Print or Type

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

Print Form

This form is only for persons who wish to be an active party in this docket. You do NOT need to be a party to submit comments.

In the Matter of the Application by SCS Carbon Transport LLC for a Permit to Construct a Carbon Dioxide Transmission Pipeline	
(Name of Applicant. This will be the person or entity named as a party.)	the Public Utilities Commission to be granted party status in this proceeding.
Place a check mark next to <u>each</u> item below that applies to you, adding a mileage number where requested.	This section is to be completed by the person requesting party status. All fields are required.
I am a person or organization that received official notification of the project via U.S. mail from the siting permit applicant.	
I reside within miles of the proposed project.	Mak Thom 1-8-25 Signature of Applicant Date Signed
Residential address if different from your mailing address:	
	Name of Applicant's Organization (if Applicable)
	Can be contacted via counsel
I own land withinmiles of the proposed project.	Applicant's Address (PO Box/St/Ave/Road)
Legal description: M. Phevos	Can be contacted via counsel
Sec/Twp/Rng 08-127N-067W	Applicant's Address (City, State, ZIP Code)
	402-493-4100
I officially represent a municipal, city, township,	Applicant's Phone Number or, if represented, Applicant's Attorney's Phone Number
county or other affected governmental agency within	SDco2@dominalaw.com
miles of the proposed project.	Applicant's E-mail Address* or, if represented, Applicant's Attorney's E-mail Address*
Explain your interest in applying for party status below.	The section below is to be completed by the Applicant's attorney, if represented. All fields are required.
See attachment A	Brian Jorde / Ryan Cwach
	Attorney's Printed/Typed Name
	Brian C. Jords 12/10/24
	Signature of Attorney Date Signed
	2425 S. 144th St.
	Attorney's Address (PO Box/St/Ave/Road)
	Omaha, NE 68144
	Attorney's Address (City, State, ZIP Code)
Doadling: This application must be filed with the Dublic	*The Commission processes its dockets electronically for time and cost efficiencies. Communication on the docket

Deadline: This application must be filed with the Public Utilities Commission on or before 5:00 p.m. CT, Jan. 24, 2025. File this completed form electronically at puc.sd.gov/EFilingOptions.aspx

If your submitted form is incomplete, you risk not being granted party status.

will be done via email to parties to this docket. Failure to

provide an email address may result in documents being

served upon the county auditor rather than sent directly

to the party, pursuant to SDCL 49-41B-17.1.

Attachment A

I have serious concerns about the Summit Carbon Solutions CO² pipeline for the following reasons: The pipeline inures benefit to a small group of investors while offering little public benefit. I don't believe that the amount of carbon claimed to be sequestered is enough to be considered a public benefit, given the massive intrusion on property rights that this pipeline requires. Certainly not enough public benefit to allow use of eminent domain. I also believe that the CI score improvements that some are claiming will save the corn ethanol industry are tenuous in that those CI targets are subjective and very likely to become increasingly stringent. The public benefit of "saving" corn ethanol will be consumed by increasing costs to the industry as they continue to chase ever-moving CI targets. Private property rights are important in South Dakota. Private firms that offer only the illusion of public benefit should not be permitted the same privileges as public and private utilities that serve large segments of the population with clear public benefit that could not otherwise be provided. The proposed pipeline comes with long term concerns about public safety and effects on property values while offering the short-term benefit of tax credit incentives to a few private investors. The pipeline siting permit is being requested by a company that has demonstrated a lack of respect and concern for South Dakota landowners that rises to the level of outright hostility toward them. Their desire to bully their ways through the state and wrest local control is well documented. I also have concerns about the amount of water that will be required for this project as well as the electrical demand, especially the amount of "Green" energy credit that will be consumed by this project.

Mark Thompson

Meh Thorps