KEYSTONE PIPELINE PROJECT - U.S. PHASE IV (STEELE CITY SEGMENT) PIPELINE ROUTE VARIATION FORM							
1 VARIATION TYPE: Refir	nement:		Reroute:	Х			
Centerline:	x	Valve Site:		Di	ımp Station:		
2		valve Site.		10	imp Station.		
LOCATION: Sketo	ch: A	ttached	Pictures:	See attached.			
	County: Haakon	_	Quad Map:	N/A			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Range: 20E			See attached map she			
Section: 10, 15	Centerline	3/26/2010	MP:	446.85	to	447.47	
REASON FOR ROUTE VARIATION (Please include reason for route variation):							
The PIs in the current centerline at ~MP 4 investigation, it was found that the road ne eliminate PIs and shorten the centerline.	46.8 and ~MP 447.5 we	ere made to square up for			-		
The proposed reroute starts at the PI near			line It enetions	a in this discretion	f 2 420 ft t	inin tha	
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include any additional impacts which may affect cost; crossings, induction bends, etc.):  The proposed reroute is 165 ft shorter than the current centerline. It eliminates 4 horizontal fittings, the cost savings for the fittings and their installation is not estimated in the costs below.							
Is there an increase/decrease in the numb	er of crossings?		Yes		No	X	
If yes, please list:	3				_		
COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or save	d from the route variation						
Additional length of route realignment		ft		\$ (59,400.00)		60/ft	
Additional length of side-hill construction:		ft		\$ - \$ -		9/ft	
Additional length of wetland construction: Additional bore length (Road, RR):		ft		\$ - \$ -		95/ft 40/ft	
Additional foreign line/pipeline crossings:			:A	\$ -		60,000/EA	
Additional water body crossing (streams,	oonds, etc.):			Ψ	Ψ.	0,000/2/	
	35 - 65' +	E	:A	\$ -	\$ 1	85,000/EA	
	10' - 19'	E	:A	\$ -		7,250/EA	
	Less than 10'	E	:A	\$ -	\$ 3	2,500/EA	
Additional survey required:							
	Civil:	0.59 n	nile	\$ 2,950.00	\$ 5	i,000/mile	
	Cultural:	0.59 n	nile	\$ 1,475.00	\$ 2	,500/mile	
Bio	ological:	0.59 m	nile	\$ 1,652.00	\$ 2	2,800/mile	
Miscellaneous costs saved or added due to route variation from ADDITIONAL IMPACTS listed above:							
Overall estimated costs of the route variat	ion:	\$	(53,323)	(See "Additiona	l Impacts" abo	ve)	

4			
LAND / UNIVERSAL FIELD Doug Reichley			
a) Is a new landowner affected by the proposed variation?	Yes		No X
b) Is proposed realignment outside the easement/workspace?	Yes	X	No
c) Is realignment proposed to satisfy landowner request?	Yes		No X
-If yes, name of landowner(s)/track number(s):			
ii yoo, namo oi tanaomio (o) aaok nambo (o).			
d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes	X	No
If no, please explain why:			
5			
ENGINEERING/CONSTRUCTION / STATE PM David Guien			
a) Maximum deviation perpendicular to proposed alignment:		290	ff
	V	200	
b) Has the centerline been staked for construction?	Yes		No X
c) Does route variation affect HDD crossing alignment?	Yes		No X
d) Is realignment proposed for engineering/construction reasons?	Yes	X	No
e) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?	Yes		No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes		No
	100		
If no, please explain why:			
6			
ENVIRONMENTAL / TROW Jonathan Minton			
a) Has the corridor been environmentally surveyed?	Yes	X	No
b) Has the proposed variation been environmentally surveyed?	Yes		No X
c) Was variation proposed to satisfy environmental issues?	Yes		No X
	Yes		No X
d) Was realignment proposed to satisfy agency request?	165		
-If yes, name of agency(s):			
e) Environmental features:			
	_		
Added (+): Unknown	Subtracted (-):	NA	
Wetland ID # for a publican set of wetlands			
Wetland ID # for newly impacted wetlands:			
Wetland ID # for newly impacted wetlands:	Yes	X	No
	Yes	X	No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes	X	No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes	X	No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes	X	No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  7  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  Sandra Gigovic			
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  7  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?	Yes		No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   **ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS**  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?	Yes Yes		No X No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The example of the specific discipline?  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?	Yes Yes		No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   **ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS**  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?	Yes Yes		No X No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   **Temory Comparison of C	Yes Yes eri Yes		No X No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes Yes eri Yes		No X No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The explain is a specific discipline?  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station? b) Will route variation impact hydraulics? c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:	Yes Yes eri Yes		No X No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   **TemGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS**  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing? N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:	Yes Yes eri Yes		No X No X
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The explain of the explain why:  Sandra Gigovic  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station? b) Will route variation impact hydraulics? c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline? If no, please explain why:  Bud Andersen	Yes Yes eri Yes Yes		No X No X No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The example of the specific discipline of the specific discipline?  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  Bud Andersen  a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?	Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The explain of the specific discipline?  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station? b) Will route variation impact hydraulics? c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  Bud Andersen	Yes Yes eri Yes Yes		No X No X No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The example of the specific discipline of the specific discipline?  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  Bud Andersen  a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?	Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   The example of the specific discipline of the specific discipline?  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL  a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?  b) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder groups?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   8  STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL  Bud Andersen  a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?  b) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder groups?  c) Was the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?  -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder database):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   8  STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL  a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?  b) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder groups?  c) Was the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?  -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder database):  d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station?  b) Will route variation impact hydraulics?  c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:   8  STAKEHOLDER RELATIONS / TCPL  Bud Andersen  a) Does the variation result in any new stakeholders?  b) Does the variation require follow-up with specific stakeholder groups?  c) Was the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?  -If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder database):	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:  ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS  a) Will the route variation require the relocation of a pump station? b) Will route variation impact hydraulics? c) Are additional valves required at HCA's or water crossing?  N/A to PS Engine d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline? If no, please explain why:  BUD Andersen a) Does the variation regulire follow-up with specific stakeholder groups? c) Was the variation proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?  If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder database): d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No No No No
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    Tendine   Facilities   Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10	No X No X No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    Tendine   Facilities   Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes		No X No X No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    TendineEring / Facilities and Hydraulics	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10	No X No X No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    Temple	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 Received by:	No X No X No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    Table   Table   Table   Table	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 Received by: Date:	No X No X No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    Temple	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 Received by: Date:	No X No X No N
f) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:    Facilities   Sandra Gigovic	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 Received by: Date:	No X No X No N

0181-SD-P2-446.8-447.5-S

KEYSTONE PIPELINE PROJECT - U.S. PHASE IV (STEELE CITY SEGMENT) ROUTE VARIATION AUTHORIZATION FORM					
Date: 9/23/2010  Description: Reroute to eliminate PIs and shorten the centerline.	Tracking Number:         0181-SD-P2-446.8-447.5-S           MP:         446.9         to         447.5           Originated By:         Engineering				
Universal Field - Land Doug Reichley	Variation Form Attached: Yes X No Variation: Approved X Rejected				
Comments:	Doug Reichley Date: 9/28/2010  If Rejected Why?				
State PM - Construction / Eng. David Guien	Variation: Approved X Rejected				
Comments:	David Guien Date: 10/25/2010  If Rejected Why?				
<u>Trow - Environmental</u> Jonathan Minton	Variation: Approved X Rejected				
Comments: Biological and cultural surveys will need to be completed.	Jonathan Minton Date: 9/24/2010  If Rejected Why?				
Project Management Butch Wallace	Variation: Approved X Rejected				
Comments:	R.E. Wallace Date: 9/24/2010  If Rejected Why?				
<u>Stakeholder Relations</u> Bud Andersen	Variation: Approved Rejected				
Comments:	If Rejected Why?				
Facilities: Sandra Gigovic	Variation: Approved X Rejected				
Comments:	Sandra Gigovic Date: 10/19/2010  If Rejected Why?				
<u>TransCanada:</u> Alan Lietz	Variation: Approved X Rejected				
Comments:	Alan Lietz Date: 10/26/2010  If Rejected Why?				
Forward to: Butch Wallace X Jonathar David Guien X Bud And Doug Reichley X Sandra G	ersen X				
Dispute Resolution, if Required: Yes  Comments:	No No No				
Database - Filed By: Date:	Database - Filed By: Date:				
Fax to: ?	Fax to: ?				

