BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SCS CARBON TRANSPORT LLC FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A CARBON DIOXIDE PIPELINE.

HP24-001

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF

WALTER BONES, TURNER COUNTY, SD

ON BEHALF OF

SUMMIT CARBON SOLUTIONS, LLC

EXHIBIT #

- 1 Q. Please state your name and business address for the record.
- 2 A. My name is Walter Bones (formally known as Walter I. Bones III). My address is 46036
- 3 268th Street, Chancellor, SD 57015.
- 4 Q. What is your occupation?
- 5 A. I am a retired Turner County farmer, retired from our farming partnership at the end of
- 6 2021.
- 7 Q. Please briefly describe your operation.
- 8 A. I was born and raised on a multigenerational diversified family farm homesteaded by my
- 9 great grandfather, John Bones, in 1879. I farmed full-time after graduating from Iowa State
- 10 University in 1974 with an animal science degree. In 1985, my three brothers, two brothers-in-
- law, and myself started Hexad Farms, a general partnership, that was to be the operating entity
- 12 for our family corporation, Bones Hereford Ranch. For the last 25 years, the Hexad partners
- were just my two brothers Jim and Steve, one brother-in-law Lyle VanHove, and myself. We
- have been joined by my three nephews, Jim's son Matt, Steve's son Dan, and Lyle's son Mike.
- 15 They will be our farm's fifth generation.
- We raise corn and soybeans and have a beef cowherd. We are part owners of the Turner
- 17 County Dairy, background the replacement heifers in our feedlot for the Dairy, have a Channel
- seed dealership, collaborate with a couple neighbors on some rented farm ground, and do some
- 19 custom farm work.
- 20 I retired from Hexad Farms at the end of 2021. I serve as the president of Bones
- 21 Hereford Ranch, Inc., our family's land holding company.
- 22 Q. Please describe your affiliation with Summit, Dakota Ethanol, and Glacial Lakes
- 23 Energy?

- 1 A. I was an original shareholder of Glacial Lakes Energy and still have those shares. Hexad
- 2 Farms was an original shareholder/investor in Dakota Ethanol in Wentworth, SD, and in Glacial
- 3 Lakes Energy. They still own those original shares.

4 Q. What is the basis for your testimony?

- 5 A. I support Summit's CO₂ pipeline application, as I believe this project will enhance the
- 6 future opportunities and the long-term viability of our local ethanol plants which will guarantee a
- 7 profitable corn market for my nephews and their children if they would choose to be our farm's
- 8 6th generation. I also plan to testify that the Summit project is good for farmers, ethanol plants,
- 9 our local communities, and South Dakota in general. This is not about me ... I am retired. This
- 10 is about the young farmers and their opportunities.

11 Q. Why is the Summit project necessary for you/your neighbors/South

12 Dakota/Ethanol?

- 13 A. Our corn price, or basis, is set by three ethanol plants within 30 miles of our farm. If they
- cannot market their ethanol as a low carbon/high value fuel, that will take \$2.40 per bushel out of
- our local corn value chain (the low carbon fuel standard premium and the 45Q tax credits will
- add \$0.80 to each gallon of ethanol and, at 3 gallons of ethanol per bushel of corn, that is equal
- 17 to \$2.40).
- Not all of that will reach the farmer, but a high profit fuel equals a higher demand for
- 19 corn which equals a lower basis (if any at all). Also, if a farmer is vested in an ethanol plant
- 20 (some SD ethanol plants are 95% farmer owned), their annual dividends are projected to double.
- 21 The vested farmer's value will also appreciate. Every corn farmer in South Dakota will benefit,
- but those vested in their ethanol plants will get an added benefit.

- 1 The additional corn price or benefit comes to each corn farmer at no financial risk.
- 2 Unlike an early investment in an emerging ethanol industry where our investment was at risk,
- 3 Summit is paying landowners for the pipeline easements and installing all the necessary
- 4 equipment at the ethanol plants at no charge.
- 5 Corn farmers surround our local communities and eastern South Dakota. It is a well-
- 6 known fact that, if our farmers are profitable, they, in turn, spend more at our local businesses
- 7 which are then more profitable. A direct correlation that is undeniable.
- A higher corn price benefits every corn farmer, large or small. When a business (corn
- 9 farmer) is competing in a commodity market (like corn), size and scale is usually a huge
- advantage. A higher corn price helps level out that playing field.

11 Q. What does Summit mean to you in terms of opportunities to grow your operation?

- 12 A. Expansion, facility upgrades, equipment updates, technology updates, home and living
- additions for our families all come from what is left after the expenses are paid. Upgrades and
- 14 expansions come out of profits.
- We, like many others I am sure, will be using our easement payments to pay down some
- long-term loans which will improve our working capital position and lower our interest costs.
- 17 Q. What context is missing from the concerns stated by others?
- 18 A. I believe that you can always find what you look for ... another phrase for that is
- 19 confirmational bias. Being on the farm every day, we get blinders on, which shields us from the
- 20 outside world. If you polled South Dakotans, my guess is an overwhelming majority would not
- 21 think carbon is a major contributor to global temperature change, but we would be in a definite
- 22 minority domestically and on the world stage. That being said, those beliefs held by so many are

- 1 creating some amazing marketing opportunities for ethanol. The low carbon world is all around
- 2 us and here to stay.
- I also think that this whole pipeline / SB 201 / RL 21 debate has tragically gotten caught
- 4 up in an unfortunate and unfair struggle centered around more about a political ideology (in bed
- 5 with a stanch anti-ethanol crowd) resulting in a total disregard for our state's #1 industry.

6 Q. What fears do you have if the project is not completed?

- 7 A. I fear that South Dakota will be on an island. The western Iowa and Nebraska plants will
- 8 develop the existing pipeline running across Nebraska and into Wyoming. The North Dakota
- 9 ethanol plants will find a way to get their CO₂ to the western part of their state. Our ethanol
- plants will be left with trying to market high carbon, low value ethanol. I fear that would mean a
- lower corn price offered to our local farmers and may result in trucking our corn out of state to
- 12 get a better price.
- I also fear that it may limit other value-added projects from locating in South Dakota and
- 14 limiting the expansion of our existing ethanol plants. There are a lot of uses for very pure CO₂
- and, with a connecting infrastructure in place, it would be easy for a variety of industries to
- locate along the main pipeline. I think our South Dakota ethanol plants will survive, but not
- 17 thrive.

18 Q. Has your county acted against the project?

- 19 A. No, at least not yet. Turner County has been a very pro-value-added agriculture county.
- 20 We had one of our best ag supporters retire from the Commission after 32 years of service, so we
- 21 will see who replaces him. Minnehaha County has enacted some new setbacks, however.
- 22 Q. How do you feel about that? How do you feel about other counties which have tried
- 23 to stop the project?

- 1 A. I served on the Minnehaha County Planning and Zoning Board for 10 years, and I feel
- 2 that some of these counties have overstepped their authority. Only the PUC has the authority to
- 3 issue the permit to Summit. What really troubles me is a seismic shift in setback policy ... we
- 4 always based our setbacks on the distance from structures (homes, schools, etc.), and now some
- 5 setbacks are being set off property boundaries. For example, there may not even be a dwelling or
- 6 structure next to my property, but I may still have to be 330 feet from my neighbor's property
- 7 line. That would mean along a quarter section fence line, my neighbor has more say in what I
- 8 can do on 20 acres of my property than I do.
- 9 Also, I do not know of any other pipeline traversing South Dakota that operates under
- setbacks or ordinances set by any county. Not sure why this pipeline is being treated
- 11 differently??
- 12 Q. Do you have safety concerns about the Summit project?
- 13 A. No. The spur from the NuGen ethanol plant in Marion is projected to run 300 yards north
- of my home. We asked our land agent Annette to provide us with more information on the
- 15 construction and safety aspects of the project. She was able to bring the project engineer and one
- of his top safety professionals to our next meeting. That cleared up any safety and construction
- 17 concerns that we had.
- 18 Q. Is your ground part of the Summit project?
- 19 A. Yes. We have land that is on the projected route in both Turner and Minnehaha Counties.
- 20 Turner County approximately 1.25 miles. Minnehaha County approximately 0.5 miles (route
- 21 not finalized yet)
- 22 Q. How was your easement experience? Were you fairly treated and compensated?

- 1 A. We have not signed an easement agreement yet. We granted access for the surveys.
- 2 Summit sent us an offer on some of the tracts. We have submitted counteroffers and have not
- 3 heard back. On two tracts, we are still working on the routing.
- We have found Annette, our land agent, to be very knowledgeable, transparent, and
- 5 pleasant to work with.
- The survey process went well. The surveyors were professional, respectful, courteous,
- 7 and called almost too many times in advance to notify us of their visits.
- 8 Q. Does your ground currently have other easements?
- 9 A. Yes. A natural gas pipeline which supplies the NuGen ethanol plant in Marion, a major
- 10 fiber optic cable running parallel to SD Hwy 42 west of Sioux Falls, and numerous telephone
- and residential fiber optic lines that are mostly in the road rights of way.
- 12 Q. What has been your overall experience with easements, both Summit and others?
- 13 A. If done correctly, we have seen very little impact to the productivity of our fields. For
- 14 example, our black dirt (topsoil) layer is very thin but an extremely important part of our land's
- productivity. The condition of that topsoil is the legacy our generation leaves to the generation
- 16 following us. So, if done correctly, the topsoil layer would be taken off first, set aside, and then
- the clay. Once the pipe is installed, the process should be reversed. The clay soil should be put
- back first and the "black" topsoil last.
- We are still negotiating the terms of the Summit easements, so it may be too early for a
- 20 final comment. So far, the process has been transparent, informative, respectful, and
- 21 constructive.
- 22 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 23 A. Yes.

- 1 Dated this <u>5th</u> day of November, 2024.
- 2
- 3 /s/ Walter Bones
- 4 Walter Bones