From: Jolene Stewart Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2025 5:50 PM To: PUC-PUC <<u>PUC@state.sd.us</u>> Subject: [EXT] Docket HP 24-001

Docket HP24-001 public comment

As a landowner, farmer and registered nurse I have concerns about the necessity, health risks, safety (especially for emergency responders), kill zone and environmental impact of the proposed carbon pipeline. Information regarding the affected area when a breach occurs is at best a guess since it's a new area with many unknowns. Plume studies have not been made available to the public.

The majority of the testimony given in support of the carbon dioxide pipeline has been from out-of-state companies representing trades/unions; landowners; and ethanol plants focusing on money.

It is NOT the farmers' responsibility to provide jobs for groups of people or give up their property rights so private for profit companies can claim carbon credits funded by federal tax money to become richer. Farmers all ready grow food for us often at market price vs production cost. Health, safety and freedom should not be jeopardized for money.

In conclusion, Summit Carbon Solutions has NOT demonstrated

- a willingness to comply with applicable local ordinances and rules;
- that the pipeline does NOT pose a threat to the environment (soil disruption, fertility, productivity, etc.) or inhabitants (impairments, possible death, etc.).

Additionally permitting a pipeline carrying carbon dioxide is not identified in the siting jurisdiction of the PUC. Therefore, the permit for a pipeline carrying carbon dioxide should NOT be approved.

