
2-1a

Data Request:

- 2-1) Refer to the Project Overview Map and letter submitted by the Applicant on April 8, 2022.
- a) Refer to the two incorporated alternatives near Wetonka, SD in Edmunds and McPherson Counties.
- i. Were these alternative routes reflected in the Application? If yes, please provide a page or exhibit reference to the alternative route in the Application.
 - ii. Please explain why these alternative routes were selected.
 - iii. Has the Applicant provided the appropriate notice pursuant to 49-41B-5.2 for landowners on these alternative routes? If yes, please explain and provide a listing of the landowners served notice on the alternative route to fulfil this requirement.

Response:

a (i): These variations were developed based on landowner requests to re-route the pipeline on their property after the application was filed. The landowners crossed by these variations were part of the notification corridor and letters were sent out when the Application was filed. In direct response to the question, no, these specific changes were not a part of the Application. SCS has proceeded with revising the route on landowner property as negotiations take place.

a (ii):

- The northern variation (McPherson County Alternative) at milepost 99.5 of SDM-106 minimized potential impacts on denied properties by moving the route to a parcel that is not denied and owned by a landowner who owns multiple parcels along the route.
- The southern variation (Edmunds County Alternative) at milepost 96 of SDM-106 was to accommodate a landowner request to traverse alternative parcels owned by the landowner.

2-1a

a (iii): In the McPherson County alternative at issue here, the Applicant's February notices were mailed to all landowners shown on the confidential attachment. The landowners crossed by these variations are identified on accompanying maps filed under *Confidential* as part of this data response.

In the Edmunds County alternative at issue here, all landowners on the alternative route were sent notice in February. There are five landowners of adjacent parcels who were not notified by mail in February. These landowners' own property southwest of the Edmunds Alternative Route. The project is not seeking an easement from any of the five. The landowners crossed by these variations are identified on accompanying maps filed under *Confidential* as part of this data response.

All of these landowners are presumed to have gotten notice by the publication made by the Commission pursuant to law. Its unclear as to what "requirement" you are speaking.

2-1b

Data Request:

- 2-1) Refer to the Project Overview Map and letter submitted by the Applicant on April 8, 2022.
- b) Refer to the incorporated alternative north of Brandt Lake in Lake County
- i. Was this alternative route reflected in the Application? If yes, please provide a page or exhibit reference to the alternative route in the Application.
 - ii. Please explain why this alternative route was selected.
 - iii. Has the Applicant provided the appropriate notice pursuant to 49-41B-5.2 for landowners on this alternative route?

Response:

b) (i): This variation was developed based on landowner requests to re-route the pipeline on their property after the Application was filed. The landowners crossed by this variation were part of the notification corridor and letters were sent out when the Application was filed. In direct response to the question, no, these specific changes were not a part of the Application. SCS has proceeded with revising the route on landowner property as negotiations take place.

b) (ii): The variation (Lake County Alternative) at milepost 0.5 of SDT-206 moved the centerline from crossing the front yards of residents, eliminated two crossings of County Highway 19, and avoided tree clearing.

b) (iii): The applicant's February notices were mailed to all of the landowners on the alternative route, and to all adjacent parcels. The landowners crossed by this variation are identified on accompanying maps filed under Confidential as part of this data response. We attempted to refrain from sending duplicate notices to the same mailing address, thus, a number of landowners were notified through mail at the same address including other entities if applicable.

2-1c

Data Request:

- 2-1) Refer to the Project Overview Map and letter submitted by the Applicant on April 8, 2022.
- c) Please confirm the other incorporated alternatives in the Project Overview Map were included in the Application and properly noticed pursuant to 49-41B-5.2.

Response:

c)(i): Landowners on the alternative routes were sent notice as reflected in the proof of notice filed in the docket. Additionally, all persons received constructive notice through the publication by the Commission pursuant to law.

2-1d

Data Request:

- 2-1) Refer to the Project Overview Map and letter submitted by the Applicant on April 8, 2022.
- d) In the letter accompanying the Project Overview Map, the Applicant stated the "SD PUC application and exhibits will be updated to reflect these changes in the near future."
- i. Please provided the update to the application and exhibits referenced on April 8, 2022, within 10 business days.
 - ii. When the maps are updated, can the Applicant include index\master vicinity maps that show where each individual map is located within the county, legible street labels at 100% scale, and the final pipeline route without alternatives? If no, please explain.

Response:

d)(i): The updates to the Application and exhibits are in process and coupled with the ongoing landowner negotiations and field surveys will be filed when ready. We have provided new figures (attached Figure 1, 2, and 3) to reflect the changes made that accommodate landowner requests shown in the April 8th Figure 2 provided to the PUC and described in the responses to a) and b).

d)(ii): Yes, these index/master vicinity maps will be provided when ready.

2-1e

Data Request:

2-1) Refer to the Project Overview Map and letter submitted by the Applicant on April 8, 2022.

e) Please provide the GIS shape files for the final route.

Response:

e) The shapefiles for the current route will be provided when ready. Shapefiles for the final route will be provided when the facility is in service.