
From: Nelson, Chris
Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 9:03 AM
To: 'Biewer, Christopher Jerome - SDSU Student'
Cc: Van Gerpen, Patty
Subject: RE: Keep pipelines and oil out of South Dakota

Christopher,

Thank you for your comments. They will be added to the docket file for both the Keystone XL and Dakota Access dockets for our consideration.

Sincerely,
Chris Nelson

001741

From: sam holland [REDACTED]

Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 8:18 AM

To: Nelson, Chris

Subject: hello and questions

Hi Chris:

I have read many articles re the Keystone pipeline project and still am unsure if it is a good thing for South Dakota. I usually don't comment or question decisions such as the one you will be making on this as you have the most information and I have confidence in agency authorities such as yours...

A couple of things I haven't heard and am wondering:

1. Is there a plan to ensure financing and implementation of new technology down the road which we are not aware of now that will enhance/maintain safety?
2. Is there any advantage or assurance that South Dakota will benefit **directly from the oil** movement other than the temporary construction jobs, property taxes etc.??
3. Is there a built in plan to ensure financing and implementation of a reclamation project 50-75 years in the future when the pipeline becomes obsolete or beyond useable function due to rust/ etc???

Thank you for your thoughts and continue the good work you do !!!

Sam

--

SD Holland DVM CB Buffalo Inc SDMDLLC Storage Holland Consulting Ph 605-295-2781

001742

From: PUC

Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 2:15 PM

Subject: KXL PIPELINE DOCKET, HP14-001

Dr. Holland:

Thank you for your message and concerns about the Keystone XL Pipeline.

As a commissioner adjudicating this open docket I can't answer your questions directly but can point you to the on-line resources with information on the docket. Since this is an open docket before the commission, your message to me and this response will be posted in the online docket, HP14-001:

<http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/hp14-001.aspx>

You may find the order issued by the commission for TransCanada's original application for a Keystone XL construction permit granted in 2010 helpful, given your questions. Here is a link to the HP09-001 docket's order (39 pages):

<http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/orders/hydrocarbonpipeline/2010/hp09-001c.pdf>

My fellow commissioners and I are still reviewing the current pipeline application so I am gathering information at this point, much like you are, and have yet to make a final decision or point to conclusions. I encourage you to follow along as the docket is processed and new information/filings are added. These documents may be of interest to you regarding the commission's process with this docket: <http://www.puc.sd.gov/Dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/keystoneupdate.aspx> and <http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/Publication/pipelinesiting.pdf>

Chris Nelson, Chairman

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

www.puc.sd.gov

001743

July 31, 2015

Public Utilities Comm,

In regards to the Keystone XL pipeline, I don't think we need this project.

I understand they don't have a very good history with 14 unreported spills. It appears they put profit before safety.

I understand they will use millions of gallons of water daily. This could drain our Ogallala aquifer. Then we'd be like the Californians - drinking recycled sewage water.

So, why do we need this project? I don't think we do.

Sincerely
Gladys Balbina
[Redacted]
Mitchell, S.D.
57301

RECEIVED

AUG 03 2015

SOUTH DAKOTA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION