

Table 1: Anticipated Permits or Reviews for the Project in South Dakota

| AGENCY | PERMIT | AGENCY ACTION | ESTIMATED APPLICATION SUBMITTAL DATE |
|---|---|---|---|
| Federal | | | |
| U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), Omaha District - South Dakota Regulatory Office | Sections 404 Clean Water Act for discharge of fill in water of the U.S.; Section 10 Rivers and Harbors Act Permit for crossing navigable waters of the U.S. | Authorization of discharge of fill material into waters of the U.S. and structures crossing navigable waters | Submitted October 2022 Addendum Submitted March 2023 |
| | Section 408 Review | <u>USACE determined there are no USACE interest properties, no review required</u> | <u>NA</u> |
| U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service | Section 7 Consultation - Endangered Species Act | Federally listed threatened and endangered species affect determination review and concurrence. | October 2022; <u>BA to be submitted Q3 2023</u> |
| State Historic Preservation Officer | Section 106 Consultation - National Historic Preservation Act | Effects Determination and associated mitigation. | Initial review of 2021 survey results February 2022; <u>Updated memo provided to SHPO week of 8/28/23 for route and survey status; full report to be completed after survey season in 2023.</u> |
| Pipeline Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) | 49 CFR Part 195 | Integrity Management Plan and Emergency Response Plan | Prior to operations |
| Federal Highways Administration | Crossing Permit | Issuance of permits for the crossing of federally funded highways. | <u>Q3 2023</u> |
| State | | | |
| South Dakota Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources | 401 Water Quality Certification (WQC) | Issuance of certification <u>occurs with USACE NWP 58 issuance.</u> | <u>Issued with USACE NWP 58</u> |
| | Surface Water Discharge General Permit for Temporary Discharge Activities and a Temporary Water Rights Use Permit (SDG070000) | Issuance of permit for hydrostatic test water discharge and construction dewatering to waters of the State, and Temporary Water Use Permit. | <u>Q1//Q2 2024</u> |
| | Surface Water Discharge General Permit for Stormwater Discharges Associated with | Issuance of permits for discharges associated with activity that causes land | <u>Q1/Q2 2024</u> |

Table 1: Anticipated Permits or Reviews for the Project in South Dakota

| AGENCY | PERMIT | AGENCY ACTION | ESTIMATED APPLICATION SUBMITTAL DATE |
|--|---|--|---|
| South Dakota Department of Transportation | Construction Activities Permit (SDR100000) | disturbance equal to or greater than one acre. | |
| | Standard Water Rights Permit | Review and make a recommendation for appropriation of water from a state jurisdictional waterbody during construction activities if authorization is not issued under the Temporary Water Rights Use Permit. | Q1/Q2 2024 |
| | Application for Permit to Occupy Right of Way | Issuance of permits to occupy right of way. | Q3 2023 |
| South Dakota Department of Game, Fish, and Parks | State Listed Species Review | Review and authorization. | Concurrent with USFWS BA review |
| Local | | | |
| County Road Departments | Crossing Permits | Issuance of permits for crossing county roads. | Q1/Q2 2024 |
| County and Local Authorities | Road Haul Agreements | Negotiated agreements between counties and the Applicant. | Q1/Q2 2024 |
| | Floodplain, Conditional Use, and building permits | Review and approval. | Q1 2024 |
| | Special or Conditional Use Permits, where required | Review and approval. | Q1 2024 |
| Municipal Water Use Agreements (if required) | Negotiated agreements between municipalities and the Applicant. | Q2 2024 | |

Table 2: Project Facilities in South Dakota

| ID | FACILITY TYPE ¹ | LENGTH (miles) ² | NOMINAL DIAMETER (inches) | COUNTY | BEGINNING MILEPOST | END MILEPOST | ASSOCIATED PIPELINE |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| Pipelines | | | | | | | |
| NDM-106 | Main Line | <u>26.14</u> | 24 | McPherson | 0.00 | <u>26.14</u> | NA |
| NDT-211 | Trunk Line | 3.00 | 12 | Brown | <u>88.78</u> | <u>91.78</u> | NA |
| NDT-211 | Trunk Line | <u>21.96</u> | 12 | McPherson | <u>91.78</u> | <u>113.74</u> | NA |
| SDL-320 | Lateral | <u>19.78</u> | 6 | Sully | 0.00 | <u>19.78</u> | NA |
| SDL-320 | Lateral | <u>18.82</u> | 6 | Hyde | <u>19.78</u> | <u>38.60</u> | NA |
| SDL-320 | Lateral | <u>31.36</u> | 6 | Hand | <u>38.60</u> | <u>69.96</u> | NA |
| SDL-320 | Lateral | <u>10.39</u> | 6 | Spink | 69.96 | <u>80.34</u> | NA |
| SDL-335 | <u>Lateral</u> | 0.43 | 4 | Edmunds | 0.00 | <u>0.43</u> | NA |
| SDL-336 | <u>Lateral</u> | <u>0.54</u> | 4 | Spink | 0.00 | <u>0.54</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | <u>23.32</u> | 24 | Lincoln | <u>27.19</u> | <u>50.52</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | <u>3.07</u> | 24 | Turner | <u>50.52</u> | <u>53.58</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | <u>27.61</u> | 24 | Minnehaha | <u>53.58</u> | <u>81.20</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | 2.24 | 24 | McCook | <u>81.20</u> | <u>83.43</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | 18.90 | 24 | Lake | <u>83.43</u> | <u>102.33</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | <u>15.38</u> | 24 | Miner | <u>102.33</u> | <u>117.71</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | <u>29.43</u> | 24 | Kingsbury | <u>117.71</u> | <u>147.14</u> | NA |
| SDM-104 | Main Line | <u>4.12</u> | 24 | Beadle | <u>147.14</u> | <u>151.26</u> | NA |
| SDM-105 | Main Line | <u>7.39</u> | 24 | Beadle | 0.00 | 7.39 | NA |
| SDM-105 | Main Line | <u>51.80</u> | 24 | Spink | <u>7.39</u> | <u>59.19</u> | NA |
| SDM-105 | Main Line | <u>15.10</u> | 24 | Brown | 59.19 | <u>74.30</u> | NA |
| SDM-105 | Main Line | <u>22.16</u> | 24 | Edmunds | 74.30 | <u>96.46</u> | NA |
| SDM-105 | Main Line | <u>12.11</u> | 24 | McPherson | <u>96.46</u> | <u>108.57</u> | NA |
| SDT-206 | Trunk Line | <u>14.51</u> | 6 | Lake | 0.00 | <u>14.51</u> | NA |
| SDT-207 | Trunk Line | <u>23.77</u> | 6 | Beadle | 0.00 | <u>23.77</u> | NA |
| SDT-208 | Trunk Line | <u>12.84</u> | 6 | Codington | 0.00 | <u>12.84</u> | NA |
| SDT-208 | Trunk Line | <u>13.17</u> | 6 | Hamlin | <u>12.84</u> | <u>26.00</u> | NA |
| SDT-208 | Trunk Line | 22.01 | 6 | Clark | <u>26.00</u> | <u>48.01</u> | NA |
| SDT-208 | Trunk Line | 2.54 | 8 | Beadle | <u>48.01</u> | <u>50.56</u> | NA |
| SDT-209 | Trunk Line | <u>12.41</u> | 8 | Spink | 0.00 | <u>12.41</u> | NA |
| SDT-210 | Trunk Line | <u>10.13</u> | 6 | Brown | 0.00 | <u>10.13</u> | NA |
| SDT-210 | Trunk Line | 1.81 | 6 | Edmunds | <u>10.13</u> | <u>11.94</u> | NA |
| Pump Stations | | | | | | | |
| <u>Hartford</u> (MPS-04) | Pump Station | NA | NA | Minnehaha | <u>69.57</u> | <u>69.57</u> | SDM-104 |
| <u>Manchester</u> (MPS-05) | Pump Station | NA | NA | Beadle | <u>0.01</u> | <u>0.01</u> | SDM-105 |

Table 2: Project Facilities in South Dakota

| ID | FACILITY TYPE ¹ | LENGTH (miles) ² | NOMINAL DIAMETER (inches) | COUNTY | BEGINNING MILEPOST | END MILEPOST | ASSOCIATED PIPELINE |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Ashton (MPS-06) | Pump Station | NA | NA | Brown | 71.73 | 71.73 | SDM-105 |
| Leola (MPS-07) | Pump Station | NA | NA | McPherson | 0.07 | 0.07 | NDM-106 |
| Mainline Valves | | | | | | | |
| MLV-106-01* | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 0.12 | 0.12 | NDM-106 |
| MLV-106-01-A | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 9.45 | 9.45 | NDM-106 |
| MLV-106-02 | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 15.26 | 15.26 | NDM-106 |
| MLV-211-09 | MLV | NA | NA | Brown | 89.70 | 89.70 | NDT-211 |
| MLV-211-09-A | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 103.95 | 103.95 | NDT-211 |
| MLV-211-10* | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 113.61 | 113.61 | NDT-211 |
| MLV-320-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Sully | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDL-320 |
| MLV-320-01-A | MLV | NA | NA | Sully | 3.59 | 3.59 | SDL-320 |
| MLV-320-02 | MLV | NA | NA | Hyde | 22.87 | 22.87 | SDL-320 |
| MLV-320-03 | MLV | NA | NA | Hand | 42.63 | 42.63 | SDL-320 |
| MLV-320-04 | MLV | NA | NA | Hand | 61.34 | 61.34 | SDL-320 |
| MLV-320-05* | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 80.34 | 80.34 | SDL-320 |
| MLV-335-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Edmunds | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDL-335 |
| MLV-335-02* | MLV | NA | NA | Edmunds | 0.41 | 0.41 | SDL-335 |
| MLV-336-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDL-336 |
| MLV-336-02* | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 0.53 | 0.53 | SDL-336 |
| MLV-104-06 | MLV | NA | NA | Lincoln | 27.46 | 27.46 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-07 | MLV | NA | NA | Lincoln | 43.61 | 43.61 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-07-A | MLV | NA | NA | Lincoln | 50.50 | 50.50 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-08-B | MLV | NA | NA | Minnehaha | 62.02 | 62.02 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-08* | MLV | NA | NA | Minnehaha | 69.53 | 69.53 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-08-A* | MLV | NA | NA | Minnehaha | 69.61 | 69.61 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-09* | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 85.56 | 85.56 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-09-A | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 94.40 | 94.40 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-10 | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 100.41 | 100.41 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-10-A | MLV | NA | NA | Miner | 113.55 | 113.55 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-11 | MLV | NA | NA | Kingsbury | 118.84 | 118.84 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-11-A | MLV | NA | NA | Kingsbury | 130.83 | 130.83 | SDM-104 |

Table 2: Project Facilities in South Dakota

| ID | FACILITY TYPE ¹ | LENGTH (miles) ² | NOMINAL DIAMETER (inches) | COUNTY | BEGINNING MILEPOST | END MILEPOST | ASSOCIATED PIPELINE |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| MLV-104-11-B* | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 150.61 | 150.61 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-12* | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 151.21 | 151.21 | SDM-104 |
| MLV-104-13* | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 0.06 | 0.06 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-01-C | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 8.42 | 8.42 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-01 | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 18.88 | 18.88 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-01-B | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 27.27 | 27.27 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-01-A* | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 35.78 | 35.78 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-03 | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 51.32 | 51.32 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-04 | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 53.32 | 53.32 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-05 | MLV | NA | NA | Brown | 62.70 | 62.70 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-06 | MLV | NA | NA | Brown | 65.58 | 65.58 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-02* | MLV | NA | NA | Brown | 71.73 | 71.73 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-07* | MLV | NA | NA | Edmunds | 81.83 | 81.83 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-08 | MLV | NA | NA | Edmunds | 88.24 | 88.24 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-08-A | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 101.07 | 101.07 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-105-09* | MLV | NA | NA | McPherson | 108.56 | 108.56 | SDM-105 |
| MLV-206-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDT-206 |
| MLV-206-02 | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 2.95 | 2.95 | SDT-206 |
| MLV-206-03 | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 4.65 | 4.65 | SDT-206 |
| MLV-206-04* | MLV | NA | NA | Lake | 14.50 | 14.50 | SDT-206 |
| MLV-207-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDT-207 |
| MLV-207-01-A | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 3.91 | 3.91 | SDT-207 |
| MLV-207-02 | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 8.97 | 8.97 | SDT-207 |
| MLV-207-03 | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 12.86 | 12.86 | SDT-207 |
| MLV-207-04* | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 23.73 | 23.73 | SDT-207 |
| MLV-208-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Codington | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDT-208 |
| MLV-208-01-C | MLV | NA | NA | Codington | 8.54 | 8.54 | SDT-208 |
| MLV-208-01-A | MLV | NA | NA | Hamlin | 13.38 | 13.38 | SDT-208 |
| MLV-208-01-B | MLV | NA | NA | Hamlin | 21.13 | 21.13 | SDT-208 |
| MLV-208-02-A | MLV | NA | NA | Clark | 27.47 | 27.47 | SDT-208 |
| MLV-208-03 | MLV | NA | NA | Clark | 40.79 | 40.79 | SDT-208 |
| MLV-208-04* | MLV | NA | NA | Beadle | 50.54 | 50.54 | SDT-208 |

Table 2: Project Facilities in South Dakota

| ID | FACILITY TYPE ¹ | LENGTH (miles) ² | NOMINAL DIAMETER (inches) | COUNTY | BEGINNING MILEPOST | END MILEPOST | ASSOCIATED PIPELINE |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| MLV-209-01 | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 1.75 | 1.75 | SDT-209 |
| MLV-209-02* | MLV | NA | NA | Spink | 12.40 | 12.40 | SDT-209 |
| MLV-210-01* | MLV | NA | NA | Brown | 0.00 | 0.00 | SDT-210 |
| <u>MLV-210-01-A</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Brown</u> | <u>7.04</u> | <u>7.04</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> |
| MLV-210-02* | MLV | NA | NA | Edmunds | <u>11.91</u> | <u>11.91</u> | SDT-210 |
| Launcher-Receivers Sites | | | | | | | |
| PLR-01 | Launcher-Receiver | NA | NA | Edmunds | <u>11.93</u> | <u>11.93</u> | SDT-210 |
| PLR-02 | Launcher-Receiver | NA | NA | Spink | <u>12.41</u> | <u>12.41</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> |
| PLR-04 | Launcher-Receiver | NA | NA | Beadle | <u>150.63</u> | <u>150.63</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> |
| PLR-05 | Launcher-Receiver | NA | NA | Lake | <u>85.56</u> | <u>85.56</u> | SDM-104 |
| PLR-15 | Launcher-Receiver | NA | NA | Edmunds | <u>0.41</u> | <u>0.41</u> | SDL-335 |
| PLR-20 | Launcher-Receiver | NA | NA | Spink | <u>0.54</u> | <u>0.54</u> | SDL- <u>336</u> |
| <u>DELW-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Lake</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> |
| <u>GLEA-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Brown</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> |
| <u>GLEH-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Beadle</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDT-207</u> |
| <u>GLEM-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Edmunds</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDL-335</u> |
| <u>GLEW-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Codington</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> |
| <u>REFO-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Sully</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> |
| <u>RFER-LR</u> | <u>Launcher-Receiver</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>NA</u> | <u>Spink</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>0.00</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> |

Notes:

There are 44 temporary access roads for construction and 58 permanent access roads for operation totaling 8.39 miles.

¹ Main lines are pipelines that carry CO₂ from trunk lines to the sequestration facility.

Trunk lines are pipelines that carry CO₂ from ethanol plants to mainlines or from lateral pipelines to the mainline.

Laterals are pipelines that carry CO₂ from ethanol plants to trunklines.

² Lengths are rounded for presentation purposes.

*Indicates valves located within pump stations, launcher/receivers, or capture facilities.

Table 3: Land Requirements for the Project (Acres)

| FACILITY | CONSTRUCTION ¹ | OPERATIONS ² |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Pipelines | <u>5,873.4</u> | <u>2,890.1</u> |
| Pump Stations | <u>8.9</u> | <u>8.9</u> |
| MLVs | <u>2.3</u> | <u>2.3</u> |
| Launcher-Receivers | <u>3.1</u> | <u>3.1</u> |
| Access Roads | <u>29.9</u> | <u>11.7</u> |
| ATWS | <u>523.6</u> | <u>0.00</u> |
| TOTAL | <u>6,441.2</u> | <u>2,916.1</u> |

Notes:

¹ Acreage for construction includes both construction (temporary) and operations (permanent) footprint.

² Acreage for operations includes only permanent footprint.

Table 4: Collocation of Pipelines in South Dakota

| ROUTE | PIPELINE LENGTH (miles) | COLLOCATION LENGTH (miles) | PERCENT COLLOCATED |
|---------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| SDL-320 | 80.34 | 3.20 | 3.98% |
| SDL-335 | 0.43 | 0.15 | 34.74% |
| SDL-336 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.00% |
| NDT-211 | 24.96 | 2.18 | 8.72% |
| SDT-206 | 14.51 | 1.78 | 12.25% |
| SDT-207 | 23.77 | 2.65 | 11.14% |
| SDT-208 | 50.56 | 27.35 | 54.10% |
| SDT-209 | 12.41 | 0.27 | 2.21% |
| SDT-210 | 11.94 | 4.26 | 35.71% |
| SDM-104 | 124.06 | 60.95 | 49.12% |
| SDM-105 | 108.57 | 8.23 | 7.58% |
| NDM-106 | 26.14 | 5.45 | 21.87% |
| ALL PIPELINES | 478.23 | 116.47 | 24.35% |

Table 5: Route Variance Log ¹

| ROUTE ID | MILEPOST START | MILEPOST STOP | LENGTH CHANGE (+/- IN FT) | REASON FOR CHANGE |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| NDM-106 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 203.1 | Avoidance of landowner's property |
| NDM-106 | 0.58 | 2.02 | 169.3 | Avoidance of sensitive resources and wetlands. Adjustment of route to HDD under sensitive resources |
| NDM-106 | 2.36 | 2.85 | -27.6 | Adjusted route to remain in survey corridor |
| NDM-106 | 5.66 | 5.77 | 10.8 | Adjusted route for road crossing |
| NDM-106 | 7.24 | 8.30 | -368.3 | Adjustment of route to HDD under sensitive resources |
| NDM-106 | 8.72 | 8.99 | 66.0 | Avoidance of sensitive resources. Relocated temporary workspace. |
| NDM-106 | 9.45 | 9.45 | 0.0 | Addition of MLV 106-01-A to protect Long Lake Other Populated Area (OPA) |
| NDM-106 | 9.86 | 10.30 | 14.9 | Avoidance of sensitive resources. Modification to flatten PIs |
| NDM-106 | 10.81 | 11.75 | 1,028.4 | Avoidance of sensitive resources and protected wetlands |
| NDM-106 | 11.89 | 12.29 | 33.6 | Avoidance of sensitive resources |
| NDM-106 | 15.29 | 16.46 | 435.2 | Avoidance of sensitive resources and undisturbed areas |
| NDM-106 | 17.13 | 17.84 | 358.0 | Avoidance of sensitive resources and protected wetlands |
| NDM-106 | 20.73 | 21.90 | -2.7 | Avoidance of sensitive resources and adjustment for HDD crossing |
| NDM-106 | 22.23 | 22.55 | 71.3 | Avoidance of sensitive resources |
| NDT-211 | 104.75 | 105.06 | 101.7 | Addition of bore to avoid sensitive resources |
| NDT-211 | 105.28 | 106.20 | 499.0 | Avoidance of sensitive resources and protected wetlands. Engineering modifications to better pipeline route. |
| NDT-211 | 106.27 | 107.38 | 22.4 | Avoidance of sensitive resources |
| SDL-320 | 42.57 | 42.60 | 8.6 | Modification to remove workspace from within the roadside fence |
| SDM-104 | 45.37 | 45.68 | 1.4 | Moved centerline away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 46.26 | 46.32 | 0.0 | Addition of HDD to avoid impacts to waterline |

Table 5: Route Variance Log ¹

| ROUTE ID | MILEPOST START | MILEPOST STOP | LENGTH CHANGE (+/- IN FT) | REASON FOR CHANGE |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| SDM-104 | 46.66 | 46.86 | -0.5 | Moved centerline away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 48.86 | 49.12 | -21.8 | Moved centerline away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 50.50 | 50.50 | 0.0 | Addition of MLV MLB-104-07-A to protect OPAs near Sioux Falls |
| SDM-104 | 51.99 | 52.13 | 13.0 | Engineering modification to better the crossing angle |
| SDM-104 | 53.55 | 54.12 | 0.9 | Moved centerline away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 56.09 | 56.35 | 1.1 | Moved workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 58.90 | 59.00 | 33.9 | Adjust temporary workspace. Engineering modification to revise crossing angle. |
| SDM-104 | 59.65 | 59.85 | 9.7 | Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 62.91 | 63.58 | -12.4 | Engineering modification to straighten pipeline and remove a PI. |
| SDM-104 | 64.22 | 64.56 | -23.1 | Engineering modification to straighten pipeline. |
| SDM-104 | 65.95 | 66.33 | 0.0 | Workspace modification to avoid impacts to wetland. |
| SDM-104 | 68.45 | 68.48 | 10.6 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 74.61 | 74.76 | 38.3 | Engineering modification to adjust crossing angle |
| SDM-104 | 76.83 | 77.56 | 2.0 | Adjust temporary workspace to avoid impacts to wetlands |
| SDM-104 | 83.64 | 83.99 | 0.5 | Moved workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 85.55 | 85.58 | -0.1 | Addition of additional temporary workspace for road crossing |
| SDM-104 | 91.16 | 92.46 | -0.8 | Moved centerline away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 94.40 | 94.40 | 0.0 | Addition of MLV-104-09-A to protect Winfred OPA |
| SDM-104 | 95.36 | 95.48 | 42.1 | Moved workspace away from existing pipeline. Engineering modification to revise crossing angle |

Table 5: Route Variance Log ¹

| ROUTE ID | MILEPOST START | MILEPOST STOP | LENGTH CHANGE (+/- IN FT) | REASON FOR CHANGE |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| SDM-104 | 107.15 | 107.47 | 15.2 | Engineering modification to revise crossing angle |
| SDM-104 | 109.01 | 109.35 | 8.0 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 112.73 | 112.82 | 14.7 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 113.55 | 113.55 | 0.0 | Addition of MLV-104-10-A to protect Colony OPA |
| SDM-104 | 124.75 | 124.98 | -1.2 | Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 125.35 | 125.38 | 5.2 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 126.65 | 126.85 | -3.3 | Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 127.01 | 127.19 | 11.6 | Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 127.41 | 128.22 | 4.1 | Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 129.48 | 129.76 | 0.3 | Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline |
| SDM-104 | 134.68 | 134.71 | 1.5 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 138.04 | 138.10 | 3.0 | Engineering modification to better road crossing angle |
| SDM-104 | 143.17 | 143.18 | 7.4 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 144.93 | 144.97 | 19.3 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 145.87 | 147.13 | 9.5 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-104 | 150.61 | 150.65 | 32.2 | Route modifications within the launcher/receiver facility to accommodate the new MLV |
| SDM-105 | 8.42 | 8.42 | 0.0 | Addition of MLV-105-01-C to protect OPA |
| SDM-105 | 10.16 | 10.30 | 22.1 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-105 | 22.85 | 22.98 | 18.3 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-105 | 27.27 | 27.27 | 0.0 | Addition of MLV-105-01-B to protect Camrose Colony OPA |
| SDM-105 | 36.49 | 36.52 | 2.8 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-105 | 36.54 | 36.57 | 0.9 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-105 | 37.35 | 37.44 | 11.4 | Adjustment for bore crossing |
| SDM-105 | 41.05 | 41.12 | 5.7 | Adjustment for bore crossing |

Table 5: Route Variance Log ¹

| ROUTE ID | MILEPOST START | MILEPOST STOP | LENGTH CHANGE (+/- IN FT) | REASON FOR CHANGE |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>42.28</u> | <u>42.45</u> | <u>89.4</u> | <u>Avoidance of sensitive resources</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>43.09</u> | <u>43.51</u> | <u>-4.0</u> | <u>Engineering modification to straighten centerline and avoid a power pole</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>51.33</u> | <u>53.36</u> | <u>-104.1</u> | <u>Avoidance of impacts to sensitive features</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>53.67</u> | <u>53.74</u> | <u>4.4</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>58.19</u> | <u>58.26</u> | <u>4.6</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>60.64</u> | <u>62.06</u> | <u>-810.7</u> | <u>Reduction of route length</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>63.57</u> | <u>63.63</u> | <u>0.7</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>66.69</u> | <u>67.25</u> | <u>23.0</u> | <u>Modification to flatten PIs</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>71.63</u> | <u>71.79</u> | <u>1.8</u> | <u>Modification to accommodate pump station layout</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>75.87</u> | <u>75.89</u> | <u>0.5</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>78.18</u> | <u>78.28</u> | <u>3.4</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>84.06</u> | <u>84.20</u> | <u>5.1</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>87.80</u> | <u>88.22</u> | <u>-52.6</u> | <u>Adjustment to centerline to be within survey corridors</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>94.32</u> | <u>94.36</u> | <u>18.2</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>99.55</u> | <u>99.66</u> | <u>17.7</u> | <u>Adjustment for bore crossing</u> |
| <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>101.07</u> | <u>101.07</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>Addition of MLV-105-08-A to protect potential future OPA Deerfield Colony</u> |
| <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>14.49</u> | <u>14.51</u> | <u>68.9</u> | <u>Adjustment of pipeline due to launcher/receive facility modifications</u> |
| <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>3.91</u> | <u>3.91</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>Addition of MLV-207-01-A to protect Huron OPA</u> |
| <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>4.62</u> | <u>5.53</u> | <u>463.6</u> | <u>Modification of centerline due to landowner request</u> |
| <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>9.65</u> | <u>10.63</u> | <u>1.4</u> | <u>Adjustment of workspace to avoid impacts to protected wetland</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>0.03</u> | <u>0.27</u> | <u>-0.1</u> | <u>Adjustment of centerline due to modifications of launcher/receiver facility</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>8.54</u> | <u>8.54</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>Addition of MLV-208-01-C to protect Watertown OPA</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>14.53</u> | <u>14.64</u> | <u>20.3</u> | <u>Addition of temporary workspace</u> |

Table 5: Route Variance Log ¹

| ROUTE ID | MILEPOST START | MILEPOST STOP | LENGTH CHANGE (+/- IN FT) | REASON FOR CHANGE |
|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------------|--|
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>14.84</u> | <u>15.03</u> | <u>6.3</u> | <u>Moved centerline away from existing pipeline. Addition of neckdown at wetland crossing and additional temporary workspace adjacent to wetland</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>19.66</u> | <u>19.84</u> | <u>-1.7</u> | <u>Moved centerline away from existing pipeline.</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>21.13</u> | <u>21.13</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>Addition of MLV-208-01-B to protect OPAs</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>26.91</u> | <u>27.07</u> | <u>-1.2</u> | <u>Moved centerline away from existing pipeline.</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>29.48</u> | <u>29.72</u> | <u>-13.6</u> | <u>Engineering modification to straighten centerline across existing pipeline</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>30.21</u> | <u>30.56</u> | <u>3.5</u> | <u>Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline.</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>30.96</u> | <u>31.06</u> | <u>-5.7</u> | <u>Moved centerline away from road intersection and temporary workspace away from existing pipeline.</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>31.13</u> | <u>31.51</u> | <u>-2.6</u> | <u>Moved centerline away from intersection and moved to collocate with existing pipeline</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>39.00</u> | <u>39.35</u> | <u>-1.9</u> | <u>Moved centerline and workspace away from existing pipeline.</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>39.65</u> | <u>41.54</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u>Moved centerline away from existing pipeline.</u> |
| <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>50.11</u> | <u>50.56</u> | <u>38.7</u> | <u>Modification to flatten PIs</u> |
| <u>SDT-210</u> | <u>3.39</u> | <u>3.83</u> | <u>12.7</u> | <u>Modification to flatten PIs</u> |

¹ Variances between filed route on May 2, 2023 and current route as of August 23, 2023.

² MLV – Mainline Valve; HDD – Horizontal Directional Drill; OPA – Other Populated Area; PI – Point of Inflection

| Table 6: Potential Soil Hazards Summary Table | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| SOIL CHARACTERISTIC | CONSTRUCTION FOOTPRINT (Acres) | | | OPERATIONS FOOTPRINT (Acres) | | |
| | PIPELINE | ABOVE GROUND FACILITIES | ACCESS ROADS | PIPELINE | ABOVE GROUND FACILITIES | ACCESS ROADS |
| Prime Farmland | <u>1,453.8</u> | <u>1.3</u> | <u>7.0</u> | <u>648.1</u> | <u>1.3</u> | <u>3.3</u> |
| Farmland of Statewide Importance | <u>1,703.1</u> | <u>9.7</u> | <u>2.4</u> | <u>759.9</u> | <u>9.7</u> | <u>1.0</u> |
| Prime Farmland if Irrigated or Drained | <u>1,246.2</u> | <u>0.7</u> | <u>5.9</u> | <u>562.3</u> | <u>0.7</u> | <u>2.5</u> |
| Hydric | <u>338.4</u> | <u>0.3</u> | 2.6 | <u>169.9</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u>1.7</u> |
| Saline | <u>131.7</u> | 0.1 | <u>1.2</u> | <u>65.8</u> | 0.1 | <u>0.6</u> |
| Sodic | <u>76.3</u> | 0.0 | <u>0.5</u> | <u>36.1</u> | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Shallow Bedrock/ Restrictive Layer | <u>9.0</u> | 0.0 | 0.0 | <u>4.1</u> | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Poor Revegetation Potential | <u>987.0</u> | <u>1.5</u> | 9.0 | <u>462.4</u> | <u>1.5</u> | <u>3.4</u> |
| Severe Wind Erosion | <u>26.5</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0.5</u> | <u>13.9</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0.0</u> |
| Severe Water Erosion | <u>2,389.8</u> | <u>2.1</u> | <u>9.2</u> | <u>1,079.6</u> | <u>2.1</u> | <u>4.1</u> |

Notes:

¹ Acres are rounded up for presentation purposes.

² Construction footprint includes impacts from both construction and operation.

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>7</u> | <u>125.6</u> | <u>0.3</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>1.4</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.01</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>0.1</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>1,113.8</u> | <u>2.7</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>32, 33, 34, 35 36, 38, 39 41, 42, 46, 48, 49 54, 55, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65</u> | <u>22,101.9</u> | <u>59.0</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>0, 11, 12</u> | <u>2,669.9</u> | <u>5.5</u> |
| <u>Aberdeen-Nahon-Hiel silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>27</u> | <u>277.0</u> | <u>0.9</u> |
| <u>Alcester silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>30</u> | <u>187.1</u> | <u>0.3</u> |
| <u>Alcester silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>50</u> | <u>32.2</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Alcester silty clay loam, cool, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>79</u> | <u>250.3</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| <u>Badger-Tonka silty clay loams, coteau, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>35</u> | <u>189.1</u> | <u>0.5</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Badus silty clay loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.9</u> |
| <u>Badus silty clay loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>85, 89</u> | <u>1,257.8</u> | <u>3.2</u> |
| <u>Badus silty clay loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>2, 12, 14</u> | <u>2,137.2</u> | <u>4.3</u> |
| <u>Bearden silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>50, 51</u> | <u>1,344.6</u> | <u>4.1</u> |
| <u>Bearden silt loam, saline, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>63, 54</u> | <u>335.7</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Bearden-Huffton silt loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>65</u> | <u>269.9</u> | <u>1.3</u> |
| <u>Bearden-Tonka, silty substratum silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>51</u> | <u>904.6</u> | <u>3.0</u> |
| <u>Beotia silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>52, 57, 59, 60, 61</u> | <u>5,859.3</u> | <u>14.4</u> |
| <u>Beotia silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>9</u> | <u>834.4</u> | <u>1.9</u> |
| <u>Beotia-Rondell silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>60, 61</u> | <u>806.5</u> | <u>2.7</u> |
| <u>Beotia-Winship silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>50, 54, 58, 60, 61, 63</u> | <u>1,758.9</u> | <u>5.0</u> |
| <u>Beotia-Winship silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>1, 2, 9, 11</u> | <u>1040.1</u> | <u>2.3</u> |
| <u>Beotia-Winship silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>29</u> | <u>207.9</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Bon-Northville complex, nearly level</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>51, 65</u> | <u>414.6</u> | <u>2.0</u> |
| <u>Brookings silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>2, 3</u> | <u>297.9</u> | <u>0.9</u> |
| <u>Bryant silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>74, 75, 92, 95</u> | <u>3,454.3</u> | <u>9.0</u> |
| <u>Bryant silt loam, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>74, 75, 95</u> | <u>559.6</u> | <u>1.8</u> |
| <u>Chancellor-Viborg silty clay loams</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Chancellor-Viborg silty clay loams</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>29, 30, 31, 34, 43, 44</u> | <u>2,262.4</u> | <u>6.3</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Chancellor-Wakonda-Tetonka complex</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>36, 37, 42</u> | <u>2,363.0</u> | <u>6.0</u> |
| <u>Colvin-Oldham silty clay loams</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>16, 21</u> | <u>890.4</u> | <u>1.5</u> |
| <u>Cubden silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>8, 9, 34</u> | <u>1,320.1</u> | <u>3.0</u> |
| <u>Cubden-Badger silty clay loams, coteau, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>7, 9, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, 26, 30, 35</u> | <u>9,110.1</u> | <u>20.7</u> |
| <u>Cubden-Tonka silty clay loams, coteau, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.08</u> |
| <u>Cubden-Tonka silty clay loams, coteau, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Cubden-Tonka silty clay loams, coteau, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 22, 13, 14, 17, 19, 32, 33, 36, 37, 38</u> | <u>14,744.1</u> | <u>32.9</u> |
| <u>Daglun-Rhoades loams, 0 to 6 percent slopes, shaly</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> | <u>8, 9</u> | <u>1,331.0</u> | <u>3.0</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.7</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>27</u> | <u>750.0</u> | <u>2.4</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>4, 6, 9</u> | <u>4,298.9</u> | <u>11.4</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>28</u> | <u>1,352.2</u> | <u>2.8</u> |
| <u>Dempster silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>4, 5</u> | <u>1,984.8</u> | <u>4.3</u> |
| <u>Dempster-Delmont complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>4, 5</u> | <u>477.1</u> | <u>1.2</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Dempster-Graceville silty clay loams, 1 to 5 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>53</u> | <u>357.6</u> | <u>0.9</u> |
| <u>Dovecreek silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>74</u> | <u>432.9</u> | <u>1.2</u> |
| <u>Dovecreek-Fluvaquents channeled, complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, flooded</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>74</u> | <u>1,236.0</u> | <u>4.1</u> |
| <u>Dudley-Jerauld silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>54, 55, 67, 69</u> | <u>14,121.6</u> | <u>5.4</u> |
| <u>Dudley-Jerauld silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>143, 144</u> | <u>3,083.6</u> | <u>8.8</u> |
| <u>Dudley-Jerauld silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>8, 9, 10</u> | <u>6,795.2</u> | <u>17.8</u> |
| <u>Dudley-Jerauld silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>7</u> | <u>1,553.5</u> | <u>3.4</u> |
| <u>Dudley-Jerauld silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>46, 47</u> | <u>1,621.2</u> | <u>4.3</u> |
| <u>Durrstein silty clay loam, nearly level</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>58, 59, 61, 64, 65</u> | <u>3,821.6</u> | <u>6.6</u> |
| <u>Durrstein and Egas soils</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>18</u> | <u>835.6</u> | <u>1.4</u> |
| <u>Eakin-Raber complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.08</u> |
| <u>Eakin-Raber complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.04</u> |
| <u>Eakin-Raber complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>-</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Eakin-Raber complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>0, 1, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15</u> | <u>8,390.6</u> | <u>40.6</u> |
| <u>Eakin-Raber complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 14, 15</u> | <u>8,430.4</u> | <u>40.2</u> |
| <u>Eckman-Zell very fine sandy loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.3</u> |
| <u>Eckman-Zell very fine sandy loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>1.2</u> |
| <u>Egan silty clay loam, 3 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>28, 29, 30, 32, 25, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47</u> | <u>8,691.5</u> | <u>22.8</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Egan silty clay loam, 6 to 11 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>88, 91, 93, 94, 95</u> | <u>3,281.0</u> | <u>8.2</u> |
| <u>Egan silty clay loam, 6 to 11 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>14</u> | <u>483.5</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Egan-Beadle complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>88</u> | <u>1,060.1</u> | <u>2.7</u> |
| <u>Egan-Beadle complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.05</u> |
| <u>Egan-Beadle complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>84, 85, 86, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95</u> | <u>9,090.6</u> | <u>24.7</u> |
| <u>Egan-Beadle complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>2, 3, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14</u> | <u>8,852.9</u> | <u>20.8</u> |
| <u>Egan-Beadle complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>88, 89, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95</u> | <u>3,755.0</u> | <u>10.1</u> |
| <u>Egan-Beadle complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>3, 14</u> | <u>904.7</u> | <u>1.9</u> |
| <u>Egan-Chancellor silty clay loams, 0 to 4 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>34, 37, 39, 42</u> | <u>4,860.0</u> | <u>12.7</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>51, 53, 65, 67, 72, 78, 83, 84</u> | <u>11,958.4</u> | <u>33.5</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>1, 2</u> | <u>1,008.5</u> | <u>2.6</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan complex, 5 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>81, 82, 83</u> | <u>3,272.2</u> | <u>8.4</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes, eroded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.004</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes, eroded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>94, 85, 86, 87, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96</u> | <u>5,243.3</u> | <u>14.3</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes, eroded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>0, 1, 2, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14</u> | <u>6,234.3</u> | <u>14.6</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan-Trent complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | | <u>0.01</u> |
| <u>Egan-Ethan-Trent complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Egan-Ethan-Trent complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>54, 55, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 79, 80, 81</u> | <u>55,230.7</u> | <u>152.6</u> |
| <u>Egan-Shindler complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>29, 44, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50</u> | <u>10,518.7</u> | <u>30.7</u> |
| <u>Egan-Shindler complex, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>30, 44, 48, 49, 50</u> | <u>2,917.6</u> | <u>9.6</u> |
| <u>Egan-Trent silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>56, 66, 74</u> | <u>2,442.1</u> | <u>5.6</u> |
| <u>Egan-Viborg silty clay loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>84, 85, 86</u> | <u>2,750.6</u> | <u>6.6</u> |
| <u>Egan-Viborg silty clay loams, 0 to 3 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>7, 11, 13</u> | <u>5,961.4</u> | <u>14.2</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.03</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.05</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>94</u> | <u>384.2</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.01</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>:</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>52, 53, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95</u> | <u>17,529.5</u> | <u>48.4</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14</u> | <u>12,220.6</u> | <u>28.0</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth-Trent complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.03</u> |
| <u>Egan-Wentworth-Trent complex, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>54, 57, 65, 66, 68, 70, 73, 74, 75, 78</u> | <u>9,346.6</u> | <u>25.5</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Egan-Worthing complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>49</u> | <u>1,070.9</u> | <u>2.7</u> |
| <u>Estelline-Kampeska silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>9</u> | <u>637.2</u> | <u>1.6</u> |
| Exline-Aberdeen-Nahon silt loams, 0-2 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDL-320 | 79, 80 | <u>3,281.8</u> | 8.1 |
| Exline-Aberdeen-Nahon silt loams, 0-2 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDM-105 | 35, <u>36, 46</u> , 63, 64, 65 | <u>1,692.8</u> | <u>4.8</u> |
| Exline-Aberdeen-Nahon silt loams, 0-2 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDT-209 | 4 | <u>2,897.1</u> | <u>6.8</u> |
| Exline-Aberdeen-Nahon silt loams, till substratum, 0-2 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDT-209 | 7, 8, 9 | <u>7,224.7</u> | <u>16.6</u> |
| Exline-Aberdeen-Nahon silt loams, till substratum, 0-2 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDL-320 | <u>79, 80</u> | <u>317.8</u> | 0.9 |
| <u>Exline-Heil silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>78, 89</u> | <u>814.2</u> | <u>2.3</u> |
| <u>Exline-Heil silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>45</u> | <u>1,282.5</u> | <u>3.4</u> |
| <u>Exline-Heil silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>5</u> | <u>698.0</u> | <u>1.8</u> |
| Exline-Heil silt loams, till substratum, 0-2 % slopes | 0.49 | Pipeline | SDT-209 | 7 | <u>173.9</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| Exline-Putney silt loams, 1-6 % slopes | 0.49 | Pipeline | SDM-105 | 62, 63, 64, <u>65</u> | <u>4,095.4</u> | 10.8 |
| <u>Forestburg-Doger loamy fine sands, 0 to 3 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | SDT-207 | 4, 6 | <u>2,874.3</u> | <u>6.3</u> |
| <u>Gardena-Glyndon silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | -- | -- | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Gardena-Glyndon silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | -- | -- | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Graceville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>28, 50</u> | <u>2,561.2</u> | <u>7.3</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Graceville silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>5, 8</u> | <u>1,501.8</u> | <u>3.7</u> |
| <u>Grassna silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>NDM-106</u> | <u>9, 25</u> | <u>1,656.4</u> | <u>4.2</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.04</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>155.4</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>29, 30, 31, 34, 35, 39, 41, 50, 51, 53, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61</u> | <u>36,554.7</u> | <u>96.1</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>1, 2, 3, 9, 10</u> | <u>8,620.4</u> | <u>19.8</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>35, 39, 40, 58, 59</u> | <u>1,598.2</u> | <u>4.5</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>9</u> | <u>609.4</u> | <u>1.6</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Beotia silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>28</u> | <u>1,412.2</u> | <u>3.9</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Putney silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>32, 35, 49, 59, 63</u> | <u>2,006.1</u> | <u>5.5</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Putney silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>64.1</u> | <u>0.3</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.01</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>41, 53, 54, 55, 57</u> | <u>3,254.9</u> | <u>9.3</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>810.1</u> | <u>1.9</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.4</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>52, 58</u> | <u>1,962.0</u> | <u>8.1</u> |
| <u>Great Bend-Zell silt loams, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>1, 2, 3, 9, 10</u> | <u>5,454.5</u> | <u>13.4</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Aberdeen silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>:</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Aberdeen silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Aberdeen silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>25, 27</u> | <u>8,919.4</u> | <u>22.8</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Harmony-Aberdeen silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>32, 33, 34, 36, 39, 41, 42, 43, 48, 55, 56, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63</u> | <u>23,392.1</u> | <u>64.3</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Aberdeen silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>4, 6, 7, 12</u> | <u>4,618.4</u> | <u>10.5</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>32, 34, 37, 38, 39, 41, 49, 50, 54, 56, 58, 59</u> | <u>20,837.6</u> | <u>54.0</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Beotia silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>157.7</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Harmony-Beotia silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>27, 28, 29, 30</u> | <u>7,582.2</u> | <u>20.2</u> |
| Heil silt loam, till substratum, 0-1% slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDT-209 | 8, 9 | <u>432.9</u> | <u>1.0</u> |
| Heil silt loam, till substratum, 0-1% slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | <u>SDM-105</u> | 24, 35 | <u>685.7</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| Heil silt loam, till substratum, 0-1% slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | NDT-211 | 89 | <u>253.8</u> | <u>0.7</u> |
| <u>Henkin-Blendon fine sandy loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>72</u> | <u>104.8</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Henkin-Blendon fine sandy loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>43</u> | <u>258.7</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Hetland silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>16, 34, 35, 36</u> | <u>7,813.8</u> | <u>18.6</u> |
| <u>Hetland silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>36, 37</u> | <u>482.0</u> | <u>1.5</u> |
| <u>Highmore silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14</u> | <u>9,816.9</u> | <u>46.0</u> |
| <u>Highmore silt loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>13, 19</u> | <u>243.9</u> | <u>1.2</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Highmore-DeGrey silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>13</u> | <u>244.3</u> | <u>1.2</u> |
| <u>Highmore-Walke silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>9</u> | <u>715.4</u> | <u>3.4</u> |
| Hoven silt loam, 0-1 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDL-320 | 0, 1, <u>7, 8</u> , 17, 20, 21, 22, 26, 28, 32, 33, 36, 38, 44, <u>45</u> , 47, 48, <u>49</u> | <u>8,879.0</u> | <u>13.9</u> |
| Hoven silt loam, 0-1 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDM-105 | 0, 2 | <u>836.1</u> | 2.1 |
| Hoven silt loam, 0-1 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDT-207 | 6, 7, <u>8</u> | <u>1,723.8</u> | 4.1 |
| <u>Huntimer silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | -- | -- | <u>1.0</u> |
| <u>Huntimer silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | -- | -- | <u>0.04</u> |
| <u>Huntimer silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>447, 52, 65, 63, 64, 67, 69, 72, 74, 78, 82, 87, 88, 89, 90, 92, 95</u> | <u>11,685.8</u> | <u>31.8</u> |
| <u>Huntimer silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>0, 1</u> | <u>2,593.7</u> | <u>5.9</u> |
| <u>Huntimer silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>60, 64, 73, 78, 79, 81, 87, 88, 90, 92, 93, 95</u> | <u>8,394.6</u> | <u>23.2</u> |
| <u>Huntimer silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>0, 1, 13</u> | <u>2,306.7</u> | <u>5.7</u> |
| Jerauld-Houdek complex, undulating | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDL-320 | 65, 66 | <u>3,116.8</u> | <u>5.7</u> |
| Jerauld-Houdek complex, undulating | 0.43 | Access Road | SDL-320 | -- | -- | 1.4 |
| <u>Kings Lake-Buse-Waubay complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>468.8</u> | <u>1.0</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Brookings silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>2, 3, 4, 5</u> | <u>4,591.3</u> | <u>11.4</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Kranzburg-Brookings silty clay loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>2, 3, 4, 5</u> | <u>13,171.5</u> | <u>32.0</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Buse-Waubay complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.05</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Buse-Waubay complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>30, 31, 36</u> | <u>1,024.0</u> | <u>2.7</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Cresbard silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>25, 28</u> | <u>1,729.5</u> | <u>4.6</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Cresbard silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>2, 3</u> | <u>2,417.5</u> | <u>5.8</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Zell-Aastad complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.0003</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Zell-Aastad complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>0</u> | <u>446.2</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Zell-Aastad complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>30, 31</u> | <u>1,017.6</u> | <u>2.8</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Zell-Aastad complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>0, 1</u> | <u>612.3</u> | <u>1.5</u> |
| <u>Kranzburg-Zell-Aastad complex, 3 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>262.5</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>La Prairie-Fairdale loams, channeled</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>36</u> | <u>162.0</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>LaDelle silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>31, 40, 41</u> | <u>1,989.7</u> | <u>6.1</u> |
| <u>LaDelle silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>0, 1, 10</u> | <u>4,618.3</u> | <u>11.8</u> |
| <u>LaDelle-Fluvaquents, channeled complex, 0 to 2</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>31, 41</u> | <u>1,018.6</u> | <u>1.9</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>percent slopes, frequently flooded</u> | | | | | | |
| <u>LaDelle-Fluvaquents, channeled complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently flooded</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>1, 10</u> | <u>748.3</u> | <u>0.9</u> |
| <u>Lamo silt loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>1,736.1</u> | <u>2.0</u> |
| <u>Lamo silty clay loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>113</u> | <u>278.1</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| <u>Lamo silty clay loam, cool, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Lamo silty clay loam, cool, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>=</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Lamo silty clay loam, cool, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>44, 47, 49, 50, 51, 97</u> | <u>3,779.1</u> | <u>10.2</u> |
| <u>Lamo silty clay loam, cool, 0 to 2 percent slopes, occasionally flooded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>5, 6, 9</u> | <u>2,744.1</u> | <u>6.7</u> |
| <u>Lamoure silty clay loam, somewhat poorly drained, 0 to 1 percent slopes, frequently flooded</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>52, 53</u> | <u>2,263.9</u> | <u>2.6</u> |
| <u>Lamoure-Rauville silty clay loams, channeled</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>17</u> | <u>312.1</u> | <u>0.7</u> |
| <u>Lawet loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>71</u> | <u>1,107.0</u> | <u>3.8</u> |
| <u>Mckranz-Badger silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>2, 3, 4</u> | <u>2,859.1</u> | <u>6.8</u> |
| <u>McKranz-Hidewood, frequently flooded, silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>363.1</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| <u>Miranda-Heil complex, 0-3 % slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>NDT-211</u> | <u>101</u> | <u>436.0</u> | <u>1.2</u> |
| <u>Mobridge silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18</u> | <u>9,871.7</u> | <u>46.0</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.04</u> |
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Launcher/ Receiver</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-336</u> | <u>0, 1</u> | <u>509.8</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>32, 33, 36, 37, 38, 39, 42, 43, 45, 46, 47, 60, 62, 63, 65</u> | <u>37,318.8</u> | <u>100.5</u> |
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>0, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12</u> | <u>14,561.0</u> | <u>34.9</u> |
| <u>Nahon-Aberdeen-Exline silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>7, 8, 9</u> | <u>2,491.0</u> | <u>5.7</u> |
| <u>Obert silty clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>79</u> | <u>182.5</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Onita-Hoven silt loams</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>20, 21</u> | <u>630.2</u> | <u>2.6</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Buse-Waubay complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.04</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Buse-Waubay complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.1</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Buse-Waubay complex, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28</u> | <u>33,403.2</u> | <u>80.6</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Buse-Waubay complex, 2 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>7, 9, 10, 11, 20, 23</u> | <u>4,527.3</u> | <u>10.7</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Rusklyn silty clay loams, 6 to 9 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>32, 34</u> | <u>1,248.0</u> | <u>3.0</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|--|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Poinsett-Rusklyn-Waubay silty clay loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37</u> | <u>6,691.8</u> | <u>16.5</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Waubay silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 17, 18, 20, 21, 24, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 37</u> | <u>16,318.5</u> | <u>40.3</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Waubay silty clay loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.4</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Waubay silty clay loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Poinsett-Waubay silty clay loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 37</u> | <u>49,136.0</u> | <u>119.2</u> |
| <u>Rimlap silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> | <u>7</u> | <u>262.8</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| <u>Rimlap-Heil silt loams, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.02</u> |
| <u>Rimlap-Heil silt loams, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>72</u> | <u>196.5</u> | <u>0.4</u> |
| <u>Rimlap-Heil silt loams, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> | <u>4, 5, 6, 7, 10</u> | <u>1,870.3</u> | <u>3.7</u> |
| <u>Rimlap-Heil, till substratum silt loams, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>NDT-211</u> | <u>96</u> | <u>62.4</u> | <u>0.1</u> |
| <u>Salmo silty clay loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>43</u> | <u>415.1</u> | <u>.07</u> |
| <u>Salmo silty clay loam, very wet</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>54, 61</u> | <u>2,040.3</u> | <u>3.3</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>147, 148, 150</u> | <u>2,652.1</u> | <u>7.7</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>7</u> | <u>146.8</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>0, 2, 3, 7, 9, 10, 15, 23</u> | <u>5,299.4</u> | <u>12.0</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Stickney-Dudley silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>46, 47, 48, 50</u> | <u>3,938.9</u> | <u>8.6</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley-Hoven silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley-Hoven silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>71</u> | <u>631.8</u> | <u>2.7</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley-Hoven silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>141, 142, 143, 144</u> | <u>5,062.1</u> | <u>13.5</u> |
| <u>Stickney-Dudley-Hoven silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>7</u> | <u>452.1</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| <u>Still lake-Graceland silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>6, 7</u> | <u>2,337.9</u> | <u>5.5</u> |
| <u>Still lake-Graceland silty clay loams, 1 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>6</u> | <u>1,546.5</u> | <u>3.5</u> |
| <u>Tansem-Roseglen silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>NDM-106</u> | <u>4, 5, 8</u> | <u>5,474.0</u> | <u>16.1</u> |
| <u>Temvik-Grassna-Bearpaw complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>NDM-106</u> | <u>24</u> | <u>1,165.6</u> | <u>3.0</u> |
| <u>Tetonka silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>45, 49, 51, 52, 53, 55, 57, 58, 62, 63, 65, 67, 68, 69</u> | <u>3,348.3</u> | <u>13.7</u> |
| <u>Tetonka silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>52, 53, 110, 111</u> | <u>1,035.6</u> | <u>2.6</u> |
| <u>Tetonka silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently ponded</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>30, 31, 34, 37, 44, 91, 98, 100</u> | <u>2,140.1</u> | <u>6.3</u> |
| <u>Tetonka silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, frequently ponded</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.01</u> |
| <u>Tonka silt loam, silty substratum, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>35, 60</u> | <u>889.4</u> | <u>1.6</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Tonka silt loam, silty substratum, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>250.9</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Tonka silty clay loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>7, 8, 9, 23, 30</u> | <u>1,374.8</u> | <u>2.5</u> |
| <u>Viborg silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Viborg silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 93, 94, 95, 96</u> | <u>3,614.7</u> | <u>11.1</u> |
| <u>Viborg silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14</u> | <u>6,002.4</u> | <u>14.1</u> |
| <u>Viborg-Egan silty clay loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>90, 92, 93, 94, 95</u> | <u>2,019.4</u> | <u>5.3</u> |
| <u>Viborg-Egan silty clay loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>13</u> | <u>444.1</u> | <u>1.3</u> |
| <u>Wakonda-Chancellor complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.55</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>73, 77, 80</u> | <u>1,615.1</u> | <u>4.8</u> |
| <u>Waubay-Badger silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-208</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>333.4</u> | <u>0.7</u> |
| <u>Wentworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.002</u> |
| <u>Wentworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>MLV</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.06</u> |
| <u>Wentworth silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>29, 30, 40, 41, 44, 45, 46, 48, 83</u> | <u>12,889.2</u> | <u>35.4</u> |
| <u>Wentworth silty clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>81, 82, 83</u> | <u>2,048.9</u> | <u>5.4</u> |
| <u>Wentworth-Chancellor silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Wentworth-Chancellor silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>28, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 46, 47, 48</u> | <u>48,890.6</u> | <u>135.8</u> |

Table 7: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Water Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | Kw ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ⁴ (acres) |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| <u>Wentworth-Chancellor-Wakonda silty clay loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>61, 64, 65, 72, 77</u> | <u>4,243.8</u> | <u>10.6</u> |
| <u>Wentworth-Ethan complex, 2 to 5 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>83</u> | <u>1,378.0</u> | <u>3.9</u> |
| <u>Wentworth-Trent complex, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>67</u> | <u>124.2</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Whitewood silt loam</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>83</u> | <u>238.0</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| <u>Whitewood silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-104</u> | <u>73, 85, 86, 87, 88, 90, 91, 95, 93, 94, 99</u> | <u>6,001.5</u> | <u>15.9</u> |
| <u>Whitewood silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.43</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-206</u> | <u>2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14</u> | <u>4,978.7</u> | <u>12.0</u> |
| <u>Winship-Tonka silt loams, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>32, 46, 49, 55, 47, 49, 61, 62, 63, 64</u> | <u>3,915.1</u> | <u>11.1</u> |
| <u>Winship-Tonka silt loams, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>6, 11</u> | <u>526.1</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Winship-Tonka silt loams, till substratum, 0 to 1 percent slopes</u> | <u>0.49</u> | <u>Pipeline</u> | <u>SDT-209</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>290.2</u> | <u>0.8</u> |
| Zell-Great Bend silt loams, 6- 25 % slopes | 0.43 | Pipeline | SDM-105 | 53 | <u>374.1</u> | <u>0.4</u> |

Notes:

¹ Kw = erodibility in water factor; Kw over 0.40 considered highly susceptible to erosion by water.

² Approximate milepost, in which soils are present; soils are scattered within these areas.

³ Approximate total length totaled over the centerline; -- signifies the polygon is not crossed by the pipeline centerline.

⁴ Approximate acreage within the Project footprint.

⁵ Acres are rounded.

Table 8: Areas of Soils in the Project Area with High Susceptibility to Wind Erosion

| SOIL TYPE | WEG ¹ | FACILITY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST ² | LENGTH ³ (feet) | AREA ³ (acres) |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Dickey-Buse-Embden complex, 6-15 % slopes | 2 | Pipeline | NDT-211 | 92 | <u>199.7</u> | 0.4 |
| Doger loamy fine sand | 2 | Pipeline | SDT-207 | 3, 5 | <u>846.6</u> | <u>2.2</u> |
| Elsmere loamy fine sand, loamy substratum | 2 | Pipeline | SDT-207 | 5 | <u>1,236.1</u> | <u>2.5</u> |
| Forestburg-Doger loamy fine sands, 0-3 % slopes | 2 | Pipeline | SDT-207 | 4, 6 | <u>2,874.3</u> | <u>6.3</u> |
| Loup loamy fine sand | 2 | Pipeline | SDT-207 | 2, 4, 5 | <u>459.3</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| Shue loamy fine sand | 2 | Pipeline | SDT-207 | 5, 6 | <u>2,482.7</u> | <u>12.9</u> |
| <u>Shue loamy fine sand</u> | <u>2</u> | <u>Access Road</u> | <u>SDT-207</u> | <u>5</u> | <u>3,589.5</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| Telfer-Lihen loamy fine sands, 9- 15 % | 2 | Pipeline | NDM-106 | 23 | <u>431.1</u> | 1.1 |

Notes:

¹ WEG = wind erodibility group

² Approximate milepost, soils are scattered in the area.

³ Approximate total length (feet) and area (acres); -- means the polygon is not crossed by the pipeline centerline.

⁴ Acres are rounded.

Table 9: Areas with Potential Geologic Hazards

| HAZARDS PRESENT | PIPELINE / FACILITY | APPROXIMATE MILEPOST START | <u>CONSTRUCTION</u> IMPACTS ¹ ACREAGE | PERMANENT IMPACTS ACREAGE | HAZARD RISK |
|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---|
| Karst | SDT-206 | 0, <u>11</u> | <u>57.4</u> | <u>27.9</u> | Low |
| | SDT-207; Access Road; Launcher/Receiver; MLV | 0, 1, 4, 10 | <u>40.8</u> | <u>22.0</u> | Low |
| | SDT-209 | 10 | <u>3.9</u> | 1.7 | High |
| | SDM-104; Access Road; Launcher/Receiver; MLV | <u>29</u> , 31, 35, <u>85</u> , 97 | <u>290.9</u> | <u>123.0</u> | Low |
| | SDM-105 | 40, <u>50</u> , 52, 60, 63, 67 | <u>135.0</u> | <u>57.7</u> | Low |
| | SDL-320 | 66, 74 | <u>9.5</u> | <u>4.6</u> | Low |
| Landslides | SDT-206 | 0 | <u>184.7</u> | <u>90.6</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDT-207 | 0 | <u>291.2</u> | <u>145.3</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDT-208 | 0 | <u>638.4</u> | <u>309.3</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDT-209 | 0 | <u>154.3</u> | <u>75.0</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDT-210 | 0 | <u>145.0</u> | <u>73.1</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDM-104 | 27 | <u>1,793.1</u> | <u>753.8</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDM-105 | 0, 82 | <u>1,547.8</u> | <u>665.3</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDL-320 | <u>18</u> | <u>217.4</u> | <u>108.3</u> | Moderate Susceptibility & Low Incidence |
| | SDL-320 | <u>0</u> | <u>764.0</u> | <u>379.9</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDL-335 | 0 | <u>8.8</u> | <u>5.7</u> | Low Incidence |
| | SDL-336 | 0 | <u>8.9</u> | 5.7 | Low Incidence |
| | NDT-211 | <u>89</u> | <u>321.2</u> | <u>150.7</u> | Low Incidence |
| | NDM-106 | 0 | <u>376.1</u> | <u>163.1</u> | Low Incidence |

Notes:

¹ Construction impacts include impacts from both operations and construction.

² Acres are rounded.

Table 10: Perennial Streams Crossed by the Project by River Basin

| BASIN ¹ | PERENNIAL STREAM | LINE / MILEPOST | CROSSING LENGTH (feet) | COUNTY | CROSSING METHOD ² |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Fort Randall Reservoir | Medicine Knoll Creek | SDL-320 / 17.7 | 26 | Sully | Wet open cut |
| James | Redstone Creek | SDM-104 / <u>128.6</u> | 54 | Kingsbury | Wet open cut |
| | Dry Run | SDM-105 / <u>40.6</u> | <u>82</u> | Spink | Wet open cut |
| | Dry Run | SDT-209 / 9.6 | 99 | Spink | HDD |
| | <u>Unnamed Waterbody</u> | <u>SDM-105 / 52.1</u> | <u>96</u> | <u>Spink</u> | <u>HDD</u> |
| | James River | SDT-209 / 1.0 | 117 | Spink | HDD |
| | James River | SDM-105/ <u>52.1</u> | <u>96</u> | Spink | HDD |
| | James River | SDT-207 / 11.0 | <u>1,997</u> | Beadle | HDD |
| | Shue Creek | SDT-207 / <u>18.0</u> | <u>1</u> | Beadle | Wet open cut |
| | Snake Creek | SDM-105 / <u>74.1</u> | <u>17</u> | Brown | Wet open cut |
| | Timber Creek | SDM-105 / <u>31.1</u> | <u>84</u> | Spink | Wet open cut |
| | <u>Webber Gulch</u> | <u>NDT-211/ 89.0</u> | <u>162</u> | <u>Brown</u> | <u>HDD</u> |
| | <u>Shue Creek</u> | <u>SDM-105 / 3.1</u> | <u>9.5</u> | <u>Beadle</u> | <u>Wet open cut</u> |
| | <u>Tributary to Shue Creek</u> | <u>SDM-105 / 4.3</u> | <u>34</u> | <u>Beadle</u> | <u>Wet open cut</u> |
| | <u>James River</u> | <u>SDM-105 / 52.1</u> | <u>82</u> | <u>Spink</u> | <u>HDD</u> |
| | <u>Unnamed Waterbody</u> | <u>SDT-207 / 0.2</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>Beadle</u> | <u>Wet open cut</u> |
| Big Sioux | Tributary to Big Sioux River | SDT-208 / <u>8.0</u> | 30 | Codington | Wet open cut |
| | Big Sioux River | SDM-104 / <u>27.2</u> | 93 | Lincoln | HDD |
| | <u>Unnamed Waterbody</u> | <u>SDM-104 / 54.2</u> | <u>8</u> | <u>Minnehaha</u> | <u>Wet open cut</u> |
| | Tributary to Beaver Creek | SDM-104 / <u>47.4</u> | 4 | Lincoln | Wet open cut |
| | <u>Big Sioux River</u> | <u>SDT-208 / 0.2</u> | <u>59</u> | <u>Codington</u> | <u>HDD</u> |
| | <u>Big Sioux River</u> | <u>SDT-208 / 0.7</u> | <u>53</u> | <u>Codington</u> | <u>HDD</u> |
| Lewis and Clark Lake | East Fork Vermillion River | SDM-104 / <u>97.1</u> | 89 | Lake | Wet open cut |

Notes:

¹ Identified by the hydrologic unit code (HUC) 6.

² Crossing method planned at this time; methods are described in Section 2.2.

Table 13: Ecoregions Crossed by the Project

| LEVEL III ECOREGION ¹ | LEVEL III ECOREGION VEGETATION ² | LEVEL IV ECOREGION ³ | PROJECT ^{1,3} | |
|--|---|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------|
| | | | MILES | PERCENT |
| Northwestern Glaciated Plains | Spear grass, blue grama grass (<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>), and wheat grass were once dominant native grasses that covered many parts of the landscape. A variety of shrubs and herbs were also common as well as some sagebrush. On the driest sites yellow cactus and prickly pear (<i>Opuntia</i> spp) can be found. Scrubby quaking aspen (<i>Populus tremuloides</i>), willow (<i>Salix</i> spp), cottonwood (<i>Populus deltoides</i>), and box elder (<i>Acer negundo</i>) occur to a limited extent on shaded slopes of valleys and river terraces. Local saline areas support alkali grass (<i>Puccinellia nuttallii</i>), wild barley, greasewood (<i>Sarcobatus vermiculatus</i>), red sampire (<i>Salicornia rubra</i>), and sea blite. There is a low density of streams and rivers across the area. High concentrations of temporary and seasonal wetlands create favorable conditions for waterfowl nesting and migration. | Missouri Coteau | <u>71</u> | 15% |
| | | Southern Missouri Coteau Slope | 19 | 4% |
| | | All | <u>90</u> | 19% |
| Northern Glaciated Plains | Most of the region is now farmland but in its native state, the landscape was characterized by quaking aspen, oak groves, mixed tall shrubs, and intermittent fescue grasslands. Bur oak (<i>Quercus macrocarpa</i>) and grassland communities occupied drier sites. Many areas had transitional grassland containing tallgrass and shortgrass prairie, including big (<i>Andropogon gerardi</i>) and little bluestem (<i>Schizachyrium scoparium</i>), green needlegrass (<i>Nassella viridula</i>), blue grama, western wheatgrass (<i>Pascopyrum smithii</i>), and switchgrass (<i>Panicum virgatum</i>). Streams in the region are mostly intermittent, though some are perennial, and there are some larger rivers. The region is drained by the Missouri River system to the south and to the north by the South Saskatchewan River. In some areas, a high concentration of semi-permanent and seasonal wetlands can be found, locally referred to as Prairie Potholes. | Drift Plains | <u>67</u> | 14% |
| | | James River Lowland | <u>159</u> | 33% |
| | | Prairie Coteau | <u>90</u> | 19% |
| | | Big Sioux Basin | <u>7</u> | 1% |
| | | Glacial Lake Basins | <u>65</u> | 14% |
| | | All | 388 | 81% |
| Notes: | | | | |
| ¹ GIS data accessed online at https://www.epa.gov/eco-research/ecoregions-north-america . | | | | |
| ² Descriptions from CEC 2011. | | | | |
| ³ Project centerline miles and percent of total Project centerline miles. | | | | |

Table 14: Land Cover Types Traversed by the Project in South Dakota

| COVER TYPE ¹ | PROJECT CENTERLINE | | DESCRIPTION ² |
|---|--------------------|-----------------|---|
| | MILES | PERCENT | |
| <u>Irrigated lands/water sources for organized rural water systems lands/Public use</u> | <u>0.1</u> | <u><0.1%</u> | Areas of open water, generally with less than 25% cover of vegetation or soil. |
| <u>Irrigated lands/water sources for organized rural water systems lands</u> | <u>0.4</u> | <u><0.1%</u> | <u>Manmade and natural ponds.</u> |
| <u>Existing and potential extractive nonrenewable resources</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1%</u> | Areas of bedrock, desert pavement, scarps, talus, slides, volcanic material, glacial debris, sand dunes, strip mines, gravel pits and other accumulations of earthen material. Generally, vegetation accounts for less than 15% of total cover. |
| <u>Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches / Residential / Noise Sensitive Land Use</u> | <u>1.6</u> | <u>0.3%</u> | <u>Includes</u> such land as residential, commercial, industrial, ROW corridors. Vegetation in previously disturbed areas is frequently little to none and is often composed of introduced weedy species. The previously disturbed areas crossed by the Project have been identified through land-use classification as ROW corridors, with a very small portion (<0.1 mile) identified as rural residence. ROW corridors include roads, utility corridors and railroads. These areas have often been replanted with a mixture of grass and forbs. |
| <u>Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches / Residential / Public use / Noise Sensitive Land Use</u> | <u>10.0</u> | <u>2.1%</u> | <u>Areas with a mixture of some constructed materials, but mostly vegetation in the form of lawn grasses. Impervious surfaces account for less than 20% of total cover. These areas most commonly include large-lot single-family housing units, parks, golf courses, and vegetation planted in developed settings for recreation, erosion control, or aesthetic purposes.</u> |
| <u>Land used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation</u> | <u>334.7</u> | <u>70.0%</u> | Areas used for the production of annual crops, which in the Project area are crops such as wheat, corn, and soybeans. Crop vegetation accounts for greater than 20% of total vegetation. This class also includes all land being actively tilled. |
| <u>Pasturelands and rangelands / Haylands</u> | <u>60.7</u> | <u>12.7%</u> | Areas of grasses, legumes, or grass-legume mixtures planted for livestock grazing or the production of seed or hay crops, typically on a perennial cycle. Pasture/hay vegetation accounts for greater than 20% of total vegetation. Dominant vegetation observed in hayfields within the Project area consisted of oat (<i>Avena sativa</i>), blue grama (<i>Bouteloua gracilis</i>), smooth brome (<i>Bromus inermis</i>), redroot (<i>Ceanothus americanus</i>), orchardgrass (<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>), creeping wildrye (<i>Elymus repens</i>), fox-tail barley (<i>Hordeum jubatum</i>), alfalfa (<i>Medicago sativa</i>), reed canarygrass (<i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>), Kentucky bluegrass (<i>Poa pratensis</i>), tall false ryegrass (<i>Schedeonorus arundinaceus</i>), and common dandelion (<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>). (Perennial 2021a, 2022b) |

Table 14: Land Cover Types Traversed by the Project in South Dakota

| COVER TYPE ¹ | PROJECT CENTERLINE | | DESCRIPTION ² |
|---|--------------------|---------|---|
| | MILES | PERCENT | |
| <u>Palustrine Emergent Wetlands (PEM)</u> | 22.6 | 4.7% | Areas where perennial herbaceous vegetation accounts for greater than 80% of vegetative cover and the soil or substrate is periodically saturated with or covered with water. See descriptions of PEM wetland vegetation in Section 5.4 . Further description is provided in the Project wetlands report (Perennial 2021a, Perennial 2022b) provided in Appendix 9 . |
| <u>Palustrine Forested Wetlands (PFO)</u> | 0.1 | <0.1% | Areas where forest or shrubland vegetation accounts for greater than 20% of vegetative cover and the soil or substrate is periodically saturated with or covered with water. See descriptions of palustrine scrub shrub (PSS) and palustrine forested (PFO) wetland vegetation in Section 5.4 . Additional information is provided in the Project wetlands report (Perennial 2021a) provided in Appendix 9 . |
| <u>Palustrine Scrub/Shrub Wetlands (PSS)</u> | 0.1 | <0.1% | <u>Areas where perennial PSS herbaceous vegetation accounts for greater than 80% of vegetative cover and the soil or substrate is periodically saturated with or covered with water.</u> |
| <u>Public Use</u> | 0.8 | 0.2% | Includes areas of deciduous forest dominated by trees generally greater than 5 meters tall, and greater than 20% of total vegetation cover. More than 75% of the tree species shed foliage simultaneously in response to seasonal change. Forests within the Project area are characterized as hardwood forests. Dominant tree and shrub species in the Project area include boxelder (<i>Acer negundo</i>), green ash (<i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i>), eastern red-cedar (<i>Juniperus virginiana</i>), European buckthorn (<i>Rhamnus cathartica</i>), American-aster (<i>Symphotrichum lanceolatum</i>), American elm (<i>Ulmus americana</i>), and Siberian elm (<i>Ulmus pumila</i>). Further description is provided in the habitat assessment (Perennial 2021a, 2022b) provided in Appendix 10 . <u>Also includes areas of shrub/scrub dominated by shrubs less than 5 meters tall with shrub canopy typically greater than 20% of total vegetation. This class includes true shrubs, young trees in an early successional stage or trees stunted from environmental conditions.</u> |
| <u>Undisturbed native grasslands</u> | 46.3 | 9.7% | Areas dominated by graminoid or herbaceous vegetation, generally greater than 80% of total vegetation. These areas are not subject to intensive management such as tilling but can be utilized for grazing. |
| <u>Potential sources for irrigated lands</u> | 0.2 | <0.1% | <u>Areas of open water, generally with less than 25% cover of vegetation or soil with an ephemeral or intermittent flow regime.</u> |
| <u>Potential sources for irrigated lands / Public Use</u> | 0.6 | <0.1% | <u>Areas of open water, generally with less than 25% cover of vegetation or soil with a perennial flow regime.</u> |

Table 14: Land Cover Types Traversed by the Project in South Dakota

| COVER TYPE ¹ | PROJECT CENTERLINE | | DESCRIPTION ² |
|--|--------------------|-----------------|--|
| | MILES | PERCENT | |
| <u>Public, commercial, and institutional use</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1%</u> | <u>Developed lands include such land as commercial and industrial uses. Vegetation in previously disturbed areas is frequently little to none and is often composed of introduced weedy species.</u> |

Notes:

All figures are rounded.

¹ NLCD cover type descriptors have been revised to reflect SD legislation.

² Cover types of descriptions from National Land Cover Database 2019 (NLCD 2019) Legend online at:

<https://www.mrlc.gov/data/legends/national-land-cover-database-2019-nlcd2019-legend#:~:text=National%20Land%20Cover%20Database%202019%20%28NLCD2019%29%20Legend%20,%20%20%20%2024%20more%20rows%20>

Table 15: Horizontal Directional Drill and Bore Crossings of USFWS Grassland Easements and Wetlands

| EASEMENT | COUNTY | PIPELINE ID | MILEPOST | LENGTH (feet) | AREA ¹ (acres) |
|--|------------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|------------------------------|
| Grassland | McPherson | NDM-106 | <u>6.4</u> | <u>976.7</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| Grassland <u>and</u> <u>Wetlands</u> | McPherson | NDM-106 | 7.0 | <u>865.6</u> | <u>1.0</u> |
| <u>Wetlands</u> ² | <u>McPherson</u> | <u>NDM-106</u> | <u>12.3</u> | <u>128.5</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| <u>Grassland</u> ² | <u>McPherson</u> | <u>NDM-106</u> | <u>16.4</u> | <u>141.3</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| Wetlands | Edmunds | SDM-105 | <u>90.1</u> | 1,083.1 | 1.2 |
| <u>Grassland</u> ² | <u>Edmunds</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>103.7</u> | <u>328.4</u> | <u>0.4</u> |
| Grassland <u>and</u> <u>Wetlands</u> | McPherson | SDM-105 | <u>107.2</u> | <u>2,897.0</u> | <u>3.3</u> |
| Wetlands | Brown | SDT-210 | <u>6.1</u> | <u>973.0</u> | <u>1.1</u> |
| <u>Wetlands</u> ² | <u>Brown</u> | <u>SDT-210</u> | <u>6.5</u> | <u>199.2</u> | <u>0.2</u> |
| Grassland <u>and</u> <u>Wetlands</u> | <u>Brown</u> | SDT-210 | <u>10.7</u> | <u>4,190.0</u> | <u>4.8</u> |
| <u>Wetlands</u> | <u>Hyde</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>29.5</u> | <u>2,739.6</u> | <u>3.1</u> |
| <u>Grassland</u> | <u>Hand</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>40.4</u> | <u>3,534.8</u> | <u>4.1</u> |
| <u>Grassland and</u> <u>Wetlands</u> ² | <u>Hand</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>44.8</u> | <u>219.4</u> | <u>0.3</u> |
| <u>Wetlands</u> ² | <u>Hand</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>52.9</u> | <u>409.2</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| Grassland | Hand | SDL-320 | <u>58.6</u> | <u>2,962.2</u> | 3.4 |
| <u>Grassland and</u> <u>Wetlands</u> | <u>Hand</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>65.8</u> | <u>1,881.0</u> | <u>2.2</u> |
| <u>Grassland and</u> <u>Wetlands</u> | <u>Hand</u> | <u>SDL-320</u> | <u>66.4</u> | <u>2,724.2</u> | <u>3.1</u> |
| Grassland | Spink | SDL-320 | 75.2 | <u>570.1</u> | <u>0.7</u> |
| Wetlands | Spink | SDL-320 | <u>78.5</u> | <u>2,531.4</u> | <u>2.9</u> |
| <u>Wetlands</u> | <u>Edmunds</u> | <u>SDL-335</u> | <u>0.1</u> | 556.7 | <u>0.6</u> |

Notes:

¹ Acres are rounded.

² Crossed via bore.

Table 16: Noxious Weeds in South Dakota Counties Traversed by the Project

| NOXIOUS WEED | NOXIOUS WEEDS IN COUNTIES TRAVERSED BY THE PROJECT ^{1,2} | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|-----------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|
| | BEADLE | BROWN | CLARK | CODINGTON | EDMUNDS | HAMLIN | HAND | HYDE | KINGSBURY | LAKE | LINCOLN | MCCOOK | MCPHERSON | MINER | MINNEHAHA | SPINK | SULLY | TURNER |
| Absinth wormwood ¹ <i>Euphorbia esula</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Bull thistle ² <i>Cirsium vulgare</i> | C | C | <u>C</u> | <u>C</u> | -- | <u>C</u> | = | <u>C</u> | -- | C | = | C | -- | C | = | -- | = | = |
| Canada thistle ¹ <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Common burdock ² <i>Arctium minus</i> | -- | -- | = | = | -- | = | = | = | -- | C | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Common mullein ² <i>Verbascum Thapsus</i> | -- | -- | = | = | -- | = | = | <u>C</u> | -- | -- | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Field bindweed ² <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | -- | -- | = | = | -- | = | = | = | -- | C | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Hoary cress ¹ <i>Cardana draba</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Houndstongue ² <i>Cynoglossum officinale</i> | -- | -- | = | = | -- | = | <u>C</u> | = | -- | -- | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Leafy spurge ¹ <i>Euphorbia esula</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Musk thistle ² <i>Carduus nutans</i> | C | C | = | <u>C</u> | -- | <u>C</u> | <u>C</u> | <u>C</u> | C | C | <u>C</u> | C | -- | C | = | C | = | = |
| Palmer Amaranth ² <i>Amaranthus palmeri</i> | -- | -- | = | = | <u>C</u> | = | = | <u>C</u> | -- | -- | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Perennial sowthistle ¹ <i>Sonchus arvensis</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Plumeless thistle ² <i>Carduus acanthoides</i> | C | C | = | <u>C</u> | -- | <u>C</u> | <u>C</u> | <u>C</u> | C | C | <u>C</u> | C | -- | C | = | C | = | = |
| Poison hemlock ² <i>Conium maculatum</i> | -- | -- | <u>C</u> | = | -- | <u>C</u> | = | = | C | -- | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Purple loosestrife ¹ <i>Lythrum salicaria</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Saltcedar ¹ <i>Tamarix spp.</i> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | SW | SW | <u>SW</u> | SW | <u>SW</u> | <u>SW</u> |
| Scotch thistle ² <i>Onopordum acanthium</i> | -- | -- | = | = | -- | = | = | = | -- | C | = | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | = |
| Spotted knapweed ² <i>Centaurea maculosa</i> | -- | -- | <u>C</u> | = | -- | = | = | = | -- | C | <u>C</u> | -- | -- | -- | = | -- | = | <u>C</u> |
| Yellow toadflax ² <i>Linaria vulgaris</i> | C | C | = | = | C | <u>C</u> | <u>C</u> | = | -- | -- | = | -- | C | -- | = | C | = | = |

¹ Statewide (SW) noxious weed species per S.D. Admin. R. 12:62:03:01.06 and online at <https://danr.sd.gov/Conservation/PlantIndustry/WeedPest/WeedandPestInfo/StateNoxious/default.aspx>.

² Localized (C) noxious weed in noted county per South Dakota Locally Noxious Weed Pest List, available at <https://danr.sd.gov/Conservation/PlantIndustry/WeedPest/docs/noxiousweeds.pdf>.

Table 18: Project ROW Impacts by Land Cover Type in South Dakota

| COVER TYPE ¹ | TEMPORARY IMPACT ² | | PERMANENT IMPACT ³ | | TOTAL | |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------|
| | ACRES ⁴ | PERCENT | ACRES ⁴ | PERCENT | ACRES ⁴ | PERCENT |
| <u>Irrigated lands/water sources for organized rural water systems lands/Public use</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0%</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Irrigated lands/water sources for organized rural water systems lands</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0%</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Existing and potential extractive nonrenewable resources</u> | <u>0.9</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.2</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>1.1</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches / Residential / Noise Sensitive Land Use</u> | <u>16.7</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>5.8</u> | <u>21.6%</u> | <u>22.5</u> | <u>2.2%</u> |
| <u>Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches / Residential / Public use / Noise Sensitive Land Use</u> | <u>133.9</u> | <u>2.1%</u> | <u>3.5</u> | <u>13.0%</u> | <u>137.4</u> | <u>72.3%</u> |
| <u>Land used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation</u> | <u>4588.7</u> | <u>72.4%</u> | <u>10.9</u> | <u>40.6%</u> | <u>4599.6</u> | <u>12.8%</u> |
| <u>Pasturelands and rangelands / Haylands</u> | <u>809.7</u> | <u>12.8%</u> | <u>3.2</u> | <u>11.9%</u> | <u>812.9</u> | <u>3.0%</u> |
| <u>Palustrine Emergent Wetlands (PEM)</u> | <u>189.3</u> | <u>3.0%</u> | <u>0.03</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>189.33</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Palustrine Forested Wetlands (PFO)</u> | <u>0.2</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.4</u> | <u>1.5%</u> | <u>0.6</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Palustrine Scrub/Shrub Wetlands (PSS)</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.5</u> | <u>1.9%</u> | <u>0.8</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| Public Use | <u>10.7</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.2</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>10.9</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Undisturbed native grasslands</u> | <u>585.1</u> | <u>9.2%</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u>3.7%</u> | <u>586.1</u> | <u>9.2%</u> |
| <u>Potential sources for irrigated lands</u> | <u>1.8</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>1.84</u> | <u><1%</u> |
| <u>Potential sources for irrigated lands / Public Use</u> | <u>0.9</u> | <u><1%</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0%</u> | <u>0.9</u> | <u><1%</u> |

Table 18: Project ROW Impacts by Land Cover Type in South Dakota

| COVER TYPE ¹ | TEMPORARY IMPACT ² | | PERMANENT IMPACT ³ | | TOTAL | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|
| | ACRES ⁴ | PERCENT | ACRES ⁴ | PERCENT | ACRES ⁴ | PERCENT |
| <u>Public, commercial, and institutional use</u> | 0.2 | <1% | 1.1 | 4.1% | 1.3 | <1% |
| Total | 6339.4 | 100% | 26.9 | 100% | 6366.3 | 100% |

Notes:

¹ Cover types from and as mapped by National Land Cover Database but revised to include survey and desk top analysis. NLCD cover type descriptors have been revised to reflect SD legislation.

² Temporary impacts consist of Project footprint during construction including the operational pipeline ROW and additional temporary workspace (ATWS).

³ Permanent impacts consist of areas where permanent facilities exist including pump stations, MLVs, launcher/receivers, and access roads.

⁴ Acres are rounded.

Table 21: Turkey Management Areas and Hunting Success in Project Counties

| MANAGEMENT UNIT ¹ | PROJECT COUNTY ² | SPRING 2021 AND FALL 2020 HUNTING SEASON ⁴ | | | | MANAGEMENT GOAL ^{5,6} |
|------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|---|------------|--------------------------------|
| | | LICENSES SOLD ³ | HUNTER SUCCESS ³ (%) | HARVEST BY SEASON (BIRDS/100MI ²) | | |
| | | | | SPRING | FALL | |
| 01A | Minnehaha | 80 | <u>58</u> | <u>2-9</u> | <u>0-2</u> | increase |
| 22A | Codington ⁷ | <u>90</u> | <u>44</u> | <u>2-9</u> | -- | increase |
| 32A | Clark/Hamlin | <u>20</u> | <u>50</u> | <u>0-2</u> | -- | increase |
| 40A | Beadle/Hand ⁸ | <u>20</u> | <u>40</u> | <u>2-9</u> | -- | increase |
| 44A/44B | Lincoln | <u>100</u> | <u>48 / 21</u> | <u>2-9</u> | <u>0-2</u> | increase |
| <u>61A</u> | <u>Turner</u> | <u>20</u> | <u>39</u> | <u>0-2</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>increase</u> |
| -- | McPherson, Edmunds, Brown, Spink, Sully, Hyde, Kingsbury, Miner, Lake, McCook | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

Notes:

¹ Hunting license not valid outside regulatory Management Unit (SDGFP 2021e).

² County within the Management Unit with Project footprint.

³ [Data from SDGFP 2022 Spring Turkey Harvest Report.](#)

⁴ Data from [SDGFP 2021 Spring and 2020 Fall Turkey Harvest Statistics \(SDGFP 2021c\)](#); dashes (–) indicate no fall turkey hunting season in these counties, no harvest record.

⁵ Data from SDGFP 2021d; dashes (–) indicate no season in the county.

⁶ Management goal set by SDGFP (2021d) as increase, maintain, or decrease turkey population.

⁷ Management Unit also includes Day County, which has no Project footprint.

⁸ Management Unit also includes Jerauld County, which has no Project footprint.

Table 22: Abundance, Priority Habitats, and Harvest of Prairie Grouse in Project Counties

| PROJECT COUNTY | SHARP-TAILED GROUSE | | | GREATER PRAIRIE CHICKEN | | | PRAIRIE GROUSE HARVEST (BIRDS/100 SQ MI) ³ |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | ABUNDANCE ¹ | PRIORITY HABITAT IN ² | | ABUNDANCE ¹ | PRIORITY HABITAT IN ² | | |
| | | COUNTY | FOOTPRINT | | COUNTY | FOOTPRINT | |
| Beadle | present, <10 Leks | Yes | Yes | present, <10 Leks | Yes | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Brown | present, no known leks | yes | yes | present, <10 leks | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Clark | present, <10 leks | yes | yes | present, <10 leks | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Codington | present, <10 leks | yes | -- | present, no known leks | -- | -- | <u>24 - 78</u> |
| Edmunds | present, <10 leks | yes | yes | present, no known leks | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Hamlin | maybe present | yes | -- | possibly present | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Hand | present, >10 leks | yes | yes | present, >10 leks | yes | -- | <u>24 - 78</u> |
| Hyde | present, >10 leks | yes | yes | present, >10 leks | yes | yes | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Kingsbury | present, no known leks | yes | -- | possibly present | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Lake | maybe present | yes | yes | possibly present | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Lincoln | probably absent | -- | -- | probably absent | -- | -- | <u>24 - 78</u> |
| McCook | maybe present | yes | -- | probably absent | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| McPherson | present, >10 leks | yes | yes | present, <10 leks | -- | -- | <u>24 - 78</u> |
| Miner | present, no known leks | yes | -- | possibly present | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Minnehaha | maybe present | yes | -- | probably absent | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Spink | present, no known leks | yes | yes | present, <10 leks | yes | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |
| Sully | present, >10 leks | yes | yes | present, <10 leks | yes | yes | <u>24 - 78</u> |
| Turner | maybe present | -- | -- | possibly present | -- | -- | <u>0 - 23</u> |

Notes

¹ SDGFP (2017) assesses abundance and distribution based on the number of known leks.

² Priority habitat within the Project County and within Project footprint per SDGFP Environmental Review Tool accessed on [8/25/23](https://ert.gfp.sd.gov/content/map) at <https://ert.gfp.sd.gov/content/map>.

³ Average number of prairie grouse (sharp-tailed grouse and greater prairie chicken) harvested per 100 square miles [in 2022](#) per SDGFP [2023 Prairie Grouse Hunting Forecast Report](#).

Table 23: Project Waterfowl Production Area Crossings

| PIPELINE ROUTE ID | MILEPOST | CROSSING LENGTH (MILES) | WATERFOWL PRODUCTION AREA | TYPE | DATA SOURCE ¹ |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| NDM-106 | 2.61 | 0.27 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 4.37 | 0.2 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 4.57 | 0.3 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 4.87 | 0.67 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 5.53 | 0.18 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 5.72 | 0.33 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 6.05 | 0.3 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 6.35² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| NDM-106 | 6.35² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 6.35² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 6.37 | 1.51 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 7.08² | 0.01 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| NDM-106 | 7.08² | 0.01 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 7.88 | 0.44 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 10.6 | 0.28 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 10.88 | 0.4 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 11.29 | 0.16 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 11.44 | 0.7 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 12.14 | 0.12 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 12.26 | 0.75 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 13.01 | 0.32 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 15.44² | 0.29 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| NDM-106 | 15.44² | 0.29 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 16.54² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| NDM-106 | 16.54² | 0.82 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 16.54² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 17.36 | 0.49 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 17.85 | 0.54 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 18.38 | 0.13 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 18.51 | 0.18 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 18.7 | 0.17 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 18.87 | 0.51 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 19.37 | 0.5 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 19.87 | 0.52 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDM-106 | 20.39 | 0.97 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDT-211 | 105.42 | 0.74 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| NDT-211 | 111.24 | 0.2 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 27.68 | 0.5 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 29.22 | 0.5 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |

Table 23: Project Waterfowl Production Area Crossings

| PIPELINE ROUTE ID | MILEPOST | CROSSING LENGTH (MILES) | WATERFOWL PRODUCTION AREA | TYPE | DATA SOURCE ¹ |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SDL-320 | 29.72 | 1.02 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 30.83 | 1.18 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 32.01 | 0.93 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 33.45 | 0.52 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 33.97 | 1.56 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 35.53 | 1.06 | Hyde County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 38.6 | 0.43 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 40.04² | 0.56 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDL-320 | 40.04² | 0.53 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 40.04² | 0.53 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 40.57 | 0.03 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 40.57 | 0.03 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 44.81² | 0.05 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDL-320 | 44.81² | 0.05 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 44.81² | 0.05 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 52.51 | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 58.32² | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDL-320 | 58.32² | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 58.32² | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 62.93 | 0.09 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 63.02 | 0.43 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 63.46 | 0.11 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 63.73 | 0.57 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 64.53 | 0.05 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 65.66² | 0.09 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDL-320 | 65.66² | 0.09 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 65.66² | 0.09 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 65.75 | 0.44 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 66.18² | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDL-320 | 66.18² | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 66.18² | 0.5 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 66.68 | 1.62 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 68.31 | 0.48 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 68.86 | 1.1 | Hand County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 72.6 | 0.5 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 73.1 | 1.09 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 74.2 | 1.04 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 75.23 | 0.07 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 75.23 | 0.07 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |

Table 23: Project Waterfowl Production Area Crossings

| PIPELINE ROUTE ID | MILEPOST | CROSSING LENGTH (MILES) | WATERFOWL PRODUCTION AREA | TYPE | DATA SOURCE ¹ |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SDL-320 | 75.24² | 0.05 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDL-320 | 75.83 | 0.4 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 76.39 | 0.48 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 76.87 | 0.51 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 77.37 | 0.5 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 77.87 | 0.4 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 78.29 | 0.18 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-320 | 78.47 | 0.41 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-335 | 0 | 0.3 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDL-335 | 0.3 | 0.13 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 58.35 | 0.17 | Minnehaha County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 58.75 | 0.4 | Minnehaha County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 59.88 | 0.06 | Minnehaha County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 77.61 | 0.3 | Minnehaha County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 77.61 | 0.3 | Minnehaha County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 100.39 | 0.05 | Lake County Waterfowl Production Area Of Sd | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 100.44 | 0.66 | Lake County Waterfowl Production Area Of Sd | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 101.73 | 0.59 | Lake County Waterfowl Production Area Of Sd | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 102.33 | 0.1 | Miner County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 102.43 | 0.52 | Miner County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 109.12 | 0.91 | Miner County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 116.76 | 0.64 | Miner County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 126.61 | 0.03 | Kingsbury County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-104 | 126.98 | 0.31 | Kingsbury County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 35.71 | 0.06 | Spink County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 81.64 | 0.6 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 82.25 | 0.77 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 83.02 | 0.5 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 83.52 | 0.6 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 84.12 | 0.1 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 85.99 | 0.91 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 86.9 | 0.56 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 87.46 | 0.49 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 87.95 | 0.28 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 88.23 | 0.28 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 88.51 | 0.27 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 88.94 | 1.18 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 90.13 | 0.54 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 90.67 | 0.8 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |

Table 23: Project Waterfowl Production Area Crossings

| PIPELINE ROUTE ID | MILEPOST | CROSSING LENGTH (MILES) | WATERFOWL PRODUCTION AREA | TYPE | DATA SOURCE ¹ |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| SDM-105 | 94.29 | 0.12 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 103.39² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDM-105 | 103.39² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 103.39² | 0.02 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 103.4 | 1.38 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 106.87 | 0.29 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 107.16² | 0.29 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | NCED |
| SDM-105 | 107.16² | 0.29 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDM-105 | 107.16² | 0.29 | McPherson County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-206 | 1.59 | 0.28 | Lake County Waterfowl Production Area Of Sd | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-207 | 1.32 | 0.56 | Beadle County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 13.87 | 0.26 | Hamlin County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 14.13 | 0.37 | Hamlin County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 17.64 | 0.03 | Hamlin County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 22.39 | 0.66 | Hamlin County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 29.31 | 0.15 | Clark County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 33.48 | 0.35 | Clark County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 36.2 | 0.67 | Clark County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-208 | 39.24 | 0.56 | Clark County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 4.39 | 0.51 | Brown County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 4.89 | 0.52 | Brown County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 5.42 | 0.61 | Brown County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 6.03 | 1.02 | Brown County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 7.05 | 1 | Brown County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 10.89 | 0.25 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |
| SDT-210 | 10.89 | 0.25 | Edmunds County Waterfowl Production Area | Conservation Easement | PADUS |

¹ NCED = National Conservation Easement Database; PADUS = Protected Areas Database of the United States

² Waterfowl Production Areas to be crossed by HDD or bore methods.

Res Table 25: Other State Listed Species in the Project Area

| SPECIES ¹ | STATUS ² | PROJECT COUNTIES ^{4,5} | KEY HABITATS ³ | IMPACT ASSESSMENT ⁷ | DETERMINATION OF EFFECTS ⁷ |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Swift Fox <i>Vulpes velox</i> | ST | Sully, Hyde | Prefers heavily grazed shortgrass or mixed-grass prairies with open, gently rolling topography for high visibility of surrounding area and is usually associated with prairie dog or ground squirrel colonies. They use dens throughout the year and may dig their own dens or occupy abandoned badger dens or prairie dog burrows. Suitable habitat may be present within the Project area, especially in Sully and Hyde Counties (Perennial 2021b) | <u>Suitable habitat may be present within the Project area, especially in Sully and Hyde counties. However, based on coordination with SDGFP, occurrence is unlikely due to minimal habitat and lack of recorded observations in the vicinity of the proposed Project. Therefore, the Project is <i>not likely to adversely affect</i> the swift fox.</u> | Not Likely to Adversely Affect |
| Bald eagle ⁶ <i>Haliaeetus leucocephalus</i> | BGEPA ⁶ | All | Usually found near water such as rivers, lakes, reservoirs, and coastal areas. Large cottonwood trees are typically used for nesting and roosting. This species requires a large area of clear surface water for feeding. Bald eagles are widespread nesters that nest along many rivers and large wetlands in South Dakota. Wintering birds congregate near Missouri River dams and surrounding forests and also winter in the Black Hills. Eagles can be seen in migration along rivers and large wetlands. Eagles begin nesting in March or April. They typically nest high in trees and often reuse nests from previous years. A typical clutch has 2 eggs which are incubated for 45 days. Both parents care for chicks, which stay in the nest for 10-11 weeks. Suitable habitat for the bald eagle may be present at various locations within the Project area, especially near large rivers and streams such as the Big Sioux River and the Vermillion River. ⁷ Although bald eagles were observed | <u>Suitable habitat for the bald eagle may be present at various locations within the Project area in South Dakota, especially near large rivers and streams such as the Big Sioux River and the Vermillion River. Although bald eagles were observed during the survey, eagle nests were not observed within the Project area. In the event a bald eagle is observed prior to or during construction, SCS will coordinate with SDGFP. Additionally, SCS will adhere to the conservation measures established in the USFWS National Bald Eagle Management Guidelines.</u> | Not Likely to Adversely Affect |

Res Table 25: Other State Listed Species in the Project Area

| SPECIES ¹ | STATUS ² | PROJECT COUNTIES ^{4,5} | KEY HABITATS ³ | IMPACT ASSESSMENT ⁷ | DETERMINATION OF EFFECTS ⁷ |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | | during the survey, eagle nests were not observed in the Project area (Perennial 2021b) | | |
| Lined snake <i>Tropidocnion lineatum</i> | SE | Lincoln, Minnehaha | Prefers open, grassy prairies with rich soils and sparsely wooded areas. Often found on hillsides near rocky areas. Lined snakes are active at night and typically shelter beneath rocks and logs during the day. This species overwinters underground in animal burrows. Suitable habitat for the lined snake may be present in the Project area (Perennial 2021b; 2022a). | <u>Species-specific surveys were conducted in July 2022. Neither lined snakes nor suitable habitat were observed within the Project area. Additional surveys for Lined Snake will take place in Fall of 2023.</u> | <u>Final determination of effects will be made when surveys are complete.</u> |
| False map turtle <i>Graptemys pseudogeographica</i> | ST | Sully, Hyde | Large rivers, backwaters, lakes, and flooded floodplains. Turtles need basking sites and aquatic vegetation. Females dig nests in sandy areas near water, laying up to 3 clutches per breeding season. She lays 12-16 eggs in June and July, and eggs hatch 2 months later. Turtles overwinter in mud or in muskrat dens within wetlands. | <u>Suitable habitat for the false map turtle may be present in the Project area. However, the Project area within the range of this species has largely been converted to agricultural use. One small pond and wetland complex is present within the species range and Project area at GPS coordinates (44.693070°, -100.054419°), but due to the small size of the feature and its isolation from other lakes, rivers, and ponds, it is highly unlikely to support this species. Therefore, the project is not likely to adversely affect the false map turtle.</u> | <u>Not Likely to Adversely Affect</u> |
| Banded killifish <i>Fundulus daphaneus</i> | SE | McPherson, Edmunds, Brown | Habitat is lentic or lotic; it has been detected in quiet, shallow lakes, and in ponds with abundant aquatic vegetation and sandy-gravel substrates but also in streams with muddy bottoms without aquatic vegetation. Reported from a few lakes in west South Dakota. East South Dakota is on the range periphery. Since | <u>Suitable habitat for the banded killifish may be present in the Project area, especially in quiet shallow streams ponds, and lakes within McPherson County (Hydrologic Unit Code 10: 1013010603). However, based on coordination with SDGFP, the proposed Project does not</u> | <u>No Effect</u> |

Res Table 25: Other State Listed Species in the Project Area

| SPECIES ¹ | STATUS ² | PROJECT COUNTIES ^{4,5} | KEY HABITATS ³ | IMPACT ASSESSMENT ⁷ | DETERMINATION OF EFFECTS ⁷ |
|--|---------------------|--|--|---|--|
| | | | <p>2000, reported banded killifish have been limited to the inlet of Bitter Lake, Day County and Little Eureka Lake, McPherson County (Perennial 2021b).</p> | <p><u>intersect within 1 mile of this species' known and current range. Therefore, the Project will have <i>no effect</i> on this species</u></p> | |
| <p>Blacknose shiner <i>Notropis heterolepis</i></p> | <p>SE</p> | <p>Brown, Codington</p> | <p>Prefers cool, highly vegetated streams, small rivers, and lakes with sandy substrates. Spawns May to June over sandy substrates. Southern South Dakota, tributaries to the James and Keya Paha River basins. South Dakota is on the western periphery of the range for this species</p> | <p><u>Suitable habitat for the blacknose shiner may be present in the project area in the tributaries of the James and Paha River basins. However, based on coordination with SDGFP, the proposed Project does not intersect within 1 mile of this species' known and current range. Therefore, the Project will have <i>no effect</i> on this species.</u></p> | <p><u>No Effect</u></p> |
| <p>Northern redbelly dace <i>Chrosomus eus</i></p> | <p>ST</p> | <p>Codington, Miner, Turner, Lincoln, Hamlin, Kingsbury, McCook, Minnehaha</p> | <p>Prefers cool, bogs, ponds, beaver ponds, lakes, and small clear streams. Spawns in clear low to moderate current over sand or gravel substrates during the spring. South central South Dakota- tributaries to the Little White and Keya Paha River basins. South Dakota is on the southern periphery of the range for this species. Suitable habitat for the northern redbelly dace may be present in the Project area in the tributaries of the Missouri and Big Sioux rivers (Perennial 2021b).</p> | <p><u>Species-specific surveys were conducted in June 2022. Suitable habitat for the northern redbelly dace was observed in the Project area within the South Fork Pearl Creek in Kingsbury County, and Pearl Creek in Beadle County Other waterbodies in the Project vicinity identified as containing potentially suitable habitat were assessed during the 2022 surveys and determined to not support fish populations or provide suitable habitat for northern redbelly dace. SCS will utilize trenchless crossing methods in these waterbodies, such as HDD or bore, to avoid all in-stream impacts. Therefore, the Project is <i>not likely to adversely affect</i> the northern redbelly dace.</u></p> | <p><u>No Effect</u></p> |
| <p>Interior Least Tern <i>Sternula antillarum athalassos</i></p> | <p>SE</p> | <p>Sully</p> | <p>Interior least terns are typically found along large rivers. The nesting areas are barren, treeless beaches of sand, gravel, or shells; dry mudflats and salt flats; and sand and</p> | <p><u>Suitable habitat for the interior least tern may be present west and south of the Project area. However, this species is only present in South Dakota during the nesting</u></p> | <p><u>Not Likely to Adversely Affect</u></p> |

Res Table 25: Other State Listed Species in the Project Area

| SPECIES ¹ | STATUS ² | PROJECT COUNTIES ^{4,5} | KEY HABITATS ³ | IMPACT ASSESSMENT ⁷ | DETERMINATION OF EFFECTS ⁷ |
|----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | gravel pits along rivers. Interior least terns arrive in South Dakota in early May and leave at the end of the summer. In South Dakota, interior least terns nest along the Missouri and Cheyenne rivers, with the majority nesting below Gavins Point Dam. | <u>season (May-August). Construction activities will start prior to and will continue through when the least tern would be expected to inhabit the area during migration. Therefore, it is anticipated that the least tern would utilize similar habitat beyond the Project area where disturbance is actively occurring. Additionally, this species is highly mobile and would likely avoid the construction area. Therefore, the project is not likely to adversely impact the interior least tern</u> | |

Notes:

¹ State listed species in South Dakota, which are also not federally listed, and which are found in South Dakota counties the Project traverses (SDGFP 2021 j,k,l).

² Status: ST = State threatened, SE = State endangered, BGEPA = Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act

³ Key habitats and distribution from SDGFP Wildlife of South Dakota website <https://apps.sd.gov/gf43wap/Species.aspx#tab2>.

⁴ Counties with Project footprint only.

⁵ Occurrence / distribution from SDGFP (2021j) mapping website Wildlife of South Dakota accessed on 13 December 2021 at <https://apps.sd.gov/gf43wap/Species.aspx#tab2>; includes more counties than Environmental Review Tool (SDGFP 2021l) at <https://ert.gfp.sd.gov/content/map>.

⁶ The bald eagle is not currently federally listed or state-listed in South Dakota but is included here due to its protection under the BGEPA.

⁷ Impact Assessment and Determination of Effects as included in Threatened and Endangered Species Report – Beadle, Brown, Clark, Codington, Edmunds, Hamlin, Hand, Hyde, Kingsbury, Lake, Lincoln, McCook, McPherson, Miner, Minnehaha, Spink, Sully, and Turner counties, South Dakota, 2022.

Table 28: Wetlands Impacted by the Project

| WETLAND TYPE ¹ | PROJECT IMPACTS BY FACILITY TYPE ^{2,3} | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | PIPELINE | | ACCESS ROADS | | PUMP STATIONS | |
| | CONSTRUCTION ROW (ACRES) ⁴ | OPERATION ROW (ACRES) | CONSTRUCTION (ACRES) ⁴ | OPERATION (ACRES) | CONSTRUCTION (ACRES) ⁴ | OPERATION (ACRES) |
| PEM | <u>188.0</u> | <u>0.0</u> | <u>1.3</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> |
| PSS | <u>0.8</u> | <u>0.5</u> | <u>0.0</u> | 0.0 | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0.0</u> |
| PFO | <u>0.6</u> | <u>0.4</u> | 0.0 | 0.0 | <u>0.0</u> | <u>0.0</u> |
| Total | <u>189.4</u> | <u>0.9</u> | <u>1.3</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> |

Notes:

¹ PEM = palustrine emergent, PSS = palustrine scrub-shrub, PFO = palustrine forested.

² Area within Project footprint; there are no direct wetland impacts associated with Project facilities not listed here. Project HDD crossings are not included as impacts, the ground disturbance at these locations will be avoided.

³ Impacts shown consist of wetlands within the Project workspace, including those not crossed by the Project centerline.

⁴ Construction impacts include both construction footprint and operation footprint.

Table 29: Named Waterbodies Crossed by the Project

| FEATURE NAME | COUNTY | LINE / MILEPOST | CROSSING METHOD ¹ | CROSSING LENGTH ² (FEET) | IMPACT ³ (ACRES) | ASSOCIATED WETLANDS ⁴ | STREAM TYPE |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Spring Creek | McPherson | NDM-106 / 21.3 | <u>HDD</u> | <u>6.2</u> | <u>--</u> | PEM | <u>Intermittent</u> |
| <u>Webber Gulch</u> | <u>Brown</u> | <u>NDT-211 / 89.0</u> | <u>HDD</u> | <u>161.8</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>PEM</u> | <u>Perennial</u> |
| <u>Foot Creek</u> | <u>McPherson</u> | <u>NDT-211 / 112.1</u> | <u>WOC</u> | <u>2.2</u> | <u>0.002</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>Ephemeral</u> |
| Medicine Knoll Creek | Sully | SDL-320 / 17.7 | WOC | <u>26.5</u> | 0.03 | <u>PEM</u> | <u>Perennial</u> |
| Matter Creek | Hand | SDL-320 / 50.7 | WOC | <u>11.2</u> | 0.02 | -- | Ephemeral |
| Bryant Creek | Hand | SDL-320 / 63.9 | WOC | <u>20.7</u> | 0.02 | PEM | Intermittent |
| E. Fork Vermillion R. | Lake | SDM-104 / 97.1 | WOC | <u>89.0</u> | <u>0.1</u> | -- | Perennial |
| Redstone Creek | Clark | SDT-208 / 43.4 | WOC | <u>1.0</u> | 0.001 | PEM | Ephemeral |
| | Kingsbury | SDM-104 / 128.6 | WOC | <u>53.6</u> | <u>0.06</u> | PEM | Perennial |
| W. Branch Skunk Cr. | Minnehaha | SDM-104 / 76.4 | WOC | <u>2.4</u> | 0.003 | <u>PEM</u> | Ephemeral |
| Dry Run | Spink | SDM-105 / 40.6 | WOC | <u>82.4</u> | 0.1 | <u>PEM</u> | Perennial |
| | Spink | SDT-209 / 9.6 | <u>HDD</u> | <u>99.4</u> | <u>--</u> | PEM | Perennial |
| James River | Spink | SDT-209 / 1.0 | HDD | <u>116.6</u> | -- | PEM | Perennial |
| | Spink | SDM-105 / 52.1 | HDD | <u>81.9</u> | -- | <u>PFO</u> | Perennial |
| | Beadle | SDT-207 / 11.0 | HDD | <u>1,996.7</u> | -- | PEM | Perennial |
| Shue Creek | <u>Beadle</u> | <u>SDM-105 / 3.1</u> | <u>WOC</u> | <u>9.5</u> | <u>0.01</u> | <u>PEM</u> | <u>Perennial</u> |
| | Beadle | SDT-207 / 18.0 | WOC | <u>71.0</u> | 0.08 | -- | Perennial |
| Snake Creek | Brown | SDM-105 / 74.1 | WOC | <u>17.1</u> | <u>0.02</u> | PEM | Perennial |
| | Brown | SDT-210 / 9.0 | WOC | <u>10.6</u> | <u>0.01</u> | PEM | Ephemeral |
| Timber Creek | Spink | SDM-105 / 31.1 | WOC | <u>83.7</u> | <u>0.1</u> | PEM | Perennial |

Table 29: Named Waterbodies Crossed by the Project

| FEATURE NAME | COUNTY | LINE / MILEPOST | CROSSING METHOD ¹ | CROSSING LENGTH ² (FEET) | IMPACT ³ (ACRES) | ASSOCIATED WETLANDS ⁴ | STREAM TYPE |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| Big Sioux River | Lincoln | SDM-104 / <u>27.2</u> | HDD | <u>92.7</u> | -- | -- | Perennial |
| | <u>Codington</u> | <u>SDT-208 / 0.2</u> | <u>HDD</u> | <u>59.0</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>PEM</u> | <u>Perennial</u> |
| | <u>Codington</u> | <u>SDT-208 / 0.7</u> | <u>HDD</u> | <u>53.0</u> | <u>--</u> | <u>PEM</u> | <u>Perennial</u> |
| <u>Brant Lake</u> | Lake | SDT-206 / 3.4 | HDD | <u>187.2</u> | -- | PEM | Lake |
| <u>Foster Creek</u> | <u>Spink</u> | <u>SDM-105 / 15.1</u> | <u>WOC</u> | <u>51.5</u> | <u>0.05</u> | <u>PEM</u> | <u>Intermittent</u> |

Notes:

¹ Crossing method is either HDD (horizontal directional drill) or WOC (wet open cut) as identified in Section 2.2.

² Crossing length is centerline and bank to bank.

³ Impact within stream; there may be additional impact to adjacent associated wetlands.

⁴ Associated wetlands are adjacent riparian wetlands but are not included in the impact acreage: PEM = palustrine emergent.

Table 30: Fish Stocked in Named Waterbodies Crossed by the Project

| STREAM | COUNTY ¹ | FISH STOCKED ² | MOST RECENT STOCK YEAR ³ |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| Redstone Creek | Sanborn | Walleye | 1985 |
| W. Branch Skunk Cr. | Minnehaha | Black bullhead, black crappie, yellow perch | 1935 |
| James River | Beadle | Black crappie, channel catfish, smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, bluegill, walleye, sauger, muskellunge, northern pike, yellow perch | 1995 |
| <u>James River</u> | <u>Brown</u> | <u>Saugeye, walleye</u> | <u>2023</u> |
| <u>James River</u> | <u>Spink</u> | <u>Walleye</u> | <u>2023</u> |
| Shue Creek | Beadle | Black bullhead | 1935 |
| Snake Creek | Brown | Black bullhead | 1935 |
| Timber Creek | Spink | Black bullhead, largemouth bass, northern pike, yellow perch | 1970 |
| Big Sioux River | Minnehaha | Black bullhead, Black crappie, white crappie, channel catfish, smallmouth bass, largemouth bass, bluegill, walleye, northern pike, yellow perch | 1996 |
| Round Lake | Lake | Northern pike | 1969 |
| <u>Medicine Knoll Creek</u> | <u>Sully</u> | <u>Bluegill, largemouth bass</u> | <u>2019</u> |
| <u>Spring Creek</u> | <u>Campbell</u> | <u>Black bullhead, largemouth bass, yellow perch</u> | <u>1940</u> |
| <u>East Fork Vermillion River</u> | <u>McCook</u> | <u>Walleye, black crappie, yellow perch, bluegill, channel catfish, fathead minnow, largemouth bass, northern pike, white crappie</u> | <u>2017</u> |

Notes:

¹ Stocking location may not be in a county crossed by the Project.

² Fish species stocked by SDGFP in named streams crossed by the Project per SDGFP stocking reports at: https://apps.sd.gov/GF56FisheriesReports/?_ga=2.236776577.1808269613.1640486355-1162596512.1638215578.

³ The most recent year that stocking was conducted by SDGFP for that waterbody.

Table 31: Surface Waterbodies in Project Counties that are Infested by Aquatic Invasive Organisms

| WATERBODY | COUNTY | CURLY PONDWEED | EURASIAN MILFOIL | PLANTS ¹ | | FISH ¹ | | | | INVERTEBRATES ¹ |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------------|------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| | | | | PURPLE LOOSESTRIFE | FLOWERING RUSH | SILVER CARP | BIGHEAD CARP | GRASS CARP | EUROPEAN RUDD | ZEBRA MUSSEL |
| James River | Brown | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | X | -- | X |
| | Spink | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | X | -- | X |
| | Beadle | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | X | -- | X |
| East Fork Vermillion River | Kingsbury | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | -- | -- | -- |
| | Miner | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | -- | -- | -- |
| | Lake | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | -- | -- | -- |
| | McCook | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | -- | -- | -- |
| | Turner | -- | -- | -- | -- | X | X | -- | -- | -- |

Notes:

¹ Data from SDGFP (2023) Environmental Review Tool website at: <https://ert.gfp.sd.gov/content/map>; and South Dakota Aquatic Invasive species website at: <https://sdleastwanted.sd.gov/maps/default.aspx>.

Table 32: Existing Land Use for the Project (Acres)¹

| LAND USE | PIPELINES | | PUMP STATIONS | | MLVS | | LAUNCHER-RECEIVERS | | ACCESS ROADS | | ATWS | | TOTAL | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | CONS. ² | OPER. | CONS. ² | OPER. | CONS. ² | OPER. | CONS. ² | OPER. | CONS. ² | OPER. | CONS. ² | OPER. | CONS. ² | OPER. |
| <u>Irrigated lands/water sources for organized rural water systems lands/Public use</u> | <u>0.4</u> | <u>0.4</u> | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | <u>0.4</u> | <u>0.4</u> |
| <u>Irrigated lands/water sources for organized rural water systems lands</u> | <u>2.5</u> | <u>2.2</u> | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | <u>2.5</u> | <u>2.2</u> |
| <u>Existing and potential extractive nonrenewable resources</u> | <u>0.7</u> | <u>0.2</u> | == | == | == | == | == | == | <u>0.2</u> | <u>0.2</u> | <u>0.2</u> | == | <u>1.1</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches / Residential / Noise Sensitive Land Use</u> | <u>16.3</u> | <u>9.6</u> | == | == | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u>0.2</u> | <u>0.2</u> | <u>6.5</u> | <u>5.6</u> | <u>1.4</u> | == | <u>24.4</u> | <u>15.4</u> |
| <u>Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches / Residential / Public use / Noise Sensitive Land Use</u> | <u>119.7</u> | <u>60.2</u> | <u>1.6</u> | <u>1.6</u> | <u>0.4</u> | <u>0.4</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u>2.6</u> | <u>1.2</u> | <u>13.9</u> | == | <u>138.5</u> | <u>63.7</u> |
| <u>Land used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation</u> | <u>4244.1</u> | <u>2023.3</u> | <u>6.1</u> | <u>6.1</u> | <u>1.2</u> | <u>1.2</u> | <u>1.6</u> | <u>1.6</u> | <u>9.0</u> | <u>2.0</u> | <u>348.8</u> | == | <u>4610.8</u> | <u>2034.2</u> |
| <u>Pasturelands and rangelands / Haylands</u> | <u>721.9</u> | <u>366.0</u> | <u>1.2</u> | <u>1.2</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u>1.0</u> | <u>4.4</u> | <u>0.7</u> | <u>107.5</u> | == | <u>836.3</u> | <u>369.2</u> |
| <u>Palustrine Emergent Wetlands (PEM)</u> | <u>200.7</u> | <u>137.5</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> | == | == | == | == | <u>1.3</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u>1.2</u> | == | <u>203.2</u> | <u>137.5</u> |
| <u>Palustrine Forested Wetlands (PFO)</u> | <u>0.8</u> | <u>0.6</u> | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | <u>0.8</u> | <u>0.6</u> |
| <u>Palustrine Scrub/Shrub Wetlands (PSS)</u> | <u>0.8</u> | <u>0.5</u> | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | <u>0.8</u> | <u>0.5</u> |
| <u>Public Use</u> | <u>11.0</u> | <u>4.7</u> | == | == | == | == | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u>0.1</u> | <u>0.1</u> | <u>0.3</u> | == | <u>11.4</u> | <u>4.8</u> |
| <u>Undisturbed native grasslands</u> | <u>548.4</u> | <u>279.8</u> | == | == | <u>0.3</u> | <u>0.3</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u><0.1</u> | <u>4.5</u> | <u>0.7</u> | <u>50.3</u> | == | <u>603.5</u> | <u>280.8</u> |
| Potential sources for irrigated lands | 2.0 | 1.4 | <0.1 | <0.1 | == | == | == | == | <0.1 | <0.1 | == | == | 2.0 | 1.4 |
| Potential sources for irrigated lands / Public Use | 4.1 | 3.9 | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | == | 4.1 | 3.9 |
| Public, commercial, and institutional use | 0.2 | <0.1 | == | == | == | == | == | == | 1.1 | 1.1 | <0.1 | == | 1.3 | 1.1 |
| TOTAL³ | <u>5873.6</u> | <u>2890.2</u> | <u>8.9</u> | <u>8.9</u> | <u>2.2</u> | <u>2.2</u> | <u>3.1</u> | <u>3.1</u> | <u>29.9</u> | <u>11.7</u> | <u>523.6</u> | == | <u>6441.2</u> | <u>2916.1</u> |

Notes:

¹Acreage required for construction includes both construction and operations. Pump stations, MLVs and launcher-receivers have the same footprint for construction and operations.

²Acres are rounded.

³Totals are rounded to the nearest tenth.

⁴Wetlands and Waterbodies totals are represented in Section 5.4.

Table 33: Local Land Use Control Permits Anticipated for the Project

| COUNTY | PIPELINES | PUMP STATION | MLV | LAUNCHER-RECEIVER | ACCESS ROADS | PERMITS |
|-----------|-----------|--------------|----------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Beadle | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review; Building Permit |
| Brown | ✓ | <u>✓</u> | ✓ | <u>✓</u> | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review; Building Permit |
| Clark | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review |
| Codington | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | <u>✓</u> | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review; Building Permit |
| Edmunds | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | ✓ | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review; Building Permit |
| Hamlin | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review |
| Hand | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review |
| Hyde | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review |
| Kingsbury | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Building Permit; Zoning Application |
| Lake | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review; Building Permit |
| Lincoln | ✓ | | ✓ | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review |
| McCook | ✓ | | | | | Pipeline Construction Review |
| McPherson | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Building Permit; Zoning Application |
| Miner | ✓ | | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | Pipeline Construction Review |
| Minnehaha | ✓ | <u>✓</u> | <u>✓</u> | | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Building Permit; Zoning Application |
| Spink | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review; Building Permit |
| Sully | ✓ | | <u>✓</u> | <u>✓</u> | ✓ | Pipeline Construction Review; Zoning Review/Application; Building Permit |
| Turner | ✓ | | | | | Pipeline Construction Review |

Table 34: Impairment Status of Streams with Assigned Beneficial Uses that are Crossed by the Project

| WATERBODY ¹ | COUNTY | PIPELINE | MP | CROSSING METHOD ² | BENEFICIAL USES ³ | IMPAIRMENT STATUS ⁴ | IMPAIRED USE ⁵ (cause) |
|---|--------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Redstone Creek | Kingsbury | SDM-104 | <u>128.6</u> | WOC | 6,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| James River | Spink | SDT-209 | 1.0 | HDD | 5,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| James River | Spink | SDM-105 | <u>52.1</u> | HDD | 5,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| James River SD-JA-R-JAMES_07 | Beadle | SDT-207 | <u>11.02</u> | HDD | 1,5,8,9,10 | <u>5 impaired without TMDL</u> | <u>1 (TDS)</u> |
| Snake Creek | Brown | SDM-105 | <u>74.1</u> | WOC | 6,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| | Brown | SDT-210 | <u>9.0</u> | WOC | 6,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| Timber Creek | Spink | SDM-105 | <u>31.1</u> | WOC | 6,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| <u>Foster Creek</u> | <u>Spink</u> | <u>SDM-105</u> | <u>15.1</u> | <u>WOC</u> | <u>6,8,9,10</u> | -- | -- |
| Big Sioux River SD-BS-R-BIG_SIOUX_02 | Codington | SDT-208 | <u>0.2, 0.7</u> | HDD | 5,8,9,10 | -- | -- |
| Big Sioux River SD-BS-R-BIG_SIOUX_14 | Lincoln | SDM-104 | <u>27.2</u> | HDD | 5,7,8,9,10 | <u>4A impaired with approved TMDL</u> | 5 (TSS) <u>7, 8</u> (E. coli) |
| Brant Lake SD-BS-L-BRANT_01 | Lake | SDT-206 | <u>3.4</u> | HDD | 4,7,8,9 | 1 all uses met | -- |

Notes:

¹ Table includes only named waterbodies crossed by the Project for which specific beneficial uses have been assigned; see Appendix 8 for other waterbodies.

² Crossing methods are WOC (west open cut) and HDD (horizontal directional drill).

³ Beneficial uses are those assigned by South Dakota Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources as indicated in the ADNR Surface Water Quality website at: <https://sdgis.sd.gov/portal/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=f3e56d2e55a34c65b7d78b07ef1e677e>

The codes are: (1) domestic water supply; (4) warmwater permanent fish life propagation; (5) warmwater semipermanent fish life propagation; (6) warmwater marginal fish life propagation; (7) immersion recreation; (8) Limited-contact recreation; (9) fish and wildlife propagation, recreation, and stock watering; (10) Irrigation; and (11) commerce and industry. TMDL is Total Maximum Daily Load.

⁴ Impaired status per SD DANR's Surface Water Quality website; -- means there is no data, or an assessment has not been made.

⁵ See footnote (3) for beneficial use codes; DO = dissolved oxygen, TDS = total dissolved solids, TSS = total suspended solids, E. coli = the bacterium *Escherichia coli*.

Table 35: South Dakota County Labor Force Crossed by the Project

| COUNTY | LABOR FORCE | EMPLOYMENT | UNEMPLOYMENT | RATE |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Beadle County | <u>9,439</u> | <u>9,260</u> | <u>179</u> | 1.90% |
| Brown County | <u>20,196</u> | <u>19,791</u> | <u>405</u> | 2.00% |
| Clark County | <u>2,061</u> | <u>2,020</u> | 41 | 2.00% |
| Codington County | 16, <u>425</u> | <u>16,133</u> | 292 | 1.80% |
| Edmunds County | <u>2,011</u> | <u>1,969</u> | <u>42</u> | <u>2.10%</u> |
| Hamlin County | 3, <u>742</u> | 3, <u>679</u> | 63 | 1.70% |
| Hand County | 1,8 <u>57</u> | <u>1,831</u> | <u>26</u> | 1.40% |
| Hyde County | <u>660</u> | <u>647</u> | <u>13</u> | 2.00% |
| Kingsbury County | 2,8 <u>40</u> | 2,7 <u>85</u> | <u>55</u> | 1.90% |
| Lake County | 6, <u>918</u> | 6,7 <u>83</u> | <u>135</u> | 2.00% |
| Lincoln County | <u>39,085</u> | <u>38,477</u> | <u>608</u> | 1.60% |
| McCook County | 3, <u>220</u> | 3,1 <u>68</u> | <u>52</u> | 1.60% |
| McPherson County | <u>1,005</u> | <u>984</u> | <u>21</u> | <u>2.10%</u> |
| Miner County | 1,2 <u>83</u> | 1,2 <u>64</u> | 19 | 1.50% |
| Minnehaha County | <u>121,397</u> | <u>119,307</u> | <u>2,090</u> | 1.70% |
| Spink County | 3, <u>055</u> | <u>2,992</u> | <u>63</u> | 2.10% |
| Sully County | 8 <u>34</u> | 8 <u>21</u> | <u>13</u> | 1.60% |
| Turner County | 4, <u>905</u> | 4,8 <u>17</u> | <u>88</u> | 1.80% |

Source:

Labor Market Information Center, South Dakota Department of Labor and Regulation, in cooperation with the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, available at: <https://dlr.sd.gov/lmic/lbtables/county/f.aspx>. Accessed August 2023.

Table 36: Cultural Resources Recorded in the Environmental Survey Corridor

| Site Number | Site Type | NRHP Recommendation | Management Recommendation | SHPO Concurrence |
|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|---|------------------|
| 39CK2072 | Railroad | Eligible | Avoided via Bore | Yes |
| 39HD0128 | Farmstead | Eligible | Avoidance by reroute | Yes |
| 39HD0129 | Stone Circle | Eligible | Avoided via HDD | Yes |
| 39MP0015 | Stone circle and cairn | Eligible | Avoidance by reroute pending | <u>Pending</u> |
| 39MP0110 | Stone circle and cairn | Eligible | Avoided via HDD <u>or reroute pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| 39MP0111 | Stone circle | Eligible | Avoided via HDD <u>or reroute pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| 39BN0144 | Stone circle and cairn | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39CK2007 | Railroad | Eligible | Avoided via bore | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39ED2007 | Railroad | Eligible | Avoided via bore | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39HD0134 | Stone circle and cairn | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39HD0136 | Stone circle | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39HE0097 | Stone circle and cairn | Eligible | Avoided via <u>HDD</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39HE0099 | Stone circle | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39LK2013 | Railroad | Eligible | Avoided via <u>HDD</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39KB0056 | Stone Alignment | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39KB2013 | Railroad | Eligible | Avoided via <u>HDD</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MP0118 | Stone circle | Eligible | Avoidance by reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MP0119 | Stone circle and cairn | Eligible | Avoided via HDD | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MP0123 | Stone circle | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MP0134 | Stone circle | Eligible | Avoided via reroute | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MH2014 | Railroad | Eligible | Avoided via <u>HDD</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39CK0214 | Farmstead | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39CK0021 | Historic artifact scatter | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |

Table 36: Cultural Resources Recorded in the Environmental Survey Corridor

| Site Number | Site Type | NRHP Recommendation | Management Recommendation | SHPO Concurrence |
|---------------------|---|---------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|
| 39HD0017 | School foundation | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39KB0054 | Farmstead | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MN0036 | Farmstead | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39LN0068 | <u>Historic artifact scatter</u> | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39MH0192 | Prehistoric artifact scatter | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39LK0058 | Farmstead | Not Eligible | No further work | <u>Yes</u> |
| 39BE0188 | Historic depression | Not Eligible | No further work | Yes |
| 39ED0066 | Historic artifact scatter | Not Eligible | No further work | Yes |
| 39LK0088 | Prehistoric artifact scatter | Not Eligible | No further work | Yes |
| 39MP0109 | Prehistoric artifact scatter | Not Eligible | No further work | Yes |
| <u>39KB0055</u> | <u>Farmstead</u> | <u>Not Eligible</u> | <u>No further work</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| <u>39SP0288</u> | <u>Prehistoric open camp</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Avoided via HDD</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| <u>39LN0138</u> | <u>Historic well/cistern</u> | <u>Not Eligible</u> | <u>No further work</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| <u>39KB2003</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Avoided via HDD</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| <u>39MP2051</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Avoided via bore</u> | <u>Yes</u> |
| <u>CS4555MP005</u> | <u>Prehistoric open camp</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS4333SP001b</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS4333SP002</u> | <u>Multicomponent historic and prehistoric artifact scatter</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS4411CL001</u> | <u>Historic artifact scatter</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS6363BR001</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>38SP2003</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS6363MP001</u> | <u>Prehistoric Stone circle</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS6363MP002</u> | <u>Prehistoric artifact scatter</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>39SP2003</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>39MP2051</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |

Table 36: Cultural Resources Recorded in the Environmental Survey Corridor

| Site Number | Site Type | NRHP Recommendation | Management Recommendation | SHPO Concurrence |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| <u>CS4555MP005</u> | <u>Prehistoric open camp</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS4333SP001b</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS4333SP001a</u> | <u>Historic artifact scatter</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS4333SP001b</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |
| <u>CS6363LI001</u> | <u>Railroad</u> | <u>Eligible</u> | <u>Pending, avoided by HDD</u> | <u>Pending</u> |

Table 37: Project Witnesses

| Application Section | Application Subsections | Witness |
|--|---|--|
| 1.0 Introduction | All Sections Section 1.8 | Mr. James Powell Dr. Jon Schmidt |
| 2.0 Project Description | All Sections Section 2.2 Section 2.1.1 Section 2.3.2 (abnormal operations/ERP) Section 2.2 and 2.3 | Mr. James Powell/Erik Schovanec Mr. Alex Lange Dr. Jon Schmidt Mr. Rod Dillon Mr. Brigham McCown |
| 3.0 Demand for Facility | All Sections | Mr. James Powell |
| 4.0 Alternatives | All Sections | Dr. Jon Schmidt Mr. Erik Schovanec Mr. James Powell |
| 5.0 Environmental Information and Impact on Physical Environment | All Sections | Dr. Jon Schmidt Mr. Erik Schovanec |
| 6.0 Community Impact | All Sections All Sections | Mr. James Powell Dr. Jon Schmidt |
| 7.0 Other Information | 7.1 Monitoring of Impacts | Dr. Jon Schmidt Mr. Erik Schovanec |
| Appendices | 1 and 2 1 , 2 , 3 , 4 , 8 , 9, 10, and 12 3, 5-12 13 | Mr. Alex Lange Mr. Erik Schovanec Dr. Jon Schmidt Mr. James Powell |