STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	)	IN CIRCUIT COURT
COUNTY OF GRANT	: <b>SS</b> )	THIRD JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
*****	* * * * * * * * *	
	*	25CIV.18-70
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION BY*		
DAKOTA RANGE I, LLC AND DAKOTA RANGE*		
II, LLC FOR A PERMIT OF A V	VIND ENERGY*	
FACILITY IN GRANT CO	DUNTY AND*	PLAINTIFFS' ISSUES ON APPEAL
CODINGTON COUNTY, SOU	JTH DAKOTA,*	
FOR THE DAKOTA RANGE W	IND PROJECT*	
PUC DOCKET EL18-003	*	
	*	
*****	* * * * * * * * *	

COMES NOW, the Plaintiffs, by and through their attorney, John C. Wiles of Wiles & Rylance, and for their issues on appeal, state and allege the following:

- 1. Whether the Commission exceeded its statutory authority when it permitted Apex Clean Energy Holdings LLC a Delaware Corporation which is not registered to do business in South Dakota, to petition the Commission for a Wind Energy Systems Permit through two wholly owned subsidiaries, Dakota Range Wind I, LLC and Dakota Range Wind II, LLC.
- 2. Whether the Commission abused its discretion and acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it admitted inaccurate maps into evidence and relied on them to make their decision.
  - 3. Whether the Commission acted arbitrarily and capriciously when:
- a. It requested but never received Applicants' owner's manual, safety manual, operator's manual, or repair manual;
- b. In lieu of such safety operations manual, it accepted an outdated, unsigned letter into evidence; and

- c. It relied upon on that letter when it made its decision regarding public safety concerns.
- 4. Whether the Commission abused its discretion and failed to protect the public health, safety and welfare of landowners within the project area by not requiring Applicant to submit an owner's manual, safety manual, operator's manual, or repair manual requested by the Commission.
- 5. Whether the Commission violated Plaintiffs' constitutional right of due process when it excluded Plaintiffs and other parties from a confidential, in-camera meeting with the Applicants and their counsel.
  - Whether the Commission:
- a. Violated Plaintiff Kaaz's constitutional right to privacy when it allowed a witness to testify to the substance of private property appraisals at a public hearing without the consent of the landowner(s); and
- b. Acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it relied on false testimony regarding the substance of those appraisals in making its decision.
- 7. Whether the Commission acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it failed to consider testimony regarding trespass violations for shadow flicker and infrasound.
- 8. Whether the Commission violated landowner(s) constitutional right to due process when it proceeded with the hearing before all parties within one-half mile of the project were notified by service by certified mail.
- 9. Whether the Commission abused its discretion and acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it approved the Application without substantial evidence that the

facilities will not pose a threat of serious injury to the environment or to the social and economic condition of the inhabitants in the project area.

- 10. Whether the Commission abused its discretion when it approved the Application without substantial evidence by the Applicants that the facility will not substantially impair the health, safety or welfare of the inhabitants.
- 11. Whether the Commission failed to protect the property rights of nonparticipating landowners by allowing Applicants to invade their properties with infrasound and shadow flicker.
- 12. Whether the Commission failed to protect the public by admitting exhibits based on deliberate misstatements of fact regarding wind farm impacts on property values.
- 13. Whether the Commission violated the due process rights of landowners within the project who were not given notice of hearing by the Applicant.
- 14. Whether the Commission abused its discretion when it approved the Application without substantial evidence that the public interest will not be harmed by symptoms associated with pressure pulsations caused by blade frequency.
- 15. Whether the hearing examiner violated Plaintiffs' constitutional rights, including due process, when she prohibited Plaintiffs from submitting exhibits.
- 16. Whether the hearing examiner violated Plaintiffs' constitutional rights by prohibiting Plaintiffs from examining each other as witnesses and submitting exhibits.
- 17. Whether the hearing examiner failed to require Applicants to produce all of their records per Plaintiffs' and staff's dada requests.

Dated this 31 day of August, 2018.

**WILES & RYLANCE** 

John C. Wiles

Attorneys for Plaintiff 3 East Kemp, Suite 200

P. O. Box 227

Watertown, SD 57201-0227

(605) 886-5881

THE REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, John C. Wiles, hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing "PLAINTIFFS' ISSUES ON APPEAL" was served upon Kristen Edwards, Attorney for the Public Utilities Commission Staff, by electronic e-file transmittal to <a href="mailto:Kristen.edwards@state.sd.us">Kristen.edwards@state.sd.us</a>; Mollie M. Smith, Counsel for Dakota Range I, LLC and Dakota Range II, LLC, by electronic e-file transmittal to <a href="mailto:msmith@fredlaw.com">msmith@fredlaw.com</a>, all on the <a href="mailto:day of August, 2018">3/</a> day of August, 2018.

And by causing the same to be deposited in the United States Mail at Watertown, South Dakota securely enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage duly prepaid, and addressed to Patricia Van Gerpen, Executive Director of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission, 500 E. Capitol Ave, Pierre, South Dakota 57501; Dakota Range I, LLC and Dakota Range II, LLC c/o Cogency Global Inc., 326 N. Madison Ave, Pierre, SD 57501, their Registered Service Agent; Cindy Brugman, Codington County Auditor, 14 1st Ave SE, Watertown, South Dakota 57201; Karen Layher, Grant County Auditor, 210 E 5th Ave, Milbank, South Dakota 57252; and all other potential interveners listed on the PUC Docket EL-003 Service List (see attached) by United States Mail or as otherwise provided by law, all on the 3/ day of August, 2018.

WILES & RYLANCE

John C. Wiles

Attorney)for Plaintiffs/Appellants

3 East Kemp, Suite 200

P. O. Box 227

Watertown, SD 57201-0227

SD Bar #1838

## PUC Docket EL-003 Service List

Vincent E. Meyer 15452 486th Ave Milbank, SD 57252

Jared Krakow 16460 470th Ave Strandburg, SD 57265

Matt Whitney 16450 462nd Ave Watertown, SD 57201

Linda M. Lindgren 16050 464th Ave South Shore, SD 57263

Wade Bauer 15371 459th Ave South Shore, SD 57263

Karen E. Cremer Special Assistant Attorney General SD Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave Pierre, SD 57501 Karen.cremer@state.sd.us Diane Redlin 305 W. Lakefront Drive South Shore, SD 57263

Kevin Krakow 16462 470th Ave Strandburg, SD 57265

Timothy J. Lindgren 16050 464th Ave South Shore, SD 57263

Kelly Owen 15629 468th Ave Stockholm, SD 57264

Patricia Meyer 15452 486th Ave Milbank, SD 57252