

**From:** Casey Snyder [REDACTED]  
**Date:** November 2, 2015 at 1:03:55 PM CST  
**To:** <[chris.nelson@state.sd.us](mailto:chris.nelson@state.sd.us)>  
**Subject:** HP014-001 - No on Keystone XL  
**Reply-To:** [REDACTED]

Commissioner

To the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission:

I am writing to tell you I strongly oppose the certification of the Keystone XL pipeline permit in South Dakota, and I am asking you to vote no.

TransCanada has shown time and time again they are unwilling - or unable - to adequately protect South Dakota's land and water. Six years after building Keystone I, they still have land that has not been reclaimed, and many landowners did the reclamation themselves because they were fed up with dealing with TransCanada. That's not a way for a company to act in our state, particularly when they now want to build Keystone XL over hundreds of miles of pristine grassland - exactly the kind of land they have a problem reclaiming.

Additionally, TransCanada has no emergency response plan for Keystone XL. Their permit was granted five years ago. There is no excuse to not have an emergency response plan in place. Our land, water, and especially our people should be protected.

Finally, TransCanada Keystone clearly did not meet their burden to prove they can continue to meet the permit conditions. Even judging on the merits of their arguments alone, this permit can't be certified.

TransCanada will not be able to meet the conditions of their permit. I feel it is common sense. Deny the permit certification, and protect South Dakota's land, water, and people.

As a future farmer and student at South Dakota State University, I feel it is my duty to reach out to protect my future and the future of my family in South Dakota.

Thank you.

Casey Snyder



Sioux Falls, SD 57110