Listed Exhibit: 23

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE)	
APPLICATION OF DAKOTA)	HP14-002
ACCESS, LLC FOR AN ENERGY)	
FACILITY PERMIT TO)	ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBE
CONSTRUCT THE DAKOTA)	RESPONSE TO DAKOTA
ACCESS PIPELINE PROJECT)	ACCESS LLC
	INTERROGATORIES (4 Th Set)

TO: Dakota Access and its attorneys:
Brett Koenecke
Kara Semmler
503 South Pierre, Street
PO Box 106
Pierre, SD 57501
brett@mayadam.net
kara@mayadam.net

INTERROGATORY NO. 1: Do you contend that, if constructed and operated according to the filed application and exhibits, the Dakota Access pipeline will deplete, contaminate or endanger the supply of water available for the Rosebud Sioux Tribe Rural Water System? If so, explain how.

ANSWER AND OBJECTION: The Rosebud Sioux Tribe objects to the Interrogatory on the ground that the question calls for speculation in that a true risk assessment that takes into account the nature and quantity of Tribal *Winter's Rights* has not been performed. Without a proper risk assessment being performed the question cannot be answered.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2: Provide the name of the water system which supplies the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Water System and provide the location of that water system's intakes.

ANSWER: The Rosebud Sioux Tribe participates in the operation of the Mni Wiconi Rural Water Supply Project which one-sixth of the State of South Dakota. The name of the

water system is the Mni Wiconi Rural Water Supply Project. Intakes are located along the Missouri River Oahe Reservoir.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: Does the Rosebud Sioux Tribal Rural Water System sell water to anyone other than those that reside within the Reservation? If so, how many customers reside outside the reservation?

ANSWER AND OBJECTION: The Tribe objects to the sought information on the grounds that the sought answer is not relevant to the applicant's burden of proof.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4: Has the Rosebud Sioux Tribe ever sold or otherwise transferred all or a portion of its water rights to any third person for a Consideration? If so please generally describe.

ANSWER AND OBJECTION: The Tribe objects to the sought information on the grounds that the sought answer is not relevant to the applicant's burden of proof.

Dated this 1st day of September, 2015.

/s/ Matthew L. Rappold Matthew L. Rappold

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that he caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing Responses to Discovery (4th set) to be sent electronically in the above captioned action to the following at their last known addresses, to-wit:

May, Adam, Gerdes and Thompson, LLP. Brett Koenecke
Kara Semmler
503 South Pierre, Street
PO Box 106
Pierre, SD 57501
brett@mayadam.net
kara@mayadam.net

/s/ Matthew L. Rappold Matthew L. Rappold