
From: Harry Fenton
Sent: Monday, July 21, 2008 8:29 AM
To: Nicole Wershler
Cc: Evan Vokes; Trent Bertholet; Gerard Lalonde; Alan Anderson; Brian Gacek; Dave Pragnell; David Morgan; Harvey Motowylo; Gail Friesen; Garry Norton
Subject: FW: NCR TCPL 2007 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70
Attachments: NCR TCPL 2008 63,64,65,66,67,68,69,70.pdf; RE: NCR TCPL 2007 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70

Beyond the question of sign off on these NCR's is the strong indication of systemic problems for TransCanada fabrication in the U.S.

If we don't speak to the root cause we can expect to see these issues repeated.

Harry Fenton



Fabrication Program Manager
Facilities / Pipeline Projects
T: 780-962-7345
F: 780-962-7377
C: 780-232-7880

From: Nicole Wershler
Sent: Friday, July 18, 2008 3:26 PM
To: Evan Vokes; Trent Bertholet; Gerard Lalonde; Alan Anderson; Brian Gacek; Dave Pragnell; Harry Fenton; David Morgan
Cc: Harvey Motowylo
Subject: NCR TCPL 2007 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70

Hello Everyone,

The 2007 Sagebrush NCRS have been on hold since early 2008. I have been granted approval to proceed with closure on the attached NCRS. Can you please review the attached documents and provide me with direction on how you would like for me to proceed? Do these require a technical sign off? Or have these matters already been resolved outside of the NCR process when addressing the payment issues with Sagebrush?

Please let me know if you require any additional information. I look forward to receiving your response.

Thank you!

Nicole Wershler
Services Specialist
Supplier Management

450 1st Street SW
Calgary, Alberta T2P 5H1
Telephone: 403.920.6594
Fax: 403.920.5402
E-mail: nicole_wershler@transcanada.com

NONCONFORMANCE REPORT Rev 2 (08/

Co. A does design
off our drawings
& creates a Bill of
Materials & David
does the requisition



SUPPLIER Sagebrush		TC NCR NO. 2007-63	
COMPONENT / EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION NPS 36 pipe r		PROJECT NO. P2075405	
SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE (PERSON FORM ISSUED TO) Bryan Lunger		PURCHASE ORDER NO. 157153	
TC SPECIFICATION/INDUSTRY STANDARD - CLAUSE NO.			
DESCRIPTION OF NONCONFORMANCE (INCLUDE P.O.ITEM NO. AND UNIQUE IDENTIFIER if applicable)			
GTN supplied Sagebrush with 560-ft of NPS 36 x 0.643" wall thickness pipe to be used for the Ehrenberg Station fabrication. Instead of using the pipe for the Ehrenberg however Sagebrush used the pipe for SoCal fabrication which requires NPS 36 0.592" wall thickness pipe. The resulted in a shortage of the SoCal pipe and necessitated a last minute design change using 228-ft of 0.493" pipe south of the 14 th Ave. crossing and 198-ft North of the fence inside SoCal. If these change had not been possible the project would have been delayed.			
Reported By: Print Name David Morgan			Signature
Company/Title Buyer - GTN		YY 07	MM 11
		DD 29	Expected Response Date
SUPPLIER NONCONFORMANCE RESPONSE (PLEASE PRINT YOUR RESPONSE, ADDRESSING THE THREE POINTS BELOW)			
1. MATERIAL DISPOSITION (I.E. USE AS IS, REPAIR/REWORK, REJECT/SCRAP)			
2. ADDRESS EXTENT OF NONCONFORMANCE (I.E. ISOLATED CONDITION, RECURRING PROBLEM, RE-INSPECTION REQUIRED)			
3. CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE			
1. Material was used as stated in above GTN statement.			
2. This was an isolated occurrence.			
3. Better communication lines were developed between Sagebrush and GTN as to the use or non use of customer supplied material.			
Proposed by: Print Name Doug Pruitt		Signature	Company/Title QA/QC Manager
		YY 07	MM 12
		DD 21	
TC SUPPLIER RESPONSE REVIEW APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> CONDITIONALLY APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
Technical Review Required? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
TC Technical Authorization: Print Name		Signature	Company/Title
			YY MM DD
Supplier Management Authorization: Print Name		Signature	Company/Title
			YY MM DD
Distribution:	Signatories <input type="checkbox"/>	3PI <input type="checkbox"/>	SM File <input type="checkbox"/>
		NCR Log <input type="checkbox"/>	Other <input type="checkbox"/>

If required please note additional sheets on form above. Attachments shall reference the P.O., project/NCR#, date and section title.

NONCONFORMANCE REPORT Rev 2 (08/13/04)

SUPPLIER Sagebrush		TC NCR NO.	
COMPONENT / EQUIPMENT DESCRIPTION: 36" valve assemblies (J-23) stem extensions installations: loose connections		2007-64	
SUPPLIER REPRESENTATIVE (PERSON FORM ISSUED TO) Bryan Lunger		PROJECT NO.	
TC SPECIFICATION/INDUSTRY STANDARD – CLAUSE NO. TCPL Technical agreement with Cameron valves, Copy provided to Sagebrush. August/07 TCPL spec: TES-VALV-LD-US		PURCHASE ORDER NO. J-23	
DESCRIPTION OF NONCONFORMANCE (INCLUDE P.O. ITEM NO. AND UNIQUE IDENTIFIER if applicable)			
1. stem extension ancillary piping (sealant risers, body bleed risers) was supplied by Cameron and attached to the valve by Sagebrush. As received, the connections were loose to an extent that they'd be unlikely to hold line pressure, some were approximately hand tight only. This is an issue with Sagebrush, as their responsibility is to assemble and test the extension and piping to the valve prior to shipment. The body bleed unions were hand tight and some of the threaded nipples on the sealant lines were not tight. The valve technician on site noticed this when grease was pumped in the sealant lines and grease was coming out at the unions and nipples. All the connections were then checked and not all connections were found to be tight.			
2. two valves did not have spare nameplates attached			
			Expected Response Date
Reported By: Print Name Gerard Lalonde	Signature	Company/Title TCPL project engineer	YY MM DD 2007 12 01
SUPPLIER NONCONFORMANCE RESPONSE (PLEASE PRINT YOUR RESPONSE, ADDRESSING THE THREE POINTS BELOW)			
1. MATERIAL DISPOSITION (I.E. USE AS IS, REPAIR/REWORK, REJECT/SCRAP)			
2. ADDRESS EXTENT OF NONCONFORMANCE (I.E. ISOLATED CONDITION, RECURRING PROBLEM, RE-INSPECTION REQUIRED)			
3. CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECURRENCE			
1. Assembly was repaired in the field by GTN.			
2. This is an isolated condition and re-inspection would have been required in the field.			
3. Sagebrush employees were made aware and re-trained on these items for current and future projects.			
Proposed by: Print Name Doug Pruitt	Signature	Company/Title QA/QC Manager	YY MM DD 07 12 21
TC SUPPLIER RESPONSE REVIEW APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> CONDITIONALLY APPROVED <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/>			
Technical Review Required? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>			
TC Technical Authorization: Print Name	Signature	Company/Title	YY MM DD
Supplier Management Authorization: Print Name	Signature	Company/Title	YY MM DD
Distribution:	Signatories <input type="checkbox"/>	3PI <input type="checkbox"/>	SM File <input type="checkbox"/> NCR Log <input type="checkbox"/> Other <input type="checkbox"/>

If required please note additional sheets on form above. Attachments shall reference the P.O., project/NCR#, date and section title.