To: South Dakota Public Utilities Commission and intervenors in the Keystone XL permit hearings.

In response to Keystone's Motion to Define The Scope Of Discovery Under SDCL 49-41B:

As intervenvors we feel all the permitting conditions of the 2010 permitting process should be allowed in this permitting discovery process.

Furthermore with our increased energy production within the last 4 years since Keystone XL was first permitted and the lowering price of oil on the open market is another factor that proves this Tar Sands Product is not needed or can be used in the United States.

Why go through the permitting process again when there has not been a decision reached at the Federal level.

Part of this scope of discovery should include the problems that TransCanada has had and is still having with Keystone 1.

Terry and Cheri Frisch