

DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE PROJECT ENERGY TRANSMISSION FACILITY: SDCL 49-41B

Submitted to: The South Dakota Public Utilities Commission



December 2014

Prepared By:

Perennial Environmental Services, LLC



TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY 1

1.0 (20:10:22:01) DEFINITIONS..... 1

2.0 (20:10:22:02) CONTENT OF NOTIFICATION OF INTENT 1

3.0 (20:10:22:03) PREFILING CONFERENCE..... 1

4.0 (20:10:22:04) GENERAL FORMAT OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT 1

5.0 (20:10:22:05) APPLICATION CONTENTS 1

6.0 (20:10:22:06) NAMES OF PARTICIPANTS REQUIRED 3

7.0 (20:10:22:07) NAME OF OWNER AND MANAGER 4

8.0 (20:10:22:08) PURPOSE OF FACILITY 4

9.0 (20:10:22:09) ESTIMATED COST OF FACILITY 4

10.0 (20:10:22:10) DEMAND FOR FACILITY 4

11.0 (20:10:22:11) GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION 4

12.0 (20:10:22:12) ALTERNATIVE SITES 7

 12.1 ROUTE SELECTION 7

 12.2 ROUTE EVALUATION 7

 12.3 PROPOSED ROUTE 8

13.0 (20:10:22:13) ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION 8

 13.1 OTHER MAJOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES 8

14.0 (20:10:22:14) EFFECT ON PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT 9

 14.1 REGIONAL LAND FORMS 9

 14.2 TOPOGRAPHIC MAP..... 9

 14.3 GEOLOGICAL FEATURES 9

 14.4 ECONOMIC DEPOSITS 10

 14.5 SOILS 10

 14.6 EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION..... 13

 14.7 SEISMIC AND SUBSIDENCE 13

 14.8 GEOLOGICAL PROJECT CONSTRAINTS 14

15.0 (20:10:22:15) HYDROLOGY 15

 15.1 DRAINAGE PATTERNS 15

 15.2 WATER USES 15

 15.3 SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER 16

 15.4 AQUIFERS..... 16

15.5 DISCHARGE WATER 16

15.6 DEEP WELL INJECTION..... 17

16.0 (20:10:22:16) EFFECT ON TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEMS..... 17

16.1 VEGETATION..... 18

16.1.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 22

16.2 WILDLIFE 23

16.2.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 24

16.3 SENSITIVE, THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 24

16.3.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 25

17.0 (20:10:22:17) EFFECT ON AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS 25

17.1 WATERBODIES..... 25

17.1.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 26

17.2 WETLANDS 28

17.2.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 28

17.3 WILDLIFE 29

17.4 SENSITIVE, THREATENED, AND ENDANGERED SPECIES 29

17.4.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 30

18.0 (20:10:22:18) LAND USE..... 31

18.1 LAND USE MAPS..... 31

18.2 DISPLACED HOMES 33

18.3 EFFECTS ON SURROUNDING LAND USE 33

18.4 ANALYSIS ON LAND USES..... 33

18.4.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures 34

19.0 (20:10:22:19) LOCAL LAND USE CONTROLS 34

20.0 (20:10:22:20) WATER QUALITY..... 34

21.0 (20:10:22:21) AIR QUALITY 36

22.0 (20:10:22:22) TIME SCHEDULE..... 38

23.0 (20:10:22:23) COMMUNITY IMPACT 38

23.1 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON COMMUNITY..... 38

23.2 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON TAXES 43

23.3 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON AGRICULTURE..... 43

23.4 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON POPULATION..... 44

23.5 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION 44

23.6 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES 44

23.7 REDUCING NEGATIVE IMPACTS ON THE COMMUNITY 46

24.0 (20:10:22:24) EMPLOYMENT ESTIMATES 51

25.0 (20:10:22:25) FUTURE ADDITIONS AND MODIFICATIONS 51

26.0 (20:10:22:26) NATURE OF PROPOSED ENERGY CONVERSION FACILITY
51

27.0 (20:10:22:27) PRODUCTS TO BE PRODUCED..... 51

28.0 (20:10:22:28) FUEL TYPE USED 51

29.0 (20:10:22:29) PROPOSED PRIMARY AND SECONDARY FUEL SOURCES
AND TRANSPORTATION 51

30.0 (20:10:22:30) ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES 51

31.0 (20:10:22:31) SOLID OR RADIOACTIVE WASTE 52

32.0 (20:10:22:32) ESTIMATE OF EXPECTED EFFICIENCY 52

33.0 (20:10:22:33) DECOMMISSIONING..... 52

34.0 (20:10:22:34) TRANSMISSION FACILITY LAYOUT AND CONSTRUCTION
52

35.0 (20:10:22:35) INFORMATION CONCERNING TRANSMISSION FACILITIES
52

36.0 (20:10:22:36) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN APPLICATION 52

37.0 (20:10:22:37) STATEMENT REQUIRED DESCRIBING GAS OR LIQUID
TRANSMISSION LINE STANDARDS OF CONSTRUCTION 52

38.0 (20:10:22:38) GAS OR LIQUID LINE DESCRIPTION 52

 38.1 DESIGN CAPACITY 53

 38.2 CHANGES IN FLOW 53

 38.3 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS 54

 38.4 COMPRESSOR STATIONS 54

 38.5 STORAGE FACILITIES 54

39.0 (20:10:22:39) TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS..... 54

40.0 (20:10:22:40) APPLICATION FOR PARTY STATUS 54

 REFERENCES 55

LIST OF TABLES

Table 5.0-1 Anticipated Permits for South Dakota Segment of DAPL.....	2
Table 11.0-1 Summary of the Project Facilities in South Dakota	5
Table 14.7-1 Areas of Pierre Shale Identified	14
Table 15.2-1 South Dakota Rural Water Systems Crossed by the Project	16
Table 16.1-1 Vegetative Communities Crossed by the Project	18
Table 16.1-2 2014 South Dakota State and County Noxious Weeds	21
Table 17.1-1 Horizontal Directional Drill Locations.....	27
Table 17.2-1 Summary of Wetlands Crossed by the Dakota Access Project by County .	28
Table 18.1-1 Land Use Crossed by the Project.....	32
Table 18.4-1 EPA Listed 303(d) Listed Waterbodies.....	36

LIST OF EXHIBITS**Exhibit A: Project Mapping**

- A1- Project Vicinity Maps
- A2- Topographic Maps
- A3- Soil Maps
- A4- Hydrology Maps
 - Spink County Pump Station Surface Water Map
 - Surface Water Maps
 - Minnehaha Source Water Map
 - Rural Water Systems Map
- A5- Land Use Maps

Exhibit B: Project Typical and Flow Diagrams

- Project Typicals
- Project Flow Diagrams

Exhibit C: Supplementary Tables

- Soil Characteristics for Each Soil Map Unit within the Project Area
- Waterbodies Crossed by the Project
- Federally and State Listed Threatened and Endangered Species in South Dakota

Exhibit D: Dakota Access Project Plans

- Draft Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan
 - Best Management Practice Figures
 - Draft Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasures Plan
 - Inspection Forms and Instructions
- Blast Plan
- Horizontal Directional Drill Contingency Plan
- Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan

LIST OF ACRONYMS

AIMP	Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan
ARSD	Administrative Rules of South Dakota
APA	assumed permit areas
API	American Petroleum Institute
ARMS	Archeological Research Management System
ATWS	additional temporary workspace
BMP	best management practice
bpd	barrels per day
CCR	Central Control Room
DAPL	Dakota Access Pipeline
ESC	Emergency Support Center
ETCO	Energy Transfer Crude Oil
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FBE	fusion bonded epoxy
FRP	Facilities Response Plan
GIS	Geographic Information System
HCA	high consequence area
HDD	horizontal directional drilling
L/R	launcher and receiver
MLV	mainline valve
MP	milepost
OSRP	Oil Spill Response Plan
NRCS	Natural Resources Conservation Service
PEM	palustrine emergent
PHMSA	Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration
Project	Dakota Access Pipeline Project
psig	pounds per square inch gauge
PSS	palustrine scrub shrub
ROW	right-of-way
SDCL	South Dakota Codified Law
SDDENR	South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources
SDGFP	South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks

SDGS	South Dakota Geological Survey
SDNHP	South Dakota Natural Heritage Program
SDSARC	South Dakota State Archaeological Resources Center
SHPO	State Historic Preservation Officer
SWPPP	Stormwater Pollution and Prevention Plan
TMDL	total maximum daily loads
USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
USDOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
VOC	volatile organic compound

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Dakota Access, LLC (Dakota Access), is proposing to construct the Dakota Access Pipeline Project (Project). DAPL-ETCO Operations Management, LLC will operate the Project. The overall proposed Project is a 1,134-mile-long, 12-inch to 30-inch diameter pipeline that will connect the rapidly expanding Bakken and Three Forks production areas in North Dakota to existing crude infrastructure in Illinois. The project originates in the northwest portion of North Dakota and traverses southeast through South Dakota, Iowa, and Illinois and terminates at the existing Patoka, Illinois hub. The pipeline is proposed to transport approximately 450,000 barrels per day (bpd) initially, with an anticipated capacity up to approximately 570,000 bpd. Once the crude arrives at the existing tank farms in Patoka, shippers will be able to access and distribute their crude to multiple markets, including Midwest and Gulf Coast markets via existing and proposed pipeline infrastructure.

Approximately 271.6 miles of the 1,134-mile-long pipeline will be constructed within South Dakota, crossing 13 counties in the eastern half of the state. The Project enters South Dakota in Campbell County approximately 17 miles east of the Missouri River, and continues southeast through McPherson, Edmunds, Faulk, Spink, Beadle, Kingsbury, Miner, Lake, McCook, Minnehaha, Turner, and Lincoln Counties. The Project crosses the Big Sioux River approximately 14 miles south of Sioux Falls, and continues in a southeast direction through Iowa. One pump station is located within South Dakota, approximately seven miles southeast of Redfield in Spink County.

1.0 (20:10:22:01) DEFINITIONS

No information requested by the rule to be included within the application.

2.0 (20:10:22:02) CONTENT OF NOTIFICATION OF INTENT

No information requested by the rule to be included within the application.

3.0 (20:10:22:03) PREFILING CONFERENCE

No information requested by the rule to be included within the application.

4.0 (20:10:22:04) GENERAL FORMAT OF APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

No information requested by the rule to be included within the application.

5.0 (20:10:22:05) APPLICATION CONTENTS

In addition to the siting permit under the Energy Conversion and Transmission Facility Act, Table 5.0-1 lists federal and state permits currently identified for the construction of the Project within South Dakota. Correspondence is ongoing with the agencies identified below and the status column is the anticipated formal submittal timeframe of an application, report, request for clearance, etc.

Table 5.0-1 Anticipated Permits for South Dakota Segment of DAPL			
Agency	Permit	Agency Action	Estimated Application Date
Federal			
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Omaha District – South Dakota Regulatory Office	Sections 404/401 Clean Water Act Nationwide Permit 12	Authorization of discharge of fill material into waters of the U.S., including wetlands	Submit Pre-Construction Notification December 2014
	Section 10 Rivers and Harbors Act	Authorization of pipeline crossings of navigable waters of the U.S.	December 2014
	Section 106 Archaeological Resources Protection Act	Section 106 consultation through the Nationwide Permit 12 process	December 2014
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, South Dakota Ecological Services Field Office	Endangered Species Act Section 7 Consultation	Consider lead agency findings of impacts on federally listed; provide Biological Opinion if the Project is likely to adversely affect federally listed or proposed species or their habitats	Submit Biological Opinion in March 2015
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Sand Lake National Wildlife Refuge Complex	Wetland and Grassland Easements– Special Use Permit	Issuance of a one-time use permit, valid for 5 years, for construction of pipeline through protected features within U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service easements	Submit Application February 2015
	Wetland and Grassland Easements– Right-of-Way easement	Issuance of a 30-year-term right-of-way easement after construction, for long-term maintenance and management of pipeline	Submit Application August 2015
Farm Service Agency/Natural Resources Conservation Service	Crop Reserve Program	Authorization of crossing areas enrolled in the Crop Reserve Program	June 2015
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration	49 CFR Part 194 and 195	Integrity Management Plan and Emergency Response Plan	September 2016
State			
South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System General Permit for Discharges of Hydrostatic Test Water (SDG070000)	Consider issuance of General Permit for hydrostatic test water discharge to waters of the U.S., construction dewatering to waters of the state	September 2015
	Surface Water Withdrawal Permit	Consider issuance of surface water withdrawal permit for temporary use	September 2015
	South Dakota Codified Law Sec 34A-18 Oil Spill Response Plan	Oil Spill Response Plan	Submit September 2016
South Dakota Game Fish and Parks	State Listed Threatened and Endangered Species	Consultation on natural resources	February 2015

Table 5.0-1 Anticipated Permits for South Dakota Segment of DAPL			
Agency	Permit	Agency Action	Estimated Application Date
South Dakota State Historical Society, State Historic Preservation Office	Section 106 of National Historic Preservation Act	Review and comment on activities regarding jurisdictional cultural resources	May 2015
South Dakota Department of Transportation	Crossing Permits	Consider issuance of permits for crossing state highways	May 2015
Local			
County Road Departments	Crossing Permits	Issuance of permits for crossing of county roads	July 2015
County and Local Authorities	Floodplain, Conditional Use, and building permits where required	Review under county approval process	July 2015

6.0 (20:10:22:06) NAMES OF PARTICIPANTS REQUIRED

The following Project contact information includes those participating at the time of filing, and those individuals authorized to receive communications relating to the application.

Mr. Joey Mahmoud
 Senior Vice President – Engineering
 Dakota Access, LLC
 1300 Main Street
 Houston, TX 77002
 (713) 989-2710
 Fax (713) 989 -1207
 Joey.mahmoud@energytransfer.com

Mr. Brett Koenecke
Mrs. Kara C. Semmler
 May, Adam, Gerdes, and Thompson, LLP
 PO Box 160
 Pierre, SD 57501
 (605) 224-8803
 Fax (605) 224-6289
 Brett@mayadam.net

Mr. Tom Siguaw
 Senior Project Director – Engineering
 Dakota Access, LLC
 1300 Main Street
 Houston, TX 77002
 (713) 989-2841
 Fax (713) 989-1207
 Tom.siguaw@energytransfer.com

Mr. Keegan Pieper
 Associate General Counsel
 Dakota Access, LLC
 1300 Main Street
 Houston, TX 77002
 (713) 989-7003
 Fax (713) 989-1212
 Keegan.pieper@energytransfer.com

Mr. Jack Edwards
 Project Manager
 Dakota Access, LLC
 4401 S. Technology Dr.
 South Suite
 Sioux Falls, SD 57106
 (844)708-2639
 Jack.edwards@energytransfer.com

Mr. Stephen Veatch
 Senior Director - Certificates
 Dakota Access, LLC.
 1300 Main Street
 Houston, TX 77002
 (713) 989-2024
 Fax (713) 989-1205
 Stephen.veatch@energytransfer.com

7.0 (20:10:22:07) NAME OF OWNER AND MANAGER

The proposed pipeline project will be owned by Dakota Access, LLC and operated by DAPL-ETCO Operations Management, LLC; the Project Director is:

Mr. Joey Mahmoud

Senior Vice President – Engineering

Dakota Access, LLC

1300 Main Street

Houston, TX 77002

(713) 989-2710

Fax (713) 989-1207

Joey.mahmoud@energytransfer.com

8.0 (20:10:22:08) PURPOSE OF FACILITY

The Dakota Access Pipeline is a proposed 1,134 mile, 12 inch to 30 inch crude oil pipeline system being designed to safely carry up to approximately 570,000 bpd of United States light sweet crude (approximately 450,000 bpd initially) through the states of North Dakota, South Dakota, Iowa, and Illinois and ultimately terminating in Patoka, Illinois. The Project's purpose is to move an economical abundant reliable domestic supply of crude oil from the Bakken and Three Forks production area in North Dakota to a crude oil market hub located near Patoka, Illinois. From the Patoka hub, the crude oil will be transported by other pipelines to refineries located in the Midwest and the Gulf Coast to further the U.S. goal of energy independence.

9.0 (20:10:22:09) ESTIMATED COST OF FACILITY

The cost of constructing the entire 1,134-mile-long pipeline beginning in North Dakota, going through South Dakota and Iowa, and terminating in Illinois is estimated to be approximately \$3.8 billion. Construction of the 271.6-miles of pipeline and facilities within South Dakota will cost approximately \$820 million.

10.0 (20:10:22:10) DEMAND FOR FACILITY

Dakota Access has secured binding long-term transportation and deficiency contracts from multiple committed shippers to support development of the Dakota Access Pipeline with a crude oil transportation capacity of approximately 450,000 bpd, with ninety percent (90%) of the transportation capacity subscribed by those committed shippers and the remaining ten percent (10%) of the transportation capacity reserved for walk-up shippers. Transportation service on the Dakota Access Pipeline shall be provided by Dakota Access pursuant to the Interstate Commerce Act and in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for common carrier crude oil pipeline transportation service thereunder. Subscriptions from committed shippers were obtained by Dakota Access in connection with an initial open season that ran from March 12 to May 23, 2014, and an expansion open season that commenced on September 23, 2014, and will conclude in mid-December of 2014.

11.0 (20:10:22:11) GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

The Project originates in North Dakota and enters South Dakota in Campbell County approximately 17 miles east of the Missouri River. The Project continues southeast

through McPherson, Edmunds, Faulk, Spink, Beadle, Kingsbury, Miner, Lake, McCook, Minnehaha, Turner and Lincoln Counties. A summary of the Project facilities in South Dakota is outlined in Table 11.0-1. The Project exits South Dakota as it crosses the Big Sioux River approximately 14 miles south of Sioux Falls, and continues in a southeast direction through Iowa. Approximately 271.6 miles of the 1,134-mile-long pipeline and one pump station will be constructed within South Dakota. Detailed maps of the Project area within South Dakota are provided in Exhibits A1 and A2. Additionally, Dakota Access will construct aboveground appurtenances including 31 mainline valves (MLVs) and three pig launcher and receiver (L/R) facilities. Contractor/staging yard (s) will be required.

Table 11.0-1 Summary of the Project Facilities in South Dakota			
Milepost Start	Milepost End	County	Pipeline Crossing Length (miles) / Pump Station Impact Area (acres)
Pipeline Facilities			
210.1	239.2	Campbell	29.1
239.2	245.8	McPherson	6.6
245.8	282.0	Edmunds	36.2
282.0	309.9	Faulk	27.9
309.9	346.1	Spink	36.2
346.1	374.7	Beadle	28.6
374.7	396.6	Kingsbury	21.9
396.6	410.7	Miner	14.2
410.7	429.4	Lake	18.7
429.4	431.1	McCook	1.7
431.1	456.8	Minnehaha	25.7
456.8	458.1	Turner	1.3
458.1	481.7	Lincoln	23.5
Total			271.6
Pump Station			
332.1	332.2	Spink	9.0

Pipeline Facilities

Construction of the new pipeline will require a typical construction ROW width of 125 feet in uplands, 100 feet in non-forested wetlands, 85 feet in forested areas (wetlands and uplands), and up to 150 feet in agricultural areas. Following construction, a 50-foot wide permanent easement will be retained along the pipeline. These are depicted on the typical drawings included in Exhibit B.

Where necessary, Dakota Access will utilize additional temporary workspace (ATWS) outside of the construction ROW to facilitate specialized construction procedures, such as horizontal directional drills (HDDs); railroad, road, wetland, waterbody, and foreign utility line crossings; tie-ins with existing pipeline facilities; areas with steep side slopes; and pipeline crossovers. These ATWS will be allowed to revert to pre-existing conditions following construction activities, so there will be no permanent impacts on

these areas. The general location and layout of ATWSs proposed to facilitate specialized construction procedures are depicted on the drawings provided for review in Exhibit A2; these sizes and locations are subject to change based on permit conditions, landowner negotiations, awarded contractor review, etc.

During construction of the pipeline, the contractor will require off ROW areas for the storage of pipe and equipment necessary for the construction of the Project facilities. These staging/contractor yards will be located near the Project at locations with convenient and safe access to the Project areas. Efforts will be made to select contractor yards that have been previously disturbed by human activity but do not have an ongoing land use that will preclude Project usage. These areas will also be restored to preconstruction conditions or as otherwise directed by the landowner.

Dakota Access will utilize existing public and private roads to access the pipeline ROW and aboveground facilities to the extent practicable. Existing roads utilized will include paved, gravel, or pasture roads, and other conveyances. Some roads will require modification or improvement to facilitate safe access for construction equipment and personnel. The Project may require construction of new temporary and permanent roads to provide access to the new pipeline both during construction and for future pipeline maintenance activities. Access roads have not been thoroughly defined during this early design phase. Dakota Access will seek and enter into road use agreements with all affected units of government.

Aboveground Facilities

The pump station is planned to be located in southwestern Spink County, approximately seven miles southeast Redfield, South Dakota at milepost (MP) 332.2. The pump station site will consist of approximately nine acres, and will be acquired in fee from landowners. The pump station will be fenced and contain three pumps driven by electric motors, an electrical and controls building, electrical substation, a surge tank, a communications tower, and parking area for station personnel. Electricity will be utilized for all pumps, lights, and heating in the buildings. Design and construction of the pump station will meet the requirements of the National Electric Code and American Petroleum Institute (API) 500. Dakota Access will purchase electricity for the pump station from the incumbent provider. The pump station will be fully automated for unmanned operation. Remote start/stop, set point controls, unit monitoring equipment, and station information will be installed at each location. Backup power at the pump station will consist of batteries to maintain communications between the pump station and the pipeline control center and to provide lighting and power for minor facility procedures if the local utility power supply is disrupted. The pipe entering and exiting the pump station will be located underground; however, some of the piping within the pump station yard (after entering and prior to exiting the pump station facilities) will be aboveground. Exhibit A4 shows the location and the typical plan view of the pump station in Spink County.

Dakota Access plans to install 31 MLVs along the route in South Dakota. Approximate locations for these valves are shown in the route mapping presented in Exhibits A2, A3, and A4. The MLVs will be constructed within the 50-foot permanently maintained ROW, and be approximately 75-feet-long and 50-feet-wide. These valve sites will be

located within an easement obtained from landowners. The spacing intervals between the MLVs along the ROW are based upon the location of the high consequence areas (HCAs) and permit requirements. All valves will have remote actuators so that in the unlikely event of an emergency, these valves can be quickly activated from the operational control room to isolate sections of the pipeline to minimize environmental impacts.

All pipeline segments will allow the passage of internal inspection devices, which are capable of detecting internal and external anomalies in the pipe such as corrosion, dents, and scratches. Internal inspection of pipelines has been largely responsible for reducing pipeline incident frequencies over the past decade. Pig L/Rs are designed to launch and receive these internal inspection devices. A total of three L/Rs will be installed in South Dakota; one located at the pump station, and the remaining two are located along the pipeline. The L/Rs are 200-feet-wide by 400-feet-long; therefore, the two L/R stations not located within the pump station footprint, fall outside of the general 50-foot permanent easement and additional easements or acquisition of property will occur. Approximate locations of the L/R sites are illustrated in Exhibits A2, A3, and A4 and the L/R and MLV typical drawings are included in Exhibit B.

12.0 (20:10:22:12) ALTERNATIVE SITES

The following sections discuss the data utilized to produce the currently proposed preferred route for the Project.

12.1 ROUTE SELECTION

Dakota Access utilized a sophisticated and proprietary Geographic Information System (GIS) based routing program to determine the preferred pipeline route based on multiple publicly available and purchased datasets. Datasets utilized during the Project routing analysis included engineering (e.g., existing pipelines, railroads, karst, and power lines, etc.), environmental (e.g., critical habitat, fault lines, state parks, national forests, brownfields, national registry of historic places, etc.), and land (e.g., dams, airports, cemeteries, schools, mining, and military installations, etc.). Each of these datasets were weighted based on the desire to co-locate with certain features (low values) and the risk of crossing, or desire to avoid others (higher the risk, the higher the value), while minimizing overall length of the route. The GIS program utilized the weighted datasets to produce the preferred baseline route. For example, the existing pipelines dataset was assigned the lowest value so that the routing tool followed existing pipelines to the extent possible to minimize potential impacts. An example of a high weighted feature is the national parks dataset; therefore the GIS routing program excluded any national parks from the preferred pipeline route to avoid impacts to these federal lands.

12.2 ROUTE EVALUATION

The baseline centerline route was the output of the GIS routing analysis that was completed during the fatal flaws phase of the Project, and the basis of further investigation. As the Project moved into the design phase, coordination with agencies within states crossed by the Project advanced, survey data collection commenced, landowners were engaged, and additional datasets were collected. These more focused datasets were then utilized to incorporate reroutes as needed to optimize the route.

The proposed pipeline route has been modified in multiple locations for constructability issues and various other reasons including avoidance of Well Head Protection/HCAs, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) easements, environmental features such as wetlands and waterbodies, cultural resource sites, incompatible land uses (e.g., recently expanded quarries), home/farm sites, buildings, irrigation systems, power poles/towers and other structures, trees planted for windbreaks, and property corners. Route modifications were made through a process that included detailed review of recent aerial imagery, actual site visits, the existing datasets, and helicopter reconnaissance as warranted. There are three basic categories of route modifications including, realignments, minor reroutes, and major reroutes.

Realignments are small changes in the pipeline route resulting in a change in centerline location of less than 150 feet. Realignments are fully within the 400-foot environmental/cultural survey corridor and do not require additional survey efforts if surveys were already complete at the time of realignment. To date, there have been a total of 92 realignments constituting a total length of 35.6 miles of route modification.

Minor reroutes are changes in the pipeline route of greater than 150 feet from the original centerline and therefore require some additional environmental/cultural survey coverage if surveys were completed prior to development of the reroute. Minor reroutes are relatively short and typically do not involve new landowners. There have been a total of 37 minor reroutes with a total length of 28.0 miles.

Major reroutes are more extensive route modifications over many miles and involving multiple new landowners. Major reroutes typically require additional environmental/cultural survey coverage. Presently, there has been one major reroute with a total length of 32.7 miles.

12.3 PROPOSED ROUTE

The currently proposed preferred route most closely meets the objectives of the Project, while minimizing potential impacts to the environment and maintaining the health and safety of the public. Additional route modifications will continue through permitting and land acquisition processes to further reduce environmental impacts and reduce the need for eminent domain. Dakota Access is committed to working with individual landowners along the route to reduce the need for eminent domain and believes this route aids in accomplishing that goal. The proposed route is illustrated within the Project maps in Exhibit A1.

13.0 (20:10:22:13) ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

Sections 20:10:22:14 – 20:10:22:17 (Effect on Physical Environment, Hydrology, Effect on Terrestrial Ecosystems, and Effect on Aquatic Ecosystems) describe the existing environment, and the potential temporary and permanent impacts that may occur from the proposed Project.

13.1 OTHER MAJOR INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES

The potential cumulative impacts associated with the Project may result from the impacts of construction and operation of the Project facilities combined with the impacts of other proposed major developments occurring within the vicinity of the Project. For the

purposes of this analysis, Dakota Access attempted to identify current and planned major industrial projects by reviewing South Dakota Public Utilities Commission and Federal Energy Regulatory Commission dockets as well as other publicly available online resources.

To date, no major projects within the Project vicinity have been identified through these searches; therefore no adverse cumulative impacts are anticipated.

14.0 (20:10:22:14) EFFECT ON PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

The following sections contain information on the physical environment within the Project area, including topography, geology, soils, deposits, erosion, sedimentation, subsidence, and geological constraints.

14.1 REGIONAL LAND FORMS

The state of South Dakota is generally equally divided east and west by the Missouri River, with the western half of the state having greater topography than the eastern half of the state. The project is located in the eastern half of the state where elevations can range from 1,000 feet to 2,000 feet. The portion of Project area located east of the Missouri River and west of the James River is within the Glaciated Missouri Plateau of the Great Plains physiographic province (U.S. Geological Survey [USGS], 2004a). This includes the Project area that crosses Campbell, McPherson, and Edmunds Counties. The remaining Project area is located within the Central Lowlands province (USGS, 2004a). The Central Lowlands province is further divided into the James Basin and Coteau des Prairies Divisions. The Coteau des Prairies Division is a hilly highland, while the James Basin Division is flat and comparatively low. The Project crosses the James Basin Division through Faulk, Spink, Beadle, and the western part of Kingsbury Counties. The land form then shifts into the Coteau des Prairies Division in the eastern part of Kingsbury, Miner, Lake, McCook, Minnehaha, and Turner Counties. The Project exits South Dakota through Lincoln County, where the land form returns to the James Basin Division (South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources [SDDENR], 2009).

14.2 TOPOGRAPHIC MAP

A topographic map of the Project area is included in Exhibit A2.

14.3 GEOLOGICAL FEATURES

The Project is located in the Great Plains and Central Lowlands physiographic provinces (USGS, 2004a), and lies within the glaciated portion of South Dakota. Surficial deposits within this region are composed primarily of alluvium, eolian deposits, lacustrine sediments, moraine (till), and outwash (USGS, 2005). Alluvium consists of clay and silt, with lesser amounts of sand and gravel deposited by recent streams, and is typically black or dark-brown and rich in organic matter. Eolian deposits form via the sorting of clay, silt, and sand-sized particulates from surficial sediments. Lacustrine sediments accumulate in areas containing ponded glacial meltwater and are often found in association with outwash deposits. Lacustrine sediments range in grain size from clay to fine sand and range in color from green to gray to black to white to possibly pink. Moraine is a relatively flat to gently rolling surface formed of debris (till) released from

beneath a glacier. Till consists of non-stratified, unsorted debris that has been transported and deposited directly by glacial ice. Outwash is sand and gravel, with minor silt and clay, deposited by meltwater streams (South Dakota Geological Survey [SDGS], 2004a).

The bedrock geology is composed of Cretaceous and Precambrian aged rocks that formed in marine environments (The Paleontology Portal, 2003). These deposits include (from oldest to youngest) Sioux Quartzite, undifferentiated Cretaceous rocks, Carlile Shale, Niobrara Formation, and Pierre Shale. Sioux Quartzite is described as being composed of conglomerate and mudstone layers, pink and reddish to tan in color, fine to coarse grained, and siliceous. Undifferentiated Cretaceous rock is composed of spiculite, shale, chalk, silty clay, and quartz-rich sandstone. Carlile Shale is dark gray to black in color, silty to sandy with several zones of septarian, fossiliferous, and carbonate concentrations. The Niobrara Formation is composed of chalk, marl, and shale and contains thin laterally continuous bentonite beds. Pierre Shale contains minor sandstone, conglomerate, and abundant carbonate and ferruginous concentrations (SDGS, 2004b).

Bedrock in the Project area crops out along the Missouri River bluffs, along many rivers and creeks, and other areas where the glacial sediment has been removed by erosion. Sioux Quartzite crops out in Minnehaha, McCook, and Turner Counties. Niobrara Formation crops out in Lincoln County. Pierre Shale crops out in Campbell, Edmunds, and McPherson, Counties. Undifferentiated Late Cretaceous sediment crops out in Minnehaha, McCook, and Turner Counties (SDGS, 2004b).

Formations crossed by the Project ROW include the Sioux Arch which contains metamorphosed sandstone and claystone that was deposited in a shallow sea environment. The Sioux Arch is composed of metamorphic rocks; no fossils have been found in them to date (The Paleontology Portal, 2003).

14.4 ECONOMIC DEPOSITS

Of South Dakota's primary non-fuel resources, approximately 69 percent of the total non-fuel production value in 2011 originates from a combination of cement (portland), clays, feldspar, gemstones, gold, gypsum, iron ore, lime, mica, silver, and stone (dimension granite). Crushed stone amount to approximately 16 percent of the state's non-fuel production value, while the remaining 15 percent comes from construction sand and gravel (USGS, 2011). Campbell, Edmunds, Kingsbury, Lake, Lincoln, McPherson, Spink, and Turner Counties contain construction sand and gravel. Minnehaha County contains construction sand and gravel, as well as crushed stone. The SDGS Sand, Gravel, and Construction Aggregate Mining Interactive Map did not identify industrial mining operations within one mile of the Project area; therefore, it is not anticipated that the Project will impact mineral resources (SDGS 2014).

14.5 SOILS

The discussion below provides general information about the nature and properties of the soils crossed by the Project. Maps depicting the limits of the soil map units within the Project area are provided in Exhibit A3. Exhibit C includes total crossing distance of each soil series unit, the acres impacted by construction of the aboveground pump station, and the characteristics of each of the soil map units within the Project area, including prime farmland, hydric properties, compaction potential, erosion, restrictive soil layers,

shallow bedrock, and revegetation properties. All of these soil characteristics are described below.

Prime Farmland

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) defines prime farmland as “land best suited to food, feed, forage, fiber, and oilseed crops” (Natural Resources Conservation Service [NRCS], 2014). This designation includes cultivated land, pasture, woodland, or other lands that are either used for food or fiber crops or are available for these uses. Urbanized land and open water are excluded from prime farmland. Prime farmland typically contains few to no rocks, is permeable to water and air, is not excessively erodible or saturated with water for long periods, and is not subject to frequent, prolonged flooding during the growing season. Soils that do not meet the above criteria may be considered prime farmland if the limiting factor is mitigated (e.g., artificial drainage). In addition, the USDA defines farmland of statewide importance as farmland for the “production of food, feed, fiber, forage, and oil seed crops...those that are nearly prime farmland and that produce high yields of economic important crops when treated and managed according to acceptable farming methods” (NRCS, 2014).

Approximately 36 percent (97.7 miles) of the soils crossed by the pipelines are considered to be prime farmland, and approximately 44 percent (120.0 miles) of the route is identified as farmland of statewide importance. During construction activities, the topsoil layer from cultivated prime farmland areas associated with the pipeline will be stripped to the maximum depth of 12 inches and segregated from the subsoil. Unless the landowner or land management agency requests otherwise, topsoil will be stripped from over the pipeline trench and the adjacent subsoil storage area. Segregated topsoil will be returned following backfilling of the subsoil, ensuring preservation of topsoil within the construction area. Following the completion of construction, areas of prime farmland disturbed by the installation of the pipelines will be allowed to revert to pre-construction uses; therefore, construction activities in these areas will not adversely impact prime farmland.

The pump station in Spink County is located on 4.3 acres of prime farmland. However this location is not under active cultivation; therefore impacts to prime farmland are not anticipated from the pump station.

Hydric Soils and Compaction Potential

Hydric soils are defined as “soils that formed under conditions of saturation, flooding, or ponding long enough during growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part” (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers [USACE], 1987). Soils that are artificially drained or protected from flooding (i.e., by levees) are still considered hydric if the soils are those that are poorly or very poorly drained. Due to extended periods of saturation, hydric soils can be prone to compaction and rutting particularly if the operation of heavy equipment occurs when soils are saturated. The majority of the soils within the Project area are classified as hydric in Exhibit C.

Due to the large amount of hydric soils within the Project area, soil compaction and rutting will likely result from the operation of heavy equipment along the Project ROW, ATWS, and access roads. The extent of soil compaction will depend on the degree the

soils are saturated, with the most severe compaction occurring where heavy equipment is operated on highly saturated soils. Dakota Access will minimize these impacts by implementing mitigation measures such as the uses of timber mats in certain areas. Additionally, wetland crossing techniques have been outlined in the Project-specific Stormwater Pollution and Prevention Plan (SWPPP) (Exhibit D).

Erosion

Erosion is a continuing process that can be accelerated by human disturbances. Factors that can influence the degree of erosion include soil texture, structure, length and percent of slope, vegetative cover, as well as rainfall or wind intensity. Soils most susceptible to erosion by water are typified by bare or sparse vegetative cover, non-cohesive soil particles with low infiltration rates, and moderate to steep slopes. Wind erosion processes are less affected by slope angles. Characterization of erosion potential includes both water and wind as agents of erosion.

Soils that are classified as having high erosion potential can be highly erodible but do not always exhibit this condition because of the multitude of parameters that require evaluation. Typically, field determinations of the length of the slope class crossed are needed before a soil can be definitively identified as having high erosion potential. For example, a soil map unit may have a slope class of 2 to 5 percent. If most of the map unit crossed actually has a slope of 2 percent, the soils would most likely not have high erosion potential. However, if most of the map unit being crossed had actual slopes of 5 percent, the soils would most likely be considered as having high erosion potential.

Soils with high erosion potential within the Project area were identified based on NRCS designations of land capability class and subclass. Soils with a land capability class and subclass of Ve through VIIIe are considered to be highly erodible. Soils with a land capability class and subclass of IIIe through IVe are considered to be moderately erodible. The remaining land capability classes and subclasses are considered to have low erodibility. Exhibit C identifies the erosion potentials of each map unit within the Project area. The majority of the soils within the Project area have low erosion potential. Various areas are characterized by steep slopes (slopes greater than 8 percent) and are indicated as such in Exhibit C.

Refer to Section 14.6– Erosion and Sedimentation of this document for more information on erosion and sedimentation construction impacts and mitigation measures implemented by Dakota Access.

Restrictive Soil Layers/Shallow Bedrock

Introducing stones or rocks to surface layers may reduce the capacity of the soil to retain moisture, resulting in a reduction of soil productivity. Additionally, areas with shallow depth to bedrock (less than 5 feet) are identified as areas that have potential to introduce rock to topsoil. No shallow bedrock was identified within the Project area; however shallow Natric was identified through desktop analysis and field surveys. Natric is a subsoil layer with a high concentration of sodium salts. These layers limit the growth of most plant species. Soil series within the Project area with shallow Natric (less than 18 inches) were identified in Exhibit C. These areas may have a lower revegetation potential as a result of the high sodium concentrations within the Natric. Dakota Access

has retained an agricultural consultant to develop specific mitigation measures for work in these areas.

Revegetation

The majority of soils impacted by the Project have moderate to high revegetation potential. Soils with low revegetation potential typically have high compaction and/or erosion potential, have slopes greater than 8 percent, and are not classified as prime farmland. Detailed information regarding revegetation potential for each map unit crossed by the Project is provided in Exhibit C.

Successful restoration and revegetation of the Project workspaces are important for landowner relations, maintaining productivity and protecting the underlying soil from potential damage. Fertility and erosion are generally the two main factors that would limit the re-growth of vegetation, but these can be mitigated through the application of fertilizers and/or seeding nets. Restoration and revegetation growth specifications will follow the SWPPP (Exhibit D).

14.6 EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION

As previously stated in Section 14.5– Soils, and detailed in the soils table in Exhibit C, the majority of the soils within the Project area have low erosion potential. Some areas crossed by the Project are characterized by steep slopes (slopes greater than 8 percent) and are indicated as such in Exhibit C. Clearing, grading, and equipment movement has the potential to accelerate the erosion process and, without adequate protection, result in discharge of sediment to waterbodies and wetlands. Soil loss due to erosion could also reduce soil fertility and impair revegetation. To minimize or avoid potential erosion impacts, Dakota Access will utilize erosion and sedimentation control devices as provided in the Project-specific SWPPP (Exhibit D).

Environmental Inspectors will be retained during construction, by Dakota Access, to oversee and report on construction compliance. The effectiveness of revegetation and permanent erosion control devices will be monitored by Dakota Access' operating personnel during the long-term operation and maintenance of the Project Facilities.

14.7 SEISMIC AND SUBSIDENCE

Seismic hazards include earthquakes, surface faulting, and soil liquefaction. According to the USGS Seismic Hazards maps for the U.S., the Project is situated in an area of very low seismic probability. Based on historical seismic activity in the area, the USGS (2014) estimates that the 500-year earthquake (an earthquake with a 10 percent probability of occurring within any 50-year interval) would result in peak ground accelerations of one percent gravity (g) to two percent g. Damage to buildings and other structures is not likely to occur at ground accelerations of less than 10 percent g (USGS, 2007). Soil liquefaction is a condition that typically occurs when loose, saturated soil is subjected to vibration or shockwaves, typically from a seismic event. The low probability of a seismic event occurring within the Project area makes the occurrence of soil liquefaction unlikely.

Karst terrain results from the dissolution of highly soluble bedrock such as limestone and dolomite. Land subsidence is the sinking of the Earth's surface, either gradually or sudden, due to the subsurface movements of materials such as water or soil. Areas with

karst terrain are more susceptible to subsidence events (Galloway et al., 2005). Karst occurs in approximately 47.5 miles of the Project ROW. Potential karst is present from MP 316.5 to MP 348.3, as well as, MP 455.8 to MP 471.5. Karst in this area is described as fissures, tubes and caves generally less than 1,000 feet long; 50 feet or less vertical extent. Found in gently dipping to flat-lying beds of carbonate rock beneath an overburden of non-carbonate material 10 feet to 200 feet thick (USGS, 2004b).

Slope instability occurs when unconsolidated soils and sediments located on steep slopes become saturated, usually from a flooding event. Only one geologic formation is known to be susceptible to landslides in the Project area, the Pierre Shale. Approximately 188 miles of the Project area is located in Pierre Shale (Table 14.7-1). The region is characterized as flat and level, gently rolling, and hummocky in some areas (USGS, 2013a). The areas of moderate and high incidence of landslides or slope instability are confined mostly to the valley walls of the Missouri River and to its principal tributaries. The Project lies in an area of low incidence of landslide (USGS, 2013b).

Table 14.7-1 Areas of Pierre Shale Identified				
Geologic Formation/ Unit	Facility	Begin Milepost	End Milepost	Total
Pierre Shale	Dakota Access Pipeline	203.4	309.7	106.3
		310.6	317.4	6.9
		317.7	318.6	0.9
		319.6	320.7	1.1
		321.6	324.3	2.7
		325.7	327.3	1.7
		331.2	333.0	1.8
		335.9	338.4	2.5
		338.6	344.8	6.2
		346.8	350.1	3.3
		352.0	405.3	53.4
Project Total			187.7	

Source: SDGS, 2004b

14.8 GEOLOGICAL PROJECT CONSTRAINTS

As stated previously in Effect on Physical Environment Sections 14.5– Soils and 14.7– Seismic and Subsidence, shallow bedrock was not identified, and the Project is located within an area of low seismic probability and low incidence of landslide. If shallow bedrock or boulders are encountered during construction that cannot be economically excavated from the ROW by an excavator or rock trencher, blasting may need to be utilized to assist in ditch excavation. In the unlikely event blasting is necessary; Dakota Access has developed a Blast Plan (Exhibit D) for the Project which outlines best management practices (BMPs) to minimize potential impacts due to blasting.

As outlined in Section 14.7– Seismic and Subsidence, desktop studies have identified a potential for karst geology along certain portions of the route. Dakota Access will conduct pre-construction training to educate personnel on the identification of karst features during excavation. If karst features are identified along the route, Dakota Access will take steps to ensure the integrity and safety of the pipeline, which may include realignment or specialized construction techniques.

15.0 (20:10:22:15) HYDROLOGY

The following sections include information on the hydrology of the Project area including drainage patterns, water uses, and hydrostatic testing.

15.1 DRAINAGE PATTERNS

The pipeline is a below ground facility and therefore will not interrupt drainage patterns within the Project area. The pump station in Spink County is the only aboveground facility of any significance to potentially interfere with drainage patterns. A map of the water drainage patterns at the pump station is included in Exhibit A4.

15.2 WATER USES

Water uses identified within the Project area are discussed below and illustrated in Exhibit A4; including the National Hydrography Dataset, USFWS Topeka shiner population range data, Zone A Wellhead Protection and Source Water areas, and rural water districts. In addition, Exhibit A4 includes wetlands identified within the Project area. Wetlands are discussed throughout Section 17.0– Effect on Aquatic Ecosystems. Additional state identified water uses are discussed in greater detail within Aquatic Ecosystems Section 17.2– Waterbodies, Section 20.0– Water Quality, and outlined in the waterbodies crossing table in Exhibit C.

Consultation with the SDDENR during the Project fatal flaws analysis identified Zone A Wellhead Protection and Source Water areas within Minnehaha County. These areas define the boundaries in which the land area contributes water to a well. These protection areas are in place to protect the quality of local drinking water (SDDENR, 2014a). The baseline centerline crossed/clipped two of these areas; however, through the reroute process Dakota Access has successfully avoided crossing these protected areas. The Zone A Wellhead Protection and Source Water areas along with the proposed pipeline route are shown in Exhibit A4.

The South Dakota Association of Rural Water Systems supports water uses including clean drinking water and water for local agriculture and industries. These water uses are managed throughout the state by districts based on region. The Project crosses seven rural water systems within South Dakota including WEB, Mid Dakota, Kingbrook, Minnehaha, Lincoln, South Lincoln, and the Lewis and Clark system which overlaps the majority of these water districts that are located on the eastern border of the state, and continues into Iowa. Table 15.2-1 below lists the rural water systems crossed and the approximate miles that are crossed by the Project. Dakota Access is in discussions with the rural water systems regarding appropriate methods and measures for crossing their respective lines.

Name	Approximate Miles Crossed
WEB	114.4
Mid Dakota	47.2
Kingbrook	50.0
Minnehaha	25.7
Lincoln	16.2
South Lincoln	9.5
Lewis and Clark	69.3

Source: South Dakota Rural Water Systems, 2014

15.3 SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER

Dakota Access may utilize surface waters as a water source for hydrostatic testing. Exact locations of the hydrostatic testing and discharge sites will be determined by the selected contractor, additional information on testing and discharge areas is provided in Hydrology Section 15.5– Discharge Water. Additional information on surface waters within the Project area is included in Sections 17.0– Effect on Aquatic Ecosystems and 20.0– Water Quality.

15.4 AQUIFERS

Dakota Access anticipates utilizing surface water for hydrostatic testing purposes. Groundwater is not currently proposed for use during construction and operation of the Project.

15.5 DISCHARGE WATER

Two types of discharges will occur during Project construction; hydrostatic testing and trench dewatering. This section discusses the process for each type and mitigation measures to avoid adverse impacts to hydrology in the Project area.

Hydrostatic testing will be conducted to verify the integrity of the newly installed pipeline, and will be conducted in accordance with the requirements of USDOT pipeline safety regulations (49 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR] Part 192), Dakota Access testing specifications, and applicable permits, including surface water withdrawal and National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permits for hydrostatic test waters.

Exact locations of the hydrostatic testing withdrawal and discharge sites will be coordinated with the selected contractor. Dakota Access anticipates utilizing surface waters for hydrostatic testing purposes and discharge will ideally occur within well-vegetated, non-forested upland areas along Dakota Access’s ROW. The test water will go through an energy dissipating discharge device to minimize erosion or siltation, and will be monitored at all times. To minimize erosion, discharge procedures will allow dual-action dissipation, one from the dissipation device itself and the other from the upland vegetation at the discharge site. The test water will be discharged through the energy-dissipation device at approximately 1,000-3,000 gallons per minute. The discharges will be monitored at all times and the discharge rates adjusted, if necessary, to minimize erosion.

Water is anticipated to infiltrate into the ground within close proximity to the selected discharge location, and no significant water quality impacts are anticipated as a result of the discharge. Since discharge water is anticipated to be absorbed into the soil, impacts to adjacent private property owners are not anticipated. Dakota Access will use earthen berms, hay bales or silt fence to help direct and maintain water on existing landowner's property. Since the hydrostatic test is for new pipe, suspended solids, oil, grease, and other pollutants are not anticipated.

Dakota Access will develop a hydrostatic test plan, following completion of design, and in coordination with the selected contractor.

Trench dewatering will occur on an intermittent basis along the Project ROW dependent on site conditions and weather during the construction period. During construction, open trenches may accumulate water from groundwater seepage or precipitation. Under these circumstances, trench dewatering will be used to pump accumulated water from the trench, away from nearby waterbodies, and into vegetated upland areas. Water pumped out of trenches will be discharged utilizing applicable BMPs to reduce the rate of water flow and prevent scouring from runoff. Based on the implementation of these measures, no impacts to local hydrology are anticipated.

15.6 DEEP WELL INJECTION

Dakota Access does not anticipate utilization of deep well injection for this Project.

16.0 (20:10:22:16) EFFECT ON TERRESTRIAL ECOSYSTEMS

The Project area crosses the Great Plains Steppe Province and the Prairie Parkland (Temperate) Province ecoregions (USDA, 2014a). The western part of the Project area in South Dakota is located in the Great Plains Steppe Province and is characterized by rolling, flat plains. Elevations slope from approximately 2,500 feet from the west to 1,000 feet in the eastern section of this ecoregion. The majority of this region is made up of young glacial drifts and dissected till plains. Vegetation is mostly comprised of short and tallgrass prairie with not much woody vegetation. However, there are some scattered areas of eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoids*) forested floodplains within this prairie dominated ecoregion (USDA, 2014b).

Historically, American bison (*Bison bison*) roamed this ecoregion. Presently, wildlife species that are common to the Great Plains Steppe Province include coyote (*Canis latrans*), pronghorn antelope (*Antilocapra americana*), cottontail rabbit (*Sylvilagus* sp.), jackrabbit (*Lepus* sp.), American badger (*Taxidea taxus*), mourning dove (*Zenaidura macroura*), and northern bobwhite (*Colinus virginianus*) (USDA, 2014b).

The eastern part of the Project area within South Dakota is located in the Prairie Parkland (Temperate) Province ecoregion, which is characterized by gentle rolling plains, with steep valley bluffs. Elevations within this ecoregion can range from 1,000 to 2,000 feet, with some areas being relatively flat and others having high hills or bluffs. Vegetation is a mixture of mostly bluestem (*Andropogon* sp.) dominated tall grass prairie, and stands of deciduous forest. The forested areas are likely a mixture of eastern cottonwood, elm (*Ulmus* sp.), oak (*Quercus* sp.), and hickory (*Carya* sp.) tree species (USDA, 2014a).

Wildlife species common to the Prairie Parkland (Temperate) Province include mink (*Mustela vison*), North American river otter (*Lontra canadensis*), thirteen-lined ground squirrel (*Spermophilus tridecemlineatus*), belted kingfisher (*Ceryle alcyon*), and mourning dove (USDA, 2014a).

16.1 VEGETATION

Vegetation community types occurring along the Project route were identified, described, and delineated based on field activities and aerial photography. During field activities, vegetation communities were described as part of USACE wetland delineations and classification of land uses. The Project route crosses six terrestrial vegetation community types in South Dakota including pastureland/rangeland, native grassland, hayland, row-crop agriculture, residences and farmsteads, and ROW corridors (Table 16.1-1). The predominant vegetation communities crossed are row-crop agriculture and pastureland/rangeland.

Vegetation communities generally describe land use types classified in Section 18.0–Land Use. However, some land uses were grouped to describe their vegetation (e.g., rural residential with residential). Therefore, the acres disturbed for vegetation communities will be slightly different than the corresponding land use types provided in Section 18.0– Land Use.

Counties Crossed (North to South)	Vegetation Communities (acres)					
	Pastureland / Rangeland	Native Grassland	Hayland	Row-Crop Agriculture	Residences & Farmsteads	Right of Way Corridors
Campbell	222.2	30.1	102.4	189.0	1.5	15.5
McPherson	8.4	0	2.9	107.9	2.7	3.9
Edmunds	45.1	0	56.5	593.0	0.2	12.8
Faulk	73.4	0	47.2	420.2	4.0	12.7
Spink	182.9	0	42.7	465.0	3.4	19.7
Beadle	154.7	0	24.5	352.5	2.8	12.0
Kingsbury	73.4	0	29.7	302.9	1.2	9.3
Miner	23.2	0	0.7	242.0	9.3	6.9
Lake	59.6	0	26.3	268.0	1.0	6.8
McCook	2.6	0	4.3	19.6	0.1	0.7
Minnehaha	90.4	0	21.9	375.2	0.3	16.1
Turner	11.2	0	6.8	9.1	0	0.7
Lincoln	5.1	10.8	9.8	408.4	3.2	10.8

Table 16.1-1 Vegetative Communities Crossed by the Project						
Counties Crossed (North to South)	Vegetation Communities (acres)					
	Pastureland / Rangeland	Native Grassland	Hayland	Row-Crop Agriculture	Residences & Farmsteads	Right of Way Corridors
State Total	952.2	40.9	375.6	3,752.8	29.7	127.9

Pastureland/Rangeland

The pastureland/rangeland vegetative community is primarily located in the northern portion of the Project in South Dakota. This includes lands that may have been plowed at some time in the past and replanted to non-native pasture grasses. The primary land use is grazing by livestock. This plant community has a high to moderate percent canopy cover of non-native grasses. Native grasses and forbs may be present but are not dominant and have low canopy cover. The Pastureland/rangeland community is composed of mixed grass and tall grass prairie community types. The primary non-native grasses include smooth brome (*Bromus inermis*) and Kentucky bluegrass (*Poa pratensis*). These two species also have the greatest percent canopy cover. Other common introduced species along the route are crested wheatgrass (*Agropyron cristatum*) and yellow sweetclover (*Melilotus officinalis*). The native species that are common along the route are reed canarygrass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), western wheatgrass (*Pascopyrum smithii*), curlycup gumweed (*Grindelia squarrosa*), green needlegrass (*Nassella viridula*), and big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*).

Native Grassland

The native grassland vegetative community includes grassland dominated by native mixed grass and tall grass species. Non-native plant species may be present but in low quantities. This land use includes undisturbed grasslands that may have been plowed at some time in the past. It also includes restored grasslands dominated by native grass species. Native grasslands were only identified in Campbell and Lincoln Counties. The primary land use is grazing by livestock and wildlife habitat. The primary native species are big bluestem (*Andropogon gerardii*), green needlegrass, western wheatgrass, and porcupinegrass (*Hesperostipa spartea*). The non-native Kentucky bluegrass is also present.

Hayland

The hayland plant community is land that has been cropped for hay forage production. It is a species-poor plant community generally consisting of one to five species of non-native forage grasses and forbs. Haylands are found throughout the Project area. Dominant species include alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*), smooth brome, and Kentucky bluegrass.

Row-Crop Agriculture

This community type is characterized by annual herbaceous vegetation planted for the production of human consumption, animal feed, biofuel, or other specific purposes. Row-crop agriculture accounts for the majority (69 percent) of the Project route. Along

the South Dakota Project route the primary row-crops are soybeans and corn. Wheat and sorghum are present less frequently.

Residences and Farmsteads

This vegetation community describes the rural residences and farmsteads, and suburban residential land uses (as classified in Section 18.0–Land Use). These land uses may include farmsteads and outbuildings (including abandoned farmsteads), farm windbreaks and shelterbelts, and suburban residential yards. These areas are generally small in size and account for a small portion of the Project area. These areas have often been planted with a mixture of non-native grasses and forbs used for agricultural uses such as forage production. The most common tree species in windbreaks and shelterbelts are Siberian elm (*Ulmus pumila*) and green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*).

Right-of-Way Corridors

ROW corridor describes the vegetation community along public, commercial, and industrial land uses (as classified in Section 18.0–Land Use). These are road and railroad ROWs including the vegetated borrow ditches. The areas crossed by the Project were identified through field and desktop land-use classification. These areas are generally small in size but occur frequently because of the section line road system in South Dakota. The ROWs consist primarily of non-native planted vegetation including smooth brome and Kentucky bluegrass. If native species are present, they are most commonly reed canarygrass and prairie cordgrass (*Spartina pectinata*) along roadside ditches. Many of the roadside ROWs are used and baled for hay production. Noxious weeds are often present in trace amounts. Field bindweed (*Convolvulus arvensis*) and Canada thistle (*Cirsium arvense*) are the most common noxious weeds in this land use.

In addition to collecting data on the vegetative communities described above, Dakota Access identified and collected data on areas of noxious weeds that were encountered along the proposed pipeline route. Noxious weed species and locations within the Project area are described in detail below.

Noxious Weeds

Some introduced plants are aggressive, invasive species that can alter plant community composition, impact rangeland and cropland productivity, and decrease wildlife habitat quality. When a plant species is known to cause environmental and economic impacts, it is listed as a federal, state, and/or county noxious weed. A ‘noxious weed’ is defined as a plant of foreign origin that can directly or indirectly injure agriculture (crops, pasture, and rangeland), waterways (including navigation), wildlife, or public health. The Federal Noxious Weed list, determined by rule of the USDA under the Federal Noxious Weed Act of 1974 (Title 7, Chapter 61), is a combination of aquatic/wetland, parasitic, and terrestrial plant species that are of foreign origin and not widely prevalent within the United States. No federally listed noxious weeds were documented within the Project route.

The South Dakota state noxious weed list is found in South Dakota Weed (Chapter 38-22). There are currently seven noxious weeds on the state list. Under the law, it is a landowner’s legal responsibility to manage noxious weeds on their lands. Local county

governments have the responsibility for the implementation and enforcement of weed management. In addition to state listed noxious weeds, South Dakota counties have noxious weed lists for species that are locally problematic. Table 16.1-2 lists the state and county listed noxious weeds in South Dakota.

Table 16.1-2 2014 South Dakota State and County Noxious Weeds			
Latin Name	Common Name	State	County
<i>Acroptilon repens</i>	Russian knapweed	X	
<i>Arctium minus</i>	*burdock		X
<i>Artemisia absinthium</i>	*absinth wormwood		X
<i>Cardaria draba</i>	hoary cress / whitetop	X	
<i>Carduus acanthoides</i>	*plumeless thistle		X
<i>Carduus nutans</i>	*musk thistle		X
<i>Centaurea diffusa</i>	diffuse knapweed		X
<i>Centaurea maculosa</i>	spotted knapweed		X
<i>Cichorium intybus</i>	chicory		X
<i>Cirsium arvense</i>	*Canada thistle	X	
<i>Cirsium vulgare</i>	*bull thistle		X
<i>Conium maculatum</i>	*poison hemlock		X
<i>Convolvulus arvensis</i>	*field bindweed		X
<i>Cynoglossum officinale</i>	houndstongue		X
<i>Euphorbia esula</i>	*leafy spurge	X	
<i>Hyoscyamus niger</i>	black henbane		X
<i>Hypericum perforatum</i>	St. Johnswort		X
<i>Leucanthemum vulgare</i>	oxeye daisy		X
<i>Linaria dalmatica</i>	Dalmatian toadflax		X
<i>Linaria vulgaris</i>	*yellow toadflax		X
<i>Lythrum spp.</i>	purple loosestrife	X	
<i>Onopordum acanthium</i>	Scotch thistle		X
<i>Phragmites australis</i>	* <i>Phragmites</i> / common reed		X
<i>Polygonum sachalinense</i>	giant knotweed		X
<i>Potentilla recta</i>	sulfur cinquefoil		X
<i>Sonchus arvensis</i>	*perennial sowthistle	X	
<i>Tamarix spp.</i>	saltcedar	X	
<i>Tanacetum vulgare</i>	common tansy		X
<i>Tribulus terrestris</i>	puncturevine		X
<i>Verbascum thapsus</i>	common mullein		X
Source: South Dakota Department of Agriculture, 2014			
* Noxious weeds identified to date within the Project area.			

Dakota Access is collecting noxious weed species locations, and the size and percent canopy cover of infestations during field surveys along the Project route. To date, a total of 12 species of state and county noxious weeds were documented within the Project area

(Table 16.1-2). The overall percentage of canopy cover was low (3.4 percent) within areas where noxious weeds were identified during field surveys. Canada thistle, field bindweed, and absinth wormwood (*Atemisia absinthium*) are common noxious weeds surveyed along the proposed route.

16.1.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Both temporary and permanent impacts to vegetation may occur as a result of the Project. Row-crop agriculture and haylands will be temporarily disturbed and removed from production during construction. However, agricultural production will resume during the growing season following completion of the pipeline construction. Dakota Access will restore row-crop agriculture and haylands to preconstruction conditions as soon as practicable following construction in accordance with the Agricultural Impact Mitigation Plan (AIMP) (Exhibit D) and landowner agreements. Landowners will be compensated for crop losses, short term reduced yields, and other damages resulting from the pipeline construction.

The proposed Project area includes limited areas of residences and farmsteads, including windbreaks. The 50-foot pipeline permanent ROW will be kept clear of trees, to allow for pipeline inspection and maintenance. Windbreaks will not be replanted within the permanent pipeline ROW, because of the requirements for aerial patrols. Further, any trees and/or shrubs along the permanent ROW that are cleared during construction will not be replanted. Landowners will be compensated for loss to landscaping, timber, etc. on areas impacted by the project. Disturbed areas outside the permanent ROW will be revegetated with a recommended seed-mix and natural succession will allow the vegetation to revert to preconstruction types.

The proposed pipeline route crosses grasslands and pastureland/rangeland that are primarily used for grazing. This grass-dominated land cover controls water runoff and sediment from directly entering groundwater, nearby lakes, rivers ponds and streams while contributing to wildlife habitat and livestock forage. Dakota Access will restore all grasslands as near to pre-construction conditions as practicable. Where conservation programs are in place, Dakota Access will work in accordance with NRCS and Farm Service Agency requirements for reclamation.

To minimize potential impacts, Dakota Access will incorporate topsoil segregation during construction of the pipeline. Typically, topsoil segregation is conducted within agriculture, improved pasture, and residential areas. The depth of the topsoil to be stripped will be a maximum depth of 12 inches or actual depth of top soil if less than 12 inches or as agreed upon with the landowner. Unless the landowner or land management agency requests otherwise, topsoil will be stripped from over the pipeline trench and the adjacent subsoil storage area. Segregated topsoil will be returned following backfilling of the subsoil, ensuring preservation of topsoil within the construction area. This practice preserves the seed bank within the topsoil and encourages revegetation within the ROW.

Dakota Access will monitor revegetation success along the pipeline ROW in accordance with applicable requirements. Reclamation and revegetation of grasslands and pastureland/rangeland may include soil conditioning such as de-compaction when reseeding as necessary to improve vegetative re-growth. Seed mixes will be developed based on data from pre-disturbance field surveys and with input from the local NRCS.

Noxious Weeds

Construction activities result in surface disturbance that may contribute to the spread of noxious weeds. Weed species are fast-growing and may displace desired species and inhibit the establishment of native grasses, forbs, and shrubs. Noxious weeds have the potential to increase in disturbed areas along the ROW where construction occurs. After construction is completed, disturbed areas will be restored. Operation and maintenance traffic will typically be limited to the locations of the aboveground facilities. Cropland will be returned to production and agricultural weed management will resume. Upland areas will be reseeded with desirable seed-mixes.

Dakota Access will consult with the South Dakota Department of Agriculture on noxious weeds within the Project area. In order to mitigate the spread of any noxious weeds, Dakota Access will likely implement BMPs and weed control practices during construction and operation. Mitigation measures may include:

- Treating known noxious weed infestations prior to ground disturbance.
- Immediately reseeded following construction.
- Using weed-free seed in reclamation activities.
- Using weed-free erosion control materials.

Operation and maintenance activities should not exacerbate noxious weed conditions since disturbances will be infrequent and isolated.

16.2 WILDLIFE

The Project area provides foraging and sheltering habitat for many species of mammals, raptors, and songbirds such as whitetail deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*), thirteen-lined ground squirrel, white-footed mouse (*Peromyscus leucopus*), white-tailed jackrabbit (*Lepus townsendii*), red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*), northern harrier (*Circus cyaneus*), great-horned owl (*Bubo virginianus*), burrowing owl (*Speotyto cunicularia*), wild turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*), mourning dove, loggerhead shrike (*Lanius ludovicianus*), black-capped chickadee (*Parus atricapillus*), eastern bluebird (*Sialia sialis*), and American robin (*Turdus migratorius*). In addition to foraging and sheltering habitat, the pastureland/rangeland, native grassland and hayland vegetation communities within the Project area may provide suitable habitat for ground nesting birds such as the ring-necked pheasant (*Phasianus colchicus*) and common nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*).

Highly disturbed areas (i.e., residences and farmsteads and ROW corridors) are likely to have a greater abundance of species such as striped skunk (*Mephitis mephitis*), eastern fox squirrel (*Sciurus niger*), barn swallow (*Hirundo rustica*), house wren (*Troglodytes aedon*), and common grackle (*Quiscalus quiscula*).

Many of these agricultural areas also contain aquatic habitats such as wetlands or prairie potholes that provide foraging, sheltering, and nesting habitat for migratory species such as shorebirds and waterfowl. These habitat types and wildlife that may utilize these aquatic habitats within the Project area are discussed throughout Section 17.0– Effect on Aquatic Ecosystems.

16.2.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Construction of the pipeline will be short-term and may result in temporary impacts to wildlife. Given the large percentage of agricultural development along the Project ROW, existing species that may utilize the Project area are used to seasonal vegetation impacts. Displacement of species may occur during increased human presence for the construction period. The Project area will be returned to pre-construction contours and land uses after pipeline construction. There is very little forested habitat along the project ROW, and where impacts occur, they are typically associated with residences and shelterbelts; many of which are comprised of fast growing non-native tree species.

The pastureland/rangeland, haylands, and native grasslands located within the Project area may provide suitable habitat for ground nesting species. Typically, bird nesting season is April 15-August 15. The current Project schedule estimates that construction within South Dakota will commence in February 2016, and restoration activities will continue through December 2016. Since construction will be underway prior to nesting season, it is anticipated that nesting birds would avoid the Project area; therefore, impacts to ground nesting birds is not anticipated. Few forested areas are crossed by the Project due to the predominance of agricultural practices within the region, therefore no significant impacts to tree nesting species is anticipated. Potential impacts to raptors are discussed further in the Effect on Terrestrial Ecosystem Section 16.3– Sensitive, Threatened, and Endangered Species below.

16.3 SENSITIVE, THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

A comprehensive list of all federal and state listed species within the Counties crossed by the Project, including habitat assessments and determinations of impact or effect on the species is included in Exhibit C. This section reflects terrestrial species with the potential to occur within two miles of the Project area as determined by the information and responses received from state and federal agencies. Early coordination and informal consultation with the USFWS, the South Dakota Natural Heritage Program (SDNHP), and South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks (SDGFP) was initiated in 2014. Species occurrence records and designated critical habitat for listed species were obtained. The terrestrial sensitive, threatened and endangered species information will be updated throughout the pre-construction and construction period based on continued consultations.

Information for terrestrial sensitive, threatened and endangered species was used to identify potential habitat along the pipeline corridor. Based on documented habitat requirements, the Project ROW has the potential to support two protected species; the bald eagle (*Haliaeetus leucocephalus*) and the western prairie fringed orchid (*Platanthera praeclara*). The bald eagle is protected under the Golden and Bald Eagle Protection Act, and the western prairie fringed orchid is listed as federally threatened. Baseline surveys were conducted from September through November 2014, and no occurrences have been documented to date. Surveys for raptor and bald eagle nests occurred during the baseline surveys. No nests were identified within the survey corridor; therefore, no impacts to raptors are anticipated. Pending final results of field surveys and input from resource agencies, appropriate mitigation and protection measures will be implemented to minimize potential impacts.

While outside of the field survey corridor, a known bald eagle nest has been documented by the SDNHP approximately one mile from the Project workspace. No other threatened, or endangered terrestrial species or their critical habitat has been reported within two miles of the Project.

16.3.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Based on current survey data, Dakota Access has not identified a potential effect on listed terrestrial species. As part of the Project's permitting process, Dakota Access will continue consulting with the resource agencies to obtain concurrence with this determination prior to initiating construction.

17.0 (20:10:22:17) EFFECT ON AQUATIC ECOSYSTEMS

This section describes the aquatic habitats that are crossed by the Project; waterbodies and wetlands. Also described within this section are fisheries that may be recreationally or commercially important, and special status species that predominantly utilize aquatic habitats within the Project area.

17.1 WATERBODIES

Dakota Access has identified 288 waterbody crossings located within the Project footprint. Of these, 10 are perennial, 110 are intermittent, 146 are ephemeral streams, and 25 are ponds (open water). The MP, waterbody name, state water classification, and flow regime for surface waters crossed or otherwise impacted by the Project can be found in Exhibit C. According to the South Dakota *Water Quality Standards* (Administrative Rules of South Dakota [ARSD] 74:51:01), South Dakota classifies fisheries in five beneficial use categories, including: coldwater permanent fish life propagation waters, coldwater marginal fish life propagation waters, warmwater permanent fish life propagation waters, warmwater semipermanent fish life propagation waters, and warmwater marginal fish life propagation waters.

South Dakota further categorizes these fishery beneficial use classifications as either high- or low-quality. High-quality fishery waters are those that have a beneficial use of coldwater permanent fish life propagation, coldwater marginal fish life propagation, or warmwater permanent fish life propagation. Low-quality fishery waters are those that have a beneficial use of warmwater semipermanent fish life propagation or warmwater marginal fish life propagation (SDDENR, 1998).

The Project does not cross any waterbodies categorized as high-quality fisheries within South Dakota. A total of three waterbodies crossed by the Project are categorized as low-quality, and have warmwater fishery classifications. The three warmwater fisheries waterbodies are Turtle Creek (warmwater marginal), James River (warmwater semipermanent), and Big Sioux River (warmwater semipermanent) (ARSD 74:51:01, 2014).

One of the many objectives of the SDGFP is to ensure that fisheries and aquatic resources are available and safe for public uses. To maintain aquatic resource quality, the SDGFP has developed Fisheries Management Area Strategic Plans for the different regions of the state. These plans aim to protect water quality, identify critical habitat, and improve fishing opportunities by better access and stocking (SDGFP, 2014a). The Project falls

within the East River Fisheries Management Area. The majority of the waterbodies stocked within this region are lakes and ponds. The Project does not cross any lakes or ponds listed as stocked by the SDGFP (SDGFP, 2014b). Representative game fish that occur within the Project area in South Dakota include a variety of warm water species such as a variety of catfish (including *Ameiurus melas* and *Ameiurus natalis*), sauger (*Sander canadensis*), walleye (*Sander vitreus*), and white bass (*Morone chrysops*). Typical non-game species include common shiner (*Luxilus cornutus*), white sucker (*Catostomus commersonii*), and bigmouth buffalo (*Ictiobus cyprinellus*) (SDGFP, 2011).

17.1.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Impacts to waterbodies associated with the Project will be limited to the construction phase. Temporary, short-term impacts to these waterbodies may cause increased sedimentation and turbidity; introduction of water pollutants; or entrainment of fish. To reduce the possibility of potential impacts from a potential release, Dakota Access will implement the Spill Prevention, Containment, and Countermeasures Plan (Exhibit D). No permanent long-term effects on water quality or fish communities are anticipated to occur as a result of the construction or operation of the pipeline.

While the majority of waterbodies along the Project ROW will be conventional open-cut crossings, Dakota Access will minimize potential impacts by expediting the time spent through these crossings, utilizing specialized crossing methods and implementing BMPs, where necessary. Construction methods utilized at waterbody crossings are highly dependent on the characteristics of the waterbody encountered, environmental constraints, the underlying geology, and other design factors. Details on the proposed crossing types to be utilized on this Project and additional BMPs are discussed below.

Maintenance activities within the Project area will likely be infrequent, short-term, isolated, and will not affect aquatic biota or their habitat. In the unlikely event of a pipeline release, Dakota Access will initiate its Facilities Response Plan (FRP) to contain and clean up the spill. To minimize impacts to aquatic resources, appropriate remedial measures will be implemented to meet federal and state standards designed to ensure protection of aquatic biota.

Open-Cut

Open-cut crossings are the industry standard for minor and intermediate waterbody crossings where conditions allow. Under this scenario, equipment will operate from the banks of the waterbody to the maximum extent practicable to excavate a trench. Flow will be maintained at all times. Excavated material from the trench will be placed on the bank above the ordinary high water mark for use as backfill. The pipe segment will be prefabricated and weighted, as necessary, to provide negative buoyancy and placed below scour depth. Typical backfill cover requirements will be met, contours will be restored within the waterbody, and the banks will be stabilized via seeding and/or the installation of erosion control matting or riprap. Excess excavated materials will be distributed in an upland area in accordance with applicable regulations.

Impacts to water quality will be minimized through the implementation of BMPs. The pipeline trench will be excavated immediately prior to pipe installation to limit the duration of construction will be expedited to minimize impacts. Excavated materials will

be stored no less than 10 feet from the edge of the waterbody and temporary erosion control devices will be utilized to prevent the sediment from reentering the waterbody.

Flume

The flume crossing method is a specialized open-cut method in which water flow is temporarily directed through one or more flume pipes placed over the excavation area. The use of the flume(s) allows trenching and pipeline installation to occur primarily under dry conditions without significant disruption of water flow.

Dam and Pump

The dam and pump crossing method is similar to the flume crossing method in that it is a specialized open-cut method that allows trenching and pipeline installation to occur under relatively dry conditions with minimal impact to water flow. This method involves the temporary installation of dams (consisting of sandbags, bladders, or other impervious materials) upstream and downstream of the proposed crossing. Pumps are then used to dewater the excavation area and to transport the water flow around the construction work area.

Horizontal Directional Drill

The HDD crossing method will typically be utilized at waterbody crossings greater than 100 feet wide and/or where required to avoid impacts to sensitive resources. The HDD method allows for construction across a waterbody or road crossing without the excavation of a trench, by drilling a hole significantly below conventional pipeline depth, and pulling the pipeline through the pre-drilled hole. Dakota Access has prepared a HDD Contingency Plan (Exhibit D) and will utilize HDDs at several locations to avoid direct impacts to resources, such as wetlands and waterbodies, and/or to avoid areas in which constructability by conventional means is not feasible. HDD waterbody crossings are listed in Table 17.1-1.

County	MP	Waterbody Name	HDD Length
Faulk	309.5	Wetland	1,270
Spink	322.5	Turtle Creek	1,500
Spink	337.0	Wetland	1,650
Beadle	348.0	James River	3,227
Beadle	358.9	Wetland	1,194
Lincoln	481.5	Big Sioux River	2,350

Depending on the HDD equipment utilized, to help guide the drill bit along the pipeline ROW, electric-grid wires may be laid along the predetermined HDD route. In thickly vegetated areas, a small path may be cut to accommodate laying the electric-grid guide wires (no large diameter vegetation will be cleared for this purpose). Once the electric grid guide wires are installed, the directional drilling rig will drill a small diameter pilot hole along the prescribed profile.

Following the completion of the pilot hole, reaming tools will be utilized to enlarge the hole to accommodate the pipeline diameter. The reaming tools will be attached to the drill string at the exit point and will then be rotated and drawn back to incrementally enlarge the pilot hole. During this process, drilling mud consisting of bentonite clay and water will be continuously pumped into the pilot hole to remove cuttings and maintain the integrity of the hole. When the hole has been sufficiently enlarged, a prefabricated segment of pipe will be attached behind the reaming tool on the exit side of the crossing and pulled back through the drill hole towards the drill rig.

17.2 WETLANDS

Wetlands are limited in extent to depression features (e.g., prairie potholes) and riparian areas. They are intermittently located along the Project ROW and have been identified based on current field data and desktop analysis for areas without access. Wetlands, as defined by the USACE, have hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils, and hydrology present (USACE, 1987). The wetland areas provide important ecological functions such as maintaining water quality, stabilizing stream banks, providing flood control, providing wildlife habitat, and aesthetic values.

Palustrine emergent (PEM) wetlands are the dominant wetland type throughout the Project area (101.04 acres total). Common PEM wetland vegetation includes foxtail barley (*Hordeum jubatum*), reed canarygrass, prairie cordgrass, barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crusgalli*), white panicle aster (*Symphyotrichum lanceolatum*), water smartweed (*Persicaria amphibium*), and broadleaf cattail (*Typha latifolia*).

17.2.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Dakota Access has designed the Project to avoid permanent fill in wetlands. Aboveground facilities have been sited within upland areas resulting in no permanent loss of wetlands for the entire Project. Temporary impacts to wetlands will be limited to the construction phase, although permanent conversion of some palustrine scrub shrub (PSS) to PEM will be necessary to conduct the required pipeline inspections and pipeline integrity. Table 17.2-1 below summarizes all wetlands within the Project area; this includes USACE jurisdictional wetlands and non-jurisdictional wetlands.

County	PEM (acres)	PSS (acres)	Total (acres)
Beadle County	12.0	-	12.0
Campbell County	2.9	-	2.9
Edmunds County	12.8	-	12.8
Faulk County	9.2	-	9.2
Kingsbury County	7.7	-	7.7
Lake County	4.6	-	4.6
Lincoln County	12.6	-	12.6
McCook County	3.5	-	3.5
McPherson County	2.9	-	2.9
Miner County	3.0	-	3.0

County	PEM (acres)	PSS (acres)	Total (acres)
Minnehaha County	9.0	0.9	10.0
Spink County	20.8	-	20.8
Turner County	0.5	-	0.5
Total	101.5	0.9	102.5

During initial routing and through the alternatives evaluation process, Dakota Access has worked and is continuing to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands. Three wetlands within the Project area will be crossed via HDD (Table 17.1-1), therefore avoiding impacts to these wetlands. Where impacts were unavoidable, Dakota Access will implement BMPs to ensure that the wetland is restored post-construction in accordance with application regulations and permits. These BMPs may include the following:

- Wetland boundaries will be clearly defined and marked prior to initiating construction in the area.
- The minimum construction equipment necessary for pipeline installation will be utilized within wetlands.
- If standing water or saturated soil conditions are present, or if construction equipment will cause ruts or mixing of the topsoil and subsoil, construction equipment operating in wetland areas would be limited to the use of low ground pressure equipment or normal equipment operating from timber equipment mats.
- Limit tree stump removal and grading within wetlands to the area directly over the pipeline, unless required for safe installation.
- Segregate topsoil from the area directly over the trench line in unsaturated soils.
- Use of trench plugs/breakers at wetland boundaries ensures that wetland hydrology is unchanged following construction.
- Pre-construction contours will be restored along the pipeline ROW, allowing wetlands to naturally revegetate.

17.3 WILDLIFE

The majority of the wetlands crossed by the Project are PEM. These prairie pothole type of wetlands provide foraging, sheltering, and nesting habitat for a variety of migratory and resident shorebirds and waterfowl such as American avocet (*Recurvirostra americana*), killdeer (*Charadrius vociferous*), long-billed curlew (*Numenius americanus*), willet (*Tringa semipalmata*), lesser scaup (*Aythya affinis*), redhead (*Aythya americana*), and northern pintail (*Anas acuta*). Amphibians and reptiles that may utilize PEM wetlands include the western chorus frog (*Pseudacris triseriata*), wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*), and Blanding's turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*).

17.4 SENSITIVE, THREATENED, AND ENDANGERED SPECIES

A comprehensive list of all federal and state listed species within the Counties crossed by the Project, including habitat assessments and determinations of impact or effect on the

species is included in Exhibit C. This section reflects aquatic species with the potential to occur within two miles of the Project area as determined by the information and responses received from state and federal agencies. Early coordination and informal consultation with the USFWS, SDNHP, and SDGFP was initiated in 2014. Species occurrence records and designated critical habitat for listed species were obtained.

Information for aquatic sensitive, threatened and endangered species was used to identify potential habitat along the pipeline corridor. Based on documented habitat requirements, the Project ROW has the potential to support five protected species; northern river otter (*Lontra canadensis*), interior least tern (*Sterna antillarum athalassos*), piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), whooping crane (*Grus americana*), and Topeka shiner (*Notropis topeka*). Baseline surveys were conducted from September through November 2014, and no occurrences of these species have been documented to date. No potential habitat was identified for the interior least tern and piping plover. The only critical habitat areas in South Dakota are for the piping plover, and are located along the Missouri River, which is outside of the Project area. The Project area lacks large river systems and alkali wetlands required by these species. These two species will not be affected by the Project.

Of the identified species, the northern river otter and whooping crane have SDNHP species occurrence records within one mile of the Project. The northern river otter has been documented in the James and Big Sioux Rivers (SDNHP, 2014 and SDGFP, 2014c) within the Project area; however, both of these rivers will be crossed via HDD, therefore potential impacts to the northern river otter will be avoided. The Project area is within the migratory range of the whooping crane; however, this species is highly mobile and would likely avoid construction areas for the vast similar and suitable habitat throughout the area and region, therefore no effect on this species is anticipated.

The USFWS South Dakota Ecological Field Office identified eight waterbodies crossed by the Project that have Topeka shiner occurrences; including the James River, Shue Creek, Pearl Creek, Middle Pearl Creek, Redstone Creek, Rock Creek, East Fork Vermillion River, and Big Sioux River. An additional waterbody, the West Fork Vermillion River, was also identified for occurrence; however, the project crosses in its headwaters where it is an emergent wetland with no perennial flowing water and therefore not suitable habitat for the species. No other aquatic threatened, or endangered aquatic species or their critical habitat has been reported within two miles of the Project. Pending final results of field surveys and input from resource agencies, appropriate mitigation and protection measures will be implemented to minimize potential impacts.

17.4.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Based on current survey data, Dakota Access has identified a potential effect on one listed aquatic species, the Topeka shiner. Stream construction methods and BMPs implemented during waterbody crossings will minimize potential impacts to sensitive species. The James and Big Sioux Rivers will be crossed via HDD, as discussed in Section 17.2– Waterbodies; therefore, impacts to Topeka shiner within both of these rivers will be avoided. Dakota Access will continue to coordinate with the USFWS regarding potential impacts to Topeka shiner within the six suitable waterbodies that will not be crossed via HDD and identify suitable construction and/or mitigation measures.

As part of the Project's permitting process, Dakota Access will continue consulting with the resource agencies to develop mitigative measures to minimize potential impacts prior to initiating construction.

18.0 (20:10:22:18) LAND USE

The proposed pipeline route in South Dakota is 271.6 miles long. The majority of the land crossed by the proposed route is privately owned, except for approximately 135 feet of land is owned by South Dakota Department of Transportation. The Project route does not cross any other state lands, and no federal or county lands are crossed. However, private lands with USFWS wetland/grassland easements managed by the USFWS National Wildlife Refuge System are crossed by the Project. Dakota Access has been and will continue coordinating with the USFWS to avoid and minimize impacts on these crossings. Exhibit A5 illustrates the land uses identified within the Project area.

Baseline surveys and desktop analysis occurred during 2014 to classify land uses along the proposed pipeline route using classifications listed in Section 22:20:10:18 of the South Dakota Administrative Rules. The land use categories documented along the proposed route were land used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation; irrigated lands; pasturelands and rangelands; haylands; undisturbed native grasslands; rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches; residential; and public, commercial, and institutional use (Table 18.1-1). Wetlands and waterbodies occurred within all of these land uses except irrigated lands.

18.1 LAND USE MAPS

A map of the land use crossed by the Project is presented in Exhibit A5, and Table 18.1-1 provides a summary of the proposed disturbance by land use. The PUC land use categories (*italic*) were defined as follows for the Project.

- a. *Lands used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation* are agricultural fields that may be tilled but not irrigated. Primary row crops include corn, soybeans, sunflowers, and cereal grains.
- b. *Irrigated lands* are agricultural fields irrigated with center pivots, furrows, or flood irrigation received from lateral ditches.
- c. *Pasturelands and rangelands* include lands that may have been plowed at some time in the past and replanted to pasture grasses. There is a high to moderate component of non-native grasses.
- d. *Haylands* include lands that have grass and alfalfa crops with evidence to suggest hay production such as the presence of bales.
- e. *Undisturbed native grasslands* are dominated by native grass species. Non-native plant species may be present but are in low densities. It also includes restored grasslands dominated by native grass species.
- f. *Existing and potential extractive nonrenewable resources* include coal, uranium lignite, and oil resources that are in the vicinity of the Project.
- g. *Other major industries* include wind power development and energy transfer.

- h. *Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches* are individual farmsteads and outbuildings, as well as farmstead windbreaks and shelterbelts.
- i. *Residential* includes suburban and urban residential areas.
- j. *Public, commercial, and institutional use* includes county roads, highways, and railroad ROWs, commercial developments, schools, and churches. This category includes roadway borrow ditches that may be vegetated.
- k. *Municipal water supply and water sources for organized rural water systems* include surface water reservoirs and groundwater wells that withdraw water for public water supplies.
- l. *Noise sensitive land uses.*

Four land use types were not documented along the proposed route, including existing and potential extractive nonrenewable resources; other major industries; municipal water supply and water sources for organized rural water systems; and noise sensitive land uses.

Table 18.1-1 Land Use Crossed by the Project								
Counties Crossed (North to South)	Land Use Disturbed (acres)							
	Lands used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation	Irrigated lands	Pasturelands and rangelands	Haylands	Undisturbed native grasslands	Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches	Residential	Public, commercial and industrial use
Campbell	189.1	0	225.1	102.4	30.2	1.5	0	15.5
McPherson	110.5	0	8.4	2.9	0	2.7	0	3.9
Edmunds	602.0	0	48.9	56.5	0	0.2	0	12.9
Faulk	425.9	0	76.4	49.9	0	2.5	1.4	12.8
Spink	473.1	0	194.5	44.3	0	3.4	0	20.4
Beadle	359.8	2.0	162.0	23.0	0	2.8	0	12.4
Kingsbury	305.3	0	78.8	30.1	0	1.2	0	9.6
Miner	243.3	0	24.6	0.7	0	8.6	1.0	7.4
Lake	269.6	0	62.4	26.4	0	1.0	0	6.9
McCook	21.7	0	4.3	5.5	0	0.1	0	0.7
Minnehaha	378.8	0	95.0	23.2	0	0.1	0.2	17.3

**Table 18.1-1
Land Use Crossed by the Project**

Counties Crossed (North to South)	Land Use Disturbed (acres)							
	Lands used primarily for row and non-row crops in rotation	Irrigated lands	Pasturelands and rangelands	Haylands	Undisturbed native grasslands	Rural residences and farmsteads, family farms, and ranches	Residential	Public, commercial and industrial use
Turner	9.2	0	11.2	7.3	0	0	0	0.7
Lincoln	419.7	0	6.2	10.2	11.4	2.1	1.1	11.5
STATE TOTAL	3,808.0	2.0	997.8	382.4	41.6	26.2	3.7	132.0

18.2 DISPLACED HOMES

The Project does not displace any homes.

18.3 EFFECTS ON SURROUNDING LAND USE

Permanent effects on surrounding land uses are not anticipated since the pipeline is primarily a below ground structure with no land use conversion. As designed, Dakota Access is planning to install 31 MLVs, three L/Rs, and one pump station along the pipeline ROW, constituting the only aboveground structures on the Project. Of these, the only significant aboveground facility is the pump station located within Spink County; however, this tract of land will be purchased by Dakota Access for construction and operation of the pump station, and should not affect the adjacent land uses. Land uses that are within the pipeline route should not change from their current use due to construction of the pipeline.

18.4 ANALYSIS ON LAND USES

The primary land use types impacted by the proposed Project are lands used for agriculture. Predominant agricultural land uses within the Project area are as follows: row crop agriculture, pastureland /rangeland, hayland, and irrigated land. These lands are used primarily for production of food, fiber, livestock and fuel crops. The pastureland/rangeland is primarily in the northern portion of the South Dakota route while row-crop agriculture is located throughout the state. A secondary use for many of the land use types is hunting and recreation; this is discussed further within Community Impact Section 23.1– Forecast of Impact on Community. Once installed, the pipeline will be below the surface and will not affect normal agricultural or recreation activities.

The public, commercial, and institutional use are road and railroad ROWs, including the borrow ditches. These areas crossed by the Project total a small percentage of the overall Project land uses (2.4 percent), but occur frequently because of the section line road system in South Dakota.

18.4.1 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Construction activities will temporarily disturb the land uses within both the construction and permanent ROW. Following construction, these areas will be re-contoured to previous conditions, reseeded and/or return to previous agricultural uses. Drainage systems such as roadway ditches or drainage tile crossed and disturbed by the pipeline during construction will be restored in accordance with permits and landowner agreements.

Dakota Access will take appropriate measures to protect land uses used for livestock production (pastureland/rangeland, undisturbed native prairie, row-crop agriculture) during construction. Project contractors will coordinate with landowners to provide passage for livestock and will provide temporary fencing and gates where required to protect livestock from construction-related hazards. Following construction, fences and gates are rebuilt to original condition.

Direct impacts to the public, commercial, and institutional land use will be minimized through construction design measures. Most roadways will be bored underneath during construction eliminating direct disturbance to the roadway and vegetation. Indirect impacts include temporary road closures or traffic delays during construction. After construction, roadways will resume normal traffic conditions in the Project ROW. Potential traffic impacts are discussed further within Community Impact Section 23.1– Forecast of Impact on Community.

After construction, impacts to land uses along the pipeline will be negligible. Operations and maintenance activities may be needed but will be isolated and infrequent. As per the negotiated ROW agreements, no structures will be allowed within the permanent ROW, but overall land use will be allowed to revert to pre-construction conditions.

Permanent impacts to land use will occur at the aboveground facilities associated with the Project. The frequency of aboveground facilities is low (31 MLVs, three L/Rs, and one pump station) and the majority of these sites are small in size; permanent impacts to the surrounding land use will be minimal (0.2 percent of the Project footprint).

19.0 (20:10:22:19) LOCAL LAND USE CONTROLS

DAPL will design, construct, operate, and maintain the pipeline, pump stations, and valve stations in compliance with applicable zoning and county permit requirements. DAPL may request variances and/or special use permits, as necessary. DAPL recognizes the existence of South Dakota Codified Law (SDCL) 49-41B-28, regarding local ordinances and their application to the project, and reserves the right to request the Commission to invoke its provisions during the proceedings in this application should the need present itself.

20.0 (20:10:22:20) WATER QUALITY

Dakota Access is permitting the Project through the USACE nationwide permit program for Section 404/10 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) impacts. The nationwide permit program establishes general permits for projects with minimal adverse impacts to jurisdictional waters of the U.S. SDDENR has previously issued Section 401 water

quality certification for projects that qualify for nationwide permit coverage, and outlined BMPs for work of this nature.

The CWA, Section 303(c), requires each state to review, establish, and revise water quality standards for all surface waters within the state. To comply with this requirement, the SDDENR has classified surface waters by beneficial uses, as provided in Exhibit C.

All streams in South Dakota are assigned the beneficial uses of irrigation waters, and of fish and wildlife propagation, recreation, and stock watering waters, unless otherwise stated in the ARSD Chapter 74:51:03. State toxic pollutant standards for human health and aquatic life are presented in Exhibit C. Site specific uses for waterbodies and their tributaries are available in ARSD Chapters 74:51:03:07, 74:51:03:18, 74:51:03:20, and 74:51:03:25.

Under Section 303(d) of the CWA, states are required to identify waterbodies that are not attaining their designated use(s) and develop total maximum daily loads (TMDLs), which represent the maximum amount of a given pollutant that the a waterbody can assimilate and still meet its designated use(s). Regulatory programs for water quality standards include default narrative standards, non-degradation provisions, a TMDL regulatory process for impaired waters, and associated minimum water quality requirements for the designated uses of listed surface waterbodies within the state. The SDDENR bases prioritization on many factors.

The highest priority designation, Priority 1, is given to waters with the following criteria:

- Imminent human health problems;
- Waters where TMDL development is expected during the next two years;
- Waters with documented widespread local support for water quality improvement; or
- Waters listed for four or more causes.

Priority 2 waters have the following criteria:

- Waters listed for three or less causes;
- Waters where local support for TMDL development is expected but not documented;
- Waters where impairments are believed to be due largely to natural causes; or
- Waters with no evident local support for water quality improvements.

All of the waterbodies found in Table 18.4-1 below are listed as U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) 303(d) impaired waterbodies. The three impaired waterbodies do not have TMDLs developed yet (SDDENR, 2014b).

Table 18.4-1 EPA Listed 303(d) Listed Waterbodies						
County	Approximate Milepost	Waterbody Name	State Water Quality	Supports Use Designation	Source of Impairment	Priority ¹
Spink	322.4	Turtle Creek	Fish/Wildlife Prop, Rec, Stock	Full Support	N/A	2
			Irrigation Waters	Full Support	N/A	
			Limited Contact Recreation	Nonsupport	Oxygen, Dissolved	
			Warmwater Marginal Fish Life	Nonsupport	Oxygen, Dissolved	
Beadle	348.0	James River	Fish/Wildlife Prop, Rec, Stock	Full Support	N/A	2
			Irrigation Waters	Full Support	N/A	
			Limited Contact Recreation	Nonsupport	Oxygen, Dissolved	
			Warmwater Semipermanent Fish Life	Nonsupport	Oxygen, Dissolved	
Lincoln	481.6	Big Sioux River	Fish/Wildlife Prop, Rec, Stock	Full Support	N/A	1
			Immersion Recreation	Nonsupport	Escherichia coli; Fecal Coliform	
			Irrigation Waters	Full Support	N/A	
			Limited Contact Recreation	Nonsupport	Escherichia coli; Fecal Coliform	
			Warmwater Semipermanent Fish Life	Nonsupport	Total Suspended Solids	

Source: SDDENR 2014b; U.S. EPA. 2014

Of the three impaired stream segments crossed by the Project, one is impaired for the fish propagation use due to total suspended solids concentrations, and the other two for dissolved oxygen. All of these 303(d) listed impaired waters will be crossed via the HDD method; therefore, no impacts to these waterbodies are anticipated.

The general discharge permit for hydrostatic test water discharges will impose pollutant limits on those discharges that will be protective of the designated uses of the receiving waterbodies. No discharges to these waters will occur. In addition, construction methods for stream crossings (See Effect on Aquatic Ecosystems Section– 17.1 Waterbodies) should protect those waterbodies from exceedances of water quality standards.

Maintenance activities impacts to water quality or its uses are anticipated to be negligible, as Project-related disturbances will be isolated, short-term, and infrequent.

21.0 (20:10:22:21) AIR QUALITY

Air quality impacts along the pipeline include potential air emissions during both construction and operation of the pipeline. Dakota Access will comply with all federal and state air quality regulations that are applicable to the proposed facilities along the pipeline and will take necessary steps to ensure that they do not cause an exceedance of

any air quality standard. Potential sources of air emissions have been identified and air quality permitting requirements for proposed facilities will be fully assessed to identify any air permits that will need to be obtained from SDDENR.

One proposed pump station is the only facility along the pipeline; however, if the potential to emit is below 25 tons per year of each of the relevant criteria pollutants, a source is exempt from obtaining either a construction or operating permit in South Dakota. Emissions from the pump station are anticipated to be well below this threshold. Therefore, Dakota Access anticipates that no permit will be required.

Potential sources of emissions along the proposed pipeline route can be classified as one of three types: stationary, mobile, or fugitive. These types of sources will be different during construction and operation. Potential emissions during construction include both mobile source and fugitive emissions. Mobile sources of emissions are the tailpipe emissions from employee commuter vehicles and construction equipment to be used during construction of the pipeline, pump station, and other ancillary facilities. No permitting is required for mobile sources.

Fugitive sources of emissions include particulate emissions from paved and unpaved roadways and the particulate emissions from soil disturbance during construction activities. Fugitive particulate emissions from roadways consist of heavier particles and tend to settle out of the atmosphere by gravity within a few hundred yards. Therefore, these fugitive particulate emissions will be limited to the immediate vicinity of the Project; impacts to the surrounding region will be negligible.

The quantity of fugitive dust emissions from soil-disturbance activities will depend on the moisture content and texture of the soils that will be disturbed, the type of construction equipment utilized, and the frequency and duration of precipitation events. The majority of pipeline construction activities will pass by a specific location within a 30-day period; therefore, fugitive dust emissions during construction will be restricted to the brief construction period along each segment of the Project route, with construction impacts diminishing once construction activities end and after disturbed areas are reclaimed. Dakota Access will limit dust impacts in residential and commercial areas adjacent to pipeline construction by utilizing dust minimization techniques, such as minimizing exposed soil areas, reducing vehicle driving speeds, and watering the ROW as needed.

Potential emissions during operations include stationary source and fugitive emissions at the pipeline pump station. Since the proposed pump station on the Project is to be electrically driven, the pump will not be a potential source of stationary emissions. While the pump station will include a back-up power supply for critical equipment, the station will not have an emergency generator engine or other combustion source. Therefore, the pump will not require an air permit. The purpose of the surge tank will be to store product, if necessary, during an upset condition that occurs along the pipeline. At times when product is stored in the tank, the tank will be a potential source of volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions. Since the tank will be used only during upset conditions, both the annual throughput and resulting emissions will be low. Therefore, Dakota Access anticipates that an air permit will not be required for this tank.

Operational emissions consist of fugitive emissions such as VOCs released during pigging operations; additionally at the pump station meter-proving operations and minor leaks or programmed releases of volatile constituents contained in pipeline products from pipeline components such as valves, pumps, flanges, and connections. Emissions of VOCs from these sources are anticipated to be very low and therefore requiring an air permit is not anticipated.

22.0 (20:10:22:22) TIME SCHEDULE

Dakota Access anticipates starting construction within South Dakota in 2015 as soon as applicable permits and approvals have been issued. Commissioning of the facilities should occur in August 2016 for in-service in October. Restoration activities will continue as necessary to ensure proper restoration of the disturbed areas.

23.0 (20:10:22:23) COMMUNITY IMPACT

The following information identifies the effects of construction and operation of the Project on the community, taxes, agriculture, population, transportation, and cultural resources.

23.1 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON COMMUNITY

The following discussion includes potential impacts on commercial and industrial sectors, housing, land values, labor market, health facilities, energy, sewage and water, solid waste management facilities, fire protection, law enforcement, recreational facilities, schools, transportation facilities, and other community and government facilities or services.

Commercial and Industrial Sectors

The local economies are anticipated to benefit from temporary hiring of local employees and from the influx of non-local construction workers. The South Dakota portion of the Project area is anticipated to cost \$820 million, approximately \$486 million of this total (59 percent) will result in direct spending in the South Dakota economy. Economic benefits to local commercial businesses are anticipated to increase through the sales of food, lodging, services, and goods that will be generated by the temporary non-local work force. Dakota Access will purchase goods, including construction materials and other supplies for the Project from local businesses. Local purchases for construction will include consumables, fuel, equipment maintenance, equipment rental, space leasing, miscellaneous construction-related materials such as office supplies, and some medical/dental needs. The direct spending within the state will cause indirect and induced spending of \$168 million and \$186 million. The total impact on the South Dakota economy will be \$836 million increase in production and sales.

This increase in consumer demand can temporarily boost the local economies through hiring of additional temporary employees or longer work hours for the existing employed work force. The Project will not result in operation impacts to the commercial sector. Construction and operation impacts to the industrial sector are not anticipated.

Housing

It is expected that most non-local Project workers will use temporary housing, such as rental units, hotels, motels, campgrounds, and recreational vehicle parks. In the South Dakota counties that the pipeline corridor crosses, there are approximately 2,500 available rental units, 4,700 motel rooms, and 1,900 campground/recreational vehicle spaces. These accommodations are all within approximately 10 to 40 miles of the pipeline corridor. During the construction months between February and August 2016, it is estimated that up to approximately 1,448 pipeline construction personnel will be in South Dakota. It is anticipated that most of the temporary workers will seek housing in the more populated, service-oriented towns located within a reasonable commuting distance to the work site.

Dakota Access seeks to recruit local candidates to fill permanent positions to operate and maintain the project. This is often accomplished by advertising in local papers and attending area job fairs to attract employees. Using local personnel is part of our commitment to being a good neighbor along the project and in the area. It is anticipated that 12 permanent employees will be hired in South Dakota. Due to the low number of anticipated permanent employees, long-term impacts to housing will be negligible.

Land Values

The Project will be constructed in predominantly rural, agricultural areas. Property values are not usually affected by the installation or presence of a pipeline in rural areas. Dakota Access will acquire pipeline ROW easements from landowners and will provide landowners with monetary compensation for the conveyance of easements. Construction activities will create short-term impacts to land and property, including drainage tiles, irrigation systems, and fences. Any damage to land or property as a result of Project construction will be corrected by Dakota Access, through direct repair of damages, and/or compensation to the landowner.

Few land use restrictions will be put into place for the duration of the pipeline's operation. These are largely limited to restrictions on the placement of dwellings or other structures on the permanent pipeline ROW for the duration of the ROW easement. The 50-foot-wide operational ROW will be maintained in an open condition for the life of the pipeline facilities.

Labor Market

Approximately 724 construction personnel (Dakota Access employees, contractor employees, construction inspection staff, and environmental inspection staff) are anticipated to be associated with each construction spread. The current construction plan involves two large construction spreads in 2016 in South Dakota, for a total of 1,448 construction personnel. Project construction will result in more than 7,100 additional job-years of employment with an approximate \$303 million increase in labor income. The pipeline will be constructed utilizing organized labor from local union halls whose geographic jurisdictions include South Dakota for the United Association, Teamsters, Operators, and Laborers. Each of these labor unions has requirements for joining their unions and also provides training and apprenticeship programs for new members.

Dakota Access expects that its construction contractors will hire temporary construction personnel from the local communities where possible. It is estimated that up to 50 percent of the total construction work force could be hired locally, with the remaining portion consisting of non-local personnel. The number of construction workers that will be hired locally will vary by contractor and by the availability of residents who are specifically trained and available for pipeline construction employment.

Once the pipeline has been built, the yearly operations and maintenance spending will add 12 permanent jobs with \$2 million in labor income. The total number of permanent employees will not result in significant additions to the total work force of the region. The net economic effect on local communities should be positive for the duration of the construction period. Construction of the Project will result in short-term benefits to the local communities.

Health Facilities

Local healthcare facilities will provide healthcare services to Dakota Access workers during the construction and operation phases of the Project. Dakota Access' health and safety policies and procedures should limit the utilization of local health facilities during the temporary influx of non-local construction workers during Project construction. Due to the limited number of permanent employees required for operations, no effect on health services and facilities are anticipated during operation of the Project.

Energy

Temporary short-term use of power will be through existing facilities and is anticipated to be minimal.

Sewage and Water

Although there will be an influx of temporary construction workers, it is not anticipated that workers will overtax sewage and water facilities during construction. Existing (hotels, offices, etc.) and portable facilities (along the ROW) and the local communities should not see any impact on their public utilities as a result of the Project. No significant effects from operation of the Project are anticipated.

Solid Waste Management

There will be increased utilization of solid waste management facilities due to the pipeline construction offices and influx of temporary construction workers utilizing local lodging and services, and solid wastes from pipeline construction. Construction of the Project will generate non-hazardous pipeline construction wastes including human waste, trash, pipe banding and spacers, waste from coating products, welding rods, timber skids, cleared vegetation, stumps, rock and all other miscellaneous construction debris. All waste, which contains (or at any time contained) oil, grease, solvents, or other petroleum products will be segregated for handling and disposed of in accordance with federal and state regulations.

All trash will be removed from the construction ROW on a daily basis unless otherwise approved or directed by Dakota Access. Minor vegetation, rock and other natural debris will be removed from the construction ROW by the completion of clean-up. All trash

and wastes will be removed from every construction area when work is completed at each location. All waste materials will be disposed at licensed waste disposal facilities.

All drill cuttings and drilling mud will be disposed at an approved location. Disposal options may include spreading over the construction ROW in an upland location approved by Dakota Access, hauling to an approved licensed landfill, or other site approved by Dakota Access and in accordance with applicable regulations. Human wastes will be handled and disposed of exclusively by means of portable self-contained toilets during all construction operations. Wastes from these units shall be collected by a licensed contractor for disposal only at licensed and approved facilities.

Due to the above reasons, significant impacts to solid waste management during construction are not anticipated. In addition, solid waste operational impacts associated with this Project are not anticipated.

Fire Protection and Law Enforcement

Law enforcement agencies in the communities adjacent to the Project should not experience a significant impact from the pipeline workers. All employees and contractors must abide by all federal, state and local laws. If any infractions occur, the employees or contractors will be subject to termination. Local law enforcement agencies should have adequate full- and part-time law enforcement officers to accommodate the additional labor personnel as a result of the Project, although the Project can result in a minor short-term increase in workloads for those agencies.

During construction, response times to highway, or construction related accidents may be prolonged given communication, dispatch, and travel time considerations. In these areas, it may be necessary for Dakota Access to provide on-site first responder services. However, Dakota Access will work with the local law enforcement, fire departments, and emergency medical services to coordinate effective emergency response.

During operations, Dakota Access will utilize employees and contractors as emergency responders within its initial response efforts in the event of a pipeline spill. Dakota Access will be consistent with industry practice and in compliance with applicable regulations relating to spill personnel. In the unlikely event of a spill, the usual role of local emergency responders is to notify community members, direct people away from the hazard area, and address potential impacts to the community such as temporary road closings. Local emergency responders typically are trained and capable to execute the roles described above without any additional training or specialized equipment.

Dakota Access will proactively work with emergency response agencies to provide pipeline awareness education and other support. Dakota Access will implement a comprehensive public awareness program, consistent with all company pipelines in the U.S. This program will commence in advance of the Project in-service date (estimated as October 2016). The purpose of the public awareness program is to inform key members of the public of the location of Dakota Access facilities and activities to protect the public from injury, what to do if an emergency occurred, protect or minimize effects on the environment, protect Dakota Access facilities from damage by the public, and provide an opportunity for on-going public awareness.

Dakota Access' public awareness program follows National Preparedness for Response Exercise Program Guidelines developed by the U.S. Coast Guard and adopted by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management, Regulation and Enforcement, and the EPA. Participation in this program ensures that Dakota Access meets all federal requirements mandated by Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

Recreation

South Dakota has extensive recreational opportunities including fishing, boating, hunting, hiking, camping, biking, and bird watching. The most heavily used areas will most likely occur where public access exists. Hunting is a popular activity throughout the state. The SDGFP manages numerous game species including big and small game, and waterfowl. Popular species hunted in South Dakota include whitetail deer, wild turkey (*Meleagris gallopavo*), ring-necked pheasant, bobwhite quail, and duck species such as mallard (*Anas platyrhynchos*) and lesser scaup. The Project does not cross any federal or state owned wildlife lands; however, construction of the Project may temporarily limit access to certain private areas used for recreation. Walk-in access areas are found throughout the State of South Dakota, allowing public hunting access on private lands. The program is managed by the SDGFP. Walk-in access areas available to hunters vary from year to year, as available funds and contracts with landowners vary. Construction of the Project may limit access to these walk-in areas and private lands. In addition, hunting opportunities may be interrupted within the vicinity of construction activities; however, possible access and hunting opportunity impacts will be temporary. No impacts associated with the operation of the Project are anticipated. Hunting is compatible with normal operation of the pipeline.

In addition to hunting, fishing and boating are popular recreation activities within South Dakota. The majority of the State's fishing and boating public access areas are located along big rivers and lakes (SDGFP, 2014d). No impacts or limited access to any fishing or boating areas are anticipated as result of construction or operation of the Project. In the unlikely event an impact should occur, it will be short-term and infrequent, therefore impacts to fishing and boating is not anticipated.

Transportation

Transportation routes to be utilized during construction will be established through consultation with state and local highway agencies as necessary. Those contacts will begin soon and continue through construction. Dakota Access expects to enter into road use agreements with all affected state and local highway agencies.

Dakota Access will seek to have the Commission set a road bond in accordance with SDCL 49-41B-38.

The Department of Commerce and Regulation, Division of Highway Patrol has jurisdiction over the federal and state highway system in South Dakota, and is responsible for issuing transportation-related permits to accommodate construction vehicles and traffic. Dakota Access has initiated contacts with local permitting authorities for the purpose of establishing timelines for road permit approvals.

During construction, traffic on highways and secondary roads will be increased due to the construction activities and due to the influx of construction workers. Hauling of line pipe and most construction equipment will be within state road and bridge weight limits. There will be isolated hauling of equipment that will require special permits for weight and/or width. There may be an increased temporary demand for permits for vehicle load and width limits. The primary impact will be deterioration of gravel or stone surfaced roads requiring grading and/or replenishment of the surface materials. Dakota Access expects to be responsible for repairing damage to roads and restoring them to pre-construction condition or as agreements with the affected agencies dictate.

23.2 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON TAXES

SDCL Chapters 10-13 requires that the Department of Revenue annually determine the assessed value of the pipeline for ad valorem property tax purposes. Assessed value must be determined using the cost, market, and income approaches to appraisal per SDCL Chapter 10-37-9.1.

The increased economic activity that results during construction of the pipeline will generate additional sales, use, gross receipts, and lodging taxes of approximately \$36 million for state government, plus \$3 million for local governments. Once the pipeline goes into operation South Dakota State and local governments will realize ongoing annual sales, use, and gross receipts of about \$197,000. Also, during the first full year of operation the pipeline will generate an estimated \$14 million in new property taxes for local governments.

23.3 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON AGRICULTURE

Pastureland and Rangeland

Impacts to pastureland and rangeland areas will result from temporarily clearing vegetation in the ROW. These areas are anticipated to recover in one to three growing seasons after construction is complete. Long-term or permanent impacts are not anticipated, except at aboveground facility locations that will be fenced in and removed from current use. To minimize construction impacts to pastureland and rangeland, the topsoil layer will be stripped to the maximum depth of 12 inches and segregated from the subsoil. Unless requested otherwise, topsoil will be stripped from over the pipeline trench and the adjacent subsoil storage area. Segregated topsoil will be returned following backfilling of the subsoil, ensuring preservation of topsoil within the construction area. Additional measures within the AIMP (Exhibit D) will be implemented to minimize potential impacts to agricultural areas. Dakota Access will reseed disturbed areas with seed mixtures approved by the landowner or local NRCS offices.

Rangeland may be affected during construction by restrictions on livestock movement across construction areas. Once construction is complete and the ROW has been restored, grazing and livestock movement over the permanent ROW may resume. Landowners will be compensated for the temporary loss of land use. Grazing practices should return to normal after vegetation is re-established, therefore permanent impacts are not anticipated.

Access to and work on pastureland and rangeland will be in accordance with all easement agreements and applicable permits and regulations.

Cropland

Permanent impacts on agricultural production are not anticipated since the pipeline will be buried deep enough to allow continued use of the land. Agricultural production across the permanent ROW will be allowed to resume following final clean-up of pipeline construction. Dakota Access will restore all lands equivalent to adjacent off-ROW lands and will provide compensation for crop loss, diminished productivity, and other damages to farmland. Reclamation and revegetation of croplands impacted by Project construction will be in accordance with applicable easement agreements. Land will be recontoured to pre-existing conditions as practical and disturbed structures, ditches, bridges, culverts, fences, and slopes will be restored. Measures within the AIMP (Exhibit D) will be implemented to minimize potential impacts to agricultural areas.

Access to and work on croplands will be in accordance with all applicable permits and regulations.

23.4 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON POPULATION

Construction of the Project in South Dakota is proposed to commence by February 2016, with an in-service in October 2016 and restoration activities to continue through December 2016. Approximately 1,448 construction personnel at peak construction are anticipated for the pipeline construction spreads in South Dakota. It is estimated that up to approximately 50 percent of the total construction work force could be hired locally. The Project construction period will be relatively short in any given area and most non-local workers will not be accompanied by their families during their employment, therefore should not have impact on local population.

During construction of the Project, there is likely to be a positive impact on income with an estimated \$303 million increase in labor income. Once the pipeline has been built, the yearly operations and maintenance spending will add 12 permanent jobs, approximately \$2 million in labor income, and approximately \$4 million in additional production and sales to the South Dakota economy.

During operations, the small number of potential permanent jobs suggests that the Project will not have long-term impact on income, occupational distribution, or cohesion of the local communities.

23.5 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON TRANSPORTATION

See Section 23.1– Forecast of Impact on Community, Transportation.

23.6 FORECAST OF IMPACT ON CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources surveys were conducted and will continue for the Project in accordance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the guidelines set forth by the South Dakota State Historical Society. The objective of these investigations was to identify and record the extent and temporal affiliation of archaeological resources within the respective survey areas and to assess their potential eligibility for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP).

Consultation with the South Dakota State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) and a scope of work was submitted to the SHPO in August 2014. The methods proposed included a Level III intensive survey for all of the USACE Assumed Permit Areas (APA), and survey of any identified NRHP properties to comply with SDCL 1-19A-11.1. To provide additional information to the SHPO, GIS modeling based on environmental factors and known cultural resources was used to create a predictive model for locations of unidentified cultural resources. A geomorphic desktop assessment was also conducted to identify areas that may require subsurface investigation to identify buried cultural resources. Survey investigations were tailored to this model where possible in conjunction with landowner access permission.

Prior to initiating fieldwork, literature reviews were conducted for the proposed project route and reroutes. The initial review was undertaken in June 2014 at the South Dakota State Archaeological Resources Center (SDSARC) and online at the Archaeological Resources Management System (ARMS). A subsequent review was conducted for a reroute in Minnehaha County in October 2014 utilizing SDSARC and ARMS.

No properties listed in the NRHP are located within a 1-mile radius of the Project centerline. The literature reviews identified 166 previous surveys, 97 archaeological sites, 260 historical structures and, six cemeteries within a 1-mile radius of the Project route. The 97 previously recorded archaeological sites consisted of 61 Native American sites, 33 Euro American sites, two sites with both Native American and Euro American components, and one site that did not have an identified component. Six of the archaeological sites and 11 of the historical structures (all associated with one farmstead) are located within the 400-foot-wide survey corridor. Three of these resources (39SP2003, 39MH2003, and 39LN2013) are eligible for inclusion in the NRHP, but are not located within the proposed Project footprint. One site, previously unassessed, was surveyed and determined to be eligible, but impacts will be avoided via an HDD of an adjacent large waterbody. The remaining sites are but are outside the project footprint.

Archaeological investigations were conducted from August through November 2014. Fieldwork consisted of pedestrian reconnaissance, shovel test excavation and test unit excavation. The artifacts collected during this survey were washed, analyzed, and catalogued.

The ROW for the proposed Project traverses approximately 271.6 miles in South Dakota. Cultural resource surveys have concentrated on the APAs and high and medium probability areas. Approximately 157.9 miles (58 percent) of the survey corridor and a pump station have been surveyed for cultural resources. The remaining 113.7 miles of the Project could not be surveyed due to ongoing landowner negotiations as well as the close of the 2014 fall/winter field season. Additional cultural resource surveys for the remaining unsurveyed tracts, reroutes, access roads and ancillary facilities will be forthcoming provided access is available during the 2015 field season. The surveys will follow the same strategy outlined in this summary.

As of November 2014, a total of 38 cultural resources consisting of 36 archaeological sites and two historical structures were documented within the Project footprint. Of these, thirteen sites have been preliminarily determined to be not eligible for inclusion in the NRHP. These sites consist of artifact scatters, isolated finds, or historic sites that do

not possess adequate data or integrity to meet NRHP criteria. Seventeen sites currently have an undetermined NRHP eligibility status pending the completion of additional archival research and artifact analysis. The remaining eight sites consisting of one newly recorded prehistoric stone circle, one revisited prehistoric campsite (29LN0021), five historic railroads/railroad beds, and one circa 1940s Works Progress Administration (WPA) bridge have been recommended as eligible for inclusion in the NRHP. Reroutes have been evaluated to avoid impacts for the newly recorded prehistoric stone circle in Beadle County. Additionally, site 29LN0021 will be avoided by HDD and the NRHP-eligible WPA bridge will be avoided by boring under the public roadway.

In South Dakota, all railroads are considered eligible for inclusion in the NRHP under Criterion A for their broad contribution to the development of the history of South Dakota. The rails and ties have been removed from each of the sites documented. Upon consultation with the SHPO via email dated September 24, 2014, it was determined that a construction trench could be excavated across the bed, but the bed must be reconstructed at the conclusion of construction. Photographic documentation and a brief context for each of these sites was determined to be an appropriate mitigation measure for the portion of the railroad beds impacted by the project. Dakota Access will comply with the excavation and restoration of these beds; therefore the impact would be negligible.

Reports detailing the results of the comprehensive field investigations will be prepared in accordance with the SHPO Guidelines, including all relevant archaeological site, architectural resource, cemetery, and historic property inventory forms. Background and context overviews; results of the archaeological, and architectural; and recommendations for additional investigations to determine NHRP eligibility and/or avoidance measures will be included. Should potentially eligible or eligible resources not be avoided, a work plan will be submitted for SHPO comment and approval prior to testing or mitigation measures. An Unanticipated Discovery Plan will also be submitted for SHPO approval.

The Project does not cross any federal or state-owned parks, recreation areas, or wildlife management areas within South Dakota. An analysis of natural or scenic areas within the Project corridor included designated scenic outlooks, viewing areas, recreational trail areas, preserves, and byways. No designated natural or scenic areas were identified along the route; therefore impacts to any designated natural or scenic areas are not anticipated from Project construction and operation (Federal Highway Administration, 2014; National Park Service, 2010, 2014a, 2014b; USFWS, 2014).

23.7 REDUCING NEGATIVE IMPACTS ON THE COMMUNITY

The U.S. has the world's largest petroleum pipelines network. Pipelines are the safest, most reliable, and efficient mode of transporting large volumes of crude oil. Pipeline transportation of crude oil involves some risk to the public despite its excellent safety record. The risks include the potential for fire and exposure from the ingestion or inhalation of crude oil or its constituents.

Compliance with federal regulations, the location of valves, spill containment measures, and the FRP will minimize adverse effects to public safety and to the environment. PHMSA promulgates and enforces federal pipeline safety standards for hazardous liquids pipelines at 49 CFR Parts 194 and 195. These regulations are intended to ensure public protection and to prevent accidents and failures. 49 CFR Part 195 specifically addresses

petroleum pipeline safety issues and specifies material selection, qualification, minimum design requirements; and protection from internal, external, and atmospheric corrosion. As noted, PHMSA administers the national regulatory program to ensure safe transportation of crude oil and other hazardous materials by pipelines. PHMSA develops safety regulations and risk management approaches to encompass safety in pipeline design, construction, testing, operation, maintenance, and pipeline facilities emergency response.

Dakota Access has asked for no waivers from PHMSA for the construction and operation of the pipeline. Dakota Access will meet or exceed all federal laws and regulations.

PHMSA prescribes pipeline design and operational requirements that limit the risk of accidental crude oil releases from pipelines. Over the operational life of the proposed pipeline, there will be a very low likelihood of a crude oil release (leak or spill) from the pipeline that could enter surface water resources and drinking water supplies. A Project-specific FRP to PHMSA for approval and will be submitted prior to operation.

Third-party excavation damage and corrosion are the major causes of pipeline releases. Specific preventative measures with respect to both of these issues will be implemented to include:

- High strength steel;
- Cathodic protection;
- Leak detection;
- Installation of signage;
- Public awareness and damage prevention programs;
- Participation in South Dakota One Call Program; and
- Aerial inspections

To mitigate risk from external corrosion, the pipe will be coated with fusion bonded epoxy (FBE). For HDD or thrust-boring operations, an additional topcoat of plant-applied abrasion resistant overcoat will be applied to protect the primary FBE coating from damage.

In addition to these coatings, measures will be implemented to reduce corrosion potential, including an impressed cathodic protection system, tariff specifications that limit sediment and water content. Further, the entire pipeline and all appurtenances will be protected from corrosion by an impressed current cathodic protection system. The pipeline and aboveground facilities, including the pump station as a single unit, will be secured through electrical interconnect and cathodic protection. The criteria for cathodic protection that will be used for this pipeline will correspond with the requirements of 49 CFR Part 195 Subpart H and National Association of Corrosion Engineers Recommended Practice 0169.

Valves aid in minimizing the amount of material that could be released into the environment in the unlikely event of a spill. Isolation valves will be controlled remotely. The placement of valves also involved consideration of the following factors:

- Leak detection and pipeline shutdown capabilities;
- Physical properties of crude oil transported;
- Potential spill volumes and rates of release;
- Elevation profile and runoff potential;
- Proximity to power sources;
- Environmental fate and transport of a spill; and
- Proximity and transport routes to sensitive resources, particularly HCAs.

In the event that a leak is confirmed through verification, pump station shutdown will be initiated within a predetermined amount of time to effectuate. Next, the remotely controlled isolation valves, which are operable from the Central Control Room (CCR), will be closed. The valves have a closure time of no greater than three minutes. The location of valves, spill containment measures, and the FRP will minimize adverse effects to public safety and to the environment.

Federal regulations specify conventions for assessing, evaluating, repairing, and validating the integrity of hazardous liquid pipeline segments that could affect HCAs. As defined by 49 CFR Section 195.450, HCAs are high population areas (urbanized area that contains 50,000 or more people and that has a density of at least 1,000 people per square mile), other populated areas (a place that contains a concentrated population), unusually sensitive areas as defined by 49 CFR Section 195.6 (ecologically sensitive and public drinking water resources), and commercially navigable waterways. Segments of the pipeline that have the potential to affect HCAs are subject to a higher level of operational regulations.

Response plans and strategies cannot be put in place until further in the construction process and after permitting is concluded, due to the calculations required based on the as built project. In all cases those plans and strategies will be consistent with the requirements of 49 CFR Parts 194 and 195, and with state law on the topic. Response plans and strategies for identified HCAs will be developed using GIS technology to determine and model the movement and fate of potential oil spills. The movement and fate model will generate the predicted geographic extent of potential oil spills and will be used to facilitate the development of spill response plans and strategies in advance of pipeline operations.

Response strategies will be identified in the FRP and will be selected based on site-specific conditions and circumstances. For example, pre-planning for a crude oil spill reaching a specific waterbody will include the following information:

- Boat access locations will be pre-selected at strategically accessible points along the stream or water surface from the potential point of entry of the oil spill plume to the maximum estimated downstream travel distance. Similarly, equipment access locations are pre-selected to ensure resources are available to meet these requirements.
- Downstream at-risk resources are identified based upon the potential point of entry of the oil spill plume and the estimated downstream travel distance. Once this analysis

has been completed, site-specific plans and objectives with respect to booming and other counter measures are determined.

- Boom deployment locations, priorities and objectives are established based on access, the extent of the resource, the identification of at-risk resources, and other site-specific considerations, including the efficacy of various boom deployment techniques (e.g., diversion, collection, and cascading).

The above information will be incorporated into the Oil Spill Response Plan (OSRP). The OSRP will be similar in content to the ERP, but will contain Project-specific information. The Project-specific OSRP cannot be finalized until the Project route has been finalized through the USACE and state-permitting processes. The Project's FRP will be completed and filed with PHMSA prior to commencing line fill operations. Coordination with state and local officials to ensure coordination with local and state offices of disaster services as the Plan is further developed.

The specific locations of emergency responders will be determined upon conclusion of the pipeline detailed design and the completion of the FRP. Emergency responders will be consistent with industry practice and in compliance with the applicable regulations, including 49 CFR Parts 194 and 195. Response time to transfer additional resources to a potential leak site will follow an escalating or tier system.

Consistent with industry practice and in compliance with the applicable regulations, including 49 CFR Parts 194 and 195, the types and amount of emergency response equipment based on worst-case discharge volumes that will be pre-position for access will be determined upon conclusion of the pipeline detailed design and the completion of the FRP (Oil Spill Response Plan), but prior to commencing line fill. This plan will be completed in the first quarter of 2016 and submitted to the USDOT PHMSA prior to commencing operations.

Emergency procedures will require CCR operators to shut down the pipeline immediately if abnormal conditions or a leak alarm cannot be positively ruled out as a leak. In the event of a pressure loss, CCR operators will not attempt to re-establish line pressure without having first verified the cause of the loss in conjunction with the field person(s) responsible (i.e., the "Qualified Individual" under 49 CFR Section 194.5). Accordingly, line pressure will only be re-established after appropriate inspection, field verification and receipt of approval to proceed with pipeline pressurization from the Qualified Individual.

Additional procedures then require the notification of field, technical and management personnel. These personnel will assume responsibility and control of the incident, in conjunction with the Qualified Individual, as well as provide further direction with respect to additional investigations and the resumption of pipeline operations. Response organization will follow the industry accepted Incident Command System and will typically consist of personnel both on site and within an established remote or local Emergency Support Center (ESC).

Response efforts are first directed to preventing or limiting any further contamination, once any concerns with respect to health and safety of the responders have been addressed. This is typically accomplished primarily with containment booms and berms. The Incident Commander assumes responsibility for requesting additional resources in

terms of personnel, equipment and materials and selecting the appropriate locations for construction of berms and deployment of booms as well as communicating any additional resource requirements to the ESC Manager.

For land-based spills, containment strategies will include confining the affected site to as small an area as possible; preventing any spilled product from migrating; preventing any spilled product from reaching waterways or waterbodies; and blocking any culverts, manholes, or other possible means for further product migration. With the approval of authorities having jurisdiction, activities such as digging ditches and building berms (e.g., earth, snow, ice, or sorbent materials) will be undertaken on the down slope of the spill site for containment purposes. In some cases it may be possible to use a combination of ditches and berms to divert the overland flow of spilled product to a collection point.

To contain the spilled product within a waterway, efforts are typically directed toward the deployment of containment booms or weirs as close as practical and safe downstream of the of the spill location. With the approval of the authorities having jurisdiction, the Incident Commander assumes responsibility for selecting a suitable location for the deployment of containment booms, based upon the waterway site-specific conditions to ensure the effectiveness of the containment booms.

Containment strategies in waterbodies include confining the spill as close as possible to the spill source; containing the spill prior to it becoming wider and more difficult to effectively contain; preventing the spilled material from reaching rivers, streams and other water bodies; and protecting sensitive areas in the direction of spill movement.

Crude oil is typically recovered from the surface of water and transferred to containment facilities by a combination of mechanical skimming, vacuum recovery and sorbent materials. While typical methods for the recovery and transfer to containment facilities for crude oil spilled on land include vacuum recovery and sorbent materials.

The cleaning of shorelines and other affected natural or manmade structures is typically performed by traditional methods including, wiping, hot water, and low or high pressure wash down and the use of surfactants and emulsifiers or other agents. Water and other liquids used for wash down purposes for onshore applications are typically contained and collected using a combination of ditches and berms as described above. All site-specific cleaning methods and materials to be utilized are subject to the approval of the authorities having jurisdiction.

Oil laden soils are typically either removed or treated with bioremediation in the event intrusive cleanup techniques are not appropriate. These and other methods of clean up including natural recovery, burning, dispersants, and other chemical usage can be considered in accordance with and at the discretion of the authorities having jurisdiction.

Site restoration activities including the sampling and analysis of the remaining soils and water depend upon site-specific conditions and are coordinated with the various federal, state, and local authorities having jurisdiction.

Pipeline operators are required to develop a written IMP that must include methods to measure the program's effectiveness in assessing and evaluating integrity and protecting HCAs. An IMP will be developed for the entire pipeline including the HCAs.

Dakota Access is required to implement an IMP in accordance with 49 CFR Parts 194 and 195. The overall objective of the IMP is to establish and maintain acceptable levels of integrity and having regard to the environment, public and employee safety, regulatory requirements, delivery reliability, and life cycle cost.

The IMP uses advanced in-line inspection and mitigation technologies applied with a comprehensive risk-based methodology. This, in turn initiates appropriate inspection and mitigation activities, while the results from the inspections for known or suspect pipeline integrity issues are used to develop specific integrity maintenance activities.

The maintenance management process provides the integrated framework for developing annual maintenance, scheduling, execution, and plant integrity plans. A critical feedback loop ensures that results and findings from the execution of maintenance tasks, inspections, and repairs are captured and serve as a trigger for reviewing, revising, and enhancing the IMP and annual maintenance plans.

49 CFR Part 195 also requires pipeline operators to develop and implement public awareness programs consistent with the API's Recommended Practice 1162, Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators. The purpose of Recommended Practice 1162 is to enhance pipeline safety and security by increasing the public understanding of the role of pipelines in transporting energy, informing the public how to recognize and respond to a pipeline emergency, and notifying the public of whom to contact in an emergency. Recommended Practice 1162 contains provisions for enhancing liaison with emergency responders and public officials.

24.0 (20:10:22:24) EMPLOYMENT ESTIMATES

See Section 23.1 – Forecast of Impact on Community, Labor Market.

25.0 (20:10:22:25) FUTURE ADDITIONS AND MODIFICATIONS

Future additions and modifications are not currently anticipated.

26.0 (20:10:22:26) NATURE OF PROPOSED ENERGY CONVERSION FACILITY

Not applicable to this Project.

27.0 (20:10:22:27) PRODUCTS TO BE PRODUCED

Not applicable to this Project.

28.0 (20:10:22:28) FUEL TYPE USED

Not applicable to this Project.

29.0 (20:10:22:29) PROPOSED PRIMARY AND SECONDARY FUEL SOURCES AND TRANSPORTATION

Not applicable to this Project.

30.0 (20:10:22:30) ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES

Not applicable to this Project.

31.0 (20:10:22:31) SOLID OR RADIOACTIVE WASTE

Not applicable to this Project.

32.0 (20:10:22:32) ESTIMATE OF EXPECTED EFFICIENCY

Not applicable to this Project.

33.0 (20:10:22:33) DECOMMISSIONING

Sections 20:10:22:33.01 and 20:10:22:33.02 are not applicable to this Project application. However, if/when decommissioning is necessary it will be done pursuant to applicable federal and state laws at the time of decommissioning.

34.0 (20:10:22:34) TRANSMISSION FACILITY LAYOUT AND CONSTRUCTION

Not applicable to this Project.

35.0 (20:10:22:35) INFORMATION CONCERNING TRANSMISSION FACILITIES

Not applicable to this Project.

36.0 (20:10:22:36) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN APPLICATION

Exhibits A, B, C, and D contain Project information referenced throughout this application.

37.0 (20:10:22:37) STATEMENT REQUIRED DESCRIBING GAS OR LIQUID TRANSMISSION LINE STANDARDS OF CONSTRUCTION

The Project is being designed according to USDOT regulations at 49 CFR Part 195, Transportation of Hazardous Liquids by Pipeline; the final design and implementation will meet or exceed all applicable standards.

38.0 (20:10:22:38) GAS OR LIQUID LINE DESCRIPTION

The following section includes information and design specifics of the pipeline.

Pipeline Facilities

The portion of the pipeline in South Dakota is represented from MP 210.1 to MP 481.7. The Project generally will not be co-located with other utility corridor routes in South Dakota due to the lack of existing corridors traversing South Dakota in a northwest to southeast direction.

The pipeline will be constructed of high-strength steel pipe API 5L. The entire pipeline will have a design factor of 0.72. The pipeline will have a nominal 30-inch diameter. Pipe material grade will be X-70 and comply with API 5L-PSL2. Pipe wall thickness will be 0.429 inch (X-70) or 0.625 inch (X-70). To protect against corrosion, Dakota Access will apply an external FBE coating to the pipeline and an impressed cathodic protection system will be used. All material will be manufactured, constructed, and operated in accordance with applicable regulations.

Launcher/Receivers

All pipeline segments will allow the passage of internal inspection devices, which are capable of detecting internal and external anomalies in the pipe such as corrosion, dents, and scratches. Internal inspection of pipelines has been largely responsible for reducing pipeline incident frequencies over the past decade. Pig L/Rs are designed to launch and receive these internal inspection devices. All pig L/Rs and MLVs will be above-ground fabricated settings which will have a design factor of 0.5 and a pipe wall thickness of 0.625 inch (X-70). The L/Rs will be located along the Project as identified in Exhibits A2, A3, and A4.

Pump Station

One pump station is proposed in South Dakota in Spink County (location provided in Project maps provided in Exhibit A4). The pump station site will be acquired in fee from the landowner. The station is approximately nine acres and located on the pipeline route. It will be designed and constructed to meet the requirements of the National Electric Code and API 500. Each station will be fenced and contain three pumps driven by electric motors, an electrical and controls building, electrical substation, a surge tank, a communications tower, and parking area for station personnel.

Electricity will be used for all pumps, lights, and heating in the buildings; the electricity required will be purchased from local power providers. The station will be fully automated for unmanned operation. Remote start/stop, set point controls, unit monitoring equipment, and station information will be installed at each location. The pipe entering and exiting the pump station will be located below grade; however, some of the piping within the fenced in pump station will be above-ground.

Backup power at the pump stations will consist of batteries to maintain communications between the pump station and the pipeline control center and to provide lighting and power for minor facility procedures if the local utility power supply is disrupted.

The primary communication system is cellular phone, with a self-supporting radio and antenna mast. The secondary communication system is VSAT (Hughes), with a self-supporting satellite dish / mast. Each communication system will relay data from all remotely operated sites to a Central Control Center as part of the pipeline Supervisory Control And Data Acquisition system. At pump stations, L/R, and MLV sites, both a mast to receive signals from the cellular phone and a satellite dish to communicate with the pipeline Central Control Center will be installed.

38.1 DESIGN CAPACITY

A process flow diagram for the South Dakota segment of the Project can be found within Exhibit B.

38.2 CHANGES IN FLOW

The Project is construction of new pipeline; therefore this section is not applicable to this permit application.

38.3 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

The design of the pipeline system is based on a maximum 1,340 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) discharge pressure at the pump station with some sections exposed to slightly higher pressures due to the combined discharge pressure and hydrostatic head for pipe segments located at elevations lower than relative pump stations. The result is that the maximum operating pressure of the entire pipeline is 1,440 psig to allow a consistent maximum discharge pressure from the Project pump station, optimized for efficiency at nominal flow capacity.

38.4 COMPRESSOR STATIONS

The Project does not include compressor stations.

38.5 STORAGE FACILITIES

The Project does not include storage facilities.

39.0 (20:10:22:39) TESTIMONY AND EXHIBITS

Dakota Access simultaneously with the filing of this application has moved the commission for an order waiving the requirement to file testimony with the application. Dakota Access expects to and will file testimony consistent with any scheduling order which the commission enters and will provide a host of witnesses to testify at any hearing as to the contents of the application.

40.0 (20:10:22:40) APPLICATION FOR PARTY STATUS

No information requested by the rule to be included within the application.

REFERENCES

- Administrative Rules of South Dakota. Article 74 Chapter Surface Water Quality. <http://legis.sd.gov/Rules/DisplayRule.aspx?Rule=74:51:03:07>. Accessed November 2014.
- Federal Highway Administration. 2014. America's Byways. <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/byways/>. Accessed November 2014
- Galloway, D., D.R. Jones, and S.E. Ingebritsen. 2005. Land Subsidence in the United States. US Geological Survey Circular 1182.
- National Park Service. 2014a. Find a Park. <http://www.nps.gov/findapark/index.htm>. Accessed November 2014.
- National Park Service. 2014b. Explore Designated Rivers by State. <http://www.rivers.gov/map.php>. Accessed November 2014.
- National Park Service. 2010. National Trails Map. <http://www.nps.gov/nts/maps/National%20Trails%20map.pdf>. Accessed November 2014.
- Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2014. Prime and Important Farmlands. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/ak/soils/surveys/?cid=nrcs142p2_035988. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Department of Agriculture. 2014. Noxious Weeds & Declared Pest List. [tp://sdda.sd.gov/ag-services/weed-and-pest-control](http://sdda.sd.gov/ag-services/weed-and-pest-control). Accessed October 2014.
- South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources. 2014a. Wellhead Protection Program. http://denr.sd.gov/des/gw/Wellhead/Wellhead_Protection.aspx. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources. 2014b. The 2014 South Dakota Integrated Report for Surface Water Quality Assessment. <http://denr.sd.gov/documents/14irfinal.pdf>. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources. 2009. Geology of Brookings and Kingsbury Counties, South Dakota. Geological Survey Program. <http://www.sdgs.usd.edu/pubs/pdf/B-40.pdf>. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Department of Environment and Natural Resources. 1998. Mixing Zone and Dilution Implementation Procedures. http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/standards/wqslibrary/upload/2003_11_21_standards_wqslibrary_sd_sd_8_zone_dil.pdf. Accessed December 2014.
- South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks. 2014a. 2013 Fish Stocking Report. <http://gfp.sd.gov/fishing-boating/tacklebox/lake-surveys/fish-stocking.aspx#JL>. Accessed November 2014.

- South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks. 2014b. Statewide Fisheries & Aquatic Resources 2014-2018 Strategic Plan. <http://gfp.sd.gov/fishing-boating/docs/fisheries-strategic-plan.pdf> . Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks. 2014c. Northern River Otter. <http://gfp.sd.gov/wildlife/management/diversity/river-otter.aspx>. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Game, Fish, and Parks. 2014d. Fishing and Boating Access. <http://gfp.sd.gov/fishing-boating/fishing-access.aspx>. Accessed November and December 2014.
- South Dakota Game, Fish and Parks. 2011. Guide to the Fishes of South Dakota. <http://gfp.sd.gov/fishing-boating/tacklebox/docs/FishesofSD-pub/index.html> (Accessed November 2014).
- South Dakota Geological Survey. 2014. Sand, Gravel, and Construction Aggregate Mining. <http://arcgis.sd.gov/server/denr/conagg/>. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Geological Survey. 2004a. Bedrock Geologic Map. <http://www.sdgs.usd.edu/pubs/pdf/G-09.pdf>. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Geological Survey. 2004b. Geology of Lincoln and Union Counties, South Dakota. <http://www.sdgs.usd.edu/pubs/pdf/B-39txt.pdf>. Accessed November 2014.
- South Dakota Natural Heritage Program. 2014. Rare, threatened, and endangered species occurrence records within 2-mile radius of Dakota Access pipeline. Received July 2014.
- South Dakota Rural Water Systems. 2014. South Dakota Rural Water Systems. <http://www.sdarws.com/watersystems.aspx>. Accessed December 2014.
- The Paleontology Portal. 2003. Exploring Time and Space. http://www.paleoportal.org/index.php?globalnav=time_space§ionnav=state&name=South%20Dakota. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1987. Wetland Delineation Manual. (Wetland Research Program Technical Report Y-87-1) Waterways Experiment Station, Corps of Engineers, Vicksburg, MS. 92 pp.
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2014a. Ecological Subregions of the United States. Prairie Parkland (Temperate) Province. <http://www.fs.fed.us/land/ecosysmgmt/colorimagemap/images/251.html>. Accessed November 2014
- U.S. Department of Agriculture. 2014b. Ecological Subregions of the United States. Great Plains Steppe Province. <http://www.fs.fed.us/land/ecosysmgmt/colorimagemap/images/332.html>. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2014. South Dakota 303(d) Listed Waters for Reporting Year 2014.

- http://iaspub.epa.gov/waters10/attains_impaired_waters.impaired_waters_list?p_state=SD&p_cycle=2014 . Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2014. National Wildlife Refuge System Map. http://www.fws.gov/refuges/maps/NWRS_National_Map.pdf. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2014. Documentation for the 2014 Update of the United States National Seismic Hazard Maps. <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2014/1091/pdf/ofr2014-1091.pdf>. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2013a. Ecoregions of South Dakota. <http://www.npwrc.usgs.gov/resource/habitat/ndsdeco/sodak.htm>. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2013b. Landslide Overview Map of the Conterminous United States. <http://pubs.usgs.gov/pp/p1183/pp1183.html#dakotam>. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2011. 2011 Minerals Yearbook: South Dakota. <http://minerals.usgs.gov/minerals/pubs/state/sd.html>. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2007. EHP: Explanation of Parameters. <http://eqint.cr.usgs.gov/parm.php?PHPSESSID=r7lo2vohmtl7n4thefmf38cd35>. Accessed October 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2005. South Dakota Geologic Map Data. mrdata.usgs.gov/geology/state/state.php?state=SD. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2004a. A Tapestry of Time and Terrain. <http://tapestry.usgs.gov/>. Accessed November 2014. <http://tapestry.usgs.gov/>. Accessed November 2014.
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2004b. Digital Engineering Aspects of Karst. <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2004/1352/>. Accessed November 2014.