

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, September 5, 2023 4:36 PM

To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>

Subject: [EXT] HP22-001-In the Matter of the Application by SCS Carbon Transport LLC for a permit to construct a Carbon Dioxide Transmission Pipeline

I am a landowner in Kingsbury County in receipt of a summons to acquire an easement through the middle of the majority of my family's farmland by process of eminent domain. The rent from this land has provided for the livelihood for my parents for 80+ years, and we do not wish to have this pipeline placed on it.

My reasons are as follow:

We are not able to insure the land with a carbon sequestration pipeline on it.

As a landowner, we may be liable for damages caused by a breach in the pipeline.

Once the easement is obtained, the company maintains control of it, even if the project is discontinued.

We already have a DAPL (Dakota Access Pipeline) valve site on the land. An additional pipeline would remove more land from farming activities.

The land over the pipeline will have reduced productivity for many years.

The carbon sequestration pipelines require millions of gallons of water per ethanol plant, per year, to cool the carbon dioxide before it can be piped to the sequestration site. How will that contaminated water be prevented from affecting the ecosystem?

As a South Dakota citizen and landowner, I believe we need to be protective of our water supplies and aquifers. Using excessive amounts water for an ineffective method of carbon reduction is not acceptable.

Thank you for your consideration of my family's concerns regarding this project.

Sincerely,

Mary Graham

[REDACTED]
Sturgis, SD. 57785

Re: Charles Logan family farm, Kingsbury County