

Jamie Fisk



Tulare, SD 57476

Spink County

3/23/22

Commission Chairman Chris Nelson

Commissioner Gary Hanson

Commissioner – appointed by Governor Noem – Josh Haeder

Concern 1: Easement Process – Have a Letter or Form posted on the Docket and in Writing to the Landowner, that explains what the Landowner can Negotiate before accepting and signing. Examples: Depth, Setback from buildings, feed lots, dwellings, etc.

Concern 2: As the process of easement proceeds, Maps should be updated at a minimum of once every 2 weeks. The route changes daily and landowners are not aware how this will affect them.

Concern 3: Why not set this up like CO-OP's are set up. The Landowner would be invested and receive a dividend every year based on the income that the pipeline generates.

Concern 4: Damage to Crops – 115% 1st year, 80% 2nd year, 60% 3rd year is what SCS is proposing. What happens in 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 years up to the life expectancy of the pipeline?

Concern 5: Liability both SCS's and the Landowner's should be explained on the Docket and in Writing to the Landowner at time of signing. This should include SCS's Liability, if there is a leak and death should occur to any living organism. It should also explain what the Landowners Liability would be if they damage or disturb the pipeline.

Concern 6: Endangered Species: SCS has avoided crossing any S.D. Game, Fish, and Parks Land, also any Federal Land with this project. What about the many Native Plants that exist on SD's Native Prairie?

All of these concerns have been addressed to at least 2 of SCS's Engineers. Each time they have stated that these concerns can be covered during the Easement Process. Why are these NOT addressed on the Docket or in Writing to the Landowners before they are approached with the Easement?

Several times this project has been compared to an Oil, Natural Gas, and Rural Water Pipeline. Neither of them has a 2000 plus Psi. It is an unfair comparison and is misleading.