KEYSTONE PIPELINE PROJECT - U.S. PHASE IV (STEELE CITY PIPELINE ROUTE VARIATION FORM	SEGMENT)	
VARIATION TYPE: Pump Station X		
Does this change require Centerline variation? Yes	No <u>X</u>	
LOCATION (Final PS Location): Sketch: Attached Pict	ures:	
State:         SD         Township:         95N         Quad           County:         Tripp         Range:         74W         Aerial		
Section:         26         Legal (Initial PS loc.)         16-95N-74W         Initial PS MP         591.9           3	Final PS MP 594.7 March 26th, 2010 CL	
REASON FOR PUMP STATION RELOCATION (Please include reason for move):		
The Colome Pump Station was previously located on Graesser Family TR (ML-SD-TR-11970.000) which Station is proposed to be relocated onto a willing landowner (Bernard & Betty Seegers, Trustees).	was a denial for the Pump Station. Colome Pump	
DETAILS OF NEW PUMP STATION LOCATION AND ROUTE VARIATION, IF APPLICABLE (Please of It is proposed to relocate Colome Pump Station ~2.8 miles downstream onto the northeast corner of sec	·	
access road (CAR-139) will be constructed from 331st Ave into the pump station area.		
ADDITIONAL IMPACTS (Please include any additional impacts which may affect cost; routing to pump station, hydraulic impacts, etc.):		
The size of the proposed Pump Station (PS-21) is increased to 8.4 acres from the previous site of 5.0 ac estimated in these costs.	eres. The cost of construction of access road is not	
COST ANALYSIS (costs incurred or saved from the route variation)		
Additional Acreage of the proposed Pump Station site 3.4 acres	\$ 13,600.00 \$4,000/ac	
Additional length of route realignment:ft.  Additional length of side-hill construction: ft.	\$ - \$ 360/ft \$ - \$ 19/ft	
Additional length of wetland construction:ft.	\$ - \$ 195/ft	
Additional bore length (Road, RR):  Additional foreign line/pipeline crossings:  EA	\$ - \$ 540/ft \$ - \$ 30,000/EA	
Additional waterbody crossing (streams, ponds, etc.):		
35' - 65' +EA 10' - 35'EA	\$ - \$185,000/EA \$ - \$77,250/EA	
Less than 10'EA	\$ - \$ 32,500/EA	
Additional survey required:  Civil: mile	\$ - \$5,000/mile	
Cultural: mile	\$ - \$ 2,500/mile	
Biological:mile  Additional length of power line construction: ft.	\$ - \$ 2,800/mile \$ - \$ 25/ft	
Miscellaneous costs saved or added due to new pump station location from ADDITIONAL IMPACTS liste		
Overall estimated costs of the new pump station location: \$ 13.	600	
LAND / UNIVERSAL FIELD Doug Reichley	V V	
a) Is a new landowner affected by proposed Pump Station relocation?      b) Is the new landowner agreeable to the proposed Pump Station location?	Yes         X         No           Yes         X         No	
c) Is relocation proposed to satisfy landowner concerns?  - If yes, name of landowner(s)/track number(s):  ML-SD-TR	Yes X No	
- ii yes, name oi iamoowner(s)/uack number(s).	-11970.000 (Graesser Family TR)	
d) Has all the evaluation criteria been examined/provided for this specific discipline?  If no, please explain why:	Yes No	
II IIV, piease explain wity.		
5  ENGINEERING/CONSTRUCTION Devid Guien		
a) Maximum deviation perpendicular to proposed alignment:	ft. Yes No X	
b) Is relocation proposed for engineering/construction reasons?     c) Will site require noise mitigation measures?	Yes         No         X           Yes         No	
6 ENVIRONMENTAL / TROW Jonathan Minton		
a) Has the previous pump station been environmentally surveyed?	Yes X No	
b) Has the proposed pump station been environmentally surveyed?     c) Was new pump station location proposed to satisfy environmental issues?	Yes         X         No           Yes         No         X	
d) Was pump station relocation proposed to satisfy agency request?	Yes No X	
-If yes, name of agency(s):  d) Environmental features:		
Added (+): NA Subtracte	d (-): NA	
POWER INFRASTRUCTURE TECHNICAL Larry Sibbald		
a) Will the new pump station location involve a new utility provider?      b) Will the new pump station location result in a significant change to the power line	Yes No	
routing to the station? If yes, by how many miles?	miles	
c) Are there any apparent obstacles to routing a power line to the new station?  If yes, please list:	Yes No	
8		
ENGINEERING / FACILITIES AND HYDRAULICS Sandra Gigovic a) Is the proposed Pump Station area acceptable for PS design?	Yes X No	
b) Will pump station relocation impact hydraulics?	Yes X No	
c) Will new pump station location affect valve placement around HCA arr N/A to PS Engineer	Yes No	
a) Does the proposed pump station location result in any new stakeholders?	Yes No	
b) Does it require follow-up with specific stakeholder groups?	Yes No No	
c) Was the new pump station location proposed to satisfy stakeholder request?	Yes No	
-If yes, please specify issue type (as it aligns to stakeholder database):  10	11	
Originator: Land	Received by: Centerline Coordinator	
Date: 10/5/2010	Date: 10/5/2010 Fax to: ?	
12 Assigned Tracking Number: 0186-SD-P2-594.7-594.7-S	13 Filed by: Centerline Coordinator	

## KEYSTONE PIPELINE PROJECT PIPELINE ROUTE VARIATION AUTHORIZATION FORM

KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE PROJECT PHASE - STEELE CITY SEGMENT ROUTE VARIATION AUTHORIZATION FORM		
Date:	Tracking Number: 0186-SD-P2-594.7-594.7-S	
Description: Relocation of PS-21.	Originated By: Land	
Universal Field Services - Land Doug Reichley	Variation Form Attached:         Yes         X         No           Variation:         Approved         X         Rejected	
Comments:	Doug Reichley Date: 10/5/2010	
	If Rejected Why?	
Construction / Engineering David Guien  Comments:	Variation:         Approved         X         Rejected           David Guien         Date:         10/25/2010	
	If Rejected Why?	
Trow - Environmental Jonathan Minton	Variation: Approved X Rejected	
Comments:	Jonathan Minton Date: 10/8/2010  If Rejected	
	Why?	
AECOM - Risk Assesment Heidi Tillquist	Variation: Approved Rejected	
Comments:	Name? Date:	
	If Rejected	
	Why?	
Power Infrastructure Technical Larry Sibbald Comments:	Variation: Approved Rejected	
Comments.	If Rejected	
	Why?	
Project Management Butch Wallace	Variation: Approved X Rejected	
Comments:	R.E. Wallace Date: 10/6/2010	
	If Rejected Why?	
Stakeholder Relations Bud Anderson	Variation: Approved Rejected	
Comments:	Name? Date:	
	Why?	
Facilities: Sandra Gigovic	Variation: Approved X Rejected	
Comments: Final hydraulic check to be completed once	Sandra Gigovic Date: 10/19/2010	
the pipe routing & other PS MPs are finalized.	If Rejected Why?	
<u>TransCanada:</u> Alan Lietz	Variation: Approved X Rejected	
Comments:	Alan Lietz Date: 10/26/2010  If Rejected	
	Why?	
Forward to: Butch Wallace X Jonathan	Minton X Heidi Tillquist X	
David Guien X Bud Ande Doug Reichley X Sandra G	ersen X Larry Sibbald X	
Dispute Resolution, if Required: Yes	No	
Comments:	Teleconference Required: Yes No Decision:	
Database - ?	Database - ?	
Filed By:	Filed By:	
Date: Fax to: ?	Date: Fax to: ?	

FORM 2

0186-SD-P2-594.7-594.7-S





