

---

**From:** PUC

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 4:53 PM

**To:** [REDACTED]

**Subject:** HP14-002

Mr. Wildeboer:

Thank you for your message regarding the Dakota Access Pipeline. Your message will be posted in the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission's formal pipeline siting docket, HP14-002, under Comments and Responses. Since this is an open docket before the commission, any correspondence involving a commissioner must be posted in the open, public docket so that other commissioners and all parties to the case have access to it.

Chairman Chris Nelson

South Dakota Public Utilities Commission

[www.puc.sd.gov](http://www.puc.sd.gov)

001717



Chris Nelson, Chairperson  
Kristie Fiegen, Vice Chairperson  
Gary Hanson, Commissioner

*South Dakota*

**PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION**

500 East Capitol Avenue  
Pierre, South Dakota 57501-5070  
[www.puc.sd.gov](http://www.puc.sd.gov)

Capitol Office  
(605) 773-3201

Grain Warehouse  
(605) 773-5280

Consumer Hotline  
1-800-332-1782

Email  
[puc@state.sd.us](mailto:puc@state.sd.us)

February 4, 2015

Peggy Hoogestraat

Chancellor, SD 57015-5712

Dear Ms. Hoogestraat:

Thank you for your letter. It will be added to the Dakota Access Pipeline docket, HP14-002, along with this response.

You will find the docket online at [www.puc.sd.gov](http://www.puc.sd.gov) by clicking on Commission Actions, Commission Dockets, Hydrocarbon Pipeline Dockets, 2014 Hydrocarbon Pipeline Dockets, and HP14-002. Because commissioners have a decision-making role in docket matters, any discussion with a commissioner about an open docket must take place in an open, public forum. By placing your comments in the docket file, other commissioners and interested parties will have access to your comments for consideration.

Enclosed is a document from the home page of the commission's web site titled Pipeline Siting Info Guide which explains the processing of pipeline siting permit requests which may be helpful to you. I have also enclosed a Dakota Access Pipeline Frequently Asked Questions document from the site which may be of interest.

Sincerely,

Chris Nelson

-----  
From:

[REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 9:59:13 AM

To: PUC

Subject: pipeline.docx

Auto forwarded by a Rule

This is the letter that was supposed to be submitted.

001719

Feb 3, 2015

Members of the SD PUC:

I am writing to tell you of my displeasure concerning the pipeline that is proposed to run through Minnehaha County. I heard of this particular pipeline by a neighbor not long ago. I was told it was to run on the property that is adjoining to mine. I had a hard time believing this but went around and asked several of the neighbors in my area. Imagine my surprise when they all had received a certified letter but me. I obtained a card from a Kyle Acord from Dakota Access. He told me he wanted to get on my property to do some surveying. I was angry by this time that this was all so secretive, especially when it was practically in my back yard. I told him in no uncertain terms that he was not allowed access in any way. I then asked why I was singled out as the only one not getting notice. He told me that the PUC sends out the notifications, and if I hadn't gotten one, you did not think it was worth sending me any information. I highly doubt you send out notices of this nature, if I am wrong please correct me.

I did some research on pipeline accidents and was not surprised to find 20 pages of incidents (small print) consisting of 340 separate events from 2000 until now. What surprised me was how the numbers jumped significantly in the past 3-4 years. Many of these had leaks for quite some time before they were discovered. Many were due to corrosion, and no matter what the representatives of the pipeline say, these pipes will not last forever. I am concerned by the shallow depth of the proposed pipeline, and the heavy equipment that will be traveling over it. In my particular case, the neighbor that I heard has approved the pipeline through his land put a large culvert in his yard next to my land. Any time there is any rainfall; this is the path for runoff. What he has done has created a large volume of water that rushes down to my small culvert and backs up. This has caused my basement to flood several times. There was no reason for him to construct this pathway; the water has never affected anyone but me. The county says they won't do anything about it unless he has changed the path of the water. I certainly don't have the money to tear out the road and put in a larger culvert and am plenty irritated he could be that inconsiderate and not even check who this disturbs downstream. As you can see, logic dictates if there is any kind of incident with oil, it is my house that will be filling up with this toxic substance. My house and property would be ruined for good. I understand since the pipeline is not on my property it would be my problem. No matter what the representatives say, taking into consideration the deceit and dishonesty they have displayed so far, I don't trust a thing they say about taking care of it. What would I do with a house full of crude oil??

This also leads me to the evidence that there is such runoff of water that the shallow burial of this pipeline would go to a matter of inches within a few heavy rainfalls. There is also a consistently wet area on the corner of my property that makes me think there is a spring of some sort under it. Even in dry years, it is always muddy there. Just these few facts should be enough to sway any support of this pipeline.

I also do not understand how or why anyone would ever think a pipeline running next to a heavily used lake close to Sioux Falls would be a good idea. If there is any spill, that lake would be unusable for years, probably decades. It would kill the fish and permanently ruin the habitat. I also know there are cancer

001720

causing agents that would affect many people in the water supply. In the Montana oil spill, the oil sheen was spotted as far downstream as 25 miles.

In the 15 years I have lived in this area, there have been many new houses constructed. This is close to a bedroom community for Sioux Falls. You also have the fact that this runs through the Tea and Harrisburg areas, and it doesn't take a genius to know all directions around Sioux Falls are becoming more populated.

I know in the meeting they claimed to have never told any person that they would use eminent domain. My neighbor to the east, Penny Hannisch, said Kyle told her she may as well grant him access to her property because they would take it anyway in that manner. He told me there were so many pipelines running under my land another one wouldn't make a big difference. I again highly doubt their integrity. I feel they will use any such devious methods to get what they want, then will move on and leave us with the mess to clean up. It was very obvious at the meeting almost no one was in favor of this plan, and there were far too many "I don't know" answers from them. I don't trust them and want you to know how vehemently opposed I am to this venture.

If you refer to the Argus Leader, Sunday, Jan 18 article concerning this pipeline, it quotes "It is OK to be skeptical of what those proposing the pipeline claim. A 2011 Argus Leader story showed that the eastern South Dakota Keystone pipeline wasn't raising as much tax revenue for local governments as officials had originally stated."

Why would anyone choose to have this potential disaster here? As I told Mr. Acord, you have miles and miles of farmland that no one is living on and probably won't for many years. The population of SD is coming to Sioux Falls and surrounding communities and leaving rural areas. I DO NOT condone putting this pipeline in at all but if you consider it necessary, please keep it away from all the families here.

I have faith you will look out for the safety of all concerned citizens in the pathway of this venture. I implore you to deny the pipeline to this company and save the pristine nature of our beautiful land.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Fromm



Hartford SD 57033

-----  
**From:** Jesse Johnson[[SMTP:JESSEJ@SDCORN.ORG](mailto:SMTP:JESSEJ@SDCORN.ORG)]

**Sent:** Wednesday, February 04, 2015 3:07:55 PM

**To:** PUC

**Subject:** Docket: HP14-002

**Auto forwarded by a Rule**

Dear Chairman Nelson,

I am submitting the attached letter on behalf of Keith Alverson, president of the South Dakota Corn Growers Association.

Please add these comments to Docket: HP14-002, the Dakota Access pipeline permit.

Thanks,

**Jesse Johnson**

**South Dakota Corn**

4712 S. Technopolis Drive

Sioux Falls, SD 57106

Office: (605) 334.0100

Cell: (605) 949.1279


Email: [jessej@sdcorn.org](mailto:jessej@sdcorn.org)

Web: [sdcorn.org](http://sdcorn.org)

Twitter: [@sdcorn](https://twitter.com/sdcorn)

[Download the new SD Corn Alerts App](#)

001722



A G R O W I N G I N F L U E N C E

South Dakota Corn Growers Association  
4712 S. Technopolis Drive  
Sioux Falls, SD 57106

February 4, 2015

Chris Nelson, Chairman  
Public Utilities Commission  
500 E. Capitol Ave.  
Pierre, SD 57501

Re: Docket: HP 14-002

Dear Chairman Nelson,

I am writing you and your fellow commissioners today to voice the support of the South Dakota Corn Growers Association towards the approval of a pipeline permit for the Dakota Access crude oil pipeline project.

While our organization strongly supports the production, use and development of petroleum alternatives such as biofuels and wind energy, we understand the important role that oil plays in our country and believe this project will make America more energy secure and independent.

The proposed Dakota Access pipeline makes a great deal of economic sense as it will undoubtedly relieve the railcar backlog and lessen the cost of rail shipments, ensuring that farmers, ethanol plants and grain handlers have timely and affordable rail access. These stakeholders depend mightily on fair and adequate rail service to ensure that millions of bushels of grain can be moved to market in a timely manner without spoil.

When it comes to the environment, the transportation of oil is never completely safe, but statistics show that modern pipelines are a much safer method to transport volatile crude oil than trucks and trains.

With that said, we are concerned about the many miles of farmland, drainage systems and water bodies that would be affected by this project and hope that your commission and Dakota Access, LLC will provide a fair and transparent process for those affected.

I appreciate the opportunity to share our organization's support for this project and trust that the commission will make a sound decision in doing what is best for the people of South Dakota.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Keith Alverson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with a long horizontal stroke at the end.

Keith Alverson  
President  
South Dakota Corn Growers Association  
4712 S. Technopolis Drive  
605-334-0100  
[kalver@itctel.com](mailto:kalver@itctel.com)