From: Linda Schauer

Sent: Wednesday, March 23, 2022 8:28 PM

To: PUC-PUC < PUC@state.sd.us>

Subject: [EXT] #HP22-001 Summit Carbon Solutions LLC, Petition for a Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Permit

From: Sam and Linda Schauer

Sent: March 23, 2022

PUC Docket #(HP22-001) (Summit Carbon Solutions LLC, Petition for a Hazardous Liquid Pipeline

Permit)

Hazardous Liquid Pipeline Permit Docket

We are writing to express our opposition to the Summit Carbon Solutions CO2 hazardous pipeline project in South Dakota.

It appears that just because we are rural, that people in high places can take advantage of us for their own gain. We are not too rural. We live here. Our land is our livelihood. We feed the world. Our wealth is in our land, and our soil is irreplaceable. It is our heritage that we pass on to our children.

The current proposed route for the pipeline goes through our land and is a quarter mile from our shop where we work, and a quarter mile from another farmstead where a family with 3 children live. We are concerned about the safety if there should be a breach or leak. Workers who install the pipeline are human and they can make mistakes at the expense of the health and lives of other people. What about our livestock who feed in our fields in the fall?

What if there is an earthquake? It is not out of the question in South Dakota. There was an earthquake in the Pierre area about a decade ago. Closer to McPherson County, there was a minor earthquake in the Bowdle/Roscoe area in recent years too. So what would such a disaster do to a pipeline carrying hazardous material?

We were told that great care will be taken to preserve the top soil. (I don't believe anything that the proponents say.) Past experience tells us that the top soil gets mingled in with the sub soil and clay because they drive over it with their heavy equipment. It effects the water drainage and drain tile. Are the proponents going to supervise the installation process to insure the soils aren't mixed and packed into the ground? Or is the landowner supposed to monitor the workers who don't really care about the land?

We object to the use of eminent domain to force the taking of our land. This project is not for the public good as eminent domain was intended, but simply to line the pockets of the investors.

Please preserve our rights as landowners and our freedoms. Deny the permits and deny the use of eminent domain.

Sam & Linda Schauer

Leola, SD 57456