
From: Tabitha M. Kelley[S [REDACTED]]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 5:59:26 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Hello,
My address is
[REDACTED]
Louisville KY 40220

Life Begins at the End of Your Comfort Zone

- Neale Donald Walsch-

From: Tabitha M. Kelley[SM [REDACTED]]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 5:03:53 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Life Begins at the End of Your Comfort Zone
- Neale Donald Walsch-

004611

From: Lorri May [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 5:25:01 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

KXL creates no permanent jobs in SD and will bring sex trafficking into our state. The USA doesn't even get to keep the oil - it is processed down south and then shipped to China.

For these reasons and more, please reject Keystone XL.

Thank you,

Lorri May
[REDACTED]

Madison, SD 57042

004612

From: T [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:10:50 PM
To: PUC
Cc: T
Subject: RE: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Thomas M. Diggins
[REDACTED]
Rapid City, SD 57701

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Investment in solar and wind power will create more jobs that are sustainable jobs, not temporary pipeline jobs, as well as a sustainable source of energy.

Thomas M. Diggins
[REDACTED]
Rapid City, SD 57701

[REDACTED]

004613

From: Katie Owens [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:15:50 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED]
Long Beach, CA 90815

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Thank You,

Katie Owens

004614

From: Marty Vanderploeg [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:16:44 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. In addition, it provides no long term benefit to the SD economy, only risks to the States invaluable natural resources. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Martin J Vanderploeg

[REDACTED]
Martin, SD 57551

004615

From: Phyllis Cole-Dai [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:44:35 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No to Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear Commissioners Hanson, Nelson and Fiegen:

I am writing to urge you to reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001.

I believe that the risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is *expected* to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. **Expected!**

South Dakotans shouldn't be willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil—especially when that oil is meant primarily for export. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more than I can possibly recite in a brief email, reject Keystone XL.

Deep peace,
Phyllis Cole-Dai

[REDACTED]
Brookings, South Dakota 57006

Phyllis Cole-Dai

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Alberta Rouse [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:29:59 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen: I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic. For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Alberta Rouse
[REDACTED]
Pierre, SD 57501
[REDACTED]

004617

From: Bryan Lane [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:47:07 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED] Madison 57042

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

--

Bryan & Judy
[REDACTED]

004618

From: Gardner Gray [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:53:32 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fi egen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic. For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Tar Sands oil demand is quite reduced in the last month or so and will continue to shrink resulting in the lack of any need for the pipeline. As a semi-postscript, I might add that the President has voted against the pipeline. Strangely, he has also opened up drilling in the north at the same tome.

This a canadian effort and one that will provide little to no benefit to South Dakotans. Do not approve this pipeline.

Thank you,

Gardner Gray
[REDACTED]
Pringle, SD 57773
[REDACTED]

From: Stephen Gambill [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 6:51:44 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No to Keystone XL

Auto forwarded by a Rule

*It is crucial to the health, safety, and welfare of the people of South Dakota, and of America, that you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows that this pipeline is **expected** to leak ten times, at least, over the course of its lifetime. This means that risks to South Dakota's water - the Oglala Aquifer and the Missouri River and rural water systems, affecting many other states, and to people's livelihoods, is unacceptable. Our best climate scientists have also warned over and over again that the operation of the Keystone XL will push our climate crisis past a point of no return, with impacts nationally and globally. We cannot risk the safety and security of our water supply, our land, and our citizens for the most toxic and dangerous form of oil.*

Stephen Gambill

[REDACTED]
Corpus Christi, TX 78404

004620

From: Sandra [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 7:14:07 PM
To: PUC
Auto forwarded by a Rule

I am writing to you to request you REJECT the Keystone XL pipeline certification in docket HP1400. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety and security of South Dakota land, water and people are UNACCEPTABLE. The final Environmental Impact statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is EXPECTED to leak at least 10 times over the course of its lifetime. Have you not seen pictures of Michigan and Missouri and Montana where tar sands pipelines have leaked? It's almost impossible to clean up these messes. The leak in Michigan occurred over 2 years ago and it is STILL not cleaned up. Who in the world is going to clean up this mess when it happens in our state, especially when it occurs over the Ogallala aquifer? This aquifer is where millions of people in the upper Great Plains get their water, this tar sands will get into the water, where do the people of our state and Nebraska get their water then? Tar sands oil will ruin our water forever--think about that. Would you drink this water, where will the farmers and ranchers get their water for crops and livestock that farm in the area of the aquifer? Please, please rethink this permit, we are NOT willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala aquifer, our rural water systems, our livelihoods for the tar sands oil. The construction of the pipeline also puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment and traffic. One more thing, instead of building this pipeline through the United States, let Canada run a pipeline through their country to their west coast. This oil would be shipped to the southern coast of the US and shipped out, it will not do ANYTHING to help our country. Instead of our state investing in oil pipelines, maybe the PUC should be encouraging more green energies. At least we wouldn't be polluting our state.

For all of the above reasons and more PLEASE REJECT the permit for Keystone XL.

Sincerely,
Sandy Dumke

[REDACTED]
Crooks, SD 57020
[REDACTED]

004621

From: Ashley Yonker [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 7:05:30 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No to Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

*I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is **expected** to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.*

Further....I am from Kalamazoo, Michigan where the largest inland water spill in history occurred. To listen to those who were responsible for cleaning it up you would think it has been. To listen to those that work on the pipeline....they KNOW it hasn't been. Corexit, the same chemical used in the gulf spill was used on our river. This does not clean up the chemicals, it merely covers it up. The pipe liners know that is the case. Although they do not want to jeopardize their jobs, they make it VERY CLEAR this was a man made spill, not an accident and that it is NOT cleaned up. The wildlife and human lives will be impacted forever, and NO ONE is being held accountable. The same in the Gulf spill.

Pipe liners installing in other states have stated that the inspectors that are supposed to verify the integrity of the pipes being installed are NOT doing their jobs and turn a blind eye to inadequate pipelines being installed. Regardless of the reason why they are doing it, it is guaranteeing that we will see spills all along these routes at some point. All the land, the water and the homeowners will be left with issues that can not be rectified and the taxpayers will be the ones to suffer long term. The pipeline owners don't care about it. They are looking at the profits at the end of completion.

It's up to you to care! That is your job! You are in a position to right these wrongs. Stand with what is right and stop this pipeline. Show that you have the integrity that is needed to ensure that the safety of our land, our water, our communities and humanity is always first when these decisions are made.

*Thank you,
Ashley Yonker*

*[REDACTED]
Kalamazoo, Mi 49006*

004622

From: Nathan Peters [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 8:49:45 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Nathan Peters

[REDACTED]
Minneapolis, MN 55408

I am originally from Canistota, South Dakota - where I grew up and lived until 2004. I lived in Sioux Falls in 2011-2012.

004623

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 8:03:02 AM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Angelica Chrysler

[REDACTED]
Orlando, FL 32808
[REDACTED]

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Angelica Chrysler

004624

From: dawes.2009 [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 9:50:37 AM
To: PUC
Subject: RE: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Milford Dawson

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Pine Ridge SD

57770 0525

From: Wendy Schmitz
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 11:32:19 AM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No to Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Deadwood, SD 57732
wendyschmitz@gmail.com

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen: *I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is **expected** to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.*

The risk of permanently damaging our wildlife, environment and water source are not worth the mere 35 jobs in the US that this monstrosity will provide. Don't sell out. Please bring in solar and other alternative energy companies to SD. Give them tax breaks. These energy sources are the way of the future - let SD be on the cutting edge for once and reap the benefits of long term jobs and careers in addition to helping to saving our world from more pollutants.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Wendy M. Luedke

"There is only one dangerous breed, humans." -Unknown

"Compassion for animals is intimately connected with goodness of character; and it may be confidently asserted that he who is cruel to animals cannot be a good man." -Schopenhauer

"Not to hurt our humble brethren, the animals, is our first duty to them, but to stop there is not enough. We have a higher mission: to be of service to them whenever they require it. If you have people who will exclude any of God's creatures from the shelter of compassion and pity you will have people who will deal likewise with other people." -St. Francis Assi

"I believe if Dante were alive today and rewriting the 'Inferno' that the lowest places in hell would be reserved for those who commit cruelty to our animals and our children." -U.S. Attorney George Beck

"The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated." -George Orwell
"When educating the minds of our youth, we must not forget to educate their hearts." - Dalai Lama

"To be a vegetarian is to disagree - to disagree with the course of things today... starvation, cruelty - we must make a statement against these things. Vegetarianism is my statement. And I think it is a strong one." -Isaac Bashevis Singer

"I am in favor of animal rights as well as human rights. That is the way of the whole human being." -Abraham Lincoln

"There are only two ways to live your life. One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as though everything is a miracle." -Albert Einstein

004626

From: Paulette [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 12:59:54 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: NO KXL DAKOTA
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Paulette R. High Elk
[REDACTED]
Eagle Butte, SD 57625

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities
Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and
Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you
reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil.
Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject
Keystone XL.

Sincerely,
Paulette R. High
Elk
Cheyenne River
Lakota

Anpétu Wašté Yúha
ye!
Have a Good
Day!
Paulette R. High
Elk
Cheyenne River Lakhota

"Helping others isn't just
a one time thing for me; It is my Philosophy in Life"
"IN GOD WE
TRUST"

From: tim oconnor [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 2:47:53 PM

To: PUC

Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

*I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is **expected** to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.*

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely, Tim OConnor ([REDACTED])

Cantril, Ia. 52542

004628

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

004629

From: Mark Sanderson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 9:28:19 AM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No to Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear PUC Commissioners:

I am against the construction of the Keystone XL pipeline. I won't bore you with all the reasons which I am sure you are weighing. Just note – my social network, those people I know well, are against the construction of this pipeline. I wish you well in your deliberation – tough decision.

Mark Sanderson

[REDACTED]
Sioux Falls, SD 57104

004630

From: Wilson, Norma [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 8:50:16 AM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: Please Say No to Keystone XL Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

Please reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001.

This pipeline and its construction pose numerous risks to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people.

The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime.

We must not sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil.

Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

Please say no to Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Norma C. Wilson

[REDACTED]
Vermillion, SD 57069

[REDACTED]

004631

From: Melissa Knight [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 3:15:03 PM
To: PUC
Subject: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic. For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Thank you,
Melissa Barker

[REDACTED]
Rapid City, SD, 57702

004632

From: Jerry Wilson [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, April 15, 2015 2:59:43 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

America does not need dirty tarsands oil, and we should not facilitate its transmission across our land to Texas for refining and export. The more tarsands are developed, the more destruction in Canada and the greater the climate change. And there is also the real risk--in fact almost the certainty--that the pipe will leak and do environmental damage in South Dakota.

Please reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

Sincerely,
Jerry Wilson

[REDACTED]
Vermillion, SD 57069

004633

HP14-001 - Message (HTML)

File Message Voltage Insert Options Format Text Review Adobe PDF

Send Secure Voltage Send Secure Voltage

Cut Copy Paste Format Painter Clipboard

Calibri 11 Basic Text

Address Book Names Check Names Attach File Attach Item Include Signature

Follow Up High Importance Low Importance Tags

Zoom Zoom

MailTips could not be retrieved.

From: PUC@state.sd.us

To: PUC;

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: HP14-001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

PUC

From: judiann [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 10:09:00 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: FW: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED]
Summersville, MO 65571

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,
Judiann Edwards-Burrus

004635

From: Jessica Miller [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 10:13:16 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Re: HP14-001: No on Keystone XL
Auto forwarded by a Rule

[REDACTED]
Rapid City, SD 57701

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

I am writing to you to request you reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001. The risks this pipeline and its construction pose to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people are unacceptable. The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is expected to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogalalla Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil. Moreover the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,
Jessica Miller

004636

From: Gena Parkhurst [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 14, 2015 11:35:57 PM
To: PUC
Subject: Reject KXL (docket HP14-001)
Auto forwarded by a Rule

Dear South Dakota Public Utilities Commissioners Hanson, Nelson, and Fiegen:

Please reject the Keystone XL Pipeline certification in docket HP14-001.

This pipeline and its construction pose unacceptable risks to the health, safety, and security of South Dakota's water, land, and people.

The final Environmental Impact Statement submitted by the State Department shows the pipeline is **expected** to leak at least ten times over the course of its lifetime. We are not willing to sacrifice and risk the Missouri River, the Ogallala Aquifer, our rural water systems, and our livelihoods for dirty tarsands oil.

Additionally, the construction of the pipeline puts rural communities at risk with the drastic influx of people, equipment, and traffic.

For these reasons and more, reject Keystone XL.

Sincerely,

Gena Parkhurst
[REDACTED]
Rapid City SD 57709

004637

HP14-001 - Message (HTML)

File Message Voltage Insert Options Format Text Review Adobe PDF

Send Secure Voltage Paste Copy Format Painter Clipboard Basic Text Address Book Check Names Attach File Attach Item Signature Follow Up High Importance Low Importance Tags Zoom

MailTips could not be retrieved.

From: PUC@state.sd.us

To: **PUC;**

Cc:

Bcc:

Subject: HP14-001

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11

Thank you for your message to my fellow commissioners and me regarding the Keystone XL Pipeline. It will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-001, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
www.puc.sd.gov

PUC