specifically [2] -23:11, 24:14

specifics [1] - 67:16 specified [1] - 22:10 **specify** [2] - 22:6, 53:2 speed [16] - 41:22, 42:5, 42:13, 42:16, 43:10, 43:12, 43:13, 52:2, 52:13, 52:15, 52:18, 52:22, 53:7, 53:13, 53:15, 53:16 speeds [1] - 52:9 spelled [1] - 43:14 spent [1] - 49:25 **spill** [1] - 10:16 **spring** [1] - 31:7 SS [1] - 76:2 stabilities [1] - 20:7 **stability** [1] - 46:20 **STAFF** [1] - 1:12 **Staff** [60] - 1:23, 3:10, 7:1, 9:17, 9:25, 17:5, 17:19, 18:5, 18:24, 19:2, 21:4, 21:10, 21:24, 22:21, 28:2, 28:3, 28:18, 29:10, 29:12, 29:21, 30:16, 31:4, 32:13, 32:18, 34:15, 34:23, 35:2, 35:3, 35:13, 37:7, 40:8, 40:20, 43:21, 46:12, 46:13, 48:18, 49:11, 50:18, 51:22, 54:17, 55:25, 56:3, 56:21, 58:2, 58:4, 58:15, 59:8, 63:1, 64:17, 65:7, 65:9, 66:16, 66:22, 67:19, 68:10, 68:22, 70:12, 71:17, 72:18, 72:20 Staff 's [12] - 11:16, 19:7, 21:18, 22:2, 24:12, 30:1, 40:23, 46:5, 55:2, 57:5, 68:8, 68:14 standard [6] - 17:21, 17:24, 18:3, 19:17, 20:14, 41:6 standpoint [6] - 49:24, 55:20, 56:6, 57:1, 71:14, 72:4 start [4] - 7:19, 22:22, 25:17, 45:21 **starting** [2] - 7:16, 65:18 starts [1] - 53:13 **STATE** [2] - 1:2, 76:1 State [4] - 2:3, 38:1, 46:22, 76:7 state [17] - 6:17,

10:18, 11:15, 11:24,

12:12, 12:23, 24:20,

34:6, 37:22, 39:23, 40:2, 43:17, 46:25, 47:4, 49:1, 49:7, 55 11 statement [2] - 37:22, 59:19 statements [5] - 9:21, 18:1, 20:25, 73:5, 74:1 states [3] - 41:20, 58:19, 64:2 States [1] - 56:19 **static** [4] - 6:9, 23:21, 31:1, 32:20 stating [2] - 7:2, 22:23 stationed [1] - 8:1 status [1] - 24:6 **statutory** [1] - 4:16 **stay** [1] - 34:25 Steffensen [1] - 1:14 **still** [9] - 6:5, 16:16, 16:19, 25:4, 25:8, 27:15, 43:16, 48:15, 50:16 **Storm** [1] - 10:15 **storm** [2] - 7:2, 7:7 **strategies** [1] - 32:25 **Strategy** [1] - 7:18 strategy [2] - 7:13, 36.22 strike [2] - 51:18, 53:14 **striking** [1] - 3:17 strong [1] - 40:19 **struggle** [3] - 50:14, 50:17, 71:25 **struggling** [2] - 65:11, 72:14 studied [3] - 6:16, 28:8, 29:17 Studies [3] - 29:25, 30:6, 38:4 **studies** [31] - 6:5, 8:5, 9:9, 12:22, 14:25, 17:4, 17:5, 22:8, 23:9, 23:11, 23:18, 23:20, 24:1, 28:25, 29:13, 29:24, 30:1, 30:2, 30:4, 30:16, 32:2, 32:3, 32:7, 33:4, 33:5, 35:4, 35:11, 37:20, 38:2, 62:2 **study** [41] - 6:5, 6:8, 15:4, 23:9, 29:1, 29:8, 29:10, 29:11, 30:3, 31:1, 31:5, 32:8, 32:9, 32:12, 33:10, 33:15, 33:16,

62:3, 62:15, 70:13 studying [1] - 45:21 stuff [2] - 13:23, 63:2 **Sub** [2] - 41:19, 46:18 subject [1] - 8:10 submit [4] - 12:16, 12:25, 17:10, 28:10 **submitted** [6] - 5:4, 12:17, 13:8, 21:5, 73:3, 73:6 Subpart [5] - 52:2, 52:11, 52:19, 53:2, subpart [1] - 52:4 Subsection [1] - 51:17 subsequently [2] -64:22,65:3 substantial [3] -17:17, 17:25, 48:23 substantially [4] -8:17, 9:15, 10:5, 10:24 sufficient [1] - 19:15 suggest [3] - 17:16, 39:19, 55:4 suggested [1] - 67:3 suggesting [3] - 36:4, 39:12, 52:24 suggestion [1] - 59:7 suggestions [2] -40:23, 47:7 suggests [2] - 23:4, 38:21 suitable [1] - 38:10 **SULLY** [1] - 76:3 sum [1] - 11:18 **summary** [2] - 8:9, 39:22 Supervisory [1] -10.14 supplant [1] - 41:17 supplanting [1] - 50:6 supplemental [1] -34:7 supplemented [1] -34:5 **support** [9] - 9:18, 9:23, 11:5, 12:17, 18:2, 24:18, 39:3, 39:6, 63:8 supported [3] - 21:21, 63:13, 63:15 supporting [2] -39:24, 57:11 supports [3] - 21:20, 24:20, 56:10

35:5, 35:9, 55:12,

55:13, 55:16, 56:14,

56:24, 57:23, 60:20,

60:23, 61:4, 61:6,

supposed [1] - 13:19 11 surrounding [1] - 64:3 survey [14] - 17:7, 18:4, 18:7, 21:13, 21:14, 24:11, 31:23, 34:3, 34:12, 37:9, 60:14, 60:16, 62:10, 62:12 **Survey** [2] - 31:22, 31:23 surveying [1] - 33:1 Surveys [2] - 35:12, 35:18 surveys [13] - 17:7, 21:9, 21:10, 29:24, 31:25, 34:5, 34:11, 35:13, 38:9, 38:13, 57:15, 58:5, 58:21 **suspicion** [1] - 13:4 synchronized [1] -50:20 **system** [2] - 4:2, 38:6 System [1] - 10:14 **systematic** [1] - 20:14 systems [1] - 8:4

Т

table [1] - 26:6 tailed [1] - 38:22 talks [1] - 43:4 target [2] - 38:7, 38:13 targeted [1] - 38:8 taxes [2] - 7:24, 8:8 technical [1] - 13:6 teetering [1] - 50:17 temporary [1] - 7:24 tenths [1] - 36:14 term [2] - 23:23, 41:24 terribly [1] - 32:6 test [4] - 12:19, 43:4, 52:15, 52:17 testified [4] - 9:14, 17:6, 56:5, 63:5 testify [2] - 15:8, 15:15 testimony [18] - 4:7, 9:22, 14:6, 14:14, 15:7, 15:9, 15:12, 15:16, 17:18, 21:12, 22:23, 23:7, 24:14, 25:25, 56:9, 56:25, 62:6, 62:7 **Testimony** [1] - 24:15 tests [3] - 41:20, 43:9, 52:20 **THE** [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, themselves [1] - 72:7 therefore [4] - 5:16, 8:8, 21:4, 74:5

34:18, 34:20, 34:24,

they 've [2] - 20:9, 61:13 thinking [1] - 65:17 third [2] - 29:19, 36:2 threat [3] - 5:20, 7:20, 8:13 three [10] - 8:5, 13:15, 16:12, 16:13, 19:2, 22:18, 28:17, 36:14, 37:12, 74:12 three -minute [1] -22:18 three -tenths [1] -36:14 threshold [2] - 9:19, 9.24 throughout [4] - 6:12, 23:23, 38:6, 38:16 throw [1] - 72:1 **THURBER** [1] - 64:20 Thurber [7] - 1:14, 42:18, 42:20, 42:22, 42:25, 64:19, 67:13 tie [1] - 53:8 **Timothy** [1] - 26:11 Tina's [2] - 44:14, 44:17 today [17] - 24:8, 30:25, 31:3, 36:2, 48:10, 48:11, 49:14, 61:10, 63:4, 63:12, 69:23, 73:1, 74:2, 74:11, 74:15, 74:23, 74:25 together [4] - 28:6, 59:9, 72:9, 74:12 took [3] - 42:25, 65:25, 76:9 top [1] - 68:6 totally [1] - 69:24 tougher [1] - 66:12 towards [1] - 50:17 Transcript [1] - 1:7 transcript [2] - 2:1, transcription [1] -76:12 transportation [1] -8:4 travel [1] - 75:11 tremendous [3] -56:11, 57:4, 71:15 tribes [1] - 6:2 tricky [1] - 74:3 true [3] - 17:19, 30:1, 76:11 truly [1] - 72:3 trusted [1] - 12:20 try [1] - 42:11 trying [4] - 39:14,

42:8, 58:21, 71:16 tu [1] - 52:7 turbine [15] - 9:6, 10:2, 10:21, 13:11, 13:13, 16:5, 21:6, 30:18, 36:17, 37:9, 37:12, 52:16, 61:13 turbines [33] - 10:11, 10:22, 15:2, 15:19, 15:20, 15:22, 15:23, 16:1, 16:4, 16:8, 16:10, 16:12, 16:14, 16:18, 16:21, 17:2, 25:6, 25:19, 26:1, 26:5, 30:11, 36:25, 37:4, 48:8, 48:12, 48:16, 48:17, 48:20, 52:14, 60:18, 61:17, 74:18, 74:19 turn [6] - 12:4, 18:24, 22:18, 43:25, 55:1, 66:16 turning [2] - 7:22, 10:7 tweak [1] - 66:2 **two** [14] - 6:19, 7:10, 10:21, 16:7, 16:9, 17:18, 19:13, 33:18, 46:7, 48:21, 48:23, 61:12, 66:13, 73:5 types [1] - 39:15 typically [1] - 46:23

U

typos [1] - 47:12

U.S [3] - 3:15, 3:24, 21:17 unaccounted [1] -14:24 unavailable [1] - 16:7 unaware [1] - 56:7 uncomfortable [1] -60:22 under [10] - 7:10, 13:25, 14:24, 18:11, 26:21, 26:23, 43:5, 43:9, 46:18, 55:5 understandable [1] -18:15 understood [1] -49:23 undesirable [1] - 72:7 unduly [2] - 11:1, 16:22 uneasiness [2] -55:19, 55:22 unfamiliar [1] - 14:10 unfortunately [2] -

60:7, 65:7

unique [1] - 56:13

unit [1] - 4:24 United [1] - 56:19 unless [7] - 9:22, 24:18, 26:1, 42:17, 45:22, 60:12, 60:19 unnecessarily [1] -16:23 unwarranted [1] -13:6 unwilling [1] - 39:1 **up** [21] - 22:5, 22:11, 25:4, 25:24, 26:10, 26:20, 27:18, 27:25, 29:24, 35:20, 37:3, 38:3, 41:21, 42:23, 43:15, 52:20, 57:17, 64:16, 68:7, 71:16, 72:3 **update** [1] - 25:7 **UTILITIES** [2] - 1:1, 1:9 utilized [1] - 46:9 utilizing [1] - 47:13

V

vacation [1] - 42:10 vague [2] - 32:6 valid [2] - 43:9, 48:5 valuable [1] - 56:20 **value** [3] - 8:7, 14:7, 62:5 values [4] - 14:7, 14:9, 14:11, 14:12 variety [1] - 44:25 various [1] - 48:13 verbiage [1] - 52:25 versus [1] - 30:2 via [1] - 33:24 viable [1] - 20:9 **VICE** [1] - 1:10 **volume** [1] - 71:19 vote [5] - 11:20, 58:16, 71:9, 71:12, 75:5 votes [8] - 54:14, 54:15, 63:22, 63:23, 70:6, 70:7, 75:9, 75:10 voting [1] - 72:24

W

wait [1] - 25:11
waived [1] - 69:15
waiver [8] - 9:6, 20:20,
20:24, 67:2, 67:9,
67:22, 68:21, 69:6
wants [2] - 42:17,
44:16
water [2] - 7:2, 7:7

wavelength [1] - 69:9 website [3] - 20:7, 44:15, 45:12 week [1] - 10:13 weigh [2] - 32:21, 43:2 weight [4] - 4:14, 23:1, 23:5, 32:15 welfare [3] - 8:18, 9:15, 10:6 wells [2] - 15:9, 15:10 WELLS [3] - 31:19, 33:13, 37:24 Wells [3] - 15:9, 33:13, 37:24 wells '[1] - 15:11 Westlaw [1] - 20:11 whatsoever [1] - 15:4 whole [1] - 38:7 whooping [1] - 40:15 Wildlife [6] - 3:15, 3:24, 17:8, 21:17, 37:2, 37:6 wildlife [11] - 7:13, 7:15, 15:11, 15:13, 17:6, 28:12, 32:25, 36:21, 40:19, 56:14, 63.7willing [6] - 37:11, 37:18, 39:14, 40:1, 46:17, 58:22 willingness [1] - 22:1 Wind [7] - 1:20, 3:2, 3:3, 3:7, 22:20, 44:11, 65:18 WIND [2] - 1:4, 1:5 wind [30] - 3:6, 5:10, 10:10.13:25.17:22. 17:24, 28:5, 36:17, 41:22, 42:5, 42:13, 42:16, 43:9, 43:12, 43:13, 44:11, 49:5, 52:1, 52:9, 52:12, 52:13.52:15.52:18. 52:21, 53:13, 53:15,

53:16, 60:18, 64:15,

wing [2] - 33:25, 35:14

withdraw [1] - 65:9

Witness [2] - 17:19,

witness [15] - 6:14,

6:15, 6:24, 7:1, 9:17,

10:1, 16:21, 17:6,

17:22, 18:6, 21:11,

27:21, 29:10, 42:10

witnesses [6] - 16:25,

17:18, 22:22, 23:1,

23:6, 23:13

Wittler [3] - 1:24,

70:23

18:5

Water [1] - 10:15

76:17, 76:18 WITTLER [1] - 76:5 wonder [1] - 34:11 wondering [2] - 40:23, 41:25 word [2] - 50:3, 51:18 words [2] - 17:4, 32:20 workers [2] - 7:25 works [2] - 25:9, 74:17 writing [2] - 12:23, 55:12 writings [3] - 17:20, 17:23, 18:6 written [4] - 12:21, 57:16, 57:19, 58:10 wrote [1] - 56:4

Υ

year [3] - 36:8, 63:1, 71:22 years [4] - 7:10, 9:13, 34:4, 34:18 yellow [1] - 29:15 yesterday [2] - 11:17, 22:6 yield [1] - 54:21