

**From:** Kay and Arny [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 30, 2022 3:58 PM  
**To:** PUC-PUC <[PUC@state.sd.us](mailto:PUC@state.sd.us)>  
**Subject:** [EXT] PUC Docket:HP22-001 Summit CO2 Pipeline

Docket Number HP22-001

SCS Carbon Transport, LLC

Kay Burkhart  
[REDACTED]

Valley Springs, SD 57068  
[REDACTED]

Dear Sirs,

I received a letter from May Adam Law firm letting me know that I have a piece of property that will be affected by the SCS Pipeline. It also said, if I would like to comment, I could go the PUC meeting to present my views. I own more than one piece of property so I do not know which piece they may be going through. I emailed Brett Koenecke at May Adam, but he did not know where it was. He had Don Child call me from Summit, and he said it was a mistake. That answer did not seem honest to me. I know three neighbors who also received the same letter. One of the neighbors (Lyle Rollag) sent me the following text after calling May Adam:

“Talked to a guy who said he was "an" attorney but not "the" attorney for the pipeline. Said they just mailed info to the list of people supplied by Summit. He said he has fielded many ? about the pipeline but mine was a first. Said he'd pass the question on to Summit and get back to me. Not holding my breath. Asked if we could be an alternative route since I knew of several people in my situation, but he claimed ignorance on that subject.”

We suspect we are the alternative route, but really don't know that. I've been trying to figure out who else to contact.

We are so confused and frustrated. Are we or are we not on the pipeline route? Can I be an intervener or not? Which property is affected? How were we supposed to make comments at the PUC meeting in March if we did not know anything? The map on the PUC site is not detailed enough to tell exactly where the pipeline will go.

I feel they are purposefully keeping information from us so that we cannot intelligently oppose the pipeline. I am opposed to the pipeline for many of the same reasons you have already heard. I really think not providing basic information is a great way for SCS to deal with the opposition.

Sincerely,  
Kay