BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTLITIES COMMISSION

OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SCS CARBON TRANSPORT, LLC FOR A PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT A CARBON DIOXIDE TRANSMISSION PIPELINE

SD PUC DOCKET HP22-001

PRE-FILED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF TED SMITH

ON BEHALF OF SOUTH DAKOTA RURAL ELECTRIC ASSOCIATION ("SDREA")

June 16, 2023



Q:	Would you please state your name and business address?
A:	Yes. My name is Ted Smith, I am the Vice President of Engineering & Operations at Sioux
	Valley Energy, whose address is 47092 SD Hwy 34, Colman, SD 57017.
Q:	Could you describe your professional experience, including your duties in the position
	of VP of Engineering & Operations?
A:	I am a registered professional engineer in the state of Minnesota. I have been the VP of
	Engineering and Operations for Sioux Valley Energy for 17 years. In that role, I am responsible for the planning, budgeting and execution of all construction and maintenance
	activities related to the electric distribution system of Sioux Valley Energy. I am
	responsible to ensure that Sioux Valley Energy's interests are not adversely affected by
	any outside forces. This would include ensuring that all crossings of other utilities and
	pipelines meet all applicable codes and laws.
Q:	Is Sioux Valley Energy a member of the South Dakota Rural Electric Association
	("SDREA")?
A:	Yes.
A: Q:	Yes. Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the
	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the
	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the
Q:	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the South Dakota pipeline portion of the Midwest Carbon Express Project ("Project")?
Q: A.	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the South Dakota pipeline portion of the Midwest Carbon Express Project ("Project")? Yes, I am aware of that.
Q: A.	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the South Dakota pipeline portion of the Midwest Carbon Express Project ("Project")? Yes, I am aware of that. Are you aware of the reason SDREA intervened on behalf of its member electric
Q: A. Q:	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the South Dakota pipeline portion of the Midwest Carbon Express Project ("Project")? Yes, I am aware of that. Are you aware of the reason SDREA intervened on behalf of its member electric cooperatives?
Q: A. Q:	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the South Dakota pipeline portion of the Midwest Carbon Express Project ("Project")? Yes, I am aware of that. Are you aware of the reason SDREA intervened on behalf of its member electric cooperatives? From a high level, to protect the interests of its member rural electric cooperatives in provisioning the valuable resource of safe and reliable electric power to South Dakotans. I believe SDREA and its impacted member electric cooperatives are particularly interested
Q: A. Q:	Are you aware that SDREA filed for party status in HP22-001, which is the application of SCS Carbon Transport, LLC ("SCS") for a Permit to construct the South Dakota pipeline portion of the Midwest Carbon Express Project ("Project")? Yes, I am aware of that. Are you aware of the reason SDREA intervened on behalf of its member electric cooperatives? From a high level, to protect the interests of its member rural electric cooperatives in provisioning the valuable resource of safe and reliable electric power to South Dakotans.
	Q: A:

1

27 Q: Are you generally aware of SCS' proposal for the Project?

A: According to the Application, SCS' owner, Summit Carbon Solutions, LLC, proposes to
 build a carbon capture and sequestration Project that will move carbon dioxide (CO₂) from
 participating industrial facilities in South Dakota (and other states) to a sequestration site
 in North Dakota, where the CO₂ will be safely and permanently stored. Summit Carbon
 Solutions, LLC has offtake agreements with seven ethanol plants in South Dakota. The
 entire Project includes approximately 2,000 miles of pipeline, with 469 miles of pipeline
 in South Dakota covering 18 counties.

35 Q: Are you aware if the project will cross any SDREA member facilities?

36 A: Yes, it is my understanding that SDREA has identified eleven member cooperatives that
 37 may be impacted by the South Dakota portion of the Project: Codington-Clark Electric
 38 Cooperative, Dakota Energy, FEM Electric Association, H-D Electric Cooperative,
 39 Kingsbury Electric Cooperative, Northern Electric Cooperative, Oahe Electric
 40 Cooperative, Sioux Valley Energy, Southeastern Electric Cooperative, East River Electric,
 41 and Basin Electric.

42 Q: Is SDREA, on behalf of its affected members, willing to collaborate with SCS 43 regarding safe crossing and parallel locating of their respective lines?

44 A: Yes.

45 Q: What steps have been taken to do this?

46 A? To date, we have been meeting internally in an attempt to develop a crossing agreement.

47 Q: From a high level, are there certain safety standards you believe need to be met to 48 preserve the integrity of SDREA member facilities?

49 A: Yes. For underground utility lines, we believe there should be a minimum separation
50 distance of 2 feet, and a crossing angle as close to 90 degrees perpendicular as possible.
51 When SCS' pipeline runs parallel to our underground utility lines, the minimum distance
52 of separation should be 10 feet. For overhead utility lines, all applicable OSHA and
53 National Electric Safety Code clearance must be maintained when crossing or paralleling

54		utility electric lines, including minimum ground clearance above access roads, working
55		clearances for construction equipment, and other applicable clearances. In addition, if SCS
56		utilizes access roads, SCS must perform 811 locate calls and spot checks to ensure there is
57		always at least 3 feet of cover. If a SDREA member electric utility needs to relocate an
58		electric line or facility, SCS should cover the costs to relocate. SCS must cover all costs
59		of damage to electric lines, in the event of a rupture or leak.
60	Q:	To your knowledge, has SDREA, on behalf of you and other affected member electric
61		cooperatives, reached out to SCS to negotiate a crossing agreement?
62	A:	It is my understanding that SDREA's attorneys have contacted SCS' local attorneys to
63		discuss negotiating a mutually acceptable crossing and relocation agreement.
64	Q:	Is it your goal and the goal of SDREA to negotiate a mutually acceptable agreement
65		with SCS, outside of the formal hearing scheduled in this docket?
66	A:	Yes, that is the goal.
67	Q:	Will you ask the Commission to include as a condition of any permit granted in this
68		docket adherence to the crossing agreement?
69	A:	Yes.
70	Q:	In the event SDREA and its member cooperatives and SCS fail to mutually agree
71		upon the terms and conditions of a crossing agreement, will SDREA appear at the
72		hearing to present evidence on the terms and conditions of a crossing agreement?
73	A:	Yes, that is our intent. Because of the importance of safe and reliable electric power to
74		South Dakotans, we believe that in this permitting process, the Commission should
75		implement safeguards for crossings of our electric lines by the SCS pipeline.
76	Q:	Are there any other interests SDREA and its member electric cooperatives have in
77		this docket?

- 78 A. Yes. We want to make sure that any negotiated agreements or Commission-imposed
 79 conditions cover both current and future crossings. We also want to make sure that nothing
 80 in the permitting process interferes with or compromises our existing or future easements.
- 81 Q: Does that conclude your testimony?
- 82 A: Yes. Thank you.