
**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

**IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF NAVIGATOR HEARTLAND
GREENWAY, LLC FOR A PERMIT UNDER
THE SOUTH DAKOTA ENERGY
CONVERSION AND TRANSMISSION
FACILITIES ACT TO CONSTRUCT THE
HEARTLAND GREENWAY PIPELINE IN
SOUTH DAKOTA**

HP22-002

**SILVIA SECCHI
INITIAL PRE-FILED TESTIMONY
IN SUPPORT OF
LANDOWNER INTERVENORS**

Q: Please state your name and purpose for providing testimony in these proceedings.

A: My name is Silvia Secchi. The purpose of my testimony is to provide the PUC information helpful when considering Navigator's claims of economic impacts. I have researched prior claims by other similarly situated companies and there is a history of the claims being different from reality. While the promoters of these projects tend to argue that any incremental economic "benefit" in terms of one new tax dollar or one new, albeit typically temporary, job is enough to be entitled to approval, I encourage thoughtful analysis around the net impacts looking project wide and consider the negative economic effects as well.

Q: What experience, education, training, or background qualify you to provide opinions and your concerns as you have herein?

A: Please see a summary of my education and experience in **Attachment No. 1**.

Q: Does Attachment No. 2 to this testimony describe your opinions and concerns that you want the PUC to be aware of relative to the proposed CO2 pipeline in question?

A: Yes, that is a true and accurate copy of an article I authored discussing the claimed economic benefits of such CO2 pipelines and the reality based on historical research. I stand by my positions and opinions discussed therein and am competent

to testify about them as necessary. I urge the PUC to carefully consider this testimony during the Hearing in this matter and in your deliberations. I further reserve the right to amend or modify these opinions upon presentation of any additional information that may justify such a change.

Q: Does Attachment No. 3 to this testimony describe additional opinions and concerns that you want the PUC to be aware of relative to the proposed CO2 pipeline in question?

A: Yes, that is a true and accurate copy of an article I authored published in the Des Moines Register discussing exaggerated economic benefits of such CO2 pipelines. I stand by these opinions and am competent to testify about them.

/s/ Silvia Secchi

Silvia Secchi