

From: Brian Hoffman [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, January 8, 2025 9:42 PM
To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>
Subject: [EXT] Comments for meeting in Aberdeen January 17th Docket # HP22-001 SCS application

Commissioners:

I believe Summits request to override county setbacks and ordinances is reason enough to deny this permit request. There are two possible routes through

McPherson County without changing setbacks or ordinances. I believe Summits claim that the County is too restrictive is more of an issue of following the

setbacks and ordinances is too inconvenient for them. If the commission, for some reason considers the idea of over riding the Counties, I hope the Commission has the

courtesy to sit down with the county commissioners and zoning board members and discuss the reasons these setbacks and ordinances were put in place and are appropriate for their

counties. Each county has different considerations to be met and there should not be a one size fits all when it comes to setbacks and ordinances. Summit has shown itself to be

unreliable and unrelatable and is not a company I want to be forced to work with. The citizens of South Dakota spoke loud and clear, with the defeat of RL-21, that they do not

want this inexperienced, disrespectful company putting a pipeline through our state.

Respectfully,

Brian Hoffman

[REDACTED]

Leola, SD

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]