1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION						
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA						
3	=======================================						
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION EL19-027 BY CROWNED RIDGE WIND II, LLC FOR						
5	A PERMIT OF A WIND ENERGY FACILITY IN DEUEL, GRANT, AND CODINGTON COUNTIES ORIGINAL						
6	= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =						
7							
8	Transcript of Recorded Proceedings September 17, 2019						
9							
LO	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION,						
L1	GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN CHRIS NELSON, VICE CHAIRMAN RDISTIE EIEGEN COMMISSIONER						
L2	KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER COMMISSION STAFF						
L3	Karen Cremer Greg Rislov						
L 4	Adam de Hueck Darren Kearney						
L5	Jon Thurber Eric Paulson						
L6	Kaitlyn Baucom Kristen Edwards						
L7	Mikal Hanson						
L8	APPEARANCES						
L9	Miles Schumacher and Brian Murphy, appearing on behalf of Crowned Ridge Wind II;						
20	Amber Christenson and Kristi Mogen,						
21	appearing pro se;						
22	Amanda Reiss, appearing on behalf of Staff.						
23	appearing on benair or bearr.						
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR Precision Reporting, 213 S. Main, Onida, South Dakota						
25	cwittler@venturecomm.net						

```
The following transcript of recorded
 1
     proceedings was held in the above-entitled matter at the
 2
     South Dakota State Capitol, 500 East Capitol Avenue,
 3
     Pierre, South Dakota, on the 17th day of September,
 4
 5
     2019.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Docket EL19-027, In the Matter of the Application by Crowned Ridge Wind II, LLC For a Permit of a Wind Energy Facility in Deuel, Grant, and Codington Counties.

The Intervenors are represented by

Mr. Schumacher. The Staff assigned to this -- did I say

Mr. Schumacher? Good luck with that.

Staff assigned to this docket -- at least I know that -- Darren Kearney, Jon Thurber, Eric Paulson, Kristen Edwards, Amanda Reiss, and Mikal Hanson.

Questions for us today are shall the Commission issue a procedural schedule? Shall the Commission grant CRW the second's request to designate Section 11.10 as found in the August 6 Application for party status as confidential? Or how shall the Commission proceed?

And we've seen a lot of filings since we first met and discussed this and deferred it, and we are interested in the final update from Crowned Ridge Wind.

MR. SCHUMACHER: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Miles Schumacher again.

First of all, Applicant has no objection to the proposed procedural schedule. And on the confidentiality matter we would like to offer just a very brief argument.

For background, as part of the certain

Intervenor's petition for party status they quoted

Section 11.10 of a form of easement that Crowned Ridge Wind II provided many years ago to one of the landowners with the understanding, as explained in the Affidavit of Hart, that the form of easement was to be held confidential.

Section 11.10 is in hundreds of executed wind easements throughout the U.S. which have been held confidential. In fact, although copies of the old easement form with Section 11.10 have been left with residents that ultimately did not execute the agreement, the form of agreement has remained confidential per our request when we provided it to these residents.

The standard here is whether Crowned Ridge Wind took reasonable steps to maintain the confidentiality of Section 11.10, and it has. Section 11.10 qualifies as a trade secret or otherwise confidential information under ARSD 20:10:01:39(4)(6) and ARSD 20:10:01:42, as well as SDCL 15-6-26C-7 and SDCL 37-29-1(4).

In the effort not to repeat the arguments in the brief and the affidavit of Hart, I'll highlight why Section 11.10 qualifies for confidential treatment. Section 11.10 sets forth a provision that Crowned Ridge Wind II has not included in its current easement agreement and, therefore, public disclosures of the provision shows the competitors of Crowned Ridge II what

Crowned Ridge Wind II and its affiliated companies throughout the U.S. has included in its easement and what it no longer offers in its easement, which provides these competitors with information they can use to develop more attractive offers to landowners, which in turn directly impacts the competitiveness of Crowned Ridge Wind II's affiliates, given the highly competitive nature of wind project development and the competition for participating landowners, as Hart explains in his Affidavit.

The development of wind projects fundamentally depends on securing willing landowners. As the Affidavit of Hart explains, it costs millions of dollars to develop a project. To the extent, for example, an affiliate of Crowned Ridge Wind does not sign up sufficient participants for a project because a competitor is able to use the information and gain insight from understanding that Crowned Ridge Wind affiliates no longer offers Section 11.10 and the project does not go forward, the economic harm to the affiliates of Crowned Ridge Wind will be in the millions of dollars (Inaudible).

Staff contends that since the concept in Section 11.10 (Inaudible).

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Miles, pause for just a second, please.

Okay. Thank you. Someone may have turned on a 1 2 phone in some -- or a web page or something of that 3 nature in the background, and it interfered with what you 4 were saying in your last sentence. Would you start over 5 with that sentence, please. 6 MR. SCHUMACHER: I hear another beep, but I 7 don't hear much. 8 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Mr. Schumacher, can you hear me? 10 MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes. I can now. 11 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. For some reason, 12 we lost everyone for a moment, and hoping that other 1.3 folks on the phone can hear us as well. I'm not going to 14 run through everyone. We'll just check. 15 MR. SCHUMACHER: I have just a little bit left 16 here. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 17 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. MR. SCHUMACHER: Staff contends that since the 18 19 concept in 11.10 is commonly known in the industry, 20 Section 11.10 is not appropriately considered a trade 21 secret. 22 We believe Staff's argument is in error. 23 incorrectly views 11.10 in isolation and on a stand-alone 24 basis rather than a part of the larger confidential

easement and the gives and takes in that easement, as

25

well as the business decision not to include it in the current form of easement.

On these points, Staff and Intervenors provide no evidence that the industry knows and understands how Section 11.10 was used in the context of the entire easement and why it is no longer used. In fact, Crowned Ridge is the only party that has provided evidence which comes in the form of the Affidavit from an experienced wind developer, Daryl Hart, and shows by a preponderance of the evidence that Section 11.10 should be treated as confidential. Mr. Hart's Affidavit is the only evidence offered on this issue.

For reference, ARSD 20:10:01:42 states, "The party requesting confidentiality has the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that the information qualifies as confidential information by showing that disclosure would result in material damage to its financial or competitive position, reveal a trade secret, or impair the public interest."

Further, the Commission has broad authority to --

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Miles, you said you only had it sounded like one sentence left. I'm going to check to make certain that the Intervenors are still on the phone with us.

Amber Christenson, are you on the phone? 1 2 MS. CHRISTENSON: Yes, I am. Thank you for 3 checking. 4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Yes. And Kristi Mogen? 5 MS. MOGEN: Yes, I am. Thank you. 6 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. Thank you very 7 much. 8 MR. SCHUMACHER: Just a couple sentences yet. 9 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. 11 MR. SCHUMACHER: Finally, Section 11.10 has no 12 relevance to this proceeding. It is undisputed that 13 the Crowned Ridge Wind easements do not include 14 Section 11.10. Whether Crowned Ridge Wind at some time 15 in the past used Section 11.10 does not go to any of the 16 regulatory or statutory criteria in this proceeding, 17 which is further support that it should not be publicly 18 disclosed. 19 Rather at the heart of Intervenor's argument 20 that it wants public disclosure of easement provisions, 21 including 11.10, is the theory that allowing shadow 22 flicker and sound on a nonparticipants's property is a 23 taking of property without compensation in violation of State and Federal Constitutions. 2.4 25 Not only is the Intervenor's argument wholly

without merit, the case law in this case makes it clear that such a legal claim is not ripe for consideration until the wind project is actually operational.

I have Brian Murphy and Daryl Hart here to answer any questions that the Commission might have.

Thank you.

2.4

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

And I will turn to the Intervenors next and then to Staff. And, Amber Christenson, you are first by right of the alphabet.

MS. CHRISTENSON: All right.

My statement was similar to what I wrote in about, is there's no meeting of the minds that the people they left the Application with would keep it confidential. There's no signed confidentiality anything.

You know, Crowned Ridge may have wished they would have kept it confidential, but my understanding of a contract, it has to have a meeting of the minds, and I don't see that as one.

And I also believe that we need to show the public what's out there. You know, we're here to protect South Dakota. We're not here to protect NextEra Energy.

That's my statement. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Ms. Christenson.

And I'll turn next to Ms. Mogen.

MS. MOGEN: I agree with Amber and Staff. You know, this agreement, this contract, has been spread all over northeastern South Dakota. We've seen many different versions of it.

And, you know, NextEra still has not produced a confidentiality agreement with the person who is the other Intervenor who is presenting this document. If they show up with one of those, then maybe I'd reconsider my position, but they do not have one. This is fair game for everybody.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you.

And Staff. Ms. Reiss.

MS. REISS: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is Amanda Reiss for Staff.

Staff filed a brief laying out our position on this matter and would stand by for questions.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. And I'm sure there are some questions, especially -- as I understand it then, Mr. Schumacher, you've -- according to the written items we have received, you're withdrawing. And, as I understand today, you've stated today verbally you're withdrawing your request that -- for confidentiality on both items that you had originally requested

confidentiality on; is that correct? 1 2 MR. SCHUMACHER: We are still looking for 3 confidential treatment of the language in Section 11.10. Thus, your argument. 4 CHAIRMAN HANSON: 5 All right. Well, thank you. I'm a bit perplexed that you would do that. In looking at --6 7 When this was originally presented my feeling 8 was you certainly have the right to request that because if another party announces something and presents 10 something for public consumption, then certainly you have 11 the right to at least request the Commission to look at 12 that and determine whether it should be. 13 It seemed obvious to me that if these are 14 already in the public knowledge, that it's strange to 15 request that they would be granted confidentiality 16 again. 17 I enjoy reading A.J. Swanson's letters, his pros and -- I'm sorry he can't be with us because of his 18 19 broken wrist, but I thought he made very good points. 20 And, frankly, I thought that our Staff made excellent 21 points. And I don't find your arguments substantive. 22 I'll give you an opportunity to respond to that, 23 but your written responses and your verbal -- your oral 24 presentation today pretty much presents all of your 25 arguments.

I'm just at a loss of how you can -- I guess in Ms. Christenson's letter, which I guess I found entertaining too, was her statement that the unsigned contracts are like a swarm of gnats flying around the head of this docket and that these wind contracts, with all similar and sometimes slightly varied language, are scattered around northeastern South Dakota like confetti at Times Square on New Year's Eve.

I'm thinking she exaggerated just a smidgen on that, but if that's the case, how can you claim confidentiality when, in fact, they are ubiquitously distributed -- universally distributed around, well, probably several states?

That's a question.

1.3

MR. SCHUMACHER: Chairman Hanson, there's a difference between being passed around by hand among the various landowners and posting them on the docket where they are accessible by our competitors across the country.

And the concern is is that posting them on the docket serves no purpose other than to put Crowned Ridge in a position where its competitors are free to pick up that language and use it to the disadvantage of Crowned Ridge. And that's far different from having them passed around by word of mouth or hand to hand among the

1 | landowners in the area.

1.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Well, I beg to differ with you. Your competitors are quite well experienced, and they're in -- throughout the -- international. And they could easily access those. I think they're in the public wherewithal at the present time.

The two arguments that you make that there's no other purpose to them, most certainly there's a purpose of presenting to the Commission, for one, and to argument that there is something that can be done about shadow flicker.

I have some other questions, but I'm going to allow -- I'm going to allow for other Commissioners if they have questions at this time. Or concerns.

Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: For Mr. Schumacher, the letter you filed yesterday, I apologize, but I have no idea what you're asking for. Can you help me understand what you were asking for in that letter?

MR. SCHUMACHER: Yes.

Commissioner Nelson, the filing had been made to put a redacted confidential version on the docket, and, to our knowledge, there have been no requests for that information. And we've been attempting to have a protective order in place, and our feeling now is is that

until a request is made for that confidential version, it 1 2 serves no purpose to continue to be on the docket so 3 we're looking to pull it. COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 appreciate that. 6 No further questions. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Ouestions? Commissioner 8 Fiegen has none. So is there a motion on this docket? 9 10 Commissioner Nelson. 11 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I move in EL19-027 that we 12 grant Staff's request for a procedural schedule and that 13 we find that Section 11.10 is not confidential for the 14 reasons listed in Staff's brief. 15 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Discussion on that motion. 16 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I will say two things. 17 Actually I'm going to say three things. 18 Staff's brief laid out two reasons why they felt that this should not be confidential. The first relied 19 20 upon the language of ARSD 20:10:01:41. And Staff's 21 contention that that would, in fact, allow someone who 22 was the nonfiler to request confidentiality such as is 23 happening in this case, I don't agree with that 2.4 conclusion. 25 But it ends up being irrelevant because I do

believe that Staff's conclusions regarding the fact that the material itself is not confidential wins the day and wins the argument and, therefore, my motion to not retain the confidentiality of this particular language.

As has been noted, this language was spread around. And I find it an incredible argument to think that you can provide this language to people in attempts to sign up landowners and then all the sudden later say, oh, but, by the way, you have a responsibility to keep it confidential.

It doesn't work that way. You can't just give somebody something and then later say, oh, by the way, there's parameters around what we just gave you. And so, therefore, I don't believe it should remain confidential.

The last thing I will say, and Mr. Schumacher had it in his written arguments and he repeated it again today -- and I want you to think about this. His reasoning for keeping this confidential -- and I'm going to quote this. He said today "to prevent more attractive offers to landowners."

Well, when we get all of these developers coming to South Dakota, one of the things that they are contending is, by golly, you should approve our Application because we are benefiting landowners. And

```
now he's saying, well, by golly, we wouldn't want to do
1
2
     anything to further improve the offer to landowners. I
 3
     just find that offensive as it relates to South Dakota
     landowners.
 4
5
              And so for all those reasons, my motion.
 6
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Further discussion on the
7
    motion?
              No?
8
              I apologize. I was going to ask a question of
9
    Mr. Christenson and Ms. Mogen.
10
              Ms. Christenson, did you -- I don't believe that
11
     you did but -- I know you didn't sign anything, but did
12
     you state to them that you would keep it confidential
1.3
     when they presented the information to you?
14
                                They didn't present it to me.
              MS. CHRISTENSON:
15
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                So you've just received it
     from other parties?
16
17
              MS. CHRISTENSON:
                                Yeah. Neighbors. Neighbors
18
     that had blank ones in their possession.
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you. And, Ms. Mogen, is
20
     that the situation with you as well?
21
              MS. MOGEN:
                          That's correct.
22
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Thank you very much.
23
              And in discussion I would just say that I
24
     believe every party -- every party, whether they're an
25
     Intervenor or whether they're the Applicant, has the
```

right, Staff has the right, to request that something be 1 2 held confidential -- in confidentiality. And then it's 3 up to the Commission to decide whether it should or should not be. Otherwise -- even if it's someone else 4 5 that presents the information. Otherwise, people could present confidential information, and there would be no 6 7 means other than trying to go to court to stop it. And 8 that falls on our shoulders. I stated my position earlier, and I will be 10 supporting the motion. 11 Any further discussion on the motion? 12 Hearing none, Commissioner Nelson. 13 COMMISSIONER NELSON: Aye. 14 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 15 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye. 16 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes ave. The motion 17 carries. 18 One question on this docket: According to 19 20:10:01:43 under Requests For Access To Confidential 20 Information, "Requests for access to confidential information shall be handled as follows: " Sub 4 states, 21 22 "Upon a determination that the information is not 23 confidential, the information shall be afforded 2.4 confidential treatment for an additional period of 25 10 days or for a longer period as ordered by the

Commission to give the party asserting confidentiality an 1 2 opportunity to seek review by the Court." 3 So that is under statute -- or under rules. And, as I understand, they now have 10 days to seek Court 4 5 intervention. MS. CREMER: Yes. This is Karen Cremer, and 6 7 that would be correct. And it would be -- if they're not 8 going to seek Court review, it would be nice if they would let us know that so that we can make this public as 10 soon as possible. 11 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. And it does state 12 that unless the Commission decides to add additional 13 time. 14 Is there any desire -- a motion from any of the 15 Commissioners to allow the Applicant additional time, more than the 10 days? 16 17 Hearing none, we will move to item 6 on the 18 agenda. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
LO	had in the above-entitled matter on the 17th day of
L1	September, 2019, and that the attached is a true and
L2	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
L3	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 27th day of
L 4	September, 2019.
L5	
L6	
L7	/s/ Cheri McComsey Wittler
L8	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
L9	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	ocientiod Redictine Reported
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

				ı
,	18:12, 18:15	August [1] - 3:14	CHRIS [1] - 1:11	confidentiality [13] - 1
,	affidavit [1] - 4:20	authority [1] - 7:20	CHRISTENSON [4] -	3:22, 4:14, 7:14,
/s [1] - 19:17	Affidavit [5] - 4:3, 5:9,	Avenue [1] - 2:3	8:2, 9:11, 16:14,	9:15, 10:7, 10:24,
	5:11, 7:8, 7:11	aye [3] - 17:13, 17:15,	16:17	11:1, 11:15, 12:11,
1	affiliate [1] - 5:13	17:16	Christenson [6] -	14:22, 15:4, 17:2,
40 47:05 40:4	affiliated [1] - 5:1		1:20, 8:1, 9:9, 9:25,	18:1
10 [3] - 17:25, 18:4,	affiliates [3] - 5:7,	В	16:9, 16:10	consideration [1] -
18:16 11.10 [21] - 3:13, 4:1,	5:17, 5:19		Christenson's [1] -	9:2
4:6, 4:9, 4:15, 4:21,	afforded [1] - 17:23	background [2] -	12:2	considered [1] - 6:20
4:22, 5:18, 5:23,	agenda [1] - 18:18	3:24, 6:3	claim [2] - 9:2, 12:10	Constitutions [1] -
6:19, 6:20, 6:23, 7:5,	ago [1] - 4 :2	basis [1] - 6:24	clear [1] - 9:1	8:24
7:10, 8:11, 8:14,	agree [2] - 10:2, 14:23	Baucom [1] - 1:16	CODINGTON [1] - 1:5	consumption [1] -
8:15, 8:21, 11:3,	agreement [5] - 4:10,	beep [1] - 6:6 BEFORE [1] - 1:10	Codington [1] - 3:4	11:10
14:13	4:11, 4:24, 10:3,	beg [1] - 13:2	coming [1] - 15:22	contending [1] - 15:24
15-6-26C-7 [1] - 4:18	10:7	beg [1] = 13.2 behalf [2] = 1:19, 1:22	Commission [10] -	contends [2] - 5:22,
17 [1] - 1:8	allow [4] - 13:13,	benefiting [1] - 15:25	3:11, 3:12, 3:15,	6:18
17th [2] - 2:4, 19:10	14:21, 18:15	between [1] - 12:16	7:20, 9:5, 11:11,	contention [1] - 14:21
	allowing [1] - 8:21	bit [2] = 6:15, 11:5	13:9, 17:3, 18:1, 18:12	context [1] - 7:5
2	alone [1] - 6:23	blank [1] - 16:18	COMMISSION [3] -	continue [1] - 14:2 contract [2] - 9:19,
	alphabet [1] - 9:10 Amanda [3] - 1:22,	Brian [2] - 1:19, 9:4	1:1, 1:10, 1:12	10:3
2019 [4] - 1:8, 2:5,	3:10, 10:15	brief [5] - 3:23, 4:20,	Commissioner [6] -	contracts [2] - 12:4,
19:11, 19:14	amber _[1] - 8:1	10:16, 14:14, 14:18	13:15, 13:21, 14:7,	12:5
20:10:01:39(4)(6 [1] - 4:17	Amber [3] - 1:20, 9:9,	broad [1] - 7:20	14:10, 17:12, 17:14	copies [1] - 4:8
20:10:01:41 [1] - 14:20	10:2	broken [1] - 11:19	COMMISSIONER [7] -	correct [4] - 11:1,
20:10:01:42 [2] - 4:17,	AND [1] - 1:5	burden [1] - 7:14	1:11, 13:16, 14:4,	16:21, 18:7, 19:12
7:13	announces [1] - 11:9	business [1] - 7:1	14:11, 14:16, 17:13,	costs [1] - 5:12
20:10:01:43 [1] - 17:19	answer [1] - 9:5	BY [1] - 1:4	17:15	COUNTIES [1] - 1:5
213 [1] - 1:24	apologize [2] - 13:17,		Commissioners [2] -	Counties [1] - 3:4
27th [1] - 19:13	16:8	С	13:13, 18:15	country [1] - 12:19
	appearing [3] - 1:19,	Capitol [2] - 2:3	commonly [1] - 6:19	COUNTY [1] - 19:3
3	1:21, 1:22	carries [1] - 17:17	companies [1] - 5:1	couple [1] - 8:8
37-29-1(4) [1] - 4:18	Applicant [3] - 3:21,	case [4] - 9:1, 12:10,	compensation [1] - 8:23	court [1] - 17:7
37-29-1(4)[1]-4.10	16:25, 18:15	14:23	competition [1] - 5:8	Court [3] - 18:2, 18:4,
4	APPLICATION[1] - 1:4	certain [2] - 3:24, 7:24	competitive [2] - 5:7,	18:8 Cremer [2] - 1:13, 18:6
7	Application [4] - 3:2,	certainly [3] - 11:8,	7:18	CREMER [1] - 18:6
4 [1] - 17:21	3:14, 9:14, 15:25	11:10, 13:8	competitiveness [1] -	criteria [1] - 8:16
_	appointed [1] - 19:8	CERTIFICATE[1] -	5:6	crowned [1] - 4:22
5	appreciate [1] - 14:5	19:2	competitor [1] - 5:15	Crowned [17] - 1:19,
500 [1] - 2:3	appropriately [1] -	Certified [2] - 19:6,	competitors [5] -	3:2, 3:18, 4:1, 4:13,
	6:20	19:19 CERTIFY [1] - 19:8	4:25, 5:4, 12:18,	4:25, 5:1, 5:6, 5:14,
6	approve [1] - 15:24	Chair [1] - 10:14	12:22, 13:3	5:17, 5:19, 7:7, 8:13,
	area [1] - 13:1	CHAIRMAN [26] -	concept [2] - 5:22,	8:14, 9:17, 12:21,
6 [2] - 3:14, 18:17	argument [8] - 3:23,	1:10, 1:11, 3:1, 5:24,	6:19	12:23
^	6:22, 8:19, 8:25,	6:8, 6:11, 6:17, 7:22,	concern [1] - 12:20	CROWNED [1] - 1:4
Α	11:4, 13:9, 15:3,	8:4, 8:6, 8:10, 9:7,	concerns [1] - 13:14 conclusion [1] - 14:24	CRR [1] - 1:24
A.J [1] - 11:17	15:6	9:25, 10:12, 10:19,	conclusions [1] - 14.24	CRW [1] - 3:13 current [2] - 4:23, 7:2
able [1] - 5:15	arguments [5] - 4:19, 11:21, 11:25, 13:7,	11:4, 13:2, 14:7,	confetti [1] - 12:7	cwittler@
above-entitled [2] -	15:17	14:15, 16:6, 16:15,	confidential [27] -	venturecomm.net
2:2, 19:10	ARSD [4] - 4:17, 7:13,	16:19, 16:22, 17:14,	3:15, 4:5, 4:8, 4:11,	[1] - 1:25
access [3] - 13:5,	14:20	17:16, 18:11	4:16, 4:21, 6:24,	101 - 100 - 1
17:19, 17:20	asserting [1] - 18:1	Chairman [4] - 3:19, 6:16, 8:9, 12:15	7:11, 7:16, 9:15,	D
accessible [1] - 12:18 according [2] - 10:21,	assigned [2] - 3:6, 3:8	check [2] - 6:14, 7:23	9:18, 11:3, 13:22,	
17:18	attached [1] - 19:11	checking [1] - 8:3	14:1, 14:13, 14:19,	DAKOTA [2] - 1:2, 19:1
Adam [1] = 1:14	attempting [1] - 13:24	Cheri [3] - 1:24, 19:17,	15:2, 15:10, 15:15, 15:10, 16:12, 17:2	Dakota [10] - 1:24, 2:3,
add [1] - 18:12	attempts [1] - 15:7	19:18	15:19, 16:12, 17:2, 17:6, 17:19, 17:20,	2:4, 9:23, 10:4, 12:7,
additional [3] - 17:24,	attractive [2] - 5:5,	CHERI [1] - 19:5	17:0, 17:19, 17:20, 17:23, 17:24	15:23, 16:3, 19:7,
	15:20		,	, , ,

19:13 easily [1] - 13:5 damage [1] - 7:17 East [1] - 2:3 Darren [2] - 1:14, 3:9 economic [1] - 5:19 Daryl [2] - 7:9, 9:4 Edwards [2] - 1:16, **Dated** [1] - 19:13 3:10 days [3] - 17:25, 18:4, effort [1] - 4:19 18:16 EL19-027 [3] - 1:4, de [1] - 1:14 3:1, 14:11 decide [1] - 17:3 ends [1] - 14:25 decides [1] - 18:12 energy [1] - 3:3 decision [1] - 7:1 **ENERGY** [1] - 1:5 Energy [1] - 9:23 deferred [1] - 3:17 designate [1] - 3:13 enjoy [1] - 11:17 entertaining [1] - 12:3 desire [1] - 18:14 determination [1] entire [1] - 7:5 17:22 entitled [2] - 2:2, determine [1] - 11:12 19:10 **Deuel** [1] - 3:3 Eric [2] - 1:15, 3:9 **DEUEL** [1] - 1:5 error[1] - 6:22 **develop** [2] - 5:4, 5:12 especially [1] - 10:20 Eve [1] - 12:8 **developer**[1] - 7:9 **developers** [1] - 15:22 evidence [5] - 7:4, 7:8, 7:10, 7:12, 7:15 development [2] - 5:8, 5:10 exaggerated [1] - 12:9 differ [1] - 13:2 **example** [1] - 5:13 difference [1] - 12:16 excellent [1] - 11:20 different [2] - 10:5, execute [1] - 4:10 12:24 executed [1] - 4:6 directly [1] - 5:5 experienced [2] - 7:9, disadvantage [1] -13:3 **explained** [1] - 4:3 12:23 disclosed [1] - 8:18 **explains** [2] **-** 5:9, 5:12 disclosure [2] - 7:17, extent [1] - 5:13 8:20 disclosures [1] - 4:24 F discussed [1] - 3:17 facility [1] - 3:3 discussion [4] -**FACILITY**[1] - 1:5 14:15, 16:6, 16:23, fact [5] - 4:8, 7:6, 12:11, 14:21, 15:1 distributed [2] - 12:12 fair [1] - 10:10 DO [1] - 19:8 falls [1] - 17:8 docket [9] - 3:1, 3:8, far [1] - 12:24 12:5, 12:17, 12:21, Federal [1] - 8:24 13:22, 14:2, 14:9, felt [1] - 14:18 17:18 **FIEGEN** [2] - 1:11, document [1] - 10:8 17:15 dollars [2] - 5:12, 5:20 Fiegen [3] - 14:8, done [1] - 13:10 17:14, 17:15 duly [1] - 19:8 filed [2] - 10:16, 13:17 duly-appointed [1] filing [1] - 13:21 19:8 filings [1] - 3:16 final [1] - 3:18 Ε finally [1] - 8:11 easement[11] - 4:1, financial [1] - 7:18 4:4, 4:9, 4:23, 5:2, first [4] - 3:16, 3:21, 5:3, 6:25, 7:2, 7:6, 9:9, 14:19 8:20 flicker [2] - 8:22, easements [2] - 4:7,

13:11

flying [1] - 12:4

8:13

folks [1] - 6:13 following [1] - 2:1 follows [1] - 17:21 **FOR**[1] - 1:4 form [6] - 4:1, 4:4, 4:9, 4:11, 7:2, 7:8 forth [1] - 4:22 forward [1] - 5:19 frankly[1] - 11:20 free [1] - 12:22 fundamentally [1] -5:10 G gain [1] - 5:16 game [1] - 10:10 **GARY**[1] - 1:10 given [1] - 5:7 gnats [1] - 12:4 golly [2] - 15:24, 16:1 grant [3] - 3:3, 3:12, 14:12 **GRANT**[1] - 1:5 granted [1] - 11:15 Greg [1] - 1:13 guess [2] - 12:1, 12:2

hand [3] - 12:16, 12:25 handled [1] - 17:21 HANSON [25] - 1:10, 3:1, 5:24, 6:8, 6:11, 6:17, 7:22, 8:4, 8:6, 8:10, 9:7, 9:25, 10:12, 10:19, 11:4, 13:2, 14:7, 14:15, 16:6, 16:15, 16:19, 16:22, 17:14, 17:16,

Н

Hanson [4] - 1:17, 3:10, 12:15, 17:16 harm [1] - 5:19 Hart [6] - 4:4, 4:20, 5:9, 5:12, 7:9, 9:4 Hart's [1] - 7:11 head [1] - 12:5 hear [4] - 6:6, 6:7, 6:8, 6:13

18:11

hearing [2] - 17:12, 18:17 heart [1] - 8:19

17:2 help[1] - 13:18 HEREBY [1] - 19:8 highlight [1] - 4:20

highly [1] - 5:7

held [4] - 2:2, 4:4, 4:7,

hoping [1] - 6:12 Hueck [1] - 1:14 hundreds [1] - 4:6

II [7] - 1:4, 1:19, 3:2,

idea [1] - 13:18

4:2, 4:23, 4:25, 5:1 **II's** [1] - 5:6 impacts [1] - 5:6 impair [1] - 7:19 improve [1] - 16:2 **IN** [2] - 1:4, 1:5 Inaudible) [2] - 5:21, 5:23 include [2] - 7:1, 8:13 included [2] - 4:23, 5:2 including [1] - 8:21 incorrectly [1] - 6:23 incredible [1] - 15:6 industry [2] - 6:19, 7:4 information [13] -4:16. 5:4. 5:16. 7:16. 13:24, 16:13, 17:5, 17:6, 17:20, 17:21, 17:22, 17:23 insight [1] - 5:16 interest [1] - 7:19 **interested** [1] - 3:18 **interfered** [1] - 6:3 international [1] -13:4

Intervenor [2] - 10:8,

Intervenor's [3] - 3:25,

Intervenors [4] - 3:5,

intervention [1] - 18:5

irrelevant[1] - 14:25

issue [2] - 3:12, 7:12

isolation [1] - 6:23

item [1] - 18:17

itself [1] - 15:2

10:25

items [2] - 10:22,

16:25

8:19, 8:25

7:3, 7:24, 9:8

J

Jon [2] - 1:15, 3:9

Κ

Kaitlyn [1] - 1:16 Karen [1] - 18:6 karen [1] - 1:13 Kearney [2] - 1:14, 3:9 keep [3] - 9:14, 15:9,

16:12 keeping [1] - 15:19 kept [1] - 9:18 knowledge [2] -11:14, 13:23 known [1] - 6:19 knows [1] - 7:4 Kristen [2] - 1:16, 3:10 Kristi [2] - 1:20, 8:4 **KRISTIE** [1] - 1:11

L

laid [1] - 14:18 landowners [11] - 4:2, 5:5, 5:9, 5:11, 12:17, 13:1, 15:8, 15:21, 15:25, 16:2, 16:4 language [7] - 11:3, 12:6, 12:23, 14:20, 15:4, 15:5, 15:7 larger [1] - 6:24 last [2] - 6:4, 15:16 law [1] - 9:1 laying [1] - 10:16 least [2] - 3:8, 11:11 left [4] - 4:9, 6:15, 7:23, 9:14 legal [1] - 9:2 letter [3] - 12:2, 13:17, 13:19 letters [1] - 11:17 listed [1] - 14:14 **LLC** [2] - 1:4, 3:2 look [1] - 11:11 looking [3] - 11:2, 11:6, 14:3 loss [1] - 12:1 lost [1] - 6:12 luck [1] - 3:7

M

Main [1] - 1:24 maintain [1] - 4:14 material [2] - 7:17, 15:2 **MATTER**[1] - 1:4 matter [5] - 2:2, 3:1, 3:23, 10:17, 19:10 MCCOMSEY [1] - 19:5 McComsey [3] - 1:24, 19:17, 19:18 means [1] - 17:7 meeting [2] - 9:13, 9:19 merit [1] - 9:1 met [1] - 3:17 might [1] - 9:5 Mikal [2] - 1:17, 3:10

miles [1] - 5:24 Miles [3] - 1:19, 3:19, 7:22 millions [2] - 5:12, 5:20 minds [2] - 9:13, 9:19 Mogen [5] - 1:20, 8:4, 10:1, 16:9, 16:19 MOGEN [3] - 8:5, 10:2, 16:21 moment [1] - 6:12 most [1] - 13:8 motion [9] - 14:9. 14:15. 15:3. 16:5. 16:7, 17:10, 17:11, 17:16, 18:14 mouth [1] - 12:25 move [2] - 14:11, 18:17 **MR** [10] - 3:19, 6:6, 6:10, 6:15, 6:18, 8:8, 8:11, 11:2, 12:15, 13:20 **MS**[9] - 8:2, 8:5, 9:11, 10:2, 10:14, 16:14, 16:17, 16:21, 18:6 Murphy [2] - 1:19, 9:4

Ν

nature [2] - 5:7, 6:3 need [1] - 9:21 neighbors [2] - 16:17 Nelson [4] - 13:15, 13:21, 14:10, 17:12 **NELSON** [6] - 1:11, 13:16, 14:4, 14:11, 14:16, 17:13 New [1] - 12:8 next [2] - 9:8, 10:1 NextEra [2] - 9:23, 10:6 nice [1] - 18:8 **none** [3] - 14:8, 17:12, 18:17 nonfiler [1] - 14:22 nonparticipants's [1] - 8:22 northeastern [2] -10:4, 12:7 Notary [2] - 19:7, 19:18 noted [1] - 15:5

0

objection [1] - 3:21 **obvious** [1] - 11:13 **OF** [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:5, 19:1, 19:3

offensive [1] - 16:3 offer [2] - 3:23, 16:2 offered [1] - 7:12 offers [4] - 5:3, 5:5, 5:18, 15:21 old [1] - 4:8 one [8] - 4:2, 7:23, 9:20, 10:9, 10:10, 13:9, 15:23, 17:18 ones [1] - 16:18 Onida [2] - 1:24, 19:13 operational [1] - 9:3 opportunity [2] -11:22, 18:2 oral [1] - 11:23 order [1] - 13:25 ordered [1] - 17:25 originally [2] - 10:25, 11:7 otherwise [3] - 4:16, 17:4, 17:5

Ρ

page [1] - 6:2 parameters [1] - 15:13 part [2] - 3:24, 6:24 participants [1] - 5:15 participating [1] - 5:8 particular [1] - 15:4 parties [1] - 16:16 party [8] - 3:14, 3:25, 7:7, 7:14, 11:9, 16:24, 18:1 passed [2] - 12:16, 12:24 past [1] - 8:15 Paulson [2] - 1:15, 3:9 pause [1] - 5:24 people [3] - 9:13, 15:7, 17:5 per [1] - 4:11 period [2] - 17:24, 17:25 permit [1] - 3:3 **PERMIT** [1] - 1:5 perplexed [1] - 11:6 person [1] - 10:7 petition [1] - 3:25 phone [4] - 6:2, 6:13, 7:24, 8:1 pick [1] - 12:22 Pierre [1] - 2:4 **place** [1] - 13:25 points [3] - 7:3, 11:19, 11:21 position [5] - 7:18, 10:10, 10:16, 12:22, 17:9

possession [1] -

16:18 possible [1] - 18:10 posting [2] - 12:17, 12:20 Precision [1] - 1:24 preponderance [2] -7:10, 7:15 present [3] - 13:6, 16:14, 17:6 presentation [1] -11:24 presented [2] - 11:7, 16:13 presenting [2] - 10:8,

13:9 presents [3] - 11:9, 11:24, 17:5 pretty [1] - 11:24 prevent [1] - 15:20 **pro** [1] - 1:21 procedural [3] - 3:12, 3:22, 14:12 proceed [1] - 3:15 proceeding [2] - 8:12,

8:16 Proceedings [1] - 1:7 proceedings [3] - 2:2, 19:9, 19:12 produced [1] - 10:6

19:6, 19:19 project [5] - 5:8, 5:13, 5:15, 5:18, 9:3 projects [1] - 5:10 property [2] - 8:22,

proposed [1] - 3:22

8.23

Professional [2] -

pros [1] - 11:17 protect [2] - 9:22, 9:23 protective [1] - 13:25 **provide** [2] - 7:3, 15:7 provided [3] - 4:2,

provides [1] - 5:3 **proving** [1] - 7:15 provision [2] - 4:22,

4:12, 7:7

4:25 **provisions** [1] - 8:20 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 public [8] - 4:24, 7:19,

8:20, 9:22, 11:10, 11:14, 13:5, 18:9 **Public** [2] - 19:7, 19:18

publicly [1] - 8:17 pull [1] - 14:3 purpose [4] - 12:21, 13:8, 14:2

put [2] - 12:21, 13:22

Q

qualifies [3] - 4:15, 4:21, 7:16 questions [8] - 3:11, 9:5, 10:17, 10:20, 13:12, 13:14, 14:6, 14:7 quite [1] - 13:3 quote [1] - 15:20

quoted [1] - 3:25

R

rather [2] - 6:24, 8:19 reading [1] - 11:17 **Realtime** [2] - 19:6, 19:19 reason [1] - 6:11 reasonable [1] - 4:14 reasoning [1] - 15:19 reasons [3] - 14:14, 14:18, 16:5 received [2] - 10:22, 16:15 reconsider [1] - 10:9 Recorded [1] - 1:7 recorded [1] - 2:1 redacted [1] - 13:22 reference [1] - 7:13 regarding [1] - 15:1 Registered [2] - 19:5, 19:19 regulatory [1] - 8:16 **REISS** [1] - 10:14 Reiss [4] - 1:22, 3:10, 10:13, 10:15 relates [1] - 16:3 relevance [1] - 8:12 relied [1] - 14:19 remain [1] - 15:14 remained [1] - 4:11 repeat [1] - 4:19 repeated [1] - 15:17 Reported [1] - 1:24 Reporter [4] - 19:6, 19:19, 19:19 reporter [1] - 19:9 Reporting [1] - 1:24 represented [1] - 3:5 request [10] - 3:13, 4:12, 10:24, 11:8, 11:11, 11:15, 14:1, 14:12, 14:22, 17:1 requested [1] - 10:25 requesting [1] - 7:14 requests [3] - 13:23, 17:19, 17:20 residents [2] - 4:10, 4:12

respond [1] - 11:22 responses [1] - 11:23 responsibility [1] -15:9 result [1] - 7:17 retain [1] - 15:3 reveal [1] - 7:18 review [2] - 18:2, 18:8 Ridge [17] - 1:19, 3:2, 3:18, 4:1, 4:13, 4:25, 5:1, 5:6, 5:14, 5:17, 5:20, 7:7, 8:13, 8:14, 9:17, 12:21, 12:24 ridge [1] - 4:22 **RIDGE** [1] - 1:4 ripe [1] - 9:2 **Rislov** [1] - 1:13 **RPR**[1] - 1:24 rules [1] - 18:3 run [1] - 6:14

S scattered [1] - 12:7 schedule [3] - 3:12, 3:22, 14:12 Schumacher 181 -1:19, 3:6, 3:7, 3:20, 6:8, 10:21, 13:16, 15:16 SCHUMACHER [10] -3:19, 6:6, 6:10, 6:15, 6:18, 8:8, 8:11, 11:2, 12:15, 13:20 **SDCL** [2] - 4:18 se [1] - 1:21 second [1] - 5:25 second's [1] - 3:13 secret [3] - 4:16, 6:21, 7:19 section [2] - 5:23, 7:5 Section [16] - 3:13, 4:1, 4:6, 4:9, 4:15, 4:21, 4:22, 5:18, 6:20, 7:10, 8:11, 8:14, 8:15, 11:3, 14:13 securing [1] - 5:11 see [1] - 9:20 seek [3] - 18:2, 18:4, sentence [3] - 6:4, 6:5, 7:23 sentences [1] - 8:8 **September** [4] - 1:8, 2:4, 19:11, 19:14 serves [2] - 12:21, 14:2 sets [1] - 4:22

several [1] - 12:13

shadow [2] - 8:21, 13:10 **shall** [5] - 3:11, 3:12, 3:15, 17:21, 17:23 shorthand [2] - 19:9 shoulders [1] - 17:8 show [2] - 9:21, 10:9 **showing** [1] **-** 7:17 shows [2] - 4:25, 7:9 sign [3] - 5:14, 15:8, 16:11 signed [1] - 9:15 similar [2] - 9:12, 12:6 situation [1] - 16:20 **slightly** [1] - 12:6 smidgen [1] - 12:9 **someone** [3] **-** 6:1, 14:21, 17:4 **sometimes** [1] - 12:6 soon [1] - 18:10 **sorry** [1] - 11:18 sound [1] - 8:22 sounded [1] - 7:23 **SOUTH** [2] - 1:2, 19:1 **South** [10] - 1:24, 2:3, 2:4, 9:23, 10:4, 12:7, 15:23, 16:3, 19:7, 19:13 spread [2] - 10:3, 15:5 Square [1] - 12:8 **SS** [1] - 19:2 Staff [14] - 1:22, 3:6, 3:8, 5:22, 6:18, 6:22, 7:3, 9:9, 10:2, 10:13, 10:15, 10:16, 11:20, 17:1 **STAFF** [1] - 1:12 Staff's [6] - 6:22, 14:12, 14:14, 14:18, 14:20, 15:1 stand [2] - 6:23, 10:17 **stand-alone** [1] **-** 6:23 standard [1] - 4:13 start [1] - 6:4 state [3] - 8:24, 16:12, 18:11 **STATE**[2] - 1:2, 19:1 **State** [2] - 2:3, 19:7 **statement** [3] - 9:12, 9:24, 12:3 states [3] - 7:13, 12:13, 17:21 status [2] - 3:14, 3:25 statute [1] - 18:3 **statutory** [1] - 8:16 steps [1] - 4:14 still [3] - 7:24, 10:6, 11:2 stop [1] - 17:7

strange [1] - 11:14 sub [1] - 17:21 substantive [1] -11:21 sudden [1] - 15:8 sufficient [1] - 5:14 SULLY [1] - 19:3 support [1] - 8:17 supporting [1] - 17:10 Swanson's [1] - 11:17 swarm [1] - 12:4

Τ

THE [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:10 theory [1] - 8:21 therefore [3] - 4:24, 15:3, 15:14 thinking [1] - 12:9 three [1] - 14:17 throughout [3] - 4:7, 5:2, 13:4 Thurber [2] - 1:15, 3:9 today [6] - 3:11, 10:23, 11:24, 15:18, 15:20 took [2] - 4:14, 19:9 trade [3] - 4:16, 6:20, 7:18 Transcript[1] - 1:7 transcript [1] - 2:1 transcription[1] -19:12 treated [1] - 7:11 treatment [3] - 4:21, 11:3, 17:24 true [1] - 19:11 trying [1] - 17:7 **turn** [3] - 5:5, 9:8, 10:1 turned [1] - 6:1 two [3] - 13:7, 14:16, 14:18

U

U.S [2] - 4:7, 5:2
ubiquitously [1] 12:11
ultimately [1] - 4:10
under [4] - 4:16,
17:19, 18:3
undisputed [1] - 8:12
universally [1] - 12:12
unless [1] - 18:12
unsigned [1] - 12:3
up [6] - 5:14, 10:9,
12:22, 14:25, 15:8,
17:3
update [1] - 3:18
UTILITIES [2] - 1:1,

1:10

varied [1] - 12:6 various [1] - 12:17 verbal [1] - 11:23 verbally [1] - 10:23

V

version [2] - 13:22, 14:1

versions [1] - 10:5 VICE [1] - 1:11 views [1] - 6:23 violation [1] - 8:23 votes [2] - 17:15, 17:16

W

wants [1] - 8:20 web [1] - 6:2 wherewithal [1] - 13:6 wholly [1] - 8:25 willing [1] - 5:11 Wind [12] - 1:19, 3:2, 3:18, 4:2, 4:13, 5:1, 5:6, 5:14, 5:17, 5:20, 8:13, 8:14 WIND [2] - 1:4, 1:5 wind [8] - 3:3, 4:6, 4:23, 5:7, 5:10, 7:9, 9:3. 12:5 wins [2] - 15:2, 15:3 wished [1] - 9:17 withdrawing [2] -10:22, 10:24 Wittler [3] - 1:24, 19:17, 19:18 **WITTLER** [1] - 19:5 word [1] - 12:25 wrist [1] - 11:19 written [3] - 10:21, 11:23, 15:17 wrote [1] - 9:12

Υ

Year's [1] - 12:8 years [1] - 4:2 yesterday [1] - 13:17