From: Suzan Nolan[

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 5:10:46 PM

To: PUC

Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.
Suzan Nolan, Rapid City,SD

Sent from my iPhone

From: Resi Hampton

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 10:23:14 PM

To: PUC

Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No to Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Watonga, Oklahoma 73772

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone KX Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is EXPECTED to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural water communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and many more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely, Resi Hampton

From: CenturyLink Customer

Sent: Thursday, April 16, 2015 10:48:03 PM

To: PUC

Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL


Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen: I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic. For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL

If we do reject the pipeline, our children and their children will thank us for saving their water, their environment and their livelihoods! Please reject it's certification!

Frank Barnett

Sioux Falls, SD 57106



From: Darlene Bordwell

Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 8:37:14 AM

To: PUC

Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Darlene Bordwell

Penn Yan, NY 14527

From: PUC


Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 9:33 AM

To:

Subject: HP14-001

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson South Dakota Public Utilities Commission www.puc.sd.gov



----Original Message-----From: Nelson, Chris

Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 4:30 PM

To: 'Linda Busklein'
Cc: Van Gerpen, Patty
Subject: RE: democracy

Linda,

Thank you for your email and following up with your address and additional information.

Any information indicating that the public is foreclosed from input in the Keystone XL docket or any other docket before this Commission is absolutely incorrect. As it relates to the Keystone XL docket, the PUC is planning a special public input meeting prior to the hearing to allow us to hear from individuals who are not formal parties in the docket. That input allows us to better frame the issues that we need to be concerned with during the hearing. In addition to the special meeting, the PUC always accepts written input such as you have given us. That input is placed in the docket file and available for everyone, including commissioners, to read.

There are legal requirements established in state law regarding obligations of the parties and witnesses who wish to be involved in the formal hearing. Those legal requirements ensure a fair hearing for all parties.

Thanks again for contacting us. Let me know if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

Chris Nelson
Public Utilities Commissioner
500 E Capitol Ave
Pierre SD 57501
605-773-3201
Chris.Nelson@state.sd.us

From: Keating, Pat -

Sent: Friday, April 17, 2015 4:44:26 PM

To: PUC

Subject: RE: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Pat Keating

Madison, SD 57042

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Thank you.

Pat Keating