received

MAY 1 2 2011

	SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC
1	UTILITIES COMMISSION THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SQUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT
5	FILED BY JACK AND CINDY BRUNSON, EDGEMONT, SOUTH DAKOTA, AGAINST CT07-006 GOLDEN WEST TELECOMMUNICATIONS
6	COOPERATIVE REGARDING TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES
7	
8	Transcript of Proceedings ORIGINAL
9	May 3, 2011 URIGINAL
10	
. 11	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STEVE KOLBECK, CHAIRMAN
12	GARY HANSON, VICE CHAIRMAN CHRIS NELSON, COMMISSIONER
13	COMMISSION STAFF
14	John Smith Karen Cremer
15	Greg Rislov Deb Gregg
16	Bobbi Bourk Demaris Axthelm
17	APPEARANCES
18	MARGO NORTHRUP, Golden West
19	
20	
21	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
22	
23	
24	
25	

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Room 413, Pierre, South Dakota, on the 3rd day of May 2011, commencing at 1:33 p.m.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: It is May 3 at approximately

1:30 in the afternoon. My name is Steve Kolbeck. We're

here in the State Capitol Building, Room 413 of the State

Capitol Building. I'm joined here today with

Commissioners Chris Nelson and Gary Hanson.

1.5

1.8

The reason for this hearing is to discuss the matter of the Complaint filed by Jack and Cindy Brunson of Edgemont, South Dakota against Golden West Telecommunications Cooperative regarding telecommunications service.

The question before us is a dismissal. What we'll do is we'll go to the movant, which would be Golden West and, first of all, we'll start out with Ms. Northrup. She can give us her side, and then we'll move to Jack and Cindy Brunson. They can give us their side.

Let me just check one more time in case someone was late. Is there anybody joining us on the phone line today?

I do not hear anybody responding. If you have your phone on mute or anybody is there, we cannot hear you. Just in case. But I think we'll proceed forward, Ms. Northrup.

MS. NORTHRUP: Thank you. Good afternoon.

Margo Northrup on behalf of Golden West. I'm an attorney

here in Pierre.

And the reason that we're here today is we filed a Motion for Summary Judgment or in the alternative a Motion to Dismiss. And just kind of as background, this Complaint has been pending before the Commission since 2007. But that's not beginning of this Complaint. This issue has started for Golden West back in 2006. And it started when Golden West determined that they were going to upgrade in this particular area to fiber.

We had contacts early on with Jack and Cindy Brunson --

COMMISSIONER KOLBECK: That's okay. I think someone's going to bring doughnuts to work tomorrow. That is the policy at the PUC. If your phone does ring in a meeting, you owe doughnuts. So I think Mr. Rislov will make us all pretty happy tomorrow morning.

MS. NORTHRUP: Thank you. Yes.

As I was saying, this started in 2006 for Golden West when they were working with their engineering company to facilitate this upgrade, and contact was made with the Brunsons to try to secure an easement not only to their property but to some property that's adjacent to theirs which is called the Igloo Subdivision.

Initially the easements that Golden West were trying to obtain were not just to the Brunson property

but beyond the Brunson property. We continued those negotiations. We weren't able to get a resolution, and so actually we've had to change some of our routes and things that we were going to do as a result of this.

In December of 2007 is when this Complaint was filed. And generally what the Complaint is is that Golden West has refused to provide service to their hunting lodge, which is an old school house that's located on their property.

After the Complaint was filed throughout 2008 and actually the first part of 2009 Golden West was engaged in settlement negotiations with Pat Ginsbach, who is an attorney that represented the Brunsons, to the point where we actually thought we had a resolution in this matter.

In March of 2009 you'll show in the record that Mr. Ginsbach had withdrawn from the case and so that left us to the point where we weren't in resolution and we were trying to negotiate with the help of Staff and also with the Brunsons directly.

We weren't able to come up with a resolution.

And that's when we decided in 2009 in April to go ahead and file our Motion for Summary Judgment and our Motion to Dismiss. And it's based on a couple of different legal theories.

But I think, you know, primarily one of the reasons that we think we're entitled to a Motion to Dismiss is failure to prosecute. This is one of those cases that's been pending with very little activity. Golden West has tried to engage and initiate settlement negotiations with very limited response.

I think you can tell from the record that the Brunsons have put forward a lot of excuses such as them calving, haying, the time of the year that we weren't able to get this resolved or get this scheduled. And so we weren't able to put this forward.

And really, I mean, since the year before we filed this Motion for Summary Judgment there was very, very limited things that happened. And since we filed it in the last year that's still been the case. We have sent a couple of letters. I think the Commission has received a couple of letters. And we've filed some informal discovery with Staff to try to help us resolve this matter. And we actually did file that with the Supplemental Affidavit that was filed last week.

And I did note that that was confidential. And I just wanted to say that was because it has some personal information about the Brunsons that I didn't feel should be shared. So if we have to get into some of those facts, that's why we marked it as confidential.

So I think primarily it can be dismissed because of the lack of prosecution. And I think that even stronger evidence is that Jack and Cindy Brunson are not present at this hearing today. They have had an opportunity over a year to respond to Motion for Summary Judgment, and that hasn't occurred.

Я

What the summary judgment standard is in South Dakota is you are able to look at all of the Pleadings, the Interrogatories, the Affidavits that are on file and determine if there's any material issues of fact that need to go forward for a hearing.

Well, what the summary judgment standard also says and what the case law supports is that as the nonmoving party, Jack and Cindy Brunson, you have an affirmative duty to point out individual facts that are in dispute. And that can't be just reliance on the Pleadings. So it can't be just the letters and the initial Complaint. They need to come forward and affirmatively file facts in opposition to the facts that were filed by Golden West. And that hasn't been done.

It hasn't been done in writing, which is what it's required to be. Usually it's done by affidavits.

And also they had the opportunity to be here today, and they weren't here. And so that's another reason that you can grant summary judgment.

In that case if they don't point out facts that are in dispute, then our facts are submitted and can be taken as true to support our legal theories.

So, I mean, I think just based on those two reasons you can grant the Motion to Dismiss and/or the Motion for Summary Judgment.

But I am going to talk a little bit about some of the legal theories that we've put forward. The way that I look at this issue is whether or not the Commission has authority to order Golden West to serve the Brunsons without an easement. And really, you know, if you look through the Pleadings and what the facts show that have been undisputed by the Brunsons is that it's the policy of Golden West to require an easement.

They required an easement for all of the other people in this area. The easement that they provided to the Brunsons, although initially was looking at Igloo, as soon as we knew that was going to be a problem we tailored it back. It was just to the hunting lodge. That easement is the easement that's been presented to everyone and is a reasonable easement.

And some of the reasons that we don't think that the Commission has authority is that it would be ordering Golden West to commit trespass. It would be ordering Golden West for inverse condemnation because we don't

have authority to be on the property.

1.4

1.8

And, you know, one of the rebuttals may be, well, you can certainly have some sort of agreement that you can be on the property, but those agreements don't run with the land. And so what that means is that if we had to go back and do a service later, we wouldn't be able to do that because we don't have any authority. We don't have any easements. So we are subjecting ourselves to liability. And I cited some cases in South Dakota that definitely support that theory.

I kind of just wanted to talk a little bit about some of the questions that Mr. Smith had identified as possible things that might come into this hearing. And I'll just kind of go down the list just briefly, and if anyone does have any questions, we can talk about those as well.

But, you know, one of the things that

Mr. Smith -- or actually it was the Commission identified
in the Notice of Hearing is whether a LEC is obligated to
extend services to people requesting service in the
service area.

And I just want to remind everyone that this is a business line. This is a hunting lodge. You can tell from the record that they do have other service to their home in this matter. And so it's not like this is the

only service that goes to their property.

One of the other issues that kind of comes up in this situation is whether or not, you know, a precondition can be imposed on a customer to receive service from Golden West. And really the way that I -- I mean, that I look at this is when you are requesting service from a company or entering a contract with them, I mean, certainly payment of your bill is a precondition to service. And requesting an easement as a precondition for service is certainly reasonable and is something that has been done in many of the other customers.

One of the other issues that's come up in this case is -- and you can tell from the record is an issue between copper and fiber. And I think you can tell from the record that this has been upgraded to fiber. The Brunsons have requested that the copper be used that they installed themselves to utilize or to complete their phone service.

Well, that's not workable for Golden West either because as Mr. Law, who is the general manager of Golden West, indicated in his Supplemental Affidavit, there are lots of liability reasons and issues that that isn't workable. It's not a policy or procedure that they have that allows a customer to use its own facilities.

Also you still have the trespass issue because

you certainly know that any time that anyone has a problem with their phone service the first thing that they're going to do is call Golden West and ask them to come fix it. And without that easement in place they're committing trespass by being on the property if they have to, you know, dig the line or do some other things to get it fixed.

And so this easement, it is an important part of the service. It's the policy and procedure of Golden West to have it in place, and it certainly is reasonable.

We have negotiated with the Brunsons on many -well, actually since 2006 on different easements,
different legal descriptions. According to the Affidavit
of Mr. Law, the final or the most recent easement that we
sent was in, I think, November of 2010. And that
easement, as you can show from the picture, is just
directly down the section line that it needs to be on and
straight to the hunting lodge. It's not requesting any
further easement beyond that point.

The other issue that kind of has been brought up to this is the pedestal and whether or not Golden West has allowed other customers to just hook up their own line to the pedestal. And I think from the record you can tell that that is not the case. That is not

something that's happened in the past.

And so all of these, you know, road blocks that have been identified from the Brunsons in some of the letters that they have, although I think they still support our legal argument, we -- since they haven't been brought to you in a format that meets the summary judgment standard, they really don't have any bearing or any merit in this proceeding because the Brunsons didn't take the affirmative step to fight the summary judgment or to present evidence or facts that would dispute the facts that Golden West has put in place.

And so what we're asking is that you dismiss this Complaint. It's been pending for a very long time. I think, you know, just based on the lack of involvement and the Brunsons not being here today, you can do that under the summary judgment regime. But I also think that you can do it on the legal arguments that we've put forward in our Summary Judgment Brief. And I would certainly stand by for any questions.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Thank you, Ms. Northrup.

How about we check the phone line for Jack and Cindy Brunson.

No one there. And we're positive that our phone lines are open, and we checked and double-checked that?

MS. AXTHELM: Yes.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Okay. Would Staff like to comment?

1.6

2.0

MS. CREMER: Thank you. This is Karen Cremer of Staff. Staff would recommend granting Golden West's Motion for the following reasons. And they're very similar to what Ms. Northrup has already stated so I won't go through them in great detail.

But basically, you know, case law, the statutory law is that summary judgment is designed to eliminate the delay and expense of litigating an issue when there is no real issue to be tried.

The facts of the Complaint when viewed most favorably for the Brunsons do not justify a formal hearing on the issues raised by the Brunsons. There is no claim made by the Brunsons for which relief can be granted.

Further, summary judgment is authorized if the Pleadings, Answers to discovery, and Affidavits show there is no genuine issue as to any material fact. Here Golden West has shown through its Pleadings that the Brunsons could not prevail under any circumstances. Therefore, Golden West as the moving party is entitled to summary judgment as a matter of law.

Staff recommends that the Commission grant Golden West's Motion as no genuine issues of fact exist,

and the material facts demonstrate that Golden West is 1 2 entitled to judgment as a matter of law. 3 Thank you. CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: All right. Thank you. 4 And 5 one more time we'll just check for Jack and Cindy Brunson. 6 7 Not on the phone. 8 Okay. We'll move to Commissioner questions. You want to start, Commissioner Nelson? 10 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I have some questions related to Mr. Law's Affidavit and Exhibit A. I don't 11 know if he wants to respond or, Ms. Northrup, if you want 12 to respond. 13 MS. NORTHRUP: I guess why don't you let me try 14 to attempt to do that, and if we need to have Mr. Law, he 15 16 is in the room and available to comment if we need him 17 to. COMMISSIONER NELSON: Perfect. And particularly 1.8 on Exhibit A I think the final page is a map and there's 19 no title on the map but it looks like this one 20 (indicating). 21 Okay. The pedestal in question, where is it 22 23 located? And Commissioner Hanson just reminded me that 2.4

this exhibit was marked confidential so I don't know if

25

1 | there's anything on this particular map that would be.

MS. NORTHRUP: I don't believe that this map is confidential. But the pedestal is not marked actually on the map, but it would run from the hunting lodge, which if you see is circled straight back to where that road is. The --

COMMISSIONER NELSON: The pedestal is right next to, adjacent to the road?

MS. NORTHRUP: Correct.

g

1.2

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Second question. We've been talking about running fiber out here. How far does the fiber run?

MS. NORTHRUP: Right now the fiber would not be put into the Brunsons' property because we don't have an easement. Because of not having the easement, we do have to run copper I think it's between 6 and 8 miles back to -- I guess I'm not sure what the proper term is, but it's 6 to 8 miles extra that we have to keep in place because we're not able to get an easement to upgrade to the fiber on their existing property. Because you have to remember this would be an additional line, but they do have existing lines on the property.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Where on this map does their property begin? Is it literally all of Section 1?

```
1
             MS. NORTHRUP: Yeah.
                                   I think it's a majority.
2
    We don't have the actual end of where their property line
    is, but it is a large majority of Section 1.
             COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. So at this point
5
    covering all of their property, its existing copper, do
    you have an easement for that copper now?
6
7
             MS. NORTHRUP: Yes. There would be easements,
    and there is some public right of way that's in there.
8
    So it's where we don't have the easement is just the
    portion that goes over the Brunsons' property.
10
11
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. Maybe I'm not
    understanding. Do you have an easement currently to run
12
    the copper to the pedestal in question?
13
             MS. NORTHRUP:
                             Yes.
14
15
              MR. LAW: It is. There already is --
             MS. NORTHRUP: Go ahead.
                                        That's fine.
16
    have Mr. Law -- it may be easier.
17
              MR. LAW: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
18
    Denny Law. I'm the general manager and CEO of Golden
19
    West Telecommunications.
20
              In regards to the facility that's currently in
21
    place -- I'll maybe try and take a few of your questions,
22
23
    Commissioner.
              In regards to the facility that is currently in
24
    place and just to make sure -- now I'm going to hold my
25
```

map up and make sure we're all looking at the same map.
This is the same one that you're looking at?

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Yes.

MR. LAW: All right. On the map if you would look at what I would term to be the north side, so to speak, of that road, you'll see -- I think your copy is probably black and white, but there is an arrow with several rectangles in it. You'll see starting closest to the railroad a highlight box that says LP-10 and then I'm working my way actually to the right. So D3A. That specific facility is copper facility that is in place today.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: And you have an easement for that?

MR. LAW: We have an easement for that. It dates -- I don't have an exact date, Commissioner. I would say in excess of 30 years.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: And so with that easement you could, in fact, run fiber up to the pedestal in question?

MR. LAW: No. Not from a construction standpoint. The easement was not filed for those purposes. The easement -- we have an easement that was not filed.

And also it would require us to construct right

on top of our existing facility, which is not adequate for our construction standards. So we would try not to plow right on top of something that still needs to work for some other people.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. The Igloo situation, I understand that you've removed that from the table in this particular proceeding, but have you figured out some other way to get fiber to Igloo?

MR. LAW: We have not. We have examined alternative routes, which number multiple miles of additional cost to go around to. We have looked at other technology solutions, including wireless or microwave. We have not made a determination yet.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: So for a company, that's still an outstanding issue for you?

MR. LAW: A very large one, yes.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. So currently am I understanding that the Brunsons have run their own copper line from the pedestal in question to the hunting lodge?

MR. LAW: My understanding is there is copper that was installed by the Brunsons to the vicinity of the pedestal. I hope it was not installed into the pedestal.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: It's not hooked up.

1.0

MR. LAW: If it is, it was not hooked up by Golden West.

1.5

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Are they paying for service there?

MR. LAW: There is no service active on it. And I'm neither alleging or anticipating that they actually opened the pedestal and put it in. I suspect what happened, but again I'd emphasize suspect, that copper was perhaps placed in the ground in the vicinity of that pedestal and is awaiting connection.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I think that's all I've got at the moment.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Any other questions? I've got a couple.

I'm a little -- when you go to a new facility you want to do fiber.

MR. LAW: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Because eventually the Legacy copper will be gone. Is there a time frame on that?

MR. LAW: If I could get through down further down the road -- this is the only copper I have remaining in this exchange outside of the city limits. And so if I could get the fiber connected, this whole chunk of copper would be gone.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Then that -- you've got an --

probably like an AFC cabinet out there?

1.8

MR. LAW: Actually, no. This is physical from the CO. It's about 6 and a half miles to the central office. If you look in the upper right corner of that map, you will see a circle that says Jack Brunson. That is the physical location of their home.

central office is approximately 6 and a half miles. By slagging it to the end of the Igloo Subdivision is about 9 and a half miles. All of that copper -- the only thing that is supported on the first 6 and a half miles of that copper is the Brunsons, and the only thing that's supported beyond that is the Igloo Subdivision. And that's the last remaining copper I have in the rural area of that exchange.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: So would you have an estimate on what it costs Golden West monthly to maintain two networks?

MR. LAW: I don't have an estimate,

Commissioner. I would say in terms of maintaining

30 plus year old copper for that number of miles -- and you can see load point 10 on there. So I've got 10 load points in there. That does mean it is higher maintenance. And the reason we did fiber to the home in this area to begin with is the copper was old. The pairs

- 1 | are failing, as it is want to do.
- CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: I would imagine with the load
- 3 | points you have trouble with the hum on the line.
- 4 | Maintenance would be increased.
- 5 MR. LAW: Maintenance increases combined with 6 the opportunity for anything beyond rudimentary dial up
- 7 | is zero.
- 8 CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Mrs. Brunson actually
- 9 | mentions the easement is -- the distance of the easement,
- 10 | is that any different than any other easement you've
- 11 proposed to other customers with Golden West? Is that
- 12 | your standard width?
- MR. LAW: I apologize, Commissioner. I can't
- 14 remember what the exact width is that's contained within
- 15 | the easements.
- 16 CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Maybe I could ask it this
- 17 | way. Does the width of the easement vary with
- 18 | customers?
- 19-- -- -- -- MR. LAW: The width of easement --
- 20 CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: In other words, if you buried
- 21 | up to my house, would you need 8 feet and buried up to
- 22 | Commissioner Hanson's house, you need 20 feet?
- MR. LAW: Thank you. No. Typically it is not
- 24 unless there's some extenuating circumstances. This one
- 25 | is a little different because we've got a railroad bore

here. As you'll see, Burlington Northern tracks, which is a whole different pain for us. So there might be some differences in variations because we might need a little bit more width and variance to do that railroad bore, assuming we also would have all the proper permits from the railroad.

1.8

But, beyond that, no. I would say the five gentleman in front of me, if you all were getting service from Golden West, the standard width of the easement required would all be substantially or identical unless there was an extenuating circumstance.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: How deep are you burying your fiber?

MR. LAW: Typically 36 inches. We'll go up to 40, 42 if we can. It depends on the terrain. I can't speak to what this is here.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Now in a copper plant you can probably have a man on his belly and fix a copper -- if you had to splice it. With fiber is it true that you have to bring that out and put it into a -- so that's going to -- you have to bring it out, put it in the cabinets and put it in a fiber splicing --

MR. LAW: A lot more footage. Yeah. You end up replacing several hundred -- I shouldn't say several hundred. You can conceivably replace several hundred

feet to repair one fiber, as opposed to a isolated area,
yes.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: That in itself would actually

MR. LAW: Potentially.

take more room?

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Is it correct if you were to bury copper, that's actually powered from the central office?

MR. LAW: Correct.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Whereas, if you were to put that on a pedestal, you would still need some sort of AC power, and that's -- I mean, if you were to put a -- the NID, fiberoptic NID on a pedestal, it would virtually be impossible because there's no power out there; correct?

MR. LAW: Yeah. I don't know how that would work. You're right. There's nothing to energize the NID from the pedestal. Couldn't serve as the demarc. point.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: But in the old days --

MR. LAW: In the good old days --

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: -- you could probably do that.

MR. LAW: You could probably make something work. You could probably duct tape and baling wire, something along that lines, for copper, make that work for the purposes of providing dial phone services. Would

I want to stake my service levels on it? No. But could I make it work? Probably.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Okay. So I think we've -- in my mind I think -- it's expensive to keep that copper running?

MR. LAW: Very much so.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: And fiber is more maintenance. However, in the long run it's cheaper for the company and cheaper for the customer in the long run.

MR. LAW: Isolate that over 30 years -- you know, I hope we get 30 plus years out of the copper that's in the ground. I'm hoping to get 30 plus years out of the fiber that's in there too, and if you amortize that over the period, it's very reasonable.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Sure. And do you -- I know there's different forms of burying. You could use a mini-sneaker or a backhoe. In a situation like this when you've got a 6 and a half mile run you're going to need bigger equipment; correct?

MR. LAW: We would need bigger equipment. Now we have the fiber nearby. We're about a mile away. If you look on again referring to the map, Commissioner, now I'm on what I will term to be the south side of the road where there is the hyphenated line. You'll see about -- in Section 1 you'll see a designation of R2D-7.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Okay.

1.0

1.9

2.0

MR. LAW: Follow along with me. And I'll wait. That is the fiber that comes from our central office and down. And so if we were to serve this area with fiber where we have requested the easement from is beginning past -- on the left side of Highway 471 but then in Section 2 all the way along that road underneath the railroad tracks, that would be the area we would have to construct to get fiber optic service to the hunting lodge.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: And could you help me? She mentions a couple -- numerous times in her letter about school house. Could you --

MR. LAW: My understanding -- I have not seen it personally, but my understanding, Commissioner, is the hunting lodge was formerly a school house, and they have converted it or done some modifications to it to turn it into a hunting lodge. That's my understanding, Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: That makes more sense. Okay. Thank you.

Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Yeah. The two techies were talking here for a moment, and you used the term "load point." What does that mean?

MR. LAW: Probably jokingly, Commissioner, I'd defer to Commissioner Kolbeck on that since I have a journalism degree as my original background and the Commissioner has a technical degree.

But when you're pushing copper large distances to account for or provide for adequate signal quality at a customer's home it's sometimes necessary to put load points or load coils in with the copper to regenerate the signal isn't the right technical phrase for it but basically to smooth out the amplitude of it and provide for a quality connection.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: And would Commissioner Kolbeck agree with that description?

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Yes. As copper is bonded through ground source it creates noise. And then when you run that up into a pedestal you put what's called a load coil or a load point, and then it takes that noise out of the cable. So if you have ever spoken on a phone that hums, that's probably what it was about.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: The other question, so if we grant your Motion today, you're back at square one and you still don't have your fiber run and you're still running two systems and you're back to square one.

MR. LAW: Correct.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. No more questions

at this point.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Mr. Rislov.

MR. RISLOV: I'm curious with this whole thing about Commissioner Kolbeck mentioned cost. And it's one thing that I didn't find in the record for whatever reason. But normally these complaints center around what a customer has to pay and what they don't want to pay but yet there's no mention -- it seems to be a bit of a different issue in this case.

But I guess even though it wasn't mentioned, I'm a bit curious if there is any issue related to, say, customer aides to contribution or other rates that may be affecting this situation?

MR. LAW: If I understand your question accurately, the record does not reflect any cost from Golden West to the Brunsons because we were not anticipating charging them any aide to construction or other amounts specifically to continue on down the road.

MR. RISLOV: So let me get this straight. All you were looking at assessing them was your monthly rate for service?

MR. LAW: Your monthly rate, and we wanted an easement -- because of our investment that we had to put in the ground, we wanted an easement to reflect that, and that was all.

MR. RISLOV: We can talk about the value of the easement. I won't. But essentially you're just asking for the right to extend the facility to wherever they chose to have that facility extended so they can get the service they wish to have?

MR. LAW: Correct.

MR. RISLOV: And I think the width of that easement, if I recall correctly, was 16 and a half feet. But it's an old man's memory.

Okay. So I guess I found out what I wanted to know.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Any other questions?

Commissioner Hanson.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I think I have more of comment than questions, but I'll save those to a little bit later.

Mr. Law, is it likely that as a result of not being able to come to a resolution here with the Brunsons that there could be a result of deterioration in service or a substandard service of some form for the Igloo individuals?

MR. LAW: Certainly the Igloo individuals have been -- to my knowledge, Commissioner, there are three households in the Igloo Subdivision. All three of them -- two items regarding them.

First is they are served by the same 30 plus year old copper that comes past the Brunsons' house and the hunting lodge and goes up north into Edgemont. The second item is we have had multiple requests from the consumers in that division to provide advanced services, specifically broadband. With the current facility I'm absolutely unable to provide any broadband services.

1.1

2.2

At the same time, that 30-year old facility continues to degradate or fail every day. I don't exactly remember. It's a 50 pair of copper. I know multiple pairs have failed in it so far somewhere in the 6 and a half to 9 and a half miles. I am confident over time it will continue to fail until we get down to three, four, or five pairs. It's just a ticking clock at the moment.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: When you say it fails daily are you exaggerating, or does it actually fail on a daily basis?

MR. LAW: Thank you. Daily may be an exaggeration. I do not believe without having checked, Commissioner, that we do any type of daily troubles. At the same time, multiple pairs of copper will fail every year over the course of a year. It could be as few as four or five pairs. It could be 10 or 20 pairs.

Eventually -- and whether it's next year or the

following year, eventually we'll just get down to where we only have three or four or five working pairs and then one will fail and I will have a much larger issue to deal with.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: If fiber -- occasionally we need to ask questions that we know the answers to. If fiber were provided to the citizens in Igloo, would they be able to enjoy better quality service and, in fact, more opportunities with their communication systems?

MR. LAW: They would both, I think, have a higher standard of quality in terms of clarity, consistency, and the quality experience that we strive to serve. And in terms of advanced technological services it's endless certainly in terms of broadband and otherwise that they'd be able to enjoy and, quite frankly, in a very remote area.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Are they -- are you able to provide that fiber service without the easement from the Brunsons?

MR. LAW: We would be able to provide it, but the solutions that we have identified up to this point involve alternate routes that add 10 plus miles of fiber to get to these same three customers.

So on a rough basis for those three customers if it's 15,000 a mile, it's another 150,000 in cost to get

to these same three customers. And I won't argue about the economics of it to begin with in terms of providing fiber to those same customers, but it was something we were committed to do. When you add an additional \$150,000 in cost on to what is already arguably probably not economical, it makes it a slam dunk that it just — that just can't happen that way. We continue to look at other alternatives, however.

Q

1.9

COMMISSIONER HANSON: And is the terrain amenable -- perhaps you can give us an idea of what the terrain is like out there for providing an alternate route?

MR. LAW: You know, my understanding of the terrain is is it's fairly -- it's prairie land. I would say we've -- we've dealt with easier -- of course, we serve a lot of territory, I guess. We've dealt with easier terrain. We've certainly dealt with harder terrain, I would say.

It's certainly a little above average in terms of degree of difficulty. But it's not the worst terrain we've ever been in. I apologize. I think of some areas in Custer and Hot Springs and the Hills that are a lot harder to bury to.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: But it's certainly not going through a wheat field?

1 MR. LAW: No, sir.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Denny. Mr. Law.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Any other questions?

I just have one more. When you said three customers? I was under the understanding that anything past the hunting lodge into Igloo cannot be fed with fiber. Is that --

MR. LAW: Well, it can't be fed with fiber because we cannot -- the Brunsons own all of that land including the roads, and we cannot get an easement down to Igloo. Our initial -- and I will say in '06 and '07 but certainly after this Docket began in our initial correspondence with the Brunsons we requested an easement all the way past the hunting lodge all the way down to Igloo so we could serve those customers and Igloo, and the Brunsons denied that.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: There's more than three people in Igloo?

MR. LAW: My understanding is there's three services in that circular or oval area.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Okay.

MR. LAW: I cannot verify that individually,

Commissioner. I have not been there to view those three,

but that's my understanding is we have three active

services and households there that have requested broadband from us and those are the only three.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: They have requested broadband from us? From you, I should say?

MR. LAW: Yes, sir.

1.2

1.6

1-9-

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Okay. Thank you. Any other questions?

Any other comments from anyone wishing to comment on this Docket?

Ms. Northrup.

MS. NORTHRUP: I guess I feel compelled for the purposes of my record just to remind the Commission that although I think Mr. Law's information that he gave was very helpful, it wasn't testimony, and it's not something you can rely on when making your decision.

And although I felt very comfortable with, you know, everything that he's said, I just want to make sure that we are able to separate that. Because a summary judgment really has to be based on the record and what you have before you.

And so I feel strong enough and comfortable enough with our record that we've got the legal arguments and the facts in the record that would allow you to be able to do a summary judgment in our favor.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Thank you. Anyone else

1 | wishing to comment?

2 I'll check one more time on the phone line.

3 Mr. and Mrs. Brunson, have you joined us on the phone

4 line?

1-9-

No one else wishing to comment. We'll turn to Commissioner -- anymore Commissioner questions and/or action?

We got Mr. Smith.

MR. SMITH: Just curious, Margo -- or

Ms. Northrup, on the issue of the various motions that

are pending, right, or at least two. And then also in

there, I mean, to me you've characterized it as sort of a

subset of failure to prosecute. But we did put a default

notice in our Notice of Hearing too.

And, you know, in wrestling with -- I always feel safer myself with summary judgment just because it's less pop, you know. But would it -- ideally would you like a Motion from the Commissioners if they're going to go either way that would-maybe base it on multiple bases such as both summary judgment and, alternatively, Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute?

MS. NORTHRUP: Yes. I think that is appropriate. And I don't think I mentioned it in my oral argument, but I also mentioned it in my Summary Judgment Motion that a 12(b)(6) dismissal, which is just a Motion

to Dismiss for failure to state a claim in which relief can be granted, which has a different standard than summary judgment.

1.9 -

But yes. I think, you know, just the fact that we have a Summary Judgment Motion, we have undisputed facts that we've put in the record supported by Affidavits and Pleadings and the fact that they haven't disputed any of those facts, I think that you can grant summary judgment on that, number one.

I think you can also do it on number two, failure to prosecute. Because there has been very limited action within not just the last year but the last two or three years. And also that they're not here today. I think that that just supports the failure to prosecute. And, again, even the 12(b)(6) I think you could dismiss on all three of those grounds.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: All right. Any other questions by anyone?

----Hearing -none, any motions?

Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I move to grant Golden West's Motion for Summary Judgment and to find for Golden West on the issue of whether it breached its obligation to extend service to the Complainants. The obligation to extend service is accompanied by an

associated obligation on the part of the customer to provide access for such purpose and to pay the reasonable and nondiscriminatory costs of line extension. Because of the circumstances of Golden West's deployment of fiber to the home, I also do not believe that Golden West breached its obligation to the Brunsons by requiring the point of demarcation to be at the building and not at the pedestal at a significant distance from the point of use.

-1.9...

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: All right. We have a Motion. Any discussion?

I'd just mention that this is a tough case. We have someone out there who thinks one way, obviously, and we have a company who thinks another.

However, just like flying on a plane. If you want to get on a plane, you have to go through security. If you want to drive on the roads, you've got to pay taxes. There's a little bit of give and take on everything.

good plan. The failure, I think, on the part of the Complainant to come to terms with that and to understand that with this there needs to be some room, there needs to be an easement, it is actually affecting other people in that area.

Hopefully, as Commissioner Nelson had fleshed

out, that this actually puts the company back to zero.

And I hope the resolution can be resolved, though. I

certainly understand both parties and hope that they can

Commissioner Nelson.

come to an agreement.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: If I could just make a comment. I am a rural landowner. I deeply appreciate private property rights. I understand the importance of that. And to an extent I understand where the Brunsons are coming from.

But by the same token, if you want service, you've got to be able to let the company do it the way it needs to be done and done right. And that's one of the reasons that I'm coming to this conclusion.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Yes.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Just a comment or two. I think Ms. Northrup makes a very good point when she steered us back-to the facts and to the record that have to sustain whatever position that we take here today.

We've, for the record -- certainly from my perspective, I took the opportunity to pursue some questions with Mr. Law, which I felt were certainly on the backs of most people's minds as to what's going on here, why is this not coming to some sort of a

resolution. We can certainly glean that from the record as well.

-1-9-

But this is extremely frustrating to go through a process like this. It started with a Docket in '07 with us, but it started in discussions long before that between Golden West and the Brunsons on attempting some form of resolution. I'd like to commend Golden West for the efforts that they have made in trying to resolve this.

I guess I'm a bit beside myself. I'm trying to figure out why on earth the Brunsons would file this Docket and then just not -- well, being intransigent towards a resolution and not participate in the effort to come to a resolution that you can see just from the personnel that are involved in this hearing alone, the amount of time and the expense that it takes to go through this process.

That's not fair to the other customers certainly. It's not fair to the other customers with the service that they are being denied because of this process as well.

I recognize that's not a fact before us for determination at this time, but it's extremely frustrating to see a situation -- a prick that bleeds and a person who's making it bleed is not attempting in any

way to resolve the matter whatsoever and as a result 1 everyone else has to go through the processes. It's just 2 very disconcerting for me. 3 I should probably hold my tongue so that I'm not -- that I don't have to stand down on any future 5 Docket. But I just -- going through processes like this 6 I recognize it's our job and our responsibility, but 7 people have to understand there are a host of analysts. There are attorneys. There are filings. There's just a 9 tremendous amount of time and expense that different 10 agencies go through as a result of this. 1.1 And it simply is not fair for the Brunsons to 12 have created this matter without participating in it. 13 I'm just very disillusioned with them over that. 14 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 15 CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Absolutely. Good points. 16 Thank you very much. 17 Any other discussion? 18 -----Hearing none, we'll continue to vote. 1-9-Commissioner Nelson. 20 21 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Aye. CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: Commissioner Hanson. 22 23 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN KOLBECK: And Commissioner Kolbeck

24

25

votes aye also.

```
I believe that concludes our hearing here today.
1
     Thank you all for your time and attention to this matter.
2
     We are adjourned.
3
             (The proceeding is adjourned at 2:21 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
1.5
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 3rd day of May,
11	2011, and that the attached is a true and correct
12 .	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 12th day of
14	May, 2011.
15	
16	
17	Ch. M.
18	Cheri McComsey Witter, Notary Public and
1-9	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	Celtified Kealtime Keporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

\$	5	36:23	arguments [2] - 12:17,	27:8, 27:11, 28:16,
		Affidavit [4] - 6:20,	33:22	36:17, 38:10
\$ 150,000 [1] ~ 31:5	50 [1] - 29:10	10:21, 11:14, 14:11	arrow [1] - 17:7	black [1] - 17:7
\$100,000[1] ~ 51.5	500 [1] - 2:3	affidavits [4] - 7:9,	assessing [1] - 27:20	bleed [1] - 38:25
ı	_ 300 [i] - 2.3 	7:22, 13:18, 35:7	associated [1] - 36:1	bleeds (1) - 38:24
		affirmatively [1] - 7:19	assuming [1] - 22:5	blocks [1] - 12:2
	6	afternoon [3] - 3:2,	attached [1] - 41:11	Bobbi [1] - 1:16
06 [1] - 32:12		3:24, 16:18	attempt [1] - 14:15	bonded [1] - 26:14
07 [2] - 32:12, 38:4	6 (7) - 15:17, 15:19,	AGAINST [1] - 1:5	attempting [2] - 38:6,	bore [2] - 21:25, 22:4
	20:3, 20:8, 20:11,	agencies [1] - 39:11	38:25	Bourk [1] - 1:16
1	24:18, 29:12	agree [1] - 26:13	attention [1] - 40:2	box [1] - 17:9
ı	21.10, 20.12	agreement [2] - 9:3,	attorney [2] - 3:25,	breached [2] - 35:23,
	8	37:4	5:13	36:6
l [3] - 15:25, 16:3,	<u> </u>	agreements (1) - 9:4	attorneys [1] - 39:9	brief [1] - 12:18
24:25		ahead [2] - 5:22, 16:16	authority [4] - 8:10,	briefly [1] - 9:14
0 [4] - 20:22, 29:24,	8[3] - 15:17, 15:19,	aide [1] - 27:17	8:23, 9:1, 9:7	bring [3] - 4:13, 22:20,
30:22	21:21	aides [1] - 27:12	authorized [1] - 13:17	22:21
2(b)(6 [2] - 34:25,		alleging [1] - 19:6	available [1] - 14:16	broadband [5] - 29:6,
35:15	9	allow [1] - 33:23	Avenue [1] - 2:3	29:7, 30:14, 33:2,
2th [1] - 41:13	<u> </u>	allowed [1] - 11:23	1	33:3
		1	average [1] - 31:19	
15,000 [1] - 30;25	9 [2] - 20:10, 29:12	allows [1] - 10:24	awaiting [1] - 19:10	brought [2] - 11:21,
50,000 [1] - 30:25		alone [1] - 38:15	AXTHELM [1] - 12:25	12:6
6 [1] - 28:8	Α	alternate [2] - 30:22,	Axthelm [1] - 1:16	BRUNSON [1] - 1:4
:30 [1] - 3:2		31:11	aye [3] - 39:21, 39:23,	Brunson [12] - 3:7,
:33 [1] - 2:5		alternative [2] - 4:3,	39:25	3:15, 4:11, 4:25, 5:1,
	able [15] - 5:2, 5:21,	18:10		7:3, 7:14, 12:22,
2	6:10, 6:11, 7:8, 9:7,	alternatively [1] -	В	14:6, 20:5, 21:8,
	15:20, 28:18, 30:8,	34:20		34:3
m 95.7	30:15, 30:17, 30:20,	alternatives [1] - 31:8	be also as a second of the sec	Brunsons [31] - 4:21,
(1) - 25:7	33:18, 33:24, 37:12	amenable [1] - 31:10	background [2] - 4:4,	5:13, 5:20, 6:8, 6:23,
0 [2] - 21:22, 29:24	above-entitled [2] -	amortize [1] - 24:13	26:3	8:11, 8:13, 8:17,
006 [3] - 4:7, 4:18,	2:2, 41:10	amount [2] - 38:16,	backhoe [1] - 24:17	10:16, 11:12, 12:3,
11:13	absolutely [2] - 29:7,	39:10	backs [1] - 37:24	12:8, 12:15, 13:13,
007 (2] - 4:6, 5:5	39:16	amounts [1] - 27:18	baling [1] - 23:23	13:14, 13:15, 13:21,
008 [1] - 5:10	AC [1] - 23:11	amplitude [1] - 26:10	base [1] - 34:19	18:18, 18:22, 20:12,
.009 [3] - 5:11, 5:16,	access (1) - 36:2	analysts [1] - 39:8	based [4] - 5:24, 8:4,	27:16, 28:18, 30:19,
5:22		• • •	12:14, 33:19	32:10, 32:14, 32:17,
2010 [1] - 11:16	accompanied [1] -	AND [1] - 1:4	bases [1] - 34:19	36:6, 37:9, 38:6,
2011 [4] - 1:9, 2:4,	35:25	answers [2] - 13:18,	basis [2] - 29:18,	38:11, 39:12
41:11, 41:14	according [1] - 11:14	30:6	30:24	Brunsons' [3] - 15:15,
:21 [1] - 40:4	account [1] - 26:6	anticipating [2] - 19:6,	bearing [1] - 12:7	16:10, 29:2
	accurately [1] - 27:15	27:17	BEFORE [1] - 1:11	
3	action [2] - 34:7,	apologize [2] - 21:13,	1	Building [3] - 2:3, 3:3,
<u> </u>	35:12	31:21	began [1] - 32:13	3:4
<u> </u>	active [2] - 19:5, 32:25	APPEARANCES [1] -	begin [3] - 15:25,	building [1] - 36:7
3 _[2] - 1:9, 3:1	activity [1] - 6:4	1:17	20:25, 31:2	buried [2] - 21:20,
10 [6] - 17:17, 20:21,	actual [1] - 16:2	appointed [1] - 41:8	beginning [2] - 4:6,	21:21
24:10, 24:11, 24:12,	add [2] - 30:22, 31:4	appreciate [1] - 37:7	25:5	Burlington [1] - 22:1
29:1	additional [3] - 15:22,	appropriate [1] -	behalf [1] - 3:25	bury [2] - 23:7, 31:23
	18:11, 31:4	34:23	belly [1] - 22:18	burying [2] - 22:12,
0-year [1] - 29:8	adequate [2] - 18:1,	April [1] - 5:22	beside [1] ~ 38:10	24:16
6 [1] - 22:14	26:6	area (11) - 4:9, 8:16,	better [1] - 30:8	business [1] - 9:23
rd [2] - 2:4, 41:10		1	between [3] - 10:14,	BY (1) - 1:4
	adjacent [2] - 4:22,	9:21, 20:14, 20:25,	15:17, 38:6	<u></u>
_	15:8	23:1, 25:4, 25:8,	beyond [5] - 5:1,	С
4	→ adjourned [2] - 40:3,	30:16, 32:21, 36:24	11:20, 20:13, 21:6,	<u> </u>
4	aujourneu [2] • 40.5,		11.20, 20.13 ₁ 21.0 ₁	
	40:4	areas [1] - 31:21	l .	
40 [1] - 22:15	1 .	areas [1] - 31:21 arguably [1] - 31:5	22:7	cabinet (1) ~ 20:1
4 40 [1] - 22:15 413 [2] - 2:3, 3:3	40:4	1	22:7 bigger [2] - 24:19,	cabinet [1] - 20:1
10 [1] - 22:15	40:4 advanced [2] - 29:5, 30:13	arguably [1] - 31:5 argue [1] - 31:1	22:7 bigger _[2] - 24:19, 24:20	cabinets [1] - 22:22
10 [1] - 22:15 113 [2] - 2:3, 3:3	40:4 advanced [2] - 29:5,	arguably [1] - 31:5	22:7 bigger [2] - 24:19,	

·)

cannot [5] - 3:21, 32:7, 32:10, 32:11, 32:23 Capitol [4] - 2:2, 2:3, 3:3, 3:4 case [11] - 3:17, 3:22, 5:17, 6:15, 7:13, 8:1, 10:13, 11:25, 13:8, 27:9, 36:11 cases [2] - 6:4, 9:9 center [1] - 27:6 central [4] - 20:3, 20:8, 23:7, 25:3 CEO[1] - 16:19 certainly [17] - 9:3, 10:8, 10:10, 11:1, 11:10, 12:19, 28:22, 30:14, 31:17, 31:19, 31:24, 32:13, 37:3, 37:21, 37:23, 38:1, 38:19 CERTIFICATE [1] -41:2 Certified [2] - 41:6, 41:19 **CERTIFY** (1) - 41:8 CHAIRMAN [42] -1:11, 1:12, 3:1, 12:20, 13:1, 14:4, 19:13, 19:18, 19:25, 20:16, 21:2, 21:8, 21:16, 21:20, 22:12, 22:17, 23:3, 23:6, 23:10, 23:18, 23:20, 24:3, 24:7, 24:15, 25:1, 25:11, 25:20, 26:14, 27:2, 28:12, 32:4, 32:18, 32:22, 33:3, 33:6, 33:25, 35:17, 36:9, 37:16, 39:16, 39:22, 39:24 chairman [3] - 32:2, 37:15, 39:15 change [1] - 5:3 characterized [1] -34:12charging (1) - 27:17 cheaper [2] - 24:8, 24:9 check [4] - 3:17,

12:21, 14:5, 34:2

checked [3] - 12:24,

Cheri [2] - 1:21, 41:18

CHERI [1] - 41:5

chose [1] - 28:4

CHRIS [1] - 1:12

chunk [1] - 19:23

CINDY [1] - 1:4

Chris [1] - 3:5

29:20

Cindy [7] - 3:7, 3:15, 4:11, 7:3, 7:14, 12:22, 14:5 circle [1] - 20:5 circled [1] - 15:5 circular [1] - 32:21 circumstance [1] -22:11 circumstances [3] -13:21, 21:24, 36:4 cited [1] - 9:9 citizens [1] - 30:7 city [1] - 19:22 claim [2] - 13:15, 35:1 clarity [1] - 30:11 clock [1] - 29:14 closest[1] - 17:8 CO[1] - 20:3 coil [1] - 26:17 coils [1] - 26:8 combined [1] - 21:5 comfortable [2] -33:16, 33:21 coming [3] - 37:10, 37:14, 37:25 commencing [1] - 2:4 commend [1] - 38:7 comment [8] - 13:2, 14:16, 28:15, 33:9, 34:1, 34:5, 37:7, 37:17 comments [1] - 33:8 COMMISSION (3) -1:1, 1:11, 1:13 Commission [7] - 4:5, 6:16, 8:10, 8:23, 9:18, 13:24, 33:12 Commissioner [29] -14:8, 14:9, 14:24, 16:23, 17:16, 20:20, 21:13, 21:22, 24:22, 25:15, 25:19, 25:22, 26:1, 26:2, 26:4, 26:12, 27:4, 28:13, 28:23, 29:21, 32:24, 34:6, 35:20, 36:25, 37:5, 39:20, 39:22, 39:24 COMMISSIONER [35] - 1:12, 4:12, 14:10, 14:18, 15:7, 15:10, 15:24, 16:4, 16:11, 17:3, 17:13, 17:18, 18:5, 18:14, 18:17, 18:25, 19:3, 19:11, 25:23, 26:12, 26:20, 26:25, 28:14, 29:16, 30:5, 30:17, 31:9, 31:24, 32:2, 35:21, 37:6, 37:15, 37:17,

39:21, 39:23 Commissioners [3] -3:5, 16:18, 34:18 commit [1] - 8:24 committed [1] - 31:4 committing [1] - 11:5 communication [1] -30.9 company [7] - 4:20, 10:7, 18:14, 24:9, 36:13, 37:1, 37:12 compelled [1] - 33:11 Complainant [1] -36:21 complainants [1] -35:24 COMPLAINT [1] - 1:4 Complaint [9] - 3:7, 4:5, 4:6, 5:5, 5:6, 5:10, 7:18, 12:13, 13:12 complaints [1] - 27:6 complete [1] - 10:17 conceivably [1] -22:25 concludes [1] - 40:1 conclusion [1] - 37:14 condemnation [1] -8:25 confident[1] - 29:12 confidential [4] - 6:21, 6:25, 14:25, 15:3 connected [1] - 19:23 connection [2] -19:10, 26:11 consistency (1) -30:12 construct [2] - 17:25, 25:9 construction [3] -17:21, 18:2, 27:17 consumers [1] - 29:5 contact [1] - 4:20 contacts [1] - 4:10 contained [1] - 21:14 continue [4] - 27:18, 29:13, 31:7, 39:19 continued [1] - 5:1 continues [1] - 29:9 contract [1] - 10:7 contribution [1] -27:12 converted [1] - 25:17 COOPERATIVE [1] -1:6 cooperative [1] - 3:9 copper [30] - 10:14, 10:16, 15:17, 16:5, 16:6, 16:13, 17:11,

18:19, 18:21, 19:8,

19:19, 19:21, 19:23, 20:10, 20:12, 20:14, 20:21, 20:25, 22:17, 22:18, 23:7, 23:24, 24:4, 24:11, 26:5, 26:8, 26:14, 29:2, 29:10, 29:22 copy [1] - 17:6 corner [1] - 20:4 correct [8] - 15:9, 23:6, 23:9, 23:14, 24:19, 26:24, 28:6, 41:11 correctly [1] - 28:8 correspondence [1] -32:14 cost [5] - 18:11, 27:4, 27:15, 30:25, 31:5 costs [2] - 20:17, 36:3 COUNTY [1] - 41:3 couple [5] - 5:24, 6:16, 6:17, 19:14, 25:12 course [2] - 29:23, 31:15 covering [1] - 16:5 created [1] - 39:13 creates [1] - 26:15 Cremer [2] - 1:14, 13:3 CREMER [1] - 13:3 CRR [1] - 1:21 CT07-006 [1] - 1:5 curious [3] - 27:3, 27:11, 34:9 current [1] - 29:6 Custer[1] - 31:22 customer [6] - 10:4, 10:24, 24:9, 27:7, 27:12, 36:1 customer's [1] - 26:7 customers [12] -10:11, 11:23, 21:11, 21:18, 30:23, 30:24, 31:1, 31:3, 32:6, 32:16, 38:18, 38:19 D

D3A [1] - 17:10 daily [4] - 29:17, 29:18, 29:19, 29:21 Dakota [7] - 2:2, 2:4, 3:8, 7:8, 9:9, 41:7, 41:13 DAKOTA [3] - 1:2, 1:5, 41:1 date [1] - 17:16 Dated [1] - 41:13 dates [1] - 17:16

days [2] - 23:18, 23:19 2 deal [1] - 30:3 dealt [3] - 31:15, 31:16, 31:17 Deb [1] - 1:15 December [1] - 5:5 decided [1] - 5:22 decision [1] - 33:15 deep [1] - 22:12 deeply [1] - 37:7 default [1] - 34:13 defer[1] - 26:2 definitely (1) - 9:10 degradate [1] - 29:9 degree [3] - 26:3, 26:4, 31:20 delay [1] - 13:10 demarc [1] - 23:17 demarcation [1] - 36:7 Demaris [1] - 1:16 demonstrate [1] - 14:1 denied [2] - 32:17, 38:20 Denny [2] - 16:19, 32:3 deployment [1] - 36:4 description (1) - 26:13 descriptions [1] -11:14 designation [1] -24:25 designed [1] - 13:9 detail [1] - 13:7 deterioration [1] -28:19 determination [2] -18:13, 38:23 determine [1] - 7:10 determined [1] - 4:8 dial [2] - 21:6, 23:25 differences [1] - 22:3 different [10] - 5:24, 11:13, 11:14, 21:10, 21:25, 22:2, 24:16, 27:9, 35:2, 39:10 difficulty [1] - 31:20 dig [1] - 11:6 directly [2] - 5:20, 11:18 disconcerting [1] -39:3 discovery [2] - 6:18, 13:18 discuss [1] - 3:6 discussion [2] -36:10, 39:18 discussions [1] - 38:5 disillusioned [1] -39:14

Dismiss [6] - 4:4, 5:24, 6:3, 8:5, 34:21, 35:1 dismiss [2] - 12:12, 35:16 dismissal [2] - 3:11, 34:25 dismissed [1] - 7:1 dispute [3] - 7:16, 8:2, 12:10 disputed [1] - 35:8 distance [2] - 21:9, 36:8 distances [1] - 26:5 division [1] - 29:5 DO [1] - 41:8 Docket [5] - 32:13, 33:9, 38:4, 38:12, 39:6 done [7] - 7:20, 7:21, 7:22, 10:11, 25:17, 37:13 double [1] - 12:24 double-checked [1] -12:24 doughnuts [2] - 4:13, 4:15 down [11] - 9:14, 11:18, 19:20, 19:21, 25:4, 27:18, 29:13, 30:1, 32:11, 32:15, 39:5 drive [1] - 36:16 duct [1] - 23:23 duly [1] - 41:8 duly-appointed [1] -41:8 dunk [1] - 31:6 duty [1] - 7:15

Ε

early [1] - 4:10 earth [1] - 38:11 easement [41] - 4:21, 8:11, 8:14, 8:15, 8:16, 8:20, 8:21, 10:9, 11:4, 11:8, 11:15, 11:17, 11:20, 15:16, 15:20, 16:6, 16:9, 16:12, 17:13, 17:15, 17:18, 17:22, 17:23, 21:9, 21:10, 21:17, 21:19, 22:9, 25:5, 27:23, 27:24, 28:2, 28:8, 30:18, 32:11, 32:14, 36:23 easements [5] - 4:24, 9:8, 11:13, 16:7,

easier [3] - 16:17, 31:15, 31:17 East [1] - 2:3 economical [1] - 31:6 economics [1] - 31:2 **EDGEMONT** [1] - 1:5 Edgemont [2] - 3:8, 29:3 effort [1] - 38:13 efforts [1] - 38:8 either [2] - 10:20, 34:19 eliminate [1] - 13:9 emphasize [1] - 19:8 end [3] - 16:2, 20:9, 22:23 endless [1] - 30:14 energize [1] - 23:16 engage [1] - 6:5 engaged [1] - 5:12 engineering [1] - 4:19 enjoy [2] - 30:8, 30:15 entering [1] - 10:7 entitled [5] - 2:2, 6:2, 13:22, 14:2, 41:10 equipment [2] - 24:19, 24:20 essentially [1] - 28:2 estimate [2] - 20:16, 20:19 eventually [3] - 19:18, 29:25, 30:1 evidence [2] - 7:3, 12:10 exact [2] - 17:16, 21:14 exactly [1] - 29:10 exaggerating[1] -29:17 exaggeration[1] -29:20 examined [1] - 18:9 excess [1] - 17:17 exchange [2] - 19:22, 20:15 excuses [1] - 6:8 Exhibit [2] - 14:11, 14:19 exhibit [1] - 14:25 exist[1] - 13:25 existing [4] - 15:21, 15:23, 16:5, 18:1 expense [3] - 13:10, 38:16, 39:10 expensive [1] - 24:4 experience [1] - 30:12 extend [4] - 9:20, 28:3, 35:24, 35:25

21:15

extended [1] - 28:4 extension [1] - 36:3 extent [1] - 37:9 extenuating [2] -21:24, 22:11 extra [1] - 15:19 extremely [2] - 38:3, 38:23

F

facilitate [1] - 4:20

facilities [1] - 10:24

facility [10] - 16:21, 16:24, 17:11, 18:1, 19:15, 28:3, 28:4, 29:6, 29:8 fact [8] - 7:11, 13:19, 13:25, 17:19, 30:8, 35:4, 35:7, 38:22 facts [15] - 6:25, 7:15, 7:19, 8:1, 8:2, 8:12, 12:10, 12:11, 13:12, 14:1, 33:23, 35:6, 35:8, 37:19 fail [5] - 29:9, 29:13, 29:17, 29:22, 30:3 failed [1] - 29:11 failing [1] - 21:1 fails [1] - 29:16 failure [7] - 6:3, 34:13, 34:21, 35:1, 35:11, 35:14, 36:20 fair [3] - 38:18, 38:19, 39:12 fairly [1] - 31:14 far [2] - 15:11, 29:11 favor [1] - 33:24 favorably [1] - 13:13 fed [2] - 32:7, 32:9 feet [4] - 21:21, 21:22, 23:1, 28:8 felt [2] - 33:16, 37:23 few [2] - 16:22, 29:23 fiber [31] - 4:9, 10:14, 10:15, 15:11, 15:12, 15:14, 15:21, 17:19, 18:8, 19:16, 19:23, 20:24, 22:13, 22:19, 22:22, 23:1, 24:7, 24:13, 24:21, 25:3, 25:4, 25:9, 26:22, 30:5, 30:7, 30:18, 30:22, 31:3, 32:8, 32:9, 36:4 fiberoptic [1] - 23:13 field [1] - 31:25 fight [1] - 12:9 figure [1] - 38:11

file [5] - 5:23, 6:19, 7:10, 7:19, 38:11 filed [11] - 3:7, 4:2, 5:6, 5:10, 6:13, 6:14, 6:17, 6:20, 7:20, 17:22, 17:24 FILED [1] - 1:4 filings [1] - 39:9 final [2] - 11:15, 14:19 fine [1] - 16:16 first [5] - 3:13, 5:11, 11:2, 20:11, 29:1 five [4] - 22:7, 29:14, 29:24, 30:2 fix [2] - 11:4, 22:18 fixed [1] - 11:7 fleshed [1] - 36:25 flying [1] - 36:14 follow [1] - 25:2 following [2] - 13:5, 30:1 footage [1] - 22:23 form [2] - 28:20, 38:7 formal [1] - 13:13 format [1] - 12:6 formerly [1] - 25:16 forms [1] - 24:16 forward [7] - 3:22, 6:8, 6:11, 7:11, 7:18, 8:8, 12:18 four[3] - 29:14, 29:24, 30:2 frame [1] - 19:19 frankly [1] - 30:16 front [1] - 22:8 frustrating [2] - 38:3, 38:24 future [1] - 39:5

figured [1] - 18:7

G

Gary [1] - 3:5 GARY [1] - 1:12 general [2] - 10:20, 16:19 generally [1] - 5:6 gentleman [1] - 22:8 genuine [2] - 13:19, 13:25 Ginsbach [2] - 5:12, 5:17 glean [1] - 38:1 GOLDEN [1] - 1:5 golden [1] - 22:9 Golden [40] - 1:18, 3:8, 3:12, 3:25, 4:7, 4:8, 4:19, 4:24, 5:7, 5:11, 6:5, 7:20, 8:10,

8:14, 8:24, 8:25, 10:5, 10:19, 10:21, 11:3, 11:9, 11:22, 12:11, 13:4, 13:20, 13:22, 13:25, 14:1, 16:19, 19:2, 20:17, 21:11, 27:16, 35:21, 35:23, 36:4, 36:5, 36:19, 38:6, 38:7 grant [6] - 7:25, 8:5, 13:24, 26:21, 35:8, 35:21 granted [2] - 13:16, 35:2 granting [1] - 13:4 great [1] - 13:7 Greg [1] - 1:15 Gregg [1] - 1:15 ground [4] - 19:9, 24:12, 26:15, 27:24 grounds [1] - 35:16 guess [7] - 14:14, 15:18, 27:10, 28:10, 31:16, 33:11, 38:10

Н

20:10, 20:11, 24:18,

14:24, 28:13, 39:22

HANSON [11] - 1:12,

half [8] - 20:3, 20:8,

28:8, 29:12

Hanson [4] - 3:5,

28:14, 29:16, 30:5, 30:17, 31:9, 31:24, 32:2, 37:15, 37:17, 39:23 Hanson's [1] - 21:22 happy [1] - 4:16 harder [2] - 31:17, 31:23 haying [1] - 6:9 hear [2] - 3:20, 3:21 Hearing [2] - 9:19, --34:14hearing [9] - 3:6, 7:4, 7:11, 9:13, 13:14, 35:19, 38:15, 39:19, 40:1 held [1] - 2:1 help [3] - 5:19, 6:18, 25:11 helpful [1] - 33:14 HEREBY [1] - 41:8 higher [2] - 20:23, 30:11 highlight [1] - 17:9 highway [1] - 25:6 hills [1] - 31:22

hold [2] - 16:25, 39:4 home (5) - 9:25, 20:6, 20:24, 26:7, 36:5 hook [1] - 11:23 hooked [2] - 18:25, 19:1 hope [4] - 18:23, 24:11, 37:2, 37:3 hopefully [1] - 36:25 hoping [1] - 24:12 host [1] - 39:8 Hot[1] - 31:22 house [6] - 5:8, 21:21, 21:22, 25:13, 25:16, 29:2 households [2] -28:24, 33:1 hum [1] - 21:3 hums [1] - 26:19 hundred [3] - 22:24, 22:25 hunting [12] - 5:8, 8:19, 9:23, 11:19, 15:4, 18:19, 25:9, 25:16, 25:18, 29:3, 32:7, 32:15 hyphenated [1] -24:24

I

idea[1] - 31:10 ideally [1] - 34:17 identical [1] - 22:10 identified [4] - 9:12, 9:18, 12:3, 30:21 Igloo [15] - 4:23, 8:17, 18:5, 18:8, 20:9, 20:13, 28:20, 28:22, 28:24, 30:7, 32:7, 32:12, 32:16, 32:19 imagine [1] - 21:2 importance [1] - 37:8 important [1] - 11:8 imposed [1] - 10:4 impossible [1] - 23:14 IN [1] - 1:4 inches [1] - 22:14 including [2] - 18:12, 32:11 increased [1] - 21:4 increases [1] - 21:5 indicated [1] - 10:21 indicating) [1] - 14:21 individual [1] - 7:15 individually [1] -32:23 individuals [2] -28:21, 28:22

informal [1] - 6:18 information [2] - 6:23, 33:13 initial [3] - 7:18, 32:12, 32:13 initiate [1] - 6:5 installed [3] - 10:17, 18:22, 18:23 Interrogatories [1] -7:9 intransigent [1] -38:12 inverse [1] - 8:25 investment [1] - 27:23 involve [1] - 30:22 involved [1] - 38:15 involvement [1] -12:14 isolate [1] - 24:10 isolated [1] - 23:1 issue [14] - 4:7, 8:9, 10:13, 10:25, 11:21, 13:10, 13:11, 13:19, 18:15, 27:9, 27:11, 30:3, 34:10, 35:23 issues [6] - 7:10, 10:2, 10:12, 10:22, 13:14, 13:25 item [1] - 29:4 items [1] - 28:25 itself [1] - 23:3

J

JACK [1] - 1:4 Jack [8] - 3:7, 3:15, 4:10, 7:3, 7:14, 12:21, 14:5, 20:5 job [1] - 39:7 John [1] - 1:14 joined [2] - 3:4, 34:3 joining [1] - 3:18 jokingly [1] - 26:1 journalism [1] - 26:3 Judgment [5] - 4:3, 5:23, 6:13, 7:6, 35:22 judgment [20] - 7:7, 7:12, 7:25, 8:6, 12:7, 12:9, 12:16, 12:18, 13:9, 13:17, 13:23, 14:2, 33:19, 33:24, 34:16, 34:20, 34:24, 35:3, 35:5, 35:9 justify [1] - 13:13

K

37:23

33:13

Law [1] - 16:19

law's [2] - 14:11,

least [1] - 34:11

left [2] - 5:17, 25:6

Legacy [1] - 19:18

legal [7] - 5:25, 8:3,

8:8, 11:14, 12:5,

LEC [1] - 9:19

Karen [2] - 1:14, 13:3 keep [2] - 15:19, 24:4 kind [5] - 4:4, 9:11, 9:14, 10:2, 11:21 knowledge [1] - 28:23 Kolbeck [5] - 3:2, 26:2, 26:13, 27:4, 39:24 KOLBECK [42] - 1:11, 3:1, 4:12, 12:20, 13:1, 14:4, 19:13, 19:18, 19:25, 20:16, 21:2, 21:8, 21:16, 21:20, 22:12, 22:17, 23:3, 23:6, 23:10, 23:18, 23:20, 24:3, 24:7, 24:15, 25:1, 25:11, 25:20, 26:14, 27:2, 28:12, 32:4, 32:18, 32:22, 33:3, 33:6, 33:25, 35:17, 36:9, 37:16, 39:16, 39:22, 39:24

L

lack [2] - 7:2, 12:14 laid [1] - 36:19 land [3] - 9:5, 31:14, 32:10 landowner [1] - 37:7 large [3] - 16:3, 18:16, 26:5 larger[1] - 30:3 last [5] - 6:15, 6:20, 20:14, 35:12 late [1] - 3:18 LAW [45] - 16:15, 16:18, 17:4, 17:15, 17:21, 18:9, 18:16, 18:21, 19:1, 19:5, 19:17, 19:20, 20:2, 20:19, 21:5, 21:13, 21:19, 21:23, 22:14, 22:23, 23:5, 23:9, 23:15, 23:19, 23:22, 24:6, 24:10, 24:20, 25:2, 25:14, 26:1, 26:24, 27:14, 27:22, 28:6, 28:22, 29:19, 30:10, 30:20, 31:13, 32:1, 32:9, 32:20, 32:23, 33:5 law [12] - 7:13, 10:20, 11:15, 13:8, 13:9, 13:23, 14:2, 14:15,

16:17, 28:17, 32:3,

12:17, 33:22 less [1] - 34:17 letter[1] - 25:12 letters [4] - 6:16, 6:17, 7:17, 12:4 levels [1] - 24:1 liability [2] - 9:9, 10:22 likely [1] - 28:17 limited [3] - 6:6, 6:14, 35:12 limits [1] - 19:22 line [14] - 3:18, 9:23, 11:6, 11:18, 11:24, 12:21, 15:22, 16:2, 18:19, 21:3, 24:24, 34:2, 34:4, 36:3 lines [3] - 12:24, 15:23, 23:24 list [1] - 9:14 literally [1] - 15:25 litigating [1] - 13:10 load [8] - 20:22, 21:2, 25:25, 26:7, 26:8, 26:17 located [2] - 5:9, 14:23 location [2] - 20:6, 20:7 lodge [12] - 5:8, 8:19, 9:23, 11:19, 15:4, 18:20, 25:10, 25:16, 25:18, 29:3, 32:7, 32:15 look [8] - 7:8, 8:9, 8:12, 10:6, 17:5, 20:4, 24:22, 31:7 looked [1] - 18:11 looking [4] - 8:17, 17:1, 17:2, 27:20 looks [1] - 14:20 LP-10[1] - 17:9

M

24:17

25:17

modifications [1] -

moment [3] - 19:12,

monthly [3] - 20:17,

25:24, 29:15

27:20, 27:22

maintain [1] - 20:17 maintaining [1] -20:20 maintenance [4] -20:24, 21:4, 21:5,

24:8 majority [2] - 16:1, 16.3 man [1] - 22:18 man's [1] - 28:9 manager [2] - 10:20, 16:19 map [11] - 14:19, 14:20, 15:1, 15:2, 15:4, 15:24, 17:1, 17:4, 20:5, 24:22 March [1] - 5:16 MARGO [1] - 1:18 Margo [2] - 3:25, 34:9 marked [3] - 6:25, 14:25, 15:3 material [3] - 7:10, 13:19, 14:1 matter [11] - 2:2, 3:7, 5:15, 6:19, 9:25, 13:23, 14:2, 39:1, 39:13, 40:2, 41:10 MATTER [1] - 1:4 MCCOMSEY [1] - 41:5 McComsey [2] - 1:21, 41:18 mean [8] - 6:12, 8:4, 10:6, 10:8, 20:23, 23:12, 25:25, 34:12 means [1] - 9:5 meeting [1] - 4:15 meets [1] - 12:6 memory [1] - 28:9 mention [2] - 27:8, 36:11 mentioned [4] - 27:4, 27:10, 34:23, 34:24 mentions [2] - 21:9, 25:12 merit [1] - 12:8 microwave [1] - 18:12 might [3] - 9:13, 22:2, 22:3 mile [3] - 24:18, 24:21, 30:25 miles [10] - 15:17, 15:19, 18:10, 20:3, 20:8, 20:10, 20:11, 20:21, 29:12, 30:22 mind [1] - 24:4 minds [1] - 37:24 mini [1] - 24:17 mini-sneaker[1] -

morning [1] - 4:16 most [3] - 11:15, 13:12, 37:24 Motion [19] - 4:3, 4:4, 5:23, 6:2, 6:13, 7:5, 8:5, 8:6, 13:5, 13:25, 26:21, 34:18, 34:20, 34:25, 35:5, 35:22, 36:9 motions [2] - 34:10, 35:19 movant [1] - 3:12 move [3] - 3:15, 14:8, 35:21 moving [1] - 13:22 MR [49] - 16:15, 16:18, 17:4, 17:15, 17:21, 18:9, 18:16, 18:21, 19:1, 19:5, 19:17, 19:20, 20:2, 20:19, 21:5, 21:13, 21:19, 21:23, 22:14, 22:23, 23:5, 23:9, 23:15, 23:22, 24:6, 24:10, 24:20, 25:2, 25:14, 26:1, 26:24, 27:3, 27:14, 27:19, 27:22, 28:1, 28:6, 28:7, 28:22, 29:19, 30:10, 30:20, 31:13, 32:1, 32:9, 32:20, 32:23, 33:5, 34:9 MS [14] - 3:24, 4:17, 12:25, 13:3, 14:14, 15:2, 15:9, 15:14, 16:1, 16:7, 16:14, 16:16, 33:11, 34:22 multiple [5] - 18:10, 29:4, 29:11, 29:22, 34:19 mute [1] - 3:21

Ν

name [1] - 3:2
nearby [1] - 24:21
necessary [1] - 26:7
need [11] - 7:11, 7:18,
14:15, 14:16, 21:21,
21:22, 22:3, 23:11,
24:18, 24:20, 30:6
needs [5] - 11:18,
18:3, 36:22, 37:13
negotiate [1] - 5:19
negotiated [1] - 11:12
negotiations [3] - 5:2,
5:12, 6:6
Nelson [7] - 3:5, 14:9,
25:22, 35:20, 36:25,

37:5. 39:20 NELSON [24] - 1:12, 14:10, 14:18, 15:7, 15:10, 15:24, 16:4, 16:11, 17:3, 17:13, 17:18, 18:5, 18:14, 18:17, 18:25, 19:3, 19:11, 25:23, 26:12, 26:20, 26:25, 35:21, 37:6, 39:21 networks [1] - 20:18 new [1] - 19:15 next [2] - 15:7, 29:25 NID [3] - 23:13, 23:16 noise [2] - 26:15, 26:17 nondiscriminatory [1] - 36:3 none [2] - 35:19, 39:19 nonmoving [1] - 7:14 normally [1] - 27:6 north [3] - 17:5, 20:7, 29:3 Northern [1] - 22:1 NORTHRUP [13] -1:18, 3:24, 4:17, 14:14, 15:2, 15:9, 15:14, 16:1, 16:7, 16:14, 16:16, 33:11, 34:22 Northrup [9] - 3:14, 3:23, 3:25, 12:20, 13:6, 14:12, 33:10, 34:10, 37:18 Notary [2] - 41:7, 41:18 note [1] - 6:21 nothing [1] - 23:16 notice [1] - 34:14 Notice [2] - 9:19, 34.14 November [1] - 11:16 number [4] - 18:10, 20:21, 35:9, 35:10

0

numerous [1] - 25:12

obligated (1) - 9:19 obligation (4) - 35:24, 35:25, 36:1, 36:6 obtain (1) - 4:25 obviously (1) - 36:12 occasionally (1) - 30:5 occurred (1) - 7:6 OF (6) - 1:2, 1:4, 2:1, 41:1, 41:3 office (4) - 20:4, 20:8,

23:8, 25:3 old [8] - 5:8, 20:21, 20:25, 23:18, 23:19, 28:9, 29:2, 29:8 one [24] - 3:17, 6:1, 6:3, 9:2, 9:17, 10:2, 10:12, 12:23, 14:5, 14:20, 17:2, 18:16, 21:24, 23:1, 26:21, 26:23, 27:4, 30:3, 32:5, 34:2, 34:5, 35:9, 36:12, 37:13 Onida [1] - 41:13 open [1] - 12:24 opened [1] - 19:7 opportunities [1] -30:9 opportunity [4] - 7:5, 7:23, 21:6, 37:22 opposed [1] - 23:1 opposition [1] - 7:19 optic [1] - 25:9 oral [1] - 34:23 order (11 - 8:10 ordering [2] - 8:23, 8:24 original (1) - 26:3 otherwise [1] - 30:15 ourselves (1) - 9:8 outside [1] - 19:22 outstanding [1] -18:15 oval [1] - 32:21 owe [1] - 4:15 own [4] - 10:24, 11:23, 18:18, 32:10

P

p.m [2] - 2:5, 40:4 page [1] - 14:19 pain [1] - 22:2 pair [1] - 29:10 pairs [7] - 20:25, 29:11, 29:14, 29:22, 29:24, 30:2 part [4] - 5:11, 11:8, 36:1, 36:20 participate [1] - 38:13 participating [1] -39.13 particular [3] - 4:9, 15:1, 18:7 particularly [1] - 14:18 parties [1] - 37:3 party [2] - 7:14, 13:22 past [5] - 12:1, 25:6, 29:2, 32:7, 32:15 pat [1] - 5:12

paying [1] - 19:3 payment [1] - 10:8 pedestal [18] - 11:22, 11:24, 14:22, 15:3, 15:7, 15:13, 16:13, 17:19, 18:19, 18:23, 18:24, 19:7, 19:10, 23:11, 23:13, 23:17, 26:16, 36:8 pending [4] - 4:5, 6:4, 12:13, 34:11 people [6] - 8:16, 9:20, 18:4, 32:19, 36:23, 39:8 people's [1] - 37:24 perfect [1] - 14:18 perhaps [2] - 19:9, 31:10 period [1] - 24:14 permits [1] - 22:5 person [1] - 38:25 personal [1] - 6:23 personally [1] - 25:15 personnel [1] - 38:15 perspective [1] -37:22 phone [12] - 3:18, 3:21, 4:14, 10:18, 11:2, 12:21, 12:23, 14:7, 23:25, 26:18, 34:2, 34:3 phrase [1] - 26:9 physical [2] - 20:2, 20:6 picture [1] - 11:17 Pierre [2] - 2:3, 4:1 place [7] - 11:4, 11:10, 12:11, 15:19, 16:22, 16:25, 17:11 placed [1] - 19:9 plan (1) - 36:20 plane [2] - 36:14, 36:15 plant [1] - 22:17 pleadings [6] - 7:9, 7:17, 8:12, 13:18, 13:20, 35:7 plow [1] - 18:3 plus [5] - 20:21, 24:11, 24:12, 29:1, 30:22 point [15] - 5:14, 5:18, 7:15, 8:1, 11:20, 16:4, 20:22, 23:17, 25:25, 26:17, 27:1, 30:21, 36:7, 36:8, 37:18 points [4] - 20:23, 21:3, 26:8, 39:16

pay [4] - 27:7, 36:2,

36:16

policy [4] - 4:14, 8:14, 5 10:23, 11:9 pop [1] - 34:17 portion [1] - 16:10 position [1] - 37:20 positive [1] - 12:23 possible [1] - 9:13 potentially [1] - 23:5 power [2] - 23:12, 23:14 powered [1] - 23:7 prairie [1] - 31:14 precondition [3] -10:4, 10:8, 10:9 present [2] - 7:4, 12:10 presented [1] - 8:20 pretty [1] - 4:16 prevail [1] - 13:21 prick[1] - 38:24 primarily [2] - 6:1, 7:1 private [1] - 37:8 problem [2] - 8:18, 11:2 procedure [2] - 10:23, 11:9 proceed [1] - 3:22 proceeding [3] - 12:8, 18:7, 40:4 Proceedings [1] - 1:8 proceedings [2] -41:9, 41:12 PROCEEDINGS [1] process [3] - 38:4, 38:17, 38:21 processes [2] - 39:2, 39:6 Professional [2] -41:6, 41:19 proper [2] - 15:18, 22.5 property [17] - 4:22, 4:25, 5:1, 5:9, 9:1, 9:4, 10:1, 11:5, 15:15, 15:21, 15:23, 15:25, 16:2, 16:5, 16:10, 37:8 proposed [1] - 21:11 prosecute [5] - 6:3, 34:13, 34:21, 35:11, 35:15 prosecution [1] - 7:2 provide [8] - 5:7, 26:6, 26:10, 29:5, 29:7, 30:18, 30:20, 36:2 provided [2] - 8:16, 30.7 providing [3] - 23:25, 31:2, 31:11

public [1] - 16:8 PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:11 Public [2] - 41:7, 41:18 PUC [1] - 4:14 purpose [1] - 36:2 purposes [3] - 17:23, 23:25, 33:12 pursue [1] - 37:22 pushing [1] - 26:5 put [17] - 6:8, 6:11, 8:8, 12:11, 12:17, 15:15, 19:7, 22:20, 22:21, 22:22, 23:10, 23:12, 26:7, 26:16, 27:23, 34:13, 35:6 puts [1] - 37:1

Q

quality [5] - 26:6, 26:11, 30:8, 30:11, 30:12 questions [16] - 9:12, 9:15, 12:19, 14:8, 14:10, 16:22, 19:13, 26:25, 28:12, 28:15, 30:6, 32:4, 33:7, 34:6, 35:18, 37:23 quite [1] - 30:15

R

R2D-7 [1] - 24:25 railroad [5] - 17:9, 21:25, 22:4, 22:6, 25.8 raised [1] - 13:14 rate [2] - 27:20, 27:22 rates [1] - 27:12 real [1] - 13:11 really [5] - 6:12, 8:11, 10:5, 12:7, 33:19 Realtime [2] - 41:6, 41:19 reason [5] - 3:6, 4:2, 7:24, 20:24, 27:6 reasonable [5] - 8:21, 10:10, 11:11, 24:14, 36:2 reasons [6] - 6:2, 8:5, 8:22, 10:22, 13:5, 37:14 rebuttals [1] - 9:2 receive [1] - 10:4 received [1] - 6:17 recent [1] - 11:15

recognize (2) - 38:22,

39:7 recommend [1] - 13:4 recommends [1] -13:24 record [16] - 5:16, 6:7, 9:24, 10:13, 10:15, 11:24, 27:5, 27:15, 33:12, 33:19, 33:22, 33:23, 35:6, 37:19, 37:21, 38:1 rectangles [1] - 17:8 referring [1] - 24:22 reflect [2] - 27:15, 27:24 refused [1] - 5:7 regarding [2] - 3:9, 28:25 REGARDING [1] - 1:6 regards [2] - 16:21, 16:24 regenerate [1] - 26:8 regime [1] - 12:16 Registered [2] - 41:5, 41:19 related [2] - 14:11, 27:11 reliance [1] - 7:16 relief (2) - 13:15, 35:1 rely [1] - 33:15 remaining [2] - 19:21, 20:14 remember [3] - 15:22, 21:14, 29:10 remind [2] - 9:22, 33:12 reminded [1] - 14:24 remote [1] - 30:16 removed [1] - 18:6 repair [1] - 23:1 replace [1] - 22:25 replacing [1] - 22:24 Reported [1] - 1:21 Reporter [4] - 41:6, 41:19, 41:19 reporter [1] - 41:9 represented [1] - 5:13 requested [5] - 10:16, 25:5, 32:14, 33:1, 33:3 requesting [4] - 9:20, 10:6, 10:9, 11:19 requests [1] - 29:4 require [2] - 8:14, 17:25 required [3] - 7:22, 8:15, 22:10

requiring [1] - 36:6

resolution [10] - 5:2,

5:14, 5:18, 5:21,

28:18, 37:2, 38:1,

38:7, 38:13, 38:14 resolve [3] - 6:18, 38:8, 39:1 resolved [2] - 6:10, 37:2 respond [3] - 7:5, 14:12, 14:13 responding [1] - 3:20 response [1] - 6:6 responsibility [1] result [5] - 5:4, 28:17, 28:19, 39:1, 39:11 rights [1] - 37:8 ring [1] - 4:14 Rislov [3] - 1:15, 4:15, 27:2 RISLOV [4] - 27:3, 27:19, 28:1, 28:7 road [9] - 12:2, 15:5, 15:8, 17:6, 19:21, 20:7, 24:23, 25:7, 27:18 roads [2] - 32:11, 36:16 Room [2] - 2:3, 3:3 room [3] - 14:16, 23:4, 36:22 rough [1] - 30:24 route [1] - 31:12 routes [3] - 5:3, 18:10, 30:22 RPR m - 1:21 rudimentary [1] - 21:6 run [13] - 9:5, 15:4, 15:12, 15:13, 15:17, 16:12, 17:19, 18:18, 24:8, 24:9, 24:18, 26:16, 26:22 running [3] - 15:11, 24:5, 26:23

S

rural [2] - 20:14, 37:7

safer [1] - 34:16 save [1] - 28:15 scheduled [1] - 6:10 school [3] - 5:8, 25:13, 25:16 second [2] - 15:10, 29:4 section [5] - 11:18, 15:25, 16:3, 24:25, 25:7 secure [1] - 4:21 security [1] - 36:15 see [10] - 15:5, 17:6, 17:8, 20:5, 20:22,

22:1, 24:24, 24:25, 38:14, 38:24 sense [1] - 25:20 sent [2] - 6:16, 11:16 separate [1] - 33:18 serve [6] - 8:10, 23:17, 25:4, 30:13, 31:16, 32:16 served [1] - 29:1 service [29] - 3:10, 5:7, 9:6, 9:20, 9:21, 9:24, 10:1, 10:5, 10:7, 10:9, 10:10, 10:18, 11:2, 11:9, 19:4, 19:5, 22:8, 24:1, 25:9, 27:21, 28:5, 28:19, 28:20, 30:8, 30:18, 35:24, 35:25, 37:11, 38:20 services [7] - 9:20, 23:25, 29:5, 29:7, 30:13, 32:21, 33:1 **SERVICES** [1] - 1:6 settlement [2] - 5:12, several [4] - 17:8, 22:24, 22:25 shared [1] - 6:24 shorthand [2] - 41:9 show [4] - 5:16, 8:12, 11:17, 13:18 shown [1] - 13:20 side [5] - 3:14, 3:16, 17:5, 24:23, 25:6 signal [2] - 26:6, 26:9 significant [1] - 36:8 similar [1] - 13:6 simply [1] - 39:12 situation [5] - 10:3, 18:6, 24:17, 27:13, 38:24 slagging [1] - 20:9 slam [1] - 31:6 Smith [4] - 1:14, 9:12, 9:18, 34:8 SMITH [1] - 34:9 smooth [1] - 26:10 sneaker[1] - 24:17 solutions [2] - 18:12, 30:21 someone [2] - 3:17, 36:12 sometimes [1] - 26:7 somewhere [1] -29:11

soon [1] - 8:18

34:12, 37:25

source [1] - 26:15

South [7] - 2:2, 2:4,

sort [4] - 9:3, 23:11,

3:8, 7:8, 9:9, 41:7, 41:13 south [1] - 24:23 SOUTH [3] - 1:2, 1:5, 41:1 specific [1] - 17:11 specifically [2] -27:18, 29:6 splice [1] - 22:19 splicing [1] - 22:22 spoken [1] - 26:18 Springs [1] - 31:22 square [2] - 26:21, 26:23 SS [1] - 41:2 STAFF [1] - 1:13 Staff [6] - 5:19, 6:18, 13:1, 13:4, 13:24 stake [1] - 24:1 stand [2] - 12:19, 39:5 standard [7] - 7:7, 7:12, 12:7, 21:12, 22:9, 30:11, 35:2 standards [1] - 18:2 standpoint [1] - 17:22 start (2) - 3:13, 14:9 started [5] - 4:7, 4:8, 4:18, 38:4, 38:5 starting [1] - 17:8 **STATE** [2] - 1:2, 41:1 State [4] - 2:2, 3:3, 41:7 state [1] - 35:1 **statutory** [1] - 13:8 steered [1] - 37:19 step [1] - 12:9 STEVE [1] - 1:11 Steve [1] - 3:2 still [8] - 6:15, 10:25, 12:4, 18:3, 18:15, 23:11, 26:22 straight [3] - 11:19, 15:5, 27:19 strive [1] - 30:12 strong [1] - 33:21 stronger [1] - 7:3 subdivision [3] - 4:23, 20:9, 20:13 Subdivision [1] -28:24 subjecting [1] - 9:8 submitted [1] - 8:2 subset [1] - 34:13 substandard [1] -28:20 substantially [1] -22:10 SULLY [1] - 41:3 summary [19] - 7:7,

7:12, 7:25, 8:6, 12:6, 12:9, 12:16, 12:18, 13:9, 13:17, 13:23, 33:18, 33:24, 34:16, 34:20, 34:24, 35:3, 35:5, 35:9 Summary [5] - 4:3, 5:23, 6:13, 7:5, 35:22 supplemental [2] -6:20, 10:21 support [3] - 8:3, 9:10, 12:5 supported [3] - 20:11, 20:13, 35:6 supports [2] - 7:13, 35:14 suspect [2] - 19:7, 19:8 sustain (1) - 37:20 systems [2] - 26:23, 30:9

Т

table [1] - 18:7 tailored [1] - 8:19 tape [1] - 23:23 taxes [1] - 36:17 techies [1] - 25:23 technical [2] - 26:4, 26:9 technological [1] -30:13 technology [1] - 18:12 telecommunications [2] - 3:9, 3:10 TELECOMMUNICATI ONS [2] - 1:5, 1:6 Telecommunication s [1] - 16:20 term [4] - 15:18, 17:5, 24:23, 25:24 terms [7] - 20:20, 30:11, 30:13, 30:14, 31:2, 31:19, 36:21 terrain [7] - 22:15, 31:9, 31:11, 31:14, 31:17, 31:18, 31:20 territory [1] - 31:16 testimony [1] - 33:14 THE [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:11 theirs [1] - 4:23 themselves [1] - 10:17 theories [3] - 5:25, 8:3, 8:8 theory [1] - 9:10

therefore [1] - 13:22

thinks [2] - 36:12, 36:13 three [15] - 28:23, 28:24, 29:13, 30:2, 30:23, 30:24, 31:1, 32:5, 32:18, 32:20, 32:24, 32:25, 33:2, 35:13, 35:16 throughout [1] - 5:10 ticking [1] - 29:14 title [1] - 14:20 today [11] - 3:4, 3:19, 4:2. 7:4. 7:23, 12:15. 17:12, 26:21, 35:14, 37:20, 40:1 token (1) - 37:11 tomorrow [2] - 4:13, 4:16 tongue [1] - 39:4 took [2] - 37:22, 41:9 top [2] - 18:1, 18:3 tough [1] - 36:11 towards [1] - 38:13 tracks [2] - 22:1, 25:8 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1 Transcript[1] - 1:8 transcription[1] -41:12 tremendous [1] -39:10 trespass [3] - 8:24, 10:25, 11:5 tried [2] - 6:5, 13:11 trouble [1] - 21:3 troubles [1] - 29:21 true [3] - 8:3, 22:19, 41:11 try (5] - 4:21, 6:18, 14:14, 16:22, 18:2 trying [4] - 4:25, 5:19, 38:8, 38:10 turn [2] - 25:17, 34:5 two [9] - 8:4, 20:17, 25:23, 26:23, 28:25, 34:11, 35:10, 35:13, 37:17 type [1] - 29:21 typically [2] - 21:23, 22:14

U

unable [1] - 29:7 under [3] - 12:16, 13:21, 32:6 underneath [1] - 25:7 undisputed [2] - 8:13, 35:5 unless [2] - 21:24, 22:10

up [18] - 5:21, 10:2,
10:12, 11:21, 11:23,
17:1, 17:19, 18:25,
19:1, 20:7, 21:6,
21:21, 22:14, 22:24,
26:16, 29:3, 30:21

upgrade [3] - 4:9,
4:20, 15:20

upgraded [1] - 10:15

upper [1] - 20:4

UTILITIES [2] - 1:1,
1:11

utilize [1] - 10:17

V

value [1] - 28:1 variance [1] - 22:4 variations [1] - 34:10 vary [1] - 21:17 verify [1] - 32:23 VICE [1] - 1:12 vicinity [2] - 18:22, 19:9 view [1] - 32:24 viewed [1] - 13:12 virtually [1] - 23:13 vote [1] - 39:19 votes [1] - 39:25

W

width [7] - 21:12, 21:14, 21:17, 21:19, 22:4, 22:9, 28:7 wire [1] - 23:23 wireless (1) - 18:12 wish (1) - 28:5 wishing [3] - 33:8, 34:1, 34:5 withdrawn [1] - 5:17 Wittler [2] - 1:21, 41.18 WITTLER [1] - 41:5 words [1] - 21:20 workable [2] - 10:19, 10:23 worst [1] - 31:20 wrestling [1] - 34:15 writing [1] - 7:21

Υ

year [11] - 6:9, 6:12, 6:15, 7:5, 20:21, 29:2, 29:23, 29:25, 30:1, 35:12 years [5] - 17:17, 24:10, 24:11, 24:12, 35:13

Ζ

zero [2] - 21:7, 37:1

wait [1] - 25:2 wants [1] - 14:12 week [1] - 6:20 WEST [1] - 1:5 West [37] - 1:18, 3:8, 3:13, 3:25, 4:7, 4:8, 4:19, 4:24, 5:7, 5:11, 6:5, 7:20, 8:10, 8:14, 8:24, 8:25, 10:5, 10:19, 10:21, 11:3, 11:10, 11:22, 12:11, 13:20, 13:22, 14:1, 16:20, 19:2, 20:17, 21:11, 22:9, 27:16, 35:23, 36:5, 36:19, 38:6, 38:7 West's [4] - 13:4, 13:25, 35:22, 36:4 whatsoever [1] - 39:1 wheat [1] - 31:25 whereas [1] - 23:10 white [1] - 17:7 whole [3] - 19:23, 22:2, 27:3