From: Donald Klassen

Sent: Tuesday, April 5, 2022 1:22 PM
To: PUC-PUC < PUC@state.sd.us >
Subject: [EXT] SCS pipeline

Harrisburg, SD 57032 April 5, 2022

Public Utilities Commission 500 E Capitol Ave Pierre, SD 57501

Re: PUC Docket HP22-001

The proposed liquefied carbon dioxide 20" pipeline would run right through Lincoln County, South Dakota, entering Dayton from the east, passing through LaValley Township and Perry Township, then angling northwest through Delapre Township, exiting about a mile south of the northwest corner of Delapre Township.

Because we live a mile north of the proposed liquefied carbon dioxide 20" pipeline, we are not directly affected. However, because the proposed liquefied carbon dioxide 20" pipeline is proposed to be only 5 miles from the Sioux Falls City Limit, I believe that is too close to Sioux Falls. A few years ago, Lincoln County was the 2nd fastest growing township in the United States and then was moved to the 3rd fastest growing township. As a result of living only 4 miles south of Sioux Falls City Limits, our property assessment skyrocketed 233% a year ago and another outrageous 85% this year! In a few years Sioux Falls could be on top of the pipeline and nobody would be able to develop their property. Therefore, for northern Lincoln County to be a proposed site for the proposed liquefied carbon dioxide 20" pipeline is, in my opinion, a major mistake. I read the fine print from Dakota Rural Action and am appalled by the fact that there is no backing out of a voluntary easement after it has been signed. "A permanent easement preempts the landowner's future land **development** plans for the property." A voluntary easement allows SCS full access to the entire property - 24 hours a day. ❷ Furthermore, SCS passes on liability to the landowners and can SUE if there is a problem! 8 In addition, no insurance coverage is available! 8

In all due respect to you as our elected Public Utilities Commission, I urge you to enter an OBJECTION to the Docket HP22-001 that would allow SCS pipeline to pass through northern Lincoln County. I see no reason that the pipeline <u>cannot bypass Lincoln County completely</u> on the south and on the west sides OR at least cross through the <u>southern</u> part of Lincoln County, south of County Road 134, 14 miles south of the Sioux Falls City Limit, instead of crossing through <u>northern Lincoln County</u> within 5 miles.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Donald R. Klassen

Donald R. Klassen