1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	
4	IN THE MATTER OF COMMISSION NG16-014
5	STAFF'S PETITION FOR DECLARATORY RULING REGARDING FARM TAP CUSTOMERS
6	
7	
8	Transcript of Proceedings December 14, 2016
9	
LO	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN
L1	KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN
L2	GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER
L3	COMMISSION STAFF Adam de Hueck, Commission Attorney
L 4	Karen Cremer, Commission Attorney Greg Rislov, Staff Advisor
L5	Patrick Steffensen, Staff Analyst Brittany Mehlhaff, Staff Analyst
L 6	
L 7	APPEARANCES
L 8	Kristen Edwards, appearing on behalf of Commission Staff
L 9	Gregory Porter and James Talcott, appearing on behalf of Northern Natural Gas
20	Timothy P. Olson, appearing on behalf of NorthWestern
21	Brett Koenecke, appearing on behalf of Montana-Dakota
22	Utilities
23	
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
25	Precision Reporting, Onida, South Dakota

```
1
            TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
 2
     above-entitled matter, at the Matthews Training Center,
 3
     Foss Building, 523 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
     South Dakota, on the 14th day of December, 2016,
 4
 5
     commencing at 10 o'clock a.m.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Good morning. Welcome, everybody. We will begin the hearing in Docket NG16-014, In the Matter of Commission Staff's Petition for Declaratory Ruling Regarding Farm Tap Customers.

2.3

2.4

The time is 10:00 a.m. The date is December 14, 2016. The location of the hearing is the Matthews

Training Center in the Foss Building, Pierre,

South Dakota.

My name is Chris Nelson. I am Commission

Chairman. With me are Commissioners Fiegen and Hanson.

I am presiding over this hearing. The hearing was noticed pursuant to Commission's Order for and Notice of Hearing issued on November 30, 2016.

The issues at the hearing are, number one, whether the Commission has jurisdiction over any utility providing natural gas to farm tap customers taking natural gas from the transmission line owned and operated by Northern Natural Gas Company.

Number two, if the Commission has jurisdiction, which company, NorthWestern Corporation, doing business as Northwestern Energy, or Northern Natural Gas Company is a public utility as defined by SDCL Chapter 49 with respect to these farm tap customers.

And, number three, are the farm taps in whole or in part subject to state jurisdiction for the purpose of

pipeline safety pursuant to SDCL 49-34B.

2.3

2.4

All parties have the right to be present and to be represented by an attorney. These rights and other due process rights may be forfeited if not exercised at this hearing.

After the hearing the Commission will consider the matter. The Commission will then issue a declaratory ruling. As a result of this hearing the Commission will make decisions on the issues set forth above. The Commission's declaratory ruling may be appealed by the parties to the Circuit Court and the Supreme Court as provided by law.

And at this point I'd like to deviate and do just a couple of housekeeping type announcements. For those -- we really don't have much of an audience today. But the Rushmore Network is the public WiFi network. And so if you're searching for a network, that's the one you want to be on.

We are live webcasting. Now we are not in our normal environment up on fourth floor of the Capitol and so we did a little bit of experimenting over whether we ought to try to set up our own sound system in here and have that feed into the webcast system. That didn't work out so well.

And so what we are relying on are these

microphones in the ceiling that are picking up the webcast. What they are not doing is obviously amplifying what we are saying. And so we want to make sure we are, on our own, speaking loud enough that everybody in the room can hear what we are saying. But suffice it to say, I've been told these microphones are very accurate and will pick up the smallest of sounds so if you have side bar conversations, make sure it's truly a side bar conversation, lest it may be webcast for the world to hear. And they are live all the time. So just beware of that. We will shut them off during breaks.

2.3

2.4

Cheri Wittler from Precision Reporting will be transcribing the hearing. Anyone who wishes a transcript of the hearing should contact Cheri directly at some point today and make appropriate arrangements.

We've got a number of our Staff back here.

Katlyn and Tina will be staffing today, and so if you've got any needs, let the two in the back know and they will do everything they can to take care of that.

With that, I will now be turning the proceedings over to Mr. Adam de Hueck, our Commission attorney, who will act as Hearing Examiner and will conduct the hearing subject to the Commission's oversight.

Mr. de Hueck, the floor is yours.

MR. DE HUECK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1 Good morning, everyone. With that, we'll begin 2 with appearance of the parties. And we'll begin with Staff as the Petitioner. 3 4 MS. EDWARDS: Kristen Edwards, Counsel for With me is Patrick Steffensen, staff analyst, and 5 Staff. 6 Brittany Mehlhaff, staff analyst. 7 MR. DE HUECK: And Northern. 8 MR. PORTER: Greg Porter, Vice President and General Counsel of Northern Natural Gas, and with me is 10 Jim Talcott, who is Assistant General Counsel, and 11 Laura Demman, who is our Vice President of Regulatory 12 Government Affairs. 13 MR. DE HUECK: NorthWestern. 14 MR. OLSON: Good morning. Tim Olson on behalf 15 of NorthWestern. With me today is Melissa Baruth from 16 our DOT Department and Reed McKee, Strategic Development 17 and Business Development. 18 MR. DE HUECK: Very good. 19 MDU. 20 MR. KOENECKE: Brett Koenecke, the lawyer from 21 Pierre flying solo for MDU today. 22 Thank you. At this point we'll MR. DE HUECK: 2.3 turn to the matter at hand today, and we'll take oral 24 argument beginning with Commission Staff. 25 (Discussion off the record)

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you, Mr. de Hueck, all parties. I am Kristen Edwards for Staff. With me are Staff Analysts as well as our Pipeline Safety personnel Boice Hillmer.

2.3

Over the past several months Staff has been struggling with the issue of what to do to protect the nearly 200 farm tap customers receiving natural gas service pursuant to a contract between NorthWestern and Northern Natural which is set to expire at the end of 2017.

It presents a difficult balancing act in trying to protect customers' access to the gas service they rely on while also ensuring the safety of natural gas users in South Dakota.

The facts and history behind the farm taps are detailed in the Memorandum attached to our Petition and in our Reply Brief.

In summary, Northern Natural operates natural gas transmission service lines in this state. Along those lines are farm taps which provide natural gas service for domestic use to end users at retail.

I would like to clarify at this point that Staff is not asking the Commission to do -- to evaluate discontinuing regulation. What we are asking in part is whether the Commission ever had jurisdiction to begin

with. Additionally, we are not asking the Commission in this Docket to consider whether to allow a regulated utility service to discontinue service to farm tap users, as some customers may have been led to believe.

2.3

Discontinuing service is not at issue in this Docket. Essentially, all this Docket would do is to determine if the Commission ever had jurisdiction to begin with over the company providing service.

If the answer is yes, it would be the responsibility of that company to seek Commission approval to discontinue service, and that would come in a different docket, not by a Staff request.

The first question is whether the Commission has jurisdiction over the utility providing natural gas to farm tap users. In this sense jurisdiction refers to the Commission's authority to regulate aspects such as rates and reliability under SDCL 49-34A and, perhaps more importantly, to require the utility to continue to provide service unless a request has been made and granted to discontinue such service.

If the answer is no, we need not look any further into what company is a public utility. It is imperative, however, to determine what, if any, company is a utility if the answer is yes so that that company can determine what steps it would like to take going

forward.

2.3

2.4

We endeavored to tackle this confusing and convoluted issue in our Memorandum and in our Reply Brief. The conclusion we came to was that the Agreement signed in 1985 effectively transferred all obligations from Northern to Peoples, which was purchased by UtiliCorp, later known as Aquila. From there we are not entirely certain what happened to this 1985 contract, but we do have reason to believe it passed on to MERC when MERC purchased the assets of Aquila.

In what Staff determined to be a parallel but completely separate string of events, a 30-year contract for utility services was made in 1987 between Northern and Peoples. That 30-year contract was assigned as detailed in our Brief and ultimately assigned to NorthWestern in 2011 and was in a tariff docket that was approved by the Commission in NG11-001.

It was always understood to have an end date of December 31, 2017, after that Docket was brought to the Commission.

Because NorthWestern has all of the obligations and responsibilities of a public utility through 2017, NorthWestern is a public utility until the end of 2017. Because of federal law and because they effectively transferred their responsibilities, Northern is not a

public utility.

2.3

And that federal law I am referring to is FERC Order 636, which was appealed to the DC Circuit Court in 1996, and that decision is available online, for more clarification. But it is our understanding through that Order and subsequent Court Decisions that Northern is prohibited from providing gas service as a local distribution company to an end user at a retail.

Thus, this is, unfortunately, unclear at this point if any entity will be serving the 197 customers as a public utility after the end of this year.

We do recognize that our position with respect to NorthWestern has changed slightly between the initial filing and our Reply Brief. The positions we took in the Reply Brief were largely based off of information that we received through the Briefs of the other parties. After carefully reviewing this information in the three days or so we had to do so, it appears that NorthWestern did contract to control facilities in this state through 2017 and is, therefore, a public utility until the end of 2017.

I would note that when we submitted our Reply

Brief we were also working off of the assumption based

upon the depictions submitted by Northern attached to

their Brief that NorthWestern owned the odorizer and the

local distribution company three-way valve which would allow them to shut off natural gas service.

2.3

However, since we submitted that Reply Brief I'm no longer quite sure that that's the case, and I think there's disagreement between the two parties as to whether or not NorthWestern actually has any ownership of any of these facilities attached to the farm tap, which is an important question because as our statute defines public utility, owning and controlling facilities is a pivotal element in the determination. So that might be a question for those companies, and clarification is something we would greatly appreciate.

Regarding the issue of pipeline safety jurisdiction, as the Commission is aware, its jurisdiction and responsibility to conduct pipeline safety inspections differs greatly from its regulatory authority under 34A, the rate setting authority, as Boice could attest to we inspect plenty of pipelines that we don't have rate regulatory jurisdiction over.

Pursuant to Chapter 49-34B, the Commission has safety jurisdiction over intrastate transportation of gas and gas pipeline facilities. Thus, answering the question of jurisdiction posed in the first question does not necessarily answer the jurisdictional question for the purposes of pipeline safety.

When we discuss this issue we are not talking about the line from the meter to the customer's home. That is understood to be customer owned and not jurisdictional, unfortunately, to either the Federal Government, to PHMSA, or to pipeline safety. Those lines, it is understood, are not inspected.

2.3

Our main concern, however, is that someone is inspecting these farm taps, and it is our impression that that is not the case and neither PHMSA nor the South Dakota Public utilities Commission at this point are inspecting those farm taps.

I will just briefly mention the intervenor,

Montana-Dakota Utilities, just to say that we have no
information on the record as to the location, inspection
history, and ownership arrangement and, therefore, none
of our positions taken in this docket should be assumed
to automatically apply to MDU.

I would just commend them for taking the initiative to come forward and be here today and for always allowing our pipeline safety staff to inspect their farm taps and make sure they are safe for MDU's customers.

Regrettably, at this point we have likely left the Commission with more questions than answers, and I and my fellow Staff members will be available to

1 hopefully answer any questions you might have. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. DE HUECK: Thank you, Ms. Edwards. 4 At this time we're going to go to Commission 5 questions and advisor questions. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I do have questions. 7 Ms. Edwards, I've got questions for you. And I 8 see Mr. Hillmer is here. Is he also available to answer questions? 10 MS. EDWARDS: Yes, he is. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Now let me start with a 11 12 question for you. In your explanation today you talked 13 about the fact that the Commission may not have 14 jurisdiction in this area. And I ask this question maybe 15 more for the listening public than anything, but 16 frequently the PUC gets questions about, well, do you 17 regulate water service in the state? People think of 18 that as a utility type service. And the law doesn't give 19 us the authority to regulate or oversee water service. 20 And so what I'm hearing you saying is there may 21 be a question under the law whether or not we have 22 authority in this area also. Is that accurate? 2.3 MS. EDWARDS: That's accurate. These farm taps 24 were put into place before we had our regulatory 25 jurisdiction, which came about in roughly 1975, 1976, and

this isn't something the legislature may have 2 contemplated us having jurisdiction over. 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And at this point, 4 Mr. Hillmer, if I could ask you some questions. 5 In Staff's Brief there was discussion about the 6 fact that these farm taps were inspected in 2014. And I 7 want to thank Northern for the pictures that you 8 submitted as an attachment. Very, very helpful. And so, Mr. Hillmer, I want to refer to these 10 pictures. In particular this one, the comprehensive 11 one. 12 Actually let's go to this one. Let's go to the 13 wider view. 14 MR. HILLMER: Okay. 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: In 2014 how much of the 16 facility did you inspect? Was it the blue, the red, the 17 yellow, or all of the above? 18 MR. KOENECKE: Which exhibit is that, Commissioner? 19 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Hang on a second. I've got a 21 question here as to which exhibit number. I'm going to 22 have to look that up. 2.3 MR. RISLOV: Attachment B. 24 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thanks for helping me out. 25 I'm sorry, Mr. Hillmer. Go ahead.

MR. HILLMER: Actually when we were there we would look at everything. It doesn't mean that we have jurisdiction over everything. Obviously, we do not have jurisdiction over the inlet on the farm tap.

We probably would ask the operator to make sure that their outlet valve or their three-way valve, as they're calling it, is operational. So, I mean, we woul

that their outlet valve or their three-way valve, as they're calling it, is operational. So, I mean, we would inspect that. To be honest with you, on these it's more of a visual inspection to make sure that coatings and everything are good.

And in 2014 Mary was the one that inspected them. I don't know if she asked them to check relief settings and stuff like that. I highly doubt it. It was probably more of a visual inspection as far as that goes.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Did she inspect all 195 of these?

MR. HILLMER: No.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Can you tell me how many were inspected?

MR. HILLMER: I do not know, Commissioner Nelson, at this time.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: So then there was some discussion about the fact that a request was made to do an inspection in 2016. Northern resisted that, as I understand, and you all can correct me if I'm wrong

later. But would it have been true that you would have 2 3 been able to inspect the red portion of this since 4 Northern is telling us that they don't control the red 5 portion? 6 MR. HILLMER: That is true. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: But you didn't do that; is 8 that correct? MR. HILLMER: No, we did not. 10 If what Northern is saying is true, that is 11 correct. 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Understand. Understand. That 13 may be all the questions I have for you. But just hang 14 tight. 15 And so, Ms. Edwards, there's been some 16 discussion in your Briefs about the position the 17 Commission took in NG11-001. And there was a hearing on 18 March 8, 2011, that from which an Order came out. 19 In response to Commission questions at that 20 hearing, PUC Staff clearly stated that it would be 21 Northern's responsibility to continue to provide gas 22 following 2017 to the farm tap customers. Is that still Staff's position? 2.3 24 MS. EDWARDS: I wasn't here in 2011. I'm sorry. 25 Thank you, Commissioner. I wasn't here in 2011 so I

don't know exactly what went on as far as data requests behind the scene. But by responsibility they might have interpreted it as responsibility to find a subsidiary or another company who could legally not in contradiction to FERC Order 636 provide service. Maybe a subsidiary as Peoples did originally, but not being here in 2011, I can't be sure.

2.3

I think what Staff was working under the assumption was that there would always be a utility willing to come forward and do this and that the parties had made sure that was the case.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Did you listen to the recording of that hearing? Have you listened to that?

MS. EDWARDS: I did. It's been a while, but I did listen to it.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I just listened to it within the last couple of days, and it seemed to me that Commission's questions to Staff at that point were pretty pointed in that we wanted to be assured of who would continue providing this service after 2017, and the clear response was from Staff at that point was that it would be Northern's responsibility.

But I appreciate your clarification at this point.

If we could go to Staff's Brief, page 6 -- and I

should say Staff's Reply Brief. I apologize. Page 6, Section B, Paragraph 1. You've made the statement, and you reiterated it this morning, that Northwestern Energy is a public utility as it relates to the farm taps today.

That is your position; correct?

2.3

2.4

MS. EDWARDS: It is. If they have the ability to shut off gas service, then by our definition of controlling service, if you can shut it off, if you can close the valve and shut off gas service, I think it would be difficult to argue that you didn't have control over gas service to an end user.

Also when I looked at the contracts submitted by the parties I believe there was a statement in the agreement that said Peoples would serve as a public utility, and that was assigned to NorthWestern.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: So is it your opinion that SDCL 49-34A-2.1 applies to Northwestern Energy today?

MS. EDWARDS: Yes, it is.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And why would that not apply then in 2018 to Northwestern Energy?

MS. EDWARDS: There's an argument to be made, and it would be the burden of NorthWestern to advance that argument that when the Commission approved a tariff with an end date that that was understood to be allowing them to discontinue service. But that would be

NorthWestern's burden.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: In Staff's initial Brief there was considerable amount of talk about the yellow portion of the line, the line going from the odorizer to the farm itself.

And forgive me if I'm interpreting this wrong, but I got the impression that Staff's position in that initial Brief really denigrated the ability of the farmer, the customer, to maintain their own line. There was considerable question, I think, about whether those customers could take care of their own line.

And we've heard, since that, significant public comment from those customers talking about the thousands of dollars that they have invested in upgrading their lines, taking care of their lines, doing that type of thing.

Does any of that public comment that we have received since your initial Brief, does any of that change your opinion as to the ability of these farmers to take care of their own lines?

MS. EDWARDS: I'm certain that some of them are maintaining excellent facilities. Some of their facilities may be even better than some of our operator's facilities. And I'm sure some of them are doing an excellent job.

But I know in other jurisdictions there have been instances where lives have been lost because maybe somebody who didn't know where that line was, hit that line while they were tilling or putting in tile.

2.3

And I know we've had consumer issues in the past where somebody had a leak for a year and didn't know it because they simply don't have the technology at their disposal to determine whether or not they're losing gas between their tap and where they're receiving it.

So if there's one tap out there that's not being monitored and not being watched and there's an explosion, that's too many. I'm very, very grateful that so many consumers are taking such great care of their lines.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: So today in your presentation you used the word "unfortunately" as it relates to whether or not you may have the ability to inspect that line. You said unfortunately you don't have the ability to inspect that line for safety.

So I take it to mean that Staff's position is that you wish you did have the ability to inspect that line. Is that accurate?

MS. EDWARDS: From a resources standpoint it would be very difficult to inspect all of those lines, but from a safety standpoint and from a taking that burden off the customer to know that they don't have a

leak or to have some assurance that they don't have a 2 leak and to help make sure their line is locatable when 3 they're farming every spring, we would love to be able to 4 help them out, and to some extent that's regrettable. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So help me understand 6 how that differs from the tens of thousands of private propane lines that are scattered across this state that 7 8 are not inspected that customers themselves take responsibility for. 10 What's the difference? Is there one? 11 MS. EDWARDS: We don't have any jurisdictional 12 propane in the state so I'd have to ask Boice for sure. 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm talking about the safety 14 aspect of it. I mean, you're talking about that we 15 wished we had the ability to inspect these farmer-owned 16 lines to maintain and make sure that they are safe. 17 So does that also then carry over to the private 18 propane lines? I'm just trying to figure out where the 19 line is here so far as what your position is with regard 20 to safety. 21 MR. HILLMER: Can I help her answer that 22 question? 2.3 Absolutely. CHAIRMAN NELSON: 24 MR. HILLMER: I think the difference here is 25 that in a propane typically the propane tank's within 50,

1 100 foot of the house. So you're talking about a 50 foot
2 of downstream line. Where in this circumstance these
3 farm taps can be a quarter mile, half a mile out in the
4 middle of a farm field serving this residence.

So there's a lot more footage of pipe there that is not being inspected and a lot more places for things to go wrong, I guess.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

2.3

Are these meters -- they're self-read monthly or more often or less often?

MS. EDWARDS: My understanding -- and
NorthWestern would probably be the better one to answer
this, but just dealing with consumer issues in the past
is that NorthWestern's going out there once a year and
doing something of a true-up and hoping that the customer
is reading it more accurately and has not always been the
case. But NorthWestern has been going out and trueing it
up once a year.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: But it would be the expectation that the customer's reading it monthly and self-reporting monthly?

MS. EDWARDS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: So if there is a leak and the customer is doing as they're supposed to, they should be able to detect that within 30 days or less? Is that

```
accurate?
2
              MS. EDWARDS: If there was a large enough leak,
     yes, they should. They would notice a substantial
 3
 4
     increase in their gas bill. If it was a small leak,
    maybe, maybe not.
 6
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Okay. I think that's all the
7
     questions I have for Staff at this point.
8
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes. I do have a question
    of Pipeline Safety Staff.
10
              In 2014 it appears that you inspected the farm
     taps, which that area now is being considered interstate
11
12
     according to Northern?
13
              MR. HILLMER: I believe that is correct.
14
     They're saying it's nonjurisdictional.
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    So that would be
16
     interstate?
17
              MR. HILLMER: Yes.
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Help me understand as a
19
     Commissioner why that is interstate? Because it's not,
20
     you know, going from state to state. It's coming to a
21
     farm tap.
22
              MR. HILLMER: It's kind of -- maybe that's a
2.3
    question better answered by Northern, but it's coming off
24
    of their interstate line. And to the point of the
25
    valves, I know we inspect other utilities, and some of
```

the other utilities they've basically taken it over to 2 the valves off of the interstate line so that they own 3 everything after that. But that's obviously not the 4 circumstances here. 5 So I don't know how -- you know, I don't know 6 where -- I think there's a point there where we're trying 7 to figure out where their jurisdiction, Northern Natural, 8 ends and where NorthWestern ends, and that's the real question here. 10 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Do you figure that with 11 PHMSA? I mean, are you working with PHMSA on that? 12 MR. HILLMER: Mary has been in contact with them 13 quite a bit. She's been in contact with PHMSA and FERC, 14 and she has not gotten a straight answer one way or the 15 other. 16 Like the question she posed was if these farm 17 taps are all jurisdictional, why isn't PHMSA coming out 18 with a ruling that all farm taps are jurisdictional. 19 Which they're not at this time. 20 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I have one for you as 22 well, Mr. Hillmer. Thank you. 2.3 MR. HILLMER: Yes, sir. 24 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'm assuming you're 25 concerned about the safety issue with farm taps.

1 MR. HILLMER: That is correct. 2 COMMISSIONER HANSON: How many farm taps, if 3 any, does the PUC, do you, inspect at the present time? 4 MR. HILLMER: I would say there's probably about 100 of them. 5 6 COMMISSIONER HANSON: And are there challenges 7 with those farm taps? Are there safety issues with those 8 farm taps that we have run into? MR. HILLMER: You've got the same safety issues 10 as you would with a border station. You want to make 11 sure that their reliefs are set correctly, their regs are 12 working properly. 13 I mean, it's some of the same exact concerns 14 that you would have with a border station in a town. 15 mean, you're checking the same thing. 16 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Excuse me. Go ahead. 17 MR. HILLMER: And it might be a higher level 18 risk because you're coming off of a transmission line 19 that's serving, I don't know, 6, 800 pounds, whatever it 20 is, and they're cutting it down to 10 pounds. So if that 21 reg station isn't working, you're blowing 800 pounds up 22 against a residential customer's service, possibly. 2.3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Have there been some 24 challenges that you're aware of in South Dakota with the

farm taps? Is there a history of challenges?

25

1 MR. HILLMER: Last year when I was doing an 2 audit, I mean, I did find some that were not set 3 properly, not where they said they were set. They've got 4 to keep a book where each one of them's set. Not everyone's set the same. 6 So yeah. There are challenges. Or maybe the 7 relief isn't popping off where it's supposed to. Yes, 8 there are challenges there. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Perhaps you've answered 10 this in the question with Commissioner Fiegen, but I am 11 curious on the farm taps. Is there a requirement from 12 PHMSA that you are aware of that farm taps must be 13 inspected? 14 MR. HILLMER: There's kind of a muddy water 15 there. 16 PHMSA says yes, we should be inspecting farm 17 taps. But then operators are saying that FERC has 18 jurisdiction over them; therefore, they're not 19 inspectable by PHMSA or us. 20 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Who do we take our orders 21 from? 22 MR. HILLMER: Me as a gas pipeline safety? 2.3 But PHMSA is my driver, I guess, in the safety of you. 2.4 end of it. That's where I take my orders from. 25 I'm being inclusive when I COMMISSIONER HANSON:

say "we." 2 MS. EDWARDS: Mr. Commissioner, could I add on 3 to that? 4 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Certainly. 5 MS. EDWARDS: Just to clarify, when he said they 6 inspect 100 farm taps, many of those are off of 7 intrastate transmission lines such as SDIP. So the 8 intrastate and interstate jurisdictional issue is not present, and it's a lot more clear for Pipeline Safety 10 staff. 11 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. I was making 12 that assumption, but I appreciate you taking me away from 13 the assumption. 14 Thank you. Appreciate it. 15 I do have questions of Ms. Edwards. 16 First of all, and correct me if my premises are 17 inaccurate, but from the information that we have here 18 and what you've stated today, it's your belief that 19 NorthWestern is the utility and it's also your belief 20 that that comes under South Dakota Codified Law and it 21 must receive PUC permission to withdraw. And as I understand from your filings, you 22 2.3 believe that the Public Utilities Commission has already 24 given that permission under NG11-001. Is that a correct

25

premise so far?

MS. EDWARDS: I certainly believe that there's an argument to be made that they did, but it's not a clear argument. No.

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. My question is, similar to the Legislature, cannot the PUC subsequent to one action make a change in an action that it took, a previous action that it took?

In other words, can we not in a present or future docket rule that in NG11-001 that they no longer have that permission?

MS. EDWARDS: Absolutely. The Commission is never bound by precedent of past decisions. And I would certainly encourage NorthWestern not to rely on an assumption that the Commission already made that decision. If they feel that's the case, they should bring a declaratory ruling to ensure that's the case, and that is what the Commission believes.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. And argumentative question here.

The Commission, recognizing that Northwestern Energy is a utility at the present time, can we not rule that they are prohibited from shutting off the customers, and by that ruling they would continue to be -- because excuse me.

If their position as a public utility ends when

```
they shut off the consumer, if we prohibit them from
     shutting off the consumer, do they not continue to be a
2
 3
     public utility?
 4
              MS. EDWARDS: I quess I don't want to be
 5
     argumentative, but that question assumes they have the
 6
     ability to turn the valve and shut off and that that's
7
     their obligation. Right now I'm not sure that's the
8
     case.
              But without that -- barring that assumption, I
10
     would agree with your statement that you have the ability
11
     to force them to continue doing that.
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.
13
              Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14
              MR. DE HUECK: Advisors, are there any
15
     questions?
16
              MR. RISLOV:
                          No.
17
              MR. DE HUECK: If you don't mind, I had a
18
     question I'd like to ask Ms. Edwards.
19
              What type of service do these farm taps fall
20
     into? Is this the retail sale of gas? Is it the
21
     wholesale sale of gas, or is this some other category of
22
     the sale of gas?
2.3
              How do you characterize this sale of gas?
24
              MS. EDWARDS: This is the retail sale of gas,
25
     and it's covered by their tariff. I believe Section 1.8?
```

1 1.1 of NorthWestern's tariff, which establishes 2 the general rate. MR. DE HUECK: Could you elaborate on why it's 3 4 the retail sale? What makes it retail? 5 MS. EDWARDS: Because it's sale of gas to an end 6 user, would be my position. 7 MR. DE HUECK: With that, we'll turn it over to 8 Northern and let you make your oral argument. MR. PORTER: Thank you. 10 Good morning. As I said earlier, my name is 11 Rick Porter. With me is Jim Talcott and Laura Demman. 12 Appreciate the opportunity. 13 First of all, I notice Ms. Edwards stood. Dο 14 you have a preference whether we stand or sit? 15 Okay. I didn't want to be disrespectful. Ιf 16 it's okay, I'll sit. 17 Thanks for allowing us the opportunity to 18 address these issues this morning. Our goal has been and 19 continues to be to ensure that a common understanding of 20 what will happen if no one provides utility service to 21 the 195 or nearly 200 farm tap customers that received 22 notice their utility service will end as of December 31, 2.3 2017. 24 Northern was up here and talked to the 25 Commissioners and Staff in 2015 and again in 2016.

also provided quite a bit of information, two Briefs in this proceeding. We've tried to be as helpful as we can be in understanding the complexities of the issues. Many of the issues already discussed here today as far as the difference between retail and wholesale, first sale of gas, those are issues that aren't dealt with every day and are important to fully understand the jurisdictional lines that are drawn here.

2.3

We realized early on as we started to deal with this in South Dakota that there was no common view in South Dakota regarding the responsibility of service to farm tap customers, responsibility of utility service to customers.

We believe the historical facts are very important, and that's what we've tried to bring to the Commission is the understanding of the history and the corporate structure that has been in place. We've repeatedly -- Northern's repeatedly made clear that if the current utility, NorthWestern, terminates service effective December 31, 2017, the obligation to provide utility service does not default to Northern.

That is not a point that we're trying to say not us. We're trying to make sure that everybody understands the jurisdiction, understands that -- the two agreements that I'll talk about later, but the two agreements and

the importance of those agreements that are part of the history of farm taps in South Dakota.

2.3

Our persistence has been driven by our concern that if awareness is not brought to these issues, farm tap customers will be without utility service. These are farm tap customers that have enjoyed the convenience of natural gas for 50 to 60 years.

To allow farm tap service to be discontinued -and I take Counsel's point that this isn't necessarily
about discontinuation, but we can see what the end path
is. To allow the farm tap service to be discontinued
when there's an existing regulated utility
infrastructure, as we're finding out and we should have
expected, is difficult for farm tap customers to
understand.

As a -- this might be a little unconventional, but what I'm going to do with the time that I have this morning, I'm going to start with what we recommend and we, Northern Natural Gas, and then I'll get into the arguments or the questions that have been raised by Commission Staff.

I tried to as preparing for these comments think about the complexities of the issues and what would be most beneficial to the Commission, knowing that a fairly sizable amount of information has been received, detailed

information, in a very short period of time, the Briefs, and knowing that a decision on the declaratory ruling statute needs to be made quickly. So hopefully starting with the recommendation will be helpful.

2.3

Starting with Northern believes that

NorthWestern is a public utility now and will remain one
post-December 31, 2017. NorthWestern should have
continuing service obligations. And we believe that in
Docket No. NG11-001 the Commission asked the question to
Staff, as we've already discussed this morning, about
what happens after December 31, 2017?

I think Chairman mentioned that the reference was made that that would be Northern's. We tried to make clear we fundamentally disagree with that. We don't think the law or the facts support that. I think Staff is right to begin to come around on that issue.

We do not see anywhere in that Order that it says that Northwestern Energy's public utility obligation ends. So need to distinguish -- and we'll talk further about that, but the distinction between what a public utilities obligation is and what an agreement to provide service -- as the 1987 Agreement, what that Agreement means different than the Northwestern Energy's statutory obligations.

Okay. So what we recommend is the Commission --

since there's a lot of hair on this issue, we recommend that the Commission sits down with NorthWestern and works out an arrangement that is satisfactory to the Commission, satisfactory to NorthWestern, and that allows service to continue. The bottom line is we think service ought to continue to folks that have had service for 50 to 60 years.

2.3

Assuming -- so what would that deal look like?

It may be -- you may be sitting there -- it may be presumptuous, first of all, for me to suggest that the Commission and NorthWestern ought to work out a deal.

And I apologize. I don't mean it that way. But I just think that given what I'm going to describe and what we see, that's the most practical and probably the best path forward.

An arrangement would -- of that nature would include NorthWestern providing the utility service to the farm tap customers. It would also allow NorthWestern to recover the costs and earn a fair regulated return for doing so. In the same manner that other utilities have their operating costs and to the extent they own the plant, they have that in their rates as well.

I understand that there's the existing rates and whether it's rolled in rates or whether, until their next rate case, there's regulatory asset and liability,

accounting, all of those things would need to be worked out. Again, I'm not suggesting that it's an easy, straightforward process.

2.3

2.4

The point is a fairer arrangement would make it economically worthwhile for NorthWestern. Farm tap customers would continue to get gas utility service. The public and the Commission could be assured utility service is being safely provided by a sophisticated utility. And from a customer service perspective there would be continuity of service from the existing provider.

You might ask, along the presumptuous path, who are you to come in here and make this recommendation?

Fair question.

However, the bottom line is the Commission and NorthWestern cannot -- or to the extent they cannot reach an agreement, it is likely that service as of December 31, 2017, will be terminated. Because the other options available to the Commission will be more expensive and time-consuming.

For instance, as demonstrated in this proceeding, in 1985 InterNorth transferred the assets and liabilities of Peoples Natural Gas to UtiliCorp. So as of 1985 UtiliCorp owned Peoples and owned the obligation and the responsibility to provide farm tap service in the

State of South Dakota. That's all covered in our Brief.

There's a diagram in the Brief. I'd refer you to that.

2.3

2.4

So there have been transfers. UtiliCorp transferred those assets to different companies after 1985. They've transferred the Minnesota and South Dakota assets, originally transferred that to MERC. They've transferred some of the other assets to other utilities. So as of 1985 it was all -- Peoples was owned by UtiliCorp.

If, as Staff suggested at least in its initial Brief, Northwestern Energy has no obligation to serve after termination, that would mean there are two successors that the Commission could look to, and that would be Aquila and MERC. So UtiliCorp became Aquila through a change in name. Aquila then sold the assets and liabilities to MERC. And then MERC and NorthWestern entered into the arrangement over the Milbank sale.

The point being if a deal is not worked out and if the Commission would decide that Northwestern Energy doesn't have a utility obligation -- again, we think that would not be the right decision, but if that was the Commission's decision, the Commission would have a choice of pursuing Aquila or MERC or allowing service to be discontinued.

These aren't great options. Discontinuing

service would deprive service to customers currently receiving utility service. And, as I have mentioned, they've been receiving service for 50 or 60 years. This would do, in our view, a disservice to the public interest, the very interest the Commission is obligated to uphold.

2.3

If the Commission decides farm tap customers should continue to receive utility service, the only option under that circumstance would be to pursue MERC and Aquila. That would be a difficult process, I believe. MERC and Aquila, to my knowledge, neither of which are subject to the Commission's jurisdiction. I think that would be an expensive and time-consuming effort, the certainty of which would certainly be in doubt.

So, in summary, Northern would respectfully submit that the choices would be, one, find that Northwestern Energy is the utility now, which I believe there's agreement in the briefing on that, and after December 31, 2017, that they continue to be and they have the obligation to provide farm it happen service -- utility farm tap service.

If that's not the choice the Commission makes, then I think the decision -- the best option would be to work out an arrangement with Northwestern Energy to

provide that service.

2.3

There are two important issues, takeaway issues, that we hit in our Brief that I would just like to summarize. Because they are two -- there are two agreements that are important here. One is the 1985

Agreement that I mentioned between InterNorth and UtiliCorp that transferred all the assets and liabilities and obligations of Peoples to UtiliCorp.

The sale from InterNorth to UtiliCorp expressly required UtiliCorp to assume the obligations with regard to the farm taps in South Dakota.

The second agreement is referred to as the 1987 Agreement. And that was an agreement between Northern and Peoples Natural Gas. It was entered into in 1987 so almost two years after the assets and liabilities had already transferred.

The 1987 Agreement was merely to write down on paper what had been a well settled practice between two affiliates that were commonly owned for a long time.

Since 1930. So the guys in the field knew how things operated. They knew who provided utility service. And they knew who provided the interstate service.

After the sale in 1985 it was thought best to identify those obligations and to put them down on paper. That's the import of the 1987 Agreement.

Staff in its Reply Brief agreed that the first agreement I mentioned, the 1985 Agreement, transferred all the assets to Peoples Natural Gas. So there was some disagreement. It just from a legal and factual perspective, once that liability was transferred to UtiliCorp, there's not a legal or any reasonable factual point where that reverts to Northern somehow. And that's the point that we've been trying to make. I think we've made it now and had the opportunity in briefing to do so and appreciate that opportunity to do that.

2.3

The last thing I want to do is just reference -or not reference but address, excuse me, the questions
that have been posed in this proceeding. And those
questions are does the Commission have jurisdiction over
the utility providing the farm tap service? Which
entity, NorthWestern or Northern, is a public utility
with regard to the farm tap service? And are the farm
taps subject to state jurisdiction for pipeline safety?

The first question is does the Commission have jurisdiction over the utility providing the farm tap service. As outlined in our briefing, the answer is clearly yes. Both NNG, Northern, and Staff agree that NorthWestern is a gas utility subject to the Commission's exclusive jurisdiction under Section 49-34A.

Northwestern Energy operates, maintains, and

controls equipment for the purpose of providing gas service to the public in South Dakota. NorthWestern squarely fits this definition of public utility.

2.3

2.4

Chapter 34A-4 of the South Dakota Statutes require the Commission -- require the Commission to regulate every public utility.

The second question is which entity,

Northwestern Energy or Northern, is a public utility with

regard to farm tap customers. The answer is Northwestern

Energy is a public utility, as we have discussed.

Northern is not.

There is agreement between Staff, Northern, and NorthWestern that Northern is not a public utility.

NorthWestern Energy is a public utility today, and, as I mentioned earlier, we believe will be after December 31, 2017. However, that's a question that has come up in this proceeding.

I would ask how can such a large gas and electric utility say it's not a gas utility for the purpose of serving 195 farm tap customers but it is a public utility for the purposes of serving other farm tap customers that it had served prior to the MERC contract and for the purpose of serving 60 communities and 86,000 customers in the State of South Dakota?

Based on the statutory definition of public

utility referenced earlier, as well as the size and extent of Northwestern Energy's South Dakota service territory, it would be reasonable for this Commission, in Northern's view, to conclude NorthWestern is a public utility even after December 31, 2017.

2.3

As an aside, it is interesting to note the same 1987 Agreement Northwestern Energy is citing to say the obligation terminates has been partially assigned to MERC in Minnesota and Black Hills in Iowa. NorthWestern is the only utility proposing to terminate utility service to farm tap services -- or to farm taps.

All others, including MidAmerican, serve farm tap customers and will continue to serve farm tap customers.

NorthWestern argues that it only agreed with MERC to take assignment of farm taps until December 31, 2017. The Commission should not view NorthWestern's commitment so narrowly. It's important to keep in mind that NorthWestern was in the process of buying the Milbank Pipeline from Northern in 2011, but in order to do so it had to deal with MERC because MERC had service off of that Milbank line.

To take care of MERC and purchase the Milbank

Pipeline from Northern, a deal had to be reached, and it

was. The deal was that MERC would agree to take service

from Northwestern Energy, and Northwestern Energy had to take assignment of the farm tap.

2.3

Part of the value proposition for NorthWestern was taking assignment of the farm tap service obligation. It's not clear in the record where the communications broke down in the Milbank approval process between MERC and NorthWestern.

I think the Commission asked the right question, and clearly the wrong answer was provided. But given this miscommunication, I would submit to you that the farm tap customers should not lose service because of it. Farm tap utility service shouldn't be abandoned because of a misunderstanding.

The third question was are the farm taps subject to state jurisdiction for pipeline safety? Unequivocally in regard to Northern facilities -- and we've made reference to the exhibit that we had attached to our Brief, and that's the purpose that that was provided -- the answer is no. Northern facilities are subject to state pipeline -- or no Northern facilities subject to state pipeline safety inspection.

Because the Northern facilities are used to transport natural gas in interstate commerce, does not involve retail, but in interstate commerce, and the Northern facilities are regulated by FERC, they are

subject to the exclusive safety jurisdiction of PHMSA.

2.3

Any attempt by the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission to regulate the Northern facilities -- so Northern facilities being upstream of what was referred to as the three-way valve, would be barred by the United States Constitution and the express provisions of the Pipeline Safety Act at 49 U.S.C. Section 60104.

In summary, the Commission has jurisdiction over farm tap utility service as well as the utility providing it. Today that utility is Northwestern Energy.

Northwestern Energy is a public utility. Northern is not. Northern is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of FERC and for pipeline safety for PHMSA. And Northern's facilities, as I said, are not subject to state pipeline safety inspection.

Let me end by, first of all, again thanking you for the time and your attention but the time to address these questions. I appreciate the proceeding being initiated to allow parties to provide information that at the end of the day hopefully is helpful in the Commission's deliberative process.

I would like to conclude with what I believe is a real life question but one which I pose today rhetorically for your consideration as you deliberate.

Is the public interest being served if on December 31,

2017, 195 rural customers that have received gas utility service on a continuous basis for 50 to 60 years abruptly lose service, not because of anything they did but because no South Dakota utility had the obligation to serve?

And, with that, I'd be happy to answer questions.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I do have questions.

First of all, I want to thank you for the considerable amount of time that you spent in your Brief talking about the history. I think that's key to all of this.

But it also struck me that, for the most part, in your Briefs history to you all starts in 1985. And I think the history of this goes back much sooner than that. So I want to try to fill in some gaps in that history between really the initiation of building a pipeline in 1985 and try to fill in some gaps.

And so my initial questions are going to revolve around the easement between Northern and a number of the landowners. And I'm going to use the one that Staff put on as an attachment that you all used as an attachment in your last Brief to us because I think that's representative.

In the first paragraph the easement seeks to

find what is being done here, and it talks about a right, privilege, an easement to construct, maintain, and operate pipelines and impertinences thereto over and through the following the described lands.

And so you would agree, would you, that that's what Northern was seeking from the landowners? Is that correct?

MR. PORTER: That is correct.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: The second thing that I think is important in the easement for the history of this is how long was Northern seeking this right? And in the second paragraph it spells that out.

It says To have and to hold -- that almost sounds permanent -- so long as such pipelines and impertinences thereto shall be maintained -- okay.

That's a little bit less than forever -- together with the right of ingress to and egress from said premise for the purposes of constructing, inspecting, repairing, maintaining, and replacing the property of the grantee located thereon or the removal thereof in whole or in part.

And so would it be accurate that the expectation is that this easement would last as long as Northern maintains and operates the pipeline? Is that correct?

MR. PORTER: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so then we get to the third portion of what -- in exchange for this, what could be a forever commitment on the part of the landowner, what does the landowner get in return for that?

And, obviously, in the first paragraph it talks about some monetary compensation, and if you're crossing a quarter section, the landowner got 160 bucks for a forever commitment.

Would you agree?

2.3

MR. PORTER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: In the last set of paragraphs there are some additional compensation or agreements, if you will, that state As further consideration for this grant, the grantee herein agrees as follows. Number one, that it will bury all pipelines laid upon said land to a sufficient depth so as to not interfere with the cultivation of soil. Beneficial to both parties, obviously.

Second, that the grantor -- that it will pay to grantor any damages that may arise to growing crops, trees, shrubbery, fences, or buildings from the construction, maintenance, or operation of said pipelines. Okay. That makes sense. If you damage something, you're going to compensate for it.

Number three, that grantee will make or cause to

1 be made a tap at any gas pipeline constructed by grantee upon the above-described premises for the purpose of 2 3 supplying gas to grantor. And I think we all have an 4 understanding that the tap you're talking about there, 5 you're going to make a hole in the pipe and provide 6 the -- essentially in your picture, the blue portion. 7 Is that accurate? 8 MR. PORTER: Correct. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And then that paragraph ends 9 10 with the phrase "and gas to be taken under this provision 11 shall be measured and furnished to the grantor at the 12 rates and upon the terms as may be established by grantee 13 or by any vendee of the grantee from time to time." 14 And so my question coming out of that last 15 phrase is would you agree that per that language either 16 Northern or Northern's vendee has a responsibility to 17 provide gas to the grantor as long as the pipeline is 18 operational? One of those two parties? Is that correct? 19 20 MR. PORTER: Yes. Subject to knowing what 21 furnish gas means. 22 CHAIRMAN NELSON: So let me ask you, what do 2.3 you think that means to the farmer that granted the

2.4

25

easement?

MR. PORTER:

It means they're going to get gas.

That's exactly right.

2.3

2.4

That does not mean that Northern is selling the gas to them. And that's the distinction that I think is important. We have never sold the gas to the end user in the State of South Dakota.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Understand. But in this phrase, this phrase leaves open the possibility of that or that if Northern chooses not to, that you will have the responsibility of finding a vendee to do that.

Is that accurate?

MR. PORTER: I agree with that.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so the landowner that signed these easements in the 1950s, would you agree that it was their expectation that for as long as that pipeline is operational they will have gas available to them?

MR. PORTER: Commissioner, I don't mean to hedge that, but I think -- I don't know what was in the person's mind signing this easement. We've got a lot of easements. Northern has a lot of easements with what we refer to as a farm tap provision in it that are not receiving gas. They've just never asked for it. They've never asked for a tap.

So I don't know what was in a particular landowner's mind at that time. I would think if they

were planning on asking for service, they were looking for access to the pipeline, and then arrangements would have to be made for the gas.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And that's fair. I would agree with that. You can't know what was in each person's mind 60 years ago.

So maybe the last question I've got from the actual easement is this: Is it correct that it would violate the easement provisions if neither Northern nor Northern's vendee continued to provide gas?

MR. PORTER: I would say no. I don't think it does.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And please explain that.

MR. PORTER: I will. Thank you.

Northern's obligation -- as the law has changed over time, Northern's obligation is as a interstate pipeline. We get gas to our farm tap facilities. Farm tap facilities owned by us. It is somebody else's responsibility, today Northwestern Energy's, to purchase the gas, nominate it on our pipeline for receipt, and then delivery at the delivery point.

It's not Northern's responsibility -- should, in this case, the utility refuse to do that job, it's not Northern's responsibility under this easement to do anything other than what it agreed to do, and that is to

make a tap available and furnish gas under the
circumstances involved. And that would be somebody's got
to nominate it. Somebody's got to buy it.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So I understand your position and what you just said as it relates to Northern and particularly as it goes back to the FERC Order that Staff mentioned.

But the easement language has an "or" in it. It says Northern or a vendee of Northern. One or the other. And you've made the case, I think adequately so, that it's not Northern. It can't be.

But that to me leaves the only other option, and that is that Northern must have a vendee to provide gas to the landowner. Is that not correct?

MR. PORTER: The language that you're referring to, if I'm reading it correctly, says at the rates and upon the terms as may be established by grantee or any vendee of grantee from time to time.

I believe the way I read that provision may be a little bit different.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Feel free.

MR. PORTER: To me what that is saying, it's referring to the rates and upon the terms that may be established by the vendee pursuant to somebody earlier referred to NorthWestern's Tariff, Section 1.1. The

1 terms of what that -- and the price of what that's being provided for is established by vendee. 2 3 I think the language earlier is what addresses 4 Northern's obligation to make available. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: So is NorthWestern today your 6 vendee? 7 MR. PORTER: We don't refer to them as a vendee 8 in normal conversation, but under the language of this easement, I would say yes. 10 I would say I've never referred to them as a 11 vendee. 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Understand. There's obviously 13 language in here that we don't use so much anymore. But 14 going back -- again, going back to the beginning of 15 history, you would consider them to be your vendee today. 16 And so then I guess maybe the ultimate guestion 17 is if they cease to be your vendee, do you have a 18 responsibility for a replacement vendee under the 19 language of the easement? 20 MR. PORTER: I do not think Northern Natural has 21 an obligation to go out and get somebody under the terms 22 of this easement. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Let's go to your Brief, 24 your initial Brief, page 5. And the last sentence on

25

page 5 -- are you with me?

1 MR. PORTER: Not yet, sir. 2 Okay. I'm with you. 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Last sentence on page 5, 4 Although the pipeline easement permitting the pipeline to 5 be built across the landowner's property was granted to Northern, Peoples as a separate affiliate of Northern 6 7 provided natural gas utility service to farm tap 8 customers. So my question is was Peoples your vendee per 10 the language of the easement? 11 MR. PORTER: Yes. Again, I've never thought of 12 them, but under the language -- the wording in the 13 easement I would say that they're the vendee. 14 Okay. So the document that is CHAIRMAN NELSON: 15 missing in all of this proceeding is where is the 16 document that shows the rights, responsibilities, 17 obligations, liabilities of Peoples at that point? 18 I mean, obviously Peoples and Northern were 19 affiliate companies, but there had to be some document 20 where Northern designated Peoples as the vendee per the 21 language of the easement and what the rights, 22 responsibilities, obligations, assets were at that time. Where is that? 2.3 2.4 I am not aware of a document as you MR. PORTER: 25 described. What happened over time from the time that

1 Peoples was incorporated in 1930 was two affiliates 2 worked together. Northern provided interstate 3 transmission, the interstate service. Peoples provided 4 the local retail service in Iowa, South Dakota, Minnesota, Kansas, all the states that Peoples was in. 6 They worked side by side at the town border stations or at facilities all over our system. They had 7 8 a common ultimate owner. I'm not aware of any document that lays out that 10 a person for Peoples is going to do this and a person for 11 Northern, the interstate transmission company's, going to 12 do that. I was not aware of one. The only one I'm aware 13 of is in 1987 where they went to the effort of laying 14 that out. 15 We tried to supplement this board with a long 16 time employee that was manager of the operations at that 17 time, Keith Peterson. We included his Affidavit to 18 support the historical practices. But I wish I had a 19 document I could give you. There just isn't one. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And you didn't bring 21 Mr. Peterson with you today. 22 MR. PORTER: Did not. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I appreciate his attempt. 24 His statements, obviously, were pretty vague that, yeah,

I know, but beyond that they really weren't helpful in

25

answering the question that I want answered.

2.3

And ultimately we've talked a lot about what the 1985 Agreement, what it transferred, but what I don't know is what did Peoples actually have to transfer?

Okay. Because we don't know what Northern provided to Peoples. We don't know what that relationship was back in the 1950s. Okay.

MR. PORTER: Just let me try this.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.

MR. PORTER: There are three three- to four-inch binders that I've come across during this process that document the InterNorth sale to UtiliCorp. So I didn't mean to suggest that there weren't any documents. And they identify assets. They identify easements. They identify the things that you would expect to see in an asset purchase agreement.

There's normal language of all of Peoples

Natural Gas's assets and liabilities are being purchased.

I just want to make sure -- I didn't mean to mislead you because there is that kind of documentation, but there is no document that says Joe that works for Peoples or Mary that works for Northern is going -- carries out these functions.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, and I appreciate that. So let me ask a concrete question that may help you

understand where I'm trying to fill in. And I'm going to go back to the very helpful picture.

2.3

So I understand the blue. And I want to focus on the red. We've got the three-way valve, and we've got the odorizing can. Who paid for it initially, and who installed it?

MR. PORTER: Very good question. You asked that earlier, and it wasn't my time so I appreciate you asking it again. Not Northern.

So, again, I'm not trying to be evasive. We only know -- Northern only knows up to the end of the blue. We have all of those facilities on our -- on our books and records. We've received FERC certification to construct under our blanket certificate. When we have to remove a facility we get approval, and we specifically identify those facilities up to not including the three-way valve.

So the three-way valve which goes down into the mercaptan tank and then into the service line, we did identify these pictures the way we did, and it does say LDC. I believe, frankly, in my review you will see a mixture. So I'm not trying, again, to -- I'm just trying to be forthright.

Downstream of our facilities, I'm not sure. I would not -- I'm not qualified to say one way or the

other. I know I've seen it both ways. 2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: So just so I'm clear, you 3 don't know who installed or who paid for the three-way valve or the odorizer can; is that correct? 4 5 MR. PORTER: Yeah. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: So Northern designated Peoples 7 as your vendee, but you don't know as your vendee what 8 they paid for or what they installed; is that correct? MR. PORTER: As I sit here today for Northern 10 Natural Gas, all those -- let's assume that Peoples did 11 pay for that. I don't have any records that would 12 indicate that. 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. 14 MR. PORTER: So I don't have any way to verify. 15 I can verify what Northern's paid for. 16 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. So who owns those two 17 pieces today? 18 I'm sorry. The --MR. PORTER: 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: The three-way valve and the 20 odorizer can. 21 MR. PORTER: That's what I was intending to 22 address. Not sure. We thought it was as laid out in the 2.3 pictures. Somebody could disagree with that and I 24 wouldn't be able to verify that one way or the other. 25 know it is not Northern Natural Gas. So it is either the

1 end user, the farm tap owner, or the regulated utility. CHAIRMAN NELSON: So we have -- we have a no 2 3 man's land. Nobody wants to claim ownership of that. Ιs 4 that accurate? 5 MR. PORTER: I believe that's accurate. 6 I know it's not Northern, but I don't know what the arrangement was between the utility and the farm tap 7 8 owner. CHAIRMAN NELSON: I appreciate your patience 10 with me answering those questions to get us through 11 pre-'85. So let's look at the 1985 Agreement. 12 In the first whereas clause, Part B, it says as part of the sale UtiliCorp is assuming all of Peoples' 13 14 rights, duty, liabilities, and obligations in regard to 15 farm taps along Northern's pipeline system. 16 So is there a document that defines what those 17 are? 18 I mean, I find it amazing we've got a two-page 19 agreement to sell an entire company? There's got to be 20 more. 21 MR. PORTER: Excuse me. No. There are three 22 volumes of documents. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Those are the three you were referring to? 24 25 MR. PORTER: Those are the three volumes.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to regret asking the 2 question, but go ahead. 3 MR. PORTER: InterNorth, Inc. selling the assets 4 and liabilities of Peoples Natural Gas. So selling Peoples' assets and liabilities to UtiliCorp, Inc. 6 That was a large transaction with assets in six, 7 seven, eight states from Kansas to Michigan, Minnesota, 8 South Dakota, Nebraska, Iowa, Missouri. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And in Section A it talks 10 about the purchasing of the assets of Peoples. 11 the three-way valve and the odorizer part of Peoples' 12 assets to actually sell? 13 MR. PORTER: Again, sir, I don't -- I don't 14 We don't have the -- I will say this, Chairman: know. 15 Looking through those three volumes of documents that 16 transferred the assets and liabilities, they don't get 17 down into that level of detail. They don't get down into 18 three-way valves or mercaptan tanks. 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I can appreciate that. 20 Obviously, what I'm struggling with is -- and you've said 21 that Northern didn't install or pay for those. 22 MR. PORTER: Correct. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: But I don't have 24 documentation -- I have your word. I don't have 25 documentation of that. And so I'm trying to figure out

who actually owns those two pieces. And I'm trying to see the paper trail of from when they were installed to today and obviously that's -- I'm not going to find that.

MR. PORTER: I appreciate what you're asking.

Northern's records -- I want to be clear. We do have records on what Northern owns and operates, what we have authority to construct and what we've over time abandoned. We have a -- we have documentation for that.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Right.

2.3

MR. PORTER: I can't speak to Peoples or the utilities after that. I wish I could because I get your question, and I understand it.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: So let's go to 1987 Agreement. Section 5. "This agreement shall continue from its effective date first written above to and including May 31, 2017. Peoples may terminate this agreement effective any time after May 31, 2017, by providing six months' prior written notice to Northern."

And so it was everybody's understanding who signed off on this, including Northern, that Peoples could terminate the farm tap service in 2017; correct?

MR. PORTER: As far as I know, sir, everybody's understanding regarding this agreement as written in regards to the termination provision.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so with Northern

understanding that in 1987, Northern would have also understood that if Peoples exercised their option to cancel in 2017, that that would have left Northern without a vendee. Is that correct?

2.3

MR. PORTER: We believe it would have done two things in regards to the services that are identified under this 1987 Agreement.

There's meter reading. The vendee just from historical practices and what was transferred to UtiliCorp, did not have any obligation to read the meter and give that information to us on a monthly basis.

That is -- it makes sense for them to do that because they need that information anyway, but that would not be covered, nor would the obligation which is covered in the '87 Agreement, what do the parties do when a landowner that has a farm tap provision in their easement says I want service now and they give us written notice that they want service. That also needed to be addressed and is addressed in the '87 Agreement.

So those two provisions, if the '87 Agreement was terminated, those two would be unresolved, would need to be addressed.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: But you would also lose a vendee that actually provides the gas to the customer; correct?

MR. PORTER: I don't -- no, sir. I think that they have -- we would lose the vendee under this contract, but it's their obligation to do those things under the 1985 Agreement.

So I think they're still -- they may be able to terminate the '87 Agreement, but they can't terminate the obligation that they took as a result of the corporate

2.3

2.4

purchase.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: In the same agreement, the '87 Agreement, under Section 1, Performance of Services, "Northern acknowledges that Peoples shall operate as a public utility."

But when we compare that to the Section 5 termination clause, it appears that Northern acknowledged that a public utility could terminate service. Is that accurate?

MR. PORTER: No, sir. I don't think it is. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Yeah.

MR. PORTER: I think it's more descriptive in paragraph 1, saying they're going to operate as a public utility. The only -- statute, law, is going to define whether somebody is, in fact, a public utility or not.

This agreement nor the -- nor would it change the status of Northwestern Energy in the transaction with MERC. It's got to be by statute.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: I think that's all the questions I've got at this point.

Thank you.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you, Adam.

First of all, certainly thank you for coming here, and thank you for meeting with us, et cetera, because public interest of farm taps is certainly important to the Commission and that we continue the service. Also getting accurate information and not pointing fingers and et cetera.

We just need to figure out this. And if the Commission can. This might, unfortunately, be a Circuit Court situation instead of a Commission situation.

When I read everything, and as you know there's lots of information here, I'm amazed that Northern signed a couple of agreements with distribution companies that they could actually terminate their service in 2017.

Help me understand why Northern signed those agreements and then are here today and are baffled that a distribution company wants to exercise their agreement that it looks like Northern signed, to me, that they can terminate the service.

MR. PORTER: The words of the 1987 Agreement are what they are. And they can terminate that. We don't dispute that one bit.

1 We do -- we also believe that because the 1985 2 transfer of the obligation to provide service, utility 3 service, to farm tap customers, that even if they should 4 under the terms of the '87 Agreement terminate it, that doesn't take away their obligation to serve. 6 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And that's your opinion, 7 of course. Not maybe everybody's opinion but certainly 8 yours. MR. PORTER: If I may, I mean, it's also the 10 effect of the corporate -- the 1985, the legal effect of 11 the transfer of obligations and liabilities to UtiliCorp. 12 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: In the 1985 -- if I 13 remember right, in the 1985 Agreement that you signed, 14 though, couldn't you at any time -- there was a certain 15 date that you could actually pull away that vendorship 16 distribution to those customers? You could terminate the 17 agreement? 18 MR. PORTER: The 1987 Agreement allows Northern 19 to --20 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Terminate until '88 it 21 Like July something of '88. 22 MR. PORTER: I think that's -- I can give you 2.3 that answer. 2.4 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Something like that. 25 MR. PORTER: It says Northern may terminate this

1 agreement effective any time after July 20, 1988. 2 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Well, my husband's 3 birthday. Good job. 4 MR. PORTER: Well, happy birthday to your 5 husband. 6 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: There has certainly been 7 lots of discussion with the farm tap customers from 8 NorthWestern and from Northern Natural Gas. It appears that when I look at -- when we met you, you had a -- you 10 had a farm tap line, and it looks like so many of your 11 customers are in MidAmerican's territory. Do you know out of those 195 customers that 12 13 you're talking about now -- and it looked like it was 14 206 when I met you maybe, but it must have went down to 15 195. How many of those are MidAmerican territory? MR. PORTER: I'm not sure of where -- are you 16 17 talking from an electric perspective? I didn't 18 understand -- I'm a little confused by the terminology 19 because I didn't think gas distribution companies had service territories. 20 21 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Well, okay. You're right. 22 They don't have service territories. But their trucks 2.3 might be closer than other trucks because that 2.4 distribution company may actually be serving that 25 community.

```
1
              MR. PORTER: Uh-huh. I understand what you're
2
     saying.
 3
              I don't know. I would guess down in the
 4
     southeast corner I believe that's a MidAmerican area --
 5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Have you talked to
 6
     MidAmerican?
                   I mean, that is actually -- you guys have
7
     at least a little bloodline relationship.
8
              MR. PORTER: With MidAmerican?
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
10
              MR. PORTER:
                           No, I have not.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Actually your parent
12
     company has.
13
              MR. PORTER:
                           That's right.
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    And you guys have not
15
     talked to MidAmerican about providing farm tap service to
16
     South Dakota customers, although they're a distribution
17
     company and they might serve areas that are relatively to
18
     these farm taps?
19
              And I understand there's no service territory,
20
     but they're relatively close. You guys have not talked
21
     to MidAmerican?
22
              MR. PORTER:
                           I have not.
                                        I don't know if
2.3
     there's been -- certainly our owner knows that this issue
24
     is going on. I'm not aware of discussions. It is not
25
     something that they've probably given a lot of thought to
```

since it's not an issue. It's not their issue. And they're plenty happy dealing with their own issues let alone Northern's. And we don't see it as our obligation.

It's a good question. It might be worth talking about. But as far as I'm aware, nothing.

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Have you had the opportunity to talk to MERC?

MR PORTER: We have talked -- certainly we have talked to MERC in regards to farm taps in Minnesota. And those discussions are ongoing. They have the same issues that NorthWestern -- same agreement, 1987 Agreement, that they're looking at and the same 1985 Agreement.

We have sent them the Briefs in this proceeding so they would have them and so that they would know our arguments and what we believe is going on. So, yes, we have had discussions in that regard.

your oral argument you talked about other farm taps that you deal with in other states like Iowa, et cetera. Several, several states. And you pretty much have said that this is new that a distribution company would terminate -- is that what you said? Something like that, Greg?

MR. PORTER: Not remembering exactly what you're referring to, but I would say that we have never had this

issue with a LDC, a local distribution company, saying they're no longer -- you know, maybe the homeowner moves and they don't need the tap with abandoned farm taps that -- FERC jurisdictional facilities would abandon that.

So I just want to be clear. We've done that, but we've never had an issue where a regulating utility has just walked away and told us that they're not going to provide service.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And when you talk about that, it appears that that was part of that Milbank exchange when they bought the Milbank Pipeline. This was part of the deal. Somebody used the word "deal" today.

MR. PORTER: I did.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: That they would provide service from whatever, 2011 to 2017. Which is not longstanding. I mean, that's very brief when you look at your history of service to farm taps in South Dakota.

But when you talk about other states are you talking about apples to apples when you're talking about companies that have bought pipeline and then you gave them like a -- or somebody gave them a five-year -- not you, but MERC gave them a five-year deal to provide service. Is that the same thing or --

MR. PORTER: In the State of South Dakota,

Minnesota, Iowa. I'm not sure about Michigan. But at least those states that I mentioned were all states served by Peoples Natural Gas, that Peoples Natural Gas had service responsibilities to.

2.3

And they're also states that Northern's interstate pipeline goes through. So certainly as UtiliCorp that acquired all the assets and liabilities in all the states, they've sold them off to maybe three different companies now.

So Black Hills owns the Iowa facilities. MERC owns the Minnesota facilities. They did own -- or have obligations to the South Dakota farm tap customers until the transfer to NorthWestern. I think I mentioned Iowa was Black Hills.

Does that answer your question?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So then like Black Hills, when they bought some of the Iowa lines --

MR. PORTER: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: -- they also have the opportunity to terminate service in 2017?

MR. PORTER: They do. And they have a proceeding that is ongoing right now in front of the Iowa Utilities Board that where Black Hills has proposed -- not perfectly familiar with it, but has proposed an inspection program where they would inspect

the farm tap from Northern's jurisdictional facilities to
the farm tap owner's facilities.

2.3

They would look at that line. They would inspect it. If it was not in -- if it didn't meet standards, it would replace it. And this filing before the utilities board then addresses, obviously, the cost recovery of doing so.

So that is an ongoing proceeding right now in front of the Iowa Utilities Board. Over time there's been, and I cite in our Brief, one instance where Black Hills has been before the Iowa Utilities Board. The Iowa Utilities Board has exercised jurisdiction over farm tap services.

We've had that discussion. They know that the obligation is within their public utility jurisdiction to regulate. So they have stepped up to that, and now they're in the process of establishing what a Black Hills program, if you will, will look like to be able to handle -- post-December 31, 2017, will be able to transition long term.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Thank you. That was helpful.

Also you saw the filing of our pipeline safety manager on safety. Safety is always a concern.

It appears that your company filed -- I don't

1 know if it was with PHMSA or FERC. I think it was with 2 PHMSA -- a distribution report. Can you help me 3 understand that? 4 MR. PORTER: The report or why --5 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Why was it a distribution 6 report? Why was it a distribution report? 7 MR. PORTER: Good question. And we do not look 8 at facilities downstream of ours. So the three-way valve downstream, those are not our facilities. 10 PHMSA -- we called up PHMSA and said, hey. 11 do you want us to handle it? It's not our facilities. 12 They said file a distribution form. So that's what we've 13 been doing. 14 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Huh. That makes us all 15 very confused, you know. 16 Why is that? MR. PORTER: 17 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Because -- yeah. 18 Interstate, intrastate, distribution transmission, all of 19 that. So that gets to be kind of confusing when PHMSA 20 looks like -- I don't know if they changed their mind on 21 some things or --22 MR. PORTER: Yeah. I can't tell you, 2.3 Commissioner, what's in PHMSA's mind as far as why they 24 wanted us to use that particular forum. But I do know 25 what the Pipeline Safety Act from a legal perspective --

```
1
     FERC jurisdictional facilities subject to PHMSA, I know
2
     what facilities those are, and that's everything, if you
 3
     will, upstream of the three-way valve.
 4
              So how PHMSA -- and trying to work with them on
 5
     a day-to-day basis, how they want us to -- we just
 6
     oblige.
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: All right. And I think
8
     we're all working with all of that.
              Mr. de Hueck, those are my questions for now.
10
                    (Discussion off the record)
11
              MR. DE HUECK: Commissioner Hanson.
12
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Good
13
     afternoon -- good morning still, I guess.
14
              MR. PORTER: It is for a few more minutes. Good
15
     morning.
                                    I'm going to be asking
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
17
     questions on three documents that you filed with us.
18
     Those three are a May 6 letter that's shown as Exhibit A
19
     that was sent to Mary Zanter from Mr. Talcott.
20
     second is a letter that was sent November 23. And that
21
     was created -- I'm not sure who created it, but it was
22
     sent by -- how do you pronounce your last name?
2.3
              MR. TALCOTT: Talcott.
2.4
              MS. DEMMAN: Demman.
25
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Thank you. Was sent by
```

1 Ms. Demman. Rickie Mork. 2 (Discussion off the record) 3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I believe the portion I 4 ended with that you probably missed was the letter was prepared to be sent to a Rickie Mork. 6 The third instrument is a November 3 -- dated 7 November 3, and it was sent to Chairman Nelson from 8 Ms. Demman as well. First of all, on the May 6 letter, the letter 10 was in response to and refers to an e-mail from 11 Mary Zanter. And that e-mail -- do we have a copy of 12 that e-mail? 13 MR. TALCOTT: I can't remember whether we made 14 it part of the record or not. 15 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I don't believe I've read 16 that e-mail, but I was just curious. 17 MR. TALCOTT: We did provide a couple of e-mail 18 strings, and I don't know how far back they went. 19 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. 20 Mr. Talcott, I read somewhere years ago where at 21 least a third of all -- if not 40 percent of all e-mails 22 are misinterpreted by the fact that we're reading 2.3 something that someone's writing quickly, and sometimes 24 we misinterpret their attitude. 25 From your response, though, it appears that

you've found the e-mail to be too aggressive? Is that --

2 MR. TALCOTT: I wouldn't say too aggressive.

Staff have over the Northern assets.

2.3

The discord that goes back between -- discourse that goes back between Northern and SD PUC Safety Staff goes all the way back to 2012. And we've had a number of e-mails and correspondence over this issue number three today, and that is what jurisdiction does the Commission Safety

And I don't have the April 26 e-mail in front of me. I don't know if I brought it with me. But, as I recall, the tenor of the e-mail was that someone in the SD PUC Safety department was threatening enforcement action against Northern. And the whole purpose for this discourse was to lay out our position that the Commission does not have safety jurisdiction over Northern's assets and so could not issue an enforcement letter.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you.

In your response you stated in the first sentence -- and I won't read the entire sentence. You referred to the letter. You state that Mary Zanter requested from Northern a copy from Northern to its customers in South Dakota regarding, and then you cite.

However, it does not appear that you provided that letter. You basically argue in your response not to provide that letter. Is that accurate?

MR. TALCOTT: I think that's accurate. In the background, as I recall it, I don't remember the back and forth regarding 49 CFR 192.16. I didn't prepare for that today. I was more prepared to talk about interstate facilities and that.

2.3

The letter, as I understand it, my recollection of 49 CFR 192.16 is a letter that that regulation requires go out to end users. And I think that -- subject to check, I think the purpose of the regulation is to let customers know downstream of certain assets that do transport gas and are inspected and maintained versus assets that transport gas downstream that may not necessarily be inspected and maintained by whoever is sending the letter -- whoever is required to send the letter on this regulation.

and in this context here that we're talking about, it would be the service line. It would be the facilities from the three-way valve to the house. My recollection is the purpose of this 49 CFR 192.16 is to let people know downstream that that service line is not being inspected by whoever sends the letter.

That's my understanding of the regulation. And the back and forth between myself and Safety Staff was, first, that, with all due respect, the safety Staff of

1 the Commission does not have jurisdiction to require Northern to send that letter.

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The bottom line is I think with respect to -and I don't know if this is universal across all states and across all farm taps, but my best understanding is it's not Northern that sends out that letter with respect to farm taps.

My understanding is that -- I don't know if it's universal, but my understanding is the letter that's required by this regulation is actually sent by the person providing service to those people, which would be MERC, Peoples Natural Gas, Northwest Public Service. That's a big explanation.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I was looking for a Yes. yes or no, but I appreciate it. Thank you.

So, yes or no, was there a letter sent? You've placed the foundation, an explanation of why a letter may not have been sent. However, was a letter sent?

To the best of my knowledge, in MR. TALCOTT: the State of South Dakota a letter was not sent by Northern to farm tap end users as a result of this regulation.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Ms. Demman, is that your understanding as well?

> I have no different knowledge than MS. DEMMAN:

```
Mr. Talcott on that issue. Yes, it's my understanding.
2
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    The November 23 letter --
 3
    or at least the letter that was sent to us on November 23
 4
     and addressed to Rick Mork, as it was filed with some
     other information before us at that time, Ms. Demman, is
 6
     that a copy of that letter?
7
              MS. DEMMAN: Yes. That's a -- one of the
8
     letters that was sent to customers.
                                          It was a mail merge,
     and so we gave you one as an example of one letter.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Might have to speak just a
11
     smidge louder.
12
              MS. DEMMAN: Yes. That was one of the letters
13
     that was sent. It was a copy of the same letter that
14
    went to 195 customers, and it was a mail merge so we gave
15
     you one to -- addressed to Mr. Mork.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: So this is dated
17
    November 23 because you sent it to us on November 23; is
18
    that correct?
19
              MS. DEMMAN: Because we sent it on -- to the
20
     customers and to you.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: You also sent it to the
22
    customers on November 23?
2.3
              MS. DEMMAN: We did.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: To your knowledge, was
25
     there any letter prior to this one sent to customers?
```

1 MS. DEMMAN: Not by Northern Natural Gas. 2 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Curiosity, 3 Mr. Talcott. In the first sentence again on the May 6 4 letter you stated that Ms. Zanter had requested a letter. 5 That letter you referred to sent from Northern to its 6 customers in South Dakota. 7 So do you regard those 100 plus to be your 8 customers? MR. TALCOTT: No, sir. 10 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Because you state from 11 Northern to its customers in South Dakota. 12 MR. TALCOTT: I didn't put quotes on it, but I 13 believe to the best of my knowledge I was paraphrasing 14 the inquiry that came from Mary. Our customers are the 15 shippers that have a contract with us under our FERC tariff to transport gas to the custody transfer point at 16 17 a farm tap, which is immediately before the three-way 18 valve. 19 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. 20 There's been a significant amount of discussion 21 and writing pertaining to who's on first here. And in 22 regards to whether FERC or PHMSA's regulating who where. 2.3 In my experiences, oftentimes I find that there 24 are more than one Fed department that feels that they're

in charge. Is that possibly the case here, where FERC

25

and PHMSA both have regulation responsibilities?

2.3

MR. TALCOTT: Absolutely. There's no -- in our opinion there's no doubt about that.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: And is not the PUC required to engage in those activities that are required of us by PHMSA in regard to regulation and interaction in this case with Northern?

MR. TALCOTT: If I understand your question carefully, I don't agree. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission has exclusive jurisdiction over Northern with regard to matters that are dealt with in the Natural Gas Act. By federal statute, by the same token, PHMSA has exclusive jurisdiction over the safety operation of Northern's assets.

And as we've outlined in our Briefs and then I think in the subsequent letter that we filed yesterday, by virtue of the Pipeline Safety Act, it expressly says that states may not -- may not regulate the safety aspects of interstate pipelines.

And we've demonstrated that we are an interstate pipeline by virtue of the fact that we transport gas in interstate commerce, and the facilities for which the gas is transported to Northwest at these farm taps, those facilities are regulated by FERC. It's a two-prong question in the Pipeline Safety Act statute, very clearly

answered that, yes, we are an interstate pipeline regulated by PHMSA.

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER HANSON: So if you are an interstate pipeline regulated by PHMSA and the farm taps are under FERC -- is that what you're saying?

MR. TALCOTT: The rate regulation is FERC, and the safety regulation of the Northern facilities as we characterize them and describe them is under the safety jurisdiction of PHMSA.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: So is PHMSA examining those farm taps?

MR. TALCOTT: They have the exclusive jurisdiction to. My understanding of their attention or inspection of farm tap facilities is inconsistent across Northern's pipeline system.

There are different areas of PHMSA. There's a regional office in Kansas City. There's a regional office in Texas. I don't know where the Northern region is off the top of my head. Different PHMSA inspectors go out for different parts of the Northern system, and they're not necessarily consistent.

I know that in talking with Northern's Pipeline Safety personnel in preparation for this meeting, I know for a fact that on some of the audits the farm tap facilities are, in fact, inspected by PHMSA inspectors.

I can't say that it's consistent across the system. 2 But the fact -- if it is a fact that PHMSA 3 inspectors do not go out and during a routine inspection 4 don't go out and inspect each of the farm taps, that does not defer them to state jurisdiction. 6 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I understand that. It's a 7 curiosity with me. Because PHMSA, my familiarity with 8 PHMSA is that they are extremely anal and that they are first on the scene to condemn if a state has not dotted 10 every I and done everything perfectly. So it would 11 surprise me if they are not examining the farm taps. 12 And are you aware of how often, the frequency, in South Dakota? 13 14 MR. TALCOTT: I am not, sir. 15 COMMISSIONER HANSON: The November 3 letter, 16 Ms. Demman, you state in the second paragraph at the end of the paragraph that "The impediment to continue the 17 18 farm tap service is that no utility has stepped in to 19 provide the retail distribution service NorthWestern plans to abandon." 20 21 I understand, however, during the discussion 22 here that Northern has not made an effort to find another 2.3 operator. Is that correct? 2.4 MS. DEMMAN: That's correct. 25 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, if that is the

impediment, I have to ask why not.

2.3

2.4

MS. DEMMAN: Well, as Mr. Porter said, you know, our view is that NorthWestern is currently the public utility providing service. And it seems that it makes the most sense for them to continue providing service to the customers who they're currently billing and providing services to.

And so we have not considered that some other -- that it's our obligation to provide some other utility to do that.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, if you don't feel it's your obligation legally, morally don't you have an obligation?

MS. DEMMAN: We feel we had a moral obligation to raise this to the Commission and to bring it to your attention because we're concerned about continuity of service to the farm tap customers. And we believe NorthWestern is in the best position to continue to do that.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: So you have a distributor of your product who's notified you that they're not going to distribute your product anymore, but as a company you feel that you'll just not serve those customers anymore?

MR. PORTER: Let me, Commissioner, address that if I may. When you say your product, first off, the

1 natural gas is not Northern's. We are the highway that 2 transports. 3 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I understand that. 4 MR. PORTER: Okay. So when the gas is 5 purchased, we will transport it. We will provide the 6 service that we're set up to and that we do provide and 7 provide reliably. 8 So if somebody nominates it, goes out, finds the 9 gas, and nominates on our system, we'll provide that 10 service. COMMISSIONER HANSON: 11 I understand that. And 12 you stated that Northern does not have an obligation to 13 pursue a vendor. And you are obviously speaking from a 14 legal aspect. 15 But I'll ask you the same question. Don't you 16 believe that you have a moral responsibility? 17 MR. PORTER: No, sir. 18 COMMISSIONER HANSON: None whatsoever? 19 MR. PORTER: Our obligation is to raise it to 20 this Commission. Moral obligation, if that's what we're 21 talking about, is to raise it to this Commission so that 22 they can make a determination, since it's in our belief 2.3 under this Commission's jurisdiction under South Dakota

Law that the Commission can make appropriate decisions

regarding the continuation or discontinuation of that

2.4

25

service.

2.3

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Both of your statements are interesting from the standpoint of the letter that was sent November 23. You say you have an obligation to raise it and to have this forum apparently, and yet the letter that was sent on November 23 is then inflammatory and misleading because you state in the letter on page 2 "Why would the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission allow discontinuance of my utility service?"

You're placing this in front of those consumers as if why in the world would the Public Utilities

Commission be doing this? And yet now you're sitting here saying that that is something that you wanted to see done.

You state "Does the South Dakota Public
Utilities Commission believe the longstanding service to
farm tap customers is outdated or somehow inappropriate?"
You have five different statements that you could have
answered for those folks and explained to them of why
we're having this, instead of alarming them.

For instance, the fourth statement, "If
Northwestern Energy no longer serves my farm tap, who
should I call to investigate possible leaks or respond to
emergencies?" Well, there wouldn't be any leaks. There
wouldn't be any emergencies if no one was serving them.

So you're alarming them to all of these issues, but now you're sitting here saying you have no moral obligation whatsoever and you have no legal obligation but yet you're inciting these consumers. And you're misleading them as to the purpose of this meeting.

I'll let you respond in a second.

2.3

If you look at the first page, the second paragraph, "The Commission is evaluating whether it should discontinue regulation of farm tap service after doing so for decades." And I probably should read that entire paragraph prior to that because it all builds on a -- on footings and on the foundation of trying to incite those consumers. And I found it offensive.

If you'd like to respond, you may.

MR. PORTER: Yes, I would, and Laura may as well.

First of all, in regards to you finding it offensive, I apologize on behalf of the company. That is not the purpose or the intent of the communication. The purpose and intent of the communication, we had for months and actually over a year been reaching out to this Commission and to Staff trying to talk through issues.

Northwestern Energy sent a letter out to its customers that we thought was very unbalanced and very one sided. So we thought it was appropriate to send the

easement holders a letter regarding what we see as the status, if you will, or the nature of ongoing service.

2.3

And we tried to pose some questions that we think are fair questions. And the intention was not to incite anything. It was to make sure they were aware of both sides. And we tried to point those issues out to them. Not trying to incite anything or offend anybody, but we did believe that a direct communication was appropriate.

And given the feedback that we're receiving and the calls that we received, we think it hit that mark.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Hit what mark?

MR. PORTER: Hit the goal of encouraging the customers to know what's going on and if they're interested or if they're concerned, to get involved.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: The mark of informing them of what was taking place could have been done by, for instance, the three issues that were brought up at the beginning of this meeting for the purposes of this meeting regarding who has jurisdiction.

There are certain things in this letter that one could construe could have been written far better. And as you read this letter, I'm sure you would agree with me. And there are items in this letter that should have been left out.

1 MR. PORTER: I haven't looked at the -- I'll 2 directly respond, and then, Laura, please do. I haven't looked at the letter to see what we 3 4 could have done better or anything. But if I read it, 5 I'm -- I can't read a document but think I could do 6 better than what I did the previous time. So I would 7 agree with your statement to the extent that do we think 8 we could do better knowing what we know now? Yeah. think we probably could. 10 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, if your intent as 11 you stated was to -- I'll use the word "arouse" instead 12 of incite, but I believe it incited people. If that was 13 your intent, to get action from them, then certainly this 14 type of a letter with misleading statements and 15 inflammatory statements certainly would accomplish that. 16 However, if your first goal, as you said, was to 17 inform the people, it was very lacking in that respect. 18 Thank you, Mr. de Hueck. 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I have a follow up. 20 follow up. 21 In response to one of Commissioner Fiegen's 22 questions, Mr. Porter, you talked about the 1985 2.3 Agreement where Peoples transfer "the obligation to 2.4 serve." 25 Where I'm still struggling is -- and correct me

if I'm wrong, but we don't have anywhere in the record of 2 this proceeding that Peoples actually had that obligation in order to transfer. Is that correct? 3 4 MR. PORTER: No. I think there is evidence. 5 And I think the evidence is in the Affidavit, the sworn 6 Affidavit that we provided to point out what those --7 what the services were being provided prior to the sale 8 in 1985 and that those very same services were being provided after 1985. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 11 MR. DE HUECK: Mr. Rislov, do you have any 12 questions? 13 MR. RISLOV: I may have one or two. 14 Mr. Porter, do you have something that describes 15 what your definition of termination of service means, 16 something more expansive than what's in that agreement? 17 MR. PORTER: What agreement are you referring 18 to, sir? MR. RISLOV: Well, the '87 Agreement, the 19 20 agreement that appeared when NorthWestern took over for 21 MERC where you talk about termination of service at a 22 certain date. 2.3 I know we're getting into -- deep in the 24 legalities, but, as I read it, a layperson, it seems to 25 me termination of service means termination of service.

And I think your explanation to the Commissioners is something -- you have it saying something different than that. You have it conditional termination, yet they still have to provide all the services, and I find that confusing.

2.3

2.4

Do you have something that would explain that, and was that presented to NorthWestern at that time you signed that agreement or you approved them taking over service?

MR. PORTER: A couple of issues there, if I may.

First of all, the 1987 Agreement has termination
in there. That can terminate. It doesn't impact the
obligations to serve that were transferred two years
prior in 1985.

So I encourage everyone to look at those two things differently. They overlap, but termination of the '87 Agreement does not dilute, minimize, or eliminate the obligation that was transferred in 1985.

MR. RISLOV: So if I can put this in ordinary language terms, not attorney terms, was NorthWestern aware of what you thought termination of service meant? Were they in agreement with that? Do you have any idea? Because it appears today they don't agree.

MR. PORTER: I do not have any idea, and I do agree with you that it doesn't -- I mean, today it seems

```
like there was not agreement.
                                    That was a transaction
2
     between MERC and NorthWestern. We were not involved with
 3
     that transaction, other than consent to the assignment of
 4
     that agreement.
              MR. RISLOV:
 5
                          But you were involved with
     UtiliCorp, and the same language was in the UtiliCorp
 6
7
     agreement in 1987. Was UtiliCorp aware of that?
8
              MR. PORTER: I'm not sure I understand your
     question.
10
              MR. RISLOV:
                          The same language UtiliCorp --
11
     that they could terminate service. And I think it said
12
     May 31, 2017.
13
              Were they aware of your interpretation.
14
              MR. PORTER: Oh, I'm sure they were, yes.
15
                  The '87 Agreement was entered into between
     mean, yeah.
16
     Northern and UtiliCorp. UtiliCorp, pursuant to the 1985
17
     Agreement and all the states, the tariffs that they had
18
     on file with the states that regulated them, they knew
19
     what their obligations were. Entered into the 1987
20
     Agreement to memorialize those obligations.
21
              As that agreement terminates it does not change
22
     the obligation that --
2.3
              MR. RISLOV: I understand that's what you think.
2.4
     I'm wondering what UtiliCorp thought.
```

MR. PORTER: I can't answer what UtiliCorp

25

1 thought other than what I just said. 2 MR. RISLOV: I think that's all I have. 3 MR. DE HUECK: I have one guick guestion. 4 MR. PORTER: Yes, sir. 5 MR. DE HUECK: Mr. Porter, I found your 6 discussion with Chairman Nelson about the express terms 7 of the easement very interesting. And whether or not 8 Northern has an obligation to provide a vendee under the terms of the easement. 10 My question is to you has that issue ever been 11 litigated? 12 MR. PORTER: Not with Northern Natural or any 13 proceeding that I'm aware of. 14 Whether the term "vendee" has been litigated in 15 any jurisdiction, I'm not sure. But not regarding 16 Northern. 17 MR. DE HUECK: And then just very quickly, I 18 want to make sure I'm following you here. 19 Termination of the '87 does not dilute the '85 20 Agreement; correct? 21 MR. PORTER: Yes, sir. 22 MR. DE HUECK: But the '85 Agreement can dilute 2.3 the express language of the easement, no problem. 2.4 MR. PORTER: I'm not sure I understand your -- I 25 think there's a point there, but I wouldn't say that it

```
1
     dilutes the language of the easement.
2
              MR. DE HUECK: Okay. Thank you.
 3
              With that, we'll recess? We'll reconvene at
     1:30.
 4
                      (A lunch break is taken)
5
 6
              MR. DE HUECK: Good afternoon, everyone.
                                                         I will
7
     call the hearing back to order in NG16-014. Again, my
8
     name is Adam de Hueck, and I'm the Hearing Examiner
     today.
10
              But before we proceed any farther with oral
11
     argument, we do have a matter of housekeeping to take
12
     care of.
13
              Northern had made a Motion to Accept a
14
     Supplemental Brief, and now I'll turn it over to Chris.
15
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I would move to grant
16
     Northern's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Brief
17
     and Supplemental Brief of Northern Natural Gas Company.
18
              Discussion on the Motion?
19
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Second.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.
21
              Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye.
22
     Those opposed, nay.
2.3
              Commissioner Hanson.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
25
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
```

1 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye. 2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes ave. The Motion 3 carries. The Motion is granted. 4 With that, I'll turn it back to Mr. de Hueck. 5 MR. DE HUECK: Before moving on with 6 NorthWestern's oral argument, I'd like to ask the 7 Commissioners if they have any further questions for 8 Northern. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I just have a clarifying 10 question, Mr. de Hueck, for Northern if I may ask. 11 You talked a lot about obligation of service. 12 And we asked you about the -- the termination of service. So we talked about termination of service, and what I 13 14 thought I heard you said is, yes, they can terminate 15 their service according to those agreements that were 16 But the obligation of service is still there. sianed. 17 And I heard different places where the 18 obligation of service. So could you clarify to me who 19 the obligation of service is to or who has the obligation 20 of service? Although they can terminate an agreement, who has the obligation of service? 21 22 MR. PORTER: Yeah. That's a good question. 2.3 Thank you. 2.4 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And I know you've answered 25 I just need it clarified again. it.

MR. PORTER: That's fair. The 1987 Agreement -- let me say it this way. The 1987 Agreement does not affect the obligation and liabilities that were assumed by UtiliCorp.

2.3

So to the extent you find that the obligation to provide utility service to farm tap customers was transferred from InterNorth to UtiliCorp, that obligation is with UtiliCorp and its successors, regardless of the '87 Agreement or any other agreement. Unless it was further transferred.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So successors as?

MR. PORTER: Successors would be from UtiliCorp, Peoples, so the same company, went to change the name to Aquila. So same entity yet. Then to MERC. Some of it went to other utilities that aren't in your jurisdiction.

But relevant to this proceeding, would have been to MERC because MERC took Minnesota and the South Dakota properties.

And then the question is NorthWestern. What did they -- what did they assume in regards to the '87 Agreement.

Somebody in their briefing asked of those original obligations, that obligation to serve utility -- utility service to farm taps, was that transferred to NorthWestern?

1 I don't know. We don't have any of those 2 We don't see those documents. That is 3 between the successors of I guess at that point Aquila. 4 So we don't know what the transaction between Aquila and MERC or between MERC and NorthWestern. 6 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So you're telling me the 7 obligation of service, you have really no idea where that 8 lies? MR. PORTER: I've got an idea, but I don't 10 have --11 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: A legal. MR. PORTER: Yes. I know it's not Northern. 12 13 know InterNorth transferred that to UtiliCorp. After 14 UtiliCorp I think it was Staff that said in their Reply 15 Brief it is not -- we don't have those documents. 16 So UtiliCorp, Aquila to MERC, those aren't in 17 the record. And the MERC, as far as I know, the MERC to 18 NorthWestern, to the extent that obligation was 19 transferred, is not in the record. 20 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. And so then the 21 obligation of service doesn't appear that legally we 22 actually know for sure. According to your testimony 2.3 today, we don't really know for sure where that is at. 2.4 And Northern, of course, isn't obligated to be a 25 distribution company, but some may differ on your

1 obligation to find a vendor. 2 MR. PORTER: Somebody may have a view on that. 3 I don't know what that argument would be so it's hard to 4 I don't think their -- personally I don't see that, but I don't know what that argument would be. 6 In regards to the obligation, we know that it 7 went from -- it was at Aquila and went to MERC. 8 extent it went to MERC, we don't know. We know they filed tariffs with the Commission to provide that service 10 to the farm tap customers. They were acting as if they had the obligation. But, again, I don't know what the 11 12 documents said. 13 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: But remind me, Mr. Porter, 14 in your agreements didn't you have to approve all those 15 agreements? 16 MR. PORTER: No. 17 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. 18 MR. PORTER: Excuse me. For assignment of the 19 1987 Agreement Northern, had to approve that assignment. 20 But I'm not talking about the '87 Agreement. I'm talking 21 about the responsibility that was transferred in 1985. 22 That was transferred through an acquisition of the assets 2.3 and liabilities.

There's not another obligation to further

transfer that, UtiliCorp to further transfer that, unless

2.4

25

they sign the agreement. But they can sell those assets and sell those liabilities, and that's what happened here. So there's no obligation for UtiliCorp or Aquila to come to Northern -- it wouldn't have been Northern. It would have been InterNorth, to get approved.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I have one. Excuse me. Forgive me if this has already been asked and answered.

If NorthWestern wanted to serve additional customers along the line, do you know what Northern's position would be from a standpoint of allowing them to serve additional customers? Would you provide the same services that you are presently to NorthWestern so they could provide those services?

MR. PORTER: I think I'm with you on the question. Along our line? So if a new --

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, presently you're serving up to that point that we discussed and clarified to a number of customers, and NorthWestern is providing services to those customers presently.

If additional farmers, ranchers, or communities were interested in having that same service provided by you, by another provider, would you be willing -- or do you know whether or not you'd be willing to provide those? I suspect you would from your history, but I'm

1 just curious. 2 MR. PORTER: Good question. 3 To the extent a easement grantor has a farm tap 4 provision in their easement and they ask for a tap, we 5 will provide that tap. We've got an obligation to 6 furnish the tap. We will do that, as long as there is a 7 utility to provide -- or somebody to provide the utility 8 service. Northern would not do that, but Northern would 10 get the gas to the -- through its facilities, Northern 11 facilities. 12 COMMISSIONER HANSON: So you're saying in a 13 situation where there's an existing easement and existing 14 line as opposed to building additional transmission 15 systems. 16 MR. PORTER: Yes. Northern generally is not 17 agreeing -- and I can't say it categorically, but we no 18 longer include farm tap provisions as a general rule in 19 our easements. 20 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. I just wanted that 21 clarified. Thank you. 22 Thank you. 23 MR. DE HUECK: With that, NorthWestern, you may 24 proceed. 25 Thank you. Tim Olson on behalf of

MR. OLSON:

NorthWestern. Thank you, Commissioners, for this opportunity.

2.3

When this landed on my desk I first asked the question why is there a service to these customers? And that points all the way back to the 1950s and those easements.

Northern obtained those easements in exchange for their agreements. And this was discussed earlier so I don't need to go into depth on this, but those agreements involved providing access via a farm tap and furnishing gas, either by directly grantee, Northern, or indirectly by grantee. And it is in those shoes that —those vendee of grantee shoes that NorthWestern sits today.

If we would not have acquired that Milbank Pipeline, we would not be in front of the Commission today. We would not have an obligation to publicly serve these customers. We have a contractual obligation to serve those customers through the end of 2017.

But our role began in 2001 -- 2011, excuse me, when we acquired the unrelated Milbank Pipeline. A condition of that transaction was that we provide assistance to Northern for a limited duration with Northern's farm tap customers. Our agreement is with Northern. Our agreement is not with the farm tap

customers.

2.3

When we negotiated that acquisition of the Milbank Pipeline we were very explicit that we were willing to undertake those service obligations through the end of 2017. That limitation was documented as part of that transaction. It was reviewed and adopted as part of this Commission's Docket in NG11-001.

I also want to point out that in that acquisition of those Milbank Pipeline assets NorthWestern did not acquire any of the assets of the farm tap customers that are a part of this docket. We don't operate any of those equipment or facilities. For the most part we don't even know where the bulk of those facilities are.

We know where the farm tap is, and we know where it enters the ground. But after those facilities enter the ground, we do not know where the bulk of them are.

We do provide a number of services pursuant to the 1987 Agreement that's been discussed, and the assignment of a portion of those services to us. We fill the odorant receptacle every year. We bill Northern's farm tap customers on a monthly basis. We read the meter once a year, and, as has been discussed earlier, the farm tap customer is required to read it on a monthly basis. When that doesn't happen we estimate usage based on prior

usage.

2.3

We also are a first call responder for the farm tap customers. If they believe there is a problem with their service, we are called to determine what that problem is. But if we discover the problem, a leak or something else, we cannot fix it. We cannot address it. It's either the customer's responsibility, the farm tap customer's responsibility if it's on their line, or it's Northern's responsibility if it's part of the farm tap.

There are -- there's a distinction that I think has been weaving its way through the discussion today, and that is the direct responsibility to serve and the indirect responsibility to serve. And when we look at that 1985 Agreement and the responsibilities that Peoples sold as part of that -- or that Northern sold, those are -- those are the vendee responsibilities that left.

And what we haven't talked about on the 1985

Agreement are what Northern retained. And in the second

Paragraph, B, of that agreement it said the

responsibilities that "prior to closing were Northern's

responsibility shall be Northern's responsibility after

closing."

The 1985 Agreement did not change the 1950s easements. In fact, the 1985 Agreement specifically maintained those responsibilities.

One of the customers filed comments in these proceedings. David and Deanna Brouwer. They own land subject to the easement. And I believe their comments amply summarized the roles that Northern and NorthWestern are filling in this -- with this service.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And I quote "No matter how you define the entities in this case, what has occurred here is a contract in which Northern in exchange for land easements has agreed to provide natural gas via a farm tap." Browers continued, "I would consider NorthWestern's part in this situation as that of a subcontractor of Northern. Ultimately it is Northern's responsibility to provide service to the current farm tap customers. That can continue to be done with renegotiation of NorthWestern's contract or by finding another subcontractor to provide In the past Northern has formed its own this service. subcontractor to provide this service, and that certainly could be an option once again at this time. I would say that the current contract that NorthWestern has with Northern is just that, a contract that was made in 1987 that is due to be renegotiated at this time."

We have reached out to Northern in an attempt to renegotiate the terms of this agreement which expire at the end of next year. To this point we have not been able to get Northern to come to the negotiation table.

They have refused. I don't understand that, given their obligations in the easements to continue to provide a vendee for this gas, but that is what's happened here.

2.3

To suggest, as they did earlier, that

NorthWestern is just abandoning this service and walking

away without doing anything is not accurate.

I understand and can appreciate that the way

Northern is organized today, they cannot directly provide

the gas to the customers. But that doesn't change the

promises they made in the easements. They can still find

a vendee, a subcontractor, another party to provide that

service.

With that, I'll be happy to answer questions from the Commission.

And I think you answered this question at the outset, but I'm going to ask it again just to be clear.

Does Northwestern Energy consider itself to be Northern's vendee per the easement language?

MR. OLSON: Yes. We do. The reason I say that is we entered into this service arrangement pursuant to a contract. That contract -- we were assigned responsibilities under a contract. That contract is with Northern.

1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Earlier with Staff I made 2 reference to the March 8, 2011, hearing in which we 3 approved an Order in NG11-001. And in that hearing 4 NorthWestern upon Commissioner questioning clearly stated 5 that it believed it was Northern's responsibility to 6 continue to serve customers with gas after 2017. 7 And so my question is was it your -- was it 8 NorthWestern's clear understanding back in 2011 that come 2017 that was going to -- responsibility was going to 10 shift back to Northern? Is that correct? MR. OLSON: That is correct. We made that, our 11 12 belief there, very explicit as part of the negotiations 13 for that transaction. 14 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And, in fact, that's what you 15 clearly conveyed to the Commission; correct? 16 MR. OLSON: That is correct. 17 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Is it still NorthWestern's 18 position that Northern has that obligation after 2017? 19 MR. OLSON: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN NELSON: If you would pull out Staff's 21 Reply Brief, I want to ask just a few questions from 22 that. And if we go to page 6, Staff Reply Brief page 6, 2.3 Section B, first paragraph. Staff makes a statement that 24 "NorthWestern is a public utility only through 2017," as

it relates to these farm taps.

25

Do you agree with that statement?

2.3

MR. OLSON: I don't agree with that statement.

I personally find it a very difficult question to answer.

We have some hallmarks of a public utility, and we have some hallmarks of not being a public utility with respect to these farm tap customers.

We don't own any of these assets. We don't know where the assets are. We cannot fix issues with respect to these assets. But, on the other hand, the Order approved a rate for these, although it was simply adopting the rate that MERC used with respect to these customers.

So I don't agree with Staff's position, but I also don't disagree with it so I'm being very unhelpful to the Commission.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, that's helpful. But thank you for your candor. I appreciate that.

Moving to page 5 of that same Brief, the first paragraph, Staff does a nice job of laying out what in their mind is a quandary. And I'm wondering if you would be willing to, you know, provide your insight.

Apparently in the '87 Agreement Peoples was able to close

Presumably that obligation would have followed all the way down the line to you folks.

Northern's inlet valve for nonpayment of bills.

But it's Staff's understanding that you don't believe that's the case. So enlighten us on that, please.

2.3

2.4

MR. OLSON: Well, let's -- if I may direct the Commission and the other participants here to the picture of the farm tap. And I can attempt to walk us through that.

I would state today that the farm tap inlet valve on the blue side of the diagram in the lower left-hand corner is something that NorthWestern does not touch.

On the other side of the diagram, the three-way valve which the customer owns, if there were to be a failure to pay, that is the valve that we would turn.

Now many of the farm tap services are not as pretty as this one. They've been out in the fields for years. And so sometimes in situations we've come across those valves that -- customer-owned valves that we don't care to touch because of what might happen. In those situations we have to contact Northern to deal with the other valve.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: So fair to say that you've had to shut some of these off; is that correct?

MR. OLSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And when you say that they're

```
1
     not all as pretty as this, obviously this one has been
     very recently painted and does look very, very nice.
2
 3
     I can imagine that prior to it didn't look nearly as nice
 4
     as this does.
 5
              We're going to have just a little bit of
 6
     interruption, but we'll keep going.
7
              So you made the statement that you believe the
8
     three-way valve belongs to the customer?
              MR. OLSON: Yes.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So how did the ownership of
11
     that -- does the customer also own the can?
12
              MR. OLSON:
                          Yes.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So how did the ownership of
14
     that valve and can transfer from Peoples to the customer?
15
              MR. OLSON:
                          I have a document here that is not
16
     part of the record. And I recognize that. But it is the
17
     Peoples Natural Gas Construction Manual. And this is
18
     something that we have handed out to some of the farm tap
19
     customers.
20
              MS. BARUTH: I just had it as record from MERC.
21
     It was given to me by MERC as record.
22
              MR. OLSON:
                          Thank you.
2.3
              It defines the customer fuel line as the piping
24
     system from the meter outlet to the outermost wall of the
25
     customer's building structure. And the meter outlet, I
```

don't know if it's on the second picture or not. It's right at the meter there.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Right. And so that's from a Peoples document; correct?

MR. OLSON: That is from a Peoples document.

MS. BARUTH: When I was given this document by MERC I was told this is a document they use to tell customers how to comply with building a service. And so this is a document that Peoples, we believe -- we don't know that for a fact. We believe Peoples gave to customers to tell them what they needed to put into the ground for specifications.

MR. OLSON: If I may continue with that, included in those specifications are a series of components, including the three-way valve, the farm tap wick odorizer, et cetera.

And I would also remind the Commission that when we entered the picture here we did not acquire any of these assets. Our only relation here is pursuant to that 1987 Agreement, a portion of which was assigned to us. We don't have any of these assets on our books. We are not depreciating any of these assets. We can't. We don't own them.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, and I think this begins to maybe clear up what had been muddled in my mind

```
1
     because we've had earlier discussion about we don't know
2
     who ultimately or initially paid for that three-way valve
              But what -- apparently Peoples in this document
 3
 4
     is claiming that the customers did. And then that's also
 5
     your understanding.
 6
              MR. OLSON:
                          That is our understanding, yes.
7
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And so on this picture instead
8
     of three colors, there should only be two colors.
     red should be yellow; correct?
10
              MR. OLSON: We believe that is inaccurate as
11
     depicted.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Northern referred to a letter
13
     that apparently they believe NorthWestern sent to all of
14
     these farm tap customers. Is that in the record?
15
              MR. OLSON: Yes, it is.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Can you refer me to --
17
              MR. OLSON: It is very near the top of the
18
              November 14, 2016, Northwestern Energy Sample
     docket.
     Letter.
19
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Perfect.
                                          That's all the
21
     questions I've got at the moment.
22
              Thank you.
2.3
              MR. DE HUECK: Commissioner Fiegen.
24
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I do not have any
25
     questions at this point.
```

1 MR. DE HUECK: Commissioner Hanson. 2 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you. Neither do I. 3 MR. DE HUECK: That means we've concluded our 4 first round of oral argument. 5 I'm sorry, Brett. I forgot about you over 6 there. You're so quiet. 7 MR. KOENECKE: Quite okay, Adam. 8 Originally this docket started out looking like 9 a general broad question of what were the rights and 10 responsibilities of people providing farm tap service. 11 MDU resources has an interest and provides farm taps 12 through Williston Basin and also through its regulated 13 utility, and so it felt like something MDU should show up 14 and be a part of. So I'm glad I'm here. It was a 15 broader question. 16 It seems after this question like it's a much 17 more narrow dispute than it was originally held out to 18 So I might or might not file a brief. But since 19 I've got the microphone for a couple of minutes, I would 20 say, you know, it doesn't feel to me like farm taps meet 21 the definition of a public utility, of gas utility, if 22 you look at the statutes. 2.3 The service isn't held out to the general 24 public. It's held out to only those people who have an

ability to have a farm tap in the first place. Somebody

25

who's got a pipe through the ground.

2.3

2.4

And so it looks to me like the obligation to serve comes from the easement document. That's where the obligation is found in that paragraph 3 as I'm reading that. And it doesn't feel to me like the Commission has authority to regulate those documents. That's a Circuit Court matter. That's a real estate transaction.

So be that as it may, it seems like a -- it seems like it's ripe for an action in Circuit Court and maybe a breach of contract and sort it out there. Is the contract breached without the provision of that service found in No. 3? And, if so, is specific performance a remedy? Can the court order one of the parties to follow through on its agreement?

So that's my two cents worth on that. Like I said, I might or might not file a brief depending on where this goes. This really feels like a very specific incident going on and not a broader question like it was framed up to start. So we'll see where it goes, but I sat here long enough to think I could at least offer that much up.

MR. DE HUECK: Are there any questions for Mr. Koenecke?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: For the only time in my life, no.

1 MR. DE HUECK: Any other Commissioners?

2.3

2.4

So, with that, we've now finished our first round of oral argument. And what I think we're going to do is start back from the beginning starting with Staff.

I wanted to call it a chance for a rebuttal, but it seems like we're more at concluding remarks too. So interpret that how you want to, and you may begin.

MS. EDWARDS: Thank you, Mr. de Hueck,

Commissioners. One thing that I would note is that if

the 1987 Agreement was merely to clarify the 1985

Agreement as it's been portrayed, it seems odd to me that
a large sum of money, even by today's standards, would
have changed hands in a clarification transaction.

It's also concerning to Staff that while all parties seem to agree that there is a moral obligation to the customers, no company stepped forward to warn the customers until Staff filed their hand by forcing the -- or by filing the Docket.

I'm not entirely certain of what all communications have transpired, but if other letters have been sent out by either party prior to the filing of this docket or subsequent to the filing of this docket, it would be interesting to have those filed in the record to see what else customers have been told.

The Commission also asked of Northern how many

of the 197 customers are in MidAmerican's service area.

I would point out that while MidAmerican's service area,
unlike an electric territory, is not a territory, it is
detailed in their tariff -- in Section 2 of the tariff we
do discuss what communities they serve.

2.3

I don't know where all the 197 farm taps are, but I do know of the contacts that we've gotten that are filed in the docket from consumers. They appear to be -- those consumers do appear to be down there in that Yankton Gayville area, which is specified in MidAmerican's tariff. Unlike --

Northern says they haven't reached out to MidAmerican. Staff did, did make that attempt. It wasn't a successful attempt.

We've also reached out to several other companies and other jurisdictions. Northern stated that -- or suggested that it might be a good idea for Staff to work with NorthWestern to come to a workable solution like they have in other states. And we have explored that. We have worked exhaustively to do that.

And we have reached out to the Kansas

Commission. We've reached out to Alliant Energy,

Black Hills. We've had extensive discussions with

Black Hills. How are they making this work in their

areas? What ideas could we take and implement here?

And, unfortunately, with our rate structures here and the way we have to look out for NorthWestern's other ratepayers to make sure that they're not subsidizing anything, we don't have that same opportunity that the Iowa Utilities Board has been presented with where Black Hills Energy was -- or the other customers were somehow structured to where it wouldn't be inherently unfair for those, in their case, 1,500 customers to spread the cost of buying the system.

2.3

And when they say that the Iowa Utilities Board has exercised jurisdiction, it's my understanding that Black Hills Energy is actually buying that line and is going to control that customer line. And, I mean, other analysts, Ms. Mehlhaff and Mr. Thurber, have had these discussions with Black Hills and might know a lot more about that, but the jurisdictional question there is very clear because Black Hills Energy in Iowa and potentially Nebraska and Kansas, depending on how things play out, is going to own everything that is in red or yellow in those states.

Beyond that, I don't think I have anything else to add, other than that I don't know if she's on the phone now, but our Pipeline Safety Manager, Mary Zanter, was going to make herself available for any questions since she was the one that did the 2014 inspection and

1 had those discussions with Northern. 2 Thank you. 3 MR. DE HUECK: Commission questions. 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Just a couple. First a 5 statement and then a question. 6 I appreciate what you have just said now, kind 7 of illuminating for all of us the efforts that Staff has 8 gone through to try to resolve this, short of having to go through this proceeding and whatever may emanate from 10 that. So I appreciate that. 11 The sole question I've got, Ms. Edwards, I spent 12 a great deal of time earlier going through the Easement 13 Agreement between landowners and Northern. I did that 14 because I wanted to understand clearly the history of 15 that. 16 But is it correct and your understanding that 17 this Commission does not have the authority under state 18 law to adjudicate issues revolving around easements and 19 easement rights? 20 MS. EDWARDS: It absolutely is. And that's 21 something that we are very forthright with landowners 22 about in our siting dockets that we have. 2.3 accurate statement. Yes. 2.4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 25 MR. DE HUECK: Any other questions?

1 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I do not. 2 COMMISSIONER HANSON: No. MR. DE HUECK: With that, the floor is 3 4 Northern's. 5 MR. PORTER: Thank you. Just a few comments. 6 One in regards to Northwestern Energy's on the 7 facilities. As far as the three-way valve and the 8 odorant tank, I believe the record will be -- is clear, but I just want to clarify. 10 What Mr. Olson said is not inconsistent with 11 what our view is either. We know what we own. We don't 12 know who owns down. I think there's a combination. 13 Mr. Olson says pursuant to a document that I've never 14 looked at, the Peoples construction documents -- that 15 might be the farm tap owners. Grant you that. Don't 16 know. So I just wanted to clarify that. 17 What is interesting is we're talking about 18 jurisdictional issues, and it's come up a few times. Both NorthWestern and before NorthWestern MERC had 19 20 tariffs on file with the Commission to provide service to 21 these farm tap customers. It seems odd for a nonjurisdictional service to 22 2.3 be subject to tariffs that are on file with the 2.4 Commission. It seems that the Commission has exercised 25 jurisdiction over the area, required tariffs to be placed on file, and the regulated entities have complied with that.

2.3

2.4

So based on the history of activity, it is -- it seems clear to Northern that it's a jurisdictional activity and one in which the Commission has exercised authority over.

There's been quite a bit of discussion on the '85 and the '87 Agreements. And I think that's appropriate. I think that's where focus ought to be. An opinion was offered on what the easements -- what the obligation is under the easement. And the easement says what it does.

However, to say that that is controlling, eliminates -- poof -- the '85 Agreement where the obligations to provide specific services that we provided evidence on that indicate what was provided before the '85 Agreement and what was provided after the '85 Agreement just is making null and void that '85 Agreement to say that those obligations did not transfer.

And I think that from a transactional perspective those obligations did transfer. They transferred to UtiliCorp. They are with UtiliCorp or one of UtiliCorp's successors.

Just check my notes here.

There was a comment made regarding the 1985

```
1
    Agreement not changing the easement. And I wanted to
2
     affirm that. The '85 Agreement transferred the
 3
     obligations and how those obligations were being
 4
    handled -- transferred those to UtiliCorp. The language
     in the easement is the same, but it has to be read in the
 6
    context of who was providing those -- who was fulfilling
7
     those obligations and who acquired them. It doesn't
8
     change the language of the easement.
              And I think that's all I have. I appreciate
10
    your time.
11
              MR. DE HUECK: Any further questions for
12
    Northern Natural Gas?
13
              Commissioner Fiegen.
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: NorthWestern talked a
15
     little bit about asking Northern to come to the table to
16
     negotiate. Were you part of those discussions or --
              MR. PORTER: I was not.
17
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Those requests.
              MR. PORTER: I'm not sure there have been those
19
     discussions.
20
21
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    The requests to come to
22
    the table?
2.3
              MR. PORTER: I'm not sure what that means.
2.4
    We've had conversations. Our commercial groups have had
25
     discussions regarding this docket about what's going to
```

```
1
    happen on December 31, 2017. It's your obligation.
                                                           No,
2
     it's your obligation. We've had those discussions.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: But no negotiations type
 3
 4
     of discussion?
5
              MR. PORTER: There's nothing that I would call
 6
    negotiations, no.
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. Also we talk a lot
8
    about the Commission's Order and the discussion at a
    hearing in 2011, the Natural Gas 11-001.
10
              MR. PORTER:
                          Uh-huh.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Did you intervene in that
12
    docket?
13
              MR. PORTER: Not that I'm aware of.
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And why was that?
15
              MR. PORTER: I can't speak specifically.
16
     don't know. But we very rarely intervene. And this is
17
     the first one I remember in a long, long time that we've
18
    intervened in.
19
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And you have a Government
20
    Affairs Department; correct?
21
              MR. PORTER: We certainly do.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: That certainly could have
2.3
     intervened in the 2011 docket?
2.4
              MR. PORTER: We could have, but I don't know
25
    what the reason would have been. We had an agreement.
```

1 I'm not sure -- I mean, Northwestern Energy is a customer
2 of ours. We don't, as a practice, intervene in our
3 utility's matters -- or customers' matters unless they
4 invite us to.

Because once we intervene, we're subject to discovery and subject to questions, and we would much rather have -- just from a customer relations perspective we'd rather -- utilities need to manage that.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Did you listen to the hearing at all or read the Order?

MR. PORTER: No, ma'am.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Were you aware that the Public Utilities Commission Staff -- and I certainly appreciate Ms. Edwards explaining to the Commission today how the Commission Staff has tried to work with different entities to address this issue.

Have you been aware of those discussions, or did you know the Staff was working on those?

MR. PORTER: I do not know the extent. I knew Ms. Edwards and I have had a couple discussions, two, three discussions. We've brought up to the Commission and to the Commission Staff the fact that the ongoing — the proceedings ongoing in Iowa. So yes. I knew that they had had that conversation.

I had also talked to the General Counsel of the

```
1
     Iowa Utilities Board, and I knew that they had been
2
    called. So I knew at a very high level that there had
 3
    been some interaction. I have no information regarding
 4
     the substance of those discussions.
5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Were you aware that they
 6
    have visited with MidAmerican?
7
              MR. PORTER: I was not aware of that.
8
              Let me say, if Ms. Edwards told me that, I don't
     remember. So no. As I sit here, I was not aware of
10
     that.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: So we did get a copy in
12
     the docket, the letter that you sent to the customers
13
     that Commissioner Hanson has certainly asked you a lot of
14
    questions about. It certainly surprised me when I got
15
     that letter in my Inbox also.
16
              Have you sent other letters to customers, or is
17
     this it, the only letter you have sent?
18
              MR. PORTER: First of all, they're easement
19
    holders. They're not -- we would not consider those
20
     customers.
21
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Tomato tomahto.
22
              MR. PORTER: It's important in a sense that we
2.3
     communicate with our customers all the time.
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Correct.
2.4
              MR. PORTER: Laura.
25
```

```
1
              MS. DEMMAN: We sent a letter recently, which is
2
     dated December 9, where we invited customers to meetings
 3
     that we have scheduled in Madison and Beresford to answer
 4
     any questions that they may have for Northern.
 5
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Madison and Beresford?
 6
              MS. DEMMAN:
                          Yes.
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    So have you asked other
8
     people to participate so the communication is balanced?
9
                          We have encouraged customers to
              MS. DEMMAN:
10
     participate so that their views can be known.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: How about other like Staff
12
     or NorthWestern or -- have you invited them to
13
     participate?
14
                           They are participating.
              MS. DEMMAN:
15
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Okay. So they're going to
16
     participate at the Madison and Beresford?
17
              MS. DEMMAN:
                           I'm sorry. At that meeting.
18
     We sent an invitation to the customers, to the farm tap
19
     landowners, to answer any questions that they may have.
20
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And so the Staff has not
21
     been invited?
22
              MS. DEMMAN:
                          I didn't copy the Staff on that.
2.3
                           I don't believe they have.
              MR. PORTER:
2.4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. So -- okay.
25
              So my last question on jurisdiction.
```

sounds like Mr. Porter certainly believes the Public
Utilities Commission has jurisdiction. I don't know if
that's true or not, but I do -- this is what I feel, just
as one Commissioner, that our farm tap customers in
South Dakota will have to spend their financial resources
possibly to litigate this in Circuit Court because I
believe we don't have jurisdiction possibly over all the
issues that our farm tap customers will have concerns
about.

So they will have to use their financial resources possibly to deal with an easement contract and to ask possibly Northern to live up to their easement contract. So that will be in Circuit Court and the financial resources of the farm tap customers.

That's it.

2.3

2.4

MR. DE HUECK: Mr. Rislov.

MR. RISLOV: Mr. Porter, you brought it up this morning, and now Ms. Edwards brought it up today.

But in comparison of what could happen in South Dakota with Iowa and other states, do you realize that the regulatory circumstances among all these states may be entirely different and may impact customers in entirely different ways with regard to cost versus benefit?

MR. PORTER: Absolutely realize that --

```
1
              MR. RISLOV: So they're maybe not an apples to
2
     apples comparison to compare South Dakota to Iowa?
 3
                           Sir, I didn't mean to say because
              MR. PORTER:
 4
     Iowa was doing something, South Dakota had to or vice
     versa.
 6
              What I was saying is that there are potential
7
     solutions out there that ought to be looked at and
8
     pursued.
               Whether South Dakota or Iowa is pursuing one, I
     100 percent agree with you, that may not fit for
10
     South Dakota, if that's the question.
11
              MR. RISLOV: And we've gone a little bit into
12
     the tariff, and you mentioned the tariffing and whether
13
     or not that indicated jurisdiction.
14
              Do you know if this Commission ever approved
15
     MERC's tariff?
16
              MR. PORTER:
                          In regards to farm taps?
17
              MR. RISLOV: Yes.
18
              MR. PORTER: It was on file.
                                             Yes.
19
              MR. RISLOV: Did the Commission approve it?
20
              MR. PORTER:
                           I'm not sure. It was on file and
21
     was replaced by -- you're making a distinction that I'm
22
     not aware of.
2.3
              MR. RISLOV: Honestly, I'm not either.
                                                       It was
24
     handled by another employee, but it may have well been
25
     they were filed simply for informational purposes.
```

1 Would you be surprised that there was discussion during the 1980s and confusion over exactly what 2 3 jurisdiction we did have with regard to those farm tap 4 customers? Would that surprise you? 5 MR. PORTER: I would not be surprised about 6 that. 7 MR. RISLOV: That's all I have. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Just one follow up. You made mention of meetings that you're going 10 to be conducting in I think you said Beresford and 11 Madison for the easement grantors. Would South Dakota PUC Staff be welcome to 12 13 attend those meetings? 14 MS. DEMMAN: Yes. Yes, they would. 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 16 MR. DE HUECK: I had a quick follow up to this 17 question probably belongs in Circuit Court, as 18 Mr. Koenecke explained. And it goes back to whether 19 Northern Natural Gas has an obligation to secure a vendee 20 for these farm tap customers. 21 And I understand there was a corporate 22 transaction in 1985 where Northern Natural Gas relieved 2.3 that burden that they were carrying by doing a corporate 2.4 transaction and selling that obligation to another 25 company to continue the service.

1 My question is were the easement holders at this 2 corporate transaction when you sold the duty to have service provided? 3 4 Where were the easement holders? Don't they 5 have a stake in ensuring their easement isn't altered in 6 a significant way? 7 MR. PORTER: Easement holders would have, I 8 believe, an interest if their easement was altered or amended, one. Two, I don't think they were. They were 10 transferred from -- excuse me. They weren't even -- the 11 easements weren't transferred. 12 The same entity that owned -- that had entered 13 into the easements as we have discussed prior to 1985, 14 the easements that were entered into by Northern Natural 15 are held today by Northern Natural. 16 What was transferred was the utility service 17 that through historical practices had developed and 18 Peoples Natural Gas had provided -- from the beginning 19 had provided that utility service. Northern Natural 20 never did. Peoples provided that service. 21 That was transferred. It does not impact a word 22 So I think the easement is unaffected. of the easement. 2.3 MR. DE HUECK: Thank you.

With that, we'll move to NorthWestern.

Anything else?

2.4

25

1 MR. OLSON: Thank you, Mr. de Hueck.

2.3

2.4

So if the easement is not affected by that transfer, then evidently there still is an obligation by Northern to provide a vendee of grantee in this situation.

And what has perplexed me throughout this process is if, as Northern alleges, they gave up all of those obligations in 1985 in that corporate transaction, why are they here today?

Why are they entering an agreement in 1987 to have others provide those services on their behalf? Why are they consenting to the assignment in 2011 to have others provide those services on their behalf?

I think the answer -- the answer to me is clear. They understand their obligations under those easements, and they're trying to make other people perform those obligations on their behalf.

We took a fair amount of flack based on their November 23 letter. Northwestern Energy and its predecessors have provided utility service to your farm tap since Northern Natural Gas first constructed the tap. That is so inaccurate. NorthWestern did not enter this picture until 2011.

The carefully crafted words "and its predecessors" suggest a link between NorthWestern and the

servicers prior to that. It's ironic that the servicers prior to that were Northern's at the very beginning. But that was obscured in this letter.

And if Northern was willing to provide a copy of this letter to us, I can only imagine what the December 9 letter might say since they haven't been willing to provide that copy.

Thank you.

2.3

MR. DE HUECK: And, Mr. Koenecke -- or are there any questions for NorthWestern? I'm sorry.

Mr. Koenecke.

MR. KOENECKE: Thanks, Mr. de Hueck. I appreciate that. I'll try to be brief.

I wasn't trying to intimate that Northern would be able to somehow simply escape liability for their agreements under the easement in Circuit Court by saying that somebody else now has the responsibility.

I guess I want to make clear that it seems to me like it would be their obligation to third party in somebody who they think now has that.

And so thinking about that caused me to look at the '85 and the '87 Agreements, and I found it curious.

The reliance on the '85 Agreement seems to be somewhat complete, that all of this was transferred in '85.

Well, if it was all transferred in '85, why is

there an '87 Agreement? Why did you come back two years later and do another agreement transferring these responsibilities? It seems like there must have been something reserved in '85 and then transferred in '87.

And if the argument is that all of these rights and responsibilities are transferred to somebody else, why is there an end date in the '87 Agreement?

Those are questions that I think a Circuit Court is teed up to resolve. It would be helpful for anybody in your situation to have taken testimony. I think that would have probably helped here today, taken testimony from witnesses and have documents admitted.

You know, hindsight's 20/20. But there are certainly questions here to be resolved, and I wouldn't want anybody to think they can't be resolved.

I will follow up by saying I don't think the Commission has jurisdiction over farm taps. I do not think that is a public utility or a gas utility under the definitions in the code. But no one should think that the customers have no remedy because I think they certainly have a good argument to put forth.

Thank you.

2.3

MR. DE HUECK: Well, at this point I'd like to invite any individuals who might be listening on the phone or attending the webcast to continue submitting

1 written comments into the record. 2 And I'll ask the Commissioners if there's 3 anything else we need to cover with respect to this 4 hearing. 5 Well, I quess I do want to CHAIRMAN NELSON: 6 make a final statement. But before I do that, I'm 7 looking at fellow Commissioners. 8 Do we want to request any final briefs? Or are 9 we good with what's on the record thus far? 10 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'm comfortable. 11 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I'm opposite of him. 12 Surprise, surprise. 13 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I'm really uncomfortable 14 right now. 15 Actually I'm comfortable also, but I will 16 defer. If one Commissioner would like to have those, I 17 think timing is the issue. My presumption is that we 18 would make a decision probably at our January 3 meeting. 19 And I'm looking at Ms. Edwards. Is our timing 20 okay if we make a decision at our January 3 meeting? 21 MS. EDWARDS: Well, under the Administrative 22 Rule, if the Commission asks for more information, I 2.3 think that 60 days is somewhat told. So --2.4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, I personally don't want 25 to push it past that because we are not going to be the

1 final arbiter of this, and so I want to give as much time as possible for folks to move down the line if needed. 2 3 MS. EDWARDS: I have no objection to preparing a 4 brief by -- or prior to January 3 to give you guys time 5 to read and will comply with that order if you wish. 6 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I recant. Ι 7 will not need a brief. 8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Are you sure? 9 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Then that resolves that 11 question. 12 I guess the only statement that I would make --13 first of all, I appreciate all four of the parties coming 14 here and enduring our questions and putting your 15 positions out in front of us. 16 We have all, over the last three or four weeks, 17 had the opportunity to read the comments of the people 18 that really matter here. 19 I know you all have business interests and 20 shareholders you have to respond to to make prudent 21 business decisions. But there are 195 South Dakotans 22 that for 60 years have relied upon this service. It's 2.3 part of their business. It's part of their home. It's 24 how they stay warm. And we've heard from those people.

And I dearly wish that we had the authority

25

today to wave the magic wand and solve this problem for those folks today. But I think we probably don't. At least not today.

And so if I could leave one thought with all of you is go home and wrestle with this. Is it right for either of your companies to walk away from this? Or is the right thing to do to come together and find an agreement that works for everybody and keeps 195

South Dakotans in the position that they've enjoyed for 60 years and through no fault of their own find themselves having to deal with this issue today.

That's all.

2.3

MR. DE HUECK: Anything else?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I'm just thankful that everybody came. I'm certainly thankful for all the comments that the farm tap participants have sent to us. It certainly enlightens us.

As a Commission, we all look at the public interest, and just like Commissioner Nelson has said, we want to ensure those people continue to get service in the future.

We don't know where that will be, and that may be a decision in the Circuit Court. We do not know that today. And we'll make a decision soon.

MR. DE HUECK: Commissioner Hanson.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Nothing. Thank you.
 2
              MR. DE HUECK: With that, the hearing in
 3
     NG16-014 is adjourned. Thank you.
 4
                      (The hearing is concluded)
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
LO	had in the above-entitled matter on the 14th day of
L1	December, 2016, and that the attached is a true and
L2	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
L3	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 2nd day of
L 4	January, 2017.
L 5	
L 6	
L 7	
L 8	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
L 9	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	Certified Reditime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

				1
•	100:23, 100:24,	3	9	78:25
	111:10, 116:25,			acting [1] - 95:10
	124:22, 125:13,			action [6] - 28:6, 28:7,
'85 [13] - 90:19, 90:22,	126:8	3 [8] - 72:6, 72:7,	9 [2] - 121:2, 127:5	73:13, 86:13, 110:9
116:8, 116:14,	1987 [25] - 9:13, 33:22,	80:15, 110:4,	_	activities [1] - 78:5
116:17, 116:18,	38:12, 38:14, 38:17,	110:12, 129:18,	Α	activity [2] - 116:3,
117:2, 127:22,	38:25, 41:7, 53:13,	129:20, 130:4		116:5
127:23, 127:24,	59:13, 60:1, 60:7,	30 [2] - 3:13, 22:25	a.m [2] - 2:5, 3:5	actual [1] - 49:8
127:25, 128:4	62:23, 63:18, 66:11,	30-year [2] - 9:12, 9:14	a. III [2] - 2.5, 5.5 abandon [2] - 67:4,	Adam [5] - 1:13, 5:21,
'87 [19] - 60:15, 60:19,	88:11, 89:7, 89:19,	31 [16] - 9:19, 30:22,	80:20	62:4, 91:8, 109:7
60:20, 61:6, 61:10,	93:1, 93:2, 95:19,	31:20, 33:7, 33:11,		add [2] - 27:2, 113:22
63:4, 87:19, 88:17,	99:19, 101:20,	35:18, 37:20, 40:15,	abandoned [3] - 42:12, 59:8, 67:3	additional [5] - 46:12,
89:15, 90:19, 93:9,	107:20, 111:10,	41:5, 41:16, 43:25,	· ·	96:9, 96:12, 96:21,
93:20, 95:20,	126:10	59:16, 59:17, 69:19,	abandoning [1] -	97:14
104:22, 116:8,	1988 [1] - 64:1	89:12, 118:1	102:5	additionally [1] - 8:1
127:22, 128:1,	1996 [1] - 10:4	34A [1] - 11:17	ability [10] - 18:6,	address [8] - 30:18,
128:4, 128:7	1:30 [1] - 91:4	34A-4 [1] - 40:4	19:8, 19:19, 20:16,	39:12, 43:17, 56:22,
'88 [2] - 63:20, 63:21			20:17, 20:20, 21:15,	81:24, 95:4, 100:6,
	2	4	29:6, 29:10, 109:25	119:16
1	_		able [10] - 16:3, 21:3,	addressed [5] - 60:18,
	╡	40 70.04	22:25, 56:24, 61:5,	60:19, 60:22, 76:4,
1 [3] - 18:2, 61:10,	2 [2] - 83:7, 112:4	40 [1] - 72:21	69:18, 69:19,	76:15
	20 [1] - 64:1	49 [5] - 3:22, 43:7,	101:25, 104:22,	addresses [2] - 51:3,
61:20	20/20 [1] - 128:13	74:3, 74:7, 74:20	127:15	69:6
1,500 [1] - 113:8	200 [2] - 7:7, 30:21	49-34A [2] - 8:17,	above-described [1] -	adequately [1] - 50:10
1.1 [2] - 30:1, 50:25	2001 [1] - 98:20	39:24	47:2	adjourned [1] - 132:3
1.8 [1] - 29:25	2011 [14] - 9:16, 16:18,	49-34A-2.1 [1] - 18:17	above-entitled [2] -	adjudicate [1] -
10 [2] - 2:5, 25:20	16:24, 16:25, 17:6,	49-34B [2] - 4:1, 11:20	2:2, 133:10	114:18
100 [5] - 22:1, 25:5,	41:20, 67:16, 98:20,	_	abruptly [1] - 44:2	Administrative [1] -
27:6, 77:7, 123:9	103:2, 103:8, 118:9,	5	absolutely [5] - 21:23,	129:21
10:00 [1] - 3:5	118:23, 126:12,		28:11, 78:2, 114:20,	admitted [1] - 128:12
11-001 [1] - 118:9	126:23	5 [6] - 51:24, 51:25,	122:25	adopted [1] - 99:6
14 [3] - 1:8, 3:5,	2012 [1] - 73:5	52:3, 59:14, 61:13,	accept [1] - 91:13	adopting [1] - 104:11
108:18	2014 [5] - 14:6, 14:15,	104:18	access [3] - 7:12,	advance [1] - 18:22
14th [2] - 2:4, 133:10	15:11, 23:10, 113:25	50 [6] - 21:25, 22:1,	49:2, 98:10	advisor [1] - 13:5
160 [1] - 46:7	2015 [1] - 30:25	32:7, 34:6, 37:3,	accomplish [1] -	1 ''
192.16 [3] - 74:3, 74:7,	2016 [8] - 1:8, 2:4, 3:6,	44:2	86:15	Advisor [1] - 1:14
74:20	3:13, 15:24, 30:25,	523 [1] - 2:3	according [3] - 23:12,	advisors [1] - 29:14
1930 [2] - 38:20, 53:1	108:18, 133:11	323 [1] - 2.3	92:15, 94:22	affairs [2] - 6:12,
195 [9] - 15:15, 30:21,	2017 [35] - 7:10, 9:19,	6	accounting [1] - 35:1	118:20
40:20, 44:1, 64:12,	9:22, 9:23, 10:19,	6	accurate [16] - 5:6,	affect [1] - 93:3
64:15, 76:14,	10:21, 16:22, 17:20,		13:22, 13:23, 20:21,	affected [1] - 126:2
130:21, 131:8	30:23, 31:20, 33:7,	6 [8] - 17:25, 18:1,	23:1, 45:22, 47:7,	Affidavit [1] - 53:17
1950s [4] - 48:13,	33:11, 35:18, 37:20,	25:19, 71:18, 72:9,	48:10, 57:4, 57:5,	affidavit [2] - 87:5,
54:7, 98:5, 100:23	40:16, 41:5, 41:17,	77:3, 103:22	61:16, 62:9, 73:25,	87:6
197 [3] - 10:10, 112:1,	44:1, 59:16, 59:17,	60 [9] - 32:7, 34:7,	74:1, 102:6, 114:23	affiliate [2] - 52:6,
112:6	59:21, 60:3, 62:17,	37:3, 40:23, 44:2,	accurately [1] - 22:16	52:19
1975 [1] - 13:25	67:16, 68:20, 69:19,	49:6, 129:23,	acknowledged [1] -	affiliates [2] - 38:19,
1976 [1] - 13:25	89:12, 98:19, 99:5,	130:22, 131:10	61:14	53:1
1980s [1] - 124:2	103:6, 103:9,	60104 [1] - 43:7	acknowledges [1] -	affirm [1] - 117:2
1985 [35] - 9:5, 9:8,	103:18, 103:24,	636 [2] - 10:3, 17:5	61:11	afternoon [2] - 71:13,
35:22, 35:24, 36:5,	118:1, 133:14		acquire [2] - 99:10,	91:6
36:8, 38:5, 38:23,	2018 [1] - 18:20	8	107:18	aggressive [2] - 73:1,
39:2, 44:14, 44:18,	206 [1] - 64:14		acquired [4] - 68:7,	73:2
54:3, 57:11, 61:4,	23 [9] - 71:20, 76:2,		98:15, 98:21, 117:7	ago [2] - 49:6, 72:20
63:1, 63:10, 63:12,	76:3, 76:17, 76:22,	8 [2] - 16:18, 103:2	acquisition [3] -	agree [19] - 29:10,
63:13, 66:12, 86:22,	83:4, 83:6, 126:19	800 [2] - 25:19, 25:21	95:22, 99:2, 99:9	39:22, 41:25, 45:5,
87:8, 87:9, 88:14,	26 [1] - 73:9	86,000 [1] - 40:23	act [4] - 5:22, 7:11,	46:9, 47:15, 48:11,
88:18, 89:16, 95:21,	2nd [1] - 133:13		43:7, 78:12	48:13, 49:5, 78:9,
100:14, 100:17,			Act [3] - 70:25, 78:17,	85:23, 86:7, 88:23,
				88:25, 104:1, 104:2,

104:13, 111:15, 123.9 agreed [4] - 39:1, 41:15, 49:25, 101:9 agreeing [1] - 97:17 Agreement [29] - 39:2, 41:7, 54:3, 57:11, 59:13, 60:7, 61:4, 62:23, 63:13, 63:18, 66:11, 66:12, 86:23, 88:11, 89:17, 89:20, 93:1, 93:2, 95:19, 99:19, 100:14, 100:18, 100:23, 100:24, 107:20, 111:10, 111:11, 117:1, 117:2 agreement [70] - 9:4, 18:14, 33:21, 33:22, 35:17, 37:19, 38:6, 38:12, 38:13, 38:17, 38:25, 39:2, 40:12, 54:16, 57:19, 59:14, 59:16, 59:23, 60:15, 60:19, 60:20, 61:6, 61:9, 61:10, 61:23, 62:20, 63:4, 63:17, 64:1, 66:11, 87:16, 87:17, 87:19, 87:20, 88:8, 88:17, 88:22, 89:1, 89:4, 89:7, 89:15, 89:21, 90:20, 90:22, 92:20, 93:9, 93:21, 95:20, 96:1, 98:24, 98:25, 100:19, 101:23, 104:22, 110:14, 114:13, 116:14, 116:17, 116:18, 118:25, 126:10, 127:23, 128:1, 128:2, 128:7, 131:8 agreements [15] -31:24, 31:25, 32:1, 38:5, 46:12, 62:16, 62:18, 92:15, 95:14, 95:15, 98:8, 98:10, 116:8, 127:16, 127.22 agrees [1] - 46:14 ahead [3] - 14:25, 25:16, 58:2 alarming [2] - 83:20, 84:1 alleges [1] - 126:7 Alliant [1] - 112:22 allow [7] - 8:2, 11:2, 32:8. 32:11. 34:18. 43:19, 83:9 allowing [5] - 12:20,

18:24, 30:17, 36:23, 96.11 allows [2] - 34:4, 63:18 almost [2] - 38:15, 45:13 alone [1] - 66:3 altered [2] - 125:5, 125:8 amazed [1] - 62:15 amazing [1] - 57:18 amended [1] - 125:9 amount [5] - 19:3, 32:25. 44:10. 77:20. 126:18 amplifying [1] - 5:2 **amply** [1] - 101:4 anal [1] - 80:8 analyst [2] - 6:5, 6:6 Analyst [2] - 1:14, 1:15 analysts [2] - 7:3, 113:14 announcements [1] -4:14 answer [23] - 8:9, 8:21, 8:24, 11:24, 13:1, 13:8, 21:21, 22:12, 24:14, 39:21, 40:9, 42:9, 42:19, 44:6, 63:23, 68:15, 89:25, 102:13, 104:3, 121:3, 121:19, 126:14 answered [8] - 23:23, 26:9, 54:1, 79:1, 83:19, 92:24, 96:8, 102:17 answering [3] - 11:22, 54:1, 57:10 answers [1] - 12:24 anyway [1] - 60:13 apologize [3] - 18:1, 34:12, 84:18 appealed [2] - 4:10, 10:3 appear [4] - 73:23, 94:21, 112:8, 112:9 appearance [1] - 6:2 APPEARANCES [1] -1:16 appeared [1] - 87:20 appearing [4] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 1:21 apples [4] - 67:20, 123:1, 123:2 applies [1] - 18:17 apply [2] - 12:17,

18:19

appointed [1] - 133:8

appreciate [22] -11:12, 17:23, 27:12, 27:14, 30:12, 39:10, 43:18, 53:23, 54:24, 55:8, 57:9, 58:19, 59:4, 75:15, 102:7, 104:17. 114:6. 114:10. 117:9. 119:14, 127:13, 130:13 appropriate [5] - 5:15, 82:24, 84:25, 85:9, 116:9 **approval** [3] - 8:11, 42:6, 55:15 approve [3] - 95:14, 95:19, 123:19 approved [7] - 9:17, 18:23, 88:8, 96:5, 103:3, 104:10, 123:14 April [1] - 73:9 Aquila [14] - 9:7, 9:10, 36:14. 36:15. 36:23. 37:10, 37:11, 93:14, 94:3, 94:4, 94:16, 95:7. 96:3 arbiter [1] - 130:1 area [8] - 13:14, 13:22, 23:11, 65:4, 112:1, 112:2, 112:10, 115:25 areas [3] - 65:17, 79:16, 112:25 argue [2] - 18:10, 73:24 argues [1] - 41:15 argument [15] - 6:24, 18:21, 18:23, 28:2, 28:3, 30:8, 66:18, 91:11, 92:6, 95:3, 95:5, 109:4, 111:3, 128:5, 128:21 argumentative [2] -28:19, 29:5 arguments [2] - 32:20, 66:15 **arise** [1] - 46:20 arouse [1] - 86:11 arrangement [8] -12:15, 34:3, 34:16, 35:4, 36:17, 37:25, 57:7, 102:22 arrangements [2] -5:15, 49:2 aside [1] - 41:6 aspect [2] - 21:14, 82.14 aspects [2] - 8:16,

78:19

54:16 assets [34] - 9:10, 35:22, 36:4, 36:6, 36:7, 36:15, 38:7, 38:15, 39:3, 52:22, 54:14, 54:18, 58:3, 58:5, 58:6, 58:10, 58:12, 58:16, 68:7, 73:8, 73:15, 74:10, 74:12, 78:14, 95:22, 96:1, 99:9, 99:10, 104:7, 104:8, 104:9, 107:19, 107:21, 107:22 assigned [6] - 9:14, 9:15, 18:15, 41:8, 102:23, 107:20 assignment [8] -41:16, 42:2, 42:4, 89:3, 95:18, 95:19, 99:20, 126:12 assistance [1] - 98:23 **assistant** [1] - 6:10 assume [3] - 38:10, 56:10.93:20 assumed [2] - 12:16, 93:3 **assumes** [1] - 29:5 assuming [3] - 24:24, 34:8, 57:13 assumption [6] -10:23, 17:9, 27:12, 27:13, 28:14, 29:9 assurance [1] - 21:1 assured [2] - 17:19, 35:7 attached [5] - 7:16, 10:24, 11:7, 42:17, 133:11 attachment [4] - 14:8, 14:23, 44:22 attempt [6] - 43:2, 53:23, 101:22, 105:6, 112:13, 112:14 attend [1] - 124:13 attending [1] - 128:25 attention [3] - 43:17, 79:13, 81:16 attest [1] - 11:18 attitude [1] - 72:24 attorney [3] - 4:3, 5:21, 88:20 Attorney [2] - 1:13, 1:13 audience [1] - 4:15 audit [1] - 26:2

audits [1] - 79:24

authority [10] - 8:16,

asset [2] - 34:25,

11:17, 13:19, 13:22, 2 59:7, 110:6, 114:17, 116:6, 130:25 automatically [1] -12:17 available [8] - 10:4, 12:25, 13:8, 35:19, 48:15, 50:1, 51:4, 113:24 Avenue [1] - 2:3 aware [22] - 11:14, 25:24, 26:12, 52:24, 53:9, 53:12, 65:24, 66:5, 80:12, 85:5, 88:21, 89:7, 89:13, 90:13, 118:13, 119:12, 119:17, 120:5, 120:7, 120:9, 123:22 awareness [1] - 32:4 aye [4] - 91:21, 91:24, 92:1, 92:2

В

background [1] - 74:2 baffled [1] - 62:19 balanced [1] - 121:8 balancing [1] - 7:11 bar [2] - 5:8 barred [1] - 43:5 barring [1] - 29:9 BARUTH [2] - 106:20, 107:6 Baruth [1] - 6:15 based [6] - 10:15, 10:23, 40:25, 99:25, 116:3. 126:18 Basin [1] - 109:12 basis [5] - 44:2, 60:11, 71:5, 99:22, 99:24 became [1] - 36:14 **BEFORE** [1] - 1:10 began [1] - 98:20 begin [7] - 3:2, 6:1, 6:2, 7:25, 8:8, 33:16, 111:7 beginning [6] - 6:24, 51:14, 85:19, 111:4, 125:18, 127:2 begins [1] - 107:24 behalf [10] - 1:17, 1:18, 1:20, 1:21, 6:14, 84:18, 97:25, 126:11, 126:13, 126:17 behind [2] - 7:15, 17:2

belief [4] - 27:18,

27:19, 82:22, 103:12

25:14, 53:6 130:21, 130:23 3 believes [3] - 28:17, cetera [4] - 62:6, Chapter [1] - 11:20 33:5, 122:1 bottom [3] - 34:5, **buy**[1] - 50:3 62:10, 66:19, 107:16 characterize [2] belongs [2] - 106:8, 35:15, 75:3 buying [3] - 41:19, CFR [3] - 74:3, 74:7, 29:23, 79:8 124:17 113:9, 113:12 bought [3] - 67:12, 74:20 charge [1] - 77:25 beneficial [2] - 32:24, 67:21, 68:17 CHAIRMAN [99] check [3] - 15:12, 46:17 **bound** [1] - 28:12 C 1:10, 1:11, 3:1, 13:6, 74:9, 116:24 benefit [1] - 122:24 breach [1] - 110:10 13:11, 14:3, 14:15, checking [1] - 25:15 Beresford [4] - 121:3, breached [1] - 110:11 14:20, 14:24, 15:15, CHERI [1] - 133:5 cancel [1] - 60:3 121:5, 121:16, break [1] - 91:5 15:18, 15:22, 16:7, Cheri [4] - 1:24, 5:12, candor [1] - 104:17 16:12, 17:12, 17:16, 124.10 breaks [1] - 5:11 5:14, 133:18 cannot [7] - 28:5, best [7] - 34:14, 37:24, Brett [3] - 1:21, 6:20, 18:16, 18:19, 19:2, choice [2] - 36:22, 35:16, 100:6, 102:8, 38:23, 75:5, 75:19, 20:14, 21:5, 21:13, 109:5 37:23 104:8 21:23, 22:8, 22:19, 77:13, 81:18 Brief [15] - 7:17, 9:4, choices [1] - 37:17 Capitol [2] - 2:3, 4:20 better [7] - 19:23, 10:14, 10:15, 10:23, 22:23, 23:6, 44:8, **chooses** [1] - 48:8 care [8] - 5:19, 19:11, 45:9, 46:1, 46:11, 22:12, 23:23, 85:22, 11:3, 17:25, 18:1, **Chris** [2] - 3:9, 91:14 19:15, 19:20, 20:13, 47:9, 47:22, 48:6, 86:4, 86:6, 86:8 19:18, 36:11, 39:1, CHRIS [1] - 1:10 41:23, 91:12, 105:19 48:12, 49:4, 49:13, between [25] - 7:8, 51:24, 94:15, Circuit [11] - 4:11, carefully [3] - 10:17, 50:4, 50:21, 51:5, 9:13, 10:13, 11:5, 103:21, 103:22 10:3, 62:12, 110:6, 78:9, 126:24 51:12, 51:23, 52:3, 20:9, 31:5, 33:20, brief [23] - 9:15, 10:25, 110:9, 122:6, carries [2] - 54:22, 52:14, 53:20, 53:23, 38:6, 38:13, 38:18, 14:5, 19:2, 19:8, 122:13, 124:17, 92:3 54:9, 54:24, 56:2, 40:12, 42:6, 44:17, 36:1, 36:2, 38:3, 127:16, 128:8, carry [1] - 21:17 44:20, 57:7, 73:3, 56:6, 56:13, 56:16, 42:18, 44:10, 44:23, 131:23 carrying [1] - 124:23 56:19, 57:2, 57:9, 73:4, 74:24, 89:2, 51:23, 67:17, 69:10, circumstance [2] case [15] - 11:4, 12:9, 57:23, 58:1, 58:9, 89:15, 94:3, 94:4, 91:14, 91:16, 91:17, 22:2, 37:9 17:11, 22:17, 28:15, 94:5, 114:13, 126:25 58:19, 58:23, 59:9, 104:18, 109:18, circumstances [3] -28:16, 29:8, 34:25, 59:13, 59:25, 60:23, beware [1] - 5:10 110:16, 127:13, 24:4, 50:2, 122:21 49:23, 50:10, 77:25, 61:9, 61:18, 62:1, beyond [2] - 53:25, 130:4, 130:7 cite [2] - 69:10, 73:22 78:7, 101:7, 105:2, 86:19, 87:10, 91:15, 113:21 briefing [4] - 37:19, citing [1] - 41:7 113:8 91:20, 91:25, 92:2, big [1] - 75:13 39:9, 39:21, 93:22 City [1] - 79:17 categorically [1] -102:15, 103:1, bill [2] - 23:4, 99:21 briefly [1] - 12:12 claim [1] - 57:3 97:17 103:14, 103:17, briefs [8] - 10:16, billing [1] - 81:6 claiming [1] - 108:4 category [1] - 29:21 103:20, 104:16, 16:16, 31:1, 33:1, bills [1] - 104:23 clarification [4] - 10:5, caused [1] - 127:21 105:22, 105:25, binders [1] - 54:11 44:14, 66:13, 78:15, 11:11, 17:23, 111:13 106:10, 106:13, cease [1] - 51:17 129:8 birthday [2] - 64:3, clarified [3] - 92:25, ceiling [1] - 5:1 107:3, 107:24, bring [4] - 28:16, 64.4 96:18, 97:21 Center [2] - 2:2, 3:7 108:7, 108:12, 31:15, 53:20, 81:15 **bit** [10] - 4:21, 24:13, clarify [6] - 7:22, 27:5, 108:16, 108:20, cents [1] - 110:15 Brittany [2] - 1:15, 6:6 31:1, 45:16, 50:20, 92:18. 111:10. 110:24, 114:4, certain [7] - 9:8, broad [1] - 109:9 62:25, 106:5, 116:7, 115:9. 115:16 114:24, 124:8, 19:21, 63:14, 74:10, broader [2] - 109:15, 117:15, 123:11 clarifying [1] - 92:9 124:15, 129:5, 85:21, 87:22, 111:19 Black [13] - 41:9, 110:18 clause [2] - 57:12, 129:13, 129:24, certainly [25] - 27:4, 68:10, 68:14, 68:16, broke [1] - 42:6 61:14 130:8, 130:10 28:1, 28:13, 37:14, 68:23, 69:11, 69:17, brought [7] - 9:19, clear [17] - 17:20, chairman [3] - 5:25, 54:9, 62:5, 62:7, 112:23, 112:24, 32:4, 73:10, 85:18, 27:9, 28:3, 31:18, 29:13, 33:12 63:7, 64:6, 65:23, 113:6, 113:12, 119:21, 122:17, 33:14, 42:5, 56:2, Chairman [5] - 3:10, 66:8, 68:6, 86:13, 113:15, 113:17 122:18 59:5, 67:6, 102:18, 58:14, 72:7, 90:6, 86:15, 101:17, blanket [1] - 55:14 Brouwer [1] - 101:2 103:8, 107:25, 130.6 118:21, 118:22, bloodline [1] - 65:7 Browers [1] - 101:10 113:17, 115:8, challenges [5] - 25:6, 119:13, 120:13, blowing [1] - 25:21 bucks [1] - 46:7 116:4, 126:14, 120:14, 122:1, 25:24, 25:25, 26:6, blue [5] - 14:16, 47:6, Building [2] - 2:3, 3:7 127:18 26:8 128:14, 128:21, 55:3, 55:12, 105:9 building [4] - 44:17, clearly [7] - 16:20, 131:15, 131:17 chance [1] - 111:5 Board [7] - 68:23, 97:14, 106:25, 107:8 39:22, 42:9, 78:25, change [9] - 19:19, certainty [1] - 37:14 69:9, 69:11, 69:12, buildings [1] - 46:21 103:4, 103:15, certificate [1] - 55:14 28:6, 36:15, 61:23, 113:5, 113:10, 120:1 **builds** [1] - 84:11 114:14 CERTIFICATE [1] -89:21, 93:13, board [2] - 53:15, 69:6 built [1] - 52:5 close [3] - 18:9, 65:20, 100:23, 102:9, 117:8 133.2 **Boice** [3] - 7:4, 11:18, bulk [2] - 99:13, 99:17 104:22 changed [4] - 10:13, certification [1] -21:12 burden [4] - 18:22, closer [1] - 64:23 49:15, 70:20, 111:13 55:13 book [1] - 26:4 19:1, 20:25, 124:23 closing [2] - 100:20, changing [1] - 117:1 Certified [2] - 133:6, books [2] - 55:13, bury [1] - 46:15 100:22 chapter [2] - 3:22, 133:19 107:21 business [5] - 3:20, coatings [1] - 15:9 40:4 **CERTIFY** [1] - 133:8 border [3] - 25:10, 6:17, 130:19, **code** [1] - 128:19

Codified [1] - 27:20 131:18 130:9, 131:14, 132:1 117:6 111:14 colors [2] - 108:8 Commission's [12] -Commissioners [9] continuation [1] concerns [2] - 25:13, 3:12, 4:10, 5:23, 3:10, 30:25, 88:1, 82:25 combination [1] -122:8 8:16, 17:18, 36:22, 115:12 92:7, 98:1, 111:1, conclude [2] - 41:4, continue [24] - 8:18, 37:12, 39:23, 43:21, 111:9, 129:2, 129:7 43:22 16:21, 17:20, 28:23, comfortable [2] -82:23, 99:7, 118:8 commitment [3] concluded [2] - 109:3, 29:2, 29:11, 34:5, 129:10, 129:15 41:18, 46:3, 46:8 coming [7] - 23:20, Commissioner [22] -132.4 34:6, 35:6, 37:8, 14:19, 15:20, 16:25. 37:20, 41:13, 59:14, 23:23, 24:17, 25:18, **common** [3] - 30:19, concluding [1] - 111:6 23:19, 26:10, 27:2, 62:8, 80:17, 81:5, 47:14, 62:5, 130:13 31:10, 53:8 conclusion [1] - 9:4 48:17, 70:23, 71:11, 81:18, 101:14, commencing [1] - 2:5 **commonly** [1] - 38:19 concrete [1] - 54:25 81:24, 86:21, 91:23, 102:2, 103:6, communicate [1] commend [1] - 12:18 condemn [1] - 80:9 91:25, 103:4, 107:13, 124:25, 120:23 comment [3] - 19:13, condition [1] - 98:22 108:23, 109:1, communication [4] -128:25, 131:20 19:17, 116:25 conditional [1] - 88:3 117:13, 120:13, comments [7] - 32:22, 84:19, 84:20, 85:8, continued [2] - 49:10, conduct [2] - 5:22, 122:4, 129:16, 101:10 101:1, 101:3, 115:5, 121:8 11:15 131:19, 131:25 continues [1] - 30:19 communications [2] -129:1, 130:17, conducting [1] -**COMMISSIONER** [122] 42:5, 111:20 continuing [1] - 33:8 131:16 124:10 - 1:11, 23:8, 23:15, communities [3] continuity [2] - 35:10, commerce [3] - 42:23, confused [2] - 64:18, 23:18, 24:10, 24:20, 40:23, 96:21, 112:5 81:16 42:24, 78:22 70:15 24:21, 24:24, 25:2, community [1] - 64:25 continuous [1] - 44:2 commercial [1] confusing [3] - 9:2, 25:6, 25:16, 25:23, contract [20] - 7:8, companies [9] -117:24 70:19, 88:5 26:9, 26:20, 26:25, 9:8, 9:12, 9:14, COMMISSION [4] -11:11, 36:4, 52:19, confusion [1] - 124:2 27:4, 27:11, 28:4, 10:19, 40:22, 61:3, 1:1, 1:4, 1:10, 1:12 62:16, 64:19, 67:21, consent [1] - 89:3 28:18, 29:12, 62:4, 77:15, 101:8, Commission [103] -68:9, 112:16, 131:6 consenting [1] -63:6, 63:12, 63:20, 101:15, 101:19, 1:13, 1:13, 1:17, 3:3, Company [3] - 3:18, 126:12 63:24, 64:2, 64:6, 101:20, 102:23, 3:21, 91:17 3:9, 3:15, 3:19, 4:6, consider [6] - 4:6, 8:2, 64:21, 65:5, 65:9, company [23] - 3:20, 102:24, 110:10, 4:7, 4:8, 5:21, 6:24, 51:15, 101:10, 65:11, 65:14, 66:6, 7:23, 7:25, 8:1, 8:7, 8:8, 8:10, 8:22, 8:23, 110:11, 122:11, 102:19, 120:19 66:17, 67:10, 67:15, 122:13 8:10, 8:13, 9:17, 8:24, 10:8, 11:1, considerable [3] -68:16, 68:19, 69:21, 17:4, 57:19, 62:20, contracts [1] - 18:12 9:20, 11:14, 11:20, 19:3, 19:10, 44:10 70:5, 70:14, 70:17, 64:24, 65:12, 65:17, **contractual** [1] - 98:18 12:10, 12:24, 13:4, consideration [2] -71:7, 71:12, 71:16, 13:13, 16:17, 16:19, 66:21, 67:1, 69:25, contradiction [1] -43:24, 46:13 71:25, 72:3, 72:15, 81:22, 84:18, 93:13, 17:4 18:23, 27:23, 28:11, considered [2] -72:19, 73:17, 75:14, 28:14, 28:17, 28:20, 94:25, 111:16, control [4] - 10:19, 23:11, 81:8 75:23, 76:2, 76:10, 31:16, 32:21, 32:24, 124:25 16:4, 18:10, 113:13 consistent [2] - 79:21, 76:16, 76:21, 76:24, 33:9, 33:25, 34:2, company's [1] - 53:11 controlling [3] - 11:9, 80.1 77:2, 77:10, 77:19, 34:4, 34:11, 35:7, compare [2] - 61:13, 18:8, 116:13 Constitution [1] - 43:6 78:4, 79:3, 79:10, 35:15, 35:19, 36:13, controls [1] - 40:1 123:2 construct [3] - 45:2, 80:6, 80:15, 80:25, 36:19, 36:22, 37:5, comparison [2] convenience [1] -55:14. 59:7 81:11, 81:20, 82:3, 37:7, 37:23, 39:14, 122:19, 123:2 32.6 constructed [2] -82:11, 82:18, 83:2, 39:19, 40:5, 41:3, compensate [1] conversation [3] - 5:9, 47:1, 126:21 85:12, 85:16, 86:10, 41:17, 42:8, 43:3, 46:24 51:8, 119:24 constructing [1] -91:19, 91:24, 92:1, 43:8, 62:8, 62:12, compensation [2] conversations [2] -45:18 92:9, 92:24, 93:11, 62:13, 73:7, 73:14, 46:6, 46:12 5:8, 117:24 construction [3] -94:6, 94:11, 94:20, 75:1. 78:10. 81:15. complete [1] - 127:24 conveyed [1] - 103:15 46:22, 106:17, 95:13, 95:17, 96:6, 82:20, 82:21, 82:24, completely [1] - 9:12 convoluted [1] - 9:3 115:14 96:7, 96:17, 97:12, 83:8, 83:12, 83:16, complexities [2] copy [8] - 72:11, construe [1] - 85:22 97:20, 108:24, 84:8, 84:22, 95:9, 31:3, 32:23 73:21, 76:6, 76:13, consumer [4] - 20:5, 109:2, 115:1, 115:2, 98:16, 102:14, complied [1] - 116:1 120:11, 121:22, 22:13, 29:1, 29:2 117:14, 117:18, 103:15, 104:15, comply [2] - 107:8, 127:4, 127:7 consumers [6] -117:21, 118:3, 105:5, 107:17, corner [2] - 65:4, 130:5 20:13, 83:10, 84:4, 118:7, 118:11, 110:5, 111:25, 105:10 components [1] -84:13, 112:8, 112:9 118:14, 118:19, 112:22, 114:3, 107:15 corporate [7] - 31:17, consuming [2] -118:22, 119:9, 114:17, 115:20, 61:7, 63:10, 124:21, comprehensive [1] -35:20, 37:13 119:12, 120:5, 115:24, 116:5, 124:23, 125:2, 126:8 14:10 contact [4] - 5:14, 120:11, 120:21, 119:13, 119:14, corporation [1] - 3:20 concern [3] - 12:7, 24:12, 24:13, 105:20 120:24, 121:5, 119:15, 119:21, 32:3, 69:24 correct [39] - 15:25, contacts [1] - 112:7 121:7, 121:11, 119:22, 122:2, concerned [3] - 24:25, 16:8, 16:11, 18:5, contemplated [1] -121:15, 121:20, 123:14, 123:19, 81:16, 85:15 23:13, 25:1, 27:16, 14:2 121:24, 129:10, 128:17, 129:22, 27:24, 45:7, 45:8, concerning [1] -129:11, 130:6, context [2] - 74:17,

45:24, 45:25, 47:8, 38:11, 40:2, 40:4, depictions [1] - 10:24 5 106:11, 106:14, Deanna [1] - 101:2 47:19, 49:8, 50:14, 106:23, 113:13, 40:24, 41:2, 43:2, dearly [1] - 130:25 depreciating [1] -56:4, 56:8, 58:22, 119:1, 119:7 44:4, 48:5, 53:4, 107:22 decades [1] - 84:10 59:21, 60:4, 60:25, customer's [6] - 12:2, 58:8, 65:16, 67:18, deprive [1] - 37:1 December [19] - 1:8, 76:18, 80:23, 80:24, 22:20, 25:22, 100:7, 67:25, 68:12, 73:22, 2:4, 3:5, 9:19, 30:22, depth [2] - 46:16, 98:9 86:25, 87:3, 90:20, 100:8. 106:25 75:20, 77:6, 77:11, 31:20, 33:7, 33:11, describe [2] - 34:13, 103:10, 103:11, customer-owned [1] -80:13, 82:23, 83:8, 35:18, 37:20, 40:15, 79:8 103:15. 103:16. 83:15. 93:17. 122:5. 105.18 41:5, 41:16, 43:25, described [3] - 45:4, 105:23, 107:4, 122:20, 123:2, customers [102] - 3:4, 69:19, 118:1, 121:2, 47:2, 52:25 123:4, 123:8, 108:9, 114:16, 3:16, 3:23, 7:7, 8:4, 127:5, 133:11 describes [1] - 87:14 118:20, 120:24, 123:10, 124:12, 10:10, 12:22, 16:22, decide [1] - 36:19 **descriptive** [1] - 61:19 133:7, 133:13 133:12 19:11, 19:13, 21:8, decides [1] - 37:7 designated [2] -**DAKOTA** [2] - 1:2, correctly [2] - 25:11, 28:22, 30:21, 31:12, decision [10] - 10:4, 52:20. 56:6 50:16 133.1 31:13, 32:5, 32:6, 28:15, 33:2, 36:21, desk [1] - 98:3 correspondence [1] -Dakotans [2] - 130:21, 32:14, 34:18, 35:6, 36:22, 37:24, detail [1] - 58:17 131:9 73:6 37:1, 37:7, 40:9, 129:18, 129:20, detailed [4] - 7:16, **cost** [3] - 69:6, 113:9, 40:20, 40:22, 40:24, damage [1] - 46:23 131:23, 131:24 9:15, 32:25, 112:4 122:23 41:13, 41:14, 42:11, damages [1] - 46:20 decisions [5] - 4:9, detect [1] - 22:25 costs [2] - 34:19, 44:1, 52:8, 63:3, data [1] - 17:1 10:6, 28:12, 82:24, determination [2] -34:21 63:16, 64:7, 64:11, date [7] - 3:5, 9:18, 130:21 11:10, 82:22 64:12, 65:16, 68:12, counsel [1] - 6:4 18:24, 59:15, 63:15, declaratory [5] - 3:4, determine [5] - 8:7, Counsel [3] - 6:9, 73:22, 74:10, 74:16, 87:22, 128:7 4:7, 4:10, 28:16, 8:23, 8:25, 20:8, 6:10, 119:25 76:8, 76:14, 76:20, Dated [1] - 133:13 33:2 100:4 76:22, 76:25, 77:6, dated [3] - 72:6, counsel's [1] - 32:9 DECLARATORY [1] determined [1] - 9:11 77:8, 77:11, 77:14, COUNTY [1] - 133:3 76:16, 121:2 1:4 developed [1] -81:6, 81:17, 81:23, couple [8] - 4:14, David [1] - 101:2 deep[1] - 87:23 125:17 83:17, 84:24, 85:14, 17:17, 62:16, 72:17, day-to-day [1] - 71:5 default [1] - 31:21 development [2] -93:6, 95:10, 96:10, 88:10, 109:19, days [4] - 10:17, defer [2] - 80:5, 6:16, 6:17 96:12, 96:19, 96:20, 17:17, 22:25, 129:23 114:4, 119:20 129:16 deviate [1] - 4:13 98:4, 98:18, 98:19, course [2] - 63:7, DC [1] - 10:3 define [2] - 61:21, diagram [3] - 36:2, 98:24, 99:1, 99:11, 94:24 **DE** [37] - 5:25, 6:7, 101:6 105:9, 105:12 99:22, 100:3, 101:1, court [2] - 10:6, 6:13, 6:18, 6:22, defined [1] - 3:22 differ [1] - 94:25 101:13, 102:9, 110:13 13:3, 29:14, 29:17, defines [3] - 11:8, difference [3] - 21:10, 103:6, 104:6, Court [12] - 4:11, 10:3, 30:3, 30:7, 71:11, 57:16, 106:23 21:24, 31:5 104:12, 106:19, 62:13, 110:7, 110:9, 87:11, 90:3, 90:5, **definition** [5] - 18:7, different [15] - 8:12, 107:8, 107:11, 122:6, 122:13, 90:17, 90:22, 91:2, 40:3, 40:25, 87:15, 33:23, 36:4, 50:20, 108:4, 108:14, 124:17, 127:16, 91:6, 92:5, 97:23, 109:21 68:9, 75:25, 79:16, 111:16, 111:17, 128:8, 131:23 108:23, 109:1, definitions [1] -79:19, 79:20, 83:18, 111:24, 112:1, cover [1] - 129:3 109:3, 110:22, 128:19 88:2, 92:17, 119:15, 113:6, 113:9, covered [4] - 29:25, 111:1, 114:3, deliberate [1] - 43:24 122:22, 122:23 115:21, 120:12, 114:25, 115:3, 36:1, 60:14 deliberative [1] differently [1] - 88:16 120:16, 120:20, crafted [1] - 126:24 117:11, 122:16, 43:21 differs [2] - 11:16, 120:23, 121:2, 124:16, 125:23, created [2] - 71:21 delivery [2] - 49:21 21:6 121:9, 121:18, 127:9, 128:23, Cremer [1] - 1:13 **DEMMAN** [17] - 71:24, difficult [6] - 7:11, 122:4, 122:8, 131:13, 131:25, crops [1] - 46:20 75:25, 76:7, 76:12, 18:10, 20:23, 32:14, 122:14, 122:22, 132:2 crossing [1] - 46:6 76:19, 76:23, 77:1, 37:10, 104:3 124:4, 124:20, de [12] - 1:13, 5:21, CRR [1] - 1:24 80:24, 81:2, 81:14, dilute [3] - 88:17, 128:20 5:24, 7:1, 71:9, cultivation [1] - 46:17 121:1, 121:6, 121:9, 90:19, 90:22 **CUSTOMERS** [1] - 1:5 86:18, 91:8, 92:4, curiosity [2] - 77:2, 121:14, 121:17, dilutes [1] - 91:1 customers' [2] - 7:12, 92:10, 111:8, 126:1, 80:7 121:22, 124:14 direct [3] - 85:8, 119:3 127.12 curious [4] - 26:11, **Demman** [8] - 6:11, 100:12, 105:4 cutting [1] - 25:20 deal [15] - 31:9, 34:8, 72:16, 97:1, 127:22 30:11, 71:24, 72:1, directly [4] - 5:14, 34:11, 36:18, 41:21, current [3] - 31:19, 72:8, 75:23, 76:5, 86:2, 98:11, 102:8 D 41:24, 41:25, 66:19, 80:16 101:13, 101:19 disagree [3] - 33:14, 67:13, 67:23, custody [1] - 77:16 demonstrated [2] -56:23, 104:14 105:20, 114:12, customer [17] - 12:3, 35:21, 78:20 Dakota [46] - 1:21, disagreement [2] -122:11, 131:11 19:9, 20:25, 22:15, denigrated [1] - 19:8 11:5, 39:4 1:24, 2:4, 3:8, 7:14, dealing [2] - 22:13, 22:24, 35:9, 60:24, department [4] - 6:16, 12:10, 12:13, 25:24, discontinuance [1] -66:2 99:24, 105:13, 73:12, 77:24, 118:20 27:20, 31:10, 31:11, 83.9 dealt [2] - 31:6, 78:11 105:18, 106:8, depicted [1] - 108:11 32:2, 36:1, 36:5, discontinuation [2] -

32:10, 82:25 72:17, 73:1, 73:9, 118:12, 118:23, effort [3] - 37:14, engage [1] - 78:5 6 discontinue [5] - 8:3, 120.12 73:11 53:13, 80:22 enjoyed [2] - 32:6, 8:11, 8:20, 18:25, Docket [8] - 3:2, 8:2, e-mails [2] - 72:21, efforts [1] - 114:7 131.9 8:6, 9:19, 33:9, 99:7, 73:5 84.9 egress [1] - 45:17 enlighten [1] - 105:2 discontinued [3] -111.18 early [1] - 31:9 enlightens [1] eight [1] - 58:7 32:8, 32:11, 36:24 dockets [1] - 114:22 earn [1] - 34:19 131:17 either [9] - 12:4, discontinuing [3] document [19] easement [50] - 44:20, 47:15, 56:25, 98:11, ensure [3] - 28:16, 7:24, 8:5, 36:25 52:14, 52:16, 52:19, 44:25, 45:2, 45:10, 100:7, 111:21, 30:19, 131:20 discord [1] - 73:3 52:24, 53:9, 53:19, 45:23, 47:24, 48:19, 115:11, 123:23, ensuring [2] - 7:13, 54:12, 54:21, 57:16, discourse [2] - 73:3, 49:8, 49:9, 49:24, 131:6 125:5 86:5, 106:15, 107:4, 50:8, 51:9, 51:19, elaborate [1] - 30:3 enter [2] - 99:16, 73:14 discover [1] - 100:5 107:5, 107:6, 107:7, 51:22, 52:4, 52:10, electric [3] - 40:19, 126:22 107:9, 108:3, 110:3, 52:13, 52:21, 60:16, discovery [1] - 119:6 64:17, 112:3 entered [8] - 36:17, discuss [2] - 12:1, 115:13 85:1, 90:7, 90:9, element [1] - 11:10 38:14. 89:15. 89:19. documentation [4] -90:23, 91:1, 97:3, 112:5 eliminate [1] - 88:17 102:22, 107:18, 54:20, 58:24, 58:25, 97:4, 97:13, 101:3, eliminates [1] discussed [8] - 31:4, 125:12, 125:14 102:20, 110:3, 33:10, 40:10, 96:18, entering [1] - 126:10 116:14 documented [1] - 99:5 114:12, 114:19, 98:8, 99:19, 99:23, enters [1] - 99:16 **emanate** [1] - 114:9 documents [11] -116:11, 117:1, 125:13 entire [3] - 57:19, emergencies [2] -117:5, 117:8, **Discussion** [3] - 6:25, 54:13, 57:22, 58:15, 83:24, 83:25 73:19, 84:11 120:18, 122:11, 71:17, 94:2, 94:15, 71:10, 72:2 employee [2] - 53:16, entirely [4] - 9:8, 95:12, 110:6, 122:12, 124:11, discussion [16] - 14:5, 123:24 111:19, 122:22, 115:14, 128:12 125:1, 125:4, 125:5, 15:23, 16:16, 64:7, encourage [2] - 28:13, 122:23 dollars [1] - 19:14 125:7, 125:8, 69:14, 77:20, 80:21, entities [3] - 101:7, 88:15 125:22, 126:2, domestic [1] - 7:21 90:6, 91:18, 91:20, 116:1, 119:16 encouraged [1] -127:16 done [8] - 45:1, 60:5, 100:11, 108:1, entitled [2] - 2:2, 121:9 easements [17] -116:7, 118:4, 118:8, 67:6, 80:10, 83:14, 133:10 encouraging [1] -48:13, 48:20, 54:14, 124:1 85:17, 86:4, 101:14 entity [5] - 10:10, 85.13 97:19, 98:6, 98:7, discussions [14] -**DOT** [1] - 6:16 39:16, 40:7, 93:14, end [25] - 7:9, 7:21, 100:24, 101:8, 65:24, 66:10, 66:16, dotted [1] - 80:9 125:12 9:18, 9:23, 10:8, 102:2, 102:10, 112:23, 113:15, doubt [3] - 15:13, 10:11, 10:20, 18:11, environment[1] - 4:20 114:18, 116:10, 37:15. 78:3 114:1, 117:16, 18:24, 26:24, 30:5, **equipment** [2] - 40:1, 125:11, 125:13, down [14] - 25:20, 117:20, 117:25, 30:22, 32:10, 43:16, 99.12 125:14, 126:15 118:2, 119:17, 34:2, 38:17, 38:24, escape [1] - 127:15 43:20, 48:4, 55:11, East [1] - 2:3 119:20, 119:21, 42:6, 55:18, 58:17, 57:1, 74:8, 75:21, **essentially** [2] - 8:6, easy [1] - 35:2 120:4 64:14, 65:3, 104:25, 80:16, 98:19, 99:5, economically [1] disposal [1] - 20:8 112:9, 115:12, 130:2 101:24, 128:7 established [4] -35:5 dispute [2] - 62:25, downstream [8] endeavored [1] - 9:2 47:12, 50:17, 50:24, 109:17 22:2, 55:24, 70:8, Edwards [15] - 1:17, ended [1] - 72:4 6:4, 7:2, 13:3, 13:7, disrespectful [1] -70:9, 74:10, 74:12, ends [5] - 24:8, 28:25, establishes [1] - 30:1 16:15, 27:15, 29:18, 30.15 74:16, 74:21 33:19, 47:9 establishing [1] -30:13, 114:11, drawn [1] - 31:8 disservice [1] - 37:4 enduring [1] - 130:14 69:17 119:14, 119:20, driven [1] - 32:3 distinction [4] - 33:20, Energy [29] - 3:21, estate [1] - 110:7 120:8, 122:18, driver [1] - 26:23 48:3, 100:10, 123:21 18:3, 18:17, 18:20, estimate [1] - 99:25 129:19 distinguish [1] - 33:19 due [3] - 4:4, 74:25, 28:21, 36:11, 36:19, et [4] - 62:6, 62:10, EDWARDS [26] - 6:4, 101:21 distribute [1] - 81:22 37:18, 37:25, 39:25, 66:19, 107:16 7:1, 13:10, 13:23, distribution [17] duly [1] - 133:8 40:8, 40:10, 40:14, evaluate [1] - 7:23 16:24, 17:14, 18:6, 10:8, 11:1, 62:16, duly-appointed [1] -41:7, 42:1, 43:10, evaluating [1] - 84:8 18:18, 18:21, 19:21, 62:20, 63:16, 64:19, 133:8 43:11, 61:24, 78:9, evasive [1] - 55:10 20:22, 21:11, 22:11, 64:24, 65:16, 66:21, duration [1] - 98:23 83:22, 84:23, events [1] - 9:12 22:22, 23:2, 27:2, 67:1, 70:2, 70:5, during [5] - 5:11, 102:19, 108:18, evidence [3] - 87:4, 27:5, 28:1, 28:11, 70:6, 70:12, 70:18, 54:11, 80:3, 80:21, 112:22, 113:12, 87:5, 116:16 29:4, 29:24, 30:5, 80:19, 94:25 124:2 113:17, 119:1, evidently [1] - 126:3 111:8, 114:20, distributor [1] - 81:20 duty [2] - 57:14, 125:2 126:19 exact [1] - 25:13 129:21, 130:3 **DO** [1] - 133:8 energy [1] - 113:6 exactly [4] - 17:1, effect [2] - 63:10 docket [14] - 8:12, Ε Energy's [5] - 33:18, 48:1, 66:24, 124:2 effective [4] - 31:20, 9:16, 12:16, 28:9, 33:23, 41:2, 49:19, **Examiner** [2] - 5:22, 59:15, 59:17, 64:1 99:11, 108:18, 115:6 91.8 e-mail [8] - 72:10, effectively [2] - 9:5, 109:8, 111:22, enforcement [2] examining [2] - 79:10, 72:11, 72:12, 72:16, 9:24 112:8, 117:25, 73:12, 73:16 80:11

37:21, 37:22, 38:11, 24:13, 26:17, 42:25, example [1] - 76:9 filling [1] - 101:5 F excellent [2] - 19:22, 39:15, 39:17, 39:20, 43:13, 50:6, 55:13, final [3] - 129:6, 129:8, 19:25 40:9, 40:20, 40:21, 67:4, 70:1, 71:1, 130.1 facilities [44] - 10:19, 41:11, 41:12, 41:13, 77:15, 77:22, 77:25, exchange [4] - 46:2, financial [3] - 122:5, 11:7, 11:9, 11:22, 67:12, 98:7, 101:8 41:16, 42:2, 42:4, 78:24, 79:5, 79:6 122:10, 122:14 19:22, 19:23, 19:24, exclusive [6] - 39:24, 42:11, 42:12, 42:14, few [4] - 71:14. fingers [1] - 62:10 42:16, 42:19, 42:20, 43:9, 48:21, 49:17, 103:21, 115:5. 43:1, 43:12, 78:10, finished [1] - 111:2 42:22, 42:25, 43:3, 52:7. 57:1. 57:7. 115:18 78:13, 79:12 first [38] - 8:13, 11:23, 43:4, 43:14, 49:17, 57:15, 59:21, 60:16, Fiegen [6] - 3:10, excuse [8] - 25:16, 27:16, 30:13, 31:5, 49:18, 53:7, 55:12, 62:7, 63:3, 64:7, 26:10, 91:25, 92:1, 28:24, 39:12, 57:21, 34:10, 39:1, 39:19, 55:16, 55:24, 67:4, 64:10, 65:15, 65:18, 108:23, 117:13 95:18, 96:7, 98:20, 43:16, 44:9, 44:25, 68:10, 68:11, 69:1, 66:9, 66:18, 67:3, FIEGEN [66] - 1:11, 125:10 46:5, 57:12, 59:15, 69:2, 70:8, 70:9, 67:18, 68:12, 69:1, 23:8, 23:15, 23:18, 62:5, 72:9, 73:18, **exercise** [1] - 62:20 70:11, 71:1, 71:2, 69:2, 69:13, 75:5, exercised [6] - 4:4, 24:10, 24:20, 62:4, 74:25, 77:3, 77:21, 74:5, 74:18, 78:22, 75:7, 75:21, 77:17, 63:6, 63:12, 63:20, 60:2, 69:12, 113:11, 80:9, 81:25, 84:7, 78:24, 79:7, 79:14, 78:23, 79:4, 79:11, 63:24, 64:2, 64:6, 115:24, 116:5 84:17, 86:16, 88:11, 79:25, 97:10, 97:11, 79:14, 79:24, 80:4, exhaustively [1] -64:21, 65:5, 65:9, 98:3, 100:2, 103:23, 99:12, 99:14, 99:16, 80:11, 80:18, 81:17, 65:11, 65:14, 66:6, 104:18, 109:4, 112:20 115:7 83:17, 83:22, 84:9, Exhibit [1] - 71:18 66:17, 67:10, 67:15, 109:25, 111:2, facility [2] - 14:16, 93:6, 93:24, 95:10, 68:16, 68:19, 69:21, exhibit [3] - 14:18, 114:4, 118:17, 55:15 97:3, 97:18, 98:10, 70:5, 70:14, 70:17, 120:18, 126:21, 14:21, 42:17 fact [14] - 13:13, 14:6, 98:24, 98:25, 99:10, 71:7, 92:1, 92:9, 130:13 existing [5] - 32:12, 15:23, 61:22, 72:22, 99:15, 99:22, 99:23, 92:24, 93:11, 94:6, fit [1] - 123:9 34:23, 35:10, 97:13 78:21, 79:24, 79:25, 100:2, 100:7, 100:9, 94:11, 94:20, 95:13, fits [1] - 40:3 expansive [1] - 87:16 80:2, 100:24, 101:9, 101:13, 95:17, 96:6, 108:24, five [3] - 67:22, 67:23, expect [1] - 54:15 103:14, 107:10, 103:25, 104:6, 115:1, 117:14, 83:18 expectation [3] -119:22 105:6, 105:8, 117:18, 117:21, five-year [2] - 67:22, 22:20, 45:22, 48:14 facts [3] - 7:15, 31:14, 105:15, 106:18, 118:3, 118:7, expected [1] - 32:14 67:23 33:15 107:15, 108:14, 118:11, 118:14, expensive [2] - 35:20, **fix** [2] - 100:6, 104:8 factual [2] - 39:4, 39:6 109:10, 109:11, 118:19, 118:22, flack [1] - 126:18 37:13 failure [1] - 105:14 109:20, 109:25, 119:9, 119:12, floor [3] - 4:20, 5:24, experiences [1] -112:6, 115:15, fair [8] - 34:19, 35:14, 120:5, 120:11, 115:3 77:23 49:4, 85:4, 93:1, 115:21, 121:18, 120:21, 120:24, flying [1] - 6:21 experimenting [1] -105:22, 126:18 122:4, 122:8, 121:5, 121:7, focus [2] - 55:3, 116:9 4:21 fairer [1] - 35:4 122:14, 123:16, 121:11, 121:15, folks [5] - 34:6, 83:19, expire [2] - 7:9, fairly [1] - 32:24 124:3, 124:20, 121:20, 121:24, 104:25, 130:2, 131:2 101:23 126:20, 128:17, 129:11, 130:6, fall [1] - 29:19 explain [2] - 49:13, follow [6] - 86:19, 131:16 130:9, 131:14 familiar [1] - 68:24 86:20, 110:13, FARM [1] - 1:5 Fiegen's [1] - 86:21 familiarity [1] - 80:7 124:8, 124:16, explained [2] - 83:19, farmer [3] - 19:9, field [2] - 22:4, 38:20 far [13] - 15:14, 17:1, 128:16 124:18 21:15, 47:23 fields [1] - 105:16 21:19, 27:25, 31:4, explaining [1] followed [1] - 104:24 farmer-owned [1] figure [5] - 21:18, 59:22, 66:5, 70:23, following [3] - 16:22, 119:14 21:15 72:18, 85:22, 94:17, 24:7, 24:10, 58:25, 45:4, 90:18 explanation [4] farmers [2] - 19:19, 115:7, 129:9 62:11 follows [1] - 46:14 13:12, 75:13, 75:17, 96:21 file [10] - 70:12, 89:18, Farm [1] - 3:4 foot [2] - 22:1 88.1 **farming** [1] - 21:3 farm [148] - 3:16, 3:23, 91:16, 109:18, footage [1] - 22:5 explicit [2] - 99:3, fault [1] - 131:10 3:24, 7:7, 7:15, 7:20, 110:16, 115:20, footings [1] - 84:12 103:12 8:3, 8:15, 11:7, 12:8, favor [1] - 91:21 115:23, 116:1, **FOR** [1] - 1:4 explored [1] - 112:20 12:11, 12:21, 13:23, Fed [1] - 77:24 123:18, 123:20 force [1] - 29:11 explosion [1] - 20:11 14:6, 15:4, 16:22, Federal [2] - 12:4, filed [10] - 69:25, forcing [1] - 111:17 express [3] - 43:6, 18:4, 19:4, 22:3, 71:17, 76:4, 78:16, 78:9 forever [3] - 45:16, 90:6, 90:23 22:4, 23:10, 23:21, federal [3] - 9:24, 95:9, 101:1, 111:17, expressly [2] - 38:9, 46:3, 46:8 24:16, 24:18, 24:25, 10:2, 78:12 111:23, 112:8, 78:17 forfeited [1] - 4:4 25:2, 25:7, 25:8, feed [1] - 4:23 123:25 extensive [1] - 112:23 forgive [2] - 19:6, 96:8 filing [6] - 10:14, 69:5, 25:25, 26:11, 26:12, feedback [1] - 85:10 extent [10] - 21:4, forgot [1] - 109:5 26:16, 27:6, 29:19, fellow [2] - 12:25, 69:23, 111:18, 34:21, 35:16, 41:2, form [1] - 70:12 30:21, 31:12, 32:2, 129:7 111:21, 111:22 86:7, 93:5, 94:18, formed [1] - 101:16 32:4, 32:6, 32:8, filings [1] - 27:22 **felt** [1] - 109:13 95:8, 97:3, 119:19 forth [4] - 4:9, 74:3, 32:11, 32:14, 34:18, fill [4] - 44:16, 44:18, fences [1] - 46:21 **extremely** [1] - 80:8 74:24, 128:21 35:5, 35:25, 37:7, 55:1, 99:20 FERC [17] - 10:2, 17:5, forthright [2] - 55:23,

114:21 forum [2] - 70:24, 83:5 forward [5] - 9:1, 12:19, 17:10, 34:15, 111.16 Foss [2] - 2:3, 3:7 foundation [2] -75:17, 84:12 four [3] - 54:10, 130:13, 130:16 four-inch [1] - 54:10 fourth [2] - 4:20, 83:21 framed [1] - 110:19 frankly [1] - 55:21 free [1] - 50:21 frequency [1] - 80:12 frequently [1] - 13:16 front [6] - 68:22, 69:9, 73:9, 83:10, 98:16, 130:15 fuel [1] - 106:23 **fulfilling** [1] - 117:6 fully [1] - 31:7 functions [1] - 54:23 fundamentally [1] -33:14 furnish [3] - 47:21, 50:1, 97:6 furnished [1] - 47:11 furnishing [1] - 98:11 future [2] - 28:9, 131:21

G

gaps [2] - 44:16, 44:18 GARY [1] - 1:11 gas [72] - 3:16, 3:17, 7:7, 7:12, 7:13, 7:19, 7:20, 8:14, 10:7, 11:2, 11:21, 11:22, 16:21, 18:7, 18:9. 18:11, 20:8, 23:4, 26:22, 29:20, 29:21, 29:22, 29:23, 29:24, 30:5, 31:6, 32:7, 35:6, 39:23, 40:1, 40:18, 40:19, 42:23, 44:1, 47:1, 47:3, 47:10, 47:17, 47:21, 47:25, 48:3, 48:4, 48:15, 48:22, 49:3, 49:10, 49:17, 49:20, 50:1, 50:13, 52:7, 58:4, 60:24, 64:19, 74:11, 74:12, 77:16, 78:11, 78:21, 78:22, 82:1, 82:4, 82:9, 97:10, 98:11, 101:9,

102:3, 102:9, 103:6, 109:21, 118:9, 128.18 Gas [22] - 1:19, 3:18, 3:21. 6:9. 32:19. 35:23. 38:14. 39:3. 56:10. 56:25. 64:8. 68:3, 75:12, 77:1, 91:17, 106:17, 117:12, 124:19, 124:22, 125:18, 126:21 Gas's [1] - 54:18 Gayville [1] - 112:10 General [3] - 6:9, 6:10, 119:25 general [4] - 30:2, 97:18, 109:9, 109:23 generally [1] - 97:16 given [8] - 27:24, 34:13, 42:9, 65:25, 85:10, 102:1, 106:21, 107:6 glad [1] - 109:14 goal [3] - 30:18, 85:13, 86:16 Government [3] -6:12, 12:5, 118:19 grant [3] - 46:14, 91:15, 115:15 granted [4] - 8:20, 47:23, 52:5, 92:3 grantee [12] - 45:19, 46:14, 46:25, 47:1, 47:12, 47:13, 50:17, 50:18, 98:11, 98:12, 98:13, 126:4 grantor [6] - 46:19, 46:20, 47:3, 47:11,

47:17, 97:3

grantors [1] - 124:11

grateful [1] - 20:12

great [3] - 20:13,

36:25, 114:12

11:16

66:23

greatly [2] - 11:12,

Greg [3] - 1:14, 6:8,

Gregory [1] - 1:18

ground [4] - 99:16,

groups [1] - 117:24

growing [1] - 46:20

26:23, 29:4, 51:16,

65:3, 71:13, 94:3,

guys [5] - 38:20, 65:6,

65:14, 65:20, 130:4

guess [10] - 22:7,

127:18, 129:5,

130:12

99:17, 107:12, 110:1

н hair [1] - 34:1 half [1] - 22:3 hallmarks [2] - 104:4, 104:5 hand [4] - 6:23, 104:9, 105:10, 111:17 handed [1] - 106:18 handle [2] - 69:19, 70:11 handled [2] - 117:4, 123:24 hands [1] - 111:13 hang [2] - 14:20, 16:13 Hanson [6] - 3:10, 71:11, 91:23, 109:1, 120:13, 131:25 HANSON [57] - 1:11, 24:21, 24:24, 25:2, 25:6, 25:16, 25:23, 26:9, 26:20, 26:25, 27:4, 27:11, 28:4, 28:18, 29:12, 71:12, 71:16, 71:25, 72:3, 72:15, 72:19, 73:17, 75:14, 75:23, 76:2, 76:10, 76:16, 76:21, 76:24, 77:2, 77:10, 77:19, 78:4, 79:3, 79:10, 80:6, 80:15, 80:25, 81:11, 81:20, 82:3, 82:11, 82:18, 83:2, 85:12, 85:16, 86:10, 91:19, 91:24, 96:7, 96:17, 97:12, 97:20. 109:2. 115:2. 129:10, 132:1 happy [4] - 44:6, 64:4, 66:2, 102:13 hard [1] - 95:3 head [1] - 79:19 hear [2] - 5:5, 5:10 heard [4] - 19:12, 92:14, 92:17, 130:24 hearing [24] - 3:2, 3:6, 3:11, 3:14, 4:5, 4:6, 4:8, 5:13, 5:14, 5:22, 13:20, 16:17, 16:20, 17:13, 91:7, 91:21, 103:2, 103:3, 118:9, 119:10, 129:4, 132:2, 132:4

Hearing [3] - 3:13,

hedge [1] - 48:17

held [5] - 2:1, 109:17,

109:23, 109:24,

5:22, 91:8

helped [1] - 128:11 helpful [9] - 14:8, 31:2, 33:4, 43:20, 53:25, 55:2, 69:22, 104:16, 128:9 helping [1] - 14:24 HEREBY [1] - 133:8 herein [1] - 46:14 herself [1] - 113:24 high [1] - 120:2 higher [1] - 25:17 highly [1] - 15:13 highway [1] - 82:1 Hillmer [6] - 7:4, 13:8, 14:4, 14:9, 14:25, 24:22 HILLMER [20] - 14:14, 15:1, 15:17, 15:20, 16:6, 16:9, 21:21, 21:24, 23:13, 23:17, 23:22, 24:12, 24:23, 25:1, 25:4, 25:9, 25:17, 26:1, 26:14, 26:22 Hills [13] - 41:9, 68:10, 68:14, 68:16, 68:23, 69:11, 69:17, 112:23. 112:24. 113:6. 113:12. 113:15, 113:17 hindsight's [1] -128:13 historical [4] - 31:14, 53:18, 60:9, 125:17 history [15] - 7:15, 12:15, 25:25, 31:16, 32:2, 44:11, 44:14, 44:15, 44:17, 45:10, 51:15, 67:18, 96:25, 114:14, 116:3 hit [5] - 20:3, 38:3, 85:11, 85:12, 85:13 hold [1] - 45:13 holders [5] - 85:1, 120:19, 125:1, 125:4, 125:7 hole [1] - 47:5 home [3] - 12:2, 130:23, 131:5 homeowner [1] - 67:2 honest [1] - 15:8 honestly [1] - 123:23 hopefully [3] - 13:1, 33:3, 43:20 hoping [1] - 22:15 house [2] - 22:1,

125:15

74:19 help[8] - 21:2, 21:4, housekeeping [2] -21:5, 21:21, 23:18, 4:14, 91:11 54:25, 62:18, 70:2 Hueck [12] - 1:13, 5:21, 5:24, 7:1, 71:9, 86:18, 91:8, 92:4, 92:10, 111:8, 126:1, 127.12 HUECK [37] - 5:25, 6:7, 6:13, 6:18, 6:22, 13:3, 29:14, 29:17, 30:3, 30:7, 71:11, 87:11, 90:3, 90:5, 90:17, 90:22, 91:2, 91:6, 92:5, 97:23, 108:23, 109:1, 109:3, 110:22, 111:1, 114:3, 114:25, 115:3, 117:11, 122:16, 124:16, 125:23, 127:9, 128:23, 131:13, 131:25, 132:2 husband [1] - 64:5 husband's [1] - 64:2

I

idea [5] - 88:22, 88:24, 94:7, 94:9, 112:17 ideas [1] - 112:25 identified [1] - 60:6 identify [6] - 38:24. 54:14, 54:15, 55:16, 55:20 illuminating [1] -114.7 imagine [2] - 106:3, 127:5 immediately [1] -77:17 impact [3] - 88:12, 122:22, 125:21 impediment [2] -80:17, 81:1 **imperative** [1] - 8:23 impertinences [2] -45:3, 45:15 implement [1] -112.25 import [1] - 38:25 **importance** [1] - 32:1 important [10] - 11:8, 31:7, 31:15, 38:2, 38:5, 41:18, 45:10, 48:4, 62:8, 120:22 importantly [1] - 8:18 impression [2] - 12:8,

19:7 106:6 121:10 inspect [17] - 11:18, J IN [1] - 1:4 12:20, 14:16, 15:8, interstate [21] - 23:11, knows [2] - 55:11, inaccurate [3] - 27:17, 15:15, 16:3, 20:16, 23:16, 23:19, 23:24, 65:23 108:10, 126:22 20:18, 20:20, 20:23, 24:2. 27:8. 38:22. James [1] - 1:18 Koenecke [6] - 1:21, January [4] - 129:18, 21:15, 23:25, 25:3, 42:23, 42:24, 49:16. 6:20, 110:23, inappropriate [1] -129:20, 130:4, 83:17 27:6, 68:25, 69:4, 53:2, 53:3, 53:11, 124:18, 127:9, 133:14 68:6. 70:18. 74:4. Inbox [1] - 120:15 80.4 127.11 Jim [2] - 6:10, 30:11 78:19, 78:20, 78:22, inspectable [1] -Inc [2] - 58:3, 58:5 **KOENECKE** [4] - 6:20, job [4] - 19:25, 49:23, 26:19 79:1, 79:4 inch [1] - 54:10 14:18, 109:7, 127:12 64:3, 104:19 inspected [12] - 12:6, intervene [4] - 118:11, incident [1] - 110:18 Kristen [3] - 1:17, 6:4, 118:16, 119:2, 119:5 Joe [1] - 54:21 incite [4] - 84:13, 85:5, 14:6, 15:11, 15:19, 7:2 July [2] - 63:21, 64:1 21:8, 22:6, 23:10, intervened [2] -KRISTIE [1] - 1:11 85:7, 86:12 jurisdiction [51] -26:13, 74:11, 74:13, 118:18, 118:23 incited [1] - 86:12 3:15, 3:19, 3:25, 74:22, 79:25 intervenor [1] - 12:12 L inciting [1] - 84:4 7:25, 8:7, 8:14, 8:15, inspecting [4] - 12:8, intimate [1] - 127:14 include [2] - 34:17, 11:14, 11:15, 11:19, 12:11, 26:16, 45:18 intrastate [4] - 11:21, 97:18 lacking [1] - 86:17 11:21, 11:23, 13:14, inspection [10] -27:7, 27:8, 70:18 included [2] - 53:17, laid [2] - 46:15, 56:22 12:14, 15:9, 15:14, 13:25, 14:2, 15:3, invested [1] - 19:14 107:14 land [4] - 46:15, 57:3, 15:4, 24:7, 26:18, 15:24, 42:21, 43:15, investigate [1] - 83:23 including [5] - 41:12, 101:2, 101:8 31:24, 37:12, 39:14, 68:25, 79:14, 80:3, invitation [1] - 121:18 55:16, 59:15, 59:20, landed [1] - 98:3 39:18, 39:20, 39:24, 113:25 invite [2] - 119:4, 107:15 landowner [6] - 46:3, 42:15, 43:1, 43:8, inspections [1] -128:24 inclusive [1] - 26:25 46:4, 46:7, 48:12, 11:16 43:12, 69:12, 69:15, inconsistent [2] invited [3] - 121:2, 50:14, 60:16 73:7, 73:15, 75:1, inspectors [3] - 79:19, 121:12, 121:21 79:14, 115:10 landowner's [2] -79:25. 80:3 78:10, 78:13, 79:9, involve [1] - 42:24 incorporated [1] -48:25, 52:5 79:13, 80:5, 82:23, install [1] - 58:21 involved [5] - 50:2, 53:1 landowners [5] -85:20, 90:15, 93:15, installed [4] - 55:6, 85:15, 89:2, 89:5, increase [1] - 23:4 44:21, 45:6, 114:13, 113:11, 115:25, 56:3, 56:8, 59:2 98:10 indicate [2] - 56:12, 121:25, 122:2, 114:21, 121:19 instance [4] - 35:21, lowa [21] - 41:9, 53:4, 116:16 122:7, 123:13, lands [1] - 45:4 69:10, 83:21, 85:18 indicated [1] - 123:13 58:8, 66:19, 68:1, 124:3, 128:17 language [19] - 47:15, instances [1] - 20:2 68:10, 68:13, 68:17, indirect [1] - 100:13 jurisdictional [13] -50:8, 50:15, 51:3, instead [4] - 62:13, 68:23. 69:9. 69:11. indirectly [1] - 98:12 11:24, 12:4, 21:11, 51:8, 51:13, 51:19, 83:20, 86:11, 108:7 69:12. 113:5. individuals [1] -24:17, 24:18, 27:8, 52:10, 52:12, 52:21, instrument [1] - 72:6 113:10, 113:17, 128:24 31:7, 67:4, 69:1, 54:17, 88:20, 89:6, intending [1] - 56:21 119:23, 120:1, inflammatory [2] -71:1, 113:16, 89:10, 90:23, 91:1, intent [4] - 84:19, 122:20, 123:2, 83:6. 86:15 115:18, 116:4 102:20, 117:4, 117:8 123:4, 123:8 84:20, 86:10, 86:13 inform [1] - 86:17 jurisdictions [2] large [4] - 23:2, 40:18, ironic [1] - 127:1 intention [1] - 85:4 information [15] -20:1, 112:16 58:6, 111:12 issue [22] - 4:7, 7:6, interaction [2] - 78:6, 10:15, 10:17, 12:14, largely [1] - 10:15 8:5, 9:3, 11:13, 12:1, 120:3 27:17, 31:1, 32:25, K last [13] - 17:17, 26:1, 24:25, 27:8, 33:16, interest [7] - 37:5, 33:1, 43:19, 60:11, 39:11, 44:23, 45:23, 43:25, 62:7, 109:11, 34:1, 65:23, 66:1, 60:13, 62:9, 62:15, 46:11, 47:14, 49:7, 67:1, 67:7, 73:6, 125:8, 131:19 76:5, 120:3, 129:22 Kansas [5] - 53:5, 51:24, 52:3, 71:22, 73:16, 76:1, 90:10, interested [2] - 85:15, informational [1] -58:7, 79:17, 112:21, 121:25, 130:16 119:16, 129:17, 96:22 123:25 113:18 **Laura** [5] - 6:11, 131:11 interesting [5] - 41:6, informing [1] - 85:16 Karen [1] - 1:13 30:11, 84:15, 86:2, 83:3, 90:7, 111:23, issued [1] - 3:13 infrastructure [1] -Katlyn [1] - 5:17 120:25 issues [25] - 3:14, 4:9, 115:17 32:13 keep [3] - 26:4, 41:18, law [9] - 4:12, 9:24, interests [1] - 130:19 20:5, 22:13, 25:7, ingress [1] - 45:17 106:6 10:2, 13:18, 13:21, 25:9, 30:18, 31:3, interfere [1] - 46:16 **inherently** [1] - 113:8 keeps [1] - 131:8 33:15, 49:15, 61:21, 31:4, 31:6, 32:4, InterNorth [8] - 35:22, initial [7] - 10:13, 19:2, Keith [1] - 53:17 114:18 32:23, 38:2, 66:2, 38:6, 38:9, 54:12, 19:8, 19:18, 36:10, key [1] - 44:11 **Law** [2] - 27:20, 82:24 66:10, 84:1, 84:22, 58:3, 93:7, 94:13, 44:19, 51:24 kind [5] - 23:22, 26:14, lawyer [1] - 6:20 85:6, 85:18, 88:10, 96:5 initiated [1] - 43:19 54:20, 70:19, 114:6 lay [1] - 73:14 104:8, 114:18, interpret [1] - 111:7 initiation [1] - 44:17 knowing [4] - 32:24, laying [2] - 53:13, 115:18, 122:8 interpretation [1] initiative [1] - 12:19 33:2, 47:20, 86:8 104:19 items [1] - 85:24 89:13 inlet [3] - 15:4, 104:23, knowledge [5] layperson [1] - 87:24 itself [2] - 19:5, 102:19 interpreted [1] - 17:3 105:8 37:11, 75:19, 75:25, lays [1] - 53:9 interpreting [1] - 19:6 inquiry [1] - 77:14 76:24, 77:13 **LDC** [2] - 55:21, 67:1 interruption [1] insight [1] - 104:21 known [2] - 9:7,

leak [7] - 20:6, 21:1, lies [1] - 94:8 64:10, 70:20, 110:2 111:10 10 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 21:2, 22:23, 23:2, life [2] - 43:23, 110:24 lose [4] - 42:11, 44:3, 133:18 merge [2] - 76:8, 23:4, 100:5 60:23, 61:2 McKee [1] - 6:16 76:14 likely [2] - 12:23, leaks [2] - 83:23, losing [1] - 20:8 MDU [5] - 6:19, 6:21, met [2] - 64:9, 64:14 35:17 83:24 lost [1] - 20:2 12:17, 109:11, meter [7] - 12:2, 60:8, **limitation** [1] - 99:5 least [7] - 36:10, 65:7, loud [1] - 5:4 109.13 60:10, 99:22, limited [1] - 98:23 68:2, 72:21, 76:3, line [35] - 3:17, 12:2, louder [1] - 76:11 MDU's [1] - 12:21 106:24, 106:25, 107:2 110:20, 131:3 19:4, 19:9, 19:11, love [1] - 21:3 mean [24] - 15:2, 15:7, leave [2] - 91:16, 20:3, 20:4, 20:17, lower [1] - 105:9 20:19, 21:14, 24:11, meters [1] - 22:9 131:4 20:18, 20:21, 21:2, lunch [1] - 91:5 25:13, 25:15, 26:2, Michigan [2] - 58:7, leaves [2] - 48:7, 21:19, 22:2, 23:24, 34:12, 36:12, 48:2, 68:1 50:12 24:2, 25:18, 34:5, 48:17, 52:18, 54:13, microphone [1] -M 35:15, 41:22, 55:19, 54:19, 57:18, 63:9, 109:19 led [1] - 8:4 left [5] - 12:23, 60:3, 64:10, 69:3, 74:18, 65:6, 67:17, 88:25, microphones [2] ma'am [1] - 119:11 74:21, 75:3, 96:10, 89:15, 113:13, 85:25, 100:16, 5:1, 5:6 Madison [4] - 121:3, 119:1, 123:3 105:10 96:16, 97:14, 100:8, MidAmerican [9] -121:5, 121:16, left-hand [1] - 105:10 104:25, 106:23, means [8] - 33:23, 41:12, 64:15, 65:4, 124:11 legal [7] - 39:4, 39:6. 47:21, 47:23, 47:25, 113:12, 113:13, 65:6, 65:8, 65:15, magic [1] - 131:1 63:10, 70:25, 82:14, 130:2 87:15, 87:25, 109:3, 65:21, 112:13, 120:6 mail [10] - 72:10, 84:3, 94:11 lines [14] - 7:19, 7:20, 117:23 MidAmerican's [4] -72:11, 72:12, 72:16, 12:6, 19:15, 19:20, meant [1] - 88:21 legalities [1] - 87:24 64:11, 112:1, 112:2, 72:17, 73:1, 73:9, 20:13, 20:23, 21:7, measured [1] - 47:11 legally [3] - 17:4, 112:11 73:11, 76:8, 76:14 21:16, 21:18, 27:7, meet [2] - 69:4, 109:20 middle [1] - 22:4 81:12, 94:21 mails [2] - 72:21, 73:5 31:8, 68:17 meeting [8] - 62:6, might [21] - 11:10, legislature [2] - 14:1, main [1] - 12:7 link [1] - 126:25 28:5 79:23, 84:5, 85:19, 13:1, 17:2, 25:17, maintain [3] - 19:9, listen [3] - 17:12, 85:20, 121:17, 32:16, 35:12, 62:12, less [3] - 22:10, 22:25, 21:16, 45:2 17:15, 119:9 129:18, 129:20 64:23, 65:17, 66:4, 45:16 maintained [4] lest [1] - 5:9 listened [2] - 17:13, meetings [3] - 121:2, 76:10, 105:19, 45:15, 74:11, 74:13, letter [52] - 71:18, 17:16 124:9, 124:13 109:18, 110:16, 100:25 listening [2] - 13:15, Mehlhaff [3] - 1:15, 112:17, 113:15, 71:20, 72:4, 72:9, maintaining [2] -128:24 6:6, 113:14 115:15, 127:6, 73:16. 73:20. 73:24. 19:22, 45:19 litigate [1] - 122:6 Melissa [1] - 6:15 128:24 73:25. 74:6. 74:7. maintains [2] - 39:25, litigated [2] - 90:11, Milbank [11] - 36:17, 74:14. 74:15. 74:22. members [1] - 12:25 45:24 75:2, 75:6, 75:9, 90:14 memorandum [2] -41:20, 41:22, 41:23, maintenance [1] -75:16, 75:17, 75:18, live [3] - 4:19, 5:10, 7:16. 9:3 42:6, 67:11, 67:12, 46:22 memorialize [1] -98:15, 98:21, 99:3, 122:12 75:20, 76:2, 76:3, man's [1] - 57:3 lives [1] - 20:2 99:9 76:6, 76:9, 76:13, 89:20 manage [1] - 119:8 local [4] - 10:7, 11:1, mile [2] - 22:3 76:25, 77:4, 77:5, mention [2] - 12:12, manager [3] - 53:16, mind [9] - 29:17, 78:16, 80:15, 83:3, 53:4. 67:1 124:9 69:24, 113:23 83:6, 83:7, 84:23, 41:18, 48:19, 48:25, locatable [1] - 21:2 mentioned [9] - 33:12, manner[1] - 34:20 49:6, 70:20, 70:23, 85:1, 85:21, 85:23, located [1] - 45:20 37:2, 38:6, 39:2, manual [1] - 106:17 85:24, 86:3, 86:14, 104:20, 107:25 location [2] - 3:6, 40:15, 50:7, 68:2, 108:12, 108:19, March [2] - 16:18, minimize [1] - 88:17 12:14 68:13, 123:12 103:2 120:12, 120:15, Minnesota [8] - 36:5, longstanding [2] -MERC [40] - 9:9, 9:10, 120:17, 121:1, mark [3] - 85:11, 41:9, 53:5, 58:7, 67:17, 83:16 36:6, 36:14, 36:16, 85:12, 85:16 126:19, 127:3, 36:23, 37:9, 37:11, 66:9, 68:1, 68:11, look [20] - 8:21, 14:22, 127:5, 127:6 Mary [8] - 15:11, 15:2, 34:8, 36:13, 40:22, 41:8, 41:16, 93:17 **letters** [4] - 76:8, 24:12, 54:21, 71:19, minutes [2] - 71:14, 57:11, 64:9, 67:17, 41:21, 41:23, 41:25, 76:12, 111:20, 72:11, 73:20, 77:14, 69:3, 69:18, 70:7, 42:6, 61:25, 66:7, 109:19 120:16 113:23 66:9, 67:23, 68:10, miscommunication 84:7, 88:15, 100:13, level [3] - 25:17, **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 75:12, 87:21, 89:2, [1] - 42:10 106:2. 106:3. 58:17, 120:2 matter [9] - 2:2, 3:3, 93:14, 93:17, 94:5, misinterpret [1] -109:22, 113:2, liabilities [15] - 35:23, 4:7, 6:23, 91:11, 127:21, 131:18 94:16, 94:17, 95:7, 72:24 36:16, 38:7, 38:15, looked [6] - 18:12, 101:6, 110:7, 95:8, 104:11, misinterpreted [1] -130:18, 133:10 52:17, 54:18, 57:14, 64:13, 86:1, 86:3, 106:20, 106:21, 72:22 58:4, 58:5, 58:16, 115:14, 123:7 matters [3] - 78:11, 107:7, 115:19 mislead [1] - 54:19 63:11, 68:7, 93:3, 119:3 MERC's [1] - 123:15 looking [7] - 49:1, misleading [3] - 83:7, 95:23, 96:2 58:15, 66:12, 75:14, Matthews [2] - 2:2, 3:6 mercaptan [2] - 55:19, 84:4. 86:14 liability [3] - 34:25, MCCOMSEY [1] -58:18 109:8, 129:7, 129:19 missed [1] - 72:4 39:5, 127:15 133.5 merely [2] - 38:17, missing [1] - 52:15 looks [4] - 62:21,

56:14, 56:18, 56:21, 124:7, 124:16, 130:10 11 Missouri [1] - 58:8 106:3 misunderstanding [1] 57:5, 57:21, 57:25, 125:7, 125:23, network [3] - 4:16, Nebraska [2] - 58:8, - 42:13 58:3, 58:13, 58:22, 126:1, 127:9, 4:17 113:18 59:4, 59:10, 59:22, 127:12, 128:23, necessarily [4] never [10] - 28:12, mixture [1] - 55:22 60:5, 61:1, 61:17, 131:13, 131:25, 11:24, 32:9, 74:13, 48:4, 48:22, 48:23, moment [1] - 108:21 61:19, 62:23, 63:9, 132:2 79.21 51:10, 52:11, 66:25, monetary [1] - 46:6 63:18, 63:22, 63:25, MS [45] - 6:4. 7:1. money [1] - 111:12 need [12] - 8:21, 67:7, 115:13, 125:20 64:4. 64:16. 65:1. 13:10, 13:23, 16:24, monitored [1] - 20:11 33:19, 35:1, 60:13, **new** [2] - 66:21, 96:16 65:8, 65:10, 65:13, 17:14, 18:6, 18:18, 60:21, 62:11, 67:3, next [2] - 34:24, Montana [2] - 1:21, 65:22, 66:8, 66:24, 18:21, 19:21, 20:22, 92:25, 98:9, 119:8, 101:24 12:13 67:14, 67:25, 68:18, 21:11, 22:11, 22:22, 129:3, 130:7 NG11-001 [7] - 9:17, Montana-Dakota [2] -68:21, 70:4, 70:7, 23:2, 27:2, 27:5, needed [3] - 60:18, 16:17, 27:24, 28:9, 1.21 12.13 70:16, 70:22, 71:11, 28:1, 28:11, 29:4, 107:11, 130:2 33:9, 99:7, 103:3 monthly [6] - 22:9, 71:14, 71:23, 72:13, 29:24, 30:5, 71:24, needs [2] - 5:18, 33:3 NG16-014 [4] - 1:4, 22:20, 22:21, 60:11, 72:17, 73:2, 74:1, 75:25, 76:7, 76:12, 3:2, 91:7, 132:3 negotiate [1] - 117:16 99:22, 99:24 75:19, 77:9, 77:12, 76:19, 76:23, 77:1, negotiated [1] - 99:2 nice [3] - 104:19, months [2] - 7:5, 78:2, 78:8, 79:6, 80:24, 81:2, 81:14, negotiation [1] -106:2, 106:3 84:21 79:12, 80:14, 81:24, 106:20, 107:6, 101:25 NNG [1] - 39:22 months' [1] - 59:18 82:4, 82:17, 82:19, 111:8, 114:20, negotiations [3] nobody [1] - 57:3 moral [5] - 81:14, 84:15, 85:13, 86:1, 121:1, 121:6, 121:9, 103:12, 118:3, 118:6 nominate [2] - 49:20, 82:16, 82:20, 84:2, 87:4, 87:11, 87:13, 121:14, 121:17, Nelson [6] - 3:9, 50:3 111:15 121:22, 124:14, 87:17, 87:19, 88:10, 15:21, 72:7, 90:6, nominates [2] - 82:8, morally [1] - 81:12 88:19, 88:24, 89:5, 129:21, 130:3 92:2, 131:19 Mork [4] - 72:1, 72:5, 82:9 89:8, 89:10, 89:14, muddled [1] - 107:25 **NELSON** [98] - 1:10, none [3] - 12:15, 76:4, 76:15 89:23, 89:25, 90:2, muddy [1] - 26:14 3:1, 13:6, 13:11, 82:18, 91:21 morning [11] - 3:1, 90:3, 90:4, 90:5, must [5] - 26:12, 14:3, 14:15, 14:20, nonjurisdictional [2] -6:1, 6:14, 18:3, 90:12, 90:17, 90:21, 27:21, 50:13, 64:14, 14:24, 15:15, 15:18, 23:14, 115:22 30:10, 30:18, 32:18, 90:22, 90:24, 91:2, 128:3 15:22, 16:7, 16:12, nonpayment [1] -33:10, 71:13, 71:15, 91:6, 92:5, 92:22, 17:12, 17:16, 18:16, 104:23 122.18 93:1, 93:12, 94:9, Ν 18:19, 19:2, 20:14, normal 131 - 4:20. most [5] - 32:24, 94:12, 95:2, 95:16, 21:5, 21:13, 21:23, 51:8, 54:17 34:14, 44:13, 81:5, 95:18, 96:15, 97:2, 22:8, 22:19, 22:23, 99:13 Northern [161] - 1:19, name [6] - 3:9, 30:10, 97:16, 97:23, 97:25, 23:6, 44:8, 45:9, 3:18, 3:21, 6:9, 7:9, **Motion** [6] - 91:13, 36:15, 71:22, 91:8, 102:21, 103:11, 46:1, 46:11, 47:9, 7:18, 9:6, 9:13, 9:25, 91:16, 91:18, 91:20, 93:13 103:16, 103:19, 47:22, 48:6, 48:12, 10:6, 10:24, 14:7, 92:2, 92:3 narrow [1] - 109:17 104:2, 105:4, 49:4, 49:13, 50:4, 15:24, 16:4, 16:10, move [3] - 91:15, narrowly [1] - 41:18 105:24, 106:9, 50:21, 51:5, 51:12, 23:12, 23:23, 24:7, 125:25, 130:2 natural [15] - 3:16, 106:12, 106:15, 51:23, 52:3, 52:14, 30:8, 30:24, 31:21, moves [1] - 67:2 3:17, 7:7, 7:13, 7:18, 106:22, 107:5, 53:20, 53:23, 54:9, 32:19, 33:5, 37:16, moving [2] - 92:5, 7:20, 8:14, 11:2, 107:13, 108:6, 54:24, 56:2, 56:6, 38:13, 39:7, 39:16, 104:18 32:7, 42:23, 52:7, 108:10, 108:15, 56:13, 56:16, 56:19, 39:22, 40:8, 40:11, MR [227] - 5:25, 6:7, 78:11, 82:1, 101:9, 108:17, 108:23, 57:2, 57:9, 57:23, 40:12, 40:13, 41:20, 6:8, 6:13, 6:14, 6:18, 118.9 109:1, 109:3, 109:7, 58:1, 58:9, 58:19, 41:24, 42:16, 42:19, 6:20, 6:22, 13:3, Natural [32] - 1:19, 110:22, 111:1, 58:23, 59:9, 59:13, 42:20, 42:22, 42:25, 14:14, 14:18, 14:23, 3:18, 3:21, 6:9, 7:9, 114:3, 114:25, 59:25, 60:23, 61:9, 43:3, 43:4, 43:11, 15:1, 15:17, 15:20, 115:3, 115:5, 7:18, 24:7, 32:19, 61:18, 62:1, 86:19, 43:12, 44:20, 45:6, 16:6, 16:9, 21:21, 35:23, 38:14, 39:3, 117:11, 117:17, 87:10, 91:15, 91:20, 45:11, 45:23, 47:16, 21:24, 23:13, 23:17, 117:19, 117:23, 51:20, 54:18, 56:10, 91:25, 92:2, 102:15, 48:2, 48:8, 48:20, 23:22, 24:12, 24:23, 118:5, 118:10, 56:25, 58:4, 64:8, 103:1, 103:14, 49:9, 50:5, 50:9, 25:1, 25:4, 25:9, 118:13, 118:15, 68:3, 75:12, 77:1, 103:17, 103:20, 50:11, 50:13, 51:20, 25:17, 26:1, 26:14, 118:21, 118:24, 90:12, 91:17, 104:16, 105:22, 52:6, 52:18, 52:20, 26:22, 29:14, 29:16, 119:11, 119:19, 106:17, 117:12, 105:25, 106:10, 53:2, 53:11, 54:5, 29:17, 30:3, 30:7, 120:7, 120:18, 124:19, 124:22, 106:13, 107:3, 54:22, 55:9, 55:11, 30:9, 45:8, 45:25, 125:14, 125:15, 120:22, 120:25, 107:24, 108:7, 56:6, 56:9, 56:25, 46:10, 47:8, 47:20, 125:18, 125:19, 121:23, 122:16, 108:12, 108:16, 57:6, 58:21, 59:6, 47:25, 48:11, 48:17, 122:17, 122:25, 126:21 108:20, 110:24, 59:18, 59:20, 59:25, 49:11, 49:14, 50:15, 123:1, 123:3, nature [2] - 34:16, 114:4. 114:24. 60:1, 60:3, 61:11, 50:22, 51:7, 51:20, 85:2 123:11, 123:16, 124:8. 124:15. 61:14, 62:15, 62:18, 52:1, 52:11, 52:24, nay [1] - 91:22 123:17, 123:18, 129:5, 129:13, 62:21, 63:18, 63:25, 53:22, 54:8, 54:10, 123:19, 123:20, near [1] - 108:17 129:24, 130:8, 64:8, 73:4, 73:8, 55:7, 56:5, 56:9, 123:23, 124:5, nearly [3] - 7:7, 30:21,

73:13, 73:21, 75:2, 36:16, 36:19, 37:18, objection [1] - 130:3 84:18 operating [1] - 34:21 12 75:6, 75:21, 77:1, 37:25, 39:16, 39:23, obligated [2] - 37:5, offer [1] - 110:20 operation [2] - 46:22, 77:5, 77:11, 78:7, 39:25, 40:2, 40:8, 94:24 offered [1] - 116:10 78:13 78:10, 79:7, 79:18, 40:9, 40:13, 40:14, obligation [71] - 29:7, office [2] - 79:17, operational [3] - 15:7, 79:20, 80:22, 82:12, 41:2, 41:4, 41:7, 31:20, 33:18, 33:21, 79:18 47:18, 48:15 89:16, 90:8, 90:12, 41:9, 41:15, 41:19, 35:24, 36:11, 36:20, operations [1] - 53:16 often [3] - 22:10, 90:16, 91:13, 91:17, 42:1, 42:3, 42:7, 37:21, 41:8, 42:4, 80:12 operator [2] - 15:5, 92:8. 92:10. 94:12. 43:10. 43:11. 49:19. 44:4, 49:15, 49:16, oftentimes [1] - 77:23 80:23 94:24, 95:19, 96:4, 51:5, 61:24, 64:8, 51:4, 51:21, 60:10, Olson [5] - 1:20, 6:14, operator's [1] - 19:23 97:9, 97:10, 97:16, 66:11, 68:13, 80:19, 60:14, 61:3, 61:7, 97:25, 115:10, operators [1] - 26:17 98:7, 98:11, 98:23, 81:3, 81:18, 83:22, 63:2, 63:5, 66:3, opinion [6] - 18:16, 115:13 98:25, 100:15, 84:23, 87:20, 88:7, 69:15, 81:9, 81:12, **OLSON** [20] - 6:14, 19:19, 63:6, 63:7, 100:18, 101:4, 88:20, 89:2, 93:19, 81:13, 81:14, 82:12, 97:25, 102:21, 78:3, 116:10 101:8, 101:11, 93:25, 94:5, 94:18, 82:19, 82:20, 83:4, 103:11, 103:16, opportunity [9] -101:16, 101:20, 96:9, 96:13, 96:19, 84:2, 84:3, 86:23, 103:19, 104:2, 30:12, 30:17, 39:9, 101:22, 101:25, 97:23, 98:1, 98:13, 87:2, 88:18, 89:22, 105:4, 105:24, 39:10, 66:7, 68:20, 102:8, 102:25, 99:9, 101:4, 101:19, 90:8, 92:11, 92:16, 106:9, 106:12, 98:2, 113:4, 130:17 103:10, 103:18, 102:5, 102:19, 92:18, 92:19, 92:21, 106:15, 106:22, opposed [2] - 91:22, 105:20, 108:12, 103:4, 103:24, 93:3, 93:5, 93:7, 107:5, 107:13, 97:14 111:25, 112:12, 105:10, 108:13, 93:23, 94:7, 94:18, 108:6, 108:10, opposite [1] - 129:11 112:16, 114:1, 108:18, 112:18, 94:21, 95:1, 95:6, 108:15, 108:17, option [5] - 37:9, 114:13, 116:4, 115:6, 115:19, 95:11, 95:24, 96:3, 126:1 37:24, 50:12, 60:2, 117:12, 117:15, 117:14, 119:1, 97:5, 98:17, 98:18, once [6] - 22:14, 101:18 121:4, 122:12, 121:12, 125:25, 103:18, 104:24, 22:18, 39:5, 99:23, options [2] - 35:19, 124:19, 124:22, 126:19, 126:22, 110:2, 110:4, 101:18, 119:5 36:25 125:14, 125:15, 126:25, 127:10 111:15, 116:11, one [61] - 3:14, 4:17, oral [7] - 6:23, 30:8, 125:19, 126:4, NorthWestern's [11] -118:1, 118:2, 14:10, 14:11, 14:12, 66:18, 91:10, 92:6, 126:7, 126:21, 19:1, 22:14, 30:1, 124:19, 124:24, 15:11, 20:10, 21:10, 109:4, 111:3 127:4, 127:14 41:17, 50:25, 92:6, 126:3, 127:19 22:12, 24:14, 24:21, Order [5] - 3:12, 10:3, northern [1] - 6:7 101:10, 101:14, obligations [27] - 9:5, 26:4, 28:6, 30:20, 17:5, 104:9, 119:10 103:8, 103:17, 113:2 Northern's [37] -9:21, 33:8, 33:24, 33:6, 37:17, 38:5, order [11] - 10:6, 16:21, 17:22, 31:18, Notary [2] - 133:7, 38:8, 38:10, 38:24, 43:23, 44:21, 46:14, 16:18, 33:17, 41:20, 33:13, 41:4, 43:14, 133:18 52:17, 52:22, 57:14, 47:18, 50:9, 53:12, 50:6, 87:3, 91:7, 47:16, 49:10, 49:15, note [3] - 10:22, 41:6, 63:11, 68:12, 88:13, 53:19, 55:25, 56:24, 103:3, 110:13, 49:16, 49:22, 49:24, 111:9 89:19, 89:20, 93:23, 62:25, 69:10, 76:7, 118:8, 130:5 51:4, 56:15, 57:15, notes [1] - 116:24 99:4, 102:2, 116:15, 76:9, 76:12, 76:15, orders [2] - 26:20, 59:5, 66:3, 68:5, nothing [3] - 66:5, 116:19, 116:21, 76:25, 77:24, 83:25, 26:24 69:1, 73:15, 78:14, 118:5, 132:1 117:3, 117:7, 126:8, 84:25, 85:21, 86:19, ordinary [1] - 88:19 79:15, 79:22, 82:1, Notice [1] - 3:12 126:15, 126:17 86:21, 87:13, 90:3, organized [1] - 102:8 91:16, 96:10, 98:24, oblige [1] - 71:6 notice [5] - 23:3, 96:7, 101:1, 105:16, original [1] - 93:23 99:21, 100:9, 30:13, 30:22, 59:18, obscured [1] - 127:3 106:1, 110:13, originally [4] - 17:6, 100:20, 100:21, **obtained** [1] - 98:7 60:17 111:9, 113:25, 36:6, 109:8, 109:17 101:12, 102:19, noticed [1] - 3:12 **obviously** [13] - 5:2, 115:6, 116:5, ought [5] - 4:22, 34:6, 103:5, 104:23, notified [1] - 81:21 15:3, 24:3, 46:5, 116:22, 118:17, 34:11, 116:9, 123:7 115:4, 127:2 notify [1] - 74:16 46:18, 51:12, 52:18, 122:4, 123:8, 124:8, outdated [1] - 83:17 northwest [1] - 78:23 November [14] - 3:13, 53:24, 58:20, 59:3, 125:9, 128:19, outermost [1] - 106:24 Northwest [1] - 75:12 69:6, 82:13, 106:1 129:16, 131:4 71:20, 72:6, 72:7, **outlet** [3] - 15:6, Northwestern [108] occurred [1] - 101:7 ongoing [6] - 66:10, 76:2, 76:3, 76:17, 106:24, 106:25 1:20, 3:20, 3:21, odd [2] - 111:11, 76:22, 80:15, 83:4, 68:22, 69:8, 85:2, outlined [2] - 39:21, 6:13, 6:15, 7:8, 9:16, 83:6, 108:18, 126:19 115:22 119:22, 119:23 78:15 9:21, 9:23, 10:13, **null** [1] - 116:18 odorant [2] - 99:21, Onida [2] - 1:24, outset [1] - 102:18 10:18, 10:25, 11:6, 115:8 133:13 number [12] - 3:14, overlap [1] - 88:16 18:3, 18:15, 18:17, odorizer [6] - 10:25, online [1] - 10:4 3:19, 3:24, 5:16, oversee [1] - 13:19 18:20, 18:22, 22:12, 14:21, 44:20, 46:14, 19:4, 56:4, 56:20, open [1] - 48:7 oversight [1] - 5:23 22:17, 24:8, 27:19, 46:25, 73:5, 73:6, 58:11, 107:16 operate [4] - 45:3, own [17] - 4:22, 5:4, 28:13, 28:20, 31:19, 96:19, 99:18 **odorizing** [1] - 55:5 61:11, 61:20, 99:12 19:9, 19:11, 19:20, 33:6, 33:7, 33:18, **OF** [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 2:1, operated [2] - 3:17, 24:2, 34:21, 66:2, 33:23, 34:2, 34:4, 0 133:1, 133:3 38:21 68:11, 101:2, 34:11, 34:17, 34:18, offend [1] - 85:7 operates [4] - 7:18, 101:16, 104:7, 35:5, 35:16, 36:11, offensive [2] - 84:13, 39:25, 45:24, 59:6 106:11, 107:23, o'clock [1] - 2:5

113:19, 115:11, 131:10 owned [11] - 3:17, 10:25, 12:3, 21:15, 35:24. 36:8. 38:19. 49:18. 105:18. 125:12 owner [4] - 53:8, 57:1, 57:8, 65:23 owner's [1] - 69:2 owners [1] - 115:15 ownership [5] - 11:6, 12:15, 57:3, 106:10, 106:13 owning [1] - 11:9 owns [7] - 56:16, 59:1, 59:6, 68:10, 68:11, 105:13, 115:12

Ρ

page [11] - 17:25, 18:1, 51:24, 51:25, 52:3, 57:18, 83:7, 84:7, 103:22, 104:18 paid [5] - 55:5, 56:3, 56:8, 56:15, 108:2 painted [1] - 106:2 paper [3] - 38:18, 38:24, 59:2 paragraph [14] - 18:2, 44:25, 45:12, 46:5, 47:9, 61:20, 80:16, 80:17, 84:8, 84:11, 100:19, 103:23, 104:19, 110:4 paragraphs [1] - 46:11 parallel [1] - 9:11 paraphrasing [1] -77:13 parent [1] - 65:11 part [26] - 3:25, 7:24, 32:1, 42:3, 44:13, 45:21, 46:3, 57:12, 57:13, 58:11, 67:11, 67:13, 72:14, 99:5, 99:6, 99:11, 99:13, 100:9, 100:15, 101:10, 103:12, 106:16, 109:14, 117:16, 130:23 partially [1] - 41:8 participants [2] -105:5, 131:16 participate [4] - 121:8, 121:10, 121:13, 121:16 participating [1] -121:14

particular [3] - 14:10, 48:24, 70:24 particularly [1] - 50:6 parties [15] - 4:2, 4:11, 6:2, 7:2, 10:16, 11:5, 17:10, 18:13, 43:19, 46:17, 47:18, 60:15, 110:13, 111:15, 130:13 parts [1] - 79:20 party [3] - 102:11, 111:21, 127:19 passed [1] - 9:9 past [6] - 7:5, 20:5, 22:13, 28:12, 101:16, 129:25 path [3] - 32:10, 34:14, 35:12 patience [1] - 57:9 Patrick [2] - 1:14, 6:5 pay [4] - 46:19, 56:11, 58:21, 105:14 people [12] - 13:17, 74:20, 75:11, 86:12, 86:17, 109:10, 109:24, 121:8, 126:16, 130:17, 130:24, 131:20 peoples [1] - 17:6 Peoples [49] - 9:6, 9:14, 18:14, 35:23, 35:24, 36:8, 38:8, 38:14. 39:3. 52:6. 52:9, 52:17, 52:18, 52:20, 53:1, 53:3, 53:5, 53:10, 54:4, 54:6, 54:17, 54:21, 56:6, 56:10, 58:4, 58:10, 59:10, 59:16, 59:20, 60:2, 61:11. 68:3, 75:12, 86:23, 87:2, 93:13, 100:14, 104:22, 106:14, 106:17, 107:4, 107:5, 107:9, 107:10, 108:3, 115:14, 125:18, 125:20 **Peoples'** [3] - 57:13, 58:5, 58:11 per [4] - 47:15, 52:9, 52:20, 102:20 percent [2] - 72:21, 123:9 perfect [1] - 108:20 perfectly [2] - 68:24, 80.10 perform [1] - 126:16 performance [2] -

61:10, 110:12

perhaps [2] - 8:17, 26:9 period [1] - 33:1 permanent [1] - 45:14 permission [3] -27:21, 27:24, 28:10 permitting [1] - 52:4 perplexed [1] - 126:6 persistence [1] - 32:3 person [3] - 53:10, 75:11 person's [2] - 48:19, 49.6 personally [3] - 95:4, 104:3, 129:24 personnel [2] - 7:3, 79:23 perspective [6] - 35:9, 39:5, 64:17, 70:25, 116:21, 119:7 pertaining [1] - 77:21 Peterson [2] - 53:17, 53:21 **PETITION** [1] - 1:4 Petition [2] - 3:3, 7:16 Petitioner [1] - 6:3 PHMSA [32] - 12:5, 12:9, 24:11, 24:13, 24:17, 26:12, 26:16, 26:19, 26:23, 43:1, 43:13, 70:1, 70:2, 70:10, 70:19, 71:1, 71:4, 78:1, 78:6, 78:12, 79:2, 79:4, 79:9, 79:10, 79:16, 79:19, 79:25, 80:2, 80:7, 80:8 PHMSA's [2] - 70:23, 77:22 phone [2] - 113:23, 128:25 phrase [4] - 47:10, 47:15, 48:7 pick [1] - 5:7 picking [1] - 5:1 picture [7] - 47:6, 55:2, 105:5, 107:1, 107:18, 108:7, 126.23 pictures [4] - 14:7, 14:10, 55:20, 56:23 pieces [2] - 56:17, 59:1 Pierre [3] - 2:3, 3:7, 6:21 pipe [3] - 22:5, 47:5, 110:1 Pipeline [4] - 27:9. 70:25, 78:17, 78:25

pipeline [44] - 4:1, 7:3,

11:13, 11:15, 11:22, 11:25, 12:5, 12:20, 23:9, 26:22, 39:18, 41:20, 41:24, 42:15, 42:20, 42:21, 43:7, 43:13, 43:15, 44:18, 45:24, 47:1, 47:17, 48:15. 49:2. 49:17. 49:20, 52:4, 57:15, 67:12, 67:21, 68:6, 69:23, 78:21, 79:1, 79:4, 79:15, 79:22, 98:16, 98:21, 99:3, 99:9, 113:23 pipelines [6] - 11:18, 45:3, 45:14, 46:15, 46:23, 78:19 piping [1] - 106:23 pivotal [1] - 11:10 place [4] - 13:24, 31:17, 85:17, 109:25 placed [2] - 75:17, 115:25 places [2] - 22:6, 92:17 placing [1] - 83:10 planning [1] - 49:1 plans [1] - 80:20 **plant** [1] - 34:22 play [1] - 113:18 plenty [2] - 11:18, 66:2 plus [1] - 77:7 point [34] - 4:13, 5:15, 6:22, 7:22, 10:10, 12:10, 12:23, 14:3, 17:18, 17:21, 17:24, 23:7, 23:24, 24:6, 31:22, 32:9, 35:4, 36:18, 39:7, 39:8, 49:21, 52:17, 62:2, 77:16, 85:6, 87:6, 90:25, 94:3, 96:18. 99:8, 101:24, 108:25, 112:2, 128:23 pointed [1] - 17:19 **pointing** [1] - 62:10 points [1] - 98:5 poof [1] - 116:14 popping [1] - 26:7 **PORTER** [117] - 6:8, 30:9, 45:8, 45:25, 46:10, 47:8, 47:20, 47:25, 48:11, 48:17, 49:11, 49:14, 50:15, 50:22, 51:7, 51:20, 52:1, 52:11, 52:24, 53:22, 54:8, 54:10, 55.7 56.5 56.9 56:14, 56:18, 56:21,

57:5, 57:21, 57:25, 13 58:3, 58:13, 58:22, 59:4, 59:10, 59:22, 60:5, 61:1, 61:17, 61:19, 62:23, 63:9, 63:18, 63:22, 63:25, 64:4, 64:16, 65:1, 65:8. 65:10. 65:13. 65:22, 66:8, 66:24, 67:14, 67:25, 68:18, 68:21, 70:4, 70:7, 70:16, 70:22, 71:14, 81:24, 82:4, 82:17, 82:19, 84:15, 85:13, 86:1, 87:4, 87:17, 88:10, 88:24, 89:8, 89:14, 89:25, 90:4, 90:12, 90:21, 90:24, 92:22, 93:1, 93:12, 94:9, 94:12, 95:2, 95:16, 95:18, 96:15, 97:2. 97:16. 115:5. 117:17, 117:19, 117:23, 118:5, 118:10, 118:13, 118:15, 118:21, 118:24, 119:11, 119:19, 120:7, 120:18, 120:22, 120:25, 121:23, 122:25, 123:3, 123:16, 123:18, 123:20, 124:5, 125:7 Porter [10] - 1:18, 6:8, 30:11, 81:2, 86:22, 87:14, 90:5, 95:13, 122:1, 122:17 portion [8] - 16:3, 16:5, 19:3, 46:2, 47:6, 72:3, 99:20, 107:20 portrayed [1] - 111:11 pose [2] - 43:23, 85:3 posed [3] - 11:23, 24:16, 39:13 position [16] - 10:12, 16:16, 16:23, 18:5, 19:7, 20:19, 21:19, 28:25, 30:6, 50:5, 73:14, 81:18, 96:11, 103:18, 104:13, 131:9 positions [3] - 10:14, 12:16, 130:15 possibility [1] - 48:7 possible [2] - 83:23, 130:2 possibly [6] - 25:22, 77:25, 122:6, 122:7, 122:11, 122:12

124:16 14 post [2] - 33:7, 69:19 proceed [2] - 91:10, 126:4, 126:11, pull [2] - 63:15, 103:20 post-December [2] -97:24 126:13, 127:4, 127:7 quickly [3] - 33:3, purchase [4] - 41:23, proceeding [13] provided [25] - 4:12, 49:19, 54:16, 61:8 72:23, 90:17 33:7, 69:19 31:2, 35:22, 39:13, 31:1. 35:8. 38:21. quiet [1] - 109:6 potential [1] - 123:6 purchased [4] - 9:6, 40:17, 43:18, 52:15, 38:22. 42:9. 42:18. 9:10, 54:18, 82:5 quite [5] - 11:4, 24:13, potentially [1] -66:13, 68:22, 69:8, 51:2. 52:7. 53:2. 31:1, 109:7, 116:7 113:17 purchasing [1] - 58:10 53:3. 54:5. 73:23. pounds [3] - 25:19, 87:2, 90:13, 93:16, purpose [12] - 3:25, quote [1] - 101:6 87:6, 87:7, 87:9, 114.9 25:20, 25:21 40:1, 40:20, 40:23, quotes [1] - 77:12 96:22, 116:15, practical [1] - 34:14 proceedings [5] -42:18, 47:2, 73:13, 5:20, 101:2, 119:23. 116:16, 116:17, practice [2] - 38:18, 74:9, 74:20, 84:5, R 125:3, 125:18, 133:9, 133:12 84:19, 84:20 PROCEEDINGS [1] -125:19, 125:20, purposes [5] - 11:25, practices [3] - 53:18, raise [4] - 81:15, 126:20 2:1 40:21, 45:18, 85:19, 60:9, 125:17 82:19, 82:21, 83:5 provider [2] - 35:11, Proceedings [1] - 1:7 123:25 pre-'85 [1] - 57:11 raised [1] - 32:20 96:23 precedent [1] - 28:12 process [9] - 4:4, pursuant [10] - 3:12, ranchers [1] - 96:21 provides [3] - 30:20, 35:3, 37:10, 41:19, 4:1, 7:8, 11:20, **Precision** [2] - 1:24, rarely [1] - 118:16 60:24, 109:11 42:6, 43:21, 54:11, 50:24, 89:16, 99:18, 5:12 rate [8] - 11:17, 11:19, providing [20] - 3:16, 69:17, 126:7 102:22, 107:19, predecessors [2] -30:2, 34:25, 79:6, product [3] - 81:21, 8:8, 8:14, 10:7, 115:13 126:20, 126:25 104:10, 104:11, 17:20, 34:17, 39:15, 81:22, 81:25 pursue [2] - 37:9, **preference** [1] - 30:14 113:1 39:20, 40:1, 43:9, Professional [2] -82:13 premise [2] - 27:25, ratepayers [1] - 113:3 59:17, 65:15, 75:11, 133:6, 133:19 pursued [1] - 123:8 45:17 rates [7] - 8:16, 34:22, program [2] - 68:25, 81:4, 81:5, 81:6, pursuing [2] - 36:23, premises [2] - 27:16, 34:23, 34:24, 47:12, 96:19, 98:10, 69:18 123:8 47:2 50:16, 50:23 109:10, 117:6 prohibit [1] - 29:1 push [1] - 129:25 preparation [1] rather [2] - 119:7, provision [7] - 47:10, prohibited [2] - 10:7, put [7] - 13:24, 38:24, 79:23 119:8 48:21, 50:19, 59:24, 28:22 44:21, 77:12, 88:19, prepare [1] - 74:3 reach [1] - 35:17 60:16, 97:4, 110:11 promises [1] - 102:10 107:11, 128:21 prepared [2] - 72:5, reached [6] - 41:24, provisions [4] - 43:6, prong [1] - 78:24 putting [2] - 20:4, 74.4 49:9, 60:20, 97:18 101:22, 112:12, pronounce [1] - 71:22 130:14 preparing [2] - 32:22, 112:15, 112:21, prudent [1] - 130:20 propane [5] - 21:7, 130:3 112:22 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 21:12, 21:18, 21:25 Q present [5] - 4:2, 25:3, reaching [1] - 84:21 Public [11] - 12:10, properly [2] - 25:12, 27:9, 28:8, 28:21 read [18] - 22:9, 50:19, 27:23, 43:2, 75:12, 26:3 presentation [1] qualified [1] - 55:25 60:10, 62:14, 72:15, 83:8, 83:11, 83:15, 20.14 properties [1] - 93:18 quandary [1] - 104:20 72:20, 73:19, 84:10, 119:13, 122:1, property [2] - 45:19, presented [2] - 88:7, 85:23, 86:4, 86:5, 133:7, 133:18 quarter [2] - 22:3, 46:7 52:5 113:5 questioning [1] -87:24, 99:22, 99:24, public [48] - 3:22, presently [3] - 96:13, proposed [2] - 68:24, 117:5. 119:10. 4:16, 8:22, 9:22, 68:25 96:17. 96:20 130:5, 130:17 questions [49] - 12:24, 9:23, 10:1, 10:11, proposing [1] - 41:10 presents [1] - 7:11 13:1. 13:5. 13:6. reading [6] - 22:16, 10:20, 11:9, 13:15, proposition [1] - 42:3 president [2] - 6:8, 13:7, 13:9, 13:16, 22:20, 50:16, 60:8, 18:4, 18:14, 19:12, protect [2] - 7:6, 7:12 6:11 14:4, 16:13, 16:19, 72:22, 110:4 19:17, 28:25, 29:3, provide [53] - 7:20, presiding [1] - 3:11 17:18, 23:7, 27:15, 33:6, 33:18, 33:20, real [3] - 24:8, 43:23, 8:19, 16:21, 17:5, presumably [1] -29:15, 32:20, 39:12, 35:7, 37:4, 39:16, 110:7 31:20, 33:21, 35:25, 104:24 39:14, 43:18, 44:7, 40:2, 40:3, 40:6, realize [2] - 122:20, 37:21, 38:1, 43:19, presumption [1] -44:8, 44:19, 57:10, 40:8, 40:10, 40:13, 122:25 47:5, 47:17, 49:10, 129:17 62:2, 71:9, 71:17, 40:14, 40:21, 40:25, realized [1] - 31:9 50:13, 63:2, 67:9, presumptuous [2] -85:3, 85:4, 86:22, 41:4, 43:11, 43:25, really [9] - 4:15, 19:8, 67:15, 67:23, 72:17, 34:10, 35:12 87:12, 92:7, 102:13, 61:12, 61:15, 61:20, 44:17, 53:25, 94:7, 73:25, 80:19, 81:9, pretty [5] - 17:18, 102:15, 103:21, 61:22, 62:7, 69:15, 94:23, 110:17, 53:24, 66:20, 82:5, 82:6, 82:7, 108:21, 108:25, 129:13, 130:18 81:3, 103:24, 104:4, 105:16, 106:1 82:9, 88:4, 90:8, 104:5, 109:21, 110:22, 113:24, Realtime [2] - 133:6, 93:6, 95:9, 96:12, previous [2] - 28:7, 109:24, 128:18, 114:3, 114:25, 133:19 96:14, 96:24, 97:5, 86:6 117:11, 119:6, 131:18 reason [3] - 9:9, 97:7, 98:22, 99:18, price [1] - 51:1 publicly [1] - 98:17 120:14, 121:4, 102:21, 118:25 101:9, 101:12, private [2] - 21:6, 121:19, 127:10, **PUC** [9] - 13:16, reasonable [2] - 39:6, 101:15, 101:17, 21:17 128:8, 128:14, 16:20, 25:3, 27:21, 41:3 102:2, 102:8, privilege [1] - 45:2 130:14 28:5, 73:4, 73:12, rebuttal [1] - 111:5 102:11, 104:21, problem [5] - 90:23, quick [2] - 90:3, 78:4, 124:12 recant [1] - 130:6 115:20, 116:15, 100:3, 100:5, 131:1

receipt [1] - 49:20 receive [2] - 27:21, 37:8 received [7] - 10:16, 19:18, 30:21, 32:25, 44:1, 55:13, 85:11 receiving [6] - 7:7, 20:9, 37:2, 37:3, 48:22, 85:10 recently [2] - 106:2, receptacle [1] - 99:21 recess [1] - 91:3 recognize [2] - 10:12, 106:16 recognizing [1] -28:20 recollection [2] - 74:6, 74:19 recommend [3] -32:18, 33:25, 34:1 recommendation [2] -33:4, 35:13 reconvene [1] - 91:3 record [17] - 6:25, 12:14, 42:5, 71:10, 72:2, 72:14, 87:1, 94:17, 94:19, 106:16, 106:20, 106:21, 108:14, 111:23, 115:8, 129:1. 129:9 recording [1] - 17:13 records [4] - 55:13, 56:11, 59:5, 59:6 recover [1] - 34:19 recovery [1] - 69:7 red [6] - 14:16, 16:3, 16:4, 55:4, 108:9, 113:19 Reed [1] - 6:16 refer [5] - 14:9, 36:2, 48:21, 51:7, 108:16 reference [5] - 33:12, 39:11, 39:12, 42:17, 103:2 referenced [1] - 41:1 referred [7] - 38:12, 43:4, 50:25, 51:10, 73:20, 77:5, 108:12 referring [6] - 10:2, 50:15, 50:23, 57:24, 66:25, 87:17 refers [2] - 8:15, 72:10 refuse [1] - 49:23 refused [1] - 102:1 reg [1] - 25:21 regard [12] - 21:19, 38:10, 39:17, 40:9, 42:16, 57:14, 66:16,

77:7, 78:6, 78:11, 122:23, 124:3 **REGARDING** [1] - 1:5 regarding [13] - 3:4. 11:13, 31:11, 59:23, 73:22, 74:3, 82:25, 85:1, 85:20, 90:15, 116:25, 117:25, 120:3 regardless [1] - 93:8 regards [9] - 59:24, 60:6, 66:9, 77:22, 84:17, 93:20, 95:6, 115:6, 123:16 region [1] - 79:18 regional [2] - 79:17 Registered [2] -133:5, 133:19 regret [1] - 58:1 regrettable [1] - 21:4 regrettably [1] - 12:23 regs [1] - 25:11 regulate [8] - 8:16, 13:17, 13:19, 40:6, 43:3, 69:16, 78:18, 110:6 regulated [11] - 8:2, 32:12, 34:19, 42:25, 57:1, 78:24, 79:2, 79:4, 89:18, 109:12, 116:1 regulating [2] - 67:7, 77:22 regulation [12] - 7:24, 74:7, 74:9, 74:15, 74:23, 75:10, 75:22, 78:1, 78:6, 79:6, 79:7, 84:9 regulatory [6] - 6:11, 11:16, 11:19, 13:24, 34:25, 122:21 Regulatory [1] - 78:9 reiterated [1] - 18:3 relates [4] - 18:4, 20:15, 50:5, 103:25 relation [1] - 107:19 relations [1] - 119:7 relationship [2] - 54:6, 65.7 relatively [2] - 65:17, 65:20 relevant [1] - 93:16 reliability [1] - 8:17 reliably [1] - 82:7 reliance [1] - 127:23 relied [1] - 130:22 relief [2] - 15:12, 26:7

reliefs [1] - 25:11

relieved [1] - 124:22

rely [2] - 7:12, 28:13

relying [1] - 4:25 remain [1] - 33:6 remarks [1] - 111:6 remedy [2] - 110:13, 128:20 remember [5] - 63:13, 72:13, 74:2, 118:17, 120:9 remembering [1] -66:24 remind [2] - 95:13, 107:17 removal [1] - 45:20 remove [1] - 55:15 renegotiate [1] -101:23 renegotiated [1] -101:21 renegotiation [1] -101:14 repairing [1] - 45:18 repeatedly [2] - 31:18 replace [1] - 69:5 replaced [1] - 123:21 replacement [1] -51:18 replacing [1] - 45:19 Reply [11] - 7:17, 9:3, 10:14, 10:15, 10:22, 11:3, 18:1, 39:1, 94:14, 103:21, 103:22 report [4] - 70:2, 70:4, 70:6 Reported [1] - 1:24 Reporter [4] - 133:6, 133:19, 133:19 reporter [1] - 133:9 reporting [1] - 22:21 Reporting [2] - 1:24, 5:12 representative [1] -44:24 represented [1] - 4:3 request [4] - 8:12, 8:19, 15:23, 129:8 requested [2] - 73:21, 77:4 requests [3] - 17:1, 117:18, 117:21 require [4] - 8:18, 40.5 75.1 required [7] - 38:10, 74:14, 75:10, 78:5, 99:24, 115:25 requirement [1] -26:11 requires [1] - 74:8

reserved [1] - 128:4

residence [1] - 22:4

resisted [1] - 15:24 resolve [2] - 114:8, 128:9 resolved [2] - 128:14, 128:15 resolves [1] - 130:10 resources [5] - 20:22, 109:11, 122:5, 122:11, 122:14 respect [10] - 3:23, 10:12, 74:25, 75:3, 75:6, 86:17, 104:5, 104:8, 104:11, 129:3 respectfully [1] -37:16 respond [5] - 83:23, 84:6, 84:14, 86:2, 130:20 responder [1] - 100:2 response [7] - 16:19, 17:21, 72:10, 72:25, 73:18, 73:24, 86:21 responsibilities [14] -9:22, 9:25, 52:16, 52:22, 68:4, 78:1, 100:14, 100:16, 100:20, 100:25, 102:24, 109:10, 128:3, 128:6 responsibility [29] -8:10, 11:15, 16:21, 17:2, 17:3, 17:22, 21:9, 31:11, 31:12, 35:25, 47:16, 48:9, 49:19, 49:22, 49:24, 51:18, 82:16, 95:21, 100:7, 100:8, 100:9, 100:12, 100:13, 100:21, 101:12, 103:5, 103:9, 127:17 result [3] - 4:8, 61:7, 75:21 retail [10] - 7:21, 10:8, 29:20, 29:24, 30:4, 31:5, 42:24, 53:4, 80:19 retained [1] - 100:18 return [2] - 34:19, 46:4 reverts [1] - 39:7 review [1] - 55:21 reviewed [1] - 99:6 reviewing [1] - 10:17 revolve [1] - 44:19 revolving [1] - 114:18 rhetorically [1] - 43:24 **Rick** [2] - 30:11, 76:4 Rickie [2] - 72:1, 72:5 rights [8] - 4:3, 4:4, 52:16, 52:21, 57:14,

residential [1] - 25:22

109:9, 114:19, 128:515 ripe [1] - 110:9 risk [1] - 25:18 RISLOV [16] - 14:23, 29:16, 87:13, 87:19, 88:19, 89:5, 89:10, 89:23, 90:2, 122:17, 123:1, 123:11, 123:17, 123:19, 123:23, 124:7 **Rislov** [3] - 1:14, 87:11, 122:16 role [1] - 98:20 roles [1] - 101:4 rolled [1] - 34:24 room [1] - 5:5 roughly [1] - 13:25 round [2] - 109:4, 111:3 routine [1] - 80:3 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [3] - 28:9, 28:21, 97:18 Rule [1] - 129:22 **RULING** [1] - 1:5 ruling [7] - 3:4, 4:8, 4:10, 24:18, 28:16, 28:23, 33:2 run [1] - 25:8 rural [1] - 44:1 Rushmore [1] - 4:16 S

safe [2] - 12:21, 21:16 safely [1] - 35:8 Safety [4] - 27:9, 70:25, 78:17, 78:25 safety [41] - 4:1, 7:3, 7:13, 11:13, 11:16, 11:21, 11:25, 12:5, 12:20, 20:18, 20:24, 21:13, 21:20, 23:9, 24:25, 25:7, 25:9, 26:22, 26:23, 39:18, 42:15, 42:21, 43:1, 43:7, 43:13, 43:15, 69:23, 69:24, 73:4, 73:7, 73:12, 73:15, 74:24, 74:25, 78:13, 78:18, 79:7, 79:8, 79:23, 113:23 sale [14] - 29:20, 29:21, 29:22, 29:23, 29:24, 30:4, 30:5, 31:5, 36:17, 38:9, 38:23, 54:12, 57:13, 87:7 sample [1] - 108:18

sat [1] - 110:20 satisfactory [2] - 34:3, 34.4 saw [1] - 69:23 scattered [1] - 21:7 scene [2] - 17:2, 80:9 scheduled [1] - 121:3 **SD** [2] - 73:4, 73:12 SDCL [4] - 3:22, 4:1, 8:17, 18:17 SDIP [1] - 27:7 searching [1] - 4:17 second [13] - 14:20, 38:12, 40:7, 45:9, 45:12, 46:19, 71:20, 80:16, 84:6, 84:7, 91:19, 100:18, 107:1 Section [5] - 18:2, 43:7, 58:9, 103:23, 112:4 section [7] - 29:25, 39:24, 46:7, 50:25, 59:14, 61:10, 61:13 secure [1] - 124:19 see [15] - 13:8, 32:10, 33:17, 34:14, 54:15, 55:21, 59:2, 66:3, 83:13, 85:1, 86:3, 94:2, 95:4, 110:19, 111.24 seek [1] - 8:10 seeking [2] - 45:6, 45.11 seeks [1] - 44:25 seem [1] - 111:15 self [2] - 22:9, 22:21 self-read [1] - 22:9 self-reporting [1] -22:21 sell [4] - 57:19, 58:12, 96:1, 96:2 selling [4] - 48:2, 58:3, 58:4, 124:24 send [3] - 74:14, 75:2, 84:25 sending [1] - 74:14 sends [2] - 74:22, 75:6 sense [5] - 8:15, 46:23, 60:12, 81:5, 120:22 sent [31] - 66:13, 71:19, 71:20, 71:22, 71:25, 72:5, 72:7, 75:10, 75:16, 75:18, 75:20, 76:3, 76:8, 76:13, 76:17, 76:19, 76:21. 76:25. 77:5. 83:4, 83:6, 84:23, 108:13, 111:21, 120:12, 120:16,

120:17, 121:1, 121:18, 131:16 sentence [5] - 51:24, 52:3. 73:19. 77:3 separate [2] - 9:12, 52.6 series [1] - 107:14 serve [20] - 18:14, 36:11, 41:12, 41:13, 44:5, 63:5, 65:17, 81:23, 86:24, 88:13, 93:23, 96:9, 96:12, 98:17, 98:19, 100:12, 100:13, 103:6, 110:3, 112:5 served [3] - 40:22, 43:25, 68:3 serves [1] - 83:22 Service [1] - 75:12 service [159] - 7:8, 7:12, 7:19, 7:21, 8:3, 8:5, 8:8, 8:11, 8:19, 8:20, 10:7, 11:2, 13:17, 13:18, 13:19, 17:5, 17:20, 18:7, 18:8, 18:9, 18:11, 18:25, 25:22, 29:19, 30:20, 30:22, 31:11, 31:12, 31:19, 31:21, 32:5, 32:8, 32:11, 33:8, 33:22, 34:5, 34:6, 34:17, 35:6, 35:8, 35:9, 35:10, 35:17, 35:25, 36:23, 37:1, 37:2, 37:3, 37:8, 37:21, 37:22, 38:1, 38:21, 38:22, 39:15, 39:17, 39:21, 40:2, 41:2, 41:10, 41:21, 41:25, 42:4, 42:11, 42:12, 43:9, 44:2, 44:3, 49:1, 52:7, 53:3, 53:4, 55:19, 59:21, 60:17, 60:18, 61:15, 62:9, 62:17, 62:22, 63:2, 63:3, 64:20, 64:22, 65:15, 65:19, 67:9, 67:16, 67:18, 67:24, 68:4, 68:20, 74:18, 74:21, 75:11, 80:18, 80:19, 81:4, 81:5, 81:17, 82:6, 82:10, 83:1, 83:9, 83:16, 84:9, 85:2, 87:15, 87:21, 87:25, 88:9, 88:21, 89:11, 92:11, 92:12, 92:13, 92:15, 92:16, 92:18, 92:19, 92:20, 92:21, 93:6,

93:24, 94:7, 94:21, 95:9, 96:22, 97:8, 98:4, 99:4, 100:4, 101:5, 101:13, 101:16, 101:17, 102:5, 102:12, 102:22, 107:8, 109:10. 109:23. 110:11, 112:1, 112:2, 115:20, 115:22, 124:25, 125:3, 125:16, 125:19, 125:20, 126:20, 130:22, 131:20 servicers [2] - 127:1 services [18] - 9:13, 41:11, 60:6, 61:10, 69:13, 81:7, 87:7, 87:8, 88:4, 96:13, 96:14, 96:20, 99:18, 99:20, 105:15, 116:15, 126:11, 126:13 serving [9] - 10:10, 22:4, 25:19, 40:20, 40:21, 40:23, 64:24, 83:25, 96:18 set [10] - 4:9, 4:22, 7:9, 25:11, 26:2, 26:3, 26:4, 26:5, 46:11, 82:6 setting [1] - 11:17 settings [1] - 15:13 settled [1] - 38:18 seven [1] - 58:7 several [4] - 7:5, 66:20, 112:15 shall [5] - 45:15, 47:11, 59:14, 61:11, 100:21 shareholders [1] -130:20 **shift** [1] - 103:10 **shippers** [1] - 77:15 shoes [2] - 98:12, 98:13 short [2] - 33:1, 114:8 **shorthand** [2] - 133:9 **show** [1] - 109:13 shown [1] - 71:18 **shows** [1] - 52:16 **shrubbery** [1] - 46:21 **shut** [8] - 5:11, 11:2, 18:7, 18:8, 18:9, 29:1, 29:6, 105:23 shutting [2] - 28:22, 29:2 side [6] - 5:7, 5:8,

53:6, 105:9, 105:12

sided [1] - 84:25 sides [1] - 85:6 sign [1] - 96:1 signed [9] - 9:5, 48:13, 59:20, 62:15, 62:18, 62:21, 63:13, 88:8, 92:16 **significant** [3] - 19:12, 77:20, 125:6 signing [1] - 48:19 **similar** [1] - 28:5 simply [4] - 20:7, 104:10, 123:25, 127:15 sit [4] - 30:14, 30:16, 56:9, 120:9 siting [1] - 114:22 **sits** [2] - 34:2, 98:13 **sitting** [3] - 34:9, 83:12, 84:2 situation [6] - 62:13, 97:13, 101:11, 126:5, 128:10 situations [2] -105:17, 105:20 six [2] - 58:6, 59:17 sizable [1] - 32:25 size [1] - 41:1 **slightly** [1] - 10:13 **small** [1] - 23:4 smallest [1] - 5:7 smidge [1] - 76:11 soil [1] - 46:17 sold [6] - 36:15, 48:4, 68:8, 100:15, 125:2 sole [1] - 114:11 solo [1] - 6:21 solution [1] - 112:19 solutions [1] - 123:7 solve [1] - 131:1 someone [2] - 12:7, 73:11 sometimes [2] -72:23. 105:17 somewhat [2] -127:23, 129:23 somewhere [1] -72:20 soon [1] - 131:24 sooner [1] - 44:15 sophisticated [1] -35:8 sorry [6] - 14:25, 16:24, 56:18, 109:5, 121:17, 127:10 sort [1] - 110:10 sound [1] - 4:22 sounds [3] - 5:7, 45:14, 122:1 South [46] - 1:24, 2:4,

3:8, 7:14, 12:10, 16 25:24, 27:20, 31:10, 31:11, 32:2, 36:1, 36:5, 38:11, 40:2, 40:4, 40:24, 41:2, 43:2, 44:4, 48:5, 53:4, 58:8, 65:16, 67:18. 67:25. 68:12. 73:22, 75:20, 77:6, 77:11, 80:13, 82:23, 83:8, 83:15, 93:17, 122:5, 122:20, 123:2, 123:4, 123:8, 123:10, 124:12, 130:21, 131:9, 133:7, 133:13 SOUTH [2] - 1:2, 133:1 southeast [1] - 65:4 speaking [2] - 5:4, 82.13 **specific** [3] - 110:12, 110:17, 116:15 specifically [3] -55:15, 100:24, 118:15 specifications [2] -107:12, 107:14 specified [1] - 112:10 spells [1] - 45:12 spend [1] - 122:5 spent [2] - 44:10, 114:11 **spread** [1] - 113:9 spring [1] - 21:3 squarely [1] - 40:3 **SS**[1] - 133:2 **STAFF** [1] - 1:12 staff [4] - 6:5, 6:6, 12:20, 27:10 Staff [55] - 1:14, 1:14, 1:15, 1:17, 5:16, 6:3, 6:5, 6:24, 7:2, 7:3, 7:5, 7:22, 8:12, 9:11, 12:25, 16:20, 17:8, 17:18, 17:21, 23:7, 23:9, 30:25, 32:21, 33:10, 33:15, 36:10, 39:1, 39:22, 40:12, 44:21, 50:7, 73:4, 73:8, 74:24, 74:25, 84:22, 94:14, 103:1, 103:22, 103:23, 104:19, 111:4, 111:14, 111:17, 112:13, 112:18, 114:7, 119:13, 119:15, 119:18, 119:22, 121:11, 121:20, 121:22,

124:12 54:13, 102:4, 126:25 32:8, 32:11, 32:14, 115:23, 115:25 statutory [2] - 33:23, Staff's [11] - 3:3, 14:5, 40:25 suggested [2] - 36:10, 34:18, 35:5, 35:25, technology [1] - 20:7 16:23, 17:25, 18:1, stay [1] - 130:24 112:17 37:7, 37:22, 39:15, teed [1] - 128:9 19:2, 19:7, 20:19, 39:17, 39:20, 40:9, **suggesting** [1] - 35:2 Steffensen [2] - 1:14, tenor [1] - 73:11 103:20, 104:13, 40:20, 40:21, 41:11, **SULLY**[1] - 133:3 tens [1] - 21:6 6:5 105.1 41:13, 42:2, 42:4, stepped [3] - 69:16, **sum** [1] - 111:12 term [2] - 69:20, 90:14 42:11, 42:12, 43:9, STAFF'S [1] - 1:4 80:18, 111:16 **summarize** [1] - 38:4 terminate [19] - 41:10, 47:1. 47:4. 48:21. staffing [1] - 5:17 steps [1] - 8:25 summarized [1] -59:16, 59:21, 61:6, 48:23, 49:17, 49:18, stake [1] - 125:5 still [9] - 16:23, 61:5, 101:4 61:15, 62:17, 62:22, 50:1, 52:7, 57:1, stand [1] - 30:14 71:13, 86:25, 88:4, **summary** [3] - 7:18, 62:24, 63:4, 63:16, 57:7, 59:21, 60:16, standards [2] - 69:5, 92:16, 102:10, 37:16, 43:8 63:20, 63:25, 66:22, 63:3, 64:7, 64:10, 103:17, 126:3 111:12 supplement [1] -68:20, 88:12, 89:11, 65:15, 67:3, 68:12, standpoint [4] - 20:22, stood [1] - 30:13 53:15 92:14, 92:20 69:1, 69:2, 69:13, 20:24, 83:3, 96:11 straight [1] - 24:14 supplemental [3] terminated [2] - 35:18, 75:21, 77:17, 79:14, start [4] - 13:11, straightforward [1] -91:14, 91:16, 91:17 60:21 79:24, 80:18, 81:17, terminates [3] - 31:19, 32:18, 110:19, 111:4 35:3 **supplying** [1] - 47:3 83:17, 83:22, 84:9, 41:8, 89:21 started [2] - 31:9, **strategic** [1] - 6:16 **support** [2] - 33:15, 93:6, 95:10, 97:3, 109.8 termination [14] string [1] - 9:12 53:18 97:4, 97:5, 97:6, starting [3] - 33:3, 36:12, 59:24, 61:14, strings [1] - 72:18 supposed [2] - 22:24, 97:18, 98:10, 98:24, 87:15, 87:21, 87:25, 33:5, 111:4 struck [1] - 44:13 26:7 98:25, 99:10, 99:15, 88:3, 88:11, 88:16, starts [1] - 44:14 structure [2] - 31:17, Supreme [1] - 4:11 99:22, 99:24, 100:3, state [23] - 3:25, 7:19, 88:21, 90:19, 92:12, 106:25 surprise [4] - 80:11, 100:7, 100:9, 101:9, 92:13 10:19, 13:17, 21:7, **structured** [1] - 113:7 124:4, 129:12 101:13, 104:6, 21:12, 23:20, 39:18, terminology [1] structures [1] - 113:1 surprised [3] - 120:14, 105:6, 105:8, 42:15, 42:20, 42:21, 64:18 124:1, 124:5 struggling [3] - 7:6, 105:15, 106:18, 43:15, 46:13, 73:20, terms [11] - 47:12, 58:20, 86:25 suspect [1] - 96:25 107:15, 108:14, 77:10, 80:5, 80:9, 50:17, 50:23, 51:1, sworn [1] - 87:5 stuff [1] - 15:13 109:10, 109:25, 80:16, 83:7, 83:15, 51:21, 63:4, 88:20, system [10] - 4:22, subcontractor [4] -115:15, 115:21, 105:8, 114:17 90:6, 90:9, 101:23 101:11, 101:15, 4:23, 53:7, 57:15, 121:18, 122:4, territories [2] - 64:20, **STATE** [2] - 1:2, 133:1 101:17, 102:11 79:15, 79:20, 80:1, 122:8, 122:14, 64:22 State [6] - 36:1, 40:24, subject [18] - 3:25, 82:9, 106:24, 113:9 124:3, 124:20, 48:5, 67:25, 75:20, territory [6] - 41:3, 5:23, 37:12, 39:18, systems [1] - 97:15 126:21, 131:16 64:11, 64:15, 65:19, 133:7 39:23, 42:14, 42:19, **TAP** [1] - 1:5 statement [13] - 18:2, 112:3 42:20, 43:1, 43:12, taps [50] - 3:24, 7:15, 18:13, 29:10, 83:21, testimony [3] - 94:22, 43:14, 47:20, 71:1, 7:20, 12:8, 12:11, 86:7, 103:23, 104:1, 128:10, 128:11 74:9, 101:3, 115:23, 12:21, 13:23, 14:6, table [3] - 101:25, 104:2, 106:7, 114:5. Texas [1] - 79:18 119:5, 119:6 18:4, 22:3, 23:11, 117:15, 117:22 114:23, 129:6, thankful [2] - 131:14, submit [2] - 37:17, 24:17, 24:18, 24:25, tackle [1] - 9:2 130:12 131:15 42:10 25:2, 25:7, 25:8, takeaway [1] - 38:2 statements [5] thanking [1] - 43:16 submitted [5] - 10:22, 25:25, 26:11, 26:12, Talcott [8] - 1:18, 53:24, 83:2, 83:18, **THE** [4] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 10:24, 11:3, 14:8, 26:17, 27:6, 29:19, 6:10, 30:11, 71:19, 86:14, 86:15 1:10 18:12 32:2, 38:11, 39:18, 71:23, 72:20, 76:1, **States** [1] - 43:6 them's [1] - 26:4 submitting [1] -41:11, 41:16, 42:14, 77:3 states [17] - 53:5, 128:25 themselves [2] - 21:8, 57:15, 62:7, 65:18, TALCOTT [13] - 71:23, 58:7, 66:19, 66:20, 131:11 subsequent [4] - 10:6, 66:9, 66:18, 67:3, 72:13, 72:17, 73:2, 67:19, 68:2, 68:5, therefore [3] - 10:20, 28:5, 78:16, 111:22 67:18, 75:5, 75:7, 74:1, 75:19, 77:9, 68:8, 75:4, 78:18, 12:15, 26:18 subsidiary [2] - 17:3, 78:23, 79:4, 79:11, 77:12, 78:2, 78:8, 89:17, 89:18, thereof [1] - 45:20 17:5 80:4, 80:11, 93:24, 79:6, 79:12, 80:14 112:19, 113:20, thereon [1] - 45:20 subsidizing [1] -103:25, 109:11, talks [3] - 45:1, 46:5, 122:20, 122:21 thereto [2] - 45:3, 113:4 109:20, 112:6, 58.9 station [3] - 25:10, 45.15 substance [1] - 120:4 123:16, 128:17 tank [2] - 55:19, 115:8 25:14, 25:21 substantial [1] - 23:3 they've [11] - 24:1, tariff [11] - 9:16, 18:23, tank's [1] - 21:25 stations [1] - 53:7 successful [1] -26:3, 36:5, 36:6, 29:25, 30:1, 50:25, status [2] - 61:24, tanks [1] - 58:18 37:3, 48:22, 65:25, 112:14 77:16, 112:4, **Tap** [1] - 3:4 85:2 68:8, 105:16, 131:9 successors [6] -112:11, 123:12, tap [106] - 3:16, 3:23, **statute** [6] - 11:8, thinking [1] - 127:21 36:13, 93:8, 93:11, 123:15 7:7, 8:3, 8:15, 11:7, 33:3, 61:21, 61:25, third [5] - 42:14, 46:2, 93:12, 94:3, 116:23 tariffing [1] - 123:12 15:4, 16:22, 20:9, 78:12, 78:25 72:6, 72:21, 127:19 **suffice** [1] - 5:5 tariffs [5] - 89:17, 20:10, 23:21, 30:21, statutes [2] - 40:4, thousands [2] - 19:13, **sufficient** [1] - 46:16 95:9, 115:20, 31:12, 32:5, 32:6, 109:22 21:6 suggest [4] - 34:10,

17

threatening [1] -73:12 three [36] - 3:24, 10:17, 11:1, 15:6, 43:5, 46:25, 54:10, 55:4, 55:17, 55:18, 56:3, 56:19, 57:21, 57:23, 57:25, 58:11, 58:15, 58:18, 68:8, 70:8, 71:3, 71:17, 71:18, 73:6, 74:19, 77:17, 85:18, 105:12, 106:8, 107:15, 108:2, 108:8, 115:7, 119:21, 130:16 three-way [19] - 11:1, 15:6, 43:5, 55:4, 55:17, 55:18, 56:3, 56:19, 58:11, 58:18, 70:8, 71:3, 74:19, 77:17, 105:12, 106:8, 107:15, 108:2, 115:7 throughout [1] - 126:6 Thurber [1] - 113:14 tight [1] - 16:14 tile [1] - 20:4 tilling [1] - 20:4 **Tim** [2] - 6:14, 97:25 time-consuming [2] -35:20, 37:13 timing [2] - 129:17, 129:19 Timothy [1] - 1:20 Tina [1] - 5:17 today [46] - 4:15, 5:15, 5:17, 6:15, 6:21, 6:23, 12:19, 13:12, 18:4, 18:17, 20:14, 27:18, 31:4, 40:14, 43:10, 43:23, 49:19, 51:5, 51:15, 53:21, 56:9, 56:17, 59:3, 62:19, 67:13, 73:6, 74:4, 88:23, 88:25, 91:9, 94:23, 98:14, 98:17, 100:11, 102:8, 105:8, 119:14, 122:18, 125:15, 126:9, 128:11, 131:1, 131:2, 131:3, 131:11, 131:24 today's [1] - 111:12 together [3] - 45:16, 53:2, 131:7 token [1] - 78:12 tomahto [1] - 120:21 tomato [1] - 120:21

took [9] - 10:14, 16:17, 28:6, 28:7, 61:7, 87:20, 93:17, 126:18, 133:9 top [2] - 79:19, 108:17 touch [2] - 105:11, 105:19 town [2] - 25:14, 53:6 trail [1] - 59:2 Training [2] - 2:2, 3:7 transaction [14] -58:6, 61:24, 89:1, 89:3, 94:4, 98:22, 99:6, 103:13, 110:7, 111:13, 124:22, 124:24, 125:2, 126:8 transactional [1] -116:20 transcribing [1] - 5:13 Transcript [1] - 1:7 transcript [1] - 5:13 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1 transcription [1] -133:12 transfer [13] - 54:4, 63:2, 63:11, 68:13, 77:16, 86:23, 87:3, 95:25, 106:14, 116:19, 116:21,

126:3 transferred [34] - 9:5, 9:25. 35:22. 36:4. 36:5. 36:6. 36:7. 38:7, 38:16, 39:2, 39:5, 54:3, 58:16, 60:9, 88:13, 88:18, 93:7, 93:10, 93:24, 94:13, 94:19, 95:21, 95:22, 116:22, 117:2, 117:4, 125:10, 125:11, 125:16, 125:21, 127:24, 127:25, 128:4, 128:6 transferring [1] -128:2

transfers [1] - 36:3 transition [1] - 69:20 transmission [8] -3:17, 7:19, 25:18, 27:7, 53:3, 53:11, 70:18, 97:14 transpired [1] - 111:20 transport [6] - 42:23,

74:11, 74:12, 77:16, 78:21, 82:5 transportation [1] -11:21

transported [1] -78:23 transports [1] - 82:2 trees [1] - 46:21 tried [8] - 31:2, 31:15, 32:22, 33:13, 53:15, 85:3, 85:6, 119:15 trucks [2] - 64:22, 64:23 true [6] - 16:2, 16:6, 16:10, 22:15, 122:3, 133.11 true-up [1] - 22:15 trueing [1] - 22:17 truly [1] - 5:8 try [6] - 4:22, 44:16, 44:18, 54:8, 114:8, 127:13 trying [18] - 7:11, 21:18, 24:6, 31:22, 31:23, 39:8, 55:1, 55:10, 55:22, 58:25, 59:1, 71:4, 84:12, 84:22, 85:7, 126:16, 127:14 turn [6] - 6:23, 29:6, 30:7, 91:14, 92:4, 105:14 turning [1] - 5:20 two [29] - 3:19, 5:18, 11:5, 31:1, 31:24, 31:25, 36:12, 38:2, 38:4, 38:15, 38:18, 47:18, 53:1, 56:16, 57:18, 59:1, 60:5, 60:20, 60:21, 78:24, 87:13, 88:13, 88:15, 108:8, 110:15, 119:20, 125:9, 128:1 two-page [1] - 57:18 two-prong [1] - 78:24 type [6] - 4:14, 13:18,

U

U.S.C [1] - 43:7

typically [1] - 21:25

19:15, 29:19, 86:14,

118:3

ultimate [2] - 51:16, 53:8 ultimately [4] - 9:15, 54:2, 101:12, 108:2 unaffected [1] -125:22 unbalanced [1] -84:24 unclear [1] - 10:9 uncomfortable [1] -129:13 unconventional [1] -

32:16 under [34] - 8:17, 11:17, 13:21, 17:8, 27:20, 27:24, 37:9, 39:24, 47:10, 49:24, 50:1, 51:8, 51:18, 51:21. 52:12. 55:14. 60:7, 61:2, 61:4, 61:10, 63:4, 77:15, 79:5, 79:8, 82:23, 90:8, 102:24, 114:17, 116:11, 126:15, 127:16, 128:18, 129:21 understood [5] - 9:18, 12:3, 12:6, 18:24, 60:2 undertake [1] - 99:4 unequivocally [1] -42:15 unfair [1] - 113:8 unfortunately [6] -10:9, 12:4, 20:15, 20:17, 62:12, 113:1 unhelpful [1] - 104:14 United [1] - 43:6 universal [2] - 75:4, 75:9 unless [4] - 8:19, 93:9, 95:25, 119:3 unlike [2] - 112:3, 112:11 unrelated [1] - 98:21 unresolved [1] - 60:21 up [33] - 4:20, 4:22, 5:1, 5:7, 14:22, 22:15, 22:18, 25:21, 30:24, 40:16, 55:11, 55:16, 69:16, 70:10, 82:6, 85:18, 86:19, 86:20, 96:18, 107:25, 109:13, 110:19, 110:21, 115:18, 119:21, 122:12, 122:17, 122:18, 124:8, 124:16, 126:7, 128:9, 128:16 **upgrading** [1] - 19:14 uphold [1] - 37:6 upstream [2] - 43:4, 71:3 usage [2] - 99:25, 100:1 user [5] - 10:8, 18:11, 30:6, 48:4, 57:1 users [6] - 7:13, 7:21,

8:3, 8:15, 74:8,

UtiliCorp [37] - 9:7,

75:21

35:23, 35:24, 36:3, 18 36:9, 36:14, 38:7, 38:8, 38:9, 38:10, 39:6, 54:12, 57:13, 58:5, 60:10, 63:11, 68:7, 89:6, 89:7, 89:10, 89:16, 89:24, 89:25. 93:4. 93:7. 93:8, 93:12, 94:13, 94:14, 94:16, 95:25, 96:3, 116:22, 117:4 UtiliCorp's [1] -116:23 utilities [10] - 12:10, 23:25, 24:1, 33:21, 34:20, 36:7, 59:11, 69:6, 93:15, 119:8 **UTILITIES** [2] - 1:1, 1:10 Utilities [16] - 1:21, 12:13, 27:23, 43:2, 68:23, 69:9, 69:11, 69:12, 83:8, 83:11, 83:16, 113:5, 113:10, 119:13, 120:1, 122:2 utility [96] - 3:15, 3:22, 8:3, 8:14, 8:18, 8:22, 8:24, 9:13, 9:22, 9:23, 10:1, 10:11, 10:20, 11:9, 13:18, 17:9, 18:4, 18:15, 27:19, 28:21, 28:25, 29:3, 30:20, 30:22, 31:12, 31:19, 31:21, 32:5, 32:12, 33:6, 33:18, 34:17, 35:6, 35:7, 35:9, 36:20, 37:2. 37:8. 37:18. 37:22, 38:21, 39:15, 39:16, 39:20, 39:23, 40:3, 40:6, 40:8, 40:10, 40:13, 40:14, 40:19, 40:21, 41:1, 41:5, 41:10, 42:12, 43:9, 43:10, 43:11, 44:1, 44:4, 49:23, 52:7, 57:1, 57:7, 61:12, 61:15, 61:21, 61:22, 63:2, 67:7, 69:15, 80:18, 81:4, 81:9, 83:9, 93:6, 93:23, 93:24, 97:7, 103:24, 104:4, 104:5, 109:13, 109:21, 125:16, 125:19, 126:20, 128:18

utility's [1] - 119:3

V vague [1] - 53:24 value [1] - 42:3 valve [26] - 11:1, 15:6, 18:9, 29:6, 43:5, 55:4, 55:17, 55:18, 56:4, 56:19, 58:11, 70:8, 71:3, 74:19, 77:18, 104:23, 105:9, 105:13, 105:14, 105:21, 106:8, 106:14, 107:15, 108:2, 115:7 valves [5] - 23:25, 24:2, 58:18, 105:18 vendee [33] - 47:13, 47:16, 48:9, 49:10, 50:9, 50:13, 50:18, 50:24, 51:2, 51:6, 51:7, 51:11, 51:15, 51:17, 51:18, 52:9, 52:13, 52:20, 56:7, 60:4, 60:8, 60:24, 61:2, 90:8, 90:14, 98:13, 100:16, 102:3, 102:11, 102:20, 124:19, 126:4 vendor [2] - 82:13, 95:1 vendorship [1] - 63:15 verify [3] - 56:14, 56:15, 56:24 versa [1] - 123:5 versus [2] - 74:12, 122:23 via [2] - 98:10, 101:9 vice [3] - 6:8, 6:11, 123:4 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [8] - 14:13, 31:10, 37:4, 41:4, 41:17, 81:3, 95:2, 115:11 views [1] - 121:10 violate [1] - 49:9 virtue [2] - 78:17, 78:21 visited [1] - 120:6 visual [2] - 15:9, 15:14 void [1] - 116:18 volumes [3] - 57:22, 57:25, 58:15 vote [1] - 91:21

votes [2] - 92:1, 92:2

W walk [2] - 105:6, 131:6 walked [1] - 67:8 walking [1] - 102:5 wall [1] - 106:24 wand [1] - 131:1 wants [2] - 57:3, 62:20 warm [1] - 130:24 warn [1] - 111:16 watched [1] - 20:11 water [3] - 13:17, 13:19, 26:14 wave [1] - 131:1 ways [2] - 56:1, 122:23 weaving [1] - 100:11 webcast [4] - 4:23, 5:2, 5:9, 128:25 webcasting [1] - 4:19 weeks [1] - 130:16 welcome [2] - 3:1, 124:12 whatsoever [2] -82:18, 84:3 whereas [1] - 57:12 whole [3] - 3:24, 45:20, 73:13 wholesale [2] - 29:21, 31:5 wick [1] - 107:16 wider [1] - 14:13 WiFi [1] - 4:16 willing [7] - 17:10, 96:23, 96:24, 99:4, 104:21, 127:4, 127:6 Williston [1] - 109:12 wish [5] - 20:20, 53:18, 59:11, 130:5, 130:25 wished [1] - 21:15 wishes [1] - 5:13 withdraw [1] - 27:21 witnesses [1] - 128:12 Wittler [3] - 1:24, 5:12, 133:18 WITTLER [1] - 133:5 wondering [2] - 89:24, 104:20 word [5] - 20:15, 58:24, 67:13, 86:11, 125:21 wording [1] - 52:12 words [3] - 28:8, 62:23, 126:24 workable [1] - 112:18

works [4] - 34:2, 54:21, 54:22, 131:8 **world** [2] - 5:9, 83:11 worth [2] - 66:4, 110:15 worthwhile [1] - 35:5 wrestle [1] - 131:5 write [1] - 38:17 writing [2] - 72:23, 77:21 written [6] - 59:15, 59:18, 59:23, 60:17, 85:22, 129:1

Υ

Yankton [1] - 112:10 year [11] - 10:11, 20:6, 22:14, 22:18, 26:1, 67:22, 67:23, 84:21, 99:21, 99:23, 101:24 years [12] - 32:7, 34:7, 37:3, 38:15, 44:2, 49:6, 72:20, 88:13, 105:17, 128:1, 130:22, 131:10 yellow [4] - 14:17, 19:3, 108:9, 113:19 yesterday [1] - 78:16

Ζ

Zanter [5] - 71:19, 72:11, 73:20, 77:4, 113:23