From: Michael Madsen

Sent: Sunday, February 2, 2025 10:54 AM

To: PUC < PUCPF@state.sd.us > Subject: Re: [EXT] FW: HP24-001

Thank you, I will do that. In regard to my January 24, 2025 above email, my name is Michael Madsen. Mailing address is _______, Watertown, SD 57201. My email address is _______. Please let me know if you may have any questions or concerns.

Michael Madsen

I wish to take this opportunity to thank you for holding the public input meetings regarding the Carbon Pipeline in South Dakota. I found the meeting I attended in Watertown to be very informative on both sides of this issue. It needs to be said/pronounced that this Carbon Pipeline project is a Big deal as the agriculture industry in the five states involved in the Summit Carbon Solution (SCS) pipeline. We all know that Agriculture is the biggest economic industry in South Dakota and it provides income for farmers, laborers, merchandises, seed companies, etc.

Therefore, after my attending the Watertown PUC input meeting on January 16, 2025, it appears to me that all the parties (SCS, PUC, land owners, ethanol plants/producers, etc.) need to come together and try to come to a compromise on location/route of the pipeline. This means listening to the land owners (i.e. Lake Campbell land owner's plan/dream for housing development near this Lake and the need to reroute the pipeline away from Lakes and rivers (such as Big Sioux river and Vermillion river). This may end up costing more money up front, however, it is better to be able to market ethanol-based sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) which has a high demand. Currently, commercial airlines are purchasing SAF which affects all the ethanol plants involved in SCS's Carbon Pipeline project. The bottom line is without PUC's Permit to Construct a Carbon Dioxide Transmission Pipeline many of the Ethanol plants will end up closing due to a lack of them been able to produce and sell carbon neutral fuel.

Thank you again for all that you do to promote/protect South Dakota.