Exhibit AC31

1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL19-003
5	BY CROWNED RIDGE WIND II, LLC FOR A PERMIT OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN GRANT AND CODINGTON COUNTIES
6	IN GRANT AND CODINGION COUNTIES
7	Transcript of Proceeding
8	June 6, 2019 11:20 a.m.
9	Volume I, Pages 1-114
10	
11	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN
12	CHRIS NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER
13	COMMISSION STAFF
14	Karen Cremer
15	Greg Rislov Adam de Hueck
16	Darren Kearney Kaitlyn Baucom
17	
18	APPEARANCES
19	Miles Schumacher and Brian Murphy, appearing on behalf of Crowned Ridge Wind II;
20	David Ganje,
21	appearing on behalf of the Intervenors;
22	Kristen Edwards and Mikal Hanson, appearing on behalf of Staff.
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR Precision Reporting, 213 S. Main, Onida, South Dakota
25	cwittler@venturecomm.net

```
The following transcript of proceedings was
 1
     held in the above-entitled matter at the South Dakota
 2
     State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
 3
     South Dakota, on the 6th day of June, 2019, commencing at
 4
 5
     11:20 a.m.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	
2	STAFF WITNESS	PAGE
3	DAVID HESSLER	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson Cross-Examination by Mr. Murphy	19 41
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mulphy Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Commissioner Questions	57 98
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson	
7	APPLICANT WITNESSES	PAGE
8	ANDREW BAKER	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Schumacher Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	133 136
10	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	142
11	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schumacher Recross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	153 154
12	Recross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	158
13	SARAH SAPPINGTON	
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Schumacher Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	168 174
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson Commissioner Questions	188
16	Redirect Examination by Mr. Schumacher Recross-Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson	215
17	TYLER WILHELM	
18	Direct Examination by Mr. Murphy	221
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	232 250
20	Commissioner Questions Redirect Examination by Mr. Murphy	257 270
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Recross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	271 275
22	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	•
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>I N D E X (Continued)</u>	
2	APPLICANT WITNESSES	PAGE
3	SAM MASSEY	
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Murphy Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	278 281
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Commissioner Questions	286 292
6	Recross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	296
7	MARK THOMPSON	
8	Direct Examination by Mr. Murphy Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	299 301
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Commissioner Questions	308 310
10	JAY HALEY	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Schumacher	342
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Cross-Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson	372 375
13	Commissioner Questions Redirect Examination by Mr. Schumacher	3 8 4 4 0 2
14	Recross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	404
15	RICH LAMPETER	
16	Direct Examination by Mr. Schumacher Cross-Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson	415 418
17	Commissioner Questions Recross-Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson	422 431
18	DR. ROBERT MCCUNNEY	
19	Direct Examination by Mr. Murphy	432
20	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Commissioner Questions	436 438
21	Recross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	447
22	DR. CHRISTOPHER OLLSON	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Murphy Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	450 458
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson Commissioner Questions	483 485
25		

1	<u>I N D E X (Continued</u>)		
2	PUC STAFF WITNESSES	PAGE	
3	TOM KIRSCHENMANN		
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson Cross-Examination by Mr. Schumacher	497 512	
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Schumacher Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Commissioner Questions	513 518	
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Mikal Hanson	538	
7	PAIGE OLSON		
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje	5 4 1 5 4 4	
9	Commissioner Questions	548	
10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Edwards Recross-Examination by Mr. Schumacher	550 551	
11	DARREN KEARNEY		
12	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	552 557	
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Ganje Commissioner Questions	562 572	
14	Redirect Examination by Ms. Edwards	372	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1		I N D E X (Continued)
2	APPLICANT	EXHIBITS
3	A1	Crowned Ridge Application
4	A1-A A1-B	Appendix A - Figures Appendix B - Agency Coordination Dates and
5	A1-C	and Correspondence Appendix C - Dakota Skipper and Poweshiek
6	A1-D	Skipperling Survey Report Appendix D - '17-18 Raptor Nest Survey Report
7	A1-E A1-F	Appendix E - Avian Use Survey Report Appendix F - Bat Habitat Assessment Report
8	A1-G A1-H	Appendix G - Bat Acoustic Survey Report Appendix H - Sound Level Modeling Report
9	A1-I A1-J	Appendix I - Shadow Flicker Modeling Report Appendix J - County Conditional Use Permits
10	A1-K A1-L	Appendix K - Property Value Effect Studies Appendix L - Decommissioning Plan
11	A1-M A2	Appendix M - Telecommunication Studies Jay Haley Direct Testimony
12	A3 A4 A5	Kimberly Wells Direct Testimony Mark Thompson Direct Testimony Tyler Wilhelm and Sam Massey Direct and
13	A6	Exhibits Supplemental Figure 3a
14	A7 A7-1	Responses to PUC Staff's First Set of DR's Attachment to DR 1-1
15	A7-2 A7-3	Attachment 2 to DR 1-7 Confidential Attachment 1 to DR 1-13 Confidential
16	A7-4 A7-5	Attachment 1 to DR 1-7 Confidential Attachment 1 to DR 1-9 Confidential
17	A7-6 A8	Attachment to DR 1-10 EAPC Wind Energy's Letter and Updated
18	A9	Appendices A through D for Appendix H EAPC Wind Energy's Letter and Updated
19	A10	Appendices A through D for Appendix I EAPC Wind Energy's Letter and Updated
20	A11	Landowner Participation Status for Appendix H EAPC Wind Energy's Letter and Updated
21	A12	Landowner Participation Status for Appendix I US Fish & Wildlife Service's Letter regarding
22	A13	Environmental Comments US Fish & Wildlife Service's Memo regarding
23		Region 6 guidance for Minimizing Effects from Power Line Projects within the Whooping
24		Crane Migration Corridor
25		

```
1
                        I N D E X (Continued)
 2
      APPLICANT EXHIBITS
 3
      A14
                 US Fish & Wildlife Service, Region 6
                 Mountain-Prairie Region's Outline for a Bird
 4
                 and Bat Conservation Strategy: Wind Energy
                 Projects
 5
      A 1 5
                 2013 US Fish & Wildlife Service's Revised
                 Voluntary Guidelines for Communication Tower
 6
                 Design, Siting, Construction, Operation,
                 Retrofitting, and Decommissioning
 7
      A16
                 Responses to PUC Staff's Second Set of DR's
                 Attachment 1 to 2-30 Confidential
      A16-1
                 Attachment 1 to 2-15
 8
      A16-2
      A16-3
                 Attachment 1 to 2-8
      A16-4
                 Attachment 1 to 2-13
      A17
                 Supplement to 1-11; Appendixes A, B & D
10
      A18
                 Supplement to 1-12; Appendixes B & D
      A19
                 Responses to PUC Staff's Second Set of DR's
11
      A20
                 Responses to Amber Christenson and Kristi
                 Mogen's First Set of DR's
12
      A20 - 1
                 Attachment 1 to 1-6
      A20-2
                 Attachment 1 to 1-8
13
      A20 - 3
                 Attachment 1 to 1-9
      A20 - 4
                 Attachment 1 to 1-10
14
      A20-5
                 Attachment 1 to 1-11
      A20 - 6
                 Attachment 1 to 1-12
15
      A20 - 7
                 Attachment 1 to 1-13
      A20 - 8
                 Attachment 1 to 1-17
16
      A = 2.0 - 9
                 Attachment 1 to 1-18
      A20 - 10
                 Attachment 1 to 1-20
17
      A20-11
                 Attachment 1 to 1-22
      A20 - 12
                 Attachment 1 to 1-23
18
      A20-13
                 Attachment 1 to 1-46
                 Attachment 1 to 1-152 - Applicant's Responses
      A20 - 14
19
                 to Staff
      A20 - 15
                 Attachment 1 to 2-8
20
      A20-16
                 Attachment 1 to 2-13
      A20-17
                 Attachment 1 to 2-15
2.1
      A20-18
                 Supplement to 1-12; Appendix E
      A20 - 19
                 Supplement to 1-12; Appendix F
22
                 Supplement to 1-12; Appendix H
      A20 - 20
      A21
                 Supplemental Testimony of Mark Thompson
23
      A22
                 Supplemental Testimony of Jay Haley
      A22 - 1
                 Exhibit 1 - Supplemental Material that
24
                 Updates Sound Study to Reflect Landowner
                 Participation Status
25
```

1		I N D E X (Continued)
2	APPLICAN	T EXHIBITS
3	A22-2	Exhibit 2 - Tables: Crowned Ridge Sound Level Tabular Results Sorted by Receptor ID
4	A22-3	Exhibit 3 - Supplemental Material that Updates Shadow Flicker Study to Reflect
5	A23	Landowner Participation Status Tyler Wilhelm and Sam Massey Supplemental
6	A24	Christopher Ollson Supplemental
7	A24-1 A24-2	Exhibit 1 - Christopher Ollson CV Exhibit 2 - "Health Effects and Wind Turbines: A Review of the Literature"
8	A24-3	Exhibit 3 - "Effects of Wind Turbine Noise on Self-Reported & Objective Measures of Sleep"
9	A24-4	Exhibit 4 - "Impact of Wind Turbine Sound on Annoyance, Self-Reported Sleep Disturbance
10	A24-5	and Psychological Distress" Exhibit 5 - "Before-After Field Study of
11	712 1	Effects of Wind Turbine Noise on Polysomnographic Sleep Parameters"
12	A24-6	Exhibit 6 - "Health-based Audible Noise Guidelines Account for Infrasound and
13	A24-7	Low-Frequency Noise Produced by Wind Turbines" Exhibit 7 - "Measurement and Level of
14	A24-8	<pre>Infrasound from Wind Farms and Other Sources" Exhibit 8 - "Wind Turbines and Health, A</pre>
15	A24-9	Critical Review of the Scientific Literature" Exhibit 9 - "Low-frequency Noise Including
16	A24-10	Infrasound From Wind Turbines & Other Sources" Exhibit 10 - "Wind Turbines and Human Health"
17	A24-11	Exhibit 11 - "Exposure to Wind Turbine Noise: Perceptual Responses and Reported Health
18	A24-12	Effects" Exhibit 12 - "Estimating Annoyance to
19	110 1 10	Calculated Wind Turbine Shadow Flicker is Improved When Variables Associated with Wind
20	A24-13	Turbine Noise Exposure are Considered" Exhibit 13 - "Notes on the Identification and
21	712 1 10	Evaluation of Optical Emissions from Wind Turbines"
22	A24-13A	Exhibit 13 - "Notes on the Identification and Evaluation of Optical Emissions from Wind
23	A24-14	Turbines" (in English) Exhibit 14 - "Wind Turbines and Photosensitive
24		Epilepsy"
25	A24-15	Exhibit 15 - "Wind Turbines Flicker and Photosensitive Epilepsy"

```
1
                        I N D E X (Continued)
 2
      APPLICANT EXHIBITS
 3
      A24 - 16
                Exhibit 16 - "Potential of Wind Turbines to
                Elicit Seizures Under Various Meteorological
 4
                Conditions"
                Exhibit 17 - "Update of UK Shadow Flicker
      A24 - 17
 5
                Evidence Base"
                Kimberly Wells Direct Testimony adopted by
      A25
 6
                Sarah Sappington
                Responses to PUC Staff's Third Set of DR's
      A26
 7
                Attachment 1 to 3-2 Confidential
      A26-1
      A26-2
                Attachment 1 to 3-5
                Attachment 1 to 3-10
 8
      A26-3
      A27
                Responses to PUC Staff's Fourth Set of DR's
 9
      A28
                Letter regarding Allocation of Tax Revenues
      A29
                Amendment to the Application Crowned Ridge
10
      A30
                Letter regarding Kimberly Wells
      A31
                Supplemental Responses to PUC Staff's First
11
                Set of DR's
      A31-1
                Attachment - Notice of Entry of Findings of
12
                Fact
      A32
                Responses to PUC Staff's Fifth Set of DR's
13
                Attachment to Staff 5-1 Confidential
      A32 - 1
      A32 - 2
                Attachment 1 - Figure 3
14
      A33
                Applicant's Responses to Intervenor's Third
                Set
15
      A34
                Applicant's Responses to Intervenor's Second
                Set
16
      A35
                PUC Letter Forward Updated Maps
      A35 - 1
                Revised Figure 2 - Public Land and Facilities
17
      A35-2
                Revised Figure 3 - Project Map
      A35 - 3
                Revised Figure 3a - Project Map
18
      A35 - 4
                Revised Figure 6 - Environmental Constraints
      A35 - 5
                Revised Figure 7 - Constraints Map
19
      A35 - 6
                Revised Figure 8 - Topographic Map
      A35 - 7
                Revised Figure 9a - Surficial Geology
20
      A35 - 8
                Revised Figure 9b - Geology Cross-sections
                Revised Figure 10 - Bedrock Geology
      A35 - 9
2.1
      A35 - 10
                Revised Figure 11 - Soil Types
      A35-11
                Revised Figure 12 - Water Resources
22
      A35 - 12
                Revised Figure 13 - Land Use
      A36
                Supplemental Responses to PUC Staff's DR 2-41
23
      A37
                Rebuttal Testimony of Mark Thompson
      A37 - 1
                GE Power and Water - Original - Technical
24
                Documentation Wind Turbine Generator Systems
                1 & 2MW Platform - 50/60 Hz A37-2 Confidential
25
      A37 - 2
                Applicant's Response to Staff DR 5-2
```

1		I N D E X (Continued)
2	APPLICAN	T EXHIBITS
3	A37-3	GE Recommendation "Setback Consideration
4	A38	Generic xxHz EN r04" Chris Ollson Rebuttal Testimony
5	A38-1 A38-2	Noise Annoyance in Canada UK National Noise Attitude Survey 1999/2000
6	A38-3	The Association Between Self-Reported and Objective Measures of Health and Aggregate Annoyance Scores Toward Wind Turbine
7	A38-4	Installations Ann Assessment of Quality of Life Using the
8	71001	WHOQOL-BREF Among Participants Living in the Vicinity of Wind Turbines
9	A38-5	The Effects of Environment and Classroom Noise on the Academic Attainments of Primary School
10	A38-6	Children Low-Frequency Noise Incl. Infrasound from Wind
11	A38-7	Turbines and Other Sources The Pattern of Complaints about Australian
12	1130 /	Wind Farms Does Not Match the Establishment and Distribution of Turbines: Support for the
13	A39	Psychogenic, 'Communicated Disease Hypothesis Andrew Baker Rebuttal Testimony
14	A39-1 A39-2	Qualifications Valbridge Property Advisors Value Impact Study
15	A39-3	The Windy City: Property Value Impacts of Wind Turbines in an Urban Setting
16	A40 A40-1	Dr. Robert McCunney Rebuttal Testimony Curriculum Vitae
17	A40-2	Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Asthma
18	A40-3	The Scope for Biased Recall of Risk-Factor Exposure in Case-Control Studies: Evidence
19	A40-4	from a Cohort Study of Scottish Men The Placebo and Nocebo Phenomena: Their
20		Clinical Management and Impact on Treatment Outcomes
21	A40-5	Nocebo Effect of Informed Consent in Interventional Procedures
22	A40-6	The Nocebo Effect in the Context of Statin Intolerance
23	A40-7	Fright Factors About Wind Turbines and Health in Ontario Newspapers Before and After the
24		Green Energy Act
25		

1		I N D E X (Continued)
2	APPLICAN	NT EXHIBITS
3	A40-8	The Pattern of Complaints about Australian Wind Farms Does Not Match the Establishment
4		and Distribution of Turbines: Support for the Psychogenic, 'Communicated Disease' Hypothesis
5	A40-9	Can Road Traffic Mask Sound from Wind Turbines? Response to Wind Turbine Sound at
6	A41	Different Levels of Road Traffic Sound Richard Lampeter Rebuttal Testimony
7	A41-1 A41-2	Curriculum Vitae Wind Turbine Health Impact Study: Report of
8	A41-3	Independent Expert Panel - January 2012 Assessing Sound Emissions from Proposed Wind
9	A11 J	Farms and Measuring the Performance of Completed Projects
10	A41-4	Noise Control Engineering Journal March-April 2011
11	A42 A42-1	Sarah Sappington Rebuttal Testimony Maps
12	A43 A43-1	Jay Haley Rebuttal Testimony Crowned Ridge Wind Farm Shadow Flicker Iso -
13	A43-2	Lines Overview Map Crowned Ridge Wind Farm Sound Pressure Iso -
14	A43-2	Lines Overview Map
15	A43-3 A43-4	Crowned Ridge Sound Level Tabular Results Sorted by Sound Level Realistic
16		Crowned Ridge Sound Level Tabular Results Sorted by Sound Level (16 Turbines removed)
17	A43-5	Crowned Ridge Sound Level Tabular Results Sorted by Receptor ID Realistic
18	A 4 4 A 4 4 – 1	Tyler Wilhelm & Sam Massey Rebuttal Testimony Letter from Dennis Schmeling
19	A44-2 A45	Updated Maps Applicant's Responses to Intervenors' Fifth
20	A45-1	Set of DR's to Crowned Ridge Wind Attachment 1 to 5-2
21	A45-2 A45-3	Attachment 1 to 5-7
22	A45-4 A46	Applicant's Responses to Staff's Sixth Set of
23	A46-1	
24	A46-2 A47	The Ohio Power Siting Board - Amended
25		Testimony of David Hessler

1		I N D E X (Continued)
2	APPLICA	ANT EXHIBITS
3	A48	Public Service Commission of Wisconsin - David Hessler's Exhibit 4 - Low-Frequency
4		Noise and Infrasound from Wind Turbines, Noise Control Engineering Journal
5	A49	PSC Docket No. 2535-CE-100 - ExClean
6		Wisconsin - Hessler - 4 Low-Frequency Noise and Infrasound from Wind Turbines, Noise
7	A50	Control Engineering Journal Transcript of Public Service Commission of WI October 10, 2012, Docket N. 2535-CE-100
8	A51	Minnesota Regulation on Sound - 7030.0050 Noise Area Classification and 7030.0040 Noise
9	A53	Standards Final Land Status Map
10	A54 A55	Replacement Final Land Status Map Proposed Turbine Drops and Moves
11	A56 A57	Appendix D Sound ISO-Lines Map Book Hessler Appendix C-3 Sound Results Table Rev 6
12	A58 A59	Final Land Status and Hessler 7 on Intervenors Final Land Status and Hessler 7 Turbine Moves
13	A60	Hessler 7 on Hessler Identified Nonparticipants
14	A61	Joint Stipulation of Agreed to Conditions Between Crowned Ridge and PUC Staff
15	A62 A63	FAA Pending Turbine Locations Amended Condition 26 (Sound Level)
16	A 6 4 A 6 5	Granquist Option Confidential Papio Valley Land Confidential
17	A66	Crowned Ridge Wind's Letter regarding Extreme Cold Weather Package
18	A67	Appendix C-1 Shadow Results Table Rev5
19	A68 A69 A70	Appendix C-2 Shadow Results Table Rev5 Insurance Confidential Turbines and Grasslands
20	A / U	Turbines and Grassiands
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 I N D E X (Continued) 2 PUC STAFF EXHIBITS 3 S1 David Hessler Direct Testimony and Exhibits S1-AStaff's Responses to Applicant's First DR 4 S 2 Darren Kearney Direct Testimony and Exhibits S 3 Tom Kirschenmann Direct Testimony and Exhibits 5 S 4 Paige Olson Direct Testimony and Exhibits S 5 Additional Staff Data Requests 6 S 5 Additional Staff Data Requests Confidential S 6 Supplemental Responses to Staff DR 6 7 S 7 Staff's Proposed Lek Monitoring Condition 8 JUDICIAL NOTICE - SB16 INTERVENORS EXHIBITS 10 Public Service Commission of Wisconsin - A Ι1 Cooperative Measurement Survey and Analysis of 11 Low Frequency and Infrasound at the Shirley Wind Farm in Brown County, Wisconsin 12 Ι2 NARUC - 2011 - Assessing Sound Emissions from Proposed Wind Farms & Measuring the Performance 13 Paul Schomer and Pranav Krishna Pamidighantam -Ι3 A Possible Criterion for Wind Farms 14 Ι4 Research Gate - Health Effects from Wind Turbines Low-Frequency Noise & Infrasound: Do 15 Wind Turbines Make People Sick? That is the Issue 16 Ι5 David Hessler and George Hessler, Jr. -Recommended Noise Level Design Goals and Limits 17 at Residential Receptors for Wind Turbine Developments in the US 18 Ι6 Partial Transcript of the Evidentiary Hearing of EL18-026 19 Canons of Ethics for the Institute of Noise Ι7 Control Engineering of the USA 20 Ι8 Night Time Noise Guidelines 2009 (WHO) I9-AOllson 2014 Suncor Statement 2.1 I9-B Ollson 2014 Suncor Statement I10 Ollson 2014 Presentation 22 I11 Thyen Exhibit A Memorandum 2007 Thyen Exhibit B Release Letter 2012 I12 23 I13 Thyen Exhibit C Sound Map 2019.5.24 I14 IAIA Assessment 24 I15-A DR to Intervenors 4-1I15-B Jay Haley Resume 25

1		I N D E X (Continued)
2	INTERVE	ENORS EXHIBITS
3		SD License Search Mr. Haley ND License Search Mr. Haley ND License Search Mr. Haley
5	I18 I19	MN License Search Mr. Haley MN License Affidavit Mr. Haley
6	I20 I21 I22	Mr. Haley Cover Letter App H 1/22/19 Mr. Haley Cover Letter App I 1/22/19 Mr. Haley Cover Letter Updated Appendices
7	123	Sound A-D 2/19/19 Mr. Haley Cover Letter Updated Appendices Flicker A-O 2/19/19
9	I24	Mr. Haley Cover Letter Landowner Participation Sound 2/26/19
10	I25	Mr. Haley Cover Letter Landowner Participation Flicker 2/26/19
11	I26	Mr. Haley Cover Letter Additional Structures Sound Ex 1 2/19/19
12	I27	Mr. Haley Cover Letter Additional Structures Flicker Ex 3 2/19/19
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CHAIRMAN HANSON: We will begin the evidentiary hearing in Docket EL19-003, In the Matter of the Application by Crowned Ridge Wind, LLC for a Permit of a Wind Energy Facility in Grant and Codington Counties for the limited purpose of taking Mr. David Hessler's testimony pursuant to the Staff's request for exception to procedural schedule.

1.3

2.1

The time is approximately 20 after 11:00. It is Thursday, June 6, 2019, and the location of the hearing is Room 413 of the State Capitol Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota.

I am Gary Hanson, Commission Chairman.

Commissioners Chris Nelson and Commissioner Fiegen are also present. I am presiding over this hearing.

The issues at this hearing as set forth in South Dakota Codified Law 49-41B-22 are: First, whether the proposed facility will comply with all applicable laws and rules; second, whether the facility will pose an unacceptable threat of serious injury to the environment or to the social and economic condition of the inhabitants or expected inhabitants in the siting area; third, whether the facility will substantially impair the health, safety, or welfare of the inhabitants; and, fourth, whether the facility will unduly interfere with the orderly development of the region with due

consideration having been given to the views of governing bodies of affected local units of government.

Based upon these factors, the Commission will decide whether the permit should be granted, denied -- bless you, and please mute your phone.

Based upon these factors, the Commission will decide whether the permit should be granted, denied, or granted upon such terms, conditions, or modifications of the construction, operation, or maintenance of the project as the Commission finds appropriate.

The Applicant, Crowned Ridge, has the burden of proof. All parties have the right to be present and to be represented by an attorney. All persons testifying will be sworn in and subject to cross-examination by the parties. The Commission's final decision may be appealed by the parties to the State Circuit Court and the State Supreme Court.

Karen Cremer, our Commission counsel, will act as Hearing Examiner and will conduct the hearing subject to the Commission's oversight. She may provide recommended rulings on procedural and evidentiary matters. The Commission may overrule her preliminary rulings throughout the hearing. If not overruled, the preliminary rulings will become final rulings.

And my apologies to Cheri for doing exactly what

I asked others not to do, and that is when you are reading something, don't take off like an auctioneer. We do have a court reporter and, as I said earlier, she's very talented but when you're reading something, please read it slow enough so that people can -- so that she can keep up with you.

And regardless of whether we have a court reporter who cannot type two conversations at the same time, it is simply polite and required that we do not speak over one another. Make certain that you are recognized before you speak.

I now turn these proceedings over to Ms. Cremer.

MS. CREMER: Thank you, Chairman Hanson. Good

morning, everyone.

This is how we're going to proceed today. We'll have one of Staff's witnesses testify today. Please have the witness begin with a brief summary of his testimony, and then we will go to cross-examination.

We will begin the evidentiary hearing by taking the appearance of the parties.

Crowned Ridge.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Hearing Examiner
Cremer. Miles Schumacher, Lynn, Jackson, Shultz &
Lebrun, Sioux Falls, and Brian Murphy, Senior Attorney,
on behalf of Crowned Ridge.

```
1
              MS. CREMER: Intervenors.
2
              MR. GANJE: Thank you, Ms. Cremer.
                                                  This is
 3
    David Ganje appearing on behalf of Intervenors.
 4
              MS. CREMER: Staff.
 5
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: Thank you, Ms. Cremer.
                                                         This
 6
     is Mike Hanson, staff attorney. I will be conducting the
7
     direct examination of Mr. Hessler.
8
              MS. EDWARDS: This is Kristen Edwards on behalf
    of Staff. And at the evidentiary hearing next week
10
    Amanda Reiss will be appearing on behalf of Staff as
11
    well.
12
              MS. CREMER: Thank you. Are there any motions
13
    to be addressed before we begin?
14
              Hearing nothing, Commissioners, anything?
15
              Hearing nothing, Staff, you may call your
16
    witness.
17
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: Thank you, Ms. Cremer.
18
     Staff -- this is Mike Hanson, Staff attorney. We would
19
    call David Hessler. We believe that he is on the phone
20
     and will be testifying by phone; is that correct,
    Mr. Hessler?
2.1
22
              MR. HESSLER: Yes.
                                  That's correct.
23
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Hessler, this is --
24
              MR. HESSLER:
                            Yes.
25
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                This is Commissioner Hanson.
```

- 1 I'm going to swear you in. So on your honor you will
- 2 | raise your right hand.
- 3 (The oath is administered by Chairman Hanson.)
- 4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: You may proceed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. MIKAL HANSON:

- Q. Mr. Hessler, would you state your name and your
- 8 occupation.

5

7

- 9 A. Yes. It's David Hessler. I'm an acoustical
- 10 engineer and vice president of Hessler & Associates,
- 11 Incorporated.
- 12 Q. How long have you been so employed?
- 13 A. 28 years.
- 14 Q. Can you tell us your duties and responsibilities as
- 15 | an acoustical engineer for your company.
- 16 A. The company has always specialized in the design and
- 17 | testing exclusively of power generation facilities, and
- 18 | that's what I've been doing for the last 28 years. We
- 19 work on every kind of power generation from gas turbine
- 20 | plants to renewables, to wind turbines, of course.
- 21 Q. And you have been asked by PUC Staff to be involved
- 22 | in this particular case; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes. Just to offer impartial technical support.
- Q. Have you testified in front of the South Dakota
- 25 Public Utilities Commission in the past?

- 1 A. Yes. Several times.
- 2 Q. I'm going to ask you, have you reviewed or seen
- 3 | what's been marked as S1, Staff Exhibit 1? It is your
- 4 | proposed Direct Testimony that was filed prior to this
- 5 hearing.
- 6 A. Yes. I'm familiar with that.
- 7 Q. And outside of the -- well, let me back up.
- 8 The last pages of that include kind of a resume of
- 9 your work experience, do they not? Does it not?
- 10 A. Yes. That's Exhibit DMH-1 I think is my resume.
- 11 Q. And is that a fair and accurate description of your
- 12 past work experience in this area?
- 13 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 14 O. Now --
- THE WITNESS: Can you excuse me for one second?
- 16 I'll be right back.
- 17 (Pause.)
- 18 THE WITNESS: Sorry about that. Somebody just
- 19 came in the room.
- 20 Q. I was asking you about your prefiled testimony.
- 21 | Outside of the -- maybe some modifications that you'll
- 22 | make in your Direct Testimony today, if we asked you the
- 23 | same questions, if I asked you the same questions, would
- 24 | you give the same answers as the prefiled testimony?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1
              MR. GANJE: Excuse me. Excuse me, Ms. Cremer.
2
     In that regard, I'll renew my standing objection.
 3
              I ask that the record reflect an objection to
     any testimony of the witness based on a Permit
 4
 5
     Application and a modeling noise and flicker report,
 6
     which have not been admitted into the record and which
7
     have not been authenticated and which have not been
8
     subject to examination or cross-examination and for which
     no foundation has yet been laid.
10
              I make that objection for the record concerning
11
     Mr. Hessler's testimony.
12
              MS. CREMER: Staff, do you have a response?
1.3
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: Well, we're asking about his
14
     Prefiled Direct Testimony. We're not asking him about
15
     those things.
              If his prefiled testimony refers to those, I
16
17
     guess I'd ask it based on the preposition that those will
18
    be admitted at the evidentiary hearing on the 11th.
19
     Those questions will be related to that.
20
              MS. CREMER: Crowned Ridge, do you have a
21
     response?
22
              MR. MURPHY: As I said in the Ad Hoc Meeting, I
     have no objections to moving forward.
23
                                            I will be
24
     referring to issues that it sounds like the attorney for
     the Intervenors has a standing objection to.
25
```

- MS. CREMER: So, Mr. Ganje, if I understand, you
 want to just put a standing objection in now, and then we
 will proceed forward; is that correct?

 MR. GANJE: Please.

 MS. CREMER: Okay. And so we will acknowledge
 - MS. CREMER: Okay. And so we will acknowledge his standing objection to this. And you may proceed, Staff.
- 8 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Thank you.

6

7

- 9 Q. My understanding, your answer is that you do adopt
 10 your prefiled testimony; is that correct, Mr. Hessler?
 11 A. Yes.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Your Honor -- or Ms. Cremer.

 Sorry. We move for the admission of Exhibit S1 at this

 time.
- MS. CREMER: Crowned Ridge?
- MR. MURPHY: No objection.
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, I understand you have a standing objection, and it will be admitted.
- Q. Have you also, in regard to the Staff's request, reviewed parts of this Application, Mr. Hessler?
- A. Yes. I've looked at Section 13.3, which is the noise section of the general Application. And then also
- 23 Appendix H, which is the actual sound study.
- Q. And, again, my question is going to be assuming
- 25 Appendix H is offered at the evidentiary hearing on the

- 1 | 11th and assuming it is admitted, your review of that,
- 2 | can I ask you some questions in regard to that? Are you
- 3 | prepared to answer them today?
- 4 A. Certainly. Yes.
- 5 | Q. All right. The first question I want to ask you is
- 6 | the method and the quality of -- Jay Haley I believe who
- 7 did this study, did you review his method and the
- 8 | qualifications -- or quality of his noise study?
- 9 A. Yes, I did. And I found it to be perfectly
- 10 | satisfactory. The modeling is done correctly, in my
- 11 opinion, and gives accurate results. In fact, they're
- 12 | conservative by 2 dB because he's added an explicit
- 13 | safety factor onto the input turbine sound power level.
- 14 | So the modeling was well done.
- 15 Q. And have you worked with that type of modeling or
- 16 | software yourself in the past?
- 17 A. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. Let me ask you another just kind of general
- 19 | question.
- 20 Based on your review, are you familiar with Grant
- 21 | and Codington County's noise ordinances?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And based on Jay Haley's noise study or modeling,
- 24 does the footprint of Crowned Ridge wind farm meet those
- 25 ordinances in regard to levels of noise?

- 1 A. Yes. Both of the counties have a slightly different
- 2 requirement. Grant is 45 at nonparticipating houses, and
- 3 | Codington is 50 dBA at nonparticipating property lines.
- 4 The modeling shows compliance with both of those and, in
- 5 | fact, shows compliance with the Grant 45 dBA limit at all
- 6 | nonparticipants in both counties.
- 7 Q. Are you aware that there were some other wind
- 8 turbines from another project that weren't involved in
- 9 Mr. Haley's study that now maybe caused some
- 10 difficulties? Were you aware of that?
- 11 A. Yeah. I was made aware of that later, that there
- 12 | was some additional noise from other turbines involved.
- 13 That was beyond the initial sound study.
- 14 Q. And that Crowned Ridge is going to deal with that or
- 15 has made a proposal to deal with that. Are you just
- 16 | generally aware of that fact?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. Now when you reviewed the study, did you
- 19 | propose to the PUC Staff that there be some changes in
- 20 the positioning of certain primary turbines, wind
- 21 turbines?
- 22 A. Yes. Even though the modeling shows compliance with
- 23 | the 45 dBA regulatory limit, the project layout had the
- 24 unique characteristic that it had many alternate sites,
- 25 mostly in the northeastern part of the project area. And

Just almost coincidentally it turned out that if -- what I had in the original testimony was that if they had moved -- if they would move 16 primary turbines that were fairly close to nonparticipating residences to any of the alternate locations, that the sound levels at the nonparticipants in general would go down. In some cases just slightly but in other cases significantly.

And so I suggested which specific turbines ought to

1.3

project area.

be moved if possible and outlined them in an exhibit to my testimony, DMH-2, which circles those 16 turbines.

Q. And, again, the purpose of that in your mind would reduce the noise levels for the nonparticipating residents around those turbines; is that correct?

A. Yes. That's correct. Yeah. Because I believe in addition to meeting regulatory limits, every project should attempt to minimize the sound levels at residences and, in particular, nonparticipating residences. And it was evident just from the contour plot that improvements could certainly be made to this layout to reduce the levels at nonparticipants down to the approximate

And that's an excellent place to be. So that was the reason for my suggested rearrangement of the project.

vicinity of no more than 42 dBA at all of them in the

- 1 Q. And, in fact, this idea of having a goal, a noise
- 2 | goal in mind, you've testified about that in the past,
- 3 have you not?
- 4 A. Are you referring to the 40 dBA ideal design goal?
- 5 Q. Right. Correct.
- 6 A. Yeah. Yeah. I've maintained that for many years,
- 7 | that the ideal performance -- that that's where projects
- 8 ought to be to have a minimal impact on the community.
- 9 Q. Have you acknowledged that, though, in some wind
- 10 | projects, that that's not an attainable goal?
- 11 A. I would say in most cases it's not an attainable
- 12 | goal. That's a very low level and requires very large
- 13 setbacks to achieve.
- 14 Q. Now I'm going to have you refer to Staff Exhibit 1A.
- 15 Are you familiar with that document, and can you pull it
- 16 | up on your computer or have it handy?
- 17 A. Yes. I have that.
- 18 Q. And can you describe what that is?
- 19 A. This is a -- in response to the Applicant's request,
- 20 a Data Request, I tabulated the improvement, the
- 21 | approximate improvement that I speculated would result in
- 22 | moving all of those 16 turbines to alternate sites,
- 23 | specifically how much the level would go down at specific
- 24 | nonparticipants close to those turbines. So there's a
- 25 table in there.

- 1 Q. And the table includes -- I think the first thing is
- 2 | identifying a -- identifying by code kind of the
- 3 residence where the person lives?
- 4 A. Right. The residence, what turbine is near that
- 5 | house that's affecting it.
- 6 Q. Okay.
- 7 A. And what the current level is and what the estimated
- 8 reduction looks like it would be if that turbine were
- 9 relocated elsewhere.
- 10 Q. How did you come up with the estimates?
- 11 A. Just based on experience, optimizing project layouts
- 12 | in the past. I've done -- for many projects in the early
- design phase we take a look at the proposed layout and
- 14 | then iteratively made changes to it that are -- that
- 15 | would benefit nonparticipants in particular.
- And then the project then assesses whether those
- 17 | moves are feasible or not. And it goes round and around
- 18 until the project layout is optimized. But we have a lot
- 19 of experience moving turbines and deleting turbines, and
- 20 then I can kind of see what the end result would be
- 21 approximately.
- Normally when we do this we're at the model software
- 23 | and we can see the actual results. In this case I'm just
- 24 estimating what the improvement would be.
- 25 Q. With the acknowledgment that you estimate in some --

- 1 as you just described, it's a fair and accurate diagram
- 2 or table based on your work, knowledge, and experience?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. There is also on this document some other answers to
- 5 | questions. Were those just the answers to other
- 6 questions that were asked in the Data Requests?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Ms. Cremer, I'd move for the
- 9 admission of Staff Exhibit 1A.
- 10 MS. CREMER: Crowned Ridge, no objection?
- MR. MURPHY: (Shakes head.)
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, I'm assuming your
- 13 | standing objection is --
- MR. GANJE: Please.
- MS. CREMER: Thank you.
- 16 Staff Exhibit 1A will be admitted.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Thank you.
- 18 Q. Mr. Hessler, after you have made those proposals,
- 19 were you aware that Crowned Ridge has proposed a proposal
- 20 to accept some of your modification, and there's some
- 21 possible changes to turbine locations that they are at
- 22 | least considering?
- 23 A. Yes. I did see yesterday where they're offering to
- 24 move seven units --
- 25 Q. Wait. I'm going to stop you there. You're aware of

- l some offer that they made.
- 2 A. That's correct.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Can I ask a question of the
- 4 | Crowned Ridge counsel? Would that be all right?
- 5 MS. CREMER: Sure.
- 6 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Is those proposals something
- 7 | you don't want --
- 8 MR. MURPHY: It's part confidential for
- 9 discussion of settlement. So, yes, it's confidential.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: All right.
- 11 Q. Mr. Hessler, just a general question. Would those
- 12 | answers -- would that satisfy a lot of your concerns in
- 13 regard to the noise level at that project? Those --
- 14 A. Yes. Yeah. The proposal would go a long way
- 15 | towards improving the sound levels. It wouldn't do as
- 16 | much as I had suggested, but it would do a lot of good.
- 17 Q. All right.
- 18 If we go back to your Exhibit 1A, do you identify --
- 19 | almost all of those residents are nonparticipating
- 20 residents, are they not?
- 21 A. They're all nonparticipating, yes.
- 22 Q. And do you have savings or some noise level
- 23 differences of 5 dBAs and 4 in some those instances;
- 24 | correct? And 1 in some of them too.
- 25 A. Yes. That's correct.

- Q. And in the area of 5, that's a noise level reduction
- 2 | that has some significance, does it not?
- 3 A. That's very significant, yes.
- 4 Q. Do you in your experience as a consultant in wind
- 5 | projects -- does noise generate public complaints that
- 6 | would be -- that would come to let's say the PUC?
- 7 Is noise something that residents can and often do 8 complain about?
- 9 A. Yes. Just about every project has one to five 10 residents who are rather upset with the project.
- 11 Q. And are there some levels where you can expect more
- 12 | complaints? Are there dBA levels where you're going to
- 13 probably, from your experience and knowledge, expect more
- 14 complaints?
- 15 A. Yeah. The entire range of potential disturbance is
- 16 | really only 10 dB wide from 40 to 50. At 40 the level is
- 17 | so low in absolute terms that most people are fine with
- 18 it. But by the time they get to 50 the sound level's
- 19 very prominent, if not dominant, and often causes
- 20 complaints.
- 21 In between is 45, which is the regulatory limit
- 22 here. As a general rule, I would never like to see any
- 23 | nonparticipant above that level.
- 24 Q. In your work experience have you been involved in
- 25 | postconstruction noise level studies? After the project

- l has been built.
- 2 A. Yes. About six or seven projects had a requirement
- 3 in them that they had to be tested once operational, and
- 4 so we had an opportunity to go back and test and see what
- 5 | was actually happening.
- 6 Q. As a wind expert asked by the Staff to participate
- 7 | in this, do you have a recommendation to the Commission
- 8 about whether they should have a condition that requires
- 9 a postconstruction wind study or that would say do a
- 10 postconstruction wind study if you have complaints from
- 11 | nonparticipating residents?
- Do you understand the question?
- 13 A. Yeah. My view is -- sorry. Yeah. My view would be
- 14 to only do such a study if complaints arise or there's
- 15 | some reason to believe that the project is louder than
- 16 | the regulatory limit or louder than the expected.
- 17 The testing studies are a lot of time and expense
- 18 | involved in them. So I don't think it's something that
- 19 just ought to be done just for academic sake but just
- 20 only if there's a certain purpose behind it. And, even
- 21 | better, if there are complaints, then the testing can be
- 22 organized to evaluate levels at those specific
- 23 locations.
- 24 Q. And have you reviewed a proposed condition about
- 25 | postconstruction testing in this case? In this docket?

- A. Yes. There's a draft noise condition that's just been developed recently asking that.
 - Q. And does it --

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- MR. GANJE: Excuse me. I have objections about this. This has not been circulated or labeled as an exhibit.
- 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Identify yourself, please, 8 Mr. Ganje.
- 9 MR. GANJE: My apologies. David Ganje speaking.
 10 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, sir.
- MS. CREMER: Staff, do you have a response?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Let me ask it more generally.
- Q. In regard to the manner in which a -- I'll withdraw the question I just asked you.
 - But in regard to the manner in which a postconstruction noise study is done, do you have just some general outlines of how you believe is the correct way to do that?
 - A. Yes. Yeah. Any evaluation study should use automated monitors to capture a period of time long enough to capture a wide variety of wind and weather conditions. So normally such a survey would be at least seven, usually more like 14 days' duration. Just because during that period you're liable to get windy conditions.

If you measured for two days, the project might be idle the entire time. So normally you need to measure for an extended period.

Now in addition to that, the optimal way to evaluate what the sound level is at any particular location is to measure with -- both with the project on and off within a short period of time. For example, you can test and then have the project shut down the nearest turbines for just a short period, 15 minutes or so, and then have them put back in operation again.

What that does is provide you an accurate background level that can then be subtracted from the total level to get what the project is doing. And that's very important because background level is often very comparable to the project level. It can't be ignored. It really has to be accounted for accurately and subtracted out.

Q. Everybody in this room probably has more knowledge of testing noise. I've seen where you can do something for 10 minutes, little periods of 10-minute noise study.

Is that an alternative way to test after the construction?

A. No. A 10-minute period is very common in wind turbine work because the met tower wind data has historically been recorded in 10-minute increments, and you need that data as part of the study.

- Q. And to your knowledge has Crowned Ridge, at least as far as you know at this point, agreed to the two week --
- 3 | the longer proposed study, the one that you just
- 4 described first?

7

- 5 MR. GANJE: David Ganje speaking.
- I'm going to object. That hasn't been offered

in evidence. That's hearsay, and it's not a part of his

- 8 prefiled testimony.
- 9 MS. CREMER: Do you have a response, Staff?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Well, I think it's a general
- 11 enough question that he can respond to that. It's a
- 12 general question.
- MS. CREMER: I'm going to allow.
- 14 Q. Mr. Hessler, you can answer.
- 15 A. Okay. Well, in the draft noise condition a seven-
- 16 to 14-day period is offered, yes.
- 17 Q. All right. I'm just going to ask you some general
- 18 questions about infrasound. I anticipate from
- 19 Mr. Ganje's exhibits that you're going to be asked
- 20 questions about that.
- 21 So, again, basically for myself and the public
- 22 record, can you give us a short definition of what
- 23 infrasound is?
- 24 A. Yeah. The infrasound associated with wind turbines
- 25 | that have been problematic at some sites is, I believe,

- 1 | the blade passing pulsations that occur at around
- 2 | 1 hertz, which is an extremely low frequency, way beyond
- 3 | the range that any normal instrument can measure.
- But apparently there's a pulsation that's produced
- 5 | that a minority of people appear to be sensitive to and
- 6 have an adverse reaction to. However, that reaction is
- 7 very rare.
- 8 Q. In your knowledge and experience, educational
- 9 training, knowledge, and experience, has infrasound been
- 10 | a problem in wind projects throughout the United States
- 11 | and even I'll include the world?
- 12 A. No. It hasn't been a problem throughout the world.
- 13 It's only been a problem at a handful of sites that are
- 14 always mentioned in these proceedings, such as Shirley
- 15 and Falmouth.
- 16 Q. Do you expect problems with infrasound on this
- 17 | particular wind project?
- 18 A. I really don't. Because there are so many projects
- 19 operating without issues. It's only a tiny minority of
- 20 | projects that seem to have this problem.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: May I take a second, your
- Honor.
- 23 (Pause.)
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Ms. Cremer, we would tender
- 25 for cross-examination Mr. Hessler. Thank you,

```
Mr. Hessler.
1
2
              MS. CREMER:
                          Thank you.
 3
              Crowned Ridge.
              MR. MURPHY:
                          Thank you. I want to make sure
 4
    that Mr. Hessler has received the cross-examination
5
 6
     exhibits that I sent to Kristen and Mr. Ganje.
7
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: I never saw something marked
8
    cross-examination exhibits. I saw --
9
              MR. GANJE:
                          David Ganje speaking.
10
              MS. CREMER: Yes. Go ahead, Mr. Ganje.
              MR. GANJE: Ms. Cremer, I don't know which
11
12
     exhibits he's referring to. Was this on the general
13
     exhibit list of a day or so ago or are these some new
14
     ones separate or were they submitted separately?
15
              MS. CREMER: Could you just identify for us
     those numbers?
16
17
              MR. MURPHY: The numbers are 47 through 51.
18
              MS. CREMER: And when did you submit those?
19
              MR. MURPHY: Just right after the Motion to
20
    Dismiss. We have copies of them given that they haven't
21
    been prefiled, and I electronically sent them to the
22
    attorneys.
23
              MS. CREMER:
                          So I'll give everyone a minute to
24
    see if they have those electronically.
25
              Ms. Edwards.
```

```
MS. EDWARDS: I did not receive them. But I --
1
2
     I cannot receive e-mails over a certain size so maybe
    they didn't go through. But if you have a paper copy,
 3
    that's fine for me.
 4
5
              MS. CREMER: And, Mr. Ganje, did you receive
    those?
6
7
              MR. GANJE: I am not aware of them as I sit
8
    here.
              MS. CREMER: Okay.
10
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And, Ms. Cremer, will the
11
    Commissioners get this too, Ms. Cremer? I'm going to go
12
    on mute again.
13
              MS. CREMER: Yes. They have paper copies for
14
    those of us in the room, and we will get those sent to
15
    you electronically.
              Are those exhibits you're going to need right
16
17
    now?
18
              MR. MURPHY: (Nods head.)
19
              MS. CREMER: Yeah. Okay.
20
              Let's just take a couple minutes here, let's say
21
    five after, and make sure everyone has those.
22
              Thank you.
23
              MR. GANJE: David Ganje speaking. Could they be
24
     submitted to me by e-mail? Again, I did not receive
25
     these documents.
```

```
1
              MS. CREMER: Yes. They're going to try those
2
     again.
 3
                     (A short recess is taken.)
 4
              MS. CREMER: Commissioner Fiegen, are you on the
5
     line?
 6
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I am.
                                           I am.
7
              MS. CREMER: Apparently you are. And then,
8
    Mr. Hessler, are you on?
              MR. HESSLER: Yes, I am.
10
              MS. CREMER: And, Mr. Ganje, are you on?
11
              MR. GANJE: I just stepped back from my PC, but
12
     I have something to report.
13
              MS. CREMER: Okay. I think what we will do is
14
    break until 1 o'clock, and that way everyone can make
15
     sure they get these and have a chance to look at them.
16
     So we will be in recess until 1 o'clock.
17
              Thank you.
18
              MR. GANJE: Ms. Cremer, may I make a statement,
19
    please.
20
              MS. CREMER: Sure.
21
              MR. GANJE: Ms. Cremer, I would like to object
22
    to this last-minute disclosure of these. Apparently they
23
    were sent to me this morning, but today's the hearing. I
24
    did the courtesy of providing my exhibits four or five
25
     days ago to the opposition with regard to the prospective
```

```
1
    Hessler testimony. And I'm getting --
2
              You know, it's like I'm getting blindsided here.
    Oh, they give them to me the morning of the hearing.
 3
 4
    That's not courteous. That's very discourteous, and I'm
 5
    very upset and want the record to indicate that.
 6
              MS. CREMER: Thank you. It is so noted.
7
              I would note, however, that it's exhibits,
8
    testimony for direct. This is cross-examination, and I
    believe it is acceptable.
10
              So we will reconvene at 1 o'clock. Thank you.
11
                     (A lunch recess is taken.)
12
              MS. CREMER: I'm going to call this hearing back
13
     into order after the short recess. And I believe we were
14
    with Crowned Ridge.
15
              MR. MURPHY:
                          Thank you. I just wanted to check
16
    that David Hessler's on the phone.
              MS. CREMER: Oh, yeah. Good idea. Chairman
17
18
    Fiegen, are you on the -- no, you're not the Chairman.
19
              Commissioner Fiegen, are you on the phone?
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen is
21
    present. Thank you.
22
              MS. CREMER: And Mr. Hessler.
23
              MR. HESSLER: Yes. I'm here.
24
              MS. CREMER: And Mr. Ganje. Okay. He just got
25
    disconnected so we'll give him a minute to get back on.
```

1 (Pause.) 2 Mr. Ganje, are you on the phone? MS. CREMER: 3 MR. GANJE: Yes. MS. CREMER: So is everyone else. 4 5 Mr. Hessler, I would remind you you are still 6 under oath. And we will start with Crowned Ridge. Catch 7 us up where we are. 8 MR. MURPHY: I was about to start my 9 cross-examination. My understanding is the 10 cross-examination exhibits have been circulated. 11 MR. GANJE: May I address the Commission? 12 MS. CREMER: Yeah. Just a minute, please. 1.3 Commissioner Fiegen, did you get the exhibits? 14 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I did. Thank you. 15 MS. CREMER: And, Mr. Ganje, did you get the exhibits? 16 17 MR. GANJE: I received these exhibits late this 18 morning for the first time, and I object to the use of 19 these exhibits. The scheduling order requires that all 20 exhibits be disclosed, and I received this exhibit list 21 from the Applicant on Monday of this week. 22 exhibits were not included. To supply these exhibits now is unfair and a denial of due process. 23 24 The scheduling order of the Commission does not

exclude from exhibit -- any exhibits that are to be used

- 1 for cross-examination or other purposes. Those exhibits
- 2 | are also to be in the exhibit list, and they were not
- 3 included.
- 4 MS. CREMER: Thank you, Mr. Ganje.
- 5 Again, I would just reiterate that this is
- 6 cross-examination. And as normal practice in any
- 7 | courtroom or in front of us, it is the Direct Testimony
- 8 and exhibits that are filed prefiled.
- 9 So, with that, Crowned Ridge, please proceed.
- MR. MURPHY: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. MURPHY:

- 13 Q. Mr. Hessler, my name is Brian Murphy, and I'm
- 14 representing Crowned Ridge. I will be asking you
- 15 questions this afternoon. I just want to make sure that
- 16 | you have the exhibits electronically and for you to know
- 17 I'm going to go slowly given that you're on the phone.
- 18 Mr. Hessler --
- 19 A. Okay. Yeah. I did get the exhibit, probably
- 20 | 300 pages. And I haven't read them all.
- 21 Q. Thank you.
- 22 First I'd like to turn to Exhibit S1, which is your
- 23 Direct Testimony, and I'd like to go to page 2. Can you
- 24 let me know when you're there?
- 25 A. Okay.

- 1 Okay.
- 2 Q. Thank you. At the bottom of page 2 and the top of
- 3 | page 3 of your Direct Testimony you state that you
- 4 reviewed Crowned Ridge Wind sound study and Witness
- 5 | Haley's Direct Testimony; is that correct?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Now if you'd go to Mr. Haley's Direct Testimony,
- 8 | which is marked as Exhibit A2, and let me know when you
- 9 get there.
- 10 A. Okay.
- 11 Q. Please go to page 5 and to lines 22 through 24.
- 12 A. Okay.
- 13 Q. There Mr. Haley states that "The Applicant's wind
- 14 turbine array will produce sounds levels of 45 dBA or
- 15 less at all nonparticipant occupied structures."
- Am I reading that testimony correctly?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Thank you.
- 19 I'd like to go to what was submitted to you
- 20 electronically and marked as Exhibit A47.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. And since you have just received this, if you would
- 23 | take some time and look at it, I believe you're going to
- 24 recognize this as a motion that was submitted and your
- 25 | Amended Direct Testimony in the 2012 Champaign wind case

- 1 before the Ohio Power Siting Board.
- 2 A. Yes. I remember it.
- 3 Q. Okay.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- MR. MURPHY: I'd like to enter that exhibit into the record.
- 6 MR. MIKAL HANSON: I guess we'd object to not being relevant.
 - MR. GANJE: I object for the reasons I've indicated before. And I would also note for the record that all of the exhibits that they're referring to are not necessarily -- have not necessarily been supplied to me.
 - For example, when I just recently looked at my e-mail today, there's one called CO -- the letters CO.

 There was no label to it, no identifiable labeling marked concerning it as an exhibit. That would be an example of this last minute throwing out of exhibits to which I object.
 - MS. CREMER: So, Crowned Ridge, could you not use these exhibits simply for identification purposes only at this point?
 - MR. MURPHY: Certainly. Happy to do that.
- MS. CREMER: And then offer them if need be at the hearing beginning next week?
- MR. MURPHY: Happy to do that.

- 1 MS. CREMER: All right.
- 2 With that, I am going to acknowledge that this
- 3 | is -- we're not going to admit it at this point, but it
- 4 is being used for identification purposes only.
- 5 MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
- 6 Q. Mr. Hessler, this was Direct Testimony that you
- 7 | provided on behalf of Champaign Wind; is that correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And Champaign Wind was the Applicant in that
- 10 proceeding? That is correct as well?
- 11 A. That's correct. Yes. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. If you go to page 5 of your testimony before the
- 13 Ohio Power Siting Board. And specifically I want to
- 14 refer you to the response to Question 9 on page 5.
- 15 Let me know when you're there and you've refreshed
- 16 | your memory since this was 2012.
- 17 A. Question 9 you're talking about?
- 18 Q. Yeah. Your response to Question 9.
- 19 A. Okay. Yes. I recall that.
- 20 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 21 The second sentence to your response to Question 9
- 22 | states that "A 45 dBA regulatory limit fairly balances
- 23 | the interests of all parties."
- 24 Am I reading that correctly?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Do you also recall that the 45 dBA regulatory limit
- 2 here refers to nonparticipants and at their residence?
- 3 Do you recall that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. There you also mention -- in support of the 45 dBA
- 6 regulatory limit you cite to an article you authored, an
- 7 | article that was published in the Noise Control
- 8 | Engineering Journal in January of 2011.
- 9 That's correct as well?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Thank you.
- 12 Will you turn to page 7 of your testimony.
- 13 A. Exhibit S1 you're talking about?
- 14 Q. No. I'm sorry. Of the testimony that we're -- the
- 15 Ohio Siting Board, the one that's marked preliminary
- 16 Exhibit 47.
- 17 And there I want to point your attention to your
- 18 response to Question 13. Just let me know when you're
- 19 there.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Now, just for the record,
- 21 | I've got that as page 11, and you called it page 7. Is
- 22 | there different page numbers that I'm -- I mean, I can
- 23 | see where you say Question 13 so I'm pretty sure the
- 24 witness can find it.
- MR. MURPHY: It's page 7 of his testimony.

- 1 | Bates stamping is of the exhibit.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: All right. Thank you.
- 3 A. Yes. I'm there. Yeah.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 A. It's page 7 of the original testimony, but it's also
- 6 | page 11 of the overall document including the
- 7 introduction and so on.
- Q. Thank you. And I appreciate it. I want everybody
- 9 to be on the same page.
- In response to Question 13 you stated again that the
- 11 | 45 dBA regulatory limit for nonparticipants is fair and
- 12 reasonable. I'm reading that correctly?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And then you go on to state in the second sentence
- 15 that "The rate of complaints from the project sound level
- 16 between 40 and 45 dBA is about 2 percent of the
- 17 population."
- 18 Am I reading that correctly?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. And then you conclude in the sentence that you would
- 21 expect an acceptance rate for the project to be in the
- 22 order of 98 percent.
- 23 Again, am I reading that correctly?
- 24 A. That's correct. That stems from postoperational
- 25 | tests that we did on a nearly completed project.

- 1 | Q. Thank you. Let's go back to page 6 of this
- 2 testimony and in response to Question 10.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. And here you explain that there's also a compliance
- 5 | measure for sound at a level of 50 dBA at the property
- 6 | boundary for nonparticipants; correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And this is the same measure of compliance imposed
- 9 by Codington County for the Crowned Ridge Wind Project.
- 10 Would you agree with that statement?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Now let's go to the top of page 7. And there you
- explain that the Champaign Wind Project will exceed
- 14 | 50 dBA threshold and in some instances by 1 or 2 dBA. Am
- 15 | I also reading that correctly?
- And, again, take your time. I realize this was
- 17 2012.
- 18 A. Yeah. This is page 7 of the actual numbering or --
- 19 Q. Yes. At the very top. The first two lines talk
- 20 about the project may exceed the dBA.
- 21 A. Oh, yeah. That's correct. Yeah. Yeah. I remember
- 22 that. It's because the 50 dBA sound contour sometimes
- 23 | clipped off corners of property in tiny areas where it
- 24 | didn't always remain below 50.
- 25 Q. Thank you.

And in that paragraph you conclude the sentence by stating that "The 2 dBA increase would not affect the probability of an adverse reaction to the noise in that project."

Am I reading that correctly?

- A. Yes. Because property lines are different from residences. The main problem with wind surface noise is sleep disturbance or disturbance at night, and at a property line there's nobody out there at night so --
- Q. And, to your point, one of your premises, which is directly above, is that "A 2 dBA increase from 50 to 52 has no tangible meaning in terms of audibility."

Am I reading that correct as well?

- A. Yeah. That's correct. If the level were 50 and then it would change to 52 somehow, it would sound pretty much the same.
- 17 Q. Thank you.

6

7

8

- Let's go back to your Direct Testimony in this case,

 Exhibit S1. And let me know when you're there.
- 20 A. Yeah. Okay.
- 21 | Q. Go to page 9, line 17.
- 22 A. Correct. Yes. I'm there.
- Q. And similar to the question and answer we just had on the 2 dBA increase, here you're saying that a 2 dBA

25 decrease in sound is imperceptible.

- Am I reading that correctly?
 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Thank you.

I'd like to in this testimony turn to page 6 at the top of line 1. At line 1. And there you state the proposal to -- I'm sorry. There you recognize that Crowned Ridge has 17 alternative turbine locations; right?

- 9 A. Yes.
- Q. And then in lines 10 through 12 you propose using
 11 16 of the 17 alternative turbine locations to reduce the
 12 potential sound impact to nonparticipants; correct?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And you use the descriptor of the use of 16 of the
- 15 | 17 turbines could substantially reduce the noise impact.
- 16 Am I reading that correctly?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- Q. On lines 12 and 13 you make the presumption that the 19 16 turbines would not necessarily impact the power 20 production of the project, nor the economics of the 21 project.
- 22 Am I reading that correctly?
- 23 A. That is what I said, yes.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 And is it fair to say you did not conduct an

- 1 | analysis of the power production impact on the Crowned
- 2 Ridge project?
- 3 A. No. This is based on the assumption that all of the
- 4 | sites were essentially equal and had already been
- 5 developed and ready to build on, essentially.
- 6 Q. So you led into my next couple of questions, which
- 7 | you didn't conduct an analysis of the economic impact
- 8 then as well; right?
- 9 A. No. I have no way of knowing that.
- 10 Q. Or the constructability of the alternative sites;
- 11 correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Thank you.
- Now let's turn to what has been preliminary marked
- as A34-4, which is Exhibit 4 to Crowned Ridge Witness
- 16 | Haley's Rebuttal Testimony. And this is the exhibit
- 17 where Mr. Haley ran a model based on your recommendation.
- And let me know when you get there.
- 19 A. So that was A34-4?
- 20 Q. Yes. And it's entitled Table C3, 16 turbines
- 21 | removed as suggested by Mr. Hessler.
- 22 A. The only thing I'm coming up with is A34,
- 23 Applicant's Responses to Intervenors' Second Set.
- Q. Oh. 43. I'm sorry. I'm dyslexic. Which I am
- 25 dyslexic.

```
1
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: And for the purposes of the
2
     evidentiary hearing, if you want to offer this exhibit,
 3
     the Staff does not object to this exhibit. And I think
     that this has gone out to the parties earlier than just
 4
 5
     today so, you know, the objection would appear to be not
     true to this exhibit.
 6
7
              MR. MURPHY: Well, I thought --
8
              MR. GANJE: Mr. Ganje speaking, if I may speak,
9
     Ms. Cremer.
10
              MS. CREMER: Certainly.
11
              MR. GANJE: I would object to the offering of
     this exhibit. My standing objection clearly goes to
12
13
     foundation, to the authenticity, to the sound study, to
14
     the flicker study, to all of those things that would
15
     require the author and the composer of the document.
              To offer them now, there's no basis for it.
16
17
     That's the purpose for my standing objection. So counsel
18
     for the Staff is incorrect in its interpretation of my
19
     objections in this matter.
              I don't know, in fact, whether Mr. Murphy will
20
21
     bother to offer them at this time, but I want to clarify
22
     that for the purposes of my honorable colleagues on the
23
     Staff counsel who is misinterpreting what I objected to.
24
              Thank you.
```

MS. CREMER: Thank you. And yes.

It hasn't

- been offered. So please continue.
- Q. Mr. Hessler, again, are you at this exhibit? I want
- 3 | to make sure, since I was confusing in the beginning,
- 4 transposing the numbers.
- 5 A. Yes. I've found it.
- 6 Q. Thank you.
- 7 And this is the exhibit which Mr. Haley showed the
- 8 results of your proposal to use the alternative turbine
- 9 locations instead of the certain primary locations;
- 10 | correct? Is that how you read it?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 | Q. And the first page of the exhibit is showing
- 13 | nonparticipants in Codington County. Is that how you
- 14 read it as well?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 | O. I'd like to focus the attention on the column
- 17 | entitled Reduction, which is the second from the last.
- 18 And let me know if you're there.
- 19 A. Yeah. Yeah. I see that.
- 20 Q. And when I go down that column I count three
- 21 | nonparticipant receptors that would -- under your
- 22 proposal would experience over a 3 dBA reduction.
- 23 | Specifically, I'm reading in that column one recipient
- 24 | would receive a 4.2 reduction. That's about halfway
- 25 down. And after that, 3.7 and 5.6.

- Are you reading it the same way as I am?
- 2 A. Yeah. Yes.
- 3 Q. Thank you.
- 4 Then I'd like to go to the second page and to the
- 5 | third page. I'm sorry. To the last page, which shows
- 6 | nonparticipants in Grant County. And I want to make sure
- 7 | that we're in agreement that's what this is showing.
- 8 A. On the last page. Yeah. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. And doing the same exercise, going to the column
- 10 Reduction and going down about 13, I count one receptor
- 11 in Grant County that would receive a 3 or more dBA
- 12 reduction, specifically a 3.6 dBA reduction.
- Do you see that?
- 14 It's about 13 down. It is receptor CR1G16MP.
- 15 A. No. I'm not seeing that.
- 16 Q. Okay. Let's make sure that we're on the same page.
- 17 This is -- I'm sorry. It is page 3. And the first
- 18 receptor --
- 19 A. Okay. All right. It's the second to the last page.
- 20 Yep. I see that.
- 21 Q. So, again, the exercise to go down to the Reduction
- 22 | column, and I'm seeing, about 13 down, 1 receptor that
- 23 | would receive over a 3 dBA reduction under your proposal.
- 24 Am I reading that correct?
- 25 A. Uh-huh. Yeah. What's wrong with that?

Q. Well, I'd like to focus on those four receptors that result in a 3 or greater dBA reduction under your proposal.

Is it fair to say that based on what you reviewed that those results can be produced without using all 16 alternative turbine locations?

2.4

MR. GANJE: I'm going to object. We're really in a speculative area here now because we don't know what these alternative locations would be. There's no final project here. This is getting so speculative. It's even beyond cross-examination.

The Commissioners have clearly indicated their disappointment in the incomplete nature of this project, and it has mostly to do with where the turbines are located, that is where the lines to connect the turbines, which turbines will finally be used, which turbines won't be used, where are the collector lines, where can they have them, where can they not have them, where are the easements.

We're way out in the never world, and I object in that regard.

MS. CREMER: Staff, it's your witness. Do you have anything to add?

MR. MURPHY: If it helps, this is my last question.

1 MR. GANJE: Okay. 2 We don't object. We know MR. MIKAL HANSON: where the alternates are. I think it's always been clear 3 that he said any of the alternate sites would support 4 5 these reductions, and we don't object. 6 MS. CREMER: Crowned Ridge, I think you tried to 7 say something or no. 8 MR. MURPHY: For me, I was just saying this is 9 my last question. 10 MS. CREMER: Okay. 11 MR. MURPHY: But I do have a point of order 12 after the question. 13 MS. CREMER: I'm going to overrule the objection 14 and allow the answer. 15 And I'm happy to repeat it, Mr. Hessler, if you want 16 me to. 17 Yes, please. Α. 18 Focusing on the four receptors that were greater 19 than 3 dBA, the question is, is it a fair statement that 20 those reductions could be produced without using all 16 21 alternate turbine locations, based -- and take -- you 22 know, take time to look at your map if you want. But 23 based on the information you provided in this proceeding. 24 A. Well, I looked at Exhibit S1-A that we talked about

earlier that gave a table of the specific turbines that I

- suggested moving and the potential benefits, and it
 appears that if some of those turbines were moved, there
 would still be a similar benefit to what we're looking at
 in Mr. Haley's table here, I would estimate.
- 5 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. Those are all the questions.
 - But I do have a point of order for the Hearing Examiner.
- 9 MS. CREMER: Certainly. What is that?

- MR. MURPHY: I respect folks that want to have an objection. To me, if it's Staff witness, it's Staff's objection.
 - For the Intervenors to object based on something that's been ruled on, particularly, you know, at least three or four times already, disrupt the cross-examination, to me is not appropriate. And I would like instructions that objections are just not lobbed out there for the purposes of repeating objections that have been -- that you've ruled on previously.
 - I do not intend to do that, and I find it disruptive to trying to have a discussion with the witness. And honestly I don't understand why it needs to be done.
 - MS. CREMER: And I would just say we have always allowed all the parties to object to each other

1 repeatedly. You know, if it gets to excess, you can 2 bring it up again, and I understand but --3 That's my primary point. MR. MURPHY: MS. CREMER: 4 Yep. Thank you. 5 MR. MURPHY: Thank you. 6 MS. CREMER: That would take us to cross by 7 Intervenors. 8 Mr. Ganje, please proceed. MR. GANJE: Thank you. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. GANJE: 12 Are you still there, Mr. Hessler? I'm still here. 1.3 Α. 14 I apologize. My phone went dead a bit earlier today 15 so I don't rightly know the technology that's taking 16 place here and I want to make sure that I don't disappear 17 again like I did this morning. 18 Mr. Hessler, I'm David Ganje, and I am representing the Intervenors in this matter. And I trust that the 19 20 Staff has advised you of what my position is here? I'm aware of it. 21 Α. Yes. 22 MR. MIKAL HANSON: I guess we object. We were 23 not advising this witness of anybody's position. We have 24 provided him with what Mr. Ganje has provided us, but we 25 haven't advised him of any position.

- 1 MR. GANJE: I was incorrect in my use of that
- 2 | word, and I apologize. That is correct. I'm not
- 3 suggesting --
- 4 Q. I just meant whether you've been alerted by Staff
- 5 | that I was representing the Intervenors in these
- 6 proceedings.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 Well, Mr. Hessler, is the maximum dBA level set by
- 10 | an ordinance the basis that an expert like you would use
- 11 to give his opinion on acceptable dBA levels for a
- 12 | proposed wind project?
- 13 A. Well, I would start there and make sure the project
- 14 | meets any and all applicable regulatory limits. But I
- 15 | normally go beyond that and try to determine what is the
- 16 | minimal possible impact that a given project could result
- 17 in.
- 18 Q. Well, that could mean there would occasionally be
- 19 instances when the maximum allowed level is not the level
- 20 | that you would recommend; correct?
- 21 A. Yeah. That's correct.
- 22 Q. That was the point of my question, perhaps
- 23 | inartfully posed. I apologize.
- 24 A. Yeah. Let me just expand on that. The Crowned
- 25 Ridge just brought up the Champaign Wind Project and the

- 1 regulatory limit was 45 there but we did a field survey
- 2 and came up with a site-specific design goal there based
- 3 on ambient conditions that was 44. Not a big difference,
- 4 but it was below the regulatory limit.
- 5 Q. Indeed.
- 6 Mr. Hessler, is the minimum setback requirement of
- 7 | an ordinance the basis that an expert like you would use
- 8 to give his opinion on an acceptable dBA level for a
- 9 proposed wind project?
- 10 A. Well, I would worry about the sound level, not the
- 11 setback distance.
- 12 Q. That was my question.
- Do you base your opinions based on setback
- 14 distances?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Very good.
- Mr. Hessler, do you agree that a wind turbine noise
- 18 | in a rural setting is a new noise for residents in rural
- 19 areas?
- 20 A. It often is, but I believe there's other projects
- 21 around this one. Or nearby anyway.
- 22 Q. But that wasn't my question. Is it a new noise? Is
- 23 | it a new noise experience for rural residents when there
- 24 | are wind turbines near them?
- 25 A. Well, for someone in the middle of a new project it

- 1 | would certainly be a new noise, yes.
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Hessler, you need to stay up
- 3 | near your mic. You're fading out at the end.
- 4 MR. HESSLER: Okay.
- 5 MS. CREMER: Thank you.
- 6 | Q. Mr. Hessler, in the Prevailing Winds case, you
- 7 remember that case in South Dakota?
- 8 A. I do remember it.
- 9 Q. You testified in that regard in that case?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And did you indicate to the Commission in that case
- 12 | that, "we've recommended for many years that every
- project should shoot for an ideal design goal of 40"?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. Did you also state in that case that, "that should
- 16 | serve to much better protect the community against
- 17 | complaints and annoyance"?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. In the Prevailing Winds case did you lean more
- 20 towards the goal of 40 dBA as the recommendation in that
- 21 case?
- 22 A. I did because the physical layout of the project
- 23 and -- essentially allowed it to be accomplished, which
- 24 is unusual.
- 25 Q. Mr. Hessler, was it your opinion in the Prevailing

- 1 | Winds case that it is the duty of an engineer to do an
- 2 | initial background wind survey of a project area to
- 3 determine what the background noise is going to be?
- 4 A. Well, I wouldn't call it a duty, but it's good
- 5 | engineering practice to do a baseline survey and then
- 6 potentially derive a site-specific design goal for that
- 7 project based on those readings.
- 8 Q. Okay. Would you kindly turn to the transcript of
- 9 the Prevailing Winds exhibit that was provided to you?
- 10 think it's I-6.
- 11 A. Okay. I've got that.
- 12 Q. Thank you very much. Would you be kind enough to
- 13 turn to page 751.
- I kindly direct your attention to line 19. On
- 15 line 19 of your testimony in that case in answer to the
- 16 | following question, "Question: And you would like to see
- 17 | that type of study in order to gauge the community's
- 18 response to a project; correct?"
- 19 Was your answer, "I think it's the duty of the
- 20 engineer to do that"?
- 21 A. I said that, but that might be a little strong.
- 22 Q. Okay. Is it your opinion that this type of survey
- 23 or study should be done before a new project is
- 24 considered by a governing body?
- 25 A. Like I said, I think that shows that you're

- 1 | following good practice and you're making a sincere
- 2 effort to design the project appropriately to the site
- 3 and minimize community impacts.
- 4 Q. Mr. Hessler, I direct your attention, please, to
- 5 | page 773 of the Prevailing Winds transcript.
- 6 A. Okay. Yeah. I'm on that page.
- 7 Q. Okay. Very good.
- 8 Now I would direct your attention to line 14. And
- 9 from line 14 through line 18 Commissioner Nelson asked
- 10 | you a question, and he asked you about the ideal design
- 11 goal for a project of 40 dBA. And he asked you if that's
- 12 what you would recommend.
- On line 19 did you confirm that you would recommend
- 14 that?
- 15 A. On line 19 I'm just saying that it needs to be
- 16 | measured over a two-week period to determine whether it's
- 17 | meeting that goal or not.
- 18 Q. Well, that is a goal that you have recommended to
- 19 the Commission in Prevailing Winds; correct?
- 20 A. Yes. That was appropriate in that particular case,
- 21 yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. Mr. Hessler, was it your opinion in the
- 23 Prevailing Winds proceeding that when you are calculating
- 24 | the recommended dBA levels for a proposed wind farm you
- 25 | would use recommended levels for the nighttime as the

design level for a project? 1 2 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Your Honor -- Ms. Cremer, I'm 3 going to object. I mean, the question should be do you 4 recommend these levels and if he deviates from something 5 he's testified before, then you can cross-examine him or 6 bring up the deviation. 7 He's improperly using prior testimony as direct 8 questions, and they should only be used to refute if he says something differently. So I object to the form of 10 that question. 11 MS. CREMER: That was going to be my question. 12 What's your bottom line objection? 1.3 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Yeah. Object to the form of 14 that question. 15 MS. CREMER: Thank you. 16 Mr. Ganje, do you have a response? 17 MR. GANJE: Well, I think the question is properly formed for cross-examination. I'm asking the 18 19 witness whether he uses nighttime as the design level 20 goal for a project. MR. MIKAL HANSON: So that should be the 21 22 question, not what did he say in -- at another hearing. MS. CREMER: Mr. Hessler, do you understand the 23

question in front of you, I believe? Because I will

sustain Staff's objection and, Mr. Ganje, I think you

24

- 1 | then ask the question.
- 2 So it's in Mr. Hessler --
- MR. GANJE: Oh, okay. It's in Mr. Hessler's
- 4 court. My apologies.
- 5 A. Yeah. I believe I --
- 6 MS. CREMER: Do you need the question --
- 7 A. I think I understand the question.
- 8 Any design level, whether it's 40 or 45, is really
- 9 only meaningful for the nighttime. That's when
- 10 disturbance is much more likely than during the day. So
- 11 | whatever limit is placed on a project that's always
- 12 | really meant for the nighttime.
- 13 Q. Mr. Hessler, do you agree that a developer should
- 14 | make efforts to minimize the community noise impact of a
- 15 | wind farm project?
- 16 A. Yeah. Absolutely.
- 17 Q. Thank you.
- Do you agree that it is in everyone's best interest,
- 19 | including the project owner, to minimize the chance of
- 20 | noise issues irrespective of any regulatory noise limits?
- 21 A. I certainly do. Sounds like something I said.
- 22 Q. Mr. Hessler, you are correct. I'll ask my next
- 23 question.
- 24 Mr. Hessler, is it your goal as a professional that
- 25 | you would like to see a sound level of no more than

- 1 40 dBA at every nonparticipant?
- 2 A. Yes. We've been recommending that for years.
- 3 | Wherever it's practical we would like to see that done.
- 4 But it's not often practical.
- 5 | Q. Mr. Hessler, in the testimony in this case I would
- 6 ask you to look at page 5. I apologize. I want to make
- 7 | sure I have the right exhibit, but it's your principal
- 8 testimony on behalf of the Staff.
- 9 A. Okay. Page 5 of that?
- 10 Q. Yes, sir.
- 11 A. Okay. I'm there.
- 12 Q. Did you state on line 4 that "Any time wind turbine
- 13 | sound levels higher than about 40 dBA are predicted at
- 14 residences, I would anticipate complaints with the number
- and severity increasing exponentially as the sound levels
- 16 approach 50 dBA"?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And I think you're a member of the -- I think your
- 19 resume says you're a member of the Institute of Noise
- 20 | Control Engineering; correct?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. Okay. And do you follow the canon of ethics of that
- 23 institute?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Hessler, is it your opinion that the

- 1 | Applicant in this proceeding has failed to evaluate or
- 2 assess the potential noise impact of the project on the
- 3 community?
- 4 A. Yes. I would agree with that. There's nothing in
- 5 | the Application, sound study, or -- well, let's just
- 6 confine it to that.
- 7 There's nothing in the initial studies that
- 8 demonstrates any kind of effort to go beyond the
- 9 regulatory limit or to adapt the project to the specific
- 10 | site or try to minimize sound levels.
- 11 Q. Mr. Hessler, do the 16 turbines you've identified
- 12 | for possible relocation currently present risks to the
- 13 safety, health, and welfare of the public?
- 14 A. I don't believe there's any health or safety risk
- 15 | from those 16 turbines. It's more a matter of potential
- 16 annoyance.
- 17 Q. Mr. Hessler, in your testimony in this proceeding,
- 18 on page 7 of your testimony you've said that the ship has
- 19 | sailed with regard to the question of doing a
- 20 | preconstruction sound survey; is that correct?
- 21 A. That's right, yes.
- 22 Q. Okay. What does it mean, please, that a ship has
- 23 sailed?
- 24 A. It means it's too late to attempt to demonstrate
- 25 that you have concerns for the community by doing a field

survey. That should have been done way back before the modeling was even done to establish baseline conditions and potentially come up with a design target.

To do it at this point would be too late because the project layout is so far advanced that it would be difficult to make any dramatic changes to improve it at this point.

- Q. You said that -- I believe you indicated that your reason that it was too late was because it would not have a favorable effect on the community response; is that right?
- A. Well, the purpose of doing a field survey is to quantify what the existing background level is as a function of wind speed, the wind speed that a project actually operates at and then determine from that if the project should be designed to something below the regulatory limit.

Typically you measure the background and try not to exceed that background by more than 5. So if, for example, the background came out to 38 dBA, a target might be 43 for the project. Like I said -- that's something that needs to be done early on and not kind of retroactively now.

Q. Okay. So I'm a bit concerned here. Are you aware of any current construction that's in place on the

- 1 | present proceeding, construction of turbines?
- 2 A. No. I don't believe anything is there but --
- 3 Q. I have another question.
- 4 A. Okay. Go ahead.
- 5 | Q. Are you aware of any permit that has been issued in
- 6 | these proceedings that would authorize the construction
- 7 of a facility?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. So this preconstruction sound survey could
- 10 still be accomplished here because those things aren't
- 11 done; isn't that true?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection. Calls for
- 13 | speculation on the part of this witness.
- MS. CREMER: Go ahead.
- MR. GANJE: Well, this isn't speculation. He's
- 16 | an expert. He's giving his opinion that -- he has given
- 17 his opinions. Now we're looking at this project which is
- 18 utterly incomplete, and he's saying it's too late to do
- 19 | something. That's a fair question under the
- 20 circumstances.
- 21 MS. CREMER: And I would agree, and I was going
- 22 to overrule. So please answer, Mr. Hessler.
- 23 A. Uh-huh. No. A survey could still be done because
- 24 | nothing has been started on the project. But I'm just
- 25 being sensitive to the fact that the Applicant has put in

- I don't know how many hours and how much money to develop
 this project and attaining easements and agreements and
 lease agreements. A lot of effort has gone in that would
- 4 make it difficult --
- Q. I understand that, Mr. -- excuse me. I understand that there's a large effort here. There's a large effort on everybody's part, Mr. Hessler, but I want to be clear here. Are you testifying on behalf of the PUC Staff as
- 8 here. Are you testifying on behalf of the PUC Staff as
- 9 an economist?
 - MR. MIKAL HANSON: I'm going to object. I'd ask

 Mr. Ganje to let the witness finish his answer to his

 question before he interrupts and asks another question
- MS. CREMER: And, yes, Mr. Hessler. Finish your

and be directed to do that.

answer.

10

11

12

- 16 A. Well, as I was saying, I believe based on my
- experience working with many other developers that it
- 18 | would take a lot of effort and involve a lot of cost to
- 19 radically reconfigure the project at this point to
- 20 achieve some significantly lower sound level.
- 21 You know, my job is not to be here to advocate for
- 22 | the project or to try to defeat it. I'm trying -- I have
- 23 to see things from everyone's perspective, and at this
- 24 point in a project like this my understanding is it would
- 25 be not particularly feasible to radically alter the

- 1 project.
- 2 | Q. But I thought you also acknowledged in your
- 3 | testimony that you're not here to advocate for the
- 4 project and that you're not here as an economic expert;
- 5 is that correct?
- 6 A. No. I'm not an expert on the economics of the
- 7 project.
- 8 Q. Are you advocating for the project here?
- 9 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection. Asked and
- 10 answered.
- 11 A. Not at all.
- 12 Q. Mr. Hessler, if you would kindly look at page 9 of
- 13 your testimony in these proceedings.
- 14 A. Okay.
- Q. Mr. Hessler, on I think it would be about line 15 --
- 16 strike that.
- 17 About commencing on line 14 of your testimony. If
- 18 | you would look at that, please.
- 19 A. Yes, I see that.
- 20 Q. Thank you.
- 21 In your testimony did you state that "Complete
- 22 | compliance with a strict 40 dBA limit would require the
- 23 | elimination of a number of units, which I believe would
- 24 be disproportionately onerous to the project compared to
- 25 | an essentially imperceptible decrease in sound level of

- 2 A. That's what it says, yes.
- 3 | Q. That is your testimony; correct?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Okay. Please define what you mean by
- 6 "disproportionately onerous."
- 7 A. Well, this question is about should the project be
- 8 held to 40 or not. My estimation is that with the
- 9 changes that I had recommended it looked like it might be
- 10 possible to get all of the nonparticipants down to no
- 11 | more than about 42, which is still good.
- But it looked like it would be rather difficult to
- go the extra 2 dB and make further improvements to
- 14 | actually get to 40. It looked like that was going to be
- 15 difficult to do.
- 16 | O. How would that be difficult to do?
- 17 A. Because probably a number of units would have to be
- 18 eliminated from the project.
- 19 Q. But eliminating a number of units from the
- 20 | project -- is it your job to determine that eliminating a
- 21 | number of units from the project would become a
- 22 disproportionately onerous task to a developer?
- 23 A. Well, I'm speaking from the experience of working
- 24 for developers and recommending that turbines be removed,
- 25 and that is always met with an immediate objection.

- 1 | Q. But in this instance you're not speaking for
- 2 developers, are you?
- 3 A. I'm just speaking from general experience.
- 4 Q. Well, then but the prospective of the answer you
- 5 | gave was that you were speaking from developers. I
- 6 | thought you testified in this matter you're not
- 7 | representing the developer; correct?
- 8 A. I'm not representing the developer.
- 9 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection. Argumentative and 10 asked and answered.
- 11 MS. CREMER: I would sustain that.
- 12 Q. Mr. Hessler, in this proceeding would a permit
- 13 | condition in this current matter of 40 dBA or less at all
- 14 | nonparticipating residents be overly onerous to the
- 15 | safety of the public?
- 16 MR. MIKAL HANSON: You know, I'm going to
- 17 object. He's an expert proffered on noise, and he is not
- 18 being proffered by the Staff as an expert on safety.
- 19 MS. CREMER: Yes. I would sustain that
- 20 objection.
- You may respond.
- MR. GANJE: Mr. Hessler is here because he's an
- 23 expert, and he's also a member of the Institution of
- 24 | Noise Control Engineering.
- The canon of ethics of noise control engineering

- 1 requires that Mr. Hessler consider the safety of the
- 2 | public, the health of the public, and the welfare of the
- 3 | public in their canon of ethics, which he's testified
- 4 that he follows.
- I mean, that's what these professionals do.
- 6 They follow their canon of ethics. So this is a relevant
- 7 | question, and I ask that it be authorized.
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Hessler, you may answer.
- 9 A. Well, whether the project is actually doing 42 or 40
- 10 | would not have any relevance to health and safety. It
- 11 | would purely be a matter of slightly less annoyance.
- 12 | That's how I would phrase it.
- Q. Well, my question, Mr. Hessler, was would it be
- 14 overly onerous to the safety of the public if a 40 dBA or
- 15 less permit requirement was placed in this proceeding?
- 16 A. I don't even think that question makes sense.
- 17 | don't even understand it.
- 18 Q. Well, you testified that making changes in this
- 19 project would be overly onerous. So I'm trying to figure
- 20 out how it would be overly onerous to the public, to the
- 21 | safety of the public.
- 22 Your canon of ethics require you to consider the
- 23 | safety of the public, you would agree, the health of the
- 24 | public and the welfare of the public; isn't that true?
- 25 A. Well, I just said the health and safety would not be

- 1 | impacted whether the project is 40 or 42.
- Q. Okay. Would 40 be better than 42?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. For the public?
- 5 A. I would love to see that.
- 6 Q. Would 40 be better than 42 for the health of the
- 7 public?
- 8 A. Not as a health matter, no.
- 9 Q. Okay. Well, we'll get to that later.
- 10 Would 40 be acceptable to the welfare of the public
- 11 | as a permit condition?
- 12 A. 40 would be a good place to be. I wish the project
- 13 | could achieve that.
- 14 Q. Okay. Aside from the economic concerns that you
- 15 | seemed to have expressed earlier, there's no reason in
- 16 your professional opinion, is there, that the project
- 17 | could not achieve a 40 dBA permit level?
- 18 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection to the form of that
- 19 | question.
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, did you have a response?
- 21 MR. GANJE: No. I think that it's a proper
- 22 | question for cross-examination and respectfully ask that
- 23 | the Hearing Examiner have the court reporter read it
- 24 back.
- 25 (Reporter reads back the last question.)

- 1 MR. MIKAL HANSON: It's Mr. Ganje saying that.
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Hessler, can you answer that
- 3 | question?
- 4 THE WITNESS: Sure. Yeah.
- 5 MS. CREMER: Please do.
- 6 A. Yeah. Economics aside, almost any project could be
- 7 | made to yield a sound level of no more than 40, but in
- 8 | this case I think it would take a significant
- 9 restructuring of the project to do that.
- 10 Q. Do you know the final layout of this project,
- 11 Mr. Hessler?
- 12 A. I just had the latest layout as of about a week ago.
- 13 Q. Okay. Did you hear the earlier statement of Staff
- 14 | counsel that there are 48 possible contingent problems
- 15 | still remaining that are unresolved because of legal
- 16 | easement type issues?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. So this project hasn't been laid out in anything
- 19 | near final form, in your opinion, has it?
- 20 A. Well, it sounds like there's some restructuring work
- 21 to be done, yes.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 Mr. Hessler, I would ask you kindly to turn to
- 24 page 3 of the testimony on behalf of the Commission.
- 25 | That's the Staff exhibit.

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. I would ask you to kindly look at your testimony
- 3 | starting at about line 11, going on for several lines,
- 4 | and then I'll ask you hopefully an understandable
- 5 question.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Have you had a chance to do that?
- 8 A. Yes, I have.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- Mr. Hessler, was it a failure of the Application in
- 11 | these proceedings not to perform one or more baseline
- 12 | sound surveys of the existing conditions within the site
- 13 | area and then compare the expected project sound levels
- 14 | at residences to this preexisting sound level under
- 15 | comparable wind conditions?
- 16 A. Yes. The project did not do that, and I would have
- 17 liked to have seen them do that.
- 18 Q. I mean, you called it a failing, didn't you?
- 19 A. I said I would fault the study.
- 20 Q. Okay. Very good.
- 21 Mr. Hessler, I would like you to look at lines 12
- 22 and 13 again at page 3 of your testimony.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- Do you agree that the Application in these

- 1 proceedings focuses exclusively on the regulatory
- 2 | compliance and fails to evaluate or assess the potential
- 3 | noise impact of the project on the community?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Mr. Hessler, I ask you to kindly look at page 4 of
- 7 | your Commission testimony here.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. Now that's long so I'm going to draw your attention
- 10 to lines 5 and 6.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Do you agree that a survey and subsequent impact
- analysis, while not absolutely essential, would have
- demonstrated a concern for the community's welfare and
- 15 | acceptance of the project?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Again, I'm going to object.
- 17 He's going over testimony that has already -- it's asked
- 18 | and answered in our record.
- 19 MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, do you have a response?
- MR. GANJE: Well, this one hasn't. This is a
- 21 | separate piece of his testimony. On page 4 he states
- 22 this in a different fashion, in a different importance.
- It's an important statement where he's talking
- 24 about what's missing here. And he says it in different
- 25 | words so that the Commission will understand. He's

- providing this testimony for the Commission. 1 2 I'm trying to help the Commission understand 3 what his testimony is purporting to provide. 4 MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, did you ask these 5 questions earlier? 6 MR. GANJE: Not from this page. MS. CREMER: Okay. Then I'm going to overrule 7 8 the objection, and you can proceed. 9 MR. GANJE: May I respectfully ask that the 10 Hearing Examiner ask the court reporter to repeat the 11 question. 12 (Reporter reads back the last question.) 13 Yes. Of course. That's what I said. Α. 14 I would direct your attention, please, to page 7 of 15 your Commission testimony. 16 Α. Okay. 17 And on lines 15 through 17 was it your opinion that 18 an existing sound survey would have demonstrated a desire
- 21 A. Correct.

19

20

23

24

25

22 Q. Thank you.

the community as possible?

Mr. Hessler, I would kindly direct your attention to another exhibit. This is called Exhibit I2. It's the 2011 NARUC report.

to make project noise as unobtrusive and acceptable to

1 (Discussion off the record.)

- 2 Q. Mr. Hessler, was this exhibit a Report and
- 3 Recommendation that you made to the Minnesota Public
- 4 | Service Commission?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I would direct you, please, to your report to the
- 7 | Minnesota Public Service Commission, which is this
- 8 exhibit and page 4 thereof.
- 9 A. Okay.
- 10 Q. On page 4 did you delineate 13 points which you
- 11 | would recommend for the purposes of creating a baseline
- 12 | field survey of existing environmental sound levels?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Again --
- 14 A. Yes.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: This is Mike Hanson.
- We'd object. You ask the questions in direct,
- 17 | will he do that, and if his answers are different than
- 18 what is in the Commission report, then you can impeach
- 19 | him with what he said later.
- It's a waste of time to go to each of these
- 21 | exhibits, especially if the witness is going to say the
- 22 | exact same thing he said before. You're asking an
- 23 | impeachment question before you ask the direct question
- 24 | that will make it a lot simpler, especially if he says
- 25 the same thing.

MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, do you have a response?

MR. GANJE: I do have a response. Thank you

very much.

The report that is the exhibit which I have

referred to here, this 2011 Minnesota Public Service

Commission report, is very important because it discusses his recommendations with regard to sound levels and sound surveys, and he elaborates as regards what he recommends.

And those surveys didn't exist -- or do not exist at this point in time in this proceeding, and the sound levels that he's recommending in this proceeding are different than the sound levels he recommended in the exhibit I'm now referring him to. This is proper grounds for impeachment and cross-examination.

MS. CREMER: And I would agree with you there, but I also think Mr. Hanson has a point that I think you have your questions in reverse. If you could -- see if he disagrees with what he said before first, and then use that document to impeach him.

Does that help?

1.3

2.1

2.4

MR. GANJE: I can certainly reframe the question.

MS. CREMER: Thank you.

Q. Mr. Hessler, do you disagree with the recommendations you made to the Minnesota Public Service

- 1 | Commission regarding the Exhibit at I-2 regarding a
- 2 baseline field survey of existing environmental sound
- 3 | levels that should be used in this case?
- 4 A. No. I don't disagree with anything in the NARUC
- 5 study.
- 6 Q. You were the principal author of the study; correct?
- 7 A. I was the only author of the study.
- 8 Q. So you don't disagree with the recommendation on
- 9 page 12 of the NARUC study in which you said that any new
- 10 | project should attempt to maintain a mean sound level of
- 11 | 40 dBA or less at all residents as an ideal design goal?
- 12 A. That's correct. Importantly as an ideal design
- 13 goal.
- 14 Q. Right.
- Could we please turn to page 22 of that exhibit. I
- 16 refer to Section 4.2 of page 22.
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. Wasn't it your recommendation at the end of
- 19 | Section 4.2 regarding preconstruction sound surveys that
- 20 | you recommended them where there is a desire to carry out
- 21 | a complete and thorough assessment?
- 22 A. Yes. That's exactly right.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- 24 Have you yourself in your professional career ever
- 25 done a sound study for a project and included a

- 1 preoperation baseline study with your modeling report?
- 2 A. Many times. I would say the majority of projects
- 3 | involved at least one study, sometimes two.
- 4 Q. And you used those as a baseline for your modeling
- 5 report in those instances; correct?
- 6 A. They're used as in coming up with a design goal
- 7 | that's appropriate for that particular study based on the
- 8 actual preexisting sound levels there.
- 9 Q. Thank you.
- 10 Mr. Hessler, do you agree that the preconstruction
- 11 | survey Intervenors requested in this proceeding would
- 12 provide the existing sound levels needed to determine
- 13 whether this project complies with the recommendations
- 14 | that you published in the NARUC report?
- MR. MURPHY: I have an objection. I have no
- 16 | idea what document he's talking about that the
- 17 Intervenors have proffered in this proceeding. So I
- 18 | would like him to point us in that direction.
- 19 MS. CREMER: Could you hear that, Mr. Ganje?
- MR. GANJE: Indeed.
- 21 Q. Mr. Hessler, in your testimony that you -- written
- 22 | testimony that you filed with the Commission, didn't you
- 23 discuss and review the Intervenors' recommendations in
- 24 this case?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

- 1 | Q. And so my question is the recommendation of the
- 2 | Intervenors regarding a preconstruction survey is one
- 3 | they've put on the table. Do you agree that that should
- 4 have been done here?
- 5 A. Twould --
- 6 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Wait, Mr. Hessler. I'm going
- 7 | to object. Again, I think it's been asked and answered.
- 8 We're going back over testimony that's already been
- 9 presented to the Commission.
- 10 MS. CREMER: And I would sustain that.
- 11 Q. Mr. Hessler, would a preconstruction sound survey
- 12 give more accurate data for an Applicant to follow in
- 13 | constructing and placing turbines in the project area at
- 14 dBA levels that may be set by the PUC?
- 15 A. The only purpose of a survey is to determine if the
- 16 | project should be potentially designed to a lower sound
- 17 | level than the regulatory limit.
- 18 Q. Does the lack of such a preconstruction sound survey
- 19 deny the Commission information that the Commission
- 20 | should have access to regarding the impact level on the
- 21 | property in the project area?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: I'm going to object. That
- 23 calls for a legal conclusion on the part of this witness.
- MS. CREMER: Do you have a response, Mr. Ganje?
- 25 MR. GANJE: I do. This witness has been hired

- because he's an expert. This witness is advising the
 Commission on the aspects of this project that deal with
- The question is relevant and material. The
 question simply asks him whether a preconstruction sound
 survey or the absence of one denies information the PUC
 should have in order to assess the impact of noise
 levels.
- 9 MS. CREMER: Mr. Hessler, can you answer -- or 10 would you answer that question, please. I am going to 11 overrule the objection.
- A. Okay. All I'm saying in my testimony there is that it would have been better practice on their part to do a survey and determine if some lower design goal were appropriate.
- Q. But wouldn't it assist the PUC in making a decision in this case?
- 18 A. Yes. I would have rather had them do a survey.
- 19 Q. Okay. Thank you.

noise and sound.

3

- 20 Mr. Hessler, you said that one postconstruction test 21 should be completed carefully in your testimony; is that 22 right?
- A. I said that a postconstruction verification test
 should be done if there are complaints or some reason to
 believe that the project is louder than expected and --

- 1 | you know, under those circumstances. And only needs to
- 2 be done once, to answer your question.
- 3 | Q. I would kindly refer you to page 10 of your written
- 4 testimony for the Commission.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 Q. On lines 5 through 7 did you state that "One test
- 7 | carefully done under appropriate wind conditions is
- 8 sufficient to determine if the project is compliant or
- 9 not"?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. You didn't put any conditions on that recommendation
- 12 | in that statement, did you?
- 13 A. I'm just answering the proposal that the project be
- 14 | tested on an ongoing basis, and I do not agree that
- 15 that's necessary.
- 16 Q. Right. But you did state in your testimony, didn't
- 17 you, that one test should be carefully done?
- 18 Postconstruction?
- 19 A. Not as a -- just an automatic requirement, but in
- 20 response to complaints or some reason to believe it's out
- 21 of compliance.
- 22 Q. But when I look at your testimony on page 7 those
- 23 | conditions about out of compliance aren't put on that.
- 24 You just simply recommended that one test should be done,
- 25 | didn't you?

- 1 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection.
- 2 A. No. No. I'm answering the -- there were four
- 3 | proposed conditions that the Intervenors put out there,
- 4 and I'm going down in the testimony there and answering
- 5 | each one of those suggestions.
- 6 And one of them was to do testing on an ongoing
- 7 basis. I don't agree with that.
- 8 Q. But I'm not asking you about an ongoing basis. My
- 9 question is do you recommend to this Commission that
- 10 | there should be at least one postconstruction test done?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection. Asked and
- 12 answered.
- 13 A. Haven't I answered that already?
- MS. CREMER: Yes. I'm going to sustain that.
- 15 That's been asked and answered.
- 16 Q. Mr. Hessler, do you believe that a postconstruction
- 17 test should be done by an independent testing company and
- 18 | not the developer?
- 19 A. If a test is done, it could be done by a completely
- 20 | independent party, or it could be done by a consultant
- 21 | hired by the developer to do the test. I've done many
- 22 tests on behalf of the developer, and I can tell you I
- 23 | report the honest results. There's no bias in there.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- Do you agree that the Applicant should furnish SCADA

- 1 data -- that is S-C-A-D-A data -- during any testing to
- 2 | verify turbines are operating near full power at the time
- 3 of testing?
- 4 A. Yes. That's very important.
- 5 Q. Thank you.
- 6 Mr. Hessler, are you recommending to the Commission
- 7 | that they consider as any condition of the permit here
- 8 | that the compliance measurement for postconstruction
- 9 | follow an A-N-S-I test protocol?
- 10 A. Any survey should generally try to adhere to ANSI
- 11 | standards, but the trouble is there is no ANSI standard
- 12 for doing a test of a wind turbine facility. Only for
- 13 testing other more conventional sources like fossil fuel
- 14 plants.
- 15 Q. So you do not believe that A-N-S-I provides a
- 16 | testing protocol for the situation I've asked for?
- 17 A. No. That's correct. There is no specific test
- 18 | procedure for wind turbines. No. They're completely
- 19 unique compared to all other kinds of noise sources
- 20 basically.
- 21 | Q. Mr. Hessler, I would kindly ask you please to look
- 22 at Exhibit I5.
- 23 A. Okay. I've got that.
- 24 Q. Thank you very much.
- I would kindly ask that you look at page 96. On

- 1 | page 96 did you make a recommendation regarding dBA
- 2 | levels following the World Health Organization standards
- 3 of 2009?
- 4 A. This paper is about -- kind of a literature survey
- 5 | about all existing standards and guidelines, and we do
- 6 | mention the WHO 2009 recommendations. They've been
- 7 superseded, by the way. 2018.
- 8 Q. But isn't the design recommendation that you refer
- 9 to in your article 40 dBA as an ideal design goal?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Objection. Asked and
- 11 answered. We've already got that Direct Testimony.
- MS. CREMER: I'm going to overrule, but,
- 13 Mr. Ganje, I believe it has been established on the
- 14 40 dBA.
- MR. GANJE: Not regarding this --
- MS. CREMER: But not regarding this particular
- 17 | article. I would agree. So please answer.
- 18 A. Yeah. The whole conclusion of this article is the
- 19 recommendation of 40 as an ideal design goal and 45 as a
- 20 reasonable regulatory limit.
- 21 Q. Well, do you agree that as an author on page 96 you
- 22 | said that the level of 40 is expressed as a design target
- 23 | to protect the public?
- 24 A. Yeah. That is what the WHO said in 2009. They have
- 25 | since changed their position on that.

- Q. In this exhibit, which is I5, I would ask you to
- 2 turn your attention to page 100.
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. I would ask you to look at the last full paragraph
- 5 on page 100. In that article I understand you were
- 6 citing studies and analysis of wind turbine situations
- 7 | that have been done in prior literature; is that correct?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And does your article state that, "These studies
- 10 generally predict an annoyance rate ranging from 10 to
- 11 | 45 percent or more for wind project sound levels in the
- 12 | 40 to 45 dBA range"?
- 13 A. Yes. That's what it says. Those are early studies
- 14 done way back when turbines were a new thing.
- 15 Q. Have you ever written an article that discredited
- 16 | that statement that you've just read -- that I just read
- 17 to you?
- 18 A. I never really agreed with the methodology of those
- 19 | studies, and I talked to the author, as a matter of fact,
- 20 and she doesn't agree with my methodology so we're kind
- 21 of in a Mexican standoff there. But I think her method
- 22 exaggerates the potential for complaints.
- Q. I see. But that's what you wrote in your article in
- 24 | 2011; is that correct?
- 25 A. Yeah. As part of a literature review and discussion

- 1 of all available knowledge at that time on what limits
- 2 | ought to be placed on wind turbine projects.
- 3 | Q. But isn't it your testimony that you're still
- 4 recommending an ideal design goal of 40 dBA?
- $5 \mid A$. Yeah. I think we've established that today, yes.
- 6 Q. Okay. Mr. Hessler, I would kindly ask you to look
- 7 | at Exhibit I3.
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. If you have that in front of you.
- 10 A. Well, hold on. Let me just get that.
- 11 Q. Sure.
- 12 A. All right. My buddy Paul Schomer.
- 13 Q. Well, I can't speak to the friendship that you have,
- 14 but it looks like you have the article in front of you.
- 15 A. Yeah.
- 16 Q. Okay. Now did you collaborate with a colleague by
- 17 | the name of Dr. Paul Schomer and others on a Wisconsin
- 18 Public Service Commission report in 2012?
- 19 A. You're talking about the Shirley Wind Project?
- 20 O. Yes, sir.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Do you consider Dr. Schomer an authority on the
- 23 | matter of the human effects of wind turbine noise?
- 24 A. Yeah. He's an authority.
- 25 Q. Okay. I appreciate your attitude.

I would ask you now to look at page 12 of the article. Now this is the same Dr. Schomer who worked with you on the Shirley Wind Farm report; is that correct?

A. That's correct, yeah.

1.3

Q. Okay. And on page 12 of this exhibit does he recommend that there be an A-weighted Leq turbine noise criterion in or around the range of 36 to 38 dBA?

MR. MIKAL HANSON: I'm going to object. Again, the form of the question. He's putting the impeachment in front of it, and this isn't really even impeachment. It's not his report, and I'm going to object to the form of the question on this other man's study.

MS. CREMER: Do you have a response, Mr. Ganje?

MR. GANJE: Well, I think it's a legitimate -
I'm going over the Direct Testimony. He has given his

opinion on the correct dBA levels.

This gentleman in this article who he's worked with before has a different opinion than him, and all I'm asking him to do is recognize, number one, that this gentleman is considered an authority, which he's recognized, and, number two, that this gentleman has a different recommended range for dBA.

MS. CREMER: And then to me that would be the question you want to ask. And so if asked in that form,

```
1
    go forward, please.
2
              MR. GANJE: I would like to ask the question in
 3
    that form.
 4
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: I'm going to object. This
    witness has not identified the author of this article as
5
 6
    an expert.
7
              MR. GANJE: Yes, he has.
8
              MR. MIKAL HANSON: He says he knows him and he
    worked with him and he did a study with him. He hasn't
10
     identified him --
11
              MR. GANJE: Counsel, excuse me. Madam Examiner,
     I asked this witness do you consider Dr. Schomer an
12
13
    authority on the matter of the human effect of wind
14
    turbine noise, and he gave a rather sarcastic
15
    affirmative. Yes.
16
              MS. CREMER: I would agree. So let's move on.
17
    And, Mr. Hessler --
18
              MR. MURPHY: Unfortunately, I have an objection
19
    then.
20
              MS. CREMER: Okay. Crowned Ridge, what's your
21
    objection?
22
              MR. MURPHY: Looking at the paper, I don't see
23
    how a foundation is laid about these gentlemen. I don't
24
    know the resume. And I don't understand the question
25
    with regard to are they experts on a very broad, general
```

human effects. Are these Ph.D.s, or are they M.D.s? And we're talking to an engineer asking an engineer about an expertise that's totally outside his field of expertise.

1.3

So if the foundation could be laid, I'm fine.

But I am not seeing that here. So I'm objecting to a

line of questioning that essentially is asking an

engineer to opine about a study that presumably was done

by a Ph.D. or an M.D.

MR. GANJE: Madam Examiner, I've laid the foundation. And, in fact, this witness, Mr. Hessler, is being brought before the Commission because he is an expert on the human effects of wind turbine noise because he recommends higher noise, less noise, certain dBAs, et cetera. He is an expert.

I asked him whether his colleague who he's worked with before on articles or reports is an expert, and he's acknowledged that. The foundation is there.

MS. CREMER: I think that you could ask
Mr. Hessler if he agrees with the conclusions reached in
this article. I'm having a real hard time. Your cross
seems like friendly cross at some times rather than
impeachment. We don't allow friendly cross so I really
struggle sometimes with your questions.

If you could make sure that these are cross-examination questions, I'd appreciate that.

MR. GANJE: Thank you. If you would ask the 1 2 court reporter to read the question. 3 (Reporter reads back the last question.) MS. CREMER: Mr. Hessler, you can answer that 4 5 question. 6 MR. HESSLER: Okay. All right. Waiting for 7 confirmation of that. 8 A. Yeah. That's what Paul's conclusion is here. 36 to 38 is his -- is his ideal design goal. A little bit 10 lower than ours. 11 I think once you get below 40 it becomes very impractical to achieve levels in the 30s. So I 12 13 wouldn't -- I don't really agree with that. I think it's 14 a bit extreme. 15 Q. I see. Mr. Hessler, I ask you kindly if you would look at Exhibit I4. 16 A. Okay. I have that. 17 18 Mr. Hessler, this is an article done in 2017, and 19 the authors of the article on I4 are George Hessler, 20 Jeff Leventhall, Paul Schomer, and Bruce Walker. 21 Do you recognize those names? 22 Yes. I know all of those people.

And with regard to that -- as a matter of fact,

George Hessler is your father; correct?

23

24

25

Q.

Thank you.

- A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. So you're familiar with this article probably much
- 3 | more than anybody here. Would that be a fair statement?
- 4 A. Probably so. It sounds like you've been pouring
- 5 over it, though.
- 6 Q. Well, Mr. Hessler, I'm not here as an expert, but I
- 7 do have a question.
- 8 Do you agree with the author's conclusion in that
- 9 | article in which the author states, "The authors have
- 10 | generally found that wind turbine farms designed to a
- 11 level of 40 dBA or a bit lower at nonparticipating
- 12 residential receptors have an acceptable community
- 13 response"?
- 14 A. Yes. I agree with that.
- 15 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- Mr. Hessler, I would kindly draw your attention to
- 17 | Exhibit I1.
- MS. CREMER: Mr. Ganje, this is Karen Cremer.
- 19 Do you know how much longer you have? We're debating a
- 20 break here.
- MR. GANJE: I am almost done.
- MS. CREMER: Okay. Thank you.
- 23 A. Okay. I've got that study. Thank you very much.
- 24 Q. Mr. Hessler, in 2012 were you hired to provide a
- 25 | review of a proposed wind farm called the Highlands

- 1 | Project to be located in St. Croix County in Wisconsin?
- 2 | A. Yes. I was -- we were hired by an entity called
- 3 | Clean Wisconsin to look into that project, yes.
- 4 Q. And Clean Wisconsin was to in turn give the report
- 5 | to the Wisconsin Public Service Commission; is that
- 6 | correct?
- 7 A. Right.
- 8 Q. Thank you very much.
- 9 And isn't it true that on page 7 of that report you 10 recommended that the project under consideration -- well,
- 11 strike that. Let me say it over. Let me say it over.
- 12 Did you indicate on page 7 of that report that a
- design goal of 40 dBA long-term average should be
- 14 recommended at least for all nonparticipating residences?
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Again, we're going to object.
- 16 This has been covered, and he's asking the question --
- 17 | again, he's impeaching the question asked before the
- 18 direct question.
- 19 MS. CREMER: Would you like to restate,
- 20 Mr. Ganje?
- MR. GANJE: Well, yes.
- 22 Q. Mr. Hessler, for the Highlands Project when you gave
- 23 | a report for the Wisconsin Public Service Commission did
- you recommend a nonparticipating dBA level of 40 dBA?
- 25 A. Yeah. That recommendation is just consistent with

- 1 that Noise Control Engineering Journal article that we
- 2 previously talked about.
- 3 | Q. And this recommendation was made by you in 2012?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 | Q. And then on page 8 of that article isn't it true
- 6 | that you recommended the approval of the Highland
- 7 Project, "with the recommendation that the long-term
- 8 average two-week sample design goal of sound emissions be
- 9 | set at all nonparticipating residences at 39.5 dBA or
- 10 less"?
- I'm referring to page 8. I apologize.
- 12 A. Yeah. I see. I'm just trying to reconstruct the
- 13 | thinking here from --
- 14 Q. Well, I'm asking you what you wrote in the report,
- 15 | not what your thinking is now.
- 16 A. No. I'm just trying to read this for a second here,
- 17 | if you don't mind.
- 18 Q. No. I don't mind. I apologize.
- 19 A. Yeah. I think the conclusion in this paper was the
- 20 consensus of a committee where some average level came
- 21 out of the work, and that's where this 39.5 comes from.
- 22 Yeah. We did say that that's what we recommended for
- 23 | Highland. That's not different in any meaningful way
- 24 from the 40 we've been talking about.
- 25 Q. But that was more than just a consensus opinion. In

```
fact, on page 8 doesn't it say, "Based on the above,
1
2
    Hessler & Associates recommends 39.5 dBA or less"? Isn't
 3
    that true?
    A. Yeah. That's what it says, yes.
 4
5
              MR. GANJE: No further questions.
 6
              MS. CREMER: Thank you.
7
              Commissioners, do you want to take a break now
8
    and then ask your questions?
                    (Discussion off the record.)
10
              MS. CREMER: We're going to do 10 minutes
11
    because we're on a deadline.
12
              Thank you.
13
                     (A short recess is taken.)
14
              MS. CREMER: I'm going to call us back into
15
    session.
16
              Commissioner Fiegen, are you on the line?
17
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen is
18
    present.
19
              MS. CREMER: And, Mr. Hessler, are you on the
20
    phone?
21
              MR. HESSLER: I'm still here.
22
              MS. CREMER: All right. And, Mr. Ganje, are you
23
    on the phone?
24
              MR. GANJE: Yes.
25
              MS. CREMER: All right. I believe we were at
```

Commission questions, and we will begin with Chairman
Hanson.

1.3

2.1

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Good afternoon,
Mr. Hessler. I just have a couple of questions.

Do you know what the -- I'll call it the ambient noise level around a typical farmstead is at night? Have you ever measured that? I'm assuming it's pretty quiet.

THE WITNESS: Well, yes and no.

The ambient level that's really relevant here is the sound level when the wind is blowing such that the turbines would be operating at mid to high power output levels.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, excuse me for interrupting you. I should have prefaced my question with when there are no wind turbines in the vicinity.

THE WITNESS: Well, even so, before any project is there during moderately windy conditions the background level would be typically around 40, 43, something like that. Under calm conditions it might be 20 and utter silence. The winds make the huge difference on the sound level.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: So you're saying that without any wind turbines at all when the wind is blowing -- the typical area around a farmstead I am assuming there has to be a grove of trees or something, or is the wind

hitting the homestead and the barn and things of this 1 2 nature? You're saying it's between 40 and 43? 3 Roughly. And it even goes over 50 THE WITNESS: 4 when it's particularly windy. Yeah. It's surprising. 5 That's why it can't be neglected during a compliance 6 survey because it's equal to, maybe even more than, the 7 project level. 8 CHAIRMAN HANSON: So the noise limits would 9 occasionally be exceeded regardless of --10 THE WITNESS: That's correct. You know, right now the levels are about 45 out at this site when it's 11 12 windy. 1.3 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Thank you. That's the 14 only questions I have. 15 MS. CREMER: Commissioner Nelson. COMMISSIONER NELSON: Mr. Hessler, in Staff's 16 17 Exhibits 1A that we've looked at you've got the table 18 that shows potential reductions if a number of the 19 turbines were moved or relocated. But obviously if they 20 then use some or all of the alternative turbine 2.1 locations, would that not then increase the sound levels 22 at nonparticipants -- at differing nonparticipants?

THE WITNESS: Not really. Most of the alternate locations are up in the northeastern part of the site area, and it's predominantly participants up there. Very

23

24

25

few nonparticipants. So if some of these turbines from the main part of the project were extracted and exiled to this northern northeastern corner, you would see improvements in the main part of the project area and no real degradation anywhere else as far as nonparticipant levels go.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. Thank you. I think the only other question I've got, you talked about the fact that you've done a number of postconstruction testings.

Can you give us maybe just a generalization of when you do those tests how closely they mirror what was modeled as the proposed sound levels? In other words, how accurate were the models?

THE WITNESS: It turns out that there's good agreement between the model prediction using the methodology in this study, for instance, and what you actually find.

Now what that agreement is is the average measured level at some receptor point, somebody's house, equals the model prediction. And what that means is that there are going to be times when the level was higher than that level and times when it's going to be lower than that level, but the average matches very well with the model prediction.

Turbine levels are not constant, particularly thousands of feet away. They vary with the atmospheric conditions on a regular basis. But the agreement is between the long-term average and what you see on the sound contour plots.

1.3

2.1

2.4

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Thank you. Very helpful. That's all the questions I've got.

MS. CREMER: Commissioner Fiegen.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Commissioner Fiegen here. I think most of mine have been answered. I'm just going to ask a couple clarifying questions, Mr. Hessler, since you're on-line today.

You know, I go back to that question that you were asked about that -- kind of the barn door is open or it's hard to shut and it's that 5 dBA increase above the baseline background level.

Do you think that is still a possible condition on this permit?

THE WITNESS: Well, a survey would be required to find out what the baseline sound level is.

Let me just start over. The survey would determine what the design background level is as a function of wind speed. And then the five would be added to that number.

That may result in a design target that's below

45. It may not. You may find that the background level, a fair representation of it, is that it's 40, and in which case 45 would be the design goal coincident with the regulatory limit.

You don't know what the answer is. That's why you'd have to do a field survey to determine it.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So I'm not for sure if I understood your response. So we could put a condition on like that, but the survey would have to be done so that would take a lot of time? Is that what you're kind of trying to say to me?

THE WITNESS: Well, we'd need to know what the answer is from a survey to know what the 5 increase means. You have to have a starting point to add that value to.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Right. So we would have to -- you know, if for some reason we would put a condition on like this -- and I'm not saying I would or would not but certainly you talked about the barn door is out. But couldn't we require them to go do a survey, a baseline survey before construction?

THE WITNESS: Certainly. I'm not opposed to a survey. It's just that once the project is fairly advanced it's difficult to put the survey to any use because all the turbine locations are kind of set and

1 it's hard to change them. That's my only reasoning
2 there.

But no. I would always recommend a survey.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you.

The other question I have, and it may be a question that I may ask you next week after I understand the project better so you might not be able to answer it today, but this project is certainly close to other projects. So have you looked at that combined sound noise or -- when you looked at their study have you kind of looked at it and said, oh, but there's another wind project that may be permitted or has been permitted that may impact what they have done so far?

THE WITNESS: Well, yeah. That came up some time ago, and I believe that the model was rerun to include the neighboring projects. Or proposed future projects and that the new sound levels, the ones that I've been working with, were taken into account with the sound from these other neighboring facilities.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.

I don't think I have anymore questions for you today. Oh, one more.

As you know, the Commission certainly looks at safety and health, and you have reiterated that several times. You know, welfare is certainly another one. And

you talked a tiny bit about that once in awhile. So when
we look at a permit we'll certainly look at welfare too,
and that gives us a lot of flexibility with trying to
figure out sound levels. And annoyance, of course, is
part of that.

And so is there any other advice you can give us? And I think it's all in your testimony on welfare already. Is there anything that you would like to clarify on that? Because it will be harder to reach you next week.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I hope my phone is going to work from there. I don't know.

Yeah. As I think I've said a few hours ago, I don't expect any health and safety impact from this project. There's a tiny possibility that low frequency noise could emerge as an issue. I don't see any reason why that would be, but I don't think it's going to happen. That would be the only intentional health impact.

The rest of it is purely a matter of annoyance basically. I guess that would fall under the welfare category.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you. That's all my questions, Ms. Cremer.

MS. CREMER: Thank you.

Staff, do you have any redirect?

2 MR. MIKAL HANSON: Thank you.

This is Mike Hanson. Commissioner Fiegen, in regard to that question you asked about how this project compares with the other projects that are out there, I think Staff, Mr. Kearney, asked that question in a Data Request and got them to run their noise levels and their flicker studies again with that added request, and my understanding is they now are moving two turbines. They know they are because of the combination of either noise or flicker.

So that was something done that the Staff caught and -- do you have any questions about that or -- COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. MIKAL HANSON:

Q. My questions of redirect, I have three areas.

Mr. Hessler, the first question is pretty commonsensic [sic], but you were asked about there may be 48 turbines that because of lease problems and landowner problems may not be part of the project. But my question is let's say because of those problems the 48 are taken out of the project. Can that in any way whatsoever affect noise studies or noise, the removal of 48 turbines?

- 1 A. Oh, yeah. Yeah. That would probably greatly
- 2 improve the noise situation.
- 3 | Q. Right. Okay. Yeah. I was going to say it can't
- 4 adversely impact nonparticipating landowners or residents
- 5 by the removal of those.
- 6 A. No. Not at all.
- 7 Q. The concern would be you take those 48 out of where
- 8 | they're located and place them at other locations; is
- 9 | that -- that what you -- would concern you and you're
- 10 | worried about or thinking about?
- 11 A. Well, if they're going to be moved to some other
- 12 | place, then we're starting all over again basically, and
- 13 | we'd have to look at what the new situation is.
- 14 Q. But there are a significant number of alternative
- 15 | wind turbine sites up to the north in that area that you
- described as not having too many nonparticipants; is that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. That's correct. I think it might be on the order of
- 19 a dozen. But not 48, though.
- 20 Q. Yeah. And you were asked some questions about that
- 21 by Mr. Murphy. I mean, if they're proposed sites, people
- 22 have had notice. They are on the maps. They have all
- 23 | the kind of compliance, I guess, that is required before
- 24 the Commission issues a permit.
- Does that make sense? Do you understand my

- l question?
- 2 A. I'm not sure. All the alternative turbines are part
- 3 of the sound model whether they're going to be built or
- 4 not.
- 5 Q. Right.
- 6 A. Yeah. Okay.
- 7 Q. And have gone through what would be the notice, the
- 8 requirements. They're listed on there. If you put a
- 9 | wind turbine on there, everything that's required has
- 10 already been done, as far as you understand, in regard to
- 11 noise?
- 12 A. I think I agree with that, yeah.
- 13 Q. All right.
- Now do you have Exhibit 1A? I'm still -- I'm old,
- 15 and I still have paper copies. But if you have it on
- 16 | your computer, the 1A, I would ask you to go to that.
- 17 | Staff 1A.
- 18 A. Okay. Is it A1?
- 19 Q. It's -- yeah. Al. It's your diagram where we
- 20 estimated the sound reductions for the elimination of the
- 21 16 turbines.
- 22 A. Oh. Okay. The exhibit attached to my testimony?
- 23 Q. Yeah. Yes.
- 24 A. Oh, okay. Yeah. Yeah. Okay. I think of that as
- 25 DMH-2. Yeah. In fact, I have a hardcopy in front of

- $1 \quad \text{me.}$
- 2 Q. And it's not the one where you've crossed out on the
- 3 map. It's the one that has the column nonparticipating
- 4 person identified, primary turbine proposed for
- 5 relocation.
- 6 A. Oh, okay. Oh, okay. S1-A. Yeah. The one with the
- 7 table?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Okay. All right. Sorry. Okay.
- 10 Q. Okay. And if you had a hardcopy like me but --
- 11 let's --
- 12 If Crowned Ridge -- if we go to the second column --
- 13 | is willing to take away turbine CR 16, put a line through
- 14 it, CR 19, CR 23, CR 60, which is in the -- in that group
- 15 of four?
- 16 A. Yeah. I see it.
- 17 Q. And then CR 67 and CR 68. If you put a line through
- 18 | those, do you agree with me that that would have a
- 19 | significant effect on the noise level of nonparticipating
- 20 individuals?
- 21 A. Yeah. There would be several houses that would see
- 22 a pretty big drop.
- 23 Q. If you remember, you were asked about the -- when
- 24 they reran the sound study, Mr. Murphy asked you about
- 25 have you seen the report where they reran the sound study

- 1 without those 16 turbines?
- 2 A. Right. Uh-huh.
- 3 Q. And he asked you about those four ones that were
- 4 over 3.
- 5 A. Right.
- 6 Q. I have both those exhibits in my hand. I don't know
- 7 | if you're able to do that on your computer. But if you
- 8 look at the person who lives in house 52, if you look in
- 9 your --
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. You predict that they're going to have a
- 12 | sound level reduction of 5, and they say 4.2, that's
- 13 | going to be significant, is it not?
- 14 A. That's correct. Yeah.
- 15 Q. And if you look at the resident identified as
- 16 CR1C31, do you see that on your table, nonparticipant?
- 17 A. Yes. Right.
- 18 Q. Okay. Their level of reduction would be -- you
- 19 | predict 3.7, but when they ran the -- or I'm sorry. Your
- 20 | prediction was 4 but they ran the level and it was 3.7.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Again, that would be significant; correct?
- 23 A. Yes. Yes.
- Q. And then 34, they ran it and got an actual reduction
- of 5.6, and you had predicted only 3.

- 1 A. Well, that's because -- well, they're only taking
- 2 | out one turbine -- well, I'm not sure what the
- 3 | correlation is there but --
- 4 Q. But anyway, those were the -- it's kind of a
- 5 combination of the very highest levels of nonparticipants
- 6 and the significant amount of noise difference. Do you
- 7 | agree with me?
- 8 A. Okay. Right.
- 9 Q. Would you also, if that happened, say that that
- 10 | would be a pretty fair effort on the part of the appliers
- 11 to -- or Crowned Ridge to try to at least reduce noise
- 12 | levels on behalf of this project?
- 13 A. Right. Yeah. I'm most concerned about the houses,
- 14 | the nonparticipants, that are up close to 45 or at 45.
- 15 Q. And all of those are nonparticipants that we talked
- 16 about, are they not?
- 17 A. Yeah. That's correct. Uh-huh.
- MR. MIKAL HANSON: Those are the only questions
- 19 I have.
- 20 MS. CREMER: Thank you. That would take us to
- 21 | recross but only as to Commission questions. Or
- 22 redirect. And Crowned Ridge is saying no.
- 23 Intervenors?
- MR. GANJE: No.
- 25 MS. CREMER: Thank you. Did that -- anything

```
from the Commission? Did those questions -- no?
2
              Well, thank you, Mr. Hessler, for your time.
 3
    Have fun in Portugal. No pretending your phone doesn't
 4
    work. You may step down.
                                Thank you.
 5
                     (The witness is excused.)
 6
              MS. CREMER: Is there any other business?
7
    Crowned Ridge is indicating there is.
8
              MR. MURPHY: I want to make sure I'm clear with
    our witnesses next week. When we bring them to the stand
10
     are we to assume that Hessler did not testify out of
11
    order for the purposes of the testimony he gave today and
    we will wait until our rebuttal case to do that?
12
1.3
              Again, I'm amenable either way. But, for
14
    example, I could ask a witness did you hear Mr. Hessler
15
     say X? Do you agree with X?
16
              MS. CREMER: That would be how normally we would
17
    do it. So typically what we do is when you put your
18
    witness on it's all of their testimony, their direct,
19
    their rebuttal, their surrebuttal. And they should
20
     summarize basically.
              You don't need to do the Q and A. They can just
21
22
    summarize in about five minutes. We've all read it.
23
    we just go right to cross-examination.
2.4
              MR. MURPHY: And just to -- maybe I wasn't
25
     clear.
```

```
1
              Mr. Hessler would normally testify after that so
 2
     I want to know whether we have leeway to address anything
 3
     he said today.
              MS. CREMER: Yes. Yes. That would be your
 4
 5
     opportunity to do that.
 6
              MR. MURPHY: Thank you.
 7
              MS. CREMER: Seeing nothing else, we are in
 8
     recess until 1 o'clock on Tuesday, June 11. Thank you
     very much, and we'll see you then.
10
                  (The proceeding is in recess.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
LO	had in the above-entitled matter on the 6th day of
L1	June, 2019, and that the attached is a true and correct
L2	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
L3	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 29th day of
L 4	June, 2019.
L5	
L6	
L7	/a/ Chari MaCamaar Wittler
L8	/s/ Cheri McComsey Wittler Cheri McComsey Wittler,
L 9	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

,	11th [2] - 21:18, 23:1	14:10	3.7 [3] - 52:25, 110:19,	24:23, 30:21, 42:14, 1
	12 [8] - 8:18, 9:21,	20 [2] - 15:8, 99:20	110:20	44:22, 45:1, 45:5,
'17-18 [1] - 6:6	49:10, 49:18, 76:21,	2007 [1] - 13:22	300 [1] - 41:20	46:11, 46:16, 59:1,
'Communicated [2] -	81:9, 91:1, 91:6	2009 [4] - 13:20, 88:3,	301 [1] - 4:8	64:8, 88:19, 89:11,
10:13, 11:4	13 [12] - 8:20, 8:22,	88:6, 88:24	308 [1] - 4:9	89:12, 100:11,
	9:22, 45:18, 45:23,	2011 [6] - 11:10,	30s [1] - 94:12	103:1, 103:3, 111:14
/	46:10, 49:18, 53:10,	13:12, 45:8, 78:25,	310 [1] - 4:9	450 [1] - 4:23
, ,,,,,,,,	53:14, 53:22, 76:22,	80:5, 89:24	34 [1] - 110:24	458 [1] - 4:23
/s [1] - 114:17	79:10	2012 [9] - 11:8, 12:7,	342 [1] - 4:11	47 [2] - 36:17, 45:16
4	13.3 [1] - 22:21	13:22, 42:25, 44:16,	36 [2] - 91:8, 94:8	48 [6] - 75:14, 106:20,
1	133 [1] - 3:9	47:17, 90:18, 95:24,	372 [1] - 4:12	106:22, 106:24,
1 [50] - 6:15, 6:16,	136 [1] - 3:9	97:3	375 [1] - 4:12	107:7, 107:19
6:16, 7:7, 7:8, 7:8,	14 [5] - 8:23, 32:23,	2013 [1] - 7:5	38 [3] - 67:20, 91:8,	483 [1] - 4:24
7:9, 7:12, 7:12, 7:13,	62:8, 62:9, 70:17	2014 [3] - 13:20,	94:9	485 [1] - 4:24
7:13, 7:14, 7:14,	14-day [1] - 34:16	13:21, 13:21	384 [1] - 4:13	49-41B-22 [1] - 15:16
7:15, 7:15, 7:16,	142 [1] - 3:10	2017 [1] - 94:18	39.5 [3] - 97:9, 97:21,	497 [1] - 5:4
7:16, 7:17, 7:17,	144 [1] - 3:10	2018 [1] - 88:7	98:2	
7:18, 7:18, 7:19,	15 [4] - 8:24, 33:9,	2019 [5] - 1:8, 2:4,	3a [2] - 6:13, 9:17	5
7:20, 7:20, 7:23, 8:6,	70:15, 78:17	15:9, 114:11, 114:14		F 0.40, 00.00
9:7, 9:7, 9:8, 9:13,	153 [1] - 3:11	2019.5.24 [1] - 13:23	4	5 [14] - 8:10, 29:23,
9:24, 11:20, 11:20,	154 [1] - 3:11	202 [1] - 3:15		30:1, 42:11, 44:12,
11:21, 11:21, 11:23,	158 [1] - 3:12	213 [1] - 1:24	4 [11] - 8:9, 12:3, 12:5,	44:14, 65:6, 65:9,
11:23, 14:11, 20:3,	16 [16] - 9:3, 11:16,	215 [1] - 3:16	29:23, 50:15, 65:12,	67:19, 77:10, 85:6,
29:24, 35:2, 38:14,	25:3, 25:10, 26:22,	217 [1] - 3:16	77:6, 77:21, 79:8,	102:15, 103:13,
38:16, 39:10, 47:14,	49:11, 49:14, 49:19,	22 [3] - 42:11, 81:15,	79:10, 110:20	110:12
49:5, 53:22, 71:1,	50:20, 54:6, 55:20,	81:16	4-1 [1] - 13:24	5-1 [1] - 9:13
113:8	66:11, 66:15,	221 [1] - 3:18	4.2 [4] - 52:24, 81:16,	5-12 [1] - 11:21
1-1 [1] - 6:14	108:21, 109:13,	23 [1] - 109:14	81:19, 110:12	5-2 [2] - 9:25, 11:20
1-10 [2] - 6:17, 7:13	110:1	232 [1] - 3:19	40 [38] - 26:4, 30:16,	5-5 [1] - 11:20
1-11 [2] - 7:9, 7:14	168 [1] - 3:14	24 [1] - 42:11	46:16, 60:13, 60:20,	5-7 [1] - 11:21
1-114 [1] - 1:9	17 [6] - 9:4, 48:21,	250 [1] - 3:19	62:11, 64:8, 65:1,	5.6 [2] - 52:25, 110:25
1-12 [5] - 7:10, 7:14,	49:7, 49:11, 49:15,	2535-CE-100 [2] -	65:13, 70:22, 71:8,	50 [11] - 24:3, 30:16, 30:18, 47:5, 47:14,
7:21, 7:21, 7:22	78:17	12:5, 12:7	71:14, 72:13, 73:9,	47:22, 47:24, 48:11,
1-13 [2] - 6:15, 7:15	174 [1] - 3:14	257 [1] - 3:20	73:14, 74:1, 74:2, 74:6, 74:10, 74:12,	48:14, 65:16, 100:3
1-152 [1] - 7:18	18 [1] - 62:9	26 [1] - 12:15	74:0, 74:10, 74:12,	50/60 [1] - 9:24
1-17 [1] - 7:15	188 [1] - 3:15	270 [1] - 3:20	88:9, 88:14, 88:19,	500 [2] - 2:3, 15:10
1-18 [1] - 7:16	19 [6] - 3:4, 61:14,	271 [1] - 3:21	88:22, 89:12, 90:4,	51 [1] - 36:17
1-20 [1] - 7:16	61:15, 62:13, 62:15,	275 [1] - 3:21	94:11, 95:11, 96:13,	512 [1] - 5:4
1-22 [1] - 7:17	109:14	278 [1] - 4:4	96:24, 97:24, 99:18,	513 [1] - 5:5
1-23 [1] - 7:17	1999/2000 [1] - 10:5	28 [2] - 19:13, 19:18	100:2, 103:2	518 [1] - 5:5
1-46 [1] - 7:18	1A [8] - 26:14, 28:9,	281 [1] - 4:4	402 [1] - 4:13	52 [3] - 48:11, 48:15,
1-6 [1] - 7:12	28:16, 29:18,	286 [1] - 4:5	404 [1] - 4:14	110:8
1-7 [2] - 6:15, 6:16	100:17, 108:14, 108:16, 108:17	292 [1] - 4:5	41 [1] - 3:4	538 [1] - 5:6
1-8 [1] - 7:12	108:16, 108:17	296 [1] - 4:6	413 [1] - 15:10	541 [1] - 5:8
1-9 [2] - 6:16, 7:13	2	299 [1] - 4:8	415 [1] - 4:16	544 [1] - 5:8
1/22/19 [2] - 14:5, 14:6	2	29th [1] - 114:13	418 [1] - 4:16	548 [1] - 5:9
10 [10] - 8:16, 9:20,	2 [15] - 6:15, 8:3, 8:7,	2MW [1] - 9:24	42 [6] - 25:21, 71:11,	550 [1] - 5:9
12:7, 30:16, 33:19,	9:16, 23:12, 41:23,		73:9, 74:1, 74:2,	551 [1] - 5:10
47:2, 49:10, 85:3,	42:2, 46:16, 47:14,	3	74:6	552 [1] - 5:12
89:10, 98:10	48:2, 48:11, 48:24,	3 [16] - 8:4, 8:8, 9:13,	422 [1] - 4:17	557 [1] - 5:12
10-minute [3] - 33:19,	71:1, 71:13	9:17, 14:12, 42:3,	43 [4] - 50:24, 67:21,	562 [1] - 5:13
33:22, 33:24	2-13 [2] - 7:9, 7:20	52:22, 53:11, 53:17,	99:18, 100:2	57 [1] - 3:5
100 [2] - 89:2, 89:5	2-15 [2] - 7:8, 7:20	53:23, 54:2, 55:19,	431 [1] - 4:17	572 [1] - 5:13
106 [1] - 3:6	2-30 [1] - 7:7	75:24, 76:22, 110:4,	432 [1] - 4:19	
11 [6] - 8:17, 9:21, 45:21, 46:6, 76:3,	2-41 [1] - 9:22	110:25	436 [1] - 4:20	6
45.21, 46.6, 76.3, 113:8	2-8 [2] - 7:8, 7:19	3-10 [1] - 9:8	438 [1] - 4:20	
11:00 [1] - 15:8	2/19/19 [4] - 14:7,	3-2 [1] - 9:7	44 [1] - 59:3	6 [11] - 1:8, 6:23, 7:3,
11:20 [2] - 1:8, 2:5	14:8, 14:11, 14:12	3-5 [1] - 9:7	447 [1] - 4:21	8:12, 9:18, 12:11,
	2/26/19 [2] - 14:9,	3.6 [1] - 53:12	45 [20] - 24:2, 24:5,	13:6, 15:9, 47:1,

	1		1	
49:4, 77:10	A15 [1] - 7:5	A26-2 [1] - 9:7	A41-2 [1] - 11:7	absence [1] - 84:6 2
6-1 [1] - 11:23	A16 [1] - 7:7	A26-3 [1] - 9:8	A41-3 [1] - 11:8	absolute [1] - 30:17
6-2 [1] - 11:23	A16-1 [1] - 7:7	A27 [1] - 9:8	A41-4 [1] - 11:10	absolutely [2] - 64:16,
60 [1] - 109:14	A16-2 [1] - 7:8	A28 [1] - 9:9	A42 [1] - 11:11	77:13
67 [1] - 109:17	A16-2 [1] - 7:8	A29 [1] - 9:9	A42-1 [1] - 11:11	academic [1] - 31:19
68 [1] - 109:17	A16-3 [1] - 7:8	A3 [1] - 6:11	A42-1 [1] - 11:11	Academic [1] - 10:9
		• •		
6th [2] - 2:4, 114:10	A17 [1] - 7:9	A30 [1] - 9:10	A43-1 [1] - 11:12	accept [1] - 28:20
7	A18 [1] - 7:10	A31 [1] - 9:10	A43-2 [1] - 11:13	acceptable [6] - 39:9,
7	A19 _[1] - 7:10	A31-1 [1] - 9:11	A43-3 [1] - 11:14	58:11, 59:8, 74:10,
7 [17] - 8:13, 9:18,	A2 [2] - 6:11, 42:8	A32 [1] - 9:12	A43-4 [1] - 11:15	78:19, 95:12
12:12, 12:12, 12:13,	A20 [1] - 7:11	A32-1 [1] - 9:13	A43-5 [1] - 11:16	acceptance [2] -
45:12, 45:21, 45:25,	A20-1 [1] - 7:12	A32-2 [1] - 9:13	A44 [1] - 11:17	46:21, 77:15
46:5, 47:12, 47:18,	A20-10 [1] - 7:16	A33 [1] - 9:14	A44-1 [1] - 11:18	access [1] - 83:20
66:18, 78:14, 85:6,	A20-11 [1] - 7:17	A34 [2] - 9:15, 50:22	A44-2 [1] - 11:18	accomplished [2] -
85:22, 96:9, 96:12	A20-12 [1] - 7:17	A34-4 [2] - 50:15,	A45 [1] - 11:19	60:23, 68:10
7030.0040 [1] - 12:8	A20-13 [1] - 7:18	50:19	A45-1 [1] - 11:20	Account [1] - 8:12
7030.0040 [i] - 12.8	A20-14 [1] - 7:18	A35 [1] - 9:16	A45-2 [1] - 11:20	account [1] - 104:18
	A20-15 [1] - 7:19	A35-1 [1] - 9:16	A45-3 [1] - 11:21	accounted [1] - 33:16
751 [1] - 61:13	A20-16 [1] - 7:20	A35-10 [1] - 9:21	A45-4 [1] - 11:21	accurate [6] - 20:11,
773 [1] - 62:5	A20-17 [1] - 7:20	A35-11 [1] - 9:21	A46 [1] - 11:22	23:11, 28:1, 33:11,
	A20-18 [1] - 7:21	A35-12 [1] - 9:22	A46-1 [1] - 11:23	83:12, 101:14
8	A20-19 [1] - 7:21	A35-2 [1] - 9:17	A46-2 [1] - 11:23	accurately [1] - 33:16
8 _[5] - 8:14, 9:19, 97:5,	A20-2 [1] - 7:12	A35-3 [1] - 9:17	A47 [2] - 11:24, 42:20	achieve [5] - 26:13,
97:11, 98:1	A20-20 [1] - 7:22	A35-4 [1] - 9:18	a48 [1] - 12:3	69:20, 74:13, 74:17,
97.11, 90.1	A20-3 [1] - 7:13	A35-5 [1] - 9:18	A49 [1] - 12:5	94:12
9	A20-4 [1] - 7:13	A35-6 [1] - 9:19	A5 [1] - 6:12	acknowledge [2] -
9	A20-5 [1] - 7:14	A35-7 [1] - 9:19	A50 [1] - 12:7	22:5, 44:2
9 [7] - 8:15, 44:14,	A20-6 [1] - 7:14	A35-8 [1] - 9:20	A51 [1] - 12:8	acknowledged [3] -
44:17, 44:18, 44:21,	A20-7 [1] - 7:15	A35-9 [1] - 9:20	A53 [1] - 12:9	26:9, 70:2, 93:17
48:21, 70:12	A20-7 [1] - 7:15 A20-8 [1] - 7:15	A36 [1] - 9:22	A54 [1] - 12:10	acknowledgment [1] -
96 [3] - 87:25, 88:1,	A20-9 [1] - 7:16	A37 [1] - 9:23	A55 [1] - 12:10	27:25
88:21		A37 [1] - 9:23		Acoustic [1] - 6:7
98 [2] - 3:5, 46:22	A21 [1] - 7:22		A56 [1] - 12:11	acoustical [2] - 19:9,
9a [1] - 9:19	A22 [1] - 7:23	A37-2 [2] - 9:24, 9:25	A57 [1] - 12:11	19:15
9b [1] - 9:20	A22-1 [1] - 7:23	A37-3 [1] - 10:3	A58 [1] - 12:12	Act [1] - 10:24
	A22-2 [1] - 8:3	A38 [1] - 10:4	A59 [1] - 12:12	act [1] - 16:18
Α	A22-3 [1] - 8:4	A38-1 [1] - 10:4	A6 [1] - 6:13	actual [5] - 22:23,
	A23 [1] - 8:5	A38-2 [1] - 10:5	A60 [1] - 12:13	27:23, 47:18, 82:8,
A-weighted [1] - 91:7	A24 [1] - 8:6	A38-3 [1] - 10:5	A61 [1] - 12:14	110:24
a.m [2] - 1:8, 2:5	A24-1 [1] - 8:6	A38-4 [1] - 10:7	A62 [1] - 12:15	Ad [1] - 21:22
A1 [3] - 6:3, 108:18,	A24-10 [1] - 8:16	A38-5 [1] - 10:9	A63 [1] - 12:15	AD [1] - 14:7
108:19	A24-11 [1] - 8:17	A38-6 [1] - 10:10	A64 [1] - 12:16	Adam [1] - 1:15
A1-A [1] - 6:3	A24-12 [1] - 8:18	A38-7 [1] - 10:11	A65 [1] - 12:16	
A1-B [1] - 6:4	A24-13 [1] - 8:20	A39 [1] - 10:13	A66 [1] - 12:17	adapt [1] - 66:9 add [2] - 54:23, 103:14
A1-C [1] - 6:5	A24-13A [1] - 8:22	A39-1 [1] - 10:14	A67 [1] - 12:18	,
A1-D [1] - 6:6	A24-14 [1] - 8:23	A39-2 [1] - 10:14	A68 [1] - 12:18	added [3] - 23:12,
A1-E [1] - 6:6	A24-15 [1] - 8:24	A39-3 [1] - 10:15	A69 [1] - 12:19	102:23, 106:8
A1-F [1] - 6:7	A24-16 [1] - 9:3	A4 [1] - 6:12	A7 [1] - 6:14	addition [2] - 25:15,
A1-G [1] - 6:7	A24-17 [1] - 9:4	A40 [1] - 10:16	A7-1 [1] - 6:14	33:4
A1-H [1] - 6:8	A24-2 [1] - 8:7	A40-1 [1] - 10:16	A7-2 [1] - 6:15	additional [1] - 24:12
A1-I [1] - 6:8	A24-3 [1] - 8:8	A40-2 [1] - 10:17	A7-3 [1] - 6:15	Additional [4] - 13:5,
A1-J [1] - 6:9	A24-4 [1] - 8:9	A40-3 [1] - 10:18	A7-4 [1] - 6:16	13:6, 14:10, 14:11
	A24-5 [1] - 8:10	A40-4 [1] - 10:19	A7-5 [1] - 6:16	address [2] - 40:11,
A1-K [1] - 6:9	A24-6 [1] - 8:12	A40-5 [1] - 10:21	A7-6 [1] - 6:17	113:2
A1-L [1] - 6:10	A24-7 [1] - 8:13	A40-6 [1] - 10:22	A70 [1] - 12:19	addressed [1] - 18:13
A1-M [1] - 6:10	A24-8 [1] - 8:14	A40-7 [1] - 10:23	A8 [1] - 6:17	adhere [1] - 87:10
A10 [1] - 6:19	A24-9 [1] - 8:15	A40-8 [1] - 11:3	A9 [1] - 6:18	administered [1] -
A11 [1] - 6:20	A25 [1] - 9:5	A40-9 [1] - 11:5	able [2] - 104:7, 110:7	19:3
A12 [1] - 6:21	A26 [1] - 9:6	A41 [1] - 11:6	above-entitled [2] -	admission [2] - 22:13,
A13 [1] - 6:22	A26 [1] - 9.0 A26-1 [1] - 9:7	A41-1 [1] - 11:7	2:2, 114:10	28:9
A14 [1] - 7:3	7.20 -1 [i] = ∂.1	7.41 (pj = 11.7	2.2, 117.10	admit [1] - 44:3

admitted [5] - 21:6, 21:18, 22:18, 23:1, 28:16 adopt [1] - 22:9 adopted [1] - 9:5 advanced [2] - 67:5, 103:24 adverse [2] - 35:6, 48:3 adversely [1] - 107:4 advice [1] - 105:6 advised [2] - 57:20, 57:25 advising [2] - 57:23, 84:1 Advisors [1] - 10:14 advocate [2] - 69:21, 70:3 advocating [1] - 70:8 affect [2] - 48:2, 106:24 affected [1] - 16:2 affecting [1] - 27:5 **Affidavit** [1] - 14:5 afternoon [2] - 41:15, 99:3 Agency [1] - 6:4 **Aggregate** [1] - 10:6 ago [5] - 36:13, 38:25, 75:12, 104:15, 105:13 agree [26] - 47:10, 59:17, 64:13, 64:18, 66:4, 68:21, 73:23, 76:25, 77:12, 80:15, 82:10, 83:3, 85:14, 86:7, 86:25, 88:17, 88:21, 89:20, 92:16, 94:13, 95:8, 95:14, 108:12, 109:18, 111:7, 112:15 agreed [2] - 34:2, 89:18 Agreed [1] - 12:14 agreement [4] - 53:7, 101:16, 101:19, 102:3 agreements [2] - 69:2, 69:3 agrees [1] - 93:19 ahead [3] - 36:10, 68:4, 68:14 alerted [1] - 58:4 Allocation [1] - 9:9 allow [3] - 34:13, 55:14. 93:22 allowed [3] - 56:25, 58:19, 60:23 almost [4] - 25:1, 29:19, 75:6, 95:21

alter [1] - 69:25 alternate [6] - 24:24, 25:5, 26:22, 55:4, 55:21. 100:23 alternates [1] - 55:3 alternative [10] -33:20, 49:7, 49:11, 50:10, 52:8, 54:6, 54:9, 100:20, 107:14, 108:2 Amanda [1] - 18:10 Amber [1] - 7:11 ambient [3] - 59:3, 99:5, 99:9 amenable [1] - 112:13 amended [1] - 42:25 Amended [2] - 11:24, 12:15 **Amendment** [1] - 9:9 **amount** [1] - 111:6 analysis [4] - 50:1, 50:7, 77:13, 89:6 Analysis [1] - 13:10 **AND**[1] - 1:5 **ANDREW** [1] - 3:8 Andrew [1] - 10:13 **Ann** [1] - 10:7 annoyance [6] -60:17, 66:16, 73:11, 89:10, 105:4, 105:20 Annoyance [4] - 8:9, 8:18, 10:4, 10:6 **ANSI** [4] - 87:9, 87:10, 87:11, 87:15 answer [21] - 22:9, 23:3, 34:14, 48:23, 55:14, 61:15, 61:19, 68:22, 69:11, 69:15, 72:4, 73:8, 75:2, 84:9, 84:10, 85:2, 88:17, 94:4, 103:5, 103:13, 104:7 answered [9] - 70:10, 72:10, 77:18, 83:7, 86:12, 86:13, 86:15, 88:11, 102:10 answering [3] - 85:13, 86:2, 86:4 answers [5] - 20:24, 28:4, 28:5, 29:12, 79:17 anticipate [2] - 34:18, 65:14 anyway [2] - 59:21, 111:4

AO[1] - 14:8

32:9, 64:4

apologies [3] - 16:25,

apologize [6] - 57:14,

58:2, 58:23, 65:6,

97:11, 97:18 **App** [2] - 14:5, 14:6 appealed [1] - 16:15 appear [2] - 35:5, 51:5 appearance [1] -17:20 appearing [5] - 1:19, 1:21, 1:22, 18:3, 18:10 **Appendices** [4] - 6:18, 6:19, 14:6, 14:7 Appendix [26] - 6:3, 6:4, 6:5, 6:6, 6:6, 6:7, 6:7, 6:8, 6:8, 6:9, 6:9, 6:10, 6:10, 6:18, 6:19, 6:20, 6:21, 7:21, 7:21, 7:22, 12:11, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18, 22:23, 22:25 Appendixes [2] - 7:9, 7:10 applicable [2] - 15:17, 58:14 **APPLICANT** [9] - 3:7, 4:2, 6:2, 7:2, 8:2, 9:2, 10:2, 11:2, 12:2 Applicant [7] - 16:11, 40:21, 44:9, 66:1, 68:25, 83:12, 86:25 Applicant's [10] -7:18, 9:14, 9:15, 9:25, 11:19, 11:22, 13:3, 26:19, 42:13, 50:23 APPLICATION[1] -1:4 Application [9] - 6:3, 9:9, 15:3, 21:5, 22:20, 22:22, 66:5, 76:10, 76:25 appliers [1] - 111:10 appointed [1] - 114:8 appreciate [3] - 46:8, 90:25, 93:25 approach [1] - 65:16 appropriate [6] -16:10, 56:16, 62:20, 82:7, 84:15, 85:7 appropriately [1] -62:2 approval [1] - 97:6 approximate [2] -25:20, 26:21 April [1] - 11:10 Area [1] - 12:8 area [14] - 15:21, 20:12, 24:25, 25:22, 30:1, 54:8, 61:2, 76:13, 83:13, 83:21,

99:24, 100:25, 101:4, 107:15 areas [3] - 47:23, 59:19, 106:17 argumentative [1] -72:9 arise [1] - 31:14 array [1] - 42:14 article [20] - 45:6, 45:7, 88:9, 88:17, 88:18, 89:5, 89:9, 89:15, 89:23, 90:14, 91:2, 91:18, 92:5, 93:20, 94:18, 94:19, 95:2, 95:9, 97:1, 97:5 articles [1] - 93:16 aside [2] - 74:14, 75:6 aspects [1] - 84:2 assess [3] - 66:2, 77:2, 84:7 assesses [1] - 27:16 Assessing [2] - 11:8, 13:12 Assessment [3] - 6:7, 10:7, 13:23 assessment[1] -81:21 assist [1] - 84:16 associated [1] - 34:24 **Associated** [1] - 8:19 Associates [2] -19:10, 98:2 Association [1] - 10:5 assume [1] - 112:10 assuming [5] - 22:24, 23:1, 28:12, 99:7, 99:24 **assumption** [1] - 50:3 Asthma [1] - 10:17 atmospheric [1] -102:2 attached [2] - 108:22, 114:11 Attachment [39] -6:14, 6:15, 6:15, 6:16, 6:16, 6:17, 7:7, 7:8, 7:8, 7:9, 7:12, 7:12, 7:13, 7:13, 7:14, 7:14, 7:15, 7:15, 7:16, 7:16, 7:17, 7:17, 7:18, 7:18, 7:19, 7:20, 7:20, 9:7, 9:7, 9:8,

9:11, 9:13, 9:13,

11:20, 11:20, 11:21,

11:21, 11:23, 11:23

attainable [2] - 26:10,

attaining [1] - 69:2

26:11

Attainments [1] - 10:9 attempt [3] - 25:16, 66:24, 81:10 attention [10] - 45:17, 52:16, 61:14, 62:4, 62:8, 77:9, 78:14, 78:23, 89:2, 95:16 attitude [1] - 90:25 Attitude [1] - 10:5 attorney [5] - 16:13, 17:24, 18:6, 18:18, 21:24 attorneys [1] - 36:22 auctioneer [1] - 17:2 **audibility** [1] - 48:12 Audible [1] - 8:12 Australian [2] - 10:11, 11:3 authenticated [1] -21:7 authenticity [1] -51:13 author [7] - 51:15, 81:6, 81:7, 88:21, 89:19, 92:5, 95:9 author's [1] - 95:8 authored [1] - 45:6 authority [4] - 90:22, 90:24, 91:21, 92:13 authorize [1] - 68:6 authorized [1] - 73:7 authors [2] - 94:19, 95.9 automated [1] - 32:20 automatic [1] - 85:19 available [1] - 90:1 Avenue [2] - 2:3, 15:11 average [6] - 96:13, 97:8, 97:20, 101:19, 101:24, 102:4 Avian [1] - 6:6 aware [10] - 24:7, 24:10, 24:11, 24:16, 28:19, 28:25, 37:7, 57:21, 67:24, 68:5 awhile [1] - 105:1

В

background [12] 33:11, 33:14, 61:2,
61:3, 67:13, 67:18,
67:19, 67:20, 99:18,
102:16, 102:22,
103:1
BAKER [1] - 3:8
Baker [1] - 10:13
balances [1] - 44:22
barn [3] - 100:1,

102:14, 103:19 Bird [1] - 7:3 career [1] - 81:24 check [1] - 39:15 **combined** [1] - 104:9 Base [1] - 9:5 bit [6] - 57:14, 67:24, carefully [3] - 84:21, Cheri [4] - 1:24, 16:25, coming [2] - 50:22, base [1] - 59:13 94:9, 94:14, 95:11, 85:7, 85:17 114:17, 114:18 82:6 based [21] - 8:12, carry [1] - 81:20 CHERI [1] - 114:5 commencing [2] - 2:4, 105.1 blade [1] - 35:1 case [22] - 19:22, Children [1] - 10:10 70:17 16:3, 16:6, 21:4, 21:17, 23:20, 23:23, bless [1] - 16:5 27:23, 31:25, 42:25, CHRIS [1] - 1:12 Comments [1] - 6:22 27:11, 28:2, 50:3, blindsided [1] - 39:2 48:18, 60:6, 60:7, **Chris** [2] - 10:4, 15:13 Commission [43] -50:17, 54:4, 55:21, 60:9, 60:11, 60:15, blowing [2] - 99:10, Christenson [1] - 7:11 12:3, 12:7, 13:10, 55:23, 56:13, 59:2, 99.23 60:19, 60:21, 61:1, Christopher [2] - 8:6, 15:12, 16:3, 16:6, 59:13, 61:7, 69:16, board [2] - 43:1, 45:15 61:15, 62:20, 65:5, 16:10, 16:18, 16:22, 82:7, 98:1 Board [2] - 11:24, 75:8, 81:3, 82:24, CHRISTOPHER [1] -19:25, 31:7, 40:11, baseline [10] - 61:5, 84:17, 103:3, 112:12 40:24, 60:11, 62:19, 44:13 4:22 67:2, 76:11, 79:11, Case [1] - 10:18 75:24, 77:7, 77:25, bodies [1] - 16:2 circles [1] - 25:10 81:2, 82:1, 82:4, **body** [1] - 61:24 Case-Control [1] -78:1, 78:2, 78:15, Circuit [1] - 16:16 102:16, 102:20, 10:18 79:4, 79:7, 79:18, Book [1] - 12:11 circulated [2] - 32:5, 103:21 cases [3] - 25:6, 25:7, 80:6, 81:1, 82:22, bother [1] - 51:21 40:10 basis [7] - 51:16, 26:11 83:9, 83:19, 84:2, bottom [2] - 42:2, circumstances [2] -58:10, 59:7, 85:14, 85:4, 86:9, 87:6, catch [1] - 40:6 63:12 68:20, 85:1 86:7, 86:8, 102:3 category [1] - 105:22 90:18, 93:11, 96:5, boundary [1] - 47:6 cite [1] - 45:6 96:23, 99:1, 104:23, Bat [3] - 6:7, 6:7, 7:4 caught [1] - 106:13 break [3] - 38:14, citing [1] - 89:6 Bates [1] - 46:1 107:24, 111:21, caused [1] - 24:9 95:20, 98:7 City [1] - 10:15 Baucom [1] - 1:16 112:1 causes [1] - 30:19 **BREF** [1] - 10:8 clarify [2] - 51:21, COMMISSION [3] become [2] - 16:24, Brian [3] - 1:19, 17:24, certain [6] - 17:10, 105:9 1:1, 1:11, 1:13 71:21 24:20, 31:20, 37:2, clarifying [1] - 102:11 41:13 Commission's [2] becomes [1] - 94:11 52:9, 93:13 brief [1] - 17:17 Classification [1] -16:15, 16:20 **Bedrock** [1] - 9:20 certainly [14] - 23:4, **bring** [3] - 57:2, 63:6, 12:8 Commissioner [26] -**BEFORE** [1] - 1:11 25:19, 43:22, 51:10, Classroom [1] - 10:9 112:9 3:5, 3:10, 3:15, 3:20, Before-After [1] - 8:10 56:9, 60:1, 64:21, broad [1] - 92:25 Clean [2] - 96:3, 96:4 4:5, 4:9, 4:13, 4:17, begin [5] - 15:1, 80:21, 103:19, brought [2] - 58:25, clear [4] - 55:3, 69:7, 4:20, 4:24, 5:5, 5:9, 17:17, 17:19, 18:13, 103:22, 104:8, 93:11 112:8, 112:25 5:13, 15:13, 18:25, 104:23, 104:25, 99:1 Brown [1] - 13:11 clearly [2] - 51:12, 38:4, 39:19, 39:20, beginning [2] - 43:24, 105:2 Bruce [1] - 94:20 54:12 40:13, 62:9, 98:16, CERTIFICATE [1] -52:3 buddy [1] - 90:12 Clinical [1] - 10:20 98:17, 100:15, behalf [13] - 1:19, 114:2 **build** [1] - 50:5 clipped [1] - 47:23 102:8, 102:9, 106:3 1:21, 1:22, 17:25, Certified [2] - 114:6, Building [1] - 15:10 close [4] - 25:4, 26:24, **COMMISSIONER** [16] 18:3, 18:8, 18:10, 114:19 104:8, 111:14 built [2] - 31:1, 108:3 - 1:12, 37:10, 38:6, 44:7, 65:8, 69:8, **CERTIFY** [1] - 114:8 closely [1] - 101:12 burden [1] - 16:11 39:20, 40:14, 98:17, 75:24, 86:22, 111:12 cetera [1] - 93:14 business [1] - 112:6 **CO**[2] - 43:14 100:16, 101:7, behind [1] - 31:20 CHAIRMAN[13] -BY [5] - 1:4, 19:6, code [1] - 27:2 102:6, 102:9, 103:7, below [5] - 47:24, 1:11, 1:12, 15:1, 41:12, 57:11, 106:16 Codified [1] - 15:16 103:16, 104:4, 59:4, 67:16, 94:11, 18:23, 18:25, 19:4, **CODINGTON** [1] - 1:5 104:20, 105:23, 102:25 32:7, 32:10, 99:3, C Codington [5] - 15:4, 106:14 benefit [2] - 27:15, 99:13, 99:22, 100:8, 23:21, 24:3, 47:9, Commissioners [5] -C-1 [1] - 12:18 100:13 52:13 15:13, 18:14, 37:11, benefits [1] - 56:1 Chairman [6] - 15:12, C-2[1] - 12:18 Cohort [1] - 10:19 54:12, 98:7 17:13, 19:3, 39:17, best [1] - 64:18 C-3 [1] - 12:11 **coincident** [1] - 103:3 **committee** [1] - 97:20 better [6] - 31:21, C3 [1] - 50:20 39:18, 99:1 coincidentally [1] common [1] - 33:22 60:16, 74:2, 74:6, Calculated [1] - 8:19 Champaign [5] -25:1 commonsensic [1] -84:13. 104:7 42:25, 44:7, 44:9, calculating [1] - 62:23 Cold [1] - 12:17 106:19 Between [2] - 10:5, 47:13, 58:25 calm [1] - 99:19 collaborate [1] - 90:16 Communication [1] chance 131 - 38:15. 12:14 Canada [1] - 10:4 colleague [2] - 90:16, 7.5 between [5] - 30:21, 64:19, 76:7 cannot [2] - 17:8, 37:2 93:15 community [10] change [2] - 48:15, 46:16, 100:2, canon [5] - 65:22, **colleagues** [1] - 51:22 26:8, 60:16, 62:3, 104:1 101:16, 102:4 72:25, 73:3, 73:6, collector [1] - 54:17 64:14, 66:3, 66:25, beyond [5] - 24:13, changed [1] - 88:25 73:22 column [7] - 52:16, 67:10, 77:3, 78:20, 35:2, 54:11, 58:15, changes [6] - 24:19, Canons [1] - 13:19 52:20, 52:23, 53:9, 95:12 66:8 27:14, 28:21, 67:6, Capitol [4] - 2:3, 53:22, 109:3, 109:12 community's [2] -71:9, 73:18 bias [1] - 86:23 15:10, 15:11 combination [2] -61:17, 77:14 Biased [1] - 10:18 characteristic [1] capture [2] - 32:20, 106:10, 111:5 company [3] - 19:15, 24:24 **big** [2] - 59:3, 109:22 32:21

19:16, 86:17 comparable [2] -33:14, 76:15 compare [1] - 76:13 compared [2] - 70:24, 87:19 compares [1] - 106:5 complain [1] - 30:8 Complaints [2] -10:11, 11:3 complaints [13] - 30:5, 30:12, 30:14, 30:20, 31:10, 31:14, 31:21, 46:15, 60:17, 65:14, 84:24, 85:20, 89:22 complete [2] - 70:21, 81:21 Completed [1] - 11:9 completed [2] - 46:25, 84:21 completely [2] -86:19, 87:18 compliance [12] -24:4, 24:5, 24:22, 47:4, 47:8, 70:22, 77:2, 85:21, 85:23, 87:8, 100:5, 107:23 compliant [1] - 85:8 complies [1] - 82:13 comply [1] - 15:17 composer [1] - 51:15 computer [3] - 26:16, 108:16, 110:7 concern [3] - 77:14, 107:7, 107:9 concerned [2] - 67:24, 111:13 concerning [2] -21:10, 43:16 concerns [3] - 29:12, 66:25, 74:14 conclude [2] - 46:20, 48:1 conclusion [5] -83:23, 88:18, 94:8, 95.8 97.19 conclusions [1] -93:19 Condition [2] - 12:15, 13:7 condition [11] - 15:20, 31:8, 31:24, 32:1, 34:15, 72:13, 74:11, 87:7, 102:17, 103:8, 103:18 Conditional [1] - 6:9 conditions [14] - 16:8, 32:22, 32:25, 59:3, 67:2, 76:12, 76:15, 85:7, 85:11, 85:23,

86:3, 99:17, 99:19, 102:3 Conditions [2] - 9:4, 12:14 conduct [3] - 16:19, 49:25. 50:7 **conducting** [1] - 18:6 confidential [2] - 29:8, Confidential [13] -6:15, 6:15, 6:16, 6:16, 7:7, 9:7, 9:13, 9:24, 11:22, 12:16, 12:16, 12:19, 13:6 confine [1] - 66:6 confirm [1] - 62:13 confirmation [1] -94:7 confusing [1] - 52:3 connect [1] - 54:15 consensus [2] -97:20, 97:25 Consent [1] - 10:21 Conservation [1] - 7:4 conservative[1] -23:12 consider [5] - 73:1, 73:22, 87:7, 90:22, 92:12 consideration [2] -16:1. 96:10 Consideration [1] -10:3 Considered [1] - 8:20 considered [2] -61:24, 91:21 considering [1] -28:22 consistent [1] - 96:25 constant [1] - 102:1 Constraints [2] - 9:18, 9:18 constructability [1] -50:10 constructing [1] -83:13 Construction [1] - 7:6 construction [6] -16:9, 33:21, 67:25, 68:1, 68:6, 103:21 consultant [2] - 30:4, Context [1] - 10:22 contingent [1] - 75:14 continue [1] - 52:1 Continued [11] - 4:1, 5:1, 6:1, 7:1, 8:1, 9:1, 10:1, 11:1, 12:1, 13:1, 14:1

contour [3] - 25:18,

47:22, 102:5 control [5] - 45:7, 65:20, 72:24, 72:25, 24:6 97:1 Control [5] - 10:18, 11:10, 12:4, 12:6, 13:19 conventional [1] -87:13 conversations [1] -17:8 Cooperative [1] -13:10 Coordination [1] - 6:4 copies [3] - 36:20, 16:17 37:13, 108:15 copy [1] - 37:3 corner [1] - 101:3 94:2 corners [1] - 47:23 correct [70] - 18:20, 18:22, 19:22, 22:3, 22:10, 25:13, 25:14, 26:5, 28:7, 29:2, 29:24, 29:25, 32:17, 42:5, 44:7, 44:10, 44:11, 45:9, 45:10, 46:13, 46:24, 47:6, 47:7, 47:21, 48:13, 48:14, 48:22, 49:12, 49:13, 49:17, 50:11, 50:12, 52:10, 52:11, 53:24, 58:2, 58:20, 58:21, 60:14, 61:18, 62:19, 64:22, 65:17, 65:20, 65:21, 66:20, 70:5, 71:3, 72:7, 78:21, 81:6, 81:12, 82:5, 87:17, 89:7, 89:8, 89:24, 91:4, 91:5, 91:17, 94:25, 95:1, 96:6, 100:10, 107:17, 107:18, 110:14, 110:22, 111:17, 114:11 correctly [11] - 23:10, 42:16, 44:24, 46:12, 46:18, 46:23, 47:15, 48:5, 49:1, 49:16, 49:22 correlation [1] - 111:3 Correspondence [1] -6.4 Corridor [1] - 6:24 cost [1] - 69:18 counsel [6] - 16:18, 29:4, 51:17, 51:23, 75:14, 92:11 count [2] - 52:20, 53:10 **COUNTIES** [1] - 1:5

Counties [1] - 15:4 counties [2] - 24:1, **COUNTY** [1] - 114:3 county [1] - 96:1 County [6] - 6:9, 13:11, 47:9, 52:13, 53:6, 53:11 County's [1] - 23:21 couple [4] - 37:20, 50:6, 99:4, 102:11 course [3] - 19:20, 78:13, 105:4 Court [2] - 16:16, court [6] - 17:3, 17:7, 64:4, 74:23, 78:10, courteous [1] - 39:4 courtesy [1] - 38:24 courtroom [1] - 41:7 Cover [8] - 14:5, 14:6, 14:6, 14:7, 14:8, 14:9, 14:10, 14:11 covered [1] - 96:16 CR [6] - 109:13, 109:14, 109:17 CR1C31 [1] - 110:16 CR1G16MP [1] - 53:14 **Crane** [1] - 6:24 creating [1] - 79:11 **CREMER** [107] - 17:13, 18:1, 18:4, 18:12, 21:12, 21:20, 22:1, 22:5, 22:15, 22:17, 28:10, 28:12, 28:15, 29:5, 32:11, 34:9, 34:13, 36:2, 36:10, 36:15, 36:18, 36:23, 37:5, 37:9, 37:13, 37:19, 38:1, 38:4, 38:7, 38:10, 38:13, 38:20, 39:6, 39:12, 39:17, 39:22, 39:24, 40:2, 40:4, 40:12, 40:15, 41:4, 43:19, 43:23, 44:1, 51:10, 51:25, 54:22, 55:6, 55:10, 55:13, 56:9, 56:24, 57:4, 57:6, 60:2, 60:5, 63:11, 63:15, 63:23, 64:6, 68:14, 68:21, 69:14, 72:11, 72:19, 73:8, 74:20, 75:2, 75:5, 77:19, 78:4, 78:7, 80:1, 80:15, 80:23, 82:19, 83:10, 83:24, 84:9, 86:14, 88:12, 88:16, 91:14, 91:24,

92:16, 92:20, 93:18, 94:4, 95:18, 95:22, 96:19, 98:6, 98:10, 98:14, 98:19, 98:22, 98:25, 100:15, 102:8, 105:25, 111:20, 111:25, 112:6, 112:16, 113:4, 113:7 Cremer [20] - 1:14, 16:18, 17:12, 17:23, 18:2, 18:5, 18:17, 21:1, 22:12, 28:8, 35:24, 36:11, 37:10, 37:11, 38:18, 38:21, 51:9, 63:2, 95:18, 105:24 Criterion [1] - 13:13 criterion [1] - 91:8 Critical [1] - 8:15 Croix [1] - 96:1 Cross [23] - 3:4, 3:5, 3:9, 3:10, 3:14, 3:15, 3:19, 3:19, 4:4, 4:5, 4:8, 4:9, 4:12, 4:12, 4:16, 4:20, 4:23, 4:24, 5:4, 5:5, 5:8, 5:12, 9:20 cross [23] - 16:14, 17:18, 21:8, 35:25, 36:5, 36:8, 39:8, 40:9, 40:10, 41:1, 41:6, 54:11, 56:16, 57:6, 63:5, 63:18, 74:22, 80:14, 93:20, 93:21, 93:22, 93:25, 112:23 CROSS [2] - 41:11, 57:10 **CROSS-EXAMINATION**[2] -41:11, 57:10 **Cross-Examination** [22] - 3:4, 3:5, 3:9, 3:10, 3:14, 3:15, 3:19, 3:19, 4:4, 4:5, 4:8, 4:9, 4:12, 4:12, 4:16, 4:20, 4:23, 4:24, 5:4, 5:5, 5:8, 5:12 cross-examination [18] - 16:14, 17:18, 21:8, 35:25, 36:5, 36:8, 39:8, 40:9, 40:10, 41:1, 41:6, 54:11, 56:16, 63:18, 74:22. 80:14. 93:25.

112:23

63:5

cross-examine [1] -

Cross-sections [1] -9.20 crossed [1] - 109:2 **CROWNED** [1] - 1:4 Crowned [43] - 1:19, 6:3, 8:3, 9:9, 11:12, 11:13, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 11:19, 11:22, 12:14, 12:17, 15:3, 16:11, 17:21, 17:25, 21:20, 22:15, 23:24, 24:14, 28:10, 28:19, 29:4, 34:1, 36:3, 39:14, 40:6, 41:9, 41:14, 42:4, 43:19, 47:9, 49:7, 50:1, 50:15, 55:6, 58:24, 92:20, 109:12, 111:11, 111:22, 112:7 CRR [1] - 1:24 current [3] - 27:7, 67:25, 72:13 Curriculum [2] -10:16, 11:7 CV [1] - 8:6 cwittler@ venturecomm.net [1] - 1:25

D

DAKOTA[2] - 1:2, 114:1 Dakota [10] - 1:24, 2:2, 2:4, 6:5, 15:11, 15:16, 19:24, 60:7, 114:7, 114:13 **DARREN** [1] - 5:11 Darren [2] - 1:16, 13:4 Data [5] - 13:5, 13:6, 26:20, 28:6, 106:6 data [5] - 33:23, 33:25, 83:12, 87:1 **Dated** [1] - 114:13 **Dates** [1] - 6:4 David [15] - 1:20, 11:24, 12:3, 13:3, 13:16, 15:5, 18:3, 18:19, 19:9, 32:9, 34:5, 36:9, 37:23, 39:16, 57:18 **DAVID** [1] - 3:3 days [2] - 33:1, 38:25 days' [1] - 32:23 dB [3] - 23:12, 30:16, 71:13 dBA [59] - 24:3, 24:5, 24:23, 25:21, 26:4, 30:12, 42:14, 44:22,

47:20, 47:22, 48:2, 48:11, 48:24, 52:22, 53:11, 53:12, 53:23, 54:2, 55:19, 58:9, 58:11, 59:8, 60:20, 62:11, 62:24, 65:1, 65:13, 65:16, 67:20, 70:22, 71:1, 72:13, 73:14, 74:17, 81:11, 83:14, 88:1, 88:9, 88:14, 89:12, 90:4, 91:8, 91:17, 91:23, 95:11, 96:13, 96:24, 97:9, 98:2, 102:15 dBAs [2] - 29:23, 93:13 de [1] - 1:15 dead [1] - 57:14 deadline [1] - 98:11 deal [3] - 24:14, 24:15, 84:2 debating [1] - 95:19 decide [2] - 16:4, 16:7 decision [2] - 16:15, 84:16 Decommissioning [2] - 6:10. 7:6 decrease [2] - 48:25, 70:25 defeat [1] - 69:22 **define** [1] - 71:5 definition [1] - 34:22 degradation [1] -101:5 deleting [1] - 27:19 delineate [1] - 79:10 demonstrate [1] -66:24 demonstrated [2] -77:14, 78:18 demonstrates [1] -66:8 denial [1] - 40:23 denied [2] - 16:4, 16:7 denies [1] - 84:6 **Dennis** [1] - 11:18 deny [1] - 83:19 derive [1] - 61:6 describe [1] - 26:18 described [3] - 28:1, 34:4, 107:16 description [1] - 20:11 **descriptor** [1] - 49:14 design [27] - 19:16. 26:4. 27:13. 59:2. 60:13, 61:6, 62:2, 62:10, 63:1, 63:19, 64:8, 67:3, 81:11,

45:1, 45:5, 46:11,

46:16, 47:5, 47:14,

81:12, 82:6, 84:14, 88:8, 88:9, 88:19, 88:22, 90:4, 94:9, 96:13, 97:8, 102:22, 102:25, 103:3 Design [2] - 7:6, 13:16 designed [3] - 67:16, 83:16, 95:10 desire [2] - 78:18, 81:20 determine [11] -58:15, 61:3, 62:16, 67:15, 71:20, 82:12, 83:15, 84:14, 85:8, 102:22, 103:6 develop [1] - 69:1 developed [2] - 32:2, developer [7] - 64:13, 71:22, 72:7, 72:8, 86:18, 86:21, 86:22 developers [4] -69:17, 71:24, 72:2, 72:5 development [1] -15:25 Developments [1] -13:17 **deviates** [1] - 63:4 **deviation** [1] - 63:6 **Diagnosis** [1] - 10:17 diagram [2] - 28:1, 108:19 difference [3] - 59:3, 99:20, 111:6 differences [1] - 29:23 different [11] - 24:1, 45:22, 48:6, 77:22, 77:24, 79:17, 80:12, 91:19, 91:23, 97:23 **Different** [1] - 11:6 differently [1] - 63:9 differing [1] - 100:22 difficult [6] - 67:6, 69:4, 71:12, 71:15, 71:16, 103:24 difficulties [1] - 24:10 Direct [35] - 3:4, 3:9, 3:14, 3:18, 4:4, 4:8, 4:11, 4:16, 4:19, 4:23, 5:4, 5:8, 5:12, 6:11, 6:11, 6:12, 6:12, 9:5, 13:3, 13:4, 13:4, 13:5, 20:4, 20:22, 21:14, 41:7, 41:23, 42:3, 42:5, 42:7, 42:25, 44:6, 48:18, 88:11, 91:16 direct [13] - 18:7, 39:8, 61:14, 62:4, 62:8,

63:7, 78:14, 78:23, 79:6, 79:16, 79:23, 96:18, 112:18 **DIRECT** [1] - 19:5 directed [1] - 69:13 direction [1] - 82:18 directly [1] - 48:11 disagree [3] - 80:24, 81:4, 81:8 disagrees [1] - 80:18 disappear [1] - 57:16 disappointment [1] -54:13 disclosed [1] - 40:20 **disclosure** [1] - 38:22 disconnected [1] -39:25 discourteous [1] -39:4 discredited [1] - 89:15 discuss [1] - 82:23 discusses [1] - 80:6 discussion [3] - 29:9, 56:21, 89:25 **Discussion** [2] - 79:1, 98:9 **Disease** [1] - 10:13 Disease' [1] - 11:4 **Dismiss** [1] - 36:20 disproportionately [3] - 70:24, 71:6, 71:22 disrupt [1] - 56:15 disruptive [1] - 56:21 distance [1] - 59:11 distances [1] - 59:14 Distress [1] - 8:10 Distribution [2] -10:12, 11:4 disturbance [4] -30:15, 48:8, 64:10 Disturbance [1] - 8:9 **DMH-1** [1] - 20:10 **DMH-2** [2] - 25:10, 108:25 **DO**[1] - 114:8 docket [1] - 31:25 Docket [3] - 12:5, 12:7, 15:2 document [6] - 26:15, 28:4, 46:6, 51:15, 80:19, 82:16 Documentation [1] -9:24 documents [1] - 37:25 dominant [1] - 30:19 done [37] - 23:10, 23:14, 27:12, 31:19, 32:16, 56:23, 61:23, 65:3, 67:1, 67:2,

67:22, 68:11, 68:23,

75:21, 81:25, 83:4, 84:24, 85:2, 85:7, 85:17, 85:24, 86:10, 86:17, 86:19, 86:20, 86:21, 89:7, 89:14, 93:7, 94:18, 95:21, 101:9, 103:9, 104:13, 106:12, 108:10 door [2] - 102:14, 103:19 down [13] - 25:6, 25:20, 26:23, 33:8, 52:20, 52:25, 53:10, 53:14, 53:21, 53:22, 71:10, 86:4, 112:4 dozen [1] - 107:19 DR [13] - 4:18, 4:22, 6:14, 6:15, 6:15, 6:16, 6:16, 6:17, 9:22, 9:25, 13:3, 13:6, 13:24 Dr [5] - 10:16, 90:17, 90:22, 91:2, 92:12 **DR's** [10] - 6:14, 7:7, 7:10, 7:11, 9:6, 9:8, 9:11, 9:12, 11:19, 11:22 draft [2] - 32:1, 34:15 dramatic [1] - 67:6 draw [2] - 77:9, 95:16 drop [1] - 109:22 **Drops** [1] - 12:10 **due** [2] - 15:25, 40:23 duly [1] - 114:8 duly-appointed [1] -114:8 duration [1] - 32:23 during [5] - 32:24, 64:10, 87:1, 99:17, 100:5 duties [1] - 19:14 **duty** [3] - 61:1, 61:4, 61:19 dyslexic [2] - 50:24, 50:25

Ε

e-mail [2] - 37:24, 43:14 e-mails [1] - 37:2 EAPC [4] - 6:17, 6:18, 6:19, 6:20 early [3] - 27:12, 67:22, 89:13 easement [1] - 75:16 easements [2] - 54:19, 69:2 East [2] - 2:3, 15:10

economic [4] - 15:20, 50:7, 70:4, 74:14 economics [3] -49:20, 70:6, 75:6 economist [1] - 69:9 educational [1] - 35:8 **EDWARDS**[2] - 18:8, 37:1 Edwards [16] - 1:22, 3:10, 3:12, 3:19, 3:21, 4:5, 4:6, 4:9, 4:20, 4:21, 5:8, 5:9, 5:12, 5:13, 18:8, 36:25 effect [3] - 67:10, 92:13, 109:19 Effect [3] - 6:9, 10:21, 10:22 effects [3] - 90:23, 93:1, 93:12 Effects [7] - 6:23, 8:7, 8:8, 8:11, 8:18, 10:9, 13:14 effort [7] - 62:2, 66:8, 69:3, 69:6, 69:18, 111:10 efforts [1] - 64:14 either [2] - 106:10, 112:13 **EL18-026** [1] - 13:18 EL19-003 [2] - 1:4, 15:2 **elaborates** [1] - 80:8 electronically [5] -36:21, 36:24, 37:15, 41:16, 42:20 Elicit [1] - 9:3 eliminated [1] - 71:18 eliminating [2] -71:19, 71:20 elimination [2] -70:23, 108:20 elsewhere [1] - 27:9 emerge [1] - 105:16 emissions [1] - 97:8 Emissions [4] - 8:21, 8:22, 11:8, 13:12 employed [1] - 19:12 **EN** [1] - 10:3 end [3] - 27:20, 60:3, 81:18 energy [1] - 15:4 Energy [2] - 7:4, 10:24 **ENERGY** [1] - 1:5 **Energy's** [4] - 6:17, 6:18, 6:19, 6:20 engineer [7] - 19:10, 19:15, 61:1, 61:20, 93:2, 93:7 Engineering [4] -

11:10, 12:4, 12:6, 13:19 engineering [6] - 45:8, 61:5, 65:20, 72:24, 72:25, 97:1 English [1] - 8:23 enter [1] - 43:4 entire [2] - 30:15, 33:2 entitled [4] - 2:2, 50:20, 52:17, 114:10 entity [1] - 96:2 Entry [1] - 9:11 environment [1] -15:19 Environment [1] -10:9 environmental [2] -79:12, 81:2 Environmental [2] -6:22, 9:18 Epilepsy [2] - 8:24, 8:25 equal [2] - 50:4, 100:6 equals [1] - 101:21 especially [2] - 79:21, 79:24 essential [1] - 77:13 essentially [5] - 50:4, 50:5, 60:23, 70:25, 93.6 establish [1] - 67:2 established [2] -88:13, 90:5 Establishment [2] -10:12, 11:3 estimate [2] - 27:25, 56:4 estimated [2] - 27:7, 108:20 estimates [1] - 27:10 Estimating [1] - 8:18 estimating [1] - 27:24 estimation [1] - 71:8 et [1] - 93:14 Ethics [1] - 13:19 ethics [5] - 65:22, 72:25, 73:3, 73:6, 73:22 evaluate [4] - 31:22, 33:4, 66:1, 77:2 Evaluation [2] - 8:21, evaluation [1] - 32:19 Evidence [2] - 9:5, 10.18 evidence [1] - 34:7 evident [1] - 25:18 evidentiary [7] - 15:1, 16:21, 17:19, 18:9,

21:18, 22:25, 51:2

Evidentiary [1] - 13:18 Ex [2] - 14:11, 14:12 Ex.-Clean [1] - 12:5 exact [1] - 79:22 exactly [2] - 16:25, 81:22 exaggerates [1] -89:22 **EXAMINATION**[4] -19:5, 41:11, 57:10, 106:15 Examination [53] -3:4, 3:4, 3:5, 3:6, 3:9, 3:9, 3:10, 3:11, 3:11, 3:12, 3:14, 3:14, 3:15, 3:16, 3:16, 3:18, 3:19, 3:19, 3:20, 3:21, 3:21, 4:4, 4:4, 4:5, 4:6, 4:8, 4:8, 4:9, 4:11, 4:12, 4:12, 4:13, 4:14, 4:16, 4:16, 4:17, 4:19, 4:20, 4:21, 4:23, 4:23, 4:24, 5:4, 5:4, 5:5, 5:6, 5:8, 5:8, 5:9, 5:10, 5:12, 5:12, 5:13 examination [20] -16:14, 17:18, 18:7, 21:8, 35:25, 36:5, 36:8, 39:8, 40:9, 40:10, 41:1, 41:6, 54:11, 56:16, 63:18, 74:22, 80:14, 93:25, 112:23 **examine** [1] - 63:5 Examiner [7] - 16:19, 17:22, 56:8, 74:23, 78:10, 92:11, 93:9 **example** [5] - 33:7, 43:13, 43:16, 67:20, 112:14 exceed [3] - 47:13, 47:20, 67:19 exceeded [1] - 100:9 excellent [1] - 25:23 **exception** [1] - 15:6 excess [1] - 57:1 **exclude** [1] - 40:25 exclusively [2] -19:17, 77:1 excuse [7] - 20:15, 21:1, 32:4, 69:5, 92:11, 99:13 excused [1] - 112:5 exercise [2] - 53:9, 53:21 Exhibit [46] - 7:23, 8:3, 8:4, 8:6, 8:7,

8:20, 8:22, 8:23, 8:24, 9:3, 9:4, 12:3, 13:22, 13:22, 13:23, 20:3, 20:10, 22:13, 26:14, 28:9, 28:16, 29:18, 41:22, 42:8, 42:20, 45:13, 45:16, 48:19, 50:15, 55:24, 78:24, 87:22, 90:7, 94:16, 95:17, 108:14 exhibit [31] - 25:9, 32:6, 36:13, 40:20, 40:25, 41:2, 41:19, 43:4, 43:16, 46:1, 50:16, 51:2, 51:3, 51:6, 51:12, 52:2, 52:7, 52:12, 61:9, 65:7, 75:25, 78:24, 79:2, 79:8, 80:4, 80:13, 81:1, 81:15, 89:1, 91:6, 108:22 **EXHIBITS** [10] - 6:2, 7:2, 8:2, 9:2, 10:2, 11:2, 12:2, 13:2, 13:9, 14:2 exhibits [24] - 34:19, 36:6, 36:8, 36:12, 37:16, 38:24, 39:7, 40:10, 40:13, 40:16, 40:17, 40:19, 40:20, 40:22, 40:25, 41:1, 41:8, 41:16, 43:10, 43:17, 43:20, 79:21, 110:6 Exhibits [6] - 6:13, 13:3, 13:4, 13:4, 13:5, 100:17 exiled [1] - 101:2 exist [2] - 80:9, 80:10 existing [7] - 67:13, 76:12, 78:18, 79:12, 81:2, 82:12, 88:5 expand [1] - 58:24 **expect** [5] - 30:11, 30:13, 35:16, 46:21, 105:14 expected [4] - 15:21, 31:16, 76:13, 84:25 expense [1] - 31:17 experience [15] - 20:9, 20:12, 27:11, 27:19, 28:2, 30:4, 30:13, 30:24, 35:8, 35:9, 52:22, 59:23, 69:17, 71:23. 72:3 Expert [1] - 11:8 expert [15] - 31:6,

8:8, 8:9, 8:10, 8:12,

8:13, 8:14, 8:15,

8:16, 8:17, 8:18,

58:10, 59:7, 68:16, 70:4, 70:6, 72:17, 72:18, 72:23, 84:1, 92:6, 93:12, 93:14, 93:16, 95:6 **expertise** [2] - 93:3 experts [1] - 92:25 explain [2] - 47:4, 47.13 explicit [1] - 23:12 exponentially [1] -65:15 **Exposure** [3] - 8:17, 8:20, 10:18 expressed [2] - 74:15, 88:22 extended [1] - 33:3 extra [1] - 71:13 **extracted** [1] - 101:2 **extreme** [1] - 94:14 Extreme [1] - 12:17 extremely [1] - 35:2 F

FAA[1] - 12:15 Facilities [1] - 9:16 facilities [2] - 19:17, 104:19 **FACILITY** [1] - 1:5 facility [7] - 15:4, 15:17, 15:18, 15:22, 15:24, 68:7, 87:12 fact [12] - 23:11, 24:5, 24:16, 26:1, 51:20, 68:25, 89:19, 93:10, 94:24, 98:1, 101:9, 108:25 Fact [1] - 9:12 Factor [1] - 10:18 factor [1] - 23:13 factors [2] - 16:3, 16:6 Factors [1] - 10:23 fading [1] - 60:3 failed [1] - 66:1 failing [1] - 76:18 fails [1] - 77:2 failure [1] - 76:10 fair [10] - 20:11, 28:1, 46:11, 49:25, 54:4, 55:19, 68:19, 95:3, 103:2, 111:10 fairly [3] - 25:4, 44:22, 103:23 fall [1] - 105:21 Falls [1] - 17:24 **Falmouth** [1] - 35:15 familiar [4] - 20:6, 23:20, 26:15, 95:2 far [5] - 34:2, 67:5,

101:5, 104:13, 108:10 Farm [3] - 11:12, 11:13, 13:11 farm [5] - 23:24, 62:24, 64:15, 91:3, 95:25 Farms [6] - 8:14, 10:12, 11:3, 11:9, 13:12, 13:13 farms [1] - 95:10 farmstead [2] - 99:6, 99:24 fashion [1] - 77:22 father [1] - 94:25 fault [1] - 76:19 favorable [1] - 67:10 feasible [2] - 27:17, 69:25 feet [1] - 102:2 **few** [2] - 101:1, 105:13 Fiegen [11] - 15:13, 38:4, 39:18, 39:19, 39:20, 40:13, 98:16, 98:17, 102:8, 102:9, 106:3 FIEGEN [13] - 1:12, 37:10, 38:6, 39:20, 40:14, 98:17, 102:9, 103:7, 103:16, 104:4, 104:20, 105:23, 106:14 Field [1] - 8:10 field [7] - 59:1, 66:25, 67:12, 79:12, 81:2, 93:3, 103:6 Fifth [2] - 9:12, 11:19 **figure** [2] - 73:19, 105:4 Figure [14] - 6:13, 9:13, 9:16, 9:17, 9:17, 9:18, 9:18, 9:19, 9:19, 9:20, 9:20, 9:21, 9:21, 9:22 Figures [1] - 6:3 filed [3] - 20:4, 41:8, 82:22 **Final** [4] - 12:9, 12:10, 12:12. 12:12 final [5] - 16:15, 16:24, 54:9, 75:10, 75:19 **finally** [1] - 54:16 Findings [1] - 9:11 fine [3] - 30:17, 37:4, 93:4 finish [2] - 69:11, 69:14 first [11] - 15:16, 23:5, 27:1, 34:4, 40:18,

41:22, 47:19, 52:12, 53:17, 80:18, 106:18 First [4] - 6:14, 7:11, 9:10, 13:3 Fish [4] - 6:21, 6:22, 7:3. 7:5 five [5] - 30:9, 37:21, 38:24, 102:23, 112:22 flexibility [1] - 105:3 Flicker [9] - 6:8, 8:4, 8:19, 8:24, 9:4, 11:12, 14:8, 14:10, 14:12 flicker [4] - 21:5, 51:14, 106:8, 106:11 focus [2] - 52:16, 54:1 focuses [1] - 77:1 focusing [1] - 55:18 folks [1] - 56:10 follow [4] - 65:22, 73:6, 83:12, 87:9 following [4] - 2:1, 61:16, 62:1, 88:2 **follows** [1] - 73:4 footprint [1] - 23:24 FOR [1] - 1:4 form [8] - 63:9, 63:13, 74:18, 75:19, 91:10, 91:12, 91:25, 92:3 formed [1] - 63:18 forth [1] - 15:15 Forward [1] - 9:16 forward [3] - 21:23, 22:3, 92:1 fossil [1] - 87:13 foundation [6] - 21:9, 51:13, 92:23, 93:4, 93:10, 93:17 four [7] - 38:24, 54:1, 55:18, 56:15, 86:2, 109:15, 110:3 Fourth [1] - 9:8 fourth [1] - 15:24 Frequency [6] - 8:13, 10:10, 12:3, 12:5, 13:11, 13:14 frequency [3] - 8:15, 35:2, 105:15 friendly [2] - 93:21, 93:22 friendship [1] - 90:13 Fright [1] - 10:23 front [7] - 19:24, 41:7, 63:24, 90:9, 90:14, 91:11, 108:25 fuel [1] - 87:13 full [2] - 87:2, 89:4 fun [1] - 112:3 function [2] - 67:14,

102:23 **furnish** [1] - 86:25 **future** [1] - 104:16

G

Ganje [51] - 1:20, 3:5, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:19, 3:21, 4:4, 4:8, 4:12, 4:14, 4:23, 5:5, 5:8, 5:12, 18:3, 22:1, 22:17, 28:12, 32:8, 32:9, 34:5, 36:6, 36:9, 36:10, 37:5, 37:23, 38:10, 39:24, 40:2, 40:15, 41:4, 51:8, 57:8, 57:18, 57:24, 63:16, 63:25, 69:11, 74:20, 75:1, 77:19, 78:4, 80:1, 82:19, 83:24, 88:13, 91:14, 95:18, 96:20, 98:22 GANJE [49] - 18:2, 21:1, 22:4, 28:14, 32:4, 32:9, 34:5, 36:9, 36:11, 37:7, 37:23, 38:11, 38:18, 38:21, 40:3, 40:11, 40:17, 43:8, 51:8, 51:11, 54:7, 55:1, 57:9, 57:11, 58:1, 63:17, 64:3, 68:15, 72:22, 74:21, 77:20, 78:6, 78:9, 80:2, 80:21, 82:20, 83:25, 88:15, 91:15, 92:2, 92:7, 92:11, 93:9, 94:1, 95:21, 96:21, 98:5, 98:24, 111:24 Ganje's [1] - 34:19 GARY [1] - 1:11 Gary [1] - 15:12 gas [1] - 19:19 Gate [1] - 13:14 gauge [1] - 61:17 **GE** [2] - 9:23, 10:3 general [12] - 22:22, 23:18, 25:6, 29:11, 30:22, 32:17, 34:10, 34:12, 34:17, 36:12, 72:3, 92:25 generalization [1] -101:11 generally [5] - 24:16, 32:12, 87:10, 89:10,

95:10

19:19

generate [1] - 30:5

generation [2] - 19:17,

Generator [1] - 9:24 **Generic** [1] - 10:3 gentleman [3] - 91:18, 91:21, 91:22 gentlemen [1] - 92:23 Geology [3] - 9:19, 9:20, 9:20 George [3] - 13:16, 94:19, 94:25 given [6] - 16:1, 36:20, 41:17, 58:16, 68:16, 91:16 qoal [25] - 26:1, 26:2, 26:4, 26:10, 26:12, 59:2, 60:13, 60:20, 61:6, 62:11, 62:17, 62:18, 63:20, 64:24, 81:11, 81:13, 82:6, 84:14, 88:9, 88:19, 90:4, 94:9, 96:13, 97:8, 103:3 Goals [1] - 13:16 governing [2] - 16:1, 61:24 government [1] - 16:2 Granquist [1] - 12:16 **GRANT** [1] - 1:5 grant [3] - 15:4, 24:2, 24.5 Grant [3] - 23:20, 53:6, 53:11 granted [3] - 16:4, 16:7, 16:8 Grasslands [1] -12:19 greater [2] - 54:2, 55:18 greatly [1] - 107:1 Green [1] - 10:24 Greg [1] - 1:15 grounds [1] - 80:13 group [1] - 109:14 grove [1] - 99:25 guess [5] - 21:17, 43:6, 57:22, 105:21, 107:23 guidance [1] - 6:23 guidelines [1] - 88:5 Guidelines [4] - 7:5, 8:12, 10:17, 13:20

Н

Habitat [1] - 6:7 HALEY [1] - 4:10 Haley [21] - 6:11, 7:23, 11:12, 13:24, 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4, 14:5, 14:5, 14:6, 14:6, 14:7, 14:8,

14:9, 14:10, 14:11, 23:6, 42:13, 50:17, 52.7 Haley's [6] - 23:23, 24:9, 42:5, 42:7, 50:16, 56:4 halfway [1] - 52:24 hand [2] - 19:2, 110:6 handful [1] - 35:13 handy [1] - 26:16 Hanson [21] - 1:22, 3:4, 3:6, 3:15, 3:16, 4:12, 4:16, 4:17, 4:24, 5:4, 5:6, 15:12, 17:13, 18:6, 18:18, 18:25, 19:3, 79:15, 80:16, 99:2, 106:3 HANSON [59] - 1:11, 15:1, 18:5, 18:17, 18:23, 18:25, 19:4, 19:6, 21:13, 22:8, 22:12, 28:8, 28:17, 29:3, 29:6, 29:10, 32:7, 32:10, 32:12, 34:10, 35:21, 35:24, 36:7, 43:6, 45:20, 46:2. 51:1. 55:2. 57:22, 63:2, 63:13, 63:21, 68:12, 69:10, 70:9, 72:9, 72:16, 74:18, 75:1, 77:16, 79:13, 79:15, 83:6, 83:22, 86:1, 86:11, 88:10, 91:9, 92:4, 92:8, 96:15, 99:3, 99:13, 99:22, 100:8. 100:13, 106:2, 106:16, 111:18 happy [3] - 43:22, 43:25, 55:15 hard [3] - 93:20, 102:15, 104:1 hardcopy [2] - 108:25, 109:10 harder [1] - 105:9 head [2] - 28:11, 37:18 health [12] - 15:23, 66:13, 66:14, 73:2, 73:10, 73:23, 73:25, 74:6, 74:8, 104:24, 105:14, 105:18 Health [10] - 8:7, 8:12, 8:14, 8:16, 8:17, 10:6, 10:23, 11:7, 13:14, 88:2

Health-based [1] -

hear [3] - 75:13,

82:19, 112:14

hearing [19] - 15:2,

8:12

15:9, 15:14, 15:15, higher [3] - 65:13, **I25** [1] - 14:9 importance [1] - 77:22 inhabitants [3] -16:19, 16:23, 17:19, 93:13, 101:22 **126** [1] - 14:10 important [4] - 33:13, 15:21, 15:23 18:9, 18:14, 18:15, highest [1] - 111:5 **127** [1] - 14:11 77:23, 80:6, 87:4 initial [3] - 24:13, 61:2, 20:5, 21:18, 22:25, Highland [2] - 97:6, 66:7 **13** [2] - 13:13, 90:7 **importantly** [1] - 81:12 38:23, 39:3, 39:12, injury [1] - 15:19 97.23 **14** [3] - 13:14, 94:16, imposed [1] - 47:8 43:24, 51:2, 63:22 Highlands [2] - 95:25, impractical [1] - 94:12 input [1] - 23:13 94:19 Hearing [6] - 13:18, 96.22 **I5** [3] - 13:16, 87:22, **improperly** [1] - 63:7 Installations [1] - 10:7 16:19, 17:22, 56:7, hired [4] - 83:25, 89:1 improve [2] - 67:6, instance [2] - 72:1, 74:23, 78:10 86:21, 95:24, 96:2 **I6** [1] - 13:18 101:17 107:2 hearsay [1] - 34:7 historically [1] - 33:24 **I7** [1] - 13:19 Improved [1] - 8:19 instances [4] - 29:23, held [2] - 2:2, 71:8 hitting [1] - 100:1 47:14, 58:19, 82:5 **18** [1] - 13:20 improvement [3] help [2] - 78:2, 80:20 Hoc [1] - 21:22 **I9-A**[1] - 13:20 26:20, 26:21, 27:24 instead [1] - 52:9 helpful [1] - 102:6 hold [1] - 90:10 improvements [3] institute [2] - 65:19, **I9-B** [1] - 13:21 helps [1] - 54:24 homestead [1] - 100:1 65:23 25:18, 71:13, 101:4 **IAIA**[1] - 13:23 HEREBY [1] - 114:8 honest [1] - 86:23 improving [1] - 29:15 **Institute** [1] - 13:19 ID [2] - 8:3, 11:17 hertz [1] - 35:2 **institution** [1] - 72:23 honestly [1] - 56:22 idea [3] - 26:1, 39:17, **IN** [2] - 1:4, 1:5 Hessler [105] - 11:24, honor [1] - 19:1 82:16 inartfully [1] - 58:23 instructions [1] -12:5, 12:11, 12:12, 56:17 Honor [3] - 22:12, ideal [10] - 26:4, 26:7, Incl [1] - 10:10 12:12, 12:13, 13:3, 35:22, 63:2 60:13, 62:10, 81:11, include [3] - 20:8, **instrument** [1] - 35:3 13:16, 18:7, 18:19, honorable [1] - 51:22 81:12, 88:9, 88:19, Insurance [1] - 12:19 35:11, 104:16 18:21, 18:23, 19:7, intend [1] - 56:20 hope [1] - 105:11 90:4, 94:9 included [3] - 40:22, 19:9, 19:10, 22:10, hopefully [1] - 76:4 identifiable [1] - 43:15 41:3, 81:25 intentional [1] -22:20, 28:18, 29:11, Identification [2] includes [1] - 27:1 105:18 hours [2] - 69:1, 34:14, 35:25, 36:1, 105:13 8:20, 8:22 Including [1] - 8:15 interest [1] - 64:18 36:5, 38:8, 39:1, identification [2] house [3] - 27:5, including [2] - 46:6, interests [1] - 44:23 39:22, 40:5, 41:13, interfere [1] - 15:24 43:20, 44:4 101:20, 110:8 64:19 41:18, 44:6, 50:21, **Identified** [1] - 12:13 incomplete [2] houses [3] - 24:2, interpretation [1] -52:2, 55:15, 57:12, 109:21, 111:13 identified [5] - 66:11, 54:13, 68:18 51:18 57:18, 58:9, 59:6, Hueck [1] - 1:15 92:5, 92:10, 109:4, Incorporated [1] interrupting [1] -59:17, 60:2, 60:6, huge [1] - 99:20 110:15 99:14 19:11 60:25, 62:4, 62:22, identify [3] - 29:18, Human [1] - 8:16 incorrect [2] - 51:18, interrupts [1] - 69:12 63:23, 64:2, 64:13, human [4] - 90:23, 32:7, 36:15 Intervenor's [2] - 9:14, 64:22, 64:24, 65:5, identifying [2] - 27:2 92:13, 93:1, 93:12 increase [6] - 48:2, 9:15 65:25, 66:11, 66:17, Hypothesis [2] idle [1] - 33:2 48:11, 48:24, INTERVENORS [2] -68:22, 69:7, 69:14, ignored [1] - 33:15 100:21, 102:15, 13:9, 14:2 10:13, 11:4 70:12, 70:15, 72:12, **II** [2] - 1:4, 1:19 103:13 Intervenors [15] -**Hz** [1] - 9:24 72:22, 73:1, 73:8, increasing [1] - 65:15 **immediate** [1] - 71:25 1:21, 12:12, 13:24, 73:13, 75:2, 75:11, Impact [4] - 8:9, increments [1] - 33:24 18:1, 18:3, 21:25, 75:23, 76:10, 76:21, 10:14, 10:20, 11:7 indeed [2] - 59:5, 56:13, 57:7, 57:19, 77:6, 78:23, 79:2, I-2 [1] - 81:1 82:20 58:5, 82:11, 82:17, impact [17] - 26:8, 80:24, 82:10, 82:21, I-6[1] - 61:10 49:12, 49:15, 49:19, Independent [1] - 11:8 83:2, 86:3, 111:23 83:6, 83:11, 84:9, **I1** [2] - 13:10, 95:17 50:1, 50:7, 58:16, independent [2] -Intervenors' [3] -84:20, 86:16, 87:6, **I10**[1] - 13:21 11:19, 50:23, 82:23 64:14, 66:2, 77:3, 86:17, 86:20 87:21, 90:6, 92:17, **I11** [1] - 13:22 77:12, 83:20, 84:7, indicate [3] - 39:5, Interventional [1] -93:10, 93:19, 94:4, **I12**[1] - 13:22 104:13, 105:14, 60:11, 96:12 10:21 94:15, 94:18, 94:19, **I13**[1] - 13:23 105:19, 107:4 Intolerance [1] - 10:22 indicated [3] - 43:9, 94:25, 95:6, 95:16, **I14** [1] - 13:23 impacted [1] - 74:1 54:12, 67:8 introduction [1] - 46:7 95:24, 96:22, 98:2, I15-A [1] - 13:24 impacts [1] - 62:3 indicating [1] - 112:7 involve [1] - 69:18 98:19, 99:4, 100:16, I15-B [1] - 13:24 Impacts [1] - 10:15 individuals [1] involved [6] - 19:21, 102:11, 106:18, **I16**[1] - 14:3 impair [1] - 15:22 24:8, 24:12, 30:24, 109:20 112:2, 112:10, **I17-A**[1] - 14:3 31:18, 82:3 **impartial** [1] - 19:23 information [3] -112:14, 113:1 **I17-B** [1] - 14:4 irrespective [1] impeach [2] - 79:18, 55:23, 83:19, 84:6 **HESSLER** [8] - 3:3, **I18**[1] - 14:4 80:19 64:20 Informed [1] - 10:21 18:22, 18:24, 38:9, **I19**[1] - 14:5 **Iso** [2] - 11:12, 11:13 impeaching [1] infrasound [5] -39:23, 60:4, 94:6, **12** [2] - 13:12, 78:24 96:17 34:18, 34:23, 34:24, **ISO** [1] - 12:11 98:21 **I20**[1] - 14:5 impeachment [5] -35:9, 35:16 ISO-lines [1] - 12:11 Hessler's [5] - 12:3, **I21** [1] - 14:6 79:23, 80:14, 91:10, Infrasound [8] - 8:12, issue [1] - 105:16 15:5, 21:11, 39:16, **I22**[1] - 14:6 91:11, 93:22 8:14, 8:16, 10:10, **Issue** [1] - 13:15 64:3 **123** [1] - 14:7 imperceptible [2] -12:4, 12:6, 13:11, issued [1] - 68:5 high [1] - 99:11 **124** [1] - 14:8 48:25, 70:25 13:14 issues [6] - 15:15,

21:24, 35:19, 64:20, 75:16, 107:24 iteratively [1] - 27:14

J

Jackson [1] - 17:23 January [2] - 11:8, 45.8 Jay [6] - 6:11, 7:23, 11:12, 13:24, 23:6, 23:23 **JAY** [1] - 4:10 **Jeff** [1] - 94:20 job [2] - 69:21, 71:20 Joint [1] - 12:14 Journal [3] - 11:10, 12:4, 12:6 journal [2] - 45:8, 97:1 Jr [1] - 13:16 JUDICIAL [1] - 13:8 June [6] - 1:8, 2:4, 15:9, 113:8, 114:11, 114:14

Κ

Kaitlyn [1] - 1:16 Karen [2] - 16:18, 95:18 karen [1] - 1:14 **KEARNEY**[1] - 5:11 Kearnev [3] - 1:16. 13:4, 106:6 keep [1] - 17:6 Kimberly [3] - 6:11, 9:5, 9:10 kind [16] - 19:19, 20:8, 23:18, 27:2, 27:20, 61:12, 66:8, 67:22, 88:4, 89:20, 102:14, 103:10, 103:25, 104:10, 107:23, 111:4 kindly [13] - 61:8, 61:14, 70:12, 75:23, 76:2, 77:6, 78:23, 85:3, 87:21, 87:25, 90:6, 94:15, 95:16 kinds [1] - 87:19 Kirschenmann [1] -13:4 KIRSCHENMANN[1] -5:3 **knowing** [1] - 50:9 knowledge [7] - 28:2, 30:13, 33:17, 34:1, 35:8, 35:9, 90:1

knows [1] - 92:8

Krishna [1] - 13:13

Kristen [3] - 1:22, 18:8, 36:6 Kristi [1] - 7:11 KRISTIE [1] - 1:12

label [1] - 43:15

labeled [1] - 32:5

labeling [1] - 43:15

L

lack [1] - 83:18 laid [5] - 21:9, 75:18, 92:23, 93:4, 93:9 Lampeter [1] - 11:6 **LAMPETER** [1] - 4:15 Land [7] - 9:16, 9:22, 12:9, 12:10, 12:12, 12:12, 12:16 Landowner [6] - 6:20, 6:21, 7:24, 8:5, 14:8, 14.9 landowner [1] -106:20 landowners [1] -107:4 large [3] - 26:12, 69:6 last [14] - 19:18, 20:8, 38:22, 43:17, 52:17, 53:5, 53:8, 53:19, 54:24, 55:9, 74:25, 78:12, 89:4, 94:3 last-minute [1] - 38:22 late [5] - 40:17, 66:24, 67:4, 67:9, 68:18 latest [1] - 75:12 Law [1] - 15:16 laws [1] - 15:18 layout [8] - 24:23, 25:19, 27:13, 27:18, 60:22, 67:5, 75:10, 75:12 layouts [1] - 27:11 lean [1] - 60:19 lease [2] - 69:3, 106:20 least [8] - 28:22, 32:22, 34:1, 56:14, 82:3, 86:10, 96:14, 111:11 Lebrun [1] - 17:24 led [1] - 50:6 leeway [1] - 113:2 legal [2] - 75:15, 83:23 legitimate [1] - 91:15 Lek [1] - 13:7 Leq [1] - 91:7 less [8] - 42:15, 72:13, 73:11, 73:15, 81:11, 93:13, 97:10, 98:2 Letter [19] - 6:17, 6:18,

6:19, 6:20, 6:21, 9:9, 9:10, 9:16, 11:18, 12:17, 13:22, 14:5, 14:6, 14:6, 14:7, 14:8, 14:9, 14:10, 14:11 letters [1] - 43:14 **Level** [10] - 6:8, 8:3, 8:13, 11:14, 11:15, 11:15, 11:16, 11:16, 12:15, 13:16 level [58] - 23:13, 26:12, 26:23, 27:7, 29:13, 29:22, 30:1, 30:16, 30:23, 30:25, 33:5, 33:12, 33:14, 33:15, 46:15, 47:5, 48:14, 58:9, 58:19, 59:8, 59:10, 63:1, 63:19, 64:8, 64:25, 67:13, 69:20, 70:25, 74:17, 75:7, 76:14, 81:10, 83:17, 83:20, 88:22, 95:11, 96:24, 97:20, 99:6, 99:9, 99:10, 99:18, 99:21, 100:7, 101:20, 101:22, 101:23, 101:24, 102:16, 102:20, 102:22, 103:1, 109:19, 110:12, 110:18, 110:20 level's [1] - 30:18 Levels [1] - 11:6 levels [42] - 23:25, 25:5. 25:12. 25:16. 25:20. 29:15. 30:11. 30:12, 31:22, 42:14, 58:11, 62:24, 62:25, 63:4, 65:13, 65:15, 66:10, 76:13, 79:12, 80:7, 80:11, 80:12, 81:3, 82:8, 82:12, 83:14, 84:8, 88:2, 89:11, 91:17, 94:12, 99:12, 100:11, 100:21, 101:6, 101:13, 102:1, 104:17, 105:4, 106:7, 111:5, 111:12 Leventhall [1] - 94:20 **liable** [1] - 32:24 License [5] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4, 14:5 Life [1] - 10:7 **likely** [1] - 64:10 limit [17] - 24:5, 24:23, 30:21, 31:16, 44:22,

59:1, 59:4, 64:11, 66:9, 67:17, 70:22, 83:17, 88:20, 103:4 limited [1] - 15:5 limits [5] - 25:15, 58:14, 64:20, 90:1, 100:8 **Limits** [1] - 13:16 line [22] - 38:5, 48:9, 48:21, 49:5, 61:14, 61:15, 62:8, 62:9, 62:13, 62:15, 63:12, 65:12, 70:15, 70:17, 76:3, 93:6, 98:16, 102:12, 109:13, 109:17 Line [1] - 6:23 lines [14] - 12:11, 24:3, 42:11, 47:19, 48:6, 49:10, 49:18, 54:15, 54:17, 76:3, 76:21, 77:10, 78:17, 85.6 Lines [2] - 11:13, 11:14 list [3] - 36:13, 40:20, 41:2 listed [1] - 108:8 literature [3] - 88:4, 89:7, 89:25 Literature [2] - 8:7, 8:15 lives [2] - 27:3, 110:8 **Living** [1] - 10:8 LLC [2] - 1:4, 15:3 lobbed [1] - 56:17 local [1] - 16:2 located [3] - 54:15, 96:1, 107:8 location [2] - 15:9, 33:5 locations [14] - 25:5, 28:21, 31:23, 49:7, 49:11, 52:9, 54:6, 54:9, 55:21, 100:21, 100:24, 103:25, 107:8 Locations [1] - 12:15 long-term [3] - 96:13, 97:7, 102:4 look [24] - 27:13, 38:15, 42:23, 55:22, 65:6, 70:12, 70:18, 76:2, 76:21, 77:6, 85:22, 87:21, 87:25, 89:4, 90:6, 91:1, 94:16, 96:3, 105:2, 107:13, 110:8,

110:15

45:1, 45:6, 46:11,

looked [10] - 22:21, 43:13, 55:24, 71:9, 71:12, 71:14, 100:17, 104:9, 104:10, 104:11 looking [3] - 56:3, 68:17, 92:22 looks [3] - 27:8, 90:14, 104:23 louder [3] - 31:15, 31:16, 84:25 **love** [1] - 74:5 Low [7] - 8:13, 8:15, 10:10, 12:3, 12:5, 13:11, 13:14 **low** [4] - 26:12, 30:17, 35:2, 105:15 Low-frequency [6] -8:13, 8:15, 10:10, 12:3, 12:5, 13:14 lower [6] - 69:20, 83:16, 84:14, 94:10, 95:11, 101:23 lunch [1] - 39:11 Lynn [1] - 17:23

М

M.D [1] - 93:8 **M.D.s** [1] - 93:1 Madam [2] - 92:11, mail [2] - 37:24, 43:14 mails [1] - 37:2 Main [1] - 1:24 main [3] - 48:7, 101:2, 101:4 **maintain** [1] - 81:10 maintained [1] - 26:6 maintenance [1] -16:9 majority [1] - 82:2 man's [1] - 91:13 Management [2] -10:17. 10:20 manner [2] - 32:13, 32:15 **Map** [10] - 9:17, 9:17, 9:18, 9:19, 11:13, 11:14, 12:9, 12:10, 12:11, 13:23 map [2] - 55:22, 109:3 maps [1] - 107:22 Maps [3] - 9:16, 11:11, 11:18 March [1] - 11:10 March-April [1] -11:10 MARK [1] - 4:7 Mark [3] - 6:12, 7:22,

9:23 marked [7] - 20:3, 36:7, 42:8, 42:20, 43:15, 45:15, 50:14 Mask [1] - 11:5 MASSEY [1] - 4:3 Massey [3] - 6:12, 8:5, 11.17 Match [2] - 10:12, 11:3 matches [1] - 101:24 Material [2] - 7:23, 8:4 material [1] - 84:4 matter [15] - 2:2, 15:2, 51:19. 57:19. 66:15. 72:6, 72:13, 73:11, 74:8, 89:19, 90:23, 92:13, 94:24, 105:20, 114:10 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 matters [1] - 16:22 maximum [2] - 58:9, 58:19 MCCOMSEY [1] -114:5 McComsey [3] - 1:24, 114:17, 114:18 MCCUNNEY [1] - 4:18 McCunney [1] - 10:16 mean [9] - 45:22, 58:18, 63:3, 66:22, 71:5, 73:5, 76:18, 81:10, 107:21 meaning [1] - 48:12 meaningful [2] - 64:9, 97:23 means [3] - 66:24, 101:21, 103:14 meant [2] - 58:4, 64:12 measure [6] - 33:2, 33:6, 35:3, 47:5, 47:8, 67:18 measured [4] - 33:1, 62:16, 99:7, 101:20 Measurement [2] -8:13, 13:10 measurement [1] -87:8 Measures [2] - 8:8, 10:6 Measuring [2] - 11:9, 13:12 meet [1] - 23:24 Meeting [1] - 21:22 meeting [2] - 25:15, 62:17 meets [1] - 58:14 member [3] - 65:18, 65:19, 72:23 **Memo** [1] - 6:22

Memorandum [1] -13:22 memory [1] - 44:16 Men [1] - 10:19 mention [2] - 45:5, 88.6 mentioned [1] - 35:14 met [2] - 33:23, 71:25 Meteorological [1] method [3] - 23:6, 23:7, 89:21 methodology [3] -89:18, 89:20, 101:17 Mexican [1] - 89:21 **mic** [1] - 60:3 mid [1] - 99:11 middle [1] - 59:25 might [7] - 33:1, 61:21, 67:21, 71:9, 99:19, 104:7, 107:18 Migration [1] - 6:24 MIKAL [47] - 18:5, 18:17, 19:6, 21:13, 22:8, 22:12, 28:8, 28:17, 29:3, 29:6, 29:10, 32:12, 34:10, 35:21, 35:24, 36:7, 43:6, 45:20, 46:2, 51:1, 55:2, 57:22, 63:2, 63:13, 63:21, 68:12, 69:10, 70:9, 72:9, 72:16, 74:18, 75:1, 77:16, 79:13, 79:15, 83:6, 83:22, 86:1, 86:11, 88:10, 91:9, 92:4, 92:8, 96:15, 106:2, 106:16, 111:18 Mikal [11] - 1:22, 3:4, 3:6, 3:15, 3:16, 4:12, 4:16, 4:17, 4:24, 5:4, Mike [4] - 18:6, 18:18, 79:15, 106:3 Miles [2] - 1:19, 17:23 mind [4] - 25:11, 26:2, 97:17, 97:18 mine [1] - 102:10 minimal [2] - 26:8, 58:16 minimize [5] - 25:16, 62:3, 64:14, 64:19, 66:10 Minimizing [1] - 6:23 minimum [1] - 59:6 Minnesota [5] - 12:8, 79:3, 79:7, 80:5, 80:25 minority [2] - 35:5,

35:19 minute [5] - 36:23, 38:22, 39:25, 40:12, 43:17 minutes [5] - 33:9, 33:19, 37:20, 98:10, 112.22 mirror [1] - 101:12 misinterpreting [1] -51:23 missing [1] - 77:24 MN [2] - 14:4, 14:5 model [7] - 27:22, 50:17, 101:16, 101:21, 101:25, 104:15, 108:3 modeled [1] - 101:13 modeling [10] - 21:5, 23:10, 23:14, 23:15, 23:23, 24:4, 24:22, 67:2, 82:1, 82:4 Modeling [2] - 6:8, 6:8 models [1] - 101:14 moderately [1] - 99:17 modification [1] -28:20 modifications [2] -16:8, 20:21 Mogen's [1] - 7:11 Monday [1] - 40:21 money [1] - 69:1 Monitoring [1] - 13:7 monitors [1] - 32:20 morning [5] - 17:14, 38:23, 39:3, 40:18, 57:17 most [5] - 26:11, 30:17, 100:23, 102:10, 111:13 mostly [2] - 24:25, 54:14 Motion [1] - 36:19 motion [1] - 42:24 motions [1] - 18:12 Mountain [1] - 7:3 Mountain-Prairie [1] -7:3 move [5] - 22:13, 25:3, 28:8, 28:24, 92:16 moved [5] - 25:3, 25:9, 56:2, 100:19, 107:11 Moves [2] - 12:10, 12:12 moves [1] - 27:17 moving [5] - 21:23, 26:22, 27:19, 56:1, 106:9 **MR** [135] - 17:22, 18:2,

18:5, 18:17, 18:22,

21:13, 21:22, 22:4, 22:8, 22:12, 22:16, 28:8, 28:11, 28:14, 28:17, 29:3, 29:6, 29:8, 29:10, 32:4, 32:9, 32:12, 34:5, 34:10, 35:21, 35:24, 36:4, 36:7, 36:9, 36:11, 36:17, 36:19, 37:7, 37:18, 37:23, 38:9, 38:11, 38:18, 38:21, 39:15, 39:23, 40:3, 40:8, 40:11, 40:17, 41:10, 41:12, 43:4, 43:6, 43:8, 43:22, 43:25, 44:5, 45:20, 45:25, 46:2, 51:1, 51:7, 51:8, 51:11, 54:7, 54:24, 55:1, 55:2, 55:8, 55:11, 56:5, 56:10, 57:3, 57:5, 57:9, 57:11, 57:22, 58:1, 60:4, 63:2, 63:13, 63:17, 63:21, 64:3, 68:12, 68:15, 69:10, 70:9, 72:9, 72:16, 72:22, 74:18, 74:21, 75:1, 77:16, 77:20, 78:6, 78:9, 79:13, 79:15, 80:2, 80:21, 82:15, 82:20, 83:6, 83:22, 83:25, 86:1, 86:11, 88:10, 88:15, 91:9, 91:15, 92:2, 92:4, 92:7, 92:8, 92:11, 92:18, 92:22, 93:9, 94:1, 94:6, 95:21, 96:15, 96:21, 98:5, 98:21, 98:24, 106:2, 106:16, 111:18, 111:24, 112:8, 112:24, 113:6 **MS** [109] - 17:13, 18:1, 18:4, 18:8, 18:12, 21:12, 21:20, 22:1, 22:5, 22:15, 22:17, 28:10, 28:12, 28:15, 29:5, 32:11, 34:9, 34:13, 36:2, 36:10, 36:15, 36:18, 36:23, 37:1, 37:5, 37:9, 37:13, 37:19, 38:1, 38:4, 38:7, 38:10, 38:13, 38:20, 39:6, 39:12, 39:17, 39:22, 39:24, 40:2, 40:4, 40:12, 40:15, 41:4, 43:19, 43:23, 44:1, 51:10, 51:25, 54:22,

18:24, 19:6, 21:1,

55:6, 55:10, 55:13, 11 56:9, 56:24, 57:4, 57:6, 60:2, 60:5, 63:11, 63:15, 63:23, 64:6, 68:14, 68:21, 69:14, 72:11, 72:19, 73:8, 74:20, 75:2, 75:5, 77:19, 78:4, 78:7, 80:1, 80:15, 80:23, 82:19, 83:10, 83:24, 84:9, 86:14, 88:12, 88:16, 91:14, 91:24, 92:16, 92:20, 93:18, 94:4, 95:18, 95:22, 96:19, 98:6, 98:10, 98:14, 98:19, 98:22, 98:25, 100:15, 102:8, 105:25, 111:20, 111:25, 112:6, 112:16, 113:4, 113:7 Murphy [13] - 1:19, 3:4, 3:18, 3:20, 4:4, 4:8, 4:19, 4:23, 17:24, 41:13, 51:20, 107:21, 109:24 MURPHY [31] - 21:22, 22:16, 28:11, 29:8, 36:4, 36:17, 36:19, 37:18, 39:15, 40:8, 41:10, 41:12, 43:4, 43:22, 43:25, 44:5, 45:25, 51:7, 54:24, 55:8, 55:11, 56:5, 56:10, 57:3, 57:5, 82:15, 92:18, 92:22, 112:8, 112:24, 113:6 mute [2] - 16:5, 37:12

Ν

name [3] - 19:7, 41:13, 90.17 names [1] - 94:21 NARUC [5] - 13:12, 78:25, 81:4, 81:9, 82:14 **National** [1] - 10:5 **nature** [2] - 54:13, 100:2 ND [2] - 14:3, 14:4 near [5] - 27:4, 59:24, 60:3, 75:19, 87:2 nearby [1] - 59:21 nearest [1] - 33:8 nearly [1] - 46:25 necessarily [3] -43:11, 49:19 necessary [1] - 85:15 need [8] - 33:2, 33:25,

37:16, 43:23, 60:2, 64:6, 103:12, 112:21 needed [1] - 82:12 needs [4] - 56:22, 62:15, 67:22, 85:1 neglected [1] - 100:5 neighboring [2] -104:16, 104:19 NELSON [4] - 1:12, 100:16, 101:7, 102:6 Nelson [3] - 15:13, 62:9, 100:15 Nest [1] - 6:6 never [4] - 30:22, 36:7, 54:20, 89:18 new [11] - 36:13, 59:18, 59:22, 59:23, 59:25, 60:1, 61:23, 81:9, 89:14, 104:17, 107:13 Newspapers [1] -10:23 next [7] - 18:9, 43:24, 50:6, 64:22, 104:6, 105:10, 112:9 Night [1] - 13:20 night [3] - 48:8, 48:9, nighttime [4] - 62:25, 63:19, 64:9, 64:12 **nobody** [1] - 48:9 Nocebo [3] - 10:19, 10:21, 10:22 noise [63] - 21:5, 22:22, 23:8, 23:21, 23:23, 23:25, 24:12, 25:12, 26:1, 29:13, 29:22, 30:1, 30:5, 30:7, 30:25, 32:1, 32:16, 33:18, 33:19, 34:15, 45:7, 48:3, 48:7, 49:15, 59:17, 59:18, 59:22, 59:23, 60:1, 61:3, 64:14, 64:20, 65:19, 66:2, 72:17, 72:24, 72:25, 77:3, 78:19, 84:3, 84:7, 87:19, 90:23, 91:7, 92:14, 93:12, 93:13, 97:1, 99:6, 100:8, 104:10, 105:16, 106:7, 106:10, 106:24, 107:2, 108:11, 109:19, 111:6, 111:11 Noise [22] - 8:8, 8:11, 8:12, 8:13, 8:15, 8:17, 8:20, 10:4,

10:5, 10:9, 10:10,

11:10, 12:4, 12:4, 12:5, 12:6, 12:8, 13:14, 13:16, 13:19, 13:20 nonparticipant [6] -30:23, 42:15, 52:21, 65:1. 101:5. 110:16 nonparticipants [19] -24:6, 25:6, 25:20, 26:24, 27:15, 45:2, 46:11, 47:6, 49:12, 52:13, 53:6, 71:10, 100:22, 101:1, 107:16, 111:5, 111:14, 111:15 Nonparticipants [1] -12.13 nonparticipating [16] - 24:2, 24:3, 25:4, 25:12, 25:17, 29:19, 29:21, 31:11, 72:14, 95:11, 96:14, 96:24, 97:9, 107:4, 109:3, 109:19 normal [2] - 35:3, 41:6 normally [6] - 27:22, 32:22, 33:2, 58:15, 112:16, 113:1 north [1] - 107:15 northeastern [3] -24:25, 100:24, 101:3 northern [1] - 101:3 **Notary** [2] - 114:7, 114:18 note [2] - 39:7, 43:9 noted [1] - 39:6 Notes [2] - 8:20, 8:22 nothing [6] - 18:14, 18:15, 66:4, 66:7, 68:24, 113:7 notice [2] - 107:22, 108.7 NOTICE [1] - 13:8 Notice [1] - 9:11 number [11] - 65:14, 70:23, 71:17, 71:19, 71:21, 91:20, 91:22, 100:18, 101:9, 102:24, 107:14 numbering [1] - 47:18 numbers [4] - 36:16,

0

36:17, 45:22, 52:4

o'clock [4] - 38:14, 38:16, 39:10, 113:8 oath [2] - 19:3, 40:6 object [28] - 34:6, 38:21, 40:18, 43:6,

43:8, 43:18, 51:3, 51:11, 54:7, 54:20, 55:2, 55:5, 56:13, 56:25, 57:22, 63:3, 63:9, 63:13, 69:10, 72:17, 77:16, 79:16, 83:7, 83:22, 91:9, 91:12, 92:4, 96:15 objected [1] - 51:23 **objecting** [1] - 93:5 objection [32] - 21:2, 21:3, 21:10, 21:25, 22:2, 22:6, 22:16, 22:18, 28:10, 28:13, 51:5, 51:12, 51:17, 55:13, 56:11, 56:12, 63:12, 63:25, 68:12, 70:9, 71:25, 72:9, 72:20, 74:18, 78:8, 82:15, 84:11, 86:1, 86:11, 88:10, 92:18, 92:21 objections [5] - 21:23, 32:4, 51:19, 56:17, 56:18 Objective [2] - 8:8, obviously [1] - 100:19 occasionally [2] -58:18, 100:9 occupation [1] - 19:8 occupied [1] - 42:15 occur [1] - 35:1 October [1] - 12:7 **OF** [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 114:1, 114:3 offer [6] - 19:23, 29:1, 43:23, 51:2, 51:16, 51:21 offered [4] - 22:25, 34:6, 34:16, 52:1 offering [2] - 28:23, 51.11 often [5] - 30:7, 30:19, 33:14, 59:20, 65:4 Ohio [4] - 11:24, 43:1, 44:13, 45:15 old [1] - 108:14 Ollson [6] - 8:6, 8:6, 10:4, 13:20, 13:21, 13:21 OLLSON [1] - 4:22 Olson [1] - 13:5 **OLSON** [1] - 5:7 on-line [1] - 102:12 once [5] - 31:3, 85:2, 94:11, 103:23, 105:1 one [30] - 17:10, 17:16, 20:15, 30:9,

34:3, 43:14, 45:15,

46:5

82:3, 83:2, 84:6, 84:20, 85:6, 85:17, 85:24, 86:5, 86:6, 86:10, 91:20, 104:22, 104:25, 109:2, 109:3, 109:6, 111:2 onerous [7] - 70:24. 71:6, 71:22, 72:14, 73:14, 73:19, 73:20 ones [3] - 36:14. 104:17, 110:3 ongoing [3] - 85:14, 86:6, 86:8 Onida [2] - 1:24, 114:13 Ontario [1] - 10:23 open [1] - 102:14 operates [1] - 67:15 operating [3] - 35:19, 87:2, 99:11 operation [2] - 16:9, 33:10 **Operation** [1] - 7:6 operational [1] - 31:3 opine [1] - 93:7 opinion [14] - 23:11, 58:11, 59:8, 60:25, 61:22, 62:22, 65:25, 68:16, 74:16, 75:19, 78:17, 91:17, 91:19, 97:25 opinions [2] - 59:13, 68:17 **opportunity** [2] - 31:4, 113:5 opposed [1] - 103:22 opposition [1] - 38:25 Optical [2] - 8:21, 8:22 optimal [1] - 33:4 optimized [1] - 27:18 optimizing [1] - 27:11 Option [1] - 12:16 order [10] - 39:13, 40:19, 40:24, 46:22, 55:11, 56:7, 61:17, 84:7, 107:18, 112:11 orderly [1] - 15:25 ordinance [2] - 58:10, 59:7 ordinances [2] -23:21, 23:25 Organization [1] -88:2 organized [1] - 31:22 Original [1] - 9:23 original [2] - 25:2,

48:10, 52:23, 53:10,

59:21, 76:11, 77:20,

ought [4] - 25:8, 26:8, 12 31:19, 90:2 Outcomes [1] - 10:20 Outline [1] - 7:3 outlined [1] - 25:9 outlines [1] - 32:17 output [1] - 99:11 outside [3] - 20:7, 20:21, 93:3 overall [1] - 46:6 overly [4] - 72:14, 73:14, 73:19, 73:20 overrule [6] - 16:22, 55:13, 68:22, 78:7, 84:11, 88:12 overruled [1] - 16:23 oversight [1] - 16:20 Overview [2] - 11:13, 11:14 owner [1] - 64:19

Ρ

Package [1] - 12:17 **PAGE** [4] - 3:2, 3:7, 4:2, 5:2 page [59] - 41:23, 42:2, 42:3, 42:11, 44:12, 44:14, 45:12, 45:21, 45:22, 45:25, 46:5, 46:6, 46:9, 47:1, 47:12, 47:18, 48:21, 49:4, 52:12, 53:4, 53:5, 53:8, 53:16, 53:17, 53:19, 61:13, 62:5, 62:6, 65:6, 65:9, 66:18, 70:12, 75:24, 76:22, 77:6, 77:21, 78:6, 78:14, 79:8, 79:10, 81:9, 81:15, 81:16, 85:3, 85:22, 87:25, 88:1, 88:21, 89:2, 89:5, 91:1, 91:6, 96:9, 96:12, 97:5, 97:11, 98:1 pages [2] - 20:8, 41:20 Pages [1] - 1:9 **PAIGE**[1] - 5:7 Paige [1] - 13:5 Pamidighantam [1] -13:13 Panel [1] - 11:8 paper [6] - 37:3, 37:13, 88:4, 92:22, 97:19, 108:15

Papio [1] - 12:16

89:4

paragraph [2] - 48:1,

Parameters [1] - 8:11

part [16] - 24:25, 29:8, 33:25, 34:7, 68:13, 69:7, 83:23, 84:13, 89:25, 100:24, 101:2, 101:4, 105:5, 106:21, 108:2, 111.10 Partial [1] - 13:18 participants [1] -100:25 Participants [1] - 10:8 participate [1] - 31:6 Participation [6] -6:20, 6:21, 7:24, 8:5, 14:8. 14:9 particular [8] - 19:22, 25:17, 27:15, 33:5, 35:17, 62:20, 82:7, 88:16 particularly [4] -56:14, 69:25, 100:4, 102:1 parties [7] - 16:12, 16:15, 16:16, 17:20, 44:23, 51:4, 56:25 parts [1] - 22:20 party [1] - 86:20 passing [1] - 35:1 past [5] - 19:25, 20:12, 23:16, 26:2, 27:12 Pattern [2] - 10:11, 11:3 Paul [4] - 13:13, 90:12, 90:17, 94:20 Paul's [1] - 94:8 Pause [3] - 20:17, 35:23, 40:1 PC [1] - 38:11 Pending [1] - 12:15 people [5] - 17:5, 30:17, 35:5, 94:22, 107:21 People [1] - 13:15 percent [3] - 46:16, 46:22, 89:11 Perceptual [1] - 8:17 perfectly [1] - 23:9 perform [1] - 76:11 Performance [2] -11:9, 13:12 performance[1] -26:7 perhaps [1] - 58:22 period [8] - 32:20, 32:24, 33:3, 33:7, 33:9, 33:22, 34:16, 62:16 periods [1] - 33:19 permit [12] - 15:3, 16:4, 16:7, 68:5,

72:12, 73:15, 74:11, 74:17, 87:7, 102:18, 105:2, 107:24 PERMIT [1] - 1:5 Permit [1] - 21:4 Permits [1] - 6:9 permitted [2] - 104:12 person [3] - 27:3, 109:4, 110:8 persons [1] - 16:13 perspective [1] -69:23 **Ph.D** [1] - 93:8 Ph.D.s [1] - 93:1 phase [1] - 27:13 Phenomena [1] -10:19 phone [12] - 16:5, 18:19, 18:20, 39:16, 39:19, 40:2, 41:17, 57:14, 98:20, 98:23, 105:11, 112:3 Photosensitive [2] -8:23, 8:25 phrase [1] - 73:12 physical [1] - 60:22 piece [1] - 77:21 Pierre [2] - 2:3, 15:11 place [6] - 25:23, 57:16, 67:25, 74:12, 107:8, 107:12 Placebo [1] - 10:19 placed [3] - 64:11, 73:15, 90:2 placing [1] - 83:13 Plan [1] - 6:10 plants [2] - 19:20, 87:14 Platform [1] - 9:24 **plot** [1] - 25:18 plots [1] - 102:5 point [18] - 34:2, 43:21, 44:3, 45:17, 48:10, 55:11, 56:7, 57:3, 58:22, 67:4, 67:7, 69:19, 69:24, 80:10, 80:16, 82:18, 101:20, 103:14 points [1] - 79:10 polite [1] - 17:9 Polysomnographic 111 - 8:11 population [1] - 46:17 Portugal [1] - 112:3 **pose** [1] - 15:18 posed [1] - 58:23 position [4] - 57:20, 57:23, 57:25, 88:25 positioning [1] - 24:20

possibility [1] -

105:15 82:1 Possible [1] - 13:13 prepared [1] - 23:3 possible [8] - 25:9, preposition [1] -28:21. 58:16. 66:12. 21.17 71:10, 75:14, 78:20, present [6] - 15:14, 102:17 postconstruction [12] 68:1, 98:18 - 30:25, 31:9, 31:10, Presentation [1] -31:25, 32:16, 84:20, 13:21 84:23, 85:18, 86:10, **presented** [1] - 83:9 86:16, 87:8, 101:9 president [1] - 19:10 postoperational [1] presiding [1] - 15:14 46:24 Pressure [1] - 11:13 potential [8] - 30:15, 49:12, 56:1, 66:2, presumption [1] -66:15, 77:2, 89:22, 49:18 100:18 Potential [1] - 9:3 pretty [6] - 45:23, potentially [3] - 61:6, 67:3, 83:16 109:22, 111:10 pouring [1] - 95:4 Prevailing [7] - 60:6, power [8] - 19:17, 60:19, 60:25, 61:9, 19:19, 23:13, 43:1, 62:5, 62:19, 62:23 49:19, 50:1, 87:2, 99:11 97:2 Power [4] - 6:23, 9:23, **Primary** [1] - 10:9 11:24, 44:13 primary [5] - 24:20, **Poweshiek** [1] - 6:5 25:3, 52:9, 57:3, practical [2] - 65:3, 109:4 65:4 principal [2] - 65:7, practice [4] - 41:6, 81:6 61:5, 62:1, 84:13 probability [1] - 48:3 Prairie [1] - 7:3 problem [5] - 35:10, **Pranav** [1] - 13:13 **Precision** [1] - 1:24 48:7 preconstruction [8] problematic [1] -66:20, 68:9, 81:19, 34:25 82:10, 83:2, 83:11, problems [5] - 35:16, 83:18, 84:5 75:14, 106:20, predict [3] - 89:10, 106:21, 106:22 110:11, 110:19 procedural [2] - 15:7, predicted [2] - 65:13, 16:21 110:25 procedure [1] - 87:18 prediction [4] -Procedures [1] -101:16, 101:21, 10.21 101:25, 110:20 proceed [7] - 17:15, predominantly [1] -19:4, 22:3, 22:6, 100:25 41:9, 57:8, 78:8 preexisting [2] proceeding [13] -76:14. 82:8 prefaced [1] - 99:14 66:1, 66:17, 68:1, prefiled [7] - 20:20, 20:24, 21:16, 22:10, 34:8, 36:21, 41:8 113:10 Prefiled [1] - 21:14 Proceeding [1] - 1:7 preliminary [4] proceedings [10] -16:22, 16:24, 45:15, 2:1, 17:12, 35:14, 50:14 58:6, 68:6, 70:13, premises [1] - 48:10

preoperation [1] -

114:12

16:12, 39:21, 66:12, presumably [1] - 93:7 pretending [1] - 112:3 48:15, 99:7, 106:18, previously [2] - 56:19, 35:12, 35:13, 35:20, 44:10, 55:23, 62:23, 72:12, 73:15, 80:10, 80:11, 82:11, 82:17, 76:11, 77:1, 114:9,

process [1] - 40:23 produce [1] - 42:14 Produced [1] - 8:13 produced [3] - 35:4, 54:5, 55:20 production [2] -49:20, 50:1 professional [3] -64:24, 74:16, 81:24 Professional [2] -114:6, 114:19 professionals [1] -73:5 proffered [3] - 72:17. 72:18. 82:17 project [111] - 16:10, 24:8, 24:23, 24:25, 25:15, 25:22, 25:25, 27:11, 27:16, 27:18, 29:13, 30:9, 30:10, 30:25, 31:15, 33:1, 33:6, 33:8, 33:13, 33:15, 35:17, 46:15, 46:21, 46:25, 47:20, 48:4, 49:20, 49:21, 50:2, 54:10, 54:13, 58:12, 58:13, 58:16, 59:9, 59:25, 60:13, 60:22, 61:2, 61:7, 61:18, 61:23, 62:2, 62:11, 63:1, 63:20, 64:11, 64:15, 64:19, 66:2, 66:9, 67:5, 67:14, 67:16, 67:21, 68:17, 68:24, 69:2, 69:19, 69:22, 69:24, 70:1, 70:4, 70:7, 70:8, 70:24, 71:7, 71:18, 71:20, 71:21, 73:9, 73:19, 74:1, 74:12, 74:16, 75:6, 75:9, 75:10, 75:18, 76:13, 76:16, 77:3, 77:15, 78:19, 81:10, 81:25, 82:13, 83:13, 83:16, 83:21, 84:2, 84:25, 85:8, 85:13, 89:11, 96:3, 96:10, 97:7, 99:16, 100:7, 101:2, 101:4, 103:23, 104:7, 104:8, 104:12, 105:15, 106:4, 106:21, 106:23, 111:12 Project [8] - 9:17, 9:17, 47:9, 47:13, 58:25, 90:19, 96:1,

96:22

projects [15] - 26:7,

26:10, 27:12, 30:5, 31:2, 35:10, 35:18, 35:20, 59:20, 82:2, 90:2, 104:9, 104:16, 104:17, 106:5 Projects [3] - 6:23, 7:4. 11:9 prominent [1] - 30:19 **proof** [1] - 16:12 proper [2] - 74:21, 80:13 properly [1] - 63:18 property [6] - 24:3, 47:5, 47:23, 48:6, 48:9, 83:21 **Property** [3] - 6:9, 10:14, 10:15 proposal [9] - 24:15, 28:19, 29:14, 49:6, 52:8, 52:22, 53:23, 54:3, 85:13 proposals [2] - 28:18, 29.6 propose [2] - 24:19, 49:10 Proposed [4] - 11:8, 12:10, 13:7, 13:12 proposed [15] - 15:17, 20:4, 27:13, 28:19, 31:24, 34:3, 58:12, 59:9, 62:24, 86:3, 95:25, 101:13, 104:16, 107:21, 109:4 prospective [2] -38:25, 72:4 protect [2] - 60:16, 88:23 protocol [2] - 87:9, 87.16 provide [5] - 16:20, 33:11, 78:3, 82:12, 95.24 provided [5] - 44:7, 55:23, 57:24, 61:9 provides [1] - 87:15 providing [2] - 38:24, 78:1 PSC [1] - 12:5 Psychogenic [2] -10:13, 11:4 Psychological [1] -8:10 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 Public [13] - 9:16, 12:3, 12:7, 13:10, 19:25, 79:3, 79:7, 80:5, 80:25, 96:5,

96:23, 114:7, 114:18

public [18] - 30:5,

34:21, 66:13, 72:15, 73:2, 73:3, 73:14, 73:20, 73:21, 73:23, 73:24, 74:4, 74:7, 74:10, 88:23, 90:18 published [2] - 45:7, 82:14 PUC [19] - 5:2. 6:14. 7:7, 7:10, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 9:12, 9:16, 9:22, 12:14, 13:2, 19:21, 24:19, 30:6, 69:8, 83:14, 84:6, 84.16 **pull** [1] - 26:15 **pulsation** [1] - 35:4 pulsations [1] - 35:1 purely [2] - 73:11, 105:20 purporting [1] - 78:3 purpose [6] - 15:5, 25:11, 31:20, 51:17, 67:12, 83:15 purposes [8] - 41:1, 43:20, 44:4, 51:1, 51:22, 56:18, 79:11, 112:11 pursuant [1] - 15:6 put [14] - 22:2, 33:9, 68:25, 83:3, 85:11, 85:23, 86:3, 103:8, 103:17, 103:24,

Q

108:8, 109:13,

109:17, 112:17

putting [1] - 91:10

qualifications [1] -23:8 Qualifications [1] -10:14 quality [2] - 23:6, 23:8 Quality [1] - 10:7 quantify [1] - 67:13 questioning [1] - 93:6 **Questions** [13] - 3:5, 3:10, 3:15, 3:20, 4:5, 4:9, 4:13, 4:17, 4:20, 4:24, 5:5, 5:9, 5:13 questions [32] - 20:23, 21:19, 23:2, 28:5, 28:6, 34:18, 34:20, 41:15, 50:6, 56:6, 63:8, 78:5, 79:16, 80:17, 93:23, 93:25, 98:5, 98:8, 99:1, 99:4, 100:14, 102:7, 102:11, 104:21, 105:24, 106:13,

106:17, 107:20, 111:18, 111:21, 112:1 quiet [1] - 99:7

R

radically [2] - 69:19,

r04 [1] - 10:3

raise [1] - 19:2

ran [4] - 50:17,

110:24

110:19, 110:20,

range [5] - 30:15,

69:25

35:3, 89:12, 91:8, 91:23 ranging [1] - 89:10 Raptor [1] - 6:6 rare [1] - 35:7 rate [3] - 46:15, 46:21. 89:10 rather [5] - 30:10. 71:12, 84:18, 92:14, 93:21 reach [1] - 105:9 reached [1] - 93:19 reaction [3] - 35:6, read [10] - 17:5, 41:20, 52:10, 52:14, 74:23, 89:16, 94:2, 97:16, 112.22 reading [16] - 17:2, 17:4, 42:16, 44:24, 46:12, 46:18, 46:23, 47:15. 48:5. 48:13. 49:1. 49:16. 49:22. 52:23, 53:1, 53:24 readings [1] - 61:7 reads [3] - 74:25, 78:12, 94:3 ready [1] - 50:5 real [2] - 93:20, 101:5 Realistic [2] - 11:15, 11:17 realize [1] - 47:16 really [12] - 30:16, 33:15, 35:18, 54:7, 64:8, 64:12, 89:18, 91:11, 93:22, 94:13, 99:9, 100:23 Realtime [2] - 114:6, 114:19 rearrangement [1] -25:24 reason [8] - 25:24, 31:15, 67:9, 74:15, 84:24, 85:20,

103:17, 105:16

reasonable [2] -46:12, 88:20 reasoning [1] - 104:1 reasons [1] - 43:8 rebuttal [2] - 112:12, 112:19 Rebuttal [9] - 9:23, 10:4, 10:13, 10:16, 11:6, 11:11, 11:12, 11:17, 50:16 receive [7] - 37:1, 37:2, 37:5, 37:24, 52:24, 53:11, 53:23 received [4] - 36:5, 40:17, 40:20, 42:22 recently [2] - 32:2, 43:13 Receptor [2] - 8:3, 11:17 receptor [5] - 53:10, 53:14, 53:18, 53:22, 101:20 Receptors [1] - 13:17 receptors [4] - 52:21, 54:1, 55:18, 95:12 recess [7] - 38:3, 38:16, 39:11, 39:13, 98:13, 113:8, 113:10 recipient [1] - 52:23 recognize [4] - 42:24, 49:6, 91:20, 94:21 recognized [2] -17:11, 91:22 recommend [9] -58:20, 62:12, 62:13, 63:4, 79:11, 86:9, 91:7, 96:24, 104:3 recommendation [13] - 31:7, 50:17, 60:20, 81:8, 81:18, 83:1, 85:11, 88:1, 88:8, 88:19, 96:25, 97:3, 97:7 Recommendation [2] - 10:3, 79:3 recommendations [5] - 80:7, 80:25, 82:13, 82:23, 88:6 recommended [14] -16:21, 60:12, 62:18, 62:24, 62:25, 71:9, 80:12, 81:20, 85:24, 91:23, 96:10, 96:14, 97:6, 97:22 Recommended [1] -13:16 recommending [5] -65:2, 71:24, 80:11,

87:6, 90:4

recommends [3] -

80:8, 93:13, 98:2 reconfigure [1] -69:19 reconstruct [1] -97:12 reconvene [1] - 39:10 record [11] - 21:3, 21:6, 21:10, 34:22, 39:5, 43:5, 43:9, 45:20, 77:18, 79:1, 98:9 recorded [1] - 33:24 Recross [10] - 3:11, 3:12, 3:16, 3:21, 3:21, 4:6, 4:14, 4:17, 4:21, 5:10 recross [1] - 111:21 Recross-Examination [10] -3:11, 3:12, 3:16, 3:21, 3:21, 4:6, 4:14, 4:17, 4:21, 5:10 redirect [3] - 106:1, 106:17, 111:22 Redirect [8] - 3:6, 3:11, 3:16, 3:20, 4:13, 5:6, 5:9, 5:13 REDIRECT [1] -106:15 reduce [5] - 25:12, 25:19, 49:11, 49:15, 111:11 reduction [14] - 27:8, 30:1, 52:17, 52:22, 52:24, 53:10, 53:12, 53:21, 53:23, 54:2, 110:12, 110:18, 110:24 reductions [4] - 55:5, 55:20, 100:18, 108:20 refer [5] - 26:14, 44:14, 81:16, 85:3, 88:88 **referred** [1] - 80:5 referring [6] - 21:24, 26:4, 36:12, 43:10, 80:13, 97:11 refers [2] - 21:16, 45:2 Reflect [2] - 7:24, 8:4 reflect [1] - 21:3 reframe [1] - 80:21 refreshed [1] - 44:15 refute [1] - 63:8 regard [16] - 21:2, 22:19, 23:2, 23:25, 29:13, 32:13, 32:15, 38:25, 54:21, 60:9, 66:19, 80:7, 92:25, 94:24, 106:4, 108:10

			T.	_
regarding [13] - 6:21,	86:23, 90:18, 91:3,	respectfully [2] -	Richard [1] - 11:6	73:23, 73:25, 15
6:22, 9:9, 9:10,	91:12, 96:4, 96:9,	74:22, 78:9	Ridge [43] - 1:19, 6:3,	104:24, 105:14
12:17, 81:1, 81:19,	96:12, 96:23, 97:14,	respond [2] - 34:11,	8:3, 9:9, 11:12,	sailed [2] - 66:19,
83:2, 83:20, 88:1,	109:25	72:21	11:13, 11:14, 11:15,	66:23
88:15, 88:16	Reported [5] - 1:24,	response [23] - 21:12,	11:16, 11:19, 11:22,	sake [1] - 31:19
regardless [2] - 17:7,	8:8, 8:9, 8:17, 10:5	21:21, 26:19, 32:11,	12:14, 12:17, 15:3,	Sam [3] - 6:12, 8:5,
100:9	reporter [6] - 17:3,	34:9, 44:14, 44:18,	16:11, 17:21, 17:25,	11:17
regards [1] - 80:8	17:8, 74:23, 78:10,	44:21, 45:18, 46:10,	21:20, 22:15, 23:24,	SAM [1] - 4:3
Region [2] - 6:23, 7:3	94:2, 114:9	47:2, 61:18, 63:16,	24:14, 28:10, 28:19,	sample [1] - 97:8
region [1] - 15:25	Reporter [7] - 74:25,	67:10, 74:20, 77:19,	29:4, 34:1, 36:3,	Sappington [2] - 9:6,
Region's [1] - 7:3	78:12, 94:3, 114:6,	80:1, 80:2, 83:24,	39:14, 40:6, 41:9,	11:11
Registered [2] -	114:19, 114:19	85:20, 91:14, 95:13,	41:14, 42:4, 43:19,	SAPPINGTON [1] -
114:5, 114:19	Reporting [1] - 1:24	103:8	47:9, 49:7, 50:2,	3:13
regular [1] - 102:3	reports [1] - 93:16	Response [2] - 9:25,	50:15, 55:6, 58:25,	Sarah [2] - 9:6, 11:11
Regulation [1] - 12:8	representation [1] -	11:5	92:20, 109:12,	SARAH [1] - 3:13
regulatory [18] -	103:2	Responses [17] -	111:11, 111:22,	sarcastic [1] - 92:14
24:23, 25:15, 30:21,	represented [1] -	6:14, 7:7, 7:10, 7:11,	112:7	satisfactory [1] -
31:16, 44:22, 45:1,	16:13	7:18, 8:17, 9:6, 9:8,	RIDGE [1] - 1:4	23:10
45:6, 46:11, 58:14,	representing [5] -	9:10, 9:12, 9:14,	rightly [1] - 57:15	satisfy [1] - 29:12
59:1, 59:4, 64:20,	41:14, 57:18, 58:5,	9:15, 9:22, 11:19,	Risk [1] - 10:18	savings [1] - 29:22
66:9, 67:17, 77:1,	72:7, 72:8	11:22, 13:3, 13:6	risk [1] - 66:14	saw [2] - 36:7, 36:8
83:17, 88:20, 103:4	Request [2] - 26:20,	responses [1] - 50:23	Risk-Factor [1] -	SB16 [1] - 13:8
Reiss [1] - 18:10	106:7	responsibilities [1] -	10:18	SCADA[2] - 86:25,
reiterate [1] - 41:5	request [4] - 15:6,	19:14	risks [1] - 66:12	87:1
reiterated [1] - 104:24	22:19, 26:19, 106:8	rest [1] - 105:20	Rislov [1] - 1:15	schedule [1] - 15:7
related [1] - 21:19	requested [1] - 82:11	restate [1] - 96:19	Road [2] - 11:5, 11:6	scheduling [2] -
Release [1] - 13:22	Requests [3] - 13:5,	restructuring [2] -	Robert [1] - 10:16	40:19, 40:24
relevance [1] - 73:10	13:6, 28:6	75:9, 75:20	ROBERT [1] - 4:18	Schmeling [1] - 11:18
relevant [4] - 43:7,	require [4] - 51:15,	result [5] - 26:21,	room [3] - 20:19,	Schomer [7] - 13:13,
73:6, 84:4, 99:9	70:22, 73:22, 103:20	27:20, 54:2, 58:16,	33:17, 37:14	90:12, 90:17, 90:22,
relocated [2] - 27:9,	required [4] - 17:9,	102:25	Room [1] - 15:10	91:2, 92:12, 94:20
100.10	100.10 107.03			
100:19	102:19, 107:23,	results [5] - 23:11,	roughly [1] - 100:3	School [1] - 10:9
relocation [2] - 66:12,	108:9	27:23, 52:8, 54:5,	round [1] - 27:17	SCHUMACHER [1] -
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5	108:9 requirement [5] -	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] -
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14,	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2,	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] -	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8,	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21,	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24,	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] -	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16,	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] -	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3,	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] -	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13,	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:4	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18	108:9 requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 resident [1] - 110:15	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:4 S4 [1] - 13:5	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] -	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 resident [1] - 110:15 residential [1] - 95:12	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [4] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6	SCHUMACHER [1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] - 12:10	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 resident [1] - 110:15 residential [1] - 95:12 Residential [1] - 13:17	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2, 22:20, 24:18, 31:24,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6 S6 [1] - 13:6	SCHUMACHER[1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16, 109:12
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] - 12:10 Report [9] - 6:5, 6:6,	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 resident [1] - 110:15 residential [1] - 95:12 Residential [1] - 13:17 residents [11] - 25:13,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2, 22:20, 24:18, 31:24, 42:4, 54:4 Revised [13] - 7:5, 9:16, 9:17, 9:17,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6 S6 [1] - 13:6 S7 [1] - 13:7	SCHUMACHER[1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16, 109:12 Section [3] - 22:21,
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] - 12:10 Report [9] - 6:5, 6:6, 6:6, 6:7, 6:7, 6:8,	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 resident [1] - 110:15 residential [1] - 95:12 Residential [1] - 13:17 residents [11] - 25:13, 29:19, 29:20, 30:7, 30:10, 31:11, 59:18, 59:23, 72:14, 81:11,	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2, 22:20, 24:18, 31:24, 42:4, 54:4 Revised [13] - 7:5, 9:16, 9:17, 9:18, 9:18, 9:19,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:4 S4 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6 S6 [1] - 13:7 safety [14] - 15:23,	SCHUMACHER[1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16, 109:12 Section [3] - 22:21, 81:16, 81:19
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] - 12:10 Report [9] - 6:5, 6:6, 6:6, 6:7, 6:7, 6:8, 6:8, 11:7, 79:2	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 residential [1] - 13:17 residents [11] - 13:17 residents [11] - 25:13, 29:19, 29:20, 30:7, 30:10, 31:11, 59:18, 59:23, 72:14, 81:11, 107:4	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2, 22:20, 24:18, 31:24, 42:4, 54:4 Revised [13] - 7:5, 9:16, 9:17, 9:18, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 9:20,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6 S6 [1] - 13:7 safety [14] - 15:23, 23:13, 66:14,	SCHUMACHER[1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16, 109:12 Section [3] - 22:21, 81:16, 81:19 section [1] - 22:22
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] - 12:10 Report [9] - 6:5, 6:6, 6:6, 6:7, 6:7, 6:8, 6:8, 11:7, 79:2 report [20] - 21:5,	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 residential [1] - 13:17 residents [11] - 25:13, 29:19, 29:20, 30:7, 30:10, 31:11, 59:18, 59:23, 72:14, 81:11, 107:4 Resources [1] - 9:21	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2, 22:20, 24:18, 31:24, 42:4, 54:4 Revised [13] - 7:5, 9:16, 9:17, 9:18, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 9:20, 9:21, 9:21, 9:22	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:4 S4 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6 S6 [1] - 13:7 safety [14] - 15:23, 23:13, 66:13, 66:14, 72:15, 72:18, 73:1,	SCHUMACHER[1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:6 Scottish [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16, 109:12 Section [3] - 22:21, 81:16, 81:19 section [1] - 9:20
relocation [2] - 66:12, 109:5 remain [1] - 47:24 remaining [1] - 75:15 remember [5] - 43:2, 47:21, 60:7, 60:8, 109:23 remind [1] - 40:5 removal [2] - 106:24, 107:5 removed [3] - 11:16, 50:21, 71:24 renew [1] - 21:2 renewables [1] - 19:20 repeat [2] - 55:15, 78:10 repeatedly [1] - 57:1 repeating [1] - 56:18 Replacement [1] - 12:10 Report [9] - 6:5, 6:6, 6:6, 6:7, 6:7, 6:8, 6:8, 11:7, 79:2 report [20] - 21:5, 38:12, 78:25, 79:6,	requirement [5] - 24:2, 31:2, 59:6, 73:15, 85:19 requirements [1] - 108:8 requires [4] - 26:12, 31:8, 40:19, 73:1 reran [2] - 109:24, 109:25 rerun [1] - 104:15 Research [1] - 13:14 residence [3] - 27:3, 27:4, 45:2 residences [8] - 25:4, 25:16, 25:17, 48:7, 65:14, 76:14, 96:14, 97:9 residential [1] - 13:17 residents [11] - 13:17 residents [11] - 25:13, 29:19, 29:20, 30:7, 30:10, 31:11, 59:18, 59:23, 72:14, 81:11, 107:4	27:23, 52:8, 54:5, 86:23 Results [7] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16, 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 Resume [1] - 13:24 resume [4] - 20:8, 20:10, 65:19, 92:24 retroactively [1] - 67:23 Retrofitting [1] - 7:6 Rev [1] - 12:11 Rev5 [2] - 12:18, 12:18 Revenues [1] - 9:9 reverse [1] - 80:17 Review [2] - 8:7, 8:15 review [6] - 23:1, 23:7, 23:20, 82:23, 89:25, 95:25 reviewed [6] - 20:2, 22:20, 24:18, 31:24, 42:4, 54:4 Revised [13] - 7:5, 9:16, 9:17, 9:18, 9:18, 9:19, 9:20, 9:20,	round [1] - 27:17 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [1] - 30:22 ruled [2] - 56:14, 56:19 rules [1] - 15:18 rulings [4] - 16:21, 16:23, 16:24 run [1] - 106:7 rural [3] - 59:18, 59:23 S S1 [6] - 13:3, 20:3, 22:13, 41:22, 45:13, 48:19 S1-A [3] - 13:3, 55:24, 109:6 S2 [1] - 13:4 S3 [1] - 13:5 S5 [2] - 13:5, 13:6 S6 [1] - 13:7 safety [14] - 15:23, 23:13, 66:14,	SCHUMACHER[1] - 17:22 Schumacher [11] - 1:19, 3:9, 3:11, 3:14, 3:16, 4:11, 4:13, 4:16, 5:4, 5:10, 17:23 Scientific [1] - 8:15 Scope [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:18 Scores [1] - 10:19 SD [1] - 14:3 Search [4] - 14:3, 14:3, 14:4, 14:4 Second [3] - 7:7, 7:10, 9:15 second [11] - 15:18, 20:15, 35:21, 44:21, 46:14, 50:23, 52:17, 53:4, 53:19, 97:16, 109:12 Section [3] - 22:21, 81:16, 81:19 section [1] - 22:22 sections [1] - 9:20 see [28] - 27:20, 27:23,

50.40.50.00.04.40
53:13, 53:20, 61:16,
64:25, 65:3, 69:23,
70:19, 74:5, 80:17,
89:23, 92:22, 94:15,
97:12, 101:3, 102:4,
105:16, 109:16,
109:21, 110:16,
113:9
seeing [4] - 53:15,
53:22, 93:5, 113:7
seem [1] - 35:20
Seizures [1] - 9:3
Self [3] - 8:8, 8:9, 10:5
Self-Reported [3] -
8:8, 8:9, 10:5
senior [1] - 17:24
sense [2] - 73:16,
107:25
sensitive [2] - 35:5,
68:25
sent [4] - 36:6, 36:21,
37:14, 38:23
sentence [4] - 44:21,
46:14, 46:20, 48:1
separate [2] - 36:14,
77:21
separately [1] - 36:14
serious [1] - 15:19
serve [1] - 60:16
service[1] - 90:18
Service [10] - 7:3,
12:3, 12:7, 13:10, 79:4, 79:7, 80:5,
79:4, 79:7, 80:5,
80:25, 96:5, 96:23
Service's [3] - 6:21,
6:22, 7:5
session [1] - 98:15
set [6] - 15:15, 50:23,
58:9, 83:14, 97:9,
103:25
Set [12] - 6:14, 7:7, 7:10, 7:11, 9:6, 9:8,
9:11, 9:12, 9:14,
9:15, 11:19, 11:22
Setback [1] - 10:3
setback [3] - 59:6,
59:11, 59:13
setbacks [1] - 26:13
Setting [1] - 10:15
setting [1] - 59:18
settlement [1] - 29:9
seven [4] - 28:24,
31:2, 32:23, 34:15
several [4] - 20:1,
76:3, 104:24, 109:21
severity[1] - 65:15
severity [1] - 65:15 Shadow [7] - 6:8, 8:4,
severity[1] - 65:15 Shadow [7] - 6:8, 8:4, 8:19, 9:4, 11:12,
severity [1] - 65:15 Shadow [7] - 6:8, 8:4,

```
ship [2] - 66:18, 66:22
Shirley [4] - 13:11,
 35:14, 90:19, 91:3
shoot [1] - 60:13
short [6] - 33:7, 33:9,
                             27:22
 34:22, 38:3, 39:13,
 98.13
shorthand [2] - 114:9
showed [1] - 52:7
showing [2] - 52:12,
shows [6] - 24:4, 24:5,
 24:22, 53:5, 61:25,
 100:18
Shultz [1] - 17:23
shut [2] - 33:8, 102:15
sic [1] - 106:19
Sick [1] - 13:15
significance [1] - 30:2
significant [7] - 30:3,
 75:8, 107:14,
 109:19, 110:13,
 110:22, 111:6
significantly [2] -
 25:7, 69:20
silence [1] - 99:20
similar [2] - 48:23,
 56:3
simpler [1] - 79:24
simply [4] - 17:9,
 43:20, 84:5, 85:24
sincere [1] - 62:1
Sioux [1] - 17:24
sit [1] - 37:7
site [7] - 59:2, 61:6,
 62:2, 66:10, 76:12,
 100:11, 100:24
site-specific [2] -
 59:2, 61:6
sites [9] - 24:24,
 26:22, 34:25, 35:13,
 50:4, 50:10, 55:4,
 107:15, 107:21
Siting [3] - 7:6, 11:24,
 44:13
siting [3] - 15:21,
 43:1, 45:15
situation [3] - 87:16,
 107:2, 107:13
                             95:4
situations [1] - 89:6
six [1] - 31:2
Sixth [1] - 11:22
size [1] - 37:2
                             87:19
skipper [1] - 6:5
skipperling [1] - 6:5
sleep [1] - 48:8
Sleep [3] - 8:8, 8:9,
```

8:11

slightly [3] - 24:1,

25:7, 73:11

```
slow [1] - 17:5
                           speaking [9] - 32:9,
                             34:5, 36:9, 37:23,
slowly [1] - 41:17
social [1] - 15:20
                            51:8, 71:23, 72:1,
                            72:3, 72:5
software [2] - 23:16,
                           specialized [1] - 19:16
                           specific [8] - 25:8,
Soil [1] - 9:21
someone [1] - 59:25
                             26:23, 31:22, 55:25,
                             59:2, 61:6, 66:9,
sometimes [3] -
                            87:17
 47:22, 82:3, 93:23
sorry [10] - 20:18,
                           specifically [4] -
                             26:23, 44:13, 52:23,
 22:13, 31:13, 45:14,
                             53:12
 49:6, 50:24, 53:5,
                           speculated [1] - 26:21
 53:17, 109:9, 110:19
Sorted [4] - 8:3, 11:15,
                           speculation [2] -
                            68:13, 68:15
 11:16, 11:17
                            speculative [2] - 54:8,
Sound [23] - 6:8, 7:24,
 8:3, 8:9, 11:5, 11:5,
                            54:10
                           speed [3] - 67:14,
 11:6, 11:8, 11:13,
                             102:23
 11:14, 11:15, 11:15,
                           SS[1] - 114:2
 11:16, 11:16, 12:8,
 12:11, 12:11, 12:15,
                           St [1] - 96:1
 13:12, 13:23, 14:7,
                           staff [3] - 18:4, 18:6,
 14:9, 14:11
                            18:9
sound [64] - 22:23,
                           STAFF [4] - 1:13, 3:2,
 23:13, 24:13, 25:5,
                            5:2, 13:2
 25:16, 29:15, 30:18,
                           Staff [39] - 1:22, 7:19,
 33:5, 42:4, 46:15,
                             9:13, 9:25, 12:14,
 47:5, 47:22, 48:15,
                             13:5, 13:6, 13:6,
 48:25, 49:12, 51:13,
                             18:10, 18:15, 18:18,
 59:10, 64:25, 65:13,
                             19:21, 20:3, 21:12,
 65:15, 66:5, 66:10,
                             22:7, 24:19, 26:14,
 66:20, 68:9, 69:20,
                             28:9, 28:16, 31:6,
 70:25, 75:7, 76:12,
                             32:11, 34:9, 51:3,
 76:13, 76:14, 78:18,
                             51:18, 51:23, 54:22,
 79:12, 80:7, 80:11,
                             56:11, 57:20, 58:4,
 80:12, 81:2, 81:10,
                             65:8, 69:8, 72:18,
 81:19, 81:25, 82:8,
                             75:13, 75:25, 106:1,
 82:12, 83:11, 83:16,
                             106:6, 106:12,
 83:18, 84:3, 84:5,
                             108:17
 89:11, 97:8, 99:10,
                           Staff's [17] - 6:14, 7:7,
 99:21, 100:21,
                            7:10, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10,
 101:13, 102:5,
                             9:12, 9:22, 11:22,
 102:20, 104:9,
                             13:3, 13:7, 15:6.
 104:17, 104:19,
                             17:16, 22:19, 56:11,
 105:4, 108:3,
                            63:25. 100:16
 108:20, 109:24,
                           stamping [1] - 46:1
 109:25, 110:12
                           stand [1] - 112:9
sounds [5] - 21:24,
                           standard [1] - 87:11
 42:14, 64:21, 75:20,
                           Standards [1] - 12:9
                           standards [3] - 87:11,
Sources [3] - 8:14,
                            88:2, 88:5
 8:16, 10:11
                            standing [8] - 21:2,
sources [2] - 87:13,
                             21:25, 22:2, 22:6,
                             22:18, 28:13, 51:12,
South [9] - 1:24, 2:2,
                             51:17
 2:4, 15:11, 15:16,
                           standoff [1] - 89:21
 19:24, 60:7, 114:7,
                           start [4] - 40:6, 40:8,
 114:13
                            58:13, 102:21
SOUTH [2] - 1:2,
                           started [1] - 68:24
 114:1
                           starting [3] - 76:3,
```

```
103:14, 107:12
STATE[2] - 1:2, 114:1
State [5] - 2:3, 15:10,
 16:16, 114:7
state [10] - 19:7, 42:3,
 46:14, 49:5, 60:15,
 65:12, 70:21, 85:6,
 85:16, 89:9
Statement [2] - 13:20,
 13:21
statement [8] - 38:18,
 47:10, 55:19, 75:13,
 77:23, 85:12, 89:16,
 95:3
states [4] - 42:13,
 44:22, 77:21, 95:9
States [1] - 35:10
Statin [1] - 10:22
stating [1] - 48:2
Status [8] - 6:20, 6:21,
 7:24, 8:5, 12:9,
 12:10, 12:12, 12:12
stay [1] - 60:2
stems [1] - 46:24
step [1] - 112:4
stepped [1] - 38:11
still [13] - 40:5, 56:3,
 57:12, 57:13, 68:10,
 68:23, 71:11, 75:15,
 90:3, 98:21, 102:17,
 108:14, 108:15
Stipulation [1] - 12:14
stop [1] - 28:25
Strategy [1] - 7:4
strict [1] - 70:22
strike [2] - 70:16,
 96:11
strong [1] - 61:21
Structures [2] - 14:10,
 14:11
structures [1] - 42:15
struggle [1] - 93:23
Studies [3] - 6:9, 6:10,
 10:18
studies [9] - 30:25,
 31:17, 66:7, 89:6,
 89:9, 89:13, 89:19,
 106:8, 106:24
Study [6] - 7:24, 8:4,
 8:10, 10:14, 10:19,
 11:7
study [38] - 22:23,
 23:7, 23:8, 23:23,
 24:9, 24:13, 24:18,
 31:9, 31:10, 31:14,
 32:16, 32:19, 33:19,
 33:25, 34:3, 42:4,
 51:13, 51:14, 61:17,
 61:23, 66:5, 76:19,
 81:5, 81:6, 81:7,
```

81:9, 81:25, 82:1, 82:3, 82:7, 91:13, 92:9, 93:7, 95:23, 101:17, 104:10, 109:24, 109:25 subject [3] - 16:14, 16:19. 21:8 **submit** [1] - 36:18 submitted [4] - 36:14, 37:24, 42:19, 42:24 subsequent [1] -77:12 substantially [2] -15:22, 49:15 subtracted [2] - 33:12, 33:16 sufficient [1] - 85:8 suggested [5] - 25:8, 25:24, 29:16, 50:21, 56:1 **suggesting** [1] - 58:3 suggestions [1] - 86:5 **SULLY** [1] - 114:3 summarize [2] -112:20, 112:22 summary [1] - 17:17 Suncor [2] - 13:20, 13:21 **superseded** [1] - 88:7 **Supplement** [5] - 7:9, 7:10, 7:21, 7:21, 7:22 Supplemental [10] -6:13, 7:22, 7:23, 7:23, 8:4, 8:5, 8:6, 9:10, 9:22, 13:6 supplied [1] - 43:11 supply [1] - 40:22 support [3] - 19:23, 45:5, 55:4 Support [2] - 10:12, Supreme [1] - 16:17 surface [1] - 48:7 Surficial [1] - 9:19 **surprising** [1] - 100:4 surrebuttal [1] -112:19 survey [35] - 32:22, 59:1, 61:2, 61:5, 61:22, 66:20, 67:1, 67:12, 68:9, 68:23, 77:12, 78:18, 79:12, 81:2, 82:11, 83:2, 83:11, 83:15, 83:18, 84:6, 84:14, 84:18, 87:10, 88:4, 100:6, 102:19, 102:21, 103:6, 103:9, 103:13, 103:20,

103:21, 103:23, 103:24, 104:3 **Survey** [6] - 6:5, 6:6, 6:6, 6:7, 10:5, 13:10 **surveys** [4] - 76:12, 80:8, 80:9, 81:19 **sustain** [5] - 63:25, 72:11, 72:19, 83:10, 86:14 **swear** [1] - 19:1 **sworn** [1] - 16:14 **Systems** [1] - 9:24

Т

Table [3] - 12:11, 12:18, 12:18 table [10] - 26:25, 27:1, 28:2, 50:20, 55:25, 56:4, 83:3, 100:17, 109:7, 110:16 **Tables** [1] - 8:3 Tabular [4] - 8:3, 11:14, 11:15, 11:16 tabulated [1] - 26:20 talented [1] - 17:4 tangible [1] - 48:12 target [4] - 67:3, 67:20, 88:22, 102:25 task [1] - 71:22 Tax [1] - 9:9 technical [1] - 19:23 Technical [1] - 9:23 technology [1] - 57:15 Telecommunication [1] - 6:10 tender [1] - 35:24 term [3] - 96:13, 97:7, 102:4 terms [3] - 16:8, 30:17, 48:12 test [15] - 31:4, 33:7, 33:20. 84:20. 84:23. 85:6. 85:17. 85:24. 86:10, 86:17, 86:19, 86:21, 87:9, 87:12, 87:17 tested [2] - 31:3, 85:14 testified [7] - 19:24, 26:2, 60:9, 63:5, 72:6, 73:3, 73:18 testify [3] - 17:16, 112:10, 113:1 testifying [3] - 16:13, 18:20, 69:8 Testimony [33] - 6:11, 6:11, 6:12, 7:22,

7:23, 9:5, 9:23, 10:4,

11:11, 11:12, 11:17, 11:24, 13:3, 13:4, 13:4, 13:5, 20:4, 20:22, 21:14, 41:7, 41:23, 42:3, 42:5, 42:7, 42:25, 44:6, 48:18, 50:16, 88:11, 91:16 testimony [55] - 15:6, 17:17, 20:20, 20:24, 21:4, 21:11, 21:16, 22:10, 25:2, 25:10, 34:8, 39:1, 39:8, 42:16, 44:12, 45:12, 45:14, 45:25, 46:5, 47:2, 49:4, 61:15, 63:7, 65:5, 65:8, 66:17, 66:18, 70:3, 70:13, 70:17, 70:21, 71:3, 75:24, 76:2, 76:22, 77:7, 77:17, 77:21, 78:1, 78:3, 78:15, 82:21, 82:22, 83:8, 84:12, 84:21, 85:4, 85:16, 85:22, 86:4, 90:3, 105:7, 108:22, 112:11, 112:18 testing [11] - 19:17, 31:17, 31:21, 31:25, 33:18, 86:6, 86:17, 87:1, 87:3, 87:13, 87:16 testings [1] - 101:10 tests [3] - 46:25, 86:22, 101:12 THE [19] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:11, 20:15, 20:18, 75:4, 99:8, 99:16, 100:3, 100:10, 100:23, 101:15, 102:19, 103:12, 103:22, 104:14, 105:11 thereof [1] - 79:8 they've [2] - 83:3, 88:6 thinking [3] - 97:13, 97:15, 107:10 **Third** [2] - 9:6, 9:14 third [2] - 15:22, 53:5 **THOMPSON** [1] - 4:7 Thompson [3] - 6:12, 7:22, 9:23 thorough [1] - 81:21 thousands [1] - 102:2 threat [1] - 15:19 three [3] - 52:20, 56:15, 106:17 threshold [1] - 47:14

10:13, 10:16, 11:6,

throughout [3] -16:23, 35:10, 35:12 throwing [1] - 43:17 Thursday [1] - 15:9 Thyen [3] - 13:22, 13:22, 13:23 tiny [4] - 35:19, 47:23, 105:1, 105:15 today [13] - 17:15, 17:16, 20:22, 23:3, 43:14, 51:5, 57:14, 90:5, 102:12, 104:8, 104:22, 112:11, 113:3 today's [1] - 38:23 **TOM** [1] - 5:3 Tom [1] - 13:4 took [1] - 114:9 top [4] - 42:2, 47:12, 47:19, 49:5 Topographic [1] -9:19 total [1] - 33:12 totally [1] - 93:3 Toward [1] - 10:6 towards [2] - 29:15, 60:20 tower [1] - 33:23 **Tower** [1] - 7:5 **Traffic** [2] - 11:5, 11:6 training [1] - 35:9 transcript [3] - 2:1, 61:8, 62:5 Transcript [3] - 1:7, 12:7, 13:18 transcription [1] -114:12 transposing [1] - 52:4 Treatment [1] - 10:20 trees [1] - 99:25 **tried** [1] - 55:6 trouble [1] - 87:11 true [7] - 51:6, 68:11, 73:24, 96:9, 97:5, 98:3, 114:11 trust [1] - 57:19 try [7] - 38:1, 58:15, 66:10, 67:18, 69:22, 87:10, 111:11 trying [8] - 56:21, 69:22, 73:19, 78:2, 97:12, 97:16, 103:11, 105:3 Tuesday [1] - 113:8 **Turbine** [14] - 8:8, 8:9, 8:11, 8:17, 8:19, 8:20, 9:24, 10:6, 11:5, 11:7, 12:10, 12:12, 12:15, 13:17

turbine [30] - 19:19,

23:13, 27:4, 27:8, 28:21, 33:23, 42:14, 49:7, 49:11, 52:8, 54:6, 55:21, 59:17, 65:12, 87:12, 89:6, 90:2, 90:23, 91:7, 92:14, 93:12, 95:10, 100:20, 102:1, 103:25, 107:15, 108:9, 109:4, 109:13, 111:2 Turbines [23] - 8:7, 8:13, 8:14, 8:16, 8:16, 8:21, 8:23, 8:23, 8:24, 9:3, 10:8, 10:11, 10:12, 10:15, 10:23, 11:4, 11:5, 11:16, 12:4, 12:6, 12:19, 13:14, 13:15 turbines [44] - 19:20, 24:8, 24:12, 24:20, 24:21, 25:3, 25:8, 25:10, 25:13, 26:22, 26:24, 27:19, 33:8, 34:24, 49:15, 49:19, 50:20, 54:14, 54:15, 54:16, 55:25, 56:2, 59:24, 66:11, 66:15, 68:1, 71:24, 83:13, 87:2, 87:18, 89:14, 99:11, 99:15, 99:23, 100:19, 101:1, 106:9, 106:20, 106:25, 108:2, 108:21, 110:1 turn [11] - 17:12, 41:22, 45:12, 49:4, 50:14, 61:8, 61:13, 75:23, 81:15, 89:2, 96:4 turned [1] - 25:1 turns [1] - 101:15 two [9] - 17:8, 33:1, 34:2, 47:19, 62:16, 82:3, 91:22, 97:8, 106:9 two-week [2] - 62:16, 97:8 **Tyler** [3] - 6:12, 8:5, 11:17 **TYLER** [1] - 3:17 type [5] - 17:8, 23:15, 61:17, 61:22, 75:16 **Types** [1] - 9:21 typical [2] - 99:6, 99:24 typically [3] - 67:18, 99:18, 112:17

UK [2] - 9:4, 10:5

unacceptable [1] -15:19 under [11] - 40:6, 52:21, 53:23, 54:2, 68:19, 76:14, 85:1, 85:7, 96:10, 99:19, 105:21 Under [1] - 9:3 understandable [1] -76:4 understood [1] -103:8 unduly [1] - 15:24 unfair [1] - 40:23 unfortunately [1] -92:18 unique [2] - 24:24, 87:19 United [1] - 35:10 units [6] - 16:2, 28:24, 70:23, 71:17, 71:19, 71.21 unobtrusive [1] -78:19 unresolved [1] - 75:15 **unusual** [1] - 60:24 **up** [18] - 17:6, 20:7, 26:16, 27:10, 40:7, 50:22, 57:2, 58:25, 59:2, 60:2, 63:6, 67:3, 82:6, 100:24, 100:25, 104:14, 107:15, 111:14 **Update** [1] - 9:4 Updated [8] - 6:17, 6:18, 6:19, 6:20, 9:16, 11:18, 14:6, 14:7 Updates [2] - 7:24, 8:4 upset [2] - 30:10, 39:5 **Urban** [1] - 10:15 **US** [5] - 6:21, 6:22, 7:3, 7:5, 13:17 **USA** [1] - 13:19 uses [1] - 63:19 **UTILITIES** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 **Utilities** [1] - 19:25

utter [1] - 99:20

utterly [1] - 68:18

Valbridge [1] - 10:14 Valley [1] - 12:16 value [1] - 103:15 Value [3] - 6:9, 10:14,

10:15 Variables [1] - 8:19 variety [1] - 32:21 Various [1] - 9:3 vary [1] - 102:2 verification [1] - 84:23 verify [1] - 87:2 vice [1] - 19:10 VICE [1] - 1:12 vicinity [2] - 25:21, 99:15 Vicinity [1] - 10:8 view [2] - 31:13 views [1] - 16:1 Vitae [2] - 10:16, 11:7 Volume [1] - 1:9 Voluntary [1] - 7:5

W wait [3] - 28:25, 83:6, 112:12 waiting [1] - 94:6 Walker [1] - 94:20 waste [1] - 79:20 Water [2] - 9:21, 9:23 weather [1] - 32:21 Weather [1] - 12:17 week [10] - 18:9, 34:2, 40:21, 43:24, 62:16, 75:12, 97:8, 104:6, 105:10, 112:9 weighted [1] - 91:7 welfare [10] - 15:23, 66:13, 73:2, 73:24, 74:10, 77:14, 104:25, 105:2, 105:7, 105:21 Wells [3] - 6:11, 9:5, 9.10 whatsoever [1] -106:23 WHO [3] - 13:20, 88:6, 88:24 whole [1] - 88:18 Whooping [1] - 6:23 WHOQOL [1] - 10:8 WHOQOL-BREF [1] -10.8 WI [1] - 12:7 wide [2] - 30:16, 32:21 Wildlife [4] - 6:21, 6:22, 7:3, 7:5 WILHELM [1] - 3:17 Wilhelm [3] - 6:12, 8:5, 11:17 willing [1] - 109:13 WIND [2] - 1:4, 1:5 Wind [53] - 1:19, 6:17,

6:18, 6:19, 6:20, 7:4,

8:7, 8:8, 8:9, 8:11, 8:13, 8:14, 8:14, 8:16, 8:16, 8:17, 8:19, 8:19, 8:21, 8:22, 8:23, 8:24, 9:3, 9:24, 10:6, 10:8, 10:10, 10:12, 10:15, 10:23, 11:3, 11:5, 11:5, 11:7, 11:8, 11:12, 11:13, 11:19, 11:22, 12:4, 12:6, 13:11, 13:12, 13:13, 13:14, 13:15, 13:17, 15:3, 42:4, 47:9, 47:13, 58:25, 90:19 wind [53] - 15:4, 19:20, 23:24, 24:7, 24:20, 26:9, 30:4, 31:6, 31:9, 31:10, 32:21, 33:22, 33:23, 34:24, 35:10, 35:17, 42:13, 42:25, 44:7, 44:9, 48:7, 58:12, 59:9, 59:17, 59:24, 61:2, 62:24, 64:15, 65:12, 67:14, 76:15, 85:7, 87:12, 87:18, 89:6, 89:11, 90:2, 90:23, 91:3, 92:13, 93:12, 95:10, 95:25, 99:10, 99:15, 99:23, 99:25, 102:23, 104:11, 107:15, 108:9 Wind's [1] - 12:17 winds [1] - 99:20 Winds [7] - 60:6, 60:19, 61:1, 61:9, 62:5, 62:19, 62:23 99:17, 100:4, 100:12 Wisconsin [10] - 12:3, 12:5, 13:10, 13:11, 90:17, 96:1, 96:3, 96:4, 96:5, 96:23

windy [4] - 32:24, Windy [1] - 10:15 wish [1] - 74:12 withdraw [1] - 32:13 Witness [1] - 50:15 WITNESS [15] - 3:2, 20:15, 20:18, 75:4, 99:8, 99:16, 100:3, 100:10, 100:23, 101:15, 102:19, 103:12, 103:22, 104:14, 105:11 witness [22] - 17:17, 18:16. 21:4. 42:4. 45:24, 54:22, 56:11,

56:22, 57:23, 63:19,

68:13, 69:11, 79:21, 83:23, 83:25, 84:1, 92:5, 92:12, 93:10, 112:5, 112:14, 112:18 **WITNESSES** [3] - 3:7, 4:2. 5:2 witnesses [2] - 17:16, 112:9 **WITTLER** [1] - 114:5 Wittler [3] - 1:24, 114:17, 114:18 word [1] - 58:2 words [2] - 77:25, 101:13 world [3] - 35:11, 35:12, 54:20 World [1] - 88:2 worried [1] - 107:10 worry [1] - 59:10 written [3] - 82:21, 85:3, 89:15 wrote [2] - 89:23, 97:14

X

xxHz [1] - 10:3

Υ

years [5] - 19:13, 19:18, 26:6, 60:12, 65:2 yesterday[1] - 28:23 yield [1] - 75:7 yourself [3] - 23:16, 32:7, 81:24