Dear SD PUC Commissioners:

I'm writing as a neighboring resident of Nebraska and a supporter of the Keystone XL pipeline project. I believe the project should be re-approved by the South Dakota PUC for the following reasons:

- Not only does Keystone meet the original conditions for the South Dakota permit, but in many cases TransCanada has gone above and beyond what's required to protect the state's environment.
- The federal government concluded in their Final Supplemental Environmental Impact study that the pipeline will be safer than the rest of the nation's pipeline infrastructure currently used to transport dangerous commodities.
- The federal study also concluded that transportation of crude oil by train is many more times hazardous (in the form of spills, injuries/deaths) than transportation via pipeline. Besides transporting oil from our neighbor and ally Canada, the project will provide transportation for U.S. oil from the Bakken area.
- The increasing reliance on trains for transportation of crude oil has created a severe pinch for transporting harvested agricultural products.
- Canada is a safe, secure, and reliable country that shares America's interests and values getting more of our imported oil from Canada will help us move away from reliance on countries that introduce conflict and do not share our same interests and values.
- With or without the KXL pipeline, oil will flow from the Canadian Oil Sands leading the Final Environmental Impact study to conclude that the pipeline will have no significant adverse impact on greenhouse gas production.
- Once in operation the pipeline will contribute well over \$15 million <u>per year</u> in South Dakota property taxes.
- It is also estimated that during construction the pipeline project will generate in excess of \$40 million in South Dakota state taxes.

Thank You!

Terry Anderson

Omaha, NE 68164