1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION						
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA						
3	=======================================						
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION HP14-001						
5	OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE,  LP FOR ORDER ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION						
6	OF PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-001 TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE						
7							
8							
9	Transcript of Recorded Audio Proceedings November 12, 2015						
LO	=======================================						
L1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION						
_2	CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN						
L3	KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER						
L 4	COMMISSION STAFF						
L5	Rolayne Wiest John Smith						
L 6	Kristen Edwards						
L 7	Karen Cremer Adam de Hueck						
L 8	Greg Rislov Brian Rounds						
L 9	Darren Kearney Joseph Rezac						
20	Eric Paulson Lorena Reichert						
21	Patrick Steffenson Katlyn Gustafson						
22							
23							
24	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR						
25							

```
1
              TRANSCRIPT OF RECORDED AUDIO PROCEEDINGS, held
 2
     in the above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
 3
     Capitol Building, Room 413, 500 East Capitol Avenue,
     Pierre, South Dakota, on the 12th day of November, 2015.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CHAIRMAN NELSON: HP14-001, In the Matter of the Petition of TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP for Order Accepting Certification of Permit Issued in Docket HP09-001 to Construct the Keystone XL Pipeline.

2.3

A couple of questions to resolve today. First of all, Commissioner Hanson has indicated he'd like to move to reconsider our denial of certain exhibits.

And then also we have a Motion to Grant a Motion to Strike the Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

I think the way we will begin, Commissioner

Hanson, did you want to move to reconsider the Motion

that passed at the last meeting, and then we'll go from

there?

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As you recall, we had a discussion pertaining to Exhibit 6001 of Ms. Myers' exhibits, and I would like to have a reconsideration. I move to have reconsideration of the Commission.

Actually I was in the minority on that. It was a 2-1 vote.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Actually I think what we need to do is reconsider the final Motion that passed in which you were in the majority, and then I'm going to ask you to split your part off.

1 COMMISSIONER HANSON: You're right. Thank you 2 very much. That is actually what I intended to do. 3 appreciate your --4 I move to reconsider the vote which passed by 5 the Commission at the last -- at the meeting pertaining 6 to the exhibits, the striking of Ms. Myers' exhibits. 7 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 8 All those in favor of reconsidering will vote aye; those opposed, nay. 10 Commissioner Hanson. 11 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Aye. 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen. 13 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye. 14 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye. 15 Motion carries. 16 And at this point, Commissioner Hanson, I think 17 it would be appropriate for you to make a Motion dealing 18 strictly with 6001. 19 COMMISSIONER HANSON: Yes. That's where I have 20 a question, since I was in the minority, whether I can do 21 that. I know I can move to bifurcate and have us decide 22 6001 individually and the remaining exhibits, and that's 2.3 what my Motion would be. 2.4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: That's where we're at. Since

we dealt with the reconsideration, that's where you would

25

```
1
     have had to have been in the majority, and you were so
2
     we're fine. You can move to bifurcate.
 3
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: That's my Motion.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. We have a Motion to
5
    Bifurcate.
 6
              Any question on that Motion?
7
              Hearing none, all those in favor will vote aye;
8
     those opposed, nay.
              Commissioner Hanson.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                   Aye.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
12
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
13
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
14
              Motion carries.
15
              We will now entertain a Motion regarding
16
     Exhibit 6001.
17
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I move that
18
     Ms. Myers' Exhibit 6001 be included in -- as evidence in
     Docket HP14-001.
19
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion.
21
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
22
     And thank you for giving me the latitude, the
     opportunity, to discuss this.
2.3
2.4
              I had written a dissent in part on that
25
     particular exhibit and decided with counsel's counsel
```

that I should do it individually and discuss just that Motion.

2.3

I'm rarely compelled to dissent on a Motion.

There's only been three times. And, frankly, I think

I've only dissented maybe two or three times in the

12 years that I have been a Commissioner.

I will read in part my dissent that I had written just so that I can keep my discussion as short as possible.

You'll recall that the Applicant for XL argued that the exhibit should be excluded because the Applicant should be able to directly question any persons who have made statements attributed to them and that the Applicant was unable to question Mr. Seamans. And you'll recall that there was additional discussion pertaining to what is hearsay evidence such as newspapers and scholarly works that can be used by expert witnesses and such.

My contention and concern is that it was the Applicant's action and inaction that prevented the Applicant from questioning Mr. Seamans at the time of the Commission hearings.

You'll recall on August 3 during the evidentiary hearings the Applicant objected to the inclusion of exhibits and requested the ability to argue the reasons for exclusion at a later date. They then filed on

September 21, seven weeks after the evidentiary hearings had concluded, that they -- a Motion to Strike the

2.3

On October 29 then at a regularly scheduled meeting they argued the Motion to Strike on a basis that it should be excluded because the Applicant should be able to directly question any persons who have statements attributed to them and that the Applicant was unable to question Mr. Seamans regarding his statements in Exhibit 6001.

that the Applicant was unable to question Mr. Seamans; however, the Applicant did have the opportunity to question Mr. Seamans during the hearings. Mr. Seamans was not only present at the hearings, he has party status to the Docket and actually participated in the hearings. And so had the Applicant chosen to question Mr. Seamans during the hearings, they could have done so.

The Applicant chose not to question Mr. Seamans, and then he argued that the exhibits should be excluded because he did not question Mr. Seamans. So the Applicant is essentially attempting to benefit by claiming he was handicapped by his own actions.

Ms. Myers should not be prohibited from presenting information to the Commission based upon XL's

decision not to question Mr. Seamans, and I believe Exhibit 6001 should be included in the Docket.

2.3

So we have an action by the Applicant, which he is now arguing kept him from being able to exercise his duties for XL and, in fact, that handicaps Ms. Myers. So I respectfully request that 6001 be included.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.

First of all, I appreciate your explanation because, frankly, I wasn't sure where you were coming from. And so I follow your logic. I think where I would -- I think where I fall off the logic train is the fact that Ms. Myers never called Mr. Seamans as a witness and without having called him as a witness they still wouldn't have had an opportunity to cross-examine him. Even though he was in the room, even though he was an Intervenor, she never called him as a witness.

Does that impact your thought process?

COMMISSIONER HANSON: No. Because he was a party, and he was in attendance -- he was actually in attendance at, I believe, all of the meetings. He was even in attendance of the meeting when the Motion to Strike was heard. He's even in the audience today. And at any time he could have personally objected if that evidence -- if that exhibit did not represent his position. And at any time the party, the Applicant,

```
1
     could have asked to question him.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
              Additional discussion.
 3
 4
              Any additional discussion?
 5
              Seeing none, all those in favor of Commissioner
 6
     Hanson's Motion to allow Exhibit 6001 will say aye; those
7
     opposed, nay.
8
              Commissioner Hanson.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes no.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And Nelson votes no.
13
              Motion fails.
14
              We now have an opportunity to deal with the rest
15
     of the exhibits. I will move that the rest of the
16
     exhibits that had been excluded remain excluded,
17
     including 6001.
18
              Discussion on the Motion.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Well, I bifurcated them
19
20
     for the purpose so that I could vote for the remainder.
21
     If you include 6001, as you just did, I have to vote
22
     against the exhibits and file a dissent so please --
2.3
     we've already decided 6001.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: No, we haven't. But your
25
     point is well taken.
```

```
1
              We denied your Motion. I think now we need a
2
     Motion on that one again.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: That would be fine.
 3
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: So I will move that 6001 be
 4
5
     excluded.
 6
              All those in favor of excluding 6001 will vote
7
     aye; those opposed, nay.
8
              Commissioner Hanson.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Nay.
10
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
11
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                     Fiegen votes aye.
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And Nelson votes aye.
13
              6001 is excluded.
14
                                     I was tempted to vote aye
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
15
     just to see if I could get the two of you to vote --
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to take it and move
17
     along pretty quickly.
18
              I now move that the remainder of the excluded
     exhibits remain excluded.
19
20
              Any discussion?
21
              Seeing none, all those in favor will vote aye;
22
     those opposed, nay.
2.3
              Commissioner Hanson.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
25
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
2
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And Nelson votes aye.
              Motion carries.
 3
 4
              Okay. That then brings us to the Joint Motion
5
     to strike the proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions
6
     of Law.
7
              And before I go to that, I want to just check
8
     and see if we've gotten some other folks on the phone.
              Bruce Ellison, are you with us?
10
              MR. ELLISON: Yes, sir. I am.
11
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Excellent.
12
              Matt Rappold.
13
              MR. RAPPOLD: Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Hi, Matt.
15
              Okay. Peter Capossela.
16
              MR. CAPOSSELA: Yes, sir. Present.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Excellent.
18
              Between you and Ms. Baker, who wants to argue?
19
     And Mr. Clark. Who's going to take this one?
20
              MS. BAKER: This is Jennifer Baker. I can do
21
     that.
22
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Go ahead.
2.3
              MS. BAKER: Thank you, Commissioners.
24
              We're here on a Joint Motion filed by several
25
     Intervenors to strike Keystone's proposed Findings of
```

Fact and Conclusions of Law.

2.3

The parties filed a initial posthearing brief in this matter on October 1 in accordance with an earlier Commission Order. That Order made no mention of Proposed Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law. Not withstanding the fact the Commission at no time ordered or requested the filing of proposed Findings and Conclusions, Keystone submitted Proposed Findings and Conclusions in conjunction with its brief.

Unlike other routine motions that are expected in proceedings of this nature and are, therefore, not specifically addressed in the rules, Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are expressly mentioned in and regulated by the rules governing this Commission.

ARSD 20:10:01:25 specifically states that if requested by the Commission, the parties shall file Proposed Findings of Fact. The fact that it is expressly mentioned in the rules and only authorized if requested by the Commission indicates that it is improper to file Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law absent a request of Commission.

While Proposed Findings and Conclusions were submitted in the previous XL Docket, HP09-001, as well as the Keystone I Docket, HP07-001, Findings and Conclusions were actually requested by the Commission in those cases,

and those cases, therefore, did not support Keystone's filings in this Docket.

In this Docket the Commission chose not to request Proposed Findings and Conclusions, and such filings are, therefore, improper. Keystone's argument that Intervenors could have filed their own Findings and Conclusions is no more valid than their argument that their submission was proper.

In accordance with the rules governing commissions, Intervenors refrain from filing Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law because to do so would have been improper. It would be unjust inequitable to allow Keystone's Proposed Findings and Conclusions to remain on the record and to receive the Commission's consideration without affording the Intervenors the opportunity to submit the same.

We, therefore, respectfully ask that the Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed by Keystone be stricken from the record.

Thank you.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. And I'm going to -- the others, Mr. Ellison, Rappold, Capossela, Clark, if you've got anything briefly to add that would be different than what Ms. Baker has, I will allow that.

MR. CAPOSSELA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. This

```
1
     is Peter Capossela.
2
              Standing Rock has nothing further to add and
     supports Ms. Baker's arguments.
 3
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
 5
              Any others?
 6
              MR. RAPPOLD: Rosebud takes the same position.
7
              MR. ELLISON: This is Bruce Ellison for Dakota
8
     Rural Action.
                    We certainly join in the arguments of
10
     Ms. Baker.
                 In sum, it's another situation in these
11
     proceedings where TransCanada is being asked by the
12
     Intervenors to simply follow the procedural rules that
13
     are to guide proceedings before this Commission.
14
              And so, once again, we are here seeking that
15
     Commission Order compliance.
16
              I have nothing further. Thank you.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
18
              Mr. Clark, anything?
19
              MR. CLARK: Mr. Chairman, I don't have anything
20
     substantive to add. We just join in the Motion and thank
21
     you for your time.
22
              Thank you, sir.
23
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Very good. I will go to
24
    Mr. Moore for Keystone.
25
                          Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
              MR. MOORE:
```

James Moore on behalf of Keystone.

2.3

We have submitted a written response to the Joint Motion, and I'll not repeat that here. I will summarize just by saying this: I think this is obviously a process issue.

We had a contested case with a lengthy evidentiary hearing. When the Commission makes a decision on the Docket, Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law are required under SDCL Section 1-26-25.

And under the Rules of Civil Procedure in SDCL 15-6-52A you can direct counsel to prepare Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, or you can submit your own proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law.

In either case the adverse parties at that point essentially have an opportunity to respond to the Proposed Findings or your Proposed Findings before they are signed and adopted by the Commission.

So we submitted Proposed Findings and Conclusions with our initial brief, not intending to gain any advantage but because we thought it was a natural complement to the briefing process because Findings are required in the case and because we thought it would be a helpful illustration to the Commission to see what approval or acceptance of the certification would actually look like based on the evidence presented.

1 The Intervenors have not been prejudiced in any 2 They could have objected or responded to the 3 Proposed Findings that we submitted with our opening 4 brief. And to the extent that the Commission chooses to 5 adopt those at the conclusion of the Docket in making a 6 decision, they will still be afforded an opportunity to 7 respond to them. In fact, they've been given additional 8 time to consider them by the fact that they were proposed on October 1. 10 So I think this is really much ado about 11 nothing, and there's certainly no -- nothing in 12 South Dakota Law that would require you to grant the 13 Motion and nothing that makes this submission 14 inappropriate. 15 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 16 Staff. 17 MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. 18 Staff has nothing to add and takes no position 19 as we did not file any proposal of our own and haven't 20 taken a position on the filing that Keystone made. 21 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 22 Ms. Baker, rebuttal. 2.3 MS. BAKER: I would just simply like to point 24 out that Mr. Moore's argument cited no authority for 25 Keystone's position. And, in fact, the authority that he

did cite supports the position of the Intervenors, which is that there must actually be a request from the Commission for this submission to be proper.

In addition, the Intervenors are prejudiced because we have not had the opportunity -- no party had a proper opportunity to submit these filings, and to accept something from Keystone without allowing the opportunity to the Intervenors to submit the same would be unjust and prejudicial to the Intervenors.

Finally, Keystone mentioned that Intervenors could have objected or responded, and that's precisely what we're doing now. They filed something improper.

Rather than a substantive response, it's appropriate for us to file a Motion to Strike as we have done, and we'd request that you grant that Motion.

Thank you.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.

Ouestions from the Commission.

I have one for Ms. Baker.

I understand the language of the rule that you're relying on, but isn't it correct that the rule does not prohibit these from being filed on one's own volition?

MS. BAKER: It's correct that the rule does not expressly make that prohibition. However, the fact that

1 the rule does expressly address the issue rather than 2 being silent on it is informative of the purpose and 3 intent behind the rule and that's that no Findings or 4 Conclusions should be filed appropriately with the 5 Commission absent a request. 6 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 7 Additional questions. 8 Hearing none, is there a Motion? COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, in HP14-001 10 move that the Commission deny the Joint Motion to Strike 11 Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law. 12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion. 13 Commissioner Fiegen. 14 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And I believe the current 15 statute that we -- or the South Dakota Law that we have 16 does not prohibit Findings of Fact and Conclusion of Law 17 to be submitted and filed to the Commission. 18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion. 19 Commissioner Hanson. 20 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I agree with Commissioner 21 Further, I fully expected all of the parties to be filing their own Findings of Fact and Conclusions of 22 2.3 Law so that we could look at those and interpret them and make our decision based upon that input. 2.4

So I'm frankly -- that seems to be standard

25

```
1
     operating procedure here so I'm surprised at this
2
     request.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional discussion.
 3
 4
              I'm going to support the Motion, understanding
5
     that what was filed was not filed pursuant to the rule
 6
     because we didn't request them. But I also understand
7
     that I don't think there's anything out there that
8
     prohibits any party from having submitted Findings of
     Fact, Conclusions of Law, just as Commissioner Hanson has
10
     said.
11
              Additional discussion.
12
              Hearing none, all those in favor of the Motion
13
     to Deny the Joint Motion will vote aye; those opposed,
14
     nay.
15
              Commissioner Hanson.
16
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                     Aye.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Fiegen.
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Fiegen votes aye.
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Nelson votes aye.
19
              The Motion carries.
20
              MR. ELLISON: Commissioner Nelson, this is
21
22
     Bruce Ellison. I just want to briefly add to the record,
2.3
     if I may.
2.4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Certainly.
25
              MR. ELLISON: For the purposes of later appeal.
```

The Commission has therefore taken the position that anything which is not prohibited by any of the rules that govern the operation of the Public Utilities

Commission is, therefore, allowed and permissible.

I just wanted to make that position because that's what it seems like the Commission just said. And I just want our record to be clear that Dakota Rural Action believes that the arguments presented by Ms. Baker are correct and that this is an improper interpretation of the Commission's own rules.

If it is simply a standard procedure, the Commission should recommend removing that or adding that in the standard procedure rather than saying that it has the authority to order that when it chooses to do so.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you, Mr. Ellison.

And thank you all for being on the line and understand that there are more motions in this regard and we will be meeting again on this particular Docket.

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)					
2	:SS CERTIFICATE					
3	COUNTY OF SULLY )					
4						
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered					
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and					
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:					
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed					
9	shorthand reporter, I transcribed the audio recording of					
_0	the foregoing proceedings.					
L1	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 8th day of					
L2	January, 2016.					
L3						
L 4						
L5						
L 6						
L 7	Cheri McComsey Wittler,					
L 8	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter					
L9	Certified Realtime Reporter					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

1	13:9	<b>AUDIO</b> [1] - 2:1	15:22	1:1, 1:11, 1:14 1
-	action [2] - 6:19, 8:3	<b>Audio</b> [1] - 1:8	cases [2] - 12:25, 13:1	Commission's [2] -
	<b>Action</b> [2] - 14:8, 20:8	audio [1] - 21:9	certain [1] - 3:7	13:14, 20:10
<b>1</b> [2] - 12:3, 16:9	actions [1] - 7:23	August [1] - 6:22	certainly [3] - 14:9,	Commissioner [22] -
<b>1-26-25</b> [1] - 15:9	Adam [1] - 1:17	authority [3] - 16:24,	16:11, 19:24	3:6, 3:11, 4:10, 4:12,
<b>12</b> [2] - 1:9, 6:6	add [5] - 13:23, 14:2,	16:25, 20:14	CERTIFICATE [1] -	4:16, 5:9, 5:11, 6:6,
<b>12th</b> [1] - 2:4	14:20, 16:18, 19:22	authorized [1] - 12:18	21:2	9:5, 9:8, 9:10, 10:8,
<b>15-6-52A</b> [1] - 15:11	adding [1] - 20:12	<b>Avenue</b> [1] - 2:3	CERTIFICATION [1] -	10:10, 10:23, 10:25,
	addition [1] - 17:4	aye [22] - 4:9, 4:11,	1:5	18:13, 18:19, 18:20,
2	additional [9] - 6:15,	4:13, 4:14, 5:7, 5:10,	certification [2] - 3:3,	19:9, 19:15, 19:17,
	8:7, 9:3, 9:4, 16:7,	5:12, 5:13, 9:6, 9:9,	15:24	19:21
<b>2-1</b> [1] - 3:21	18:7, 18:18, 19:3,	10:7, 10:11, 10:12,	Certified [2] - 21:6,	COMMISSIONER [26]
<b>2015</b> [2] - 1:9, 2:4	19:11	10:14, 10:21, 10:24,	21:19	- 1:13, 3:15, 4:1,
<b>2016</b> [1] - 21:12	address [1] - 18:1	11:1, 11:2, 19:13,	<b>CERTIFY</b> [1] - 21:8	4:11, 4:13, 4:19, 5:3,
<b>20:10:01:25</b> [1] - 12:15	addressed [1] - 12:12	19:16, 19:18, 19:19	CHAIRMAN [42] -	5:10, 5:12, 5:17,
<b>21</b> [1] - 7:1	<b>ado</b> [1] - 16:10		1:12, 1:12, 3:1, 3:22,	5:21, 8:18, 9:9, 9:11,
<b>29</b> [2] - 7:4, 7:11	adopt [1] - 16:5	В	4:7, 4:12, 4:14, 4:24,	9:19, 10:3, 10:9,
20 [2] - 1.4, 1.11	adopted [1] - 15:17		5:4, 5:11, 5:13, 5:20,	10:11, 10:14, 10:24,
3	advantage [1] - 15:20	Baker [7] - 11:18,	8:7, 9:2, 9:10, 9:12,	11:1, 18:9, 18:14,
3	adverse [1] - 15:14	11:20, 13:24, 14:10,	9:24, 10:4, 10:10,	18:20, 19:16, 19:18
	<b>afforded</b> [1] - 16:6	16:22, 17:19, 20:8	10:12, 10:16, 10:25,	Commissioners [1] -
<b>3</b> [1] - 6:22	affording [1] - 13:15	BAKER [4] - 11:20,	11:2, 11:11, 11:14,	11:23
	<b>afternoon</b> [1] - 11:13	11:23, 16:23, 17:24	11:17, 11:22, 13:21,	commissions [1] -
4	agree [1] - 18:20	Baker's [1] - 14:3	14:4, 14:17, 14:23,	13:10
	ahead [1] - 11:22	based [3] - 7:25,	16:15, 16:21, 17:17,	compelled [1] - 6:3
449 0.0	<b>allow</b> [3] - 9:6, 13:13,	15:25, 18:24	18:6, 18:12, 18:18,	complement [1] -
<b>413</b> [1] - 2:3	13:24	basis [1] - 7:5	19:3, 19:17, 19:19,	15:21
	allowed [1] - 20:4	BEFORE [1] - 1:11	19:24, 20:16	compliance [1] - 14:15
5	allowing [1] - 17:7	begin [1] - 3:11	Chairman [8] - 3:15,	concern [1] - 6:18
	appeal [1] - 19:25	behalf [1] - 15:1	5:17, 5:21, 11:13,	concluded [1] - 7:2
<b>500</b> [1] - 2:3	Applicant [14] - 6:10,	behind [1] - 18:3	13:25, 14:19, 14:25, 18:9	1
	6:11, 6:13, 6:20,	believes [1] - 20:8	check [1] - 11:7	<b>conclusion</b> [3] - 16:5, 18:11, 18:16
6	6:23, 7:6, 7:8, 7:12,	benefit [1] - 7:22	Cheri [2] - 1:24, 21:17	conclusions [4] -
	7:13, 7:17, 7:19,	between [1] - 11:18	CHERI [1] - 21:5	12:7, 12:8, 12:22,
0004 0:47 4:40	7:22, 8:3, 8:25	bifurcate [3] - 4:21,	chooses [2] - 16:4,	18:4
<b>6001</b> [15] - 3:17, 4:18,	<b>Applicant's</b> [1] - 6:19	5:2, 5:5	20:14	Conclusions [18] -
4:22, 5:16, 5:18,	appointed [1] - 21:8	bifurcated [1] - 9:19	<b>chose</b> [2] - 7:19, 13:3	3:10, 11:5, 12:1,
7:10, 8:2, 8:6, 9:6, 9:17, 9:21, 9:23,	appreciate [2] - 4:3,	Brian [1] - 1:18	chosen [1] - 7:17	12:5, 12:13, 12:20,
10:4, 10:6, 10:13	8:8	brief [4] - 12:2, 12:9,	CHRIS [1] - 1:12	12:24, 13:4, 13:7,
10.4, 10.0, 10.10	appropriate [2] - 4:17,	15:19, 16:4	cite [1] - 17:1	13:11, 13:13, 13:18,
8	17:13	briefing [1] - 15:21	cited [1] - 16:24	15:8, 15:12, 15:13,
0	appropriately [1] - 18:4	briefly [2] - 13:23,	Civil [1] - 15:10	15:19, 18:22, 19:9
	approval [1] - 15:24	19:22	claiming [1] - 7:23	conjunction [1] - 12:9
8th [1] - 21:11	argue [2] - 6:24, 11:18	brings [1] - 11:4	<b>CLARK</b> [1] - 14:19	consider [1] - 16:8
	_ • • • •	Bruce [3] - 11:9, 14:7,	Clark [3] - 11:19,	consideration [1] -
Α	<b>argued</b> [3] - 6:10, 7:5, 7:20	19:22	13:22, 14:18	13:15
	arguing [1] - 8:4	<b>Building</b> [1] - 2:3	clear [1] - 20:7	<b>CONSTRUCT</b> [1] - 1:6
ability [1] - 6:24	argument [3] - 13:5,		coming [1] - 8:9	construct [1] - 3:4
•	13:7, 16:24	С	Commission [27] -	contention [1] - 6:18
able [3] - 6:12, 7:7, 8:4	arguments [3] - 14:3,		3:19, 4:5, 6:21, 7:25,	contested [1] - 15:6
above-entitled [1] - 2:2	14:9, 20:8	Comital on 0.0	12:4, 12:6, 12:14,	correct [3] - 17:21,
absent [2] - 12:20,	ARSD [1] - 12:15	Capitol [2] - 2:3	12:16, 12:19, 12:21,	17:24, 20:9
18:5	attempting [1] - 7:22	Capossela [3] - 11:15,	12:25, 13:3, 14:13,	counsel [2] - 5:25,
accept [1] - 17:6	attendance [3] - 8:19,	13:22, 14:1	14:15, 15:7, 15:17,	15:11
acceptance [1] - 15:24	8:20, 8:21	CAPOSSELA [2] -	15:23, 16:4, 17:3,	counsel's [1] - 5:25
ACCEPTING [1] - 1:5	attributed [2] - 6:13,	11:16, 13:25	17:18, 18:5, 18:10,	<b>COUNTY</b> [1] - 21:3
accepting [1] - 3:3	7:8	carries [4] - 4:15,	18:17, 20:1, 20:4,	<b>couple</b> [1] - 3:5
accepting [1] - 3.3	audience [1] - 8:22	5:14, 11:3, 19:20	20:6, 20:12	Cremer [1] - 1:16
40001441106 [2] - 12.0,	444101100 [1] - 0.22	case [3] - 15:6, 15:14,	COMMISSION [3] -	cross [1] - 8:14
	•	•	*	

10:11, 11:1, 18:9, 7:23 interpret [1] - 18:23 cross-examine [1] either [1] - 15:14 18:14, 19:18 8:14 Ellison [5] - 11:9, handicaps [1] - 8:5 interpretation [1] -CRR [1] - 1:24 13:22, 14:7, 19:22, Fiegen [14] - 4:12, HANSON [18] - 1:13, 20:9 4:13. 5:11. 5:12. 3:15, 4:1, 4:11, 4:19, current [1] - 18:14 20:16 Intervenor [1] - 8:16 5:3, 5:10, 5:17, 5:21, **ELLISON** [4] - 11:10, 9:10. 9:11. 10:10. Intervenors [11] -14:7, 19:21, 19:25 10:11, 10:25, 11:1, 8:18, 9:9, 9:19, 10:3, 11:25, 13:6, 13:10, D 18:13, 18:21, 19:17, entertain [1] - 5:15 10:9, 10:14, 10:24, 13:15, 14:12, 16:1, 19:18 18:20, 19:16 entitled [1] - 2:2 17:1, 17:4, 17:8, **DAKOTA** [2] - 1:2, file [5] - 9:22, 12:16, Eric [1] - 1:19 **Hanson** [11] - 3:6, 17:9, 17:10 21.1 3:12, 4:10, 4:16, 5:9, 12:19, 16:19, 17:14 essentially [2] - 7:22, issue [2] - 15:5, 18:1 Dakota [8] - 2:2, 2:4, filed [11] - 6:25, 11:24, 9:8, 10:8, 10:23, issued [1] - 3:3 14:7, 16:12, 18:15, 12:2, 13:6, 13:18, 18:19, 19:9, 19:15 **ISSUED** [1] - 1:5 evidence [4] - 5:18, 20:7, 21:7, 21:11 17:12, 17:22, 18:4, Hanson's [1] - 9:6 6:16, 8:24, 15:25 Darren [1] - 1:18 18:17, 19:5 evidentiary [3] - 6:22, heard [1] - 8:22 J date [1] - 6:25 filing [4] - 12:7, 13:10, hearing [4] - 5:7, 15:7, 7:1, 15:7 Dated [1] - 21:11 16:20, 18:22 18:8, 19:12 examine [1] - 8:14 de [1] - 1:17 James [1] - 15:1 filings [3] - 13:2, 13:5, hearings [7] - 6:21, excellent [2] - 11:11, deal [1] - 9:14 **January** [1] - 21:12 17:6 6:23, 7:1, 7:14, 7:15, 11:17 dealing [1] - 4:17 **Jennifer** [1] - 11:20 final [1] - 3:23 7:16, 7:18 **excluded** [9] - 6:11, John [1] - 1:15 dealt [1] - 4:25 hearsay [1] - 6:16 finally [1] - 17:10 7:6, 7:20, 9:16, 10:5, join [2] - 14:9, 14:20 decide [1] - 4:21 Findings [24] - 3:9, held [1] - 2:1 10:13, 10:18, 10:19 Joint [5] - 11:4, 11:24, decided [2] - 5:25, 11:5, 11:25, 12:5, excluding [1] - 10:6 helpful [1] - 15:23 15:3, 18:10, 19:13 9:23 12:12, 12:17, 12:20, **HEREBY** [1] - 21:8 exclusion [1] - 6:25 decision [4] - 8:1, Joseph [1] - 1:19 12:24, 13:4, 13:6, hi [1] - 11:14 exercise [1] - 8:4 15:8, 16:6, 18:24 13:11, 13:13, 13:18, HP07-001 [1] - 12:24 Exhibit [6] - 3:17, K denial [1] - 3:7 15:8, 15:11, 15:13, HP09-001 [3] - 1:5, 5:16, 5:18, 7:10, 8:2, denied [1] - 10:1 15:16, 15:18, 16:3, 3:4, 12:23 9:6 deny [2] - 18:10, 19:13 18:11, 18:16, 18:22, HP14-001 [4] - 1:4, exhibit [4] - 5:25, Karen [1] - 1:16 different [1] - 13:24 19:8 6:11, 7:3, 8:24 3:1, 5:19, 18:9 Katlyn [1] - 1:21 direct [1] - 15:11 findings [5] - 12:7, Hueck [1] - 1:17 exhibits [11] - 3:7, Kearney [1] - 1:18 directly [2] - 6:12, 7:7 12:8, 12:22, 15:21, 3:17, 4:6, 4:22, 6:24, keep [1] - 6:8 18:3 discuss [2] - 5:23, 6:1 I 7:20, 9:15, 9:16, kept [1] - 8:4 discussion [13] - 3:16, **fine** [2] - 5:2, 10:3 9:22, 10:19 **KEYSTONE** [2] - 1:4, 5:20, 6:8, 6:15, 8:7, first [2] - 3:5, 8:8 expected [2] - 12:10, 1:6 illustration [1] - 15:23 9:3, 9:4, 9:18, 10:20, folks [1] - 11:8 18:21 Keystone [10] - 3:2, impact [1] - 8:17 18:12, 18:18, 19:3, follow [2] - 8:10, 14:12 expert [1] - 6:17 3:4, 12:7, 12:24, improper [5] - 12:19, 19:11 **FOR** [1] - 1:5 explanation [1] - 8:8 13:19, 14:24, 15:1, 13:5, 13:12, 17:12, dissent [4] - 5:24, 6:3, foregoing [1] - 21:10 expressly [4] - 12:13, 16:20, 17:7, 17:10 6:7, 9:22 20.9 frankly [3] - 6:4, 8:9, 12:17, 17:25, 18:1 Keystone's [5] -IN [2] - 1:4, 1:5 dissented [1] - 6:5 18:25 extent [1] - 16:4 11:25, 13:1, 13:5, **DO**[1] - 21:8 inaction [1] - 6:19 fully [1] - 18:21 13:13, 16:25 inappropriate [1] -**DOCKET** [1] - 1:5 F Kristen [1] - 1:16 16:14 Docket [11] - 3:4, 5:19, G **KRISTIE** [1] - 1:12 include [1] - 9:21 7:16, 8:2, 12:23, Fact [16] - 3:9, 11:5, included [3] - 5:18, 12:24, 13:2, 13:3, L **gain** [1] - 15:19 12:1, 12:5, 12:13, 8:2, 8:6 15:8, 16:5, 20:19 **GARY** [1] - 1:13 including [1] - 9:17 12:17, 12:20, 13:11, done [2] - 7:18, 17:14 given [1] - 16:7 13:18, 15:8, 15:12, inclusion [1] - 6:23 duly [1] - 21:8 language [1] - 17:20 govern [1] - 20:3 15:13, 18:11, 18:16, indicated [1] - 3:6 duly-appointed [1] last [2] - 3:13, 4:5 18:22, 19:9 governing [2] - 12:14, indicates [1] - 12:19 latitude [1] - 5:22 fact [8] - 8:5, 8:12, 13:9 individually [2] - 4:22, during [3] - 6:22, 7:14, law [2] - 18:11, 18:16 grant [3] - 3:8, 16:12, 12:6, 12:17, 16:7, 7:18 Law [15] - 3:10, 11:6, 17:15 16:8, 16:25, 17:25 inequitable [1] - 13:12 duties [1] - 8:5 12:1, 12:5, 12:13, Greg [1] - 1:17 fails [1] - 9:13 information [1] - 7:25 12:20. 13:11. 13:18. guide [1] - 14:13 **fall** [1] - 8:11 informative [1] - 18:2 Ε 15:9, 15:12, 15:13, **Gustafson** [1] - 1:21 favor [6] - 4:8, 5:7, initial [2] - 12:2, 15:19 16:12, 18:15, 18:23, 9:5, 10:6, 10:21, input [1] - 18:24 19:9 East [1] - 2:3 19:12 Н intended [1] - 4:2 lengthy [1] - 15:6 **EDWARDS** [1] - 16:17 FIEGEN [9] - 1:12, intending [1] - 15:19 line [1] - 20:17 **Edwards** [1] - 1:16 4:13, 5:12, 9:11, intent [1] - 18:3 logic [2] - 8:10, 8:11 handicapped [1] -

look [2] - 15:25, 18:23 Order [2] - 12:4, 14:15 Realtime [2] - 21:6, nature [1] - 12:11 procedural [1] - 14:12 Lorena [1] - 1:20 nay [7] - 4:9, 5:8, 9:7, **ORDER** [1] - 1:5 Procedure [1] - 15:10 21:19 10:7, 10:9, 10:22, reasons [1] - 6:24 **LP** [2] - 1:5, 3:2 order [3] - 3:3, 12:4, procedure [3] - 19:1, 19.14 20:14 rebuttal [1] - 16:22 20:11, 20:13 need [2] - 3:22, 10:1 receive [1] - 13:14 ordered [1] - 12:6 proceedings [4] -M **NELSON** [41] - 1:12, 12:11, 14:11, 14:13, own [7] - 7:23, 13:6, recommend [1] -3:1, 3:22, 4:7, 4:12, 15:13, 16:19, 17:22, 21:10 20:12 majority [2] - 3:24, 5:1 4:14, 4:24, 5:4, 5:11, 18:22, 20:10 PROCEEDINGS [1] reconsider [4] - 3:7, Matt [2] - 11:12, 11:14 5:13, 5:20, 8:7, 9:2, 2.1 3:12, 3:23, 4:4 matter [3] - 2:2, 3:1, 9:10, 9:12, 9:24, Proceedings [1] - 1:8 reconsideration [3] -12:3 10:4, 10:10, 10:12, 3:18, 4:25 process [3] - 8:17, MATTER [1] - 1:4 10:16, 10:25, 11:2, 15:5, 15:21 reconsidering [1] -MCCOMSEY [1] - 21:5 part [3] - 3:25, 5:24, 11:11, 11:14, 11:17, Professional [2] -4:8 6:7 McComsey [2] - 1:24, 11:22, 13:21, 14:4, 21:6. 21:18 record [4] - 13:14, 21:17 participated [1] - 7:16 14:17, 14:23, 16:15, prohibit [2] - 17:22, 13:19, 19:22, 20:7 meeting [5] - 3:13, particular [2] - 5:25, 16:21, 17:17, 18:6, 18:16 **Recorded** [1] - 1:8 4:5, 7:5, 8:21, 20:19 20:19 18:12, 18:18, 19:3, prohibited [2] - 7:24, **RECORDED** [1] - 2:1 meetings [1] - 8:20 parties [4] - 12:2, 19:17, 19:19, 19:24, 20:2 **recording** [1] - 21:9 12:16, 15:14, 18:21 mention [1] - 12:4 20:16 **prohibition** [1] - 17:25 refrain [1] - 13:10 party [5] - 7:15, 8:19. mentioned [3] - 12:13, Nelson [7] - 4:14, **prohibits** [1] - 19:8 regard [1] - 20:18 8:25. 17:5. 19:8 12:18, 17:10 5:13, 9:12, 10:12, proper [3] - 13:8, 17:3, regarding [2] - 5:15, passed [3] - 3:13, minority [2] - 3:20, 11:2, 19:19, 19:21 17:6 7:9 3:23, 4:4 4:20 never [2] - 8:12, 8:16 proposal [1] - 16:19 **Registered** [2] - 21:5, Patrick [1] - 1:20 Moore [2] - 14:24, newspapers [1] - 6:16 Proposed [6] - 13:4, 21:18 Paulson [1] - 1:19 15:1 none [5] - 5:7, 9:5, 13:13, 15:16, 15:18, regularly [1] - 7:4 MOORE [1] - 14:25 permissible [1] - 20:4 10:21, 18:8, 19:12 16:3 regulated [1] - 12:14 Permit [1] - 3:3 Moore's [1] - 16:24 Notary [2] - 21:7, proposed [15] - 3:9, Reichert [1] - 1:20 **PERMIT** [1] - 1:5 Motion [38] - 3:8, 3:9, 21:18 11:5, 11:25, 12:4, relying [1] - 17:21 personally [1] - 8:23 3:12, 3:23, 4:15, **nothing** [6] - 14:2, 12:7, 12:8, 12:12, remain [3] - 9:16, persons [2] - 6:12, 7:7 4:17, 4:23, 5:3, 5:4, 14:16, 16:11, 16:13, 12:17, 12:20, 12:22, 10:19, 13:14 5:6, 5:14, 5:15, 5:20, pertaining [3] - 3:16, 16:18 13:10, 13:18, 15:13, remainder [2] - 9:20, 6:2, 6:3, 7:2, 7:5, 4:5, 6:15 November [2] - 1:9, 16:8, 18:11 10.18 8:21, 9:6, 9:13, 9:18, 2:4 Peter [2] - 11:15, 14:1 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 remaining [1] - 4:22 10:1, 10:2, 11:3, **PETITION** [1] - 1:4 **Public** [3] - 20:3, 21:7, removing [1] - 20:12 11:4, 11:24, 14:20, 0 **Petition** [1] - 3:2 21:18 repeat [1] - 15:3 15:3, 16:13, 17:14, **phone** [1] - 11:8 purpose [2] - 9:20, Reported [1] - 1:24 17:15, 18:8, 18:10, Pierre [1] - 2:4 18:2 Reporter [4] - 21:6, objected [4] - 6:23, 18:12, 19:4, 19:12, **PIPELINE** [2] - 1:4, 1:6 purposes [1] - 19:25 21:18, 21:19 8:23, 16:2, 17:11 19:13, 19:20 Pipeline [2] - 3:2, 3:4 pursuant [1] - 19:5 reporter [1] - 21:9 obviously [1] - 15:4 motions [2] - 12:10, point [4] - 4:16, 9:25, represent [1] - 8:24 October [4] - 7:4, 20:18 15:14, 16:23 Q request [8] - 8:6, 7:11, 12:3, 16:9 move [12] - 3:7, 3:12, position [8] - 8:25, 12:21, 13:4, 17:2, OF [8] - 1:2, 1:4, 1:4, 3:18, 4:4, 4:21, 5:2, 14:6, 16:18, 16:20, 17:15, 18:5, 19:2, 1:5, 2:1, 21:1, 21:3 5:17, 9:15, 10:4, questioning [1] - 6:20 16:25, 17:1, 20:1, once [1] - 14:14 10:16, 10:18, 18:10 questions [3] - 3:5, 20:5 requested [5] - 6:24. one [3] - 10:2, 11:19, MR [10] - 11:10, 11:13, 17:18, 18:7 **possible** [1] - 6:9 12:6, 12:16, 12:18, 17:19 11:16, 13:25, 14:6, quickly [1] - 10:17 posthearing [1] - 12:2 12:25 one's [1] - 17:22 14:7, 14:19, 14:25, precisely [1] - 17:11 require [1] - 16:12 19:21, 19:25 Onida [1] - 21:11 R prejudiced [2] - 16:1, required [2] - 15:9, MS [5] - 11:20, 11:23, opening [1] - 16:3 17:4 15:22 16:17, 16:23, 17:24 operating [1] - 19:1 prejudicial [1] - 17:9 Rappold [2] - 11:12, resolve [1] - 3:5 operation [1] - 20:3 must [1] - 17:2 prepare [1] - 15:11 respectfully [2] - 8:6, 13:22 opportunity [10] -Myers [3] - 7:24, 8:5, present [2] - 7:15, 13:17 **RAPPOLD** [2] - 11:13, 5:23, 7:13, 8:14, 8.12 11:16 respond [2] - 15:15, 14:6 Myers' [3] - 3:17, 4:6, 9:14, 13:16, 15:15, presented [2] - 15:25, rarely [1] - 6:3 16:6, 17:5, 17:6, 5:18 20:8 responded [2] - 16:2, rather [3] - 17:13, 17:7 presenting [1] - 7:25 17:11 18:1, 20:13 Ν opposed [6] - 4:9, 5:8, pretty [1] - 10:17 response [2] - 15:2, read [1] - 6:7 9:7, 10:7, 10:22, prevented [1] - 6:19 17:13 really [1] - 16:10 19:13

previous [1] - 12:23

natural [1] - 15:20

rest [2] - 9:14, 9:15

Rezac [1] - 1:19 **Rislov** [1] - 1:17 Rock [1] - 14:2 Rolayne [1] - 1:15 room [1] - 8:15 Room [1] - 2:3 Rosebud [1] - 14:6 Rounds [1] - 1:18 routine [1] - 12:10 RPR [1] - 1:24 rule [6] - 17:20, 17:21, 17:24, 18:1, 18:3, 19:5 rules [7] - 12:12, 12:14, 12:18, 13:9, 14:12, 20:2, 20:10 Rules [1] - 15:10 Rural [2] - 14:8, 20:7

## S

scheduled [1] - 7:4 scholarly [1] - 6:16 **SDCL** [2] - 15:9, 15:11 Seamans [11] - 6:14. 6:20, 7:9, 7:12, 7:14, 7:17, 7:19, 7:21, 8:1, 8:12 section [1] - 15:9 see [3] - 10:15, 11:8, 15:23 seeing [2] - 9:5, 10:21 seeking [1] - 14:14 **September** [1] - 7:1 seven [1] - 7:1 several [1] - 11:24 shall [1] - 12:16 short [1] - 6:8 **shorthand** [1] - 21:9 signed [1] - 15:17 silent [1] - 18:2 simply [3] - 14:12, 16:23, 20:11 situation [1] - 14:10 Smith [1] - 1:15 South [6] - 2:2, 2:4, 16:12, 18:15, 21:7, 21:11 **SOUTH** [2] - 1:2, 21:1 specifically [2] -12:12, 12:15 **split** [1] - 3:25 **SS** [1] - 21:2 Staff [2] - 16:16, 16:18 **STAFF** [1] - 1:14 standard [3] - 18:25. 20:11, 20:13 Standing [1] - 14:2 State [2] - 2:2, 21:7

**STATE** [2] - 1:2, 21:1 statements [3] - 6:13, 7:7, 7:9 states [1] - 12:15 **status** [1] - 7:15 statute [1] - 18:15 **Steffenson** [1] - 1:20 still [2] - 8:13, 16:6 stricken [1] - 13:19 strictly [1] - 4:18 strike [5] - 3:9, 7:2, 11:5, 11:25, 18:10 **Strike** [3] - 7:5, 8:22, 17:14 **striking** [1] - 4:6 **submission** [3] - 13:8, 16:13, 17:3 submit [4] - 13:16, 15:12, 17:6, 17:8 submitted [7] - 12:8, 12:23, 15:2, 15:18, 16:3, 18:17, 19:8 substantive [2] -14:20, 17:13 **SULLY**[1] - 21:3 **sum** [1] - 14:10 **summarize** [1] - 15:4

# Т

**support** [2] - 13:1,

supports [2] - 14:3,

surprised [1] - 19:1

19:4

17:1

tempted [1] - 10:14 **THE** [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:6, 1:11 therefore [6] - 12:11, 13:1, 13:5, 13:17, 20:1. 20:4 they've [1] - 16:7 three [2] - 6:4, 6:5 **TO** [1] - 1:6 today [2] - 3:5, 8:22 train [1] - 8:11 TransCanada [2] -3:2, 14:11 TRANSCANADA [1] -1:4 transcribed [1] - 21:9 **Transcript** [1] - 1:8 TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1 true [1] - 7:11 two [2] - 6:5, 10:15

## U

unable [3] - 6:14, 7:8, 7:12 under [2] - 15:9, 15:10 unjust [2] - 13:12, 17:8 unlike [1] - 12:10 Utilities [1] - 20:3 UTILITIES [2] - 1:1, 1:11

## V

valid [1] - 13:7 VICE [1] - 1:12 volition [1] - 17:23 vote [11] - 3:21, 4:4, 4:8, 5:7, 9:20, 9:21, 10:6, 10:14, 10:15, 10:21, 19:13 votes [12] - 4:13, 4:14, 5:12, 5:13, 9:11, 9:12, 10:11, 10:12, 11:1, 11:2, 19:18, 19:19

#### W

wants [1] - 11:18

weeks [1] - 7:1 Wiest [1] - 1:15 withstanding [1] -12:5 witness [3] - 8:12, 8:13, 8:16 witnesses [1] - 6:17 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 21:17 WITTLER [1] - 21:5 works [1] - 6:17 written [3] - 5:24, 6:8, 15:2

### X

**XL** [5] - 1:6, 3:4, 6:10, 8:5, 12:23 **XL's** [1] - 7:25

#### Υ

**years** [1] - 6:6