BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITION OF TRANSCANADA KEYSTONE PIPELINE, LP FOR ORDER ACCEPTING CERTIFICATION OF PERMIT ISSUED IN DOCKET HP09-001 TO CONSTRUCT THE KEYSTONE XL PIPELINE

YANKTON SIOUX TRIBE'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS

HP14-001

COMES NOW Yankton Sioux Tribe, by and through Thomasina Real Bird with Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP, and hereby submits this *Reply* in support of it motion to dismiss the Petition filed by TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP ("Keystone") and the pending action for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted pursuant to SDCL 15-6-12(b)(5).

Keystone's Petition must be dismissed because the project for which the company seeks certification does not fall within the scope of the permit issued by the Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") in its HP09-001 *Amended Final Decision and Order* ("*Final Decision*") on June 29, 2010. The Yankton Sioux Tribe does not contend that any change in a project requires a new permit, or that the number of changes alone requires a new permit. Rather, the law mandates that if a project is not consistent with the findings of a particular permit, the permit does not authorize construction of that proposed project. When the Commission issues a permit, the Commission's findings constitute the parameters of its decision and the scope of the authority granted to the applicant to construct a project. If the any of the findings for a permit do not apply to a proposed project, that means the proposed project is not the same project authorized by the permit.

When the Commission issues an order granting a permit, it includes in that order its findings with respect to the proposed project. These findings are included in the order because

they are the basis for the Commission's decision to approve the permit. They encompass the information deemed material by the Commission to its decision. The Commission is required to "make complete findings in rendering a decision regarding whether a permit should be granted, denied, or granted upon such terms...as the commission deems appropriate." SDCL 49-41B-27. Moreover, the *Final Decision* issued by the Commission on June 29, 2010 states: "Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Commission hereby makes the following: CONCLUSIONS OF LAW." Amended Final Decision and Order; Notice of Entry at p. 24. This language expressly confirms that its legal conclusions – the legal rationale for its decision. The permit issued in HP-09-001 approves the project described in its findings of fact, and any proposed project inconsistent with those findings is a materially different project not authorized under the scope of that permit.

The fact that the Commission's findings are material to the permit and operate to define the scope of authority granted by the permit is further supported by the fact that any other interpretation of South Dakota law governing such permits would render meaningless the requirement that the Commission issue complete findings in its decision to grant a permit. As a matter of statutory construction, laws are presumed to have some meaning and purpose. SDCL 49-41B-24 would serve no purpose if SDCL 49-41B-27 (regarding certification) is interpreted in such a way that the Commission's findings have no bearing on the permit once the Commission issues the order approving the permit and adopting said findings. In order for SDCL 49-41B-27 to apply to a project, that project must be consistent with the basis for the Commission's decision to issue a permit which means that changes to a project cannot be inconsistent with the Commission's findings. Keystone's interpretation of the statutes is in fact illogical. Keystone has previously argued that "a proceeding under SDCL § 49-41B-27 is not a substitute for an appeal, and it is not an opportunity for the Intervenors to ask the Commission to reopen the permit, including the 50 conditions, or to reconsider its decision granting Keystone a permit to construct and operate the Project." Keystone's Motion to Define the Scope of Discovery Under SDCL § 49-41B-27 at p. 2. However, that is precisely what Keystone itself seeks to do by asking the Commission to amend its findings included in the permit. Keystone would not ask for amendments to the findings if the project as it is now proposed was consistent with those findings. A proceeding under SDCL § 9-41B-27 is no more an opportunity for Keystone to ask the Commission to reopen its decision with respect to findings than it is for Intervenors to ask the Commission to reopen its decision with respect to conditions. Because the project now proposed by Keystone would require that the Commission amend its findings in the *Final Decision*, and because South Dakota law prohibits an agency from reconsidering a final decision in a contested case, there is no lawful basis for Keystone's Petition and this matter must be dismissed as a matter of law.

Keystone's Petition must be dismissed because, based on the face of the *Petition* and its attachments, SDCL 47-41B-27 does not apply and the *Petition* contains no claim upon which relief can be granted. Appendix C to the *Petition*, which lists certain findings on which the Commission's decision to grant a permit was based as well as proposed changes necessary to make the findings consistent with the current project, shows that the project at issue is different from the project permitted in 2010. Because the currently proposed project is inconsistent with the basis for the Commission's decision to grant the permit, it falls outside the scope of SDCL 47-41B-27. Keystone's *Petition* must be dismissed pursuant to SDCL 15-6-12(b)(5) because it states no claim upon which relief can be granted.

WHEREFORE, Yankton Sioux Tribe respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss Keystone's *Petition* pursuant to SDCL 15-6-12(b)(5). Yankton Sioux Tribe further respectfully requests that the Commission permit oral argument on its *Motion to Dismiss* at the upcoming hearing consistent with the Parties' constitutional rights to due process.

Dated this 2nd day of January, 2015.

This Real Bial

Thomasina Real Bird, SD Bar No. 4415 FREDERICKS PEEBLES & MORGAN LLP 1900 Plaza Drive Louisville, Colorado 80027 Telephone: (303) 673-9600 Facsimile: (303) 673-9155 Email: <u>trealbird@ndnlaw.com</u> *Attorney for Yankton Sioux Tribe*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 2^{nd} day of January, 2015, the foregoing document on behalf of Yankton Sioux Tribe in Case Number HP 14-001, was filed on the Public Utilities Commission of the State of South Dakota e-filing website. Also on this day, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was transmitted via email (and/or US Mail 1st Class where indicated) to the following:

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen Executive Director South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us

Ms. Kristen Edwards Staff Attorney South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 <u>Kristen.edwards@state.sd.us</u>

Mr. Brian Rounds Staff Analyst South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 brian.rounds@state.sd.us

Mr. Darren Kearney Staff Analyst South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 <u>darren.kearney@state.sd.us</u>

Mr. James E. Moore - Representing: TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP Attorney Woods, Fuller, Shultz and Smith P.C. PO Box 5027 Sioux Falls, SD 57117 james.moore@woodsfuller.com

Mr. Bill G. Taylor - Representing: TransCanada Keystone Pipeline, LP Attorney Woods, Fuller, Shultz and Smith P.C. PO Box 5027 Sioux Falls, SD 57117 <u>bill.taylor@woodsfuller.com</u>

Mr. Paul F. Seamans 27893 249th St. Draper, SD 57531 jacknife@goldenwest.net

Mr. John H. Harter 28125 307th Ave. Winner, SD 57580 johnharter11@yahoo.com

Ms. Elizabeth Lone Eagle PO Box 160 Howes, SD 57748 <u>bethcbest@gmail.com</u> (605) 538-4224 - voice Serve both by email and US mail 1st Class postage paid

Mr. Tony Rogers Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Tribal Utility Commission 153 S. Main St. Mission, SD 57555 tuc@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov

Ms. Viola Waln PO Box 937 Rosebud, SD 57570 walnranch@goldenwest.net Ms. Jane Kleeb Bold Nebraska 1010 N. Denver Ave. Hastings, NE 68901 jane@boldnebraska.org

Mr. Benjamin D. Gotschall Bold Nebraska 6505 W. Davey Rd. Raymond, NE 68428 ben@boldnebraska.org

Mr. Byron T. Steskal & Ms. Diana L. Steskal 707 E. 2nd St. Stuart NE 68780 <u>prairierose@nntc.net</u>

Ms. Cindy Myers, R.N. PO Box 104 Stuart, NE 68780 csmyers77@hotmail.com

Mr. Arthur R. Tanderup 52343 857th Rd. Neligh, NE 68756 <u>atanderu@gmail.com</u>

Mr. Lewis GrassRope PO Box 61 Lower Brule, SD 57548 wisestar8@msn.com

Ms. Carolyn P. Smith 305 N. 3rd St. Plainview, NE 68769 peachie_1234@yahoo.com Mr. Robert G. Allpress 46165 Badger Rd. Naper, NE 68755 <u>bobandnan2008@hotmail.com</u>

Mr. Jeff Jensen 14376 Laflin Rd. Newell, SD 57760 jensen@sdplains.com

Mr. Louis T. Genung 902 E. 7th St. Hastings, NE 68901 tg64152@windstream.net

Mr. Peter Capossela, P.C. - Representing: Standing Rock Sioux Tribe Attorney at Law PO Box 10643 Eugene, OR 97440 pcapossela@nu-world.com

Ms. Nancy Hilding 6300 W. Elm Black Hawk, SD 57718 <u>nhilshat@rapidnet.com</u>

Mr. Gary F. Dorr 27853 292nd Winner, SD 57580 gfdorr@gmail.com

Mr. Bruce & Ms. RoxAnn Boettcher Boettcher Organics 86061 Edgewater Ave. Bassett, NE 68714 <u>boettcherann@abbnebraska.com</u> (402) 244-5348 - voice Ms. Wrexie Lainson Bardaglio 9748 Arden Rd. Trumansburg, NY 14886 wrexie.bardaglio@gmail.com

Mr. Cyril Scott President Rosebud Sioux Tribe PO Box 430 Rosebud, SD 57570 <u>cscott@gwtc.net</u> ejantoine@hotmail.com

Mr. Eric Antoine Attorney Rosebud Sioux Tribe PO Box 430 Rosebud, SD 57570 ejantoine@hotmail.com

Ms. Paula Antoine Sicangu Oyate Land Office Coordinator Rosebud Sioux Tribe PO Box 658 Rosebud, SD 57570 wopila@gwtc.net paula.antoine@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov

Mr. Chris Hesla South Dakota Wildlife Federation PO Box 7075 Pierre, SD 57501 <u>sdwf@mncomm.com</u>

Mr. Harold C. Frazier Chairman Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe PO Box 590 Eagle Butte, SD 57625 <u>haroldcfrazier@yahoo.com</u> Mr. Cody Jones 21648 US HWY 14/63 Midland, SD 57552 Served via US Mail 1st Class postage paid

Ms. Amy Schaffer PO Box 114 Louisville, NE 68037 amyannschaffer@gmail.com

Mr. Jerry Jones 22584 US HWY 14 Midland SD 57552 Served via US Mail 1st Class postage paid

Ms. Debbie J. Trapp 24952 US HWY 14 Midland, SD 57552 mtdt@goldenwest.net

Ms. Gena M. Parkhurst 2825 Minnewasta Place Rapid City, SD 57702 <u>gmp66@hotmail.com</u>

Ms. Joye Braun PO Box 484 Eagle Butte, SD 57625 jmbraun57625@gmail.com

Mr. Robert Flying Hawk Chairman Yankton Sioux Tribe PO Box 1153 Wagner, SD 57380 Robertflyinghawk@gmail.com

Ms. Thomasina Real Bird - Representing - Yankton Sioux Tribe Attorney Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP 1900 Plaza Dr. Louisville, CO 80027 Ms. Chastity Jewett 1321 Woodridge Dr. Rapid City, SD 57701 <u>chasjewett@gmail.com</u>

Mr. Douglas Hayes Sierra Club Ste. 102W 1650 38th St. Boulder, CO 80301 doug.hayes@sierraclub.org

Mr. Duncan Meisel 350.org 20 Jay St. #1010 Brooklyn, NY 11201 <u>duncan@350.org</u>

Ms. Sabrina King Dakota Rural Action 518 Sixth Street, #6 Rapid City, SD 57701 sabrina@dakotarural.org

Mr. Frank James Dakota Rural Action PO Box 549 Brookings, SD 57006 fejames@dakotarural.org

Mr. Bruce Ellison Attorney Dakota Rural Action 518 Sixth St. #6 Rapid City, SD 57701 belli4law@aol.com Mr. Tom BK Goldtooth Indigenous Environmental Network (IEN) PO Box 485 Bemidji, MN 56619 ien@igc.org

Mr. Dallas Goldtooth 38371 Res. HWY 1 Morton, MN 56270 goldtoothdallas@gmail.com

Mr. Ronald Fees 17401 Fox Ridge Rd. Opal, SD 57758 Served via US Mail 1st Class postage paid

Ms. Bonny Kilmurry 47798 888 Rd. Atkinson, NE 68713 jackiekilmurry@yahoo.com Also served via US Mail 1st Class postage paid

Mr. Robert P. Gough Secretary Intertribal Council on Utility Policy PO Box 25 Rosebud, SD 57570 bobgough@intertribalCOUP.org

Mr. Terry & Cheryl Frisch 47591 875th Rd. Atkinson, NE 68713 tcfrisch@q.com

Ms. Tracey Zephier - Representing: Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP Ste. 104 910 5th St. Rapid City, SD 57701 tzephier@ndnlaw.com Mr. Robin S. Martinez - Representing: Dakota Rural Action Martinez Madrigal & Machicao, LLC 616 W. 26th St. Kansas City, MO 64108 <u>robin.martinez@martinezlaw.net</u>

Ms. Mary Turgeon Wynne, Esq. Rosebud Sioux Tribe - Tribal Utility Commission 153 S. Main St Mission, SD 57555 tuc@rosebudsiouxtribe-nsn.gov

Mr. Matthew L. Rappold - Representing: Rosebud Sioux Tribe Rappold Law Office 816 Sixth St. PO Box 873 Rapid City, SD 57709 <u>Matt.rappold01@gmail.com</u>

Ms. April D. McCart - Representing: Dakota Rural Action Certified Paralegal Martinez Madrigal & Machicao, LLC 616 W. 26th St. Kansas City, MO 64108 april.mccart@martinezlaw.net

Mr. Paul C. Blackburn - Representing: Bold Nebraska Attorney 4145 20th Ave. South Minneapolis, MN 55407 paul@paulblackburn.net

Ms. Kimberly E. Craven - Representing: Indigenous Environmental Network (IEN) Attorney 3560 Catalpa Way Boulder, CO 80304 kimecraven@gmail.com

This Real Bial

Thomasina Real Bird, SD Bar No. 4415 FREDERICKS PEEBLES & MORGAN LLP 1900 Plaza Drive Louisville CO 80027 Telephone: (303) 673-9600 Facsimile: (303) 673-9155 Email: <u>trealbird@ndnlaw.com</u>

Attorney for Yankton Sioux Tribe