
From: Wrexie Bardaglio[SMTP:WREXIE.BARDAGLIO@GMAIL.COM]

Sent: Wednesday, October 28, 2015 3:59:17 PM

To: Peter Capossela

Cc: PUC Docket Filings; Amy Schaffer; Arthur Tanderup; Benjamin D. Gotschall; Bob Gough; Bonny Kilmurry; Bruce & RoxAnn Boettcher; Bruce Ellison; Byron & Diana Steskal; Carolyn Smith; Chastity Jewett; Cindy Myers; Dallas Goldtooth; Debbie J. Trapp; Duncan Meisel; Edwards, Kristen; Elizabeth Lone Eagle; Eric Antoine; Frank James; Gary Dorr; Gena Parkhurst; Harold C. Frazier; woodsfuller.com, james.moore; James P. White; Jane Kleeb; Jennifer Baker; John Harter; Joye Braun; Cremer, Karen; Kearney, Darren; Kimberly E. Craven; Lewis GrassRope; Louis Genung; Mary Turgeon Wynne Esq.; Matthew L. Rappold - Rappold Law Office; Nancy Hilding; Paul C. Blackburn; Paul F. Seamans; Robert Allpress; Robert Flying Hawk; Robert P. Gough; Robin S. Martinez; Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Paula Antoine; Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Paula Antoine 2; Rounds, Brian; Sabrina King; Terry & Cheryl Frisch; Thomasina Real Bird; Tom BK Goldtooth; Tracey Zephier; Travis Clark; Van Gerpen, Patty; Viola Waln; William Kindle - President; William Taylor

Subject: Re: HP 14-001 SurReply to Motion to Strike

Auto forwarded by a Rule

This is absolutely terrific! I also appreciate, from my distant vantage point, the fact that this sur-reply also requests that previous exclusion of other intervenor witnesses be revisited.

Interesting that TransCanada was not aware of the differences between the requirements for witnesses pro se in circuit courts and administrative agencies. Will this principle hold in Nebraska if things get to hearings in the NE PSC?

Wrexie

On Wed, Oct 28, 2015 at 4:08 PM, Peter Capossela <pcapossela@nu-world.com> wrote:

Attached is a Sur-Reply in Opposition to Applicant's Motion to Strike Testimony and Exhibits of Cindy Myers. Thank you.

Peter

--

Peter Capossela
Attorney at Law
Post Office Box 10643
Eugene, Oregon 97440
[\(541\) 505-4883](tel:5415054883)