

From: R Gail Schulz [REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 10:23 AM

To: PUC-PUC <PUC@state.sd.us>; R Gail Schulz [REDACTED]

Subject: [EXT] HP22-001 in the Matter of the Application by SCS Carbon Transport LLC for a Permit to Construct A Carbon Dioxide Transmission Pipeline

Commenter: R. Gail Schulz

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Summit Carbon Solutions proposes to cross two 80s I own, which are the [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] property address: [REDACTED]. These properties are also crossed by the Dakota Access Pipeline.

I strenuously object to the CO2 pipeline and to it crossing my land. My land has been negatively impacted by the Dakota Access Pipeline in regard to ongoing drying of the soil and reduction of crops above the pipeline, continuing several years after it was installed. I do not wish to have this CO2 pipeline cross my land and further reduce the productivity and monetary value of my land. I do not wish to lose more of my land to another easement I will be required to grant to Summit Carbon Solutions in perpetuity.

I object to the entire concept of Summit Carbon Solutions' project to transport massive amounts of CO2 to North Dakota for injection into wells to permanently store CO2 deep underground. There are currently proven, available methods to sequester carbon in the soil which do not involve this massive spending of taxpayer dollars and the disruption and degradation of the lives and lands of thousands of property owners whose land SCS will take. These include leaving harvest residues on the field, no till farming, using manure as fertilizer and including perennial crops in the rotation, which reduce tillage, mitigate soil erosion and help increase soil organic matter. These are extremely low cost, widely available and easily implemented solutions. These include carbon farming and forest management by planting and restoring forests and restoring wetlands. There are many more practices which can be used worldwide to sequester CO2 without great expense and destruction of privately owned lands by massive pipeline carbon transfer projects. Future scientific discoveries and

sequestration methods are likely to provide even more practical, relatively inexpensive ways to sequester CO2.

Please do not approve the SCS Carbon Transport permit to construct this carbon dioxide transmission pipeline. Thank you for your thoughtful and comprehensive consideration of these comments.

Sincerely,

R. Gail Schulz

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows