

From: Lana Greenfield [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, December 30, 2024 4:24 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Docket24-001

Dear Commissioners:

I am writing to encourage you to vote against HP 24-001 petition. As a state District 22 representative, I will soon be taking the oath to "protect and serve" the people of the district and entire state. The vast majority in my area do not want a dangerous pipeline running through their land.

The majority of the people of our state do not want it either. Many legislators were defeated in the primary after voting in favor of SB201. The voters also expressed their feelings by voting against RL21 in the fall. How many times does this Summit group have to be told we don't want them in our state putting in permanent easements and creating potential hazards for our people? This pipeline has not even been tested for safety. It is a green energy plan that stands to make a select FEW some money. I do not believe our ethanol plants will be the big money recipients either after looking closely at some vague language like paying "up to 45 q"

One last thing for brevity's sake. There is a move across the country to replace natural gas stoves with electric ones for "safety" purposes. Ponder how much more dangerous a high pressure carbon pipeline would be!! We also know that the amount of our precious water and electricity these pipelines would need would border upon the ridiculous. We already struggle with our electric grid in certain areas of the country. Also water usage will increase West River and we need to be prudent with what we have available.

Thank you for your time.

Lana Greenfield

[REDACTED]
Doland, SD 57436
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]