

Melissa Wipf

From: James E. Moore
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 9:33 AM
To: pcapossela@nu-world.com
Cc: William Taylor; Melissa Wipf
Subject: FW: TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, HP 14-001
Attachments: TC responses to SRST 2nd set of FPD (01856662x9FB59).PDF; TC responses to SRST 2nd set of IRFPD (01856651x9FB59).PDF; TC's Supplemental Responses to SRST First IRFPD. (01856639x9FB59).PDF; second round discovery production HP 14-001 PUC (01856501x9FB59).PDF

Mr. Capossela,

We received and reviewed your motion for discovery sanctions this morning. You state that Keystone did not object to or answer Interrogatory No. 52. Our responses to your second set of interrogatories were served on March 10, as indicated below. The objection to Interrogatory No. 52 contained in our responses to your second set of interrogatories is attached as an exhibit to your motion. Can you please clarify the statement on page 7 of your brief that "Keystone neither objected nor answered the question, in violation of South Dakota law"? Thanks.

James E. Moore
300 S. Phillips Ave., Suite 300
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5027
(605) 336-3890 fax (605) 339-3357
direct dial (605) 978-0613
james.moore@woodsfuller.com
www.woodsfuller.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Melissa Wipf
Sent: Wednesday, March 25, 2015 9:24 AM
To: James E. Moore
Subject: FW: TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, HP 14-001

-----Original Message-----

From: Melissa Saker
Sent: Tuesday, March 10, 2015 2:37 PM
To: 'pcapossela@nu-world.com'; 'chaseironeyes@gmail.com'
Subject: TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, HP 14-001

On behalf of James E. Moore, please see attached.

Melissa A. Wipf
Legal Administrative Assistant

