BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE
APPLICATION OF DAKOTA ACCESS,
LLC FOR AN ENERGY FACILITY
PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE
DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE

STAFF'S BRIEF IN RESPONSE TO JOINT MOTION TO AMEND PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

HP14-002

COMES NOW, Staff ("Staff") of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission ("Commission") and hereby files this brief in response to the Joint Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule ("Motion") filed by Yankton Sioux Tribe, Rosebud Sioux Tribe, Indigenous Environmental Network, and Dakota Rural Action, and joined by parties represented by attorney Glenn Boomsma (collectively "Movants"). For the purposes of this response, all references to the Transcript refer to the Transcript of the Prehearing Scheduling Conference.¹

I. Argument and Authority

Movants request an amendment to the procedural schedule based on the failure of Dakota Access, LLC ("Dakota Access") to timely file responses to discovery requests, due May 1, 2015. As stated in its response to Yankton Sioux Tribe's Motion to Compel, Staff does not take a position with respect to the timeliness of Dakota Access' responses, other than to emphasize the need for strict adherence to the procedural schedule in matters such as this, where the Commission must operate within a statutory time frame. Staff, however, sent its discovery requests out in advance of the discovery request deadline and, therefore, received responses prior to May 1, 2015. Moreover, all parties were given an opportunity for input at the time the schedule was set.

¹ A copy of this transcript is available in the docket at http://www.puc.sd.gov/commission/dockets/HydrocarbonPipeline/2014/HP14-002/transcript030315.pdf.

Because this is in effect a request for a continuance, Staff analyzes this Motion in that context. Grant or denial of a motion for continuance is discretionary with the Commission. *Saastad v. Okeson*, 16 S.D. 377, 92 N.W. 1072. However, there are certain factors the Commission must consider when decided whether to grant a motion for continuance. These factors are:

whether the delay resulting from the continuance will be prejudicial to the opposing party; (2) whether the continuance motion was motivated by procrastination, bad planning, dilatory tactics or bad faith on the part of the moving party or his counsel;
the prejudice caused to the moving party by the trial court's refusal to grant the continuance; and (4) whether there have been any prior continuances or delays.

Meadowland Apartments v. Schumacher, 2012 S.D. 30, 813 N.W.2d 618. (quoting, State v. Moeller, 2000 S.D. 122, \P 8, 616 N.W.2d 424, 431). Staff relies on the first factor in its analysis, as the Motion does not appear to have been made upon poor planning, Movants have adequately addressed the third factor, and there have been no prior continuances. However, the first factor is the deciding factor in this case. Staff, as an opposing party, would be greatly prejudiced by a continuance in this matter. Staff, as well as all other parties and the Commission itself, is required to finalize its case within one year. As the schedule is currently set, the evidentiary hearing will conclude approximately nine weeks before the end of the one-year time period. In those nine weeks, parties are tasked with writing briefs and response briefs, if ordered by the Commission, and the Commission is tasked with rendering a decision and drafting an Order. Nine weeks is a short amount of time in which to accomplish this.

Movants further argue that parties will not receive responses to the final round of discovery until after pre-filed direct and rebuttal testimony are due. See Motion at ¶16. This statement is not only correct, but was contemplated during the conference call in which the

parties agreed upon the schedule. See Transcript 7-8. At that time, the parties felt that discovery should be on-going leading up to the evidentiary hearing to afford the opportunity to discover information necessary to respond at the evidentiary hearing to issues raised in pre-filed testimony. Therefore, this deliberate and agreed upon schedule does not render the final round of discovery moot, but allows for an important tool to acquire information and cross-examine witnesses at the hearing. No party is forced to engage in this continuing discovery should they prefer to conclude their discovery prior to pre-filed testimony deadlines. However, Staff would like the opportunity to utilize this fact-finding tool.

Movants next argue that the date to submit witness lists and exhibit lists in too close to the date final discovery is due. See Motion at ¶17. Again, this was done deliberately when the schedule was set. It makes little sense to submit witness lists prior to the conclusion of discovery, but it makes sense to allow time to evaluate witness lists and formulate cross-examination prior to the hearing. Therefore, a certain amount of time constraint is unavoidable. on one end or the other of the date witness and exhibit lists are due. If a party is concerned they will not have enough time to submit their witness or exhibit list subsequent to receiving final discovery answers, the best course of action is to submit final discovery early and, thus, receive final answers prior to September 21, 2015. As an example, in an effort to maximize economy of time, Staff submitted its first round of discovery well in advance of the initial due date and received responses prior to the May 1, 2015, deadline. It was contemplated during the scheduling conference that answer deadlines would be controlled either by the scheduled deadline or the thirty-day statutory deadline, whichever was earliest. See Transcript at 14:14-24.

Finally, Staff notes that not all of the parties who joined in this motion participated in the first round of discovery. See Motion at ¶13. If a party has delayed in their attempts to engage in

discovery, that party should bear some of the responsibility for any constraint they might encounter in attempting to bring discovery-related motions.

II. Conclusion

Because the legislature has mandated this docket be processed within one year from the date of filing, Staff does not believe the luxury of an expanded procedural schedule is available. Further, Movants have not provided a proposed schedule upon which Staff could comment, nor has the need for a continuance been analyzed within the context of the effect of the Commission's May 12 decision. Staff questions whether the amount of discovery compelled merits an amendment to the procedural schedule.

Staff notes that the discovery dates set-forth in the procedural schedule are established to prevent delays in the proceeding and parties can submit interrogatories earlier than the due dates. Staff encourages all parties to submit interrogatories as early as possible in order to facilitate timely completion of discovery.

Therefore, Staff respectfully requests this Motion be denied.

Dated this14th day of May, 2015.

ands

Kristen N. Edwards Staff Attorney South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF DAKOTA ACCESS, LLC FOR AN ENERGY FACILITY PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT THE DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

HP14-002

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of Staff's Brief in Response to Joint Motion to Amend Procedural Schedule and Certificate of Service were served electronically to the Parties listed below, on the 14th day of May, 2015, addressed to:

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen Executive Director South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us

Ms. Kristen Edwards Staff Attorney South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 Kristen.edwards@state.sd.us

Mr. Brian Rounds Staff Analyst South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 brian.rounds@state.sd.us

Mr. Darren Kearney Staff Analyst South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501 darren.kearney@state.sd.us

Mr. Brett Koenecke May, Adam, Gerdes and Thompson, LLP PO Box 160 Pierre, SD 57501 Brett@mayadam.net Ms. Kara Semmler May, Adam, Gerdes and Thompson, LLP PO Box 160 Pierre, SD 57501 kcs@magt.com

Mr. Tom Siguaw Senior Project Director - Engineering Dakota Access, LLC 1300 Main Street Houston, TX 77002 tom.siguaw@energytransfer.com

Mr. Keegan Pieper Associate General Counsel Dakota Access, LLC 1300 Main Street Houston, TX 77002 keegan.pieper@energytransfer.com

Mr. Stephen Veatch Senior Director - Certificates Dakota Access, LLC 1300 Main Street Houston, TX 77002 Stephen veatch@energytransfer.com

Mr. Joey Mahmoud Senior Vice President - Engineering Dakota Access, LLC 1300 Main Street Houston, TX 77002 Joey.mahmoud@energytransfer.com Mr. Jack Edwards Project Manager Dakota Access, LLC 4401 S. Technology Dr. South Suite Sioux Falls, SD 57106 Jack.edwards@energytransfer.com

Ms. Jennifer Guthmiller McPherson County Auditor PO Box 390 Leola, SD 57456 mcphersonaud@valleytel.net

Mr. Keith Schurr Edmunds County Auditor PO Box 97 Ipswich, SD 57451 Keith.schurr@state.sd.us

Ms. Kelly Toennies Faulk County Auditor PO Box 309 Faulkton, SD 57438 Kelly.toennies@state.sd.us

Ms. Theresa Hodges Spink County Auditor 210 E. Seventh Ave. Redfield, SD 57469 spinkcoauditor@nrctv.com

Ms. Jill Hanson Beadle County Auditor Suite #201 450 Third St. SW Huron, SD 57350 auditor@beadlesd.org

Ms. Jennifer Albrecht Kingsbury County Auditor PO Box 196 DeSmet, SD 57231 Jennifer.albrecht@state.sd.us

Ms. Susan Connor Miner County Auditor PO Box 86 Howard, SD 57349 minerauditor@minercountysd.org Ms. Roberta Janke Lake County Auditor 200 E. Center St. Madison, SD 57042 lakeauditor@lakecountysd.com

Ms. Geralyn Sherman McCook County Auditor PO Box 190 Salem, SD 57058 Geralyn.sherman@state.sd.us

Mr. Bob Litz Minnehaha County Auditor 415 N. Dakota Ave. Sioux Falls, SD 57104 blitz@minnehahacounty.org

Ms. Sheila Hagemann Turner County Auditor PO Box 370 Parker, SD 57053 turcoaud@iw.net

Ms. Marlene Sweeter Lincoln County Auditor 104 N. Main St. Canton, SD 57013 auditor@lincolncountysd.org

Ms. Lisa Schaefbauer Campbell County Auditor PO Box 37 Mound City, SD 57646 campbellcommission@yahoo.com

Ms. Karla Engle Special Assistant Attorney General South Dakota Department of Transportation 700 E. Broadway Ave. Pierre, SD 57501-2586 karla.engle@state.sd.us

Mr. Scott Pedersen Chairman Lake County 200 E. Center St. Madison, SD 57042 lakegovt@lakecountysd.com Mr. Chris S. Giles Attorney Lake County States Attorney 200 E. Center St. Madison, SD 57042 Chris.Giles@lakecountysd.com

Mr. Steve Harper General Manager WEB Water Development Association, Inc. PO Box 51 Aberdeen, SD 57402 <u>sharper@webwater.org</u>

Mr. Randy Kuehn 17940 389th Ave. Redfield, SD 57469 <u>rlkfarms@gmail.com</u>

Mr. Jim Schmidt Chairman Lincoln County Board of Commissioners 104 N. Main, Ste. 110 Canton, SD 57013-1703 <u>Auditor@lincolncountysd.org</u>

Mr. Michael F. Nadolski Attorney Lincoln County Ste. 200 104 N. Main Canton, SD 57077

Mr. Bret Merkle Merkle Law Firm PO Box 90708 Sioux Falls, SD 57109-0708 <u>bret@merklelaw.com</u>

Ms. Cindy Heiberger Commission Chairman Minnehaha County 415 N. Dakota Ave. Sioux Falls, SD 57104 <u>ciepsen@minnehahacounty.org</u>

Mr. Kersten Kappmeyer Attorney Minnehaha County 415 N. Dakota Ave. Sioux Falls, SD 57104 kkappmeyer@minnehahacounty.org Mr. Glenn J. Boomsma Attorney Breit Law Office, P.C. 606 E. Tan Tara Circle Sioux Falls, SD 57108 glenn@breitlawpc.com

Ms. Peggy A. Hoogestraat 27575 462nd Ave. Chancellor, SD 57015 gardengalpeggy@gmail.com

Ms. Joy A. Hohn 46178 263rd St. Hartford, SD 57033 <u>rinchohn@gmail.com</u>

Ms. Marilyn J. Murray 1416 S. Larkspur Trl. Sioux Falls, SD 57106 <u>murrayma1@sio.midco.net</u>

Mr. Larry A. Nelson Frieberg, Nelson and Ask, L.L.P. PO Box 38 Canton, SD 57013 Inelson@frieberglaw.com

Ms. Teresa Sidel City Administrator City of Hartford 125 N. Main Ave. Hartford, SD 57033 cityhall@hartfordsd.us

Ms. Linda Glaeser Manager Rocky Acres Land Investment, LLC 27324 91st Ave. E. Graham, WA 98338 Iglaeser@seattlecca.org Imglaeser@wwdb.org

Ms. Linda Goulet 27332 Atkins Pl. Tea, SD 57064 45Lgoulet@gmail.com Mr. Dale E. Sorenson Dale E. Sorenson Life Estate 45064 241st St. Madison, SD 57042 a77man@msn.com

Ms. Kimberly Craven 3560 Catalpa Way Boulder, CO 80304 kimecraven@gmail.com

Ms. Sabrina King Community Organizer Dakota Rural Action 518 Sixth Street, #6 Rapid City, SD 57701 sabrina@dakotarural.org

Mr. Frank James Staff Director Dakota Rural Action PO Box 549 Brookings, SD 57006 fejames@dakotarural.org

Ms. Debra K., Mr. Duane H. & Mr. Dennis S. Sorenson 24095 451st Ave. Madison, SD 57042 <u>stubbyfarmer@yahoo.com</u>

Mr. Douglas Sorenson 24095 451st Ave. Madison, SD 57042 <u>plowboy@svtv.com</u>

Mr. William Haugen Haugen Investments LP PO Box 545 Hartford, SD 57033 wh401889@hotmail.com

Mr. Phillip Fett PO Box 572 Lennox, SD 57039 vonfett529@gmail.com

Ms. Shirley M. Oltmanns 26576 466th Ave. Sioux Falls, SD 57106 ssoltm@gmail.com Mr. Bradley F. Williams 1044 Overlook Rd. Mendota Heights, MN 55118 <u>bwilliams@bestlaw.com</u>

Mr. Craig L. & Ms. Dotta-Jo A. Walker 733 NE 15th St. Madison, SD 57042 court_walker@hotmail.com

Mr. Kevin J. Schoffelman 712 W. Fourth Ave. Lennox, SD 57039 klschoff@outlook.com

Ms. Diane Best Attorney City of Sioux Falls 224 W. Ninth St. Sioux Falls, SD 57117-7402 dbest@siouxfalls.org

Mr. Charles J. Johnson 45169 243rd St. Madison, SD 57042 <u>c-bjohnson@svtv.com</u>

Ms. Janice E. Petterson 6401 S. Lyncrest Ave., Apt. 307 Sioux Falls, SD 57108 grmjanp@sio.midco.net

Ms. Corliss F. Wiebers 607 S. Elm St. PO Box 256 Lennox, SD 57039 wiebersco@gmail.com

Mr. Paul A Nelsen 46248 W. Shore Pl. Hartford, SD 57033 paul@paulnelsenconstruction.com

Mr. Paul F. Seamans 27893 244th St. Draper, SD 57531 jacknife@goldenwest.net

Mr. John Wellnitz 305 A St. Osceola, SD 57353 johnwellnitz@gmail.com

Mr. Lorin L. Brass 46652 278th St. Lennox, SD 57039 brass@iw.net

Mr. Tom Goldtooth Executive Director Indigenous Environmental Network ien@igc.org

Mr. Dallas Goldtooth Community Organizer Indigenous Environmental Network goldtoothdallas@gmail.com

Mr. Matthew L. Rappold Rappold Law Office 816 Sixth St. PO Box 873 Rapid City, SD 57709 Matt.rappold01@gmail.com

Ms. Paula Antoine RST-Sicangu Oyate Land Office PO Box 658 Rosebud, SD 57570 wopila@gwtc.net Mr. Royal Yellow Hawk RST- Sicangu Lakota Treaty Office PO Box 430 Rosebud, SD 57570 yellowhawkroyal@yahoo.com

Ms. Thomasina Real Bird Attorney Fredericks Peebles & Morgan LLP 1900 Plaza Dr. Louisville, CO 80027 trealbird@ndnlaw.com

Ms. Mavis A. Parry 3 Mission Mtn. Rd. Clancy, MT 59634 <u>mavisparry@hotmail.com</u>

Ms. Margo D. Northrup Attorney Riter, Rogers, Wattier & Northrup LLP PO Box 280 Pierre, SD 57501-0280 <u>m.northrup@riterlaw.com</u>

And on May 14, 2015, a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was mailed via U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, to the following:

Delores Andreessen Assid c/o Laurie Kunzelman 3604 E. Woodsedge St. Sioux Falls, SD 57108 Mr. Orrin E. Geide 46134 263rd St. Hartford, SD 57033

Mr. John Stratmeyer 46534 272nd St. Tea, SD 57064

MADDE

Kriśten N. Edwards Staff Attorney South Dakota Public Utilities Commission 500 East Capitol Pierre, SD 57501

