

-----  
**From:** Dorene Cink [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, April 17, 2015 6:21:08 PM  
**To:** PUC  
**Subject:** Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL  
**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Dorene Cink  
[REDACTED]  
Sioux Falls SD. 57103

[REDACTED]

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

004732

HP14-001 - Message (HTML)

File Message Voltage Insert Options Format Text Review Adobe PDF

Send Secure Voltage Send Secure Voltage

Cut Copy Paste Format Painter Clipboard

Times New F 12 Bold Italic Underline Text Color Background Color Bulleted List Numbered List Decrease Indent Increase Indent

Address Book Check Names Attach File Attach Item Signature

Follow Up High Importance Low Importance Tags

Zoom Zoom

MailTips could not be retrieved.

From: PUC@state.sd.us

To: PUC;

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: HP14-001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson  
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission  
[www.puc.sd.gov](http://www.puc.sd.gov)

PUC



South Dakota Association of

# County Commissioners

**RECEIVED**

**APR 20 2015**

**SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC  
UTILITIES COMMISSION**

WHEREAS, the United States relies, and will continue to rely for many years, on gasoline, diesel, and jet fuel, as well as renewable and alternative sources of energy; and

WHEREAS, the South Dakota Association of County Commissioners (SDACC) urges the President and the United States Congress to support continued and increased development and delivery of oil from Canada to the United States; and

WHEREAS, pipelines are the safest method for the transportation of petroleum products when compared to other methods of transportation; and

WHEREAS, while safety and the environment are legitimate concerns, the Keystone XL expansion project through South Dakota will meet or exceed all US pipeline safety regulations; and

WHEREAS, the Keystone XL pipeline is critical to the creation of South Dakota jobs and to our state's economic, and energy development; and

WHEREAS, the Keystone XL pipeline will provide significantly increased property tax revenue to a number of South Dakota counties;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the South Dakota Association of County Commissioners supports continued and increased development and delivery of oil through the state of South Dakota derived from North American oil reserves to American refineries by the Keystone XL pipeline.

Sincerely,

Bob Wilcox, Executive Director

-----  
From: Sue Grant [REDACTED]  
Sent: Monday, April 20, 2015 3:53:38 PM  
To: PUC  
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

Please reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The land, water, indigenous people, farmers, ranchers, and all of us who rely on safe water should not suffer the pollution which will be caused by that pipeline. Keystone is not willing to back its own safety. They know the pipeline will leak and who will be responsible for the clean up. Not Keystone. It is up to you to protect the environment of our state. Reject this project and let's hear the end of it!

Sincerely,  
Sue Grant  
[REDACTED]  
Brookings, SD 57006  
Resident of Brookings, SD

On 4/20/15, PUC <[PUC@state.sd.us](mailto:PUC@state.sd.us)> wrote:

> Thank you for your message. Please provide your mailing or street  
> address along with your message. The street/ mailing and email address  
> will be removed for the public version of your message that will be  
> placed in the Keystone XL Pipeline docket for which you are commenting.

>  
>  
>  
> South Dakota Public Utilities Commission Staff  
>  
> [www.puc.sd.gov](http://www.puc.sd.gov)<<http://www.puc.sd.gov>>

> -----  
> From: Sue Grant[[SMTP:1604SUE@GMAIL.COM](mailto:SMTP:1604SUE@GMAIL.COM)]  
> Sent: Monday, April 20, 2015 3:36:00 PM  
> To: PUC  
> Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL  
> Auto forwarded by a Rule

>

> Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and  
> Fiagen:  
>  
> Please reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket  
> HP14-001. The land, water, indigenous people, farmers, ranchers, and  
> all of us who rely on safe water should not suffer the pollution which  
> will be caused by that pipeline. Keystone is not willing to back its  
> own safety. They know the pipeline will leak and who will be  
> responsible for the clean up. Not Keystone. It is up to you to protect  
> the environment of our state. Reject this project and let's hear the end of it!  
>  
> Sincerely,  
> Sue Grant  
> Resident of Brookings, SD  
>