South Dakota Public Utilities Commission HP14-002 In the Matter of the Application of Dakota Access, LLC for an Energy Facility Permit to Construct the Dakota Access Pipeline- Evidentiary Hearing October 8, 2015, 8:00 a.m.

Room 414, State Capitol, 500 E. Capitol Ave., Pierre, SD Please Print Legibly

Person	Representing/Company/Agency		
Derric	Iles PENR Geological Survey J. Hooguthant Landowner	Vermillion SD	
(Noria	I. Hosquitant Landowner	- Coloneller	- S.D
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
···			
·			

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
=======================================
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION HP14-002
OF DAKOTA ACCESS, LLC FOR AN ENERGY FACILITY PERMIT TO CONSTRUCT
THE DAKOTA ACCESS PIPELINE
Transcript of Hearing September 29, 2015 through October 9, 2015
October 8, 2015 Volume VII
Pages 1703-1989
=======================================
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER
RICHARD SATTGAST, ACTING COMMISSIONER
COMMISSION STAFF
Rolayne Ailts Wiest Kristen Edwards
Kristen Edwards Karen Cremer Brian Rounds
Greg Rislov
Darren Kearney Tina Douglas
Katlyn Gustafson
Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	Brett Koenecke and Kara Semmler, Dakota Access
4	Glenn Boomsma, Intervenors
5	Kimberly Craven, Indigenous Environmental Network and Dakota Rural Action
6	Thomasina Real Bird and Jennifer Baker, Yankton Sioux Tribe
7	Matt Rappold, Rosebud Sioux Tribe
8	Diane Best, City of Sioux Falls
9	Margo Northrup, SD Association of Rural Water Systems
10	Kristen Edwards and Karen Cremer, PUC Staff
11	
12	
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
14	above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol
15	Building, Room 414, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre,
16	South Dakota, on the 8th day of October, 2015.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		I N D E X	
2	DAPL	EXHIBITS	PAGE
3		Application Exhibits A of Application	61 62
4	3 -	Exhibit B of Application Exhibit C of Application	62 63
5		Exhibit D of Application	64
6	6 –	Sunoco Pipeline L.P. Facility Response Plan, DAPL North Response Zone	525
7	7 –	Energy Transfer Co., DAPL SD Spill	
		Unanticipated Discoveries Plan	2170
8		9/8/15 Correspondence from SD State Historical Society	
9		SD SHPO Trenching Approval 6/5/15 Mahmoud Direct	7 4 8 5 9
10	31 -	Frey Direct	263
11		Edwards Direct and Exhibits Howard Direct	3 0 0 4 0 4
т т		Stamm Direct	524
12		Rorie Direct	1903
13		Mahmoud Rebuttal and Exhibits Frey Rebuttal (Attached Exhibits A and	1928 2133
14	38 -	B denied) Howard Rebuttal	2149
1 -		DeJoia Rebuttal	1872
15		Jack Edwards Resume Centerline from Residence	299 364
16		Level III Intensive Cultural Resources Survey - Volume I (Confidential)	
17	46 -	Level III Intensive Cultural Resources Survey - Volume II (Confidential)	812
18	47 -	Level III Intensive Cultural Resources Survey - Volume III (Confidential)	812
19	48 -	Level III Intensive Cultural Resources Survey - Volume IV (Confidential)	812
20	49 -	Level III Intensive Cultural Resources Survey - Volume V (Confidential)	812
21		DAPL Centerline from Structure and Maps	1907
22		Pipeline Infrastructure Map Sioux Falls Area Pipeline	1942 1944
		Infrastructure Map	
23		James River HDD Maps (Confidential) Sioux Falls, Tea, Harrisburg, Hartford	2159 1966
24		Routing Meetings	
25	55 -	Meetings with Public Officials	1971

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	IEN AND DRA EXHIBITS	PAGE
	IEN AND DRA EXHIBITS	
3	1 - Deville Rebuttal 2 - Win Young Rebuttal	1863 1529
4	3 - 4/8/15 Standing Rock Letter to Army Corps	1530
5	4 - 2/18/15 Standing Rock Letter to Army Corps	1530
6	5 - 2/25/15 Standing Rock Emails and Letter to Army Corps	1530
7	6 - 2/17/15 Army Corps Letter	1531 627
8	7 - Capossela Expert Rebuttal 8 - Capossela Resume	627
9	9 - Lake Oahe Pool Duration Relationship 10 - Missouri River Mainstem Reservoirs	627 627
10	Surplus Water Reports Summary 11 - U.S. Army Corps Missouri River Basin	627
11	Mainstem and Tributary Reservoirs Bulletin	
12	12 - Goldtooth Rebuttal 13 - (Denied)	1834
13	PUC STAFF EXHIBITS	PAGE
14	1 - Kearney Testimony and Exhibits	
15	2 - Walsh Testimony and Exhibit 3 - McIntosh Testimony and Exhibits	698 707 884
16	4 - Kirschenmann Testimony and Exhibit 5 - Iles Testimony and Exhibit 6 - Olson Testimony and Exhibit	1801 743
17	7 - Houdyshell Testimony and Exhibit 8 - Bailey Testimony and Exhibit	1599 1670
18	9 - McFadden Testimony and Exhibit 10 - Shelly Testimony and Exhibit	1561 957
19	11 - Nickel Testimony and Exhibit 13 - Thornton Testimony and Exhibit	1737 1641
20	15 - Young Testimony and Exhibit	1727
21	16 - Ledin Testimony and Exhibit 17 - Timpson Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibit	1731 1642
22	<pre>18 - Applicant's 8/24/15 Response to Staff Discovery Request 3 (Confidential)</pre>	674
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	INTERVENORS EXHIBITS	PAGE
3	<pre>I1 - Anderson Testimony I2 - R. Arends, A. Arends, Bacon, and</pre>	1342 1428
4	Fines-Tracy Testimony I3 - Assid Testimony	1408
5	<pre>14 - Geide Testimony 15 - Goulet Testimony</pre>	1221 1177
6	17 - Rod and Joy Hohn Testimony 18 - Hoogestraat Rebuttal and Exhibits	1236
7	<pre>19 - Hoogestraat Testimony and Exhibits 110 - Kunzelman Testimony</pre>	1309
8	I11 - Moeckly Testimony I12 - Murray Testimony	1386
9	I13 - Oltmanns Testimony I16 - Petterson Testimony	1371 1169
10	I17 - Schoffelman Testimony I18 - Sibson Rebuttal	1086
11	I20 - Nancy Stofferahn Testimony	1286
12	I22 - Thomas Stofferahn Testimony I23 - Top Testimony	1136 1102
13	I24 - Wiebers Testimony I25 - Dakota Access Pipeline's Final Offer	1375
14	Letters (only page 1 accepted) I26 - Civ.15-138 - Order Granting Motion to	
15	Dismiss and Denying Motion for Preliminary Injunction	
16	<pre>I27 - Civ.15-138 - Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law</pre>	1086
17	I30 - Civ.15-341 - Summons I31 - Civ.15-341 - Verified Petition for	1086 1086
18	Condemnation I32 - Sibson Testimony	1200
19	I43 - Photos I44 - Photos taken by Joy Hohn	1323 1251
20	I45 & I45L - Photos taken by Kunzelman I46J- Photos taken by Joy Hohn	1276 1243
21	I47P- Photos of Schoffelman Farm I50 - County Meetings (Denied)	1167
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	ROSEBUD SIOUX TRIBE EXHIBITS	PAGE
3	12 - Sprague's pipit Conservation Plan 16 - Topeka shiner Management Plan 17 - U.S. Fish & Wildlife Services Revised	924 916 926
5 6	Recovery Plan of the pallid sturgeon 18 - U.S. Fish & Wildlife Services Pallid Sturgeon Five-Year Review Summary and Evaluation	927
7	22 - Chapter 2 - The Districts 26 - Western Prairie Fringed Orchid Five-Year Review Summary and Evaluation	928 926
8	CITY OF SIOUX FALLS EXHIBITS	PAGE
10 11	A - Municipal Growth Areas Map D - Lewis & Clark Regional Water System E - Lewis & Clark Regional Water System Invoice	82 1479 1488
12	SDARWS EXHIBITS	PAGE
13 14	1 - Easement Agreement 2 - Map and Drawing 3 - Zulkosky Testimony	1463 1462 1461
15	YANKTON SIOUX TRIBE EXHIBITS	PAGE
16 17	6 - Cooke Rebuttal 7 - Spotted Eagle Rebuttal 8 - Spotted Eagle BIO 9 - Appendix A, 24 Ind. Cl. Comm. 208	1064 1050 1031 1050
18	Map of Yankton Aboriginal Title Lands 10 - DAPL South Dakota Vicinity Map 11 - Saunsoci Rebuttal	1050 1921
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	DAPL WITNESSES	PAGE
3	JOEY MAHMOUD Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	55
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	65 84
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	116 140
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Ms. Best	154
7	Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	158 181
8	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	188
9	Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	202
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Recross-Examination by Ms. Baker	220 231
11	Recross-Examination by Ms. Northrup Recross-Examination by Ms. Best	237 239
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	243 250
13	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	253 255
14	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	258 259
15		
16	CHUCK FREY Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker	260 263
17	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	271 280
18	Examination by Ms. Claven Examination by Commissioner Hanson Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	289 292
19	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	294
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	DAPL WITNESSES	PAGE
3	JACK EDWARDS	0.0.6
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup	296 301 320
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	324 339
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	357
7	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast	358 365 372
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	374
9	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven Recross-Examination by Ms. Baker Recross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	376 378 379
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke	379
11	MONICA HOWARD Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	393
12	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker	404
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	419 463
14	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	481 482 483
15	Examination by Commissioner Hanson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Recross-Examination by Ms. Baker	487 790
16	Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	491 495
17	Recross Examinación by 115. Claven	193
18	TODD STAMM Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	523
19	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	528 546
20	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	5 6 6 5 6 8
21	Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Examination by Chairman Nelson	568 572 575
22	Examination by Charlman Nerson Examination by Commissioner Hanson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast	578 584
23	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	588 594
24	Recross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Redirect Examination by Mr. Koenecke	596
25	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	607

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	IEN AND DRA WITNESSES	PAGE
3	PETER CAPOSSELA Direct Examination by Ms. Craven	621
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Claven Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	648 651
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	662
6	WASTE WIN YOUNG Direct Examination by Ms. Craven	1529
7	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1537
8	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1551 1552
9	Redirect Examination by Ms. Craven Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1553 1555
10	Recross Examinación by 115. Demmier	1000
11	DALLAS GOLDTOOTH Direct Examination by Ms. Craven	1828
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1849 1850
13	Examination by Chairman Nelson Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1856 1857
14 15	STAFF WITNESSES	PAGE
16	DARREN KEARNEY Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	668
17	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	676 684
18	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	687 691
19	Redirect Examination by Ms. Cremer	693
20	BRIAN WALSH Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	695
21	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	699 701
22	Cross-Examination by Mr. Kappoid Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	701
23		
24		
25		
20		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	STAFF WITNESSES	PAGE
3	KIMBERLY MCINTOSH Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	704
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	708 713
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	722 724
6	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Examination by Commissioner Hangen	724 727 729
7	Examination by Commissioner Hanson PAIGE OLSON	129
8	Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	739 745
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird	743 749 757
10	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Examination by Chairman Nelson	825
11	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Examination by Commissioner Hanson	8 4 3 8 6 3
12	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	871 872
13	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	872 872
14	Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	874
15	TOM KIRSCHENMANN Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	878
16	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	886 901
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	931 941
18	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast	9 4 5 9 4 6
19	Examination by Commissioner Hanson Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	949 951
20	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	951
21	MICHAEL SHELLY	
22	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird	955 959
23	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	992 997
24	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Examination by Commissioner Sattgast	998 1002
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	STAFF WITNESSES	PAGE
3	ROBERT MCFADDEN Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	1557
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1562 1572
5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup	1578 1580
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Examination by Chairman Nelson	1581 1582
7	Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1583 1585
8	Recross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1589 1591
9	Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Redirect Examination by Ms. Edwards	1593 1595
10	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1596
11	MICHAEL HOUDYSHELL Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer	1596
12	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1602 1606
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Craven Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1607 1615
14	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1616 1628
15	Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1631 1633
16		
17	MICHAEL TIMPSON Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards	1634
18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1642 1655
19	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Examination by Chairman Nelson	1663 1666
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	STAFF WITNESSES	PAGE
3	TODD BAILEY	1.665
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1667 1670 1677
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1678
6	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1680 1686
7	Reexamination by Chairman Nelson Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1690 1690
8	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1692 1692
9	Recross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1695 1697
10	DAN FLO	1 7 0 1
11	Direct Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker	1721 1737
12	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1753 1773
13	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Examination by Chairman Nelson	1782 1789
14	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1793
15	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler Redirect Examination by Ms. Edwards	1793 1794 1796
16	DERRIC ILES	
17	Direct Examination by Ms. Cremer Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird	1797 1805
18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1820 1822
19	YANKTON SIOUX TRIBE WITNESSES	PAGE
20	FAITH SPOTTED EAGLE	1 0 0 0
21	Direct Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1028
22	Examination by Chairman Nelson	1060
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	YANKTON SIOUX TRIBE WITNESSES	PAGE
3	JASON COOK	1063
4	Direct Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1064
5	Examination by Commissioner Hanson Examination by Chairman Nelson	1066 1067
6	INTERVENORS WITNESSES	PAGE
7	KEVIN SCHOFFELMAN Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1071
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1088
9	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1091 1091
10	Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1094 1096
11	Examination by Chairman Nelson Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1096 1097
12		
13	BRIAN TOP Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1098
14	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1120 1121
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Chairman Nelson	1122 1130
16	Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1131 1132
17		
18	THOMAS STOFFERAHN Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1134
19	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1146 1147
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Chairman Nelson	1148 1152
21	Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1158 1160
22	JANICE PETTERSON	
23	Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1163
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	INTERVENORS WITNESSES	PAGE
3	LINDA GOULET	1170
4	Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1173 1177
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1178 1182
6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1182
7	SUE SIBSON Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1183
8	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1192 1192
9	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1200
10	Examination by Chairman Nelson	1201 1208 1209
11	Examination by Commissioner Hanson Reexamination by Chairman Nelson	1215
12	Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1216
13	ORRIN GEIDE	1010
14	Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1218 1227
15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1230 1230 1231
16	JOY HOHN	
17	Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker	1234 1252
18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1255
19	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1257 1258
20	Examination by Commissioner Hanson Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1264 1266
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1268
22	LAURIE KUNZELMAN Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1269
23	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1279 1281
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	INTERVENORS WITNESSES	PAGE
3	NANCY STOFFERAHN Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup	1284 1299 1301
5 6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Northrup Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1301 1303 1305
7	PEGGY HOOGESTRAAT Direct Examination Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1307 1330 1331
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappord Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Chairman Nelson	1331 1331 1332 1335
10	Examination by Commissioner Sattgast	1336
11 12	MATTHEW ANDERSON Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1341 1343
13 14	SHIRLEY OLTMANNS Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1370
15	CORLISS WIEBERS Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1373
16	KENT MOECKLY Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1376
17 18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1386 1387 1389
19	Examination by Chairman Nelson Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1394 1398
20	Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmler	1399 1401 1402
21	Recross-Examination by Ms. Semmier	1402
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	INTERVENORS WITNESSES	PAGE
3	DELORES ASSID Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1404
4	MARILYN MURRAY	
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1411 1416
7	ROD HOHN Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Commissioner Hanson Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1418 1422 1424 1425
9	ALLAN ARENDS	1125
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	1426 1432
11	Cross-Examination by Ms. Best Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1434 1435
12 13	RON STOFFERAHN Direct Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1439
14 15	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Commissioner Hanson Redirect Examination by Mr. Boomsma	1 4 5 0 1 4 5 6 1 4 5 7
16	SDARWS WITNESS	PAGE
17	TROY LARSON Direct Examination by Ms. Northrup	1460
18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1467 1472
19	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Cross-Examination by Ms. Best Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	1477 1484 1488
20	Cross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke Examination by Chairman Nelson	1489
21	Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1499 1501
22	Recross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1505 1509
23	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven Recross-Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1510 1513
25		

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	DAPL RECALLED WITNESS	PAGE
3	CHUCK FREY Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	608
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Semmier Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	612 620
5	DAPL REBUTTAL WITNESSES	PAGE
6	AARON DEJOIA	17101
7	Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1868 1882
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappord Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	1883 1892
9	Examination by Ms. Edwards Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast	1894 1896
10	Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	1898
11	MICAH RORIE Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1901
12	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1911 1913
13	Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Examination by Chairman Nelson	1915 1916
14	Examination by Commissioner Hanson	1919
15	JOEY MAHMOUD Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	1924
16	Cross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold	1975 1984
17	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappord Cross-Examination by Mr. Boomsma	2035
18	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards Examination by Chairman Nelson	2084
19	Examination by Charlman Nerson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Examination by Commissioner Hanson	2093 2096
20	Recross-Examination by Ms. Real Bird	2123 2125
21	Recross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Recross-Examination by Mr. Boomsma	2129
22	CHUCK FREY Direct Examination by Mr. Koenecke	2130
23	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker	2142 2143
24	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven	2145
25	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	2147

1	I N D E X (Continued)	
2	DAPL REBUTTAL WITNESSES	PAGE
3	MONICA HOWARD Direct Examination by Ms. Semmler	2148
4	Cross-Examination by Ms. Baker	2170 2175
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. Rappold Cross-Examination by Ms. Craven Cross-Examination by Mr. Boomsma	2173 2182 2198
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Edwards	2196 2205 2206
7	Examination by Chairman Nelson Examination by Commissioner Sattgast Regress Examination by Ma Crayon	2206 2208 2211
8	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven Redirect Examination by Ms. Semmler	2211 2212 2215
9	Recross-Examination by Ms. Craven	2213
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 MS. WIEST: I believe where we left off, Staff
 2 was going to have their next witness.
- MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. Staff calls Dan Flo.
- 4 (The oath is administered by the court reporter.)

DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. EDWARDS:

- 7 Q. Good morning, Dan. Could you please state your name
- 8 and address for the record.
- 9 A. Yes. Daniel Flo, and my work address is in
- 10 | Portland, Oregon.
- 11 Q. What is your professional title?
- 12 A. Senior regulatory specialist.
- 13 Q. And what are your job responsibilities as senior
- 14 regulatory specialist?
- 15 A. I have several roles at my company. As a regulatory
- 16 | specialist, I work on environmental permitting projects,
- 17 | primarily pipeline projects, either as a project manager
- 18 or leading specific permit application development
- 19 efforts and managing teams of tactical specialists.
- 20 Q. You said you work as a project manager, is one of
- 21 | the things you named.
- Were you project manager on this project?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What is your educational background?
- 25 A. Which is to say I'm project manager as for NRG's

- 1 | specialists in our role providing support to Staff.
- 2 Q. Sorry. Thank you.
- 3 A. That's okay.
- 4 I'm sorry. What was the last question?
- 5 Q. What is your educational background?
- 6 A. Oh, I have a B.S. in geography from Minnesota State
- 7 University Mankato and a JD from Lewis & Clark Law School
- 8 in Portland, Oregon.
- 9 Q. Are you a lawyer?
- 10 A. I am not.
- 11 Q. Have you ever sat for the Bar, I guess --
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. -- is what I should have asked?
- 14 Can you briefly describe your relevant work
- 15 experience since college?
- 16 A. Yes. During and after college for a total of about
- 17 | 10 years I worked in the printing industry and then I
- 18 | went to law school and since then I've been in this
- 19 field, including three years as an analyst at the
- 20 Minnesota Department of Commerce and in environmental
- 21 reimbursement fund for petroleum tank spills. And then
- 22 | after that several -- five years at Natural Resource
- 23 Group, two years at Barr Engineering in Minneapolis,
- 24 almost a year at an environmental consulting firm
- 25 | called Cardno ENTRIX in Portland and then back to

- 1 Natural Resource Group in Portland. That's been about
- 2 just over two years.
- 3 | Q. How did you become involved in this docket?
- 4 A. Previously Natural Resource Group had provided
- 5 | environmental consulting services to PUC Staff. This was
- 6 back in 2009 on the first Keystone XL Application
- 7 process.
- 8 And then this year again we were asked to assist on
- 9 that docket for the recertification of that Permit. And
- 10 | through that process we were asked to help now with the
- 11 Dakota Access docket.
- 12 Q. How long have you been involved in this docket?
- 13 A. Since I think May or June. No. I'm sorry. I'm
- 14 | confusing that with Keystone XL. So with this one I
- 15 think we started in July or August.
- 16 Q. Okay. Did you participate at all in the discovery
- 17 process?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes, we did.
- 19 Q. Did you assist in prefiling testimony?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you oversee the prefiled testimony?
- 22 A. I did. So we received the request from Staff for
- 23 the specific technical areas to prepare prefiled
- 24 testimony, and as project manager I found the technical
- 25 | specialists with the ability and the availability in

their schedule to assist.

I provided them with direction on what our role was in supporting Staff. They prepared the testimony. I reviewed it for clarity and just to make sure that it was -- whether we had any questions, whether Staff might have any questions, and in some cases provided additional questions to the team so that they could clarify their testimony before it was filed.

- Q. So would you feel comfortable answering questions about any of the testimony submitted by NRG?
- 11 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 12 Q. What did you analyze or review in preparing that
- 13 testimony and preparing to testify today?
- 14 A. Primarily the Application and all supporting
- 15 Application materials filed by Dakota Access. And then
- 16 any -- plus the State of South Dakota regulations.
- Q. Drawing your attention to what's been marked for
- 18 identification purposes is Staff's Exhibit 15.
- Do you recognize this document?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 Q. Can you tell us what it is?
- 22 A. Yes. It is the direct testimony of Cameron Young.
- 23 Q. Did you participate in the drafting of that
- 24 testimony?
- 25 A. I did to the extent that I -- we received the

questions from Staff. I provided the format and the questions to Cameron with instructions on what we needed

to do to assist Staff, and then he prepared the

4 testimony.

3

7

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I reviewed it for clarity and asked some questions, and he clarified. And then we submitted.

- Q. Based on any information you've heard so far, do you have any additions, deletions, or corrections to make to that testimony?
- 10 A. I do have some clarifications that I'd like to make.
- 11 Q. Please, do.
- 12 A. Okay. Let me go through and make sure that I note the right locations.

On page 3 of the prefiled testimony where Cameron discusses the potential to impact the Topeka Shiner and he goes on to discuss other protected species, the clarification that I'd like to make is that all of these recommendations that we have made are couched in the assumption that Dakota Access has or will work with the Fish & Wildlife Service to determine what the Fish & Wildlife Service's recommendations are.

And so assuming that the Fish & Wildlife Service will be consulted through the Corps of Engineers'

Nationwide Permit 12 process, the Fish & Wildlife Service and the Corps's recommendations would supersede our

- 1 recommendations.
- 2 Q. So would you have a recommendation as to whether
- 3 | that should be a requirement that they consult?
- 4 A. Yes. Absolutely.
- $5 \mid Q$. Any other additions, corrections, or deletions?
- A. Yes. One correction, something that is not very
- 7 | clearly stated in the testimony -- oh, page 5. Sorry.
- 8 Towards the bottom of the page there's a reference
- 9 to construction vehicles should be properly muffled to
- minimize noise. That reference is really to a standard
- 11 | bit of language that's included in -- I believe it's in
- 12 | their Application. In fact, it certainly is included in
- many permits as a condition, which is simply that
- 14 | construction equipment be properly maintained.
- 15 That's the clarification to that statement.
- 16 Q. Thank you. Anything else?
- 17 A. Several locations in this testimony we refer to
- 18 cleaning stations with regard to noxious weeds.
- 19 The clarification there is that cleaning stations
- 20 | are really only used in cases where there are large
- 21 | identified infestations, they're often called, of noxious
- weeds.
- 23 And so the use of cleaning stations is really just a
- 24 recommendation, if in working with the county officials
- 25 and/or the NRCS, Natural Resources Conservation Service,

- 1 large areas, large infestations of particularly difficult
- 2 or state identified problem areas for noxious weeds are
- 3 along the project.
- 4 To the extent that there are no large infestations
- 5 of noxious weeds, then we would not recommend cleaning
- 6 stations in that case.
- 7 Q. Any other changes?
- 8 A. I believe that is all of my clarifications.
- 9 Q. If I asked you all of the questions in this
- 10 testimony today with the exception of the edits and
- 11 changes you made, would your answers be the same?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Are they true and correct to the best of your
- 14 knowledge?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MS. EDWARDS: I would move for admission of
- 17 | Staff's Exhibit 15.
- MS. WIEST: Is there any objection?
- 19 If not, it's admitted.
- 20 Q. Turning your attention to what's been marked as
- 21 Staff's Exhibit 16 for identification purposes, can you
- 22 tell us what that is?
- 23 A. Yes. This is the direct testimony of Ryan Ledin.
- Q. And what's your working relationship with Mr. Ledin?
- 25 A. One of my other roles at Natural Resource Group, in

- 1 | addition to regulatory specialist and project manager I'm
- 2 | also the business development lead for our construction
- 3 | compliance group, and Ryan Ledin is a member of that
- 4 construction compliance group.
- 5 | Q. Did you assist in the preparation of this prefiled
- 6 testimony?
- 7 A. Yes. In the same way that I assisted Cameron and
- 8 all other NRG staff.
- 9 Q. Do you have any additions, corrections, or edits to
- 10 make at this time?
- 11 A. I do also have some clarifications I'd like to make.
- 12 Q. Please do.
- 13 A. The first -- and let me know if you need me to give
- 14 you an exact location in the testimony. This is really a
- 15 reinforcement of something that Mike Timpson mentioned
- 16 yesterday.
- Mr. Ledin's testimony also mentions winter
- 18 | construction plans, our recommendation for a winter
- 19 construction plan.
- 20 Like Mike said yesterday, we understand that
- 21 Dakota Access does not have any plans to construct during
- 22 | the winter. And to the extent that they're able to keep
- 23 their construction schedule, we would modify that
- 24 recommendation to be a winterization plan, which is a
- 25 | plan for stabilizing the right of way after the

completion of pipeline construction defined as the pipeline being mechanically complete and in the ground.

But if there are areas of the right of way that are still not fully stabilized such as with vegetation growth and then winter sets in, then we advise that they have a winterization plan for stabilizing the right of way so that through the winter and especially in the spring there are plans and expectations for how final stabilization and restoration will occur.

- Q. Did I hear you correctly that that's contingent upon them keeping their schedule?
- A. That's right.

2.3

So Dakota Access has provided an anticipated construction schedule, which would start in the spring and end in the autumn. And so long as that schedule is maintained, then we don't see the need for a full winter construction plan.

Q. Thank you.

Any other additions or corrections? Clarifications?

A. Sure. The next clarification, Mr. Ledin recommended that in the SWPPP, the Storm Water Prevention Pollution Plan, erosion and sediment control, best management practices should be standardized. And I would just like to acknowledge that those practices are, in fact, in Dakota Access's typical drawings for the SWPPP.

So they have, in fact, standardized. We just didn't see that in our original testimony.

Q. Anything else?

2.3

A. Yes. One more clarification.

Mr. Ledin recommended that a master water body and wetland crossing table be included with the SWPPP that would include mileposts or stationing, essentially in and out table for wetlands and water bodies.

And through the course of the testimony, through the hearing, we have come to understand that that information, the wetlands and water bodies specifically, are shown on the company's alignment sheets, which are the engineered drawings for construction plans, and that is an equivalent to our recommendation and is an acceptable alternative.

- Q. Any other clarifications?
- A. One last clarification that I'd like to make.

There are some references to decisions regarding the application of mulch to the right of way or delegated to the environmental inspector. And Mr. Ledin's recommendation was to specify a certain slope, such as 5 percent or greater, to apply mulch.

My clarification to that is really that mulch is -the application of mulch to the right of way is not the
only way to stabilize the right of way, and so our

- 1 | recommendation there is really that stabilization by
- 2 | appropriate means in consultation with the environmental
- 3 | inspector and the landowner is sufficient and that a -- a
- 4 specified slope for the application of stabilization to
- 5 | the right of way is not -- not necessary so long as the
- 6 environmental inspector is consulted and the right of way
- 7 is stabilized appropriately.
- 8 Q. Thank you.
- 9 With those clarifications, if I asked you these
- 10 questions today, would your answers be the same?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Is it true and correct to the best of your
- 13 knowledge?
- 14 A. Yes.
- MS. EDWARDS: I would move for the admission of
- 16 Staff's Exhibit 16.
- MS. WIEST: Is there any objection?
- 18 If not, it is admitted.
- 19 Q. Going to Staff's Exhibit 11, do you recognize what
- 20 that is?
- 21 A. Yes. This is the direct testimony of David Nickel.
- 22 | Q. And what is your association with Mr. Nickel?
- 23 A. Mr. Nickel is an employee of Natural Resource Group.
- 24 He is the company's health and safety officer.
- Q. Is he unavailable at this time?

- A. That's right. In his duties as health and safety officer, if there is a safety incident in the company, he
- And because a large part of our business is managing environmental field surveys, I understand that there was a safety incident recently and that he was called away to
- 8 Q. Thank you.

investigate that.

- 9 Are you familiar with this testimony?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you assist in the preparation?

is required to investigate that.

- 12 A. Yes. In the same way that I assisted Ryan and Cam
- 13 on.

3

- 14 Q. Do you have any clarifications, additions, or
- 15 | corrections to make to this testimony?
- 16 A. One clarification. And it's actually not a change
- 17 to this testimony, but it is just something that was also
- 18 covered in testimony yesterday so I just want to make
- 19 | sure that Mr. Nickel's testimony is clear with regard to
- 20 the question of high consequence areas and unusually
- 21 | sensitive areas.
- 22 Q. Okay. Anything else?
- 23 A. Nothing else.
- Q. If I asked you the questions in this testimony with
- 25 | that clarification, would your answers be the same?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Is it true and correct to the best of your
- 3 knowledge?
- 4 A. Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- 5 MS. EDWARDS: I would move for the admission of 6 Staff's Exhibit 11.
- 7 MS. WIEST: Is there any objection?
- MS. CRAVEN: Dakota Rural Action and IEN, we
 object to the introduction of page 4, lines 1 through 46
 of Mr. Nickel's testimony, and we assert that this
 violates Rule 702.
 - This testimony gives opinions as an expert on the ultimate issues in this hearing. The question on line 1 asks if the pipeline will pose a serious threat to the environment. The other question asks if the pipeline will substantially impair the health, safety, and welfare of South Dakotans.
 - The South Dakota Supreme Court has ruled that it's improper even for an expert to render an opinion that the factfinder can infer for itself. That's State v. Guthrie.
 - The witness has a bachelor's degree and consulting experience. We're sure he's very knowledgeable, but the South Dakota Court requires that to qualify as an expert that the witness's knowledge be

superior. That's <u>State v. Fischer</u>.

2.3

2.4

The court also looks at many factors in determining whether a witness qualifies as an expert.

Degrees is a factor. It's one factor. Here a witness with a bachelor's degree and some experience is rendering an opinion on the ultimate issue, and it is improper.

MS. WIEST: Do you have a response?

MS. EDWARDS: I would disagree that he has only some experience. That seems to be an understatement of his work in the field. And certainly the Commission can give it the weight it deserves.

If the Commission feels that a bachelor's degree is not sufficient, then the Commission is entitled to give it that amount of weight.

MR. RAPPOLD: And I think it's just an unusual situation where you have one witness, a live witness who has certain qualifications, testifying about somebody else's testimony that has different qualifications.

It's just a very unusual situation, I think, and we would join in the motion to -- or the objection to admitting this testimony, as Ms. Craven stated.

MS. BAKER: Yankton Sioux Tribe would like to join in the objection as well.

MS. WIEST: I'm going to overrule the objection.

I believe that the Commission can certainly give any

1 weight that it wants to to these recommendations. 2 Anything further? MS. BAKER: Yes. The Yankton Sioux Tribe 3 4 objects and moves to strike the language on lines 28 5 through 32 on page 3, lines 8 to 30 on page 4, and lines 6 41 to 45 on page 4 on the grounds that they're clearly 7 hearsav. 8 This language specifically says Dakota Access stated and so on. MS. WIEST: Staff, did you have a response? 10 11 MS. EDWARDS: I believe it's an exception 12 because the expert relied on it in coming to his 13 recommendation. 14 MS. BAKER: And I believe it's been established 15 it may not fully have been established but acknowledged 16 that this is not an expert. 17 MS. SEMMLER: If I may comment as well, this is 18 Kara Semmler. 19 How possibly could consultants not indicate what 20 Dakota Access said? Its job, the consultants' job, is to 21 review the Application. Dakota Access submits the 22 Application. The consultants review it. It's a 2.3 nonsensical objection. 2.4 MS. WIEST: I'm going to overrule the objection 25 also with respect to hearsay. I believe statements of a

2 Any other objections? MS. CRAVEN: Are they really a party opponent? 3 4 MS. WIEST: Well, Dakota Access is a party. 5 MS. CRAVEN: Are they an opponent of the Staff? 6 Because it seems like there's a lot of deal cutting going on with this --7 8 MS. SEMMLER: Objection. I ask that be stricken. Counsel is testifying. This is not -- I think 10 Brett referred to it as open mic. That's not what we're 11 doing. 12 MS. CRAVEN: I'm just responding to Ms. Wiest's 13 question. 14 We have testimony that says one thing and then 15 they come in and they then state, well, this has been 16 resolved and this has been resolved and that seems to be 17 something going on that we're not quite privy to. 18 MS. SEMMLER: I object. First of all, there's 19 no objection on the table. Second of all, counsel's testifying. 20 21 We, Dakota Access, would have been happy to sit 22 down with any party to this proceeding, just like was 2.3 evident yesterday, and talk about our differences. Just 2.4 because Intervenors choose not to do that doesn't mean 25 that there's anything inappropriate going on.

party opponent do not fall within the hearsay rule.

```
1
              MS. CRAVEN: I have received no phone call about
2
     cultural resources that you've asked to discuss with me.
 3
              MS. SEMMLER: Neither did we.
 4
              MS. WIEST: Going back to the original
5
     objection, I believe that it would be very difficult to
 6
     review any of these Permit -- an Application such as this
7
     without, you know, stating what the Applicant has stated
8
     over the -- within the Application. And so, again, that
     objection is overruled.
10
              Is there anything further?
11
              Staff?
12
              MS. EDWARDS: I had just moved for the admission
13
    previously.
14
              MS. WIEST: So this is S 11; right?
15
              MS. EDWARDS: Yes.
16
              MS. WIEST: After being offered, S 11 is
17
     admitted.
18
              MS. EDWARDS: I have no further questions for
     this witness and would tender him for cross.
19
20
              MS. WIEST: Yankton Sioux Tribe.
21
              MS. BAKER:
                          Thank you.
22
                         CROSS-EXAMINATION
2.3
     BY MS. BAKER:
2.4
         Good morning, Mr. Flo.
     Q.
25
         Good morning.
     Α.
```

- Q. I think it does get a little bit complicated here
 with all of these prefiled testimonies, but I think I'd
 like to start with Mr. Young's.
- Would you say that the impact analysis contained in the Revised Application is incomplete?
- A. It is incomplete to the extent that the Fish &
 Wildlife Service has not issued a final concurrence with
 regard to federally protected species.
 - Q. Was that -- strike that.
- 10 If an EIS had been done, would you consider the impact analysis to be complete?
- 12 A. The same procedure still has to be followed even if 13 there's an Environmental Impact Statement.
- Q. Would that procedure have been followed if there was an Environmental Impact Statement?
- MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- MS. BAKER: He's fully familiar with the process
 of an EIS and what's required and which agencies would be
 consulted.
- MS. WIEST: Overruled.
- A. It's my understanding that an application for -excuse me. A preconstruction notification to the Corps
 of Engineers under Nationwide Permit 12 is under
 consideration by the Corps of Engineers. And as part of
 the Corps's responsibility under the Endangered Species

- 1 Act, they must consult with the Fish & Wildlife Service.
- 2 So my understanding is that that process is
- 3 ongoing.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- Can you tell me what is NatureServe?
- 6 A. NatureServe is a publicly available online database
- 7 | for identifying known occurrences of federally protected
- 8 | species. That's about all I know.
- 9 | Q. Okay. You don't know who maintains that database?
- 10 A. I don't.
- 11 Q. Is your testimony that based on the information you
- 12 | were provided, the proposed pipeline could pose a threat
- 13 to the Topeka shiner, the Northern long-eared bat,
- 14 Sprague's pipit, whooping crane, the pallid sturgeon, the
- Dakota Skipper, and the Western prairie fringed orchid?
- 16 A. Our testimony is that it could and that the final
- 17 determination on whether it may is the -- the
- 18 responsibility for that final determination is in the
- 19 | Fish & Wildlife Service, which is under consideration.
- Q. Can anyone, including the Fish & Wildlife Service,
- 21 know for certain whether there will be any impact to each
- of these species?
- 23 MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Calls for speculation
- 24 whether or not U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service can make a
- 25 determination.

1 MS. BAKER: It's not about whether it can make a 2 determination. It's whether this knowledge is ascertainable at all. 3 4 MS. WIEST: Can you rephrase that question? 5 Is it possible for the Fish & Wildlife Service to 6 ascertain with certainty whether there will be any impact 7 to these species? 8 MS. SEMMLER: I object. This witness does not work for U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. 10 MS. BAKER: It's a matter of available 11 information and commonsense. 12 MS. SEMMLER: Same objection. 13 MS. WIEST: I think he can give his opinion if 14 he has one. 15 My opinion is that the process is when there's a 16 federal action, the Fish & Wildlife Service must be 17 consulted with regard to the potential impacts to protect 18 its species. And in so much as that is the process, then 19 the Fish & Wildlife Services is required to render its 20 opinion. 21 Okay. So is your testimony that they can't say with 22 any certainty that these species will or will not be 2.3 impacted? 2.4 MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Asked and answered. 25 MS. BAKER: I don't believe he actually answered

- 1 the question. 2 MS. SEMMLER: Because he can't answer the 3 question you asked. 4 MS. WIEST: Overruled. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to move to sustain 6 that objection. 7 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I would vote to overrule. 8 It's all yours. COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: I'll move to sustain. 10 MS. WIEST: Go ahead. 11 What is a hibernaculum, if I say that correctly? 12 Sure. Hibernaculum is typically a cave. It can be 13 a mine. It's an area where bats hibernate over the 14 winter. It's an enclosed space. 15 And are these types of spaces prevalent along the 16 route at any point? 17 Not that I'm aware of. 18 Can you tell us why you're concerned about the
 - A. During the spring and -- I'm not a bat specialist, but I have supervised bat surveys on our projects. And my understanding is that some bat species, such as the Northern Long-Eared Bat, sleep at night in crevices and behind the bark of trees, dead trees or dying limbs.

possibility of tree clearing at the Big Sioux River?

25 And so the clearing of trees during a period where

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- 1 bats are active can have the potential to kill them --
- 2 any bats that might be roosting there.
- 3 Q. Is any of the area the proposed pipeline would cross
- 4 | suitable habitat for the pipit?
- 5 A. I'll review my testimony.
- 6 I think it's unclear to us whether a suitable pipit
- 7 | habitat is, in fact, crossed. And so that's an issue
- 8 that will be determined through the company's
- 9 consultation with the Corps of Engineers and the Fish &
- 10 Wildlife Service.
- 11 Q. Thank you. You mentioned -- or Mr. Young's
- 12 | testimony mentioned that no analysis was conducted to
- 13 protect potential stopover habitat for cranes.
- 14 Is there reason to believe that such stopover
- 15 habitat exists along the pipeline route?
- 16 A. There's a general reason to believe that it exists
- 17 because we're in the migration zone of the whooping
- 18 crane. The project is.
- 19 Q. With respect to the Topeka shiner, even with block
- 20 | valves and SCADA leak detection systems, is it possible
- 21 that a leak could affect the Topeka shiner and the pallid
- 22 sturgeon, as well as other endangered species?
- 23 A. It's possible.
- Q. To your knowledge, does the Dakota Skipper or its
- 25 | habitat occur in the project area?

- 1 A. I believe that it does.
- 2 Q. And, to your knowledge, does the Western Fringed
- 3 | Prairie Orchid or its habitat occur in the project area?
- 4 A. It could, but it's unknown for certain.
- 5 | Q. Have you or has anyone from your group spoken with
- 6 Dakota Access regarding your recommendations?
- 7 A. Not directly, no.
- 8 Q. Why do you recommend against using water from water
- 9 bodies that may contain listed species for hydrostatic
- 10 testing?
- 11 A. I'm sorry. Could you say that again?
- 12 Q. Sure. Why do you recommend against using water for
- 13 hydrostatic testing that comes from water bodies that may
- 14 | contain listed species?
- 15 A. Oh. Because the process of appropriating water from
- 16 a stream, essentially a pump and a hose, if not done
- 17 properly, could essentially trap or -- yeah. Trap --
- 18 trap a fish, trap an endangered species.
- 19 Q. Can you tell us what the impact would be to any of
- 20 the listed species if it takes three years or longer to
- 21 | restore grasslands to their preconstruction condition?
- 22 A. It depends on the species. It depends on the
- habitat.
- Q. Let's take the most likely to be heavily impacted
- 25 | species, if you understand what I'm saying. The most

- 1 sensitive to the change.
- 2 MS. SEMMLER: I do object to the extent this
- 3 calls for speculation. Certainly if the witness can
- 4 surmise based on his experience, that's one thing, but I
- 5 | think there's -- to a large extent this calls for
- 6 speculation.
- 7 MS. BAKER: I can clarify that.
- 8 Q. Based on your experience, what would be the impact
- 9 to these species if it takes three or more years to
- 10 | restore grasslands?
- 11 A. I'm afraid that does require some species specific
- 12 knowledge that I don't have.
- 13 Q. That you don't have? Okay. Thank you.
- MS. BAKER: And I have nothing further for this
- 15 | witness. Oh, I'm sorry. Strike that.
- 16 Q. I have nothing further regarding the testimony of
- 17 | Cameron Young. I would like to move to the testimony of
- 18 David Nickel.
- 19 You mentioned wanting to clarify USAs. What is the
- 20 significance of a USA?
- 21 A. Let me just get to the right page here. 49 CFR 195,
- 22 and I think it's provision or Subsection 4, defines USAs
- 23 or unusually sensitive areas. I don't think I have that
- 24 full definition in front of me, but the upshot, as was
- 25 discussed yesterday, is that if an area is crossed by a

pipeline that's subject to PHMSA regulation and that area is determined to be an unusually sensitive area, unusually sensitive areas are included in the definition of high consequence areas.

2.3

And only because I was listening to the testimony yesterday, my understanding is that that would change the -- have an effect on the requirements of the company while it's operating its pipeline. Not in the design or construction of it.

- Q. Okay. So is it then correct that the proposed project would cross HCAs?
- A. So the clarification that I would add, and this is, as I said, consistent with our testimony but perhaps a nuance to the testimony provided yesterday, is that we believe it is a determination of a regulatory authority, a governmental agency, whether an area that is crossed by the pipeline is, in fact, unusually sensitive.

So simply the act of a pipeline route crossing habitat, for instance, for a protected species does not automatically -- in our reading of the statute does not automatically qualify that area as a USA and, therefore, an HCA.

The determination is whether that habitat is unusually sensitive. You can have habitat that is suitable for a protected species that is not unusually

sensitive.

2.3

So that is our clarification in our reading of the rule is that, again, using the habitat example, the Fish & Wildlife Service would have to make the determination that a particular piece of habitat crossed by the route is somehow unusually sensitive for that area to then qualify as an HCA.

- Q. Okay. And you may have just answered this, but which governmental body will make the determination about whether each area is unusually sensitive?
- A. So it's a PHMSA regulation. So PHMSA enforces it.

 But PHMSA's not experts on protected species habitat so

 it would have to be a determination by Fish & Wildlife

 Service, I believe, that habitat is unusually sensitive,

 and then PHMSA would be required to enforce the

 regulations with regard to operation of the pipeline

 through that area.
 - Q. What triggers -- what would trigger the Fish & Wildlife Service to make a determination like that? How would they be alerted to the existence of it possibly?
- A. Sure. That's outside of my specific knowledge. I'm not sure how that would work.
 - Q. Okay. And if a decision is made, if Fish & Wildlife Service does make a decision that an area is unusually sensitive, does the Applicant have any obligation to

- 1 | notify the Commission it's crossing the USA?
- 2 MS. SEMMLER: Objection. This witness has
- 3 testified he's not aware of some of those processes. If
- 4 he's aware of the Commission to wildlife correspondence,
- 5 | that's one thing, but it sounds like it's outside of his
- 6 area.
- 7 MS. BAKER: If the witness has this knowledge, I
- 8 | would like for him to answer. And if he does not have
- 9 this knowledge, I would like for him to so say.
- MS. WIEST: It's overruled.
- 11 A. Could you restate the question?
- 12 Q. Sure. If a determination is made by Fish & Wildlife
- 13 Service that an area is unusually sensitive, would the
- 14 Applicant have any obligation to alert the Commission as
- 15 to that determination?
- 16 A. If it was during this hearing, during this
- 17 proceeding, or up to the point where a Permit was
- 18 approved or denied, I believe that is the case. Because
- 19 it's at issue in this hearing.
- 20 After let's say the Permit is issued, I don't
- 21 | believe that there is a mechanism that would require
- 22 that, at least not as part of the federal rule.
- 23 Q. Based on your experience and your knowledge, has oil
- 24 | from a spill or a leak ever traveled more than
- 25 four-tenths of a mile or ever contaminated water more

- 1 than four-tenths of a mile away?
- 2 A. Not in my knowledge.
- 3 | Q. Is it possible under worst-case scenarios for oil
- 4 | from a leak or spill to travel this distance or to
- 5 | contaminate water this distance from a spill site?
- 6 A. Are you talking about an overland?
- 7 Q. Under any condition that might be present --
- 8 A. Okay.
- 9 Q. -- along the pipeline route.
- 10 A. In my experience, if an oil spill enters a moving
- 11 water body, a stream, then it can travel greater than
- 12 | that distance. I do not know of any specific instances
- 13 where oil pipeline release on the land has traveled
- 14 greater than that distance on the land.
- 15 Q. Now I don't know if you can answer this question
- 16 because it may be specific to the drafter of the
- 17 | testimony, but if you are able to.
- 18 The testimony states that you have experience
- 19 preparing reliability and safety sections of
- 20 | environmental impact statements. Based on your
- 21 experience, would you say the studies conducted by Dakota
- 22 Access with respect to reliability and safety are
- 23 equivalent to that section of an actual EIS?
- 24 A. You're right. I'm afraid I can't answer that.
- 25 Q. Okay. Thank you. And then just a few questions

from the testimony of Mr. Ledin.

2.3

Why is a Permit required for temporary discharge of hydrostatic test water and trench dewatering water?

A. In terms of the policy behind it, I could speculate, but I don't know for sure what the reason. I just know that a permit is typically required under state rules if the State administers those provisions of the Clean Water Act.

Q. Okay. Well, I will not ask you to speculate.

Is water discharged through or after these processes identical in quality to the water -- strike that.

Is the water that becomes discharged from these processes of the same quality as the water that went into these processes? In other words, is the output water as good of quality as the water that was taken from the source?

A. Sure. It's very much a site-specific question. I have, for instance, appropriated water from a river in North Dakota that was listed as essentially polluted for turbidity. It had a lot of sediment. And it was used for hydrostatic testing, discharged through a bell structure and returned to the river cleaner than it came out.

So it depends on the original quality of the water, the discharge mechanisms, and whether there might be

- 1 | anything in the pipe. But if it's new pipe that hasn't
- 2 been used, then the quality shouldn't be affected greatly
- 3 by its use as hydrostatic test water.
- $4 \mid Q$. And does the same go for water used for trench
- 5 dewatering?
- 6 A. Yeah. Yes. The same goes for trench dewatering,
- 7 | which is not -- it doesn't go through the pipe or pipe
- 8 sections. It's just pumped out of the trench into a
- 9 dewatering structure.
- 10 So, again, through that process it's probably pretty
- 11 dirty, muddy in the trench, and then through the process
- 12 of discharging through whatever discharge structure is
- 13 used there are lots of different BMPs that can be used.
- 14 It is almost always cleaner coming out of the trench.
- 15 Q. But the water wasn't muddy and dirty before it was
- 16 put into the trench, was it?
- 17 A. Well, it depends on how it got there. It might be
- 18 | groundwater that's coming up into the trench, or it might
- 19 be rainwater flowing off the land into the trench. So it
- 20 all depends.
- 21 Q. Okay. What is the concern you have regarding where
- 22 hydrostatic test water is withdrawn and discharged if the
- 23 output water is, you know, of this similar quality to the
- 24 input water?
- 25 A. So under the example that I provided about the

- 1 appropriation discharge from a river in North Dakota, the
- 2 company could have been subject to a fine because even
- 3 | though the water that was being discharged back to the
- 4 | river was cleaner than the source water -- it was the
- 5 | same source -- it still exceeded permit limits for
- 6 suspended solids. And so we had to make the argument
- 7 | that it exceeded limits because the source water exceeded
- 8 the limits.
- 9 So that's one of the reasons for the recommendation
- 10 is to avoid permit violations.
- 11 Q. Okay. Now are any of the potential sources of water
- 12 contaminated in that nature that might be used for the
- 13 Dakota Access?
- 14 A. I don't know. And I don't know that the sources for
- 15 hydrostatic test water have even been identified. I'm
- 16 not sure.
- 17 Q. But where those sources are would be of concern?
- 18 A. It's just something that should, as a matter of best
- 19 practices, be considered when identifying sources for
- 20 | hydrostatic test water. It is the quality of the water
- 21 to make sure that the appropriate BMPs are used during
- 22 the discharge process to make sure that the permit terms
- 23 for the discharge are being complied with.
- 24 Q. This testimony states in your opinion the
- 25 construction techniques and mitigation measured by Dakota

Access adequately minimized impacts to vegetation, and yet there are a number of recommendations made.

If impacts are adequately minimized, why are there so many recommendations?

A. I believe that recommendation is based on the spectrum of, if you will, minimum best practices and then higher measures that could be used to either assure best restoration or speed the rate of restoration.

So that's the purpose of recommendations is ways to either do it better or to monitor the restoration to make sure that it's -- that it lasts.

Q. And with respect to construction techniques and impacts to water bodies, the question on page 5, line 33 states, In your opinion, do the construction techniques and mitigation measures identified by Dakota Access adequately minimize the impacts to water bodies?

And the response was not yes, but it was not no. Does that mean your answer is no to that question?

- A. We believe that if the recommendations in the next answer are followed, that then the answer would be yes.
- Q. So without those additional recommendations, your answer would be no?
- 23 A. Yes. That's correct.
- 24 Q. Thank you.

25 MS. BAKER: The Yankton Sioux Tribe has no

- 1 further questions for the witness.
- 2 Thank you.
- 3 MS. WIEST: Mr. Rappold.
- 4 MR. RAPPOLD: Yes.

5 CROSS-EXAMINATION

6 BY MR. RAPPOLD:

- 7 Q. Good morning, Mr. Flo.
- 8 A. Good morning.
- 9 Q. Matt Rappold. I represent the Rosebud Sioux Tribe.
- 10 Nice to see you again.
- 11 A. Yes. You too.
- 12 Q. Thank you. Good to be seen. Most of the time.
- I just have a couple of questions for you. There's
- 14 been considerable discussion over the course of the last
- 15 | week and a half regarding high consequence areas and also
- 16 unusually sensitive areas.
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. As I'm sure you're aware.
- 19 We have -- along the lines of that testimony and
- 20 discussion there's been considerable inquiries regarding
- 21 | who makes the final determination as to whether or not a
- 22 certain area qualifies under the rule.
- 23 A. Sure.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 So your testimony was that fish and -- you believe

- 1 | that Fish & Wildlife Service makes the final
- 2 determination and then PHMSA would enforce the
- 3 | appropriate applicable rule if there's a determination.
- 4 | Is that accurate?
- 5 A. That is accurate. I don't know if there is any
- 6 | communication between those two agencies. That I can't
- 7 answer.
- 8 But if the question posed to the Fish & Wildlife
- 9 | Service was is this habitat unusually sensitive, they're
- 10 | the ones that could answer that.
- 11 Q. Okay.
- 12 A. And then PHMSA through the audit process, I believe,
- 13 enforces a pipeline company's adherence to its
- 14 | operational requirements.
- 15 Q. And that's assuming that the two -- that they
- 16 actually communicate with each other. And you don't know
- 17 | if they do or not?
- 18 A. I don't know if they do.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. But ultimately it's incumbent upon the company to
- 21 operate the pipeline in accordance with PHMSA
- 22 regulations.
- 23 Q. All other applicable laws?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. So that's just on federal land; correct?

- A. No.
- 2 Q. No?

Who would make the determination on nonfederal land
where there's not a federal nexus? In other words, I'm
sure you're aware that there's numerous Fish & Wildlife

6 Service grasslands and wetland easements where the

7 | pipeline runs.

Are you aware of that?

- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Okay. So are you testifying then that Fish &
- 11 Wildlife Service would have the jurisdiction to make
- 12 those determinations along the entire route?
- 13 A. I think that it should be clear that when we're
- 14 talking about PHMSA regulations we're talking about the
- 15 operation of the pipeline.
- 16 Q. Right. Well, operation of -- the regulations also
- 17 | contemplate certain requirements, safety requirements,
- 18 | for certain areas that aren't required in other areas;
- 19 correct?
- 20 A. Meaning?
- 21 Q. Meaning that --
- 22 A. HCAs are not HCAs, for instance?
- 23 Q. Sure. There's different regulations, safety
- 24 regulations, for HCA areas?
- 25 A. Sure.

- 1 | Q. As compared to non-HCA areas?
- 2 A. Correct. That's my understanding.
- 3 | Q. Okay. So on this project we have land that has a
- 4 federal connection; right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And we have land that doesn't have a federal
- 7 connection.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Correct?
- Okay. So for the nonfederal land, all right, who
- 11 makes that determination if this area is a high
- 12 | consequence area, subject to the applicable regulations
- 13 for high consequence areas? Who makes that
- 14 determination?
- 15 A. Sure. Well, since other definitions or categories
- 16 within high consequence areas have nothing to do with
- 17 federal or not federal lands such as populated areas, I'm
- 18 not sure that I can adequately answer your question.
- 19 Q. Okay. That's fair enough if you don't know the
- answer.
- Is there another witness whose testimony you've
- 22 adopted might have been able to answer that question?
- 23 MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- MR. RAPPOLD: My response is this entire process
- 25 | calls for speculation. Really it does.

1 MS. WIEST: Can you just move on. 2 MR. RAPPOLD: That is my response. We're asking 3 witnesses to apply information and data to a certain set 4 of rules and speculate as to whether or not the proposed 5 activity will have an effect on a particular species. 6 This entire process calls for speculation. 7 That's my response. 8 MS. SEMMLER: May I respond? MS. WIEST: Yes. MS. SEMMLER: He asked what another witness 10 11 could have or would have possibly said. That crosses the 12 line of speculation. 13 MR. RAPPOLD: He's testifying for that witness. 14 And additionally towards the end of Ms. Baker's 15 questioning regarding I believe it was Mr. Young's 16 testimony the witness's answer was that question requires 17 species specific knowledge that I don't have and I can't 18 answer that question. 19 MS. WIEST: The objection is sustained. 20 MR. RAPPOLD: This is just really difficult to 21 deal with when we have a witness who's adopting other 22 people's testimony and then can't testify about their 2.3 testimony. I believe it really interferes --2.4 MS. SEMMLER: Ms. Wiest, I think counsel's 25 testifying again. We're in the midst of witness

- 1 examination here.
- 2 MS. WIEST: Can you just move on to your next
- 3 question?
- 4 MR. RAPPOLD: I'm not testifying.
- 5 Yeah.
- 6 Q. What are the -- are you familiar with the categories
- 7 of protection under the Endangered Species Act?
- 8 A. Yes. Threatened and endangered and candidate.
- 9 Q. Let's talk about candidate species. Well, actually
- 10 | what's threatened mean?
- 11 A. Threatened -- boy, I don't have it memorized.
- 12 | Threatened is the highest level of concern for the
- 13 | potential extinction of a species.
- 14 Q. Okay. What's threatened?
- 15 A. Threatened is -- has the potential to become
- 16 endangered.
- 17 O. And what's candidate?
- 18 A. Candidate is a species that is not listed as
- 19 threatened or endangered and, therefore, has no direct
- 20 protections under the Endangered Species Act but is being
- 21 | evaluated for listing.
- 22 Q. Are you aware of why candidate species are not
- 23 | actually listed as threatened or endangered?
- 24 A. Because the Fish & Wildlife Service studies,
- 25 evaluates, monitors candidate species so that they can be

- 1 | listed if they reach a certain threshold of risk, but my
- 2 understanding is that it's not a foregone conclusion that
- 3 | that species is going to be endangered.
- 4 Q. Would you agree that another reason that a species
- 5 | is listed as a candidate is if there's not enough room on
- 6 the endangered and threatened species list?
- 7 Would you agree with that statement?
- 8 A. If that's the case, I don't know that that's true.
 - O. You don't know.
- 10 I'll ask, is there another witness whose testimony
- 11 you've adopted may have been able to answer that
- 12 question?
- MS. SEMMLER: Same objection. He's asking what
- 14 another witness could have said.
- MR. RAPPOLD: Same response. Because he's
- 16 adopted testimony of what other witnesses have said.
- 17 MS. WIEST: Sustained.
- 18 Q. I'd like to draw your attention -- I'll have this
- 19 marked. It's Rosebud Sioux Tribe Exhibit 12. I'm going
- 20 to have a paper copy.
- 21 MR. RAPPOLD: It should be electronically
- 22 | available on the website.
- 23 (Exhibit RST 12 is marked for identification)
- 24 Q. Can you turn to page 2, Mr. Flo?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. RST Exhibit 2 is the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
- 2 | Sprague's pipit conservation plan.
- 3 Are you familiar with conservation plans?
- 4 A. I know what they are.
- 5 Q. You've heard of them?
- 6 A. Yes. I've never written one.
- 7 Q. You've never read one?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Not in your entire professional career have you ever
- 10 | read --
- 11 A. Written. I said written.
- 12 Q. No. I asked have you read one?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Okay. Good. Good. Sorry. I misheard you.
- Now on page 2 the heading of page 2 is called
- 16 Legal Status. Would you agree with that?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And then further down on page 2 we have several
- 19 categories of legal statuses of the bird Sprague's pipit.
- 20 The first one is Global; correct?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And under the Global section it identifies all
- 23 around the world the various levels of protection and
- 24 different countries that have laws that protect this
- 25 bird; correct?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Okay. And so the Sprague's pipit is protected by a
- 3 | number of different countries. Would you agree with
- 4 that?
- 5 A. Yes, I would. I haven't read it to know whether
- 6 it's actually protected.
- 7 Q. Okay. Well, the first line under Global, would you
- 8 agree that it says "The Sprague's Pipits are federally
- 9 | protected in the United States, Canada, and Mexico under
- 10 the Migratory Bird Treaty Act?
- 11 A. Yes. I see that.
- 12 Q. Okay. Would you agree that they're also listed on
- 13 the International Union For The Conservation Of Nature
- 14 Red List as vulnerable?
- 15 Would you agree with that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to move over to under the category
- 18 | heading of United States.
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 Q. And that's on the right-hand side of the page.
- 21 A. I see it.
- 22 Q. Do you see that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Now this talks specifically about the question that
- 25 I asked you relating to different categories of

1 protection under the Endangered Species Act; correct?

Take -- read that entire paragraph on the United States first. I'm sorry.

(Witness examines document)

A. Okay.

2.3

2.4

Q. Okay. Now in that section that you just read does it have any information relating to why a species would just be a candidate and not actually on the threatened and endangered list?

MS. SEMMLER: I object. This Commission doesn't have jurisdiction to determine what species are or are not placed on those lists.

The Applicant is obligated to abide by the agency who does make those findings, but, you know, having this discussion I just don't know what the Commission will do with it as this is out of the realm of this Commission's jurisdiction. Irrelevant.

MR. RAPPOLD: We're not asking the Commission to make any sort of determination as to whether or not any bird, species, animal, plant should or should not be on the endangered species list or protected.

I understand that you don't have that jurisdiction, and I'm certainly not asking you to do that.

The witness was asked a question related to his

knowledge of the Endangered Species Act, and what his testimony is getting to is whether or not the proposed project would have an effect on any listed species.

2.3

I asked him a question about reasons why a species would remain a candidate and not make it to the actual endangered or -- endangered or threatened categories. The witness didn't fully know why a species would remain a candidate.

And I'm getting to the point where he will testify as to why species remain candidates.

It is relevant. There are species along the pipeline route that are protected. There's candidate species. We've also heard testimony about who has the final determination of designated certain areas as requiring protection, triggering certain different rules regarding integrity management and safety operations of the pipelines.

Furthermore, the Commission in its permitting process regularly and routinely examines laws from other jurisdictions that they do not have the authority to enforce, and the Commission looks at applications and makes a determination as to whether or not it believes the applicant can comply with those laws. And that determination is made independently of the Commission's ability to actually enforce those laws.

A prime example is the requirements of the
Pipeline Safety Act and its implementing regulations

49 CFR 194 and 195. The Commission does that all the
time in these proceedings, and I would reckon to say it
does that in all of its proceedings.

MS. WIEST: Objection overruled.

- Q. So have you had an opportunity to review the entire paragraph under United States?
- 9 A. Yes, I did.

6

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. About the middle of the road there -- is there anything in that paragraph that would change your answer as to why a species would remain a candidate?
- A. I can read what you're trying to get at, and I don't know that I agree with your original assessment.
- Q. That's okay. We don't have to agree with each other, but just go ahead and read what's there.
 - A. "Fish & Wildlife Service determined that the petition presented substantial information indicating that listing the Sprague's pipit is warranted but precluded by higher listing priorities."
 - Q. Okay. To me that kind of says there's not enough room on the list. There's other birds --
 - MS. SEMMLER: I object. Counsel's testifying right now as to what he thinks. I ask that that be stricken from the record.

1 MR. RAPPOLD: I'm not testifying. 2 cross-examination I'm permitted to engage in leading questions on cross-examination. The Rules of Evidence 3 4 permit that. And I'm laying a little bit of foundation -- not foundation, but I'm prefacing what I'm 5 6 going to say ultimately to get to that point. 7 MS. WIEST: Yes. I think he is prefacing coming 8 up to a question. Objection overruled. 10 MR. RAPPOLD: I would ask, Cheri, can you repeat 11 what I was saying up until the point when I was 12 interrupted. 13 (Reporter reads back the last question.) 14 There's other birds and other species that are more 15 threatened. Would you agree with that? 16 I would agree with that, yes. 17 Okay. Thank you. Q. 18 Yes. Α. 19 Would it surprise you to know there is what -strike that. 20 21 What's the habitat, to your knowledge, for the 22 Sprague's pipit? 2.3 Large tracts of grasslands. Α.

Greater than about 71 acres. Void of trees and

2.4

25

Q.

Uh-huh.

011651

- 1 shrubs for nesting.
- 2 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any of that habitat being
- 3 along the route?
- 4 A. I am not aware.
- 5 | Q. Have you reviewed any -- you're not aware.
- 6 Are you aware that the pipit is listed in Campbell
- 7 and McPherson Counties?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Okay. And do you agree with Mr. Young's statement,
- 10 Cameron Young on page 3, "Previous surveys or lack of
- documented occurrences do not necessarily warrant a no
- 12 effect determination"?
- Do you agree with that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Okay. If you learned that there are large tracts of
- 16 this type of habitat along the route, would that surprise
- 17 you?
- 18 A. It might surprise me.
- 19 Q. Why would it surprise you?
- 20 A. Because my impression of the -- of the project area,
- 21 | the project route, is that it's largely agricultural.
- 22 Q. And does that mean that a lot of this habitat has
- 23 been eliminated by human activity?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And isn't it also true that human activity is linked

- 1 | to a lot of habitat elimination for species?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. And if a particular animal doesn't have a place to
- 4 | live and habitat, that's going to cause that animal
- 5 problems.
- 6 Would you agree with that?
- 7 A. Yes. I would agree with that.
- 8 Q. Okay. And one of the purposes of the Endangered
- 9 Species Act is to keep species off the list; is that
- 10 correct?
- 11 A. Yes. By -- through -- yes. That's right.
- 12 Q. Right. Okay. Because once a species gets on the
- 13 list, we don't have a law that says you've got to stay on
- 14 the list; right? Endangered species doesn't do that.
- MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Calls for a legal
- 16 conclusion. He asked about a law.
- MR. RAPPOLD: He went to law school. He's got a
- 18 | law degree. I think the witness is perfectly capable of
- 19 answering the question. This is what he does for a
- 20 living.
- 21 MS. WIEST: I think -- I will overrule the
- 22 objection.
- 23 | A. My understanding of the Endangered Species Act is
- 24 that once the species is listed the purpose is to get it
- 25 off the list.

- 1 Q. Okay. Thanks.
- 2 Would it surprise you to learn there's at least one
- 3 tract consisting of 111 acres of native grasslands that's
- 4 | consistent with Sprague's pipit habitat?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. That would surprise you?
- 7 A. Yeah.
- 8 Q. Would it also surprise you to learn that that land
- 9 | is not located in any area that the Fish & Wildlife
- 10 Service has jurisdiction over?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. It wouldn't surprise you?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Okay. So that gets back to my -- the beginning of
- 15 my question.
- 16 Who's going to make that determination?
- 17 A. Through the process that we've discussed previously,
- 18 | through the Nationwide Permit 12 process with the Corps
- 19 of Engineers, the Corps consults with the Fish & Wildlife
- 20 Service.
- 21 O. Uh-huh.
- 22 A. And the Fish & Wildlife Service will -- should make
- 23 a determination as to the effect of that permitting
- 24 process with regard to protected species.
- 25 Your point is that if it's not federal land or the

- Corps of Engineers does not have jurisdictional authority
 over it because it's an upland, it's not a wetland, it's
- My understanding is that in this case under

 Section 10 of the Endangered Species Act it's incumbent

upon the project proponent to not take a listed species.

not a water body, who makes the determination?

- Q. And you would agree that although this particular species is not on the Endangered Species Act, it is
- 9 protected by other federal laws; correct?
- 10 A. Yes.

3

6

- 11 Q. I'd like you to turn to page 6 of Rosebud Sioux
- 12 Tribe Exhibit 12, the same document that we've been
- 13 working from.
- On the right-hand side of the page towards the top
- 15 there you'll see a heading that says United States.
- 16 A. I see it.
- Q. Directly under that you'll see a subheading in
- 18 | italics titled Breeding.
- Can you go ahead and read that paragraph, please, to yourself.
- 21 A. Okay.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 (Witness examines document)
- 24 A. Okay.
- Q. Okay. Do you know where -- after you've read that,

- 1 do you know where the Sprague's pipit breeding grounds
- 2 primarily occur?
- 3 A. Yes, I do.
- 4 Q. And where is that?
- 5 A. Excuse me. Northern Great Plains, primarily north
- 6 central and eastern Montana to North Dakota, through to
- 7 northwestern and north central South Dakota.
- 8 Q. Thank you. Directly under that -- well, do you know
- 9 where the migration route of the Sprague's pipit is?
- 10 You can read the next paragraph if you want.
- 11 A. Yep.
- 12 Q. We'll cut to the chase here.
- 13 (Witness examines document)
- 14 A. Yes. Fall migration primarily occurs through the
- 15 Great Plains from late September through early November.
- 16 | Spring migration, central Great Plains.
- 17 Q. Okay. And they have spring here identified as April
- 18 | and May. Would you agree with that?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Mr. Young's testimony regarding the pallid sturgeon.
- 21 On page 4 he states that "No analysis was conducted to
- 22 determine the potential impacts caused by a leak of HDD
- 23 | crossings."
- Do you agree with that, that no analysis was
- 25 performed?

- 1 A. Yes. Well, I agree that we did not have any
- 2 analysis to review.
- 3 Q. So if it was performed, it wasn't provided to you?
- 4 A. Right. But I would expect that analysis to be part
- 5 of the federal consultation process.
- 6 | Q. Would that be something that you would normally
- 7 | expect to be provided to a group like yourself that
- 8 reviews applications?
- 9 A. Not necessarily.
- 10 Q. No?
- 11 A. Not in reviewing applications through the state
- 12 process when the analysis is likely to be part of the
- 13 federal process.
- 14 Q. But we don't know if it's part of the federal
- 15 process or not.
- 16 A. Not for sure.
- 17 Q. Okay. And you haven't seen anything --
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 0. -- that would indicate that it was done.
- 20 The same -- Dakota Skipper, the testimony on page 4
- 21 | indicates that no surveys were conducted to determine if
- 22 this species or its habitat occurs in the project area.
- 23 Would you agree with that statement?
- 24 A. Again, I agree that if surveys were conducted, we
- 25 don't know about them.

- 1 Q. Well, this statement says no surveys were conducted.
- 2 And earlier when Ms. Edwards was asking you to adopt this
- 3 | testimony she asked you if there was any changes,
- 4 | updates, or clarifications that you desired to make.
- 5 Do you remember doing that?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. And do you recall changing anything relating to this
- 8 portion of the testimony?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Okay. So then is it true that this testimony states
- 11 | that no surveys were conducted?
- 12 A. That's what this testimony says. That's right.
- 13 Q. And then you haven't changed that so this is
- 14 | accurate; correct?
- 15 A. It is accurate, to the best of my knowledge. That's
- 16 correct.
- 17 Q. Okay. Also regarding the Western Prairie Fringed
- 18 Orchid -- I'm still on page 4 -- the last line of that
- 19 | little short paragraph says No surveys were conducted to
- 20 determine if this species or its habitat occurs in the
- 21 project area.
- Do you agree with that statement?
- 23 A. I agree, to the best of my knowledge, no surveys
- 24 were conducted.
- 25 Q. You would also agree that is not a portion of the

- 1 testimony that you clarified, updated, earlier this
- 2 morning?
- 3 A. I agree.
- 4 MR. RAPPOLD: I'm trying to find an exact
- 5 location on a page. Excuse me.
- I have no further questions at this point.
- 7 Thank you, Mr. Flo.
- MS. WIEST: Go ahead, Ms. Craven.
- 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MS. CRAVEN:
- 11 Q. Good morning, Mr. Flo. Kimberly Craven, attorney
- 12 for the Indigenous Environmental Network and Dakota Rural
- 13 Action.
- 14 How are you this morning?
- 15 A. I'm doing well. Thank you.
- 16 Q. I want to start off getting some clarification of
- 17 | some testimony that I believe Mr. Ledin provided.
- MS. CRAVEN: I had it right here, and now it's
- 19 disappeared.
- 20 Q. It's Mr. Nickel's testimony. On page 2 of
- 21 Mr. Nickel's testimony -- and this is getting back to
- 22 discussing the HCAs and the USAs.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. Are you there?
- 25 A. Yeah.

Q. The question was asked based on your review of the Revised Application, any related Interrogatories, do you believe the project will cross any unusually sensitive areas, USAs? If so, please explain.

2.3

And the answer is Based on NRG's review of Dakota
Access's revised the Application and related
Interrogatories, we believe that the project may cross
USAs in South Dakota. A determination of whether an
area is, in fact, unusually sensitive as defined by
49 CFR 195.6 is ultimately to be made by the governmental
body with regulatory authority over the drinking water
or ecological resource that is being crossed.

And there's been some different various testimonies.

Could you please provide an elaboration of that statement and a clarification of it.

A. Yes. So going back to the example of habitat, so ecological resource would include habitat for protected species. And if the agency that had regulatory authority over that listed species determined that a particular area of habitat was unusually sensitive, then we believe that that area would qualify under 49 CFR 195.6 as a USA and, therefore, as an HCA.

Q. And I guess I'd like to get a better understanding of the governmental authorities as that applies to drinking water.

- A. Okay.
- 2 So, again, I apologize. I don't have the CFR in
- 3 front of me. But the definition also referred to
- 4 drinking water resources -- I'm paraphrasing -- and so I
- 5 | think later we refer to Minnehaha County Zone A wellhead
- 6 | protection and source water areas. Other examples,
- 7 Lewis & Clark water system.
- 8 So if any of these areas that are defined as
- 9 drinking water source areas are defined by those bodies
- 10 | that control them or regulate them as unusually
- 11 sensitive, that's how we believe the CFR comes into play.
- 12 Q. Okay. So how would that apply to the Missouri
- River, which is a major source of drinking water for many
- 14 people?
- 15 A. The Missouri River is not crossed by the project in
- 16 South Dakota.
- 17 Q. It is a source of drinking water for many people so
- 18 I would imagine that it would fit into the category of an
- 19 unusually sensitive area as defined as drinking water
- 20 under this HCA.
- 21 A. If it was crossed.
- 22 Q. Well, it's crossed in North Dakota twice.
- MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Counsel's testifying.
- 24 There wasn't a question there.
- 25 Q. Okay. Do you know --

- 1 MS. WIEST: Okay. The objection is sustained. 2 If you can rephrase the question. 3 MS. CRAVEN: Okay. 4 Do you know that the DAPL crosses the Missouri River twice in North Dakota? 6 A. Yes. 7 MS. EDWARDS: Objection. Outside the scope of 8 this witness's testimony. He didn't testify about anything in North Dakota. 10 MS. CRAVEN: I'm trying to get to the drinking 11 water question here. 12 MS. WIEST: I'll allow it to try to get to the 13 drinking water question. 14 If a spill were to occur in the Missouri River in 15 North Dakota, would that impact the people who drink the 16 water in South Dakota? 17 MS. SEMMLER: I'm going to make an objection 18 there. He's not a hydrologist. He didn't study drinking 19 water effects. So I'm going to echo the objection that
- 21 MS. EDWARDS: I'd also object that that's speculation on his part.

20

Staff just made.

MS. CRAVEN: Mr. Flo has adopted the testimony
of Mr. Nickel who has testified to hydrology, USAs,
hydrostatic testing, all of this stuff.

- MS. WIEST: Overruled. He does talk about drinking water in the testimony.
- 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I'm going to sustain.
- 4 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I'll overrule.
- 5 COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: I'll overrule.
- A. So I don't think that there's any way to answer that question because there are too many variables at
- 8 play.
- 9 igl| Q. I'm asking about downstream users of water.
- 10 A. Right. And I don't know the answer to that question
- 11 because I imagine that there are several dams or could be
- 12 between the point of crossing or points of crossing in
- North Dakota and the downstream water users. And so
- 14 | that's one variable.
- There are other variables such as the volume of the
- 16 spill, the speed and effectiveness of the response.
- 17 | There's just no way to tell without a lot more
- 18 information.
- 19 Q. Are you aware that there are three tribal water
- 20 intakes for drinking water between the crossing and the
- 21 Oahe Dam?
- 22 A. No. I was not aware.
- 23 Q. And are you aware that the Mni Sose Water Pipeline
- 24 comes from the Missouri River and is a source of
- 25 drinking water for many tribal and non-Indian

- 1 communities?
- 2 A. No, I don't. I'm not aware of that one.
- 3 | Q. Would you consider the Missouri River to be an HCA
- 4 | if it is a source of drinking water?
- 5 MS. SEMMLER: I object. This has been asked and
- 6 answered. The crossing is in North Dakota.
- 7 MS. CRAVEN: I'm not asking about the crossing.
- 8 MS. WIEST: I think this question has been asked
- 9 and answered.
- 10 Sustained.
- MS. CRAVEN: I don't recall his answer to it
- 12 then, whether he would consider it an HCA.
- 13 A. My understanding from other testimony is that it has
- 14 been defined as an HCA.
- 15 Q. Thank you. Do you agree that there should be no
- 16 | withdrawals of water for hydrostatic testing from waters
- which are habitats for protected species?
- 18 A. Unless allowed by the government agency that
- 19 regulates withdrawals of water from the particular water
- 20 body.
- 21 Q. And do you agree that natural grasslands should be
- 22 | identified prior to construction and avoided altogether?
- 23 A. That is our recommendation.
- Q. Do you agree that the permanent easement size is too
- 25 big?

- 1 A. Well, it depends on -- it depends on whether it's
- 2 crossing a sensitive area or a habitat area. In general
- 3 I don't think the permanent easement is too big.
- 4 Q. With respect to protected species, do you agree the
- 5 Revised Application is inadequate?
- 6 A. I don't call it inadequate. I think it's incomplete
- 7 until the Fish & Wildlife Service consultation is
- 8 complete.
- 9 Q. DAPL planned six open cuts with water bodies with
- 10 Topeka shiner habitat. Do you concur with that?
- 11 A. I think that's correct.
- 12 Q. Would that affect the Topeka shiner habitat?
- 13 A. It could. But that's for the Fish & Wildlife
- 14 Service to determine.
- MS. SEMMLER: You know, I'm going to object. I
- 16 think that misstates the evidence. There is not that
- 17 | number of open cut crossings. So I'd ask that that be
- 18 stricken as it misstates the record.
- MS. CRAVEN: I believe that's in Cameron Young's
- 20 testimony.
- MS. WIEST: Can you point to it?
- MS. CRAVEN: Page 4. The question that's at the
- 23 | bottom, In your opinion, does Dakota Access properly
- 24 mitigate the potential impacts the project could have on
- 25 the Topeka shiner?

Going down to the third line, it says According to the Revised Application, there are eight water bodies that may contain Topeka Shiners. Of these, six will be open cut, thereby directly impacting fish habitat and potentially altering water quality, all of which may directly and indirectly affect Topeka Shiners.

MS. SEMMLER: And if I may respond that was modified by Ms. Howard in her direct testimony. She made that correction on the witness stand.

MS. CRAVEN: It's in this testimony right in front of us. It was not corrected today here. It's his testimony he's adopted.

MS. WIEST: Yes. This is the testimony that we're dealing with right now.

I think that we can look at the record, and the record will reflect the correct answer. And at this point I will overrule the objection.

- Q. So can you answer that, please.
- 19 A. I'm sorry. I wasn't sure what the question was.
- Q. Would the six open cuts affect the Topeka shiner
- 21 habitat?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 22 A. They could, but that's up to the Fish & Wildlife
- 23 Service to determine in consultation with the Corps of
- 24 Engineers.
- 25 Q. Should the sources for hydrostatic test water or for

1 discharge of test water ever be permitted in waters that 2 are impaired where the TSSS, meaning total suspended solid standards, have already been exceeded? 3 4 I mean, I think that that's up to the State to 5 determine, but if TSS levels are exceeded in the source 6 water or the discharge body, it's not a concern for me as 7 a source water for appropriation or a discharge water 8 body, assuming that the correct discharge -- both appropriation and discharge best management practices are 10 in place. 11 Q. And just for the record, have you published any 12 authoritative, scientific, or technical articles or 13 treatises? 14 Α. No. 15 Has Mr. Nickel ever published any authoritative, 16 scientific, or technical articles or treatises? 17 Not to my knowledge. Α. 18 MS. CRAVEN: Thank you. No more questions. 19 MS. NORTHRUP: I have no questions. Thank you. 20 MS. WIEST: Just for break purposes, do you have 21 many questions, Dakota Access? 22 MS. SEMMLER: Yeah. I don't know. 2.3 MS. WIEST: Let's take a break. We will take --

I have 9:45. We'll take a 15-minute break and come back

24

25

at 10:00.

1 (A short recess is taken) 2 MS. WIEST: Dakota Access. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION 4 BY MS. SEMMLER: Hi. This is Kara Semmler for Dakota Access. Ο. 6 So before we took the break you were asked a few 7 questions about water withdrawal. I'm going to start 8 there. Okay? Α. Okay. 10 Is it your understanding that the South Dakota 11 Department of Environment and Natural Resources has a permitting process for that? 12 13 Yes. Α. 14 And if the Applicant abides by that Permit and their 15 recommendations, does that satisfy you? 16 Yes. Α. 17 The process for that withdrawal, you commented on it 18 needs to be done correctly, obviously; right? 19 Α. Correct. 20 Would it satisfy you if you knew that there was a 21 screened intake which prevents the -- which prevents the entrapment of the species, in addition that the water 22 2.3 withdrawal is suspended within the water column?

A. If we're talking about protected species in that

water body and as long as the Fish & Wildlife Service

24

- 1 | agreed with that method, or the Corps in consultation
- 2 | with the Fish & Wildlife Service, then yes.
- 3 Q. So, again, you would leave those -- that analysis up
- 4 to those other agencies?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. We got a bit bogged down in definitions; HCA, USA.
- 7 Rather than rehash any of that, let's talk about
- 8 practically pipelines.
- 9 Practically, what does it mean to the pipeline when
- 10 | there is such an area identified?
- 11 A. Since I am not an expert on the operation of the
- 12 pipeline, I would have to refer to the gentleman's
- 13 testimony yesterday. I think that it was a much more
- 14 thorough explanation than what I could offer.
- 15 Q. But it is your understanding, right, that PHMSA is
- 16 the pipeline safety enforcement agency?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And it is your understanding that PHMSA generates a
- 19 map to show these HCA areas; right?
- 20 A. I do understand that that is the case. I'm not -- I
- 21 | haven't used the map so I don't know how often it's
- 22 updated, generated, et cetera. But I know that that is
- 23 one way for a company to perform its due diligence in
- 24 | identifying HCAs.
- 25 Q. The Endangered Species Act applies regardless of

- 1 whether there is a federal nexus; correct?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 \mid Q. And it's the -- under the act it's the species
- 4 | that's protected, not the habitat; correct?
- 5 A. Unless there is a habitat conservation plan in place
- 6 for a specific location.
- 7 Q. And you mean designated critical habitat?
- 8 A. Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. That's correct.
- 9 Q. There was some question about how human activity can
- 10 eliminate habitat. Do you remember that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And you testified that farming, for example, can
- 13 | eliminate habitat; right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Is it your understanding that this Applicant will
- 16 reclaim, rehabilitate the habitat it passes through?
- 17 A. That's my understanding from the Application, that
- 18 | areas affected by construction of the pipeline will be
- 19 restored after construction.
- 20 Q. Do you know what the State EIS process is?
- 21 A. I briefly reviewed the regulations so I know
- 22 | generally what is required. But I do not know what
- 23 triggers a State EIS.
- Q. So based on that review then, you know it's quite
- 25 different than the federal?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. A long-eared bat. I'm going to go there. Okay?
- 3 A. Okay.
- 4 Q. Are you aware of the 4D rule exception?
- $5 \mid A$. I am aware of it, but I'm not an expert in it.
- 6 Q. So you're not aware that pursuant to that rule,
- 7 | north of central Iowa the species is excluded from
- 8 protection?
- 9 A. That is not the reading of the rule of
- 10 | Cameron Young, but I assume that through the Fish &
- 11 Wildlife Service consultation whatever interpretation
- 12 will be resolved.
- Q. So, again, if the Applicant gets that necessary
- 14 | clarification through U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service,
- 15 you're satisfied?
- 16 A. That's right. The Service is the expert for that
- 17 matter.
- 18 Q. And I'm just going to generally ask. There was a
- 19 list of species in Cameron Young's testimony. I just
- 20 | want to be sure we've got a clear record.
- 21 If U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service is consulted and any
- 22 recommendations are followed, you're satisfied?
- 23 A. That's right. And so to the extent that somehow the
- 24 Fish & Wildlife Service would not make a recommendation
- 25 for a given species, that's when our recommendations

1 would apply. 2 But if the Fish & Wildlife Service either provides 3 recommendations or concurs in the company's findings, 4 then we're satisfied. 5 Q. So if the Fish & Wildlife Service makes no comment, 6 makes no recommendation, you're satisfied with that as 7 well then; right? Because they're not taking an active 8 role, they're not concerned? A. Well, the absence of a comment or a recommendation 10 on the part of Fish & Wildlife Service doesn't mean that 11 a species is not protected and, therefore, shouldn't be 12 avoided. 13 Q. If a formal consultation is performed, does your 14 position stand? 15 MR. RAPPOLD: Objection. Calls for speculation. 16 MS. SEMMLER: Asking about his understanding of 17 the process. 18 MR. RAPPOLD: She didn't ask about his 19 understanding of the process. She asked him to 20 speculate. 21 MS. WIEST: Can you rephrase that question? MS. SEMMLER: I'll just add a couple words. 22 2.3 Q. Based on your experience, based on your

understanding of the process, if formal consultation

takes place, does it change your opinion?

24

I'll ask it a different way. Based on your
experience and your opinion, if formal consultation takes
place and as a result of that formal consultation U.S.
Fish & Wildlife Service doesn't make any recommendations,
do you believe they're satisfied?

MR. RAPPOLD: Objection. The question's been asked and answered. The witness testified previously if Fish & Wildlife Service doesn't make a recommendation, then that's when their recommendations come in, meaning theirs meaning NRG.

He's answered the question.

MS. SEMMLER: This question is different because I've asked about formal consultation. There's a difference.

MS. WIEST: Overruled.

- A. If the Service does not make a recommendation with regard to a specific species but concurs in the finding of the company, then that satisfies me.
- 19 Q. Thank you.

2.3

2.4

I just want to be sure the record is clear. You were asked some questions about dewatering. You don't think that the company actually puts water in the trench; right?

A. No. No. The water -- no. That's right. As I said, the water is incidental to the process either

- 1 | because of runoff from rain or because of groundwater out
- 2 | welling into the trench.
- 3 Q. And based on your review, it appears that the
- 4 | company's using best management practices for dewatering;
- 5 | right?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Weeds, cleaning stations. If the Applicant's
- 8 | working with the NRCS regarding weed management and is
- 9 going to follow their recommendations, does that satisfy
- 10 you?
- 11 A. I would only add the counties because the counties
- 12 often have weed control officers as well. So yes.
- 13 Q. You mentioned muffling sound, muffling noise from
- 14 equipment. Do you remember that?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What do you mean by that or --
- 17 A. Sure. So the concern is that the noise from
- 18 | construction equipment like starting up the engines in
- 19 the morning on the right of way could, for instance,
- 20 startle and interrupt breeding birds or roosting
- 21 protected species.
- 22 And my clarification was that as long as equipment
- 23 is kept in good working order, that shouldn't be a
- 24 | concern. That's just a industry standard of best
- 25 practice.

- 1 | Q. You mentioned that it is a usual requirement of
- 2 permits. What -- can you name that permit?
- 3 A. It's often stated in a state routing permit that the
- 4 company shall require the construction contractor to keep
- 5 equipment in good working order.
- 6 | Q. And so long as the equipment is properly tuned and
- 7 | functioning, that meets that requirement you've seen
- 8 before?
- 9 A. That's correct. That's also a requirement of other
- 10 state and federal permits.
- 11 Q. You were asked some questions about Ryan Ledin's
- 12 testimony, page 5, measures to mitigate impacts on water
- 13 bodies.
- 14 These are all generally best management practices;
- 15 right?
- 16 A. I'm just quick reviewing.
- 17 Q. Starting at line 40?
- 18 A. Yes. Yes.
- 19 Q. And you have and as you testified before, I guess,
- 20 you understand the company's following all best
- 21 | management practices?
- 22 A. That is my understanding.
- MS. SEMMLER: Nothing further.
- MS. WIEST: Any Commissioner questions?
- 25 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Have you ever been involved in

a pipeline project where a third-party monitor or inspector was employed to monitor both the construction and the reclamation process with the goal of ensuring the best possible outcome in a reclamation area?

THE WITNESS: Yes. Many times.

2.3

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Can you explain to me how that works? Who actually employs the monitor? Who pays for the monitor? What the responsibilities would typically be.

Give us a picture of that.

THE WITNESS: Sure. It's most common in the arena of FERC regulated natural gas pipelines, but it has also been adopted for crude oil pipelines by other state regulating agencies, including other Public Utilities

Commissions, departments of natural resources, et cetera, anyone with direct regulatory authority over the construction activities.

And so what typically happens is that the company employs a consultant who hires and manages the environmental inspectors who assist the company in assuring compliance with the permits and conditions and other regulations and construction plans.

The agency would also have the ability to hire a consultant who hires and manages these -- the folks, essentially the same people who might be an environmental

inspector on one project and on another project they're a third-party compliance monitor.

2.3

So the agency hires the consultant and supervises the construction monitor with the help of the consultant. The construction monitor is in the field 10 hours a day, six days a week observing the construction activities, knows the permits, the permit conditions.

That monitor does not have directorial ability, and I believe that monitor does not even have stop work authority, except maybe in safety situations. That monitor, depending on the terms of the contract, typically reports to the agency either daily or weekly and provides just a list of the activities observed, if there were any problem areas, if there were any concerns. Similarly, when things are done well, when things are done correctly, or over and above.

And in those situations that I'm familiar with the cost of that process to employ and engage the monitor and the firm that hires and manages, that cost is passed through to the project proponent.

Even on FERC projects I don't believe that it's something that is mandatory, that the FERC can actually require, but they structure their permit so that it's -- the option is there and the companies, the project

proponents, often opt into that program, the construction monitoring program, because through that process if the company wants to make a change in the field that is different than the construction plans or crossing, water body crossing method, that sort of thing that's maybe in the permit, that construction monitor can facilitate that change in the field, which often is far more expedient for the company than submitting a change request to the agency.

2.3

In the case of FERC, you know, wherever the project is, they have to submit it to Washington, D.C. Staff gets to it when they have time, et cetera.

If you have a construction monitor in the field and the contract -- and the permit is structured accordingly, that construction monitor can facilitate changes within a preconsidered range of reasonableness in the field and keep things moving along, which is good for everybody.

It's certainly good for the project proponent, but it's also good for restoration to move through not necessarily as quickly as possible but in a reasonable period of time so that the trench isn't left open too long, the right of way isn't left unrestored for too long, et cetera, et cetera.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Has NRG ever provided those

type of third-party monitoring services? 1 2 THE WITNESS: We have, and we do. 3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you for your 4 explanation. 5 MS. WIEST: Any other Commission questions? 6 Anymore cross based on Commissioner? 7 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. CRAVEN: You testified that you looked at the regs for the 10 state EIS? 11 MS. SEMMLER: I object. That's outside the scope of Commissioner Nelson's question. 12 13 MS. WIEST: Yes. That is outside the scope. 14 MR. RAPPOLD: One more question? 15 MS. WIEST: Go ahead. 16 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. RAPPOLD: 18 Q. Mr. Flo, are you familiar with any other consultant 19 organizations besides NRG that provides similar services 20 to what you provided in response to Mr. Commissioner 21 Nelson's question? 22 Yes. There are several. 2.3 Do you know any off the top of your head? 2.4 I believe Merjent does. I think Perennial does.

TRC, I think. Cardno. The ENTRIX part is no longer.

- 1 MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you.
- MS. SEMMLER: I have one. This is Kara. One
- 3 last question.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MS. SEMMLER:

- 6 Q. You indicated that this process has worked and been
- 7 | adopted by other states in state permitting processes?
- 8 A. Yes.

- 9 Q. Do you know off the top of your head what those
- 10 states were and what those projects were?
- 11 A. Sure. In Minnesota for the Alberta Clipper Project,
- 12 the Southern Lights Project, and the LSR Project. So
- 13 those are three different projects. Those are all
- 14 | Enbridge projects.
- 15 Also in Minnesota the MinnCan Project. I believe
- 16 | the -- and for Enbridge. I'm most familiar with
- 17 Enbridge. They're primarily a crude oil pipeline
- 18 operating company. And it's become very routine for them
- 19 to do that.
- 20 And so just about any Enbridge project in Michigan,
- 21 | Wisconsin. I can't think of any others off the top of my
- head.
- 23 Q. No. That's helpful. Thank you.
- So do you know off the top of your head, was that
- 25 | condition put into that state agency's permit then? Is

that correct?

2.3

A. Yeah. Yes, that's right.

So in Wisconsin their wetlands and water bodies permit is the Chapter 30 Permit. That's what they call it. And so the Wisconsin DENR has the ability to require that specifically for monitoring wetland and water body crossings.

In Minnesota, the Minnesota DNR regulates that sort of thing for, again, water body crossings, wetlands that are state wetlands and state-owned land, trails, parks, but also just land that's not publicly owned -- or excuse me. Not privately owned.

In Wisconsin and Minnesota and I think Illinois and certainly other states the Departments of Agriculture have the ability to employ ag monitors on -- either employ or require ag monitors as part of the ag impact mitigation plans.

And another example -- although I don't know that it's regulated by a agency. If it is, it would be the State Department of Agriculture -- is organic farm monitors.

So, again, someone to -- who's familiar with farming practices and familiar with the specific organic certification requirements of a given farm to make sure that the landowner doesn't lose that certification.

- 1 Q. I didn't hear any Public Utilities Commission. Are
- 2 | you aware of any of that type of state agency that's
- 3 | imposed this as a condition?
- 4 A. I believe that -- in terms of third-party compliance
- 5 | monitoring, I'm not sure if, for instance, the Minnesota
- 6 PUC has required it. The Minnesota PUC has included
- 7 requirements for environmental inspection in route
- 8 permits. I don't recall, I'm afraid, whether they did
- 9 require third-party compliance monitors.
- 10 Q. Do you know if the South Dakota statutes, the
- 11 parameters under which this Commission's working with, do
- 12 | you know if those statutes would permit this sort of a
- 13 recommendation?
- 14 A. In South Dakota? I'm not certain. I'm not familiar
- 15 enough to know.
- MS. SEMMLER: Nothing further.
- MS. WIEST: Is there any redirect?
- MS. EDWARDS: Very briefly.

19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 20 BY MS. EDWARDS:
- 21 Q. Mr. Flo, you testified about endangered species
- 22 being removed from the list. How do they become removed
- 23 from the list?
- 24 A. My understanding is that it's a similar process to
- 25 | the listing process. The Service itself proposes a

species for listing, and then the Service would then 1 2 propose a species for what they call delisting. But there would have to be studies that show that 3 4 the species has recovered to a point where it no longer 5 requires the protection of being listed on the Endangered 6 Species Act. 7 MS. EDWARDS: Thank you. No further questions. 8 MS. WIEST: Any recross based on that redirect? Okay. 10 Any Commissioner questions? 11 Okay. Thank you. 12 You may call your next witness. 13 MS. CREMER: Thank you. Staff would call 14 Derric Iles. 15 (The oath is administered by the court reporter.) 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. CREMER: 18 Good morning, Mr. Iles. I bet you thought this day 19 was never going to come, didn't you? 20 I was beginning to wonder. 21 0. Would you state your name and address for the 22 record. 2.3 A. Derric Iles, and the business address is 24 Akeley-Lawrence Science Center, 414 East Clark Street,

Vermillion, South Dakota 57069.

- 1 Q. What is your professional title?
- 2 A. I am the State Geologist and administrator of the
- 3 | Geological Survey Program within the South Dakota
- 4 Department of Environment and Natural Resources.
- 5 Q. What are your job responsibilities?
- 6 A. As the State Geologist, my responsibilities are to
- 7 | plan, organize, and direct the activities of that
- 8 particular State agency.
- 9 Q. Would you briefly describe your educational
- 10 background.
- 11 A. I have a bachelor of arts degree from the University
- 12 of Northern Iowa in geology, which was obtained in 1975.
- 13 And I have a master's in geology obtained in 1977 from
- 14 | Iowa State University.
- 15 Q. And can you describe your work experience since
- 16 | college? Relevant work experience.
- 17 A. That still may not limit it very much.
- 18 From graduate school I came to the great State of
- 19 | South Dakota and began work at the Geological Survey in
- 20 | 1977 and worked as a geologist and hydrologist for the
- 21 | Geological Survey until I believe it was November of
- 22 1984.
- During that time my primary responsibilities
- 24 included management of subsurface drilling programs to
- 25 | characterize the geology and groundwater resources

dominantly in parts of eastern South Dakota, as well as investigating, on behalf of the State, petroleum leaks that occurred in various communities related to underground storage tanks.

2.3

That was in a time before the private consulting world was really geared up in South Dakota to do those sorts of things. And so at that time in our State's history the Geological Survey filled that role with its own drilling equipment and its own staff members.

In 1984, November of 1984, I began working as a consultant based out of St. Paul, and my primary responsibilities there were to investigate places which had petroleum contamination, again, dominantly related to leaking underground storage tanks and the advertences associated with those at gasoline stations.

And during that time my project sites ranged geographically from West Virginia to California. So I bounced around the country.

In early 1986 I returned to employment in the State of South Dakota with the Geological Survey, again, concentrating on geology and groundwater resources dominantly in eastern South Dakota and had the wonderful opportunity to be part of the team that designed and installed what I still think is the best ambient shallow groundwater monitoring network in the country and

- 1 continued to look at other water resource issues in
- 2 eastern South Dakota.
- In 1998 I began my tenure as the South Dakota State
- 4 Geologist and have continued until present in that
- 5 position.
- 6 Q. Can you explain to us how you became involved in
- 7 this matter?
- 8 A. I was subpoenaed by the Public Utilities Commission.
- 9 Q. What generally did you review or analyze in order to
- 10 | file prefiled testimony?
- 11 A. I looked at the proposed route of the pipeline in
- 12 relationship to the surface geology and very near surface
- 13 geology along that route.
- 14 Q. And in front of you is what is -- has been marked
- 15 for identification purposes as Staff Exhibit 5. Do you
- 16 | see that?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Is that your prefiled testimony?
- 19 A. It is.
- 20 Q. Does it have any attachments?
- 21 A. It does not.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Well, it does too. It has my resume.
- 24 Q. All right. Thank you.
- Do you have any additions, deletions, or edits to

- 1 make?
- 2 A. I do not.
- 3 Q. If I asked you the same questions today as those
- 4 posed in your prefiled testimony, would your answers be
- 5 the same?
- 6 A. They would.
- 7 Q. At the time your testimony and exhibit was filed,
- 8 was it true and correct to the best of your information
- 9 and knowledge?
- 10 A. Yes.
- MS. CREMER: I would ask that Staff Exhibit 5 be
- 12 admitted.
- MS. WIEST: Is there any objection to Staff
- 14 Exhibit 5?
- 15 If not, it has been admitted.
- 16 Q. Would you summarize the content of your testimony,
- 17 please.
- 18 A. I will try and do that very succinctly without
- 19 | repeating what was in the prefiled testimony.
- 20 And basically what I did is I pointed out areas
- 21 along the pipeline route where a shallow aquifer had been
- 22 | previously mapped by other various investigations.
- 23 Q. Thank you.
- You've been here for the bulk of the week, I
- 25 believe; is that correct?

- 1 A. I have.
- 2 Q. And you heard some testimony regarding the Wall Lake
- 3 Aquifer; is that correct?
- 4 A. I did.
- 5 Q. Are you familiar with the Wall Lake Aquifer?
- 6 A. I, indeed, am. It was more than 30 years ago
- 7 | wherein I was the first one to define what was then named
- 8 the Wall Lake Aquifer. So I have history from the
- 9 inception of the -- the beginning of what we know as the
- 10 | Wall Lake Aquifer.
- 11 It has since undergone subsequent investigations.
- 12 The aquifer extent and thicknesses have been revised as
- is commonplace as science marches on and we learn more,
- 14 but I'm very familiar with it.
- But the thing that is pertinent about the Wall Lake
- 16 Aguifer to me and to these proceedings is that I heard
- 17 testimony by others and questions provided by some of the
- 18 attorneys involved here that implied that there was a
- 19 great potential or a fear of the potential for
- 20 contaminating the Wall Lake Aquifer due to perhaps a leak
- 21 with the pipeline.
- 22 And my opinion, based on my in-depth understanding
- 23 of the glacial geology and the Wall Lake Aquifer and
- 24 | those sediments that lie above the Wall Lake Aquifer is
- 25 the potential -- just strictly speaking with the geology,

- the potential for contamination of the Wall Lake Aquifer due to a leak along the pipeline would be minimal to nonexistent.
- And I can go into great detail as to the
 underpinning of that opinion if you'd like, but that was
 the point that I would like to get out.
- Q. I will leave that to others. I suspect you may hear more questions.
- 9 Did you hear the discussion regarding karst 10 yesterday?
- 11 A. I did.

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

- Q. And do you have knowledge of karst, and, if so, where it's found in South Dakota?
- A. I do have knowledge of karst. And, in fact, my
 master's thesis in a former life was actually about karst
 in northeastern Iowa.
 - And we do have karst in South Dakota. We have some of the world's most spectacular examples of karst, and they would be Wind Cave and Jewel Cave in the Black Hills in South Dakota.
 - But the implication that I heard in yesterday's testimony is that karst may be a concern along the pipeline route in eastern South Dakota. I had not read any of the other prefiled testimony so -- or the concern -- I do not know the basis for the concerns that

were raised about karst yesterday. But I have been involved in similar hearings to this where the issue of karst has come up and the fear of karst being along whatever project is being proposed is -- might be there.

2.3

And in every one of those cases that presumption has been based on a U.S. Geological Survey National Karst

Map. I do not know that to be the case here, but I would suspect that that may be a part of the thinking that went into it.

I am -- in my former life as a field geologist working for the Geological Survey I am the geologist of record on more than 800 test holes and observation wells drilled in eastern South Dakota in sediments just like where the pipeline would be -- is proposed.

I am not aware of any karst of the type that was being discussed yesterday. In other words, karst that may cause land subsidence that could impact a pipeline or any other structure. I am not aware of any karst in eastern South Dakota like that.

I did not see any in any of the geologic maps that I have reviewed or that my staff has prepared, and I did not find any evidence of it in any of the 800 plus test holes that I was on that were drilled in eastern South Dakota.

The national karst map prepared by the U.S.

- 1 | Geological Survey in my opinion is flawed, and I have let
- 2 them know that. And they came out recently with a newer
- 3 | digital version of the National Karst Map which contained
- 4 | all of the same old problems that the original map had
- 5 and created some new issues.
- 6 We have provided comments in detail to the authors
- 7 of that map, and I have been assured by the U.S.
- 8 Geological Survey authors that they will be taking into
- 9 account comments from South Dakota as well as other
- 10 states that submitted comments on that map as they
- 11 attempt to revise the map.
- 12 Q. I'm going to ask you what has been asked of a number
- of witnesses, and that is, so do you have anything else
- 14 | you want to share with us based on what you've heard?
- 15 A. I do not.
- MS. CREMER: All right. Thank you. I'm done
- 17 | with direct, and he is available for cross.
- 18 MS. WIEST: Yankton Sioux Tribe.
- 19 MS. REAL BIRD: Yes.

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 21 BY MS. REAL BIRD:
- 22 O. Good morning. My name is Thomasina Real Bird,
- 23 attorney for the Yankton Sioux Tribe.
- 24 A. Good morning.
- 25 Q. Thank you for your patience. I did notice you've

been in the room for much of the hearing.

Regarding your testimony on the shallow aquifers, do you have concerns with the proposed route crossing areas where shallow aquifers have been identified?

A. No, I don't. And so then that raises the question as why did I even bring this up. And the reason that I prepared the testimony that I did is that commonly in proceedings like this the locations of shallow aquifers are a subject of discussion.

And that is why I prepared the testimony that I did. Not because I had a concern of construction of a pipeline in those areas.

- Q. And you mentioned the sediment above Wall aquifer.
- 14 What are the sediments above the aquifer as listed in
- 15 your testimony?

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

- A. Sediments above the Wall Lake Aquifer are glacially derived clays, dominantly clays. The Wall Lake Aquifer
- is a glacially derived sand and gravel, glacial melt
- 19 water deposited sand and gravel.

20 The clays above it that we have researched
21 extensively are as low or lower in permeability than the
22 liner requirement for a Subtitle D landfill. And we know
23 that because we did extensive research at the Sioux Falls
24 Landfill, which also directly lies above the Wall Lake

25 Aquifer.

We did research a couple of miles south of Worthing, we did research in the Dolton area to the west of Sioux Falls, and we did the same kind of research northwest in the Miller and Highmore areas, which is west of the pipeline proposed route.

2.3

2.4

And the purpose of that research was to examine and to document groundwater conditions and potential groundwater movement through those glacial clays. The textbook teachings of how groundwater would move through those clays was that you have rainfall hitting the land surface, snow melt contributing water at the land surface. The water infiltrates to the water table and then continues downward until it reaches some aquifer or permeable zone and then migrates laterally with the groundwater flow in those aquifers.

The work that we have been doing as the Geological Survey over the years raised questions about the traditional thinking of how that water moved, and so we devised a research plan to look at that.

Our research shows that in any measurement in the human time scale that the groundwater does not move vertically downward in any significant quantity to those buried glacial sands and gravels. Rather, the water will infiltrate to the water table and then evaporate directly back up to the atmosphere with essentially no vertically

downward migration below that through those very, very low permeability, glacially derived clays.

And based on the proximity of three of our research areas to the Wall Lake Aquifer area, I firmly believe that my interpretation that the threat to contamination of the Wall Lake Aquifer by human activities at land surface is minimal to nonexistent.

Q. Thank you.

2.3

And so the studies you mentioned were to groundwater. Was there any additional substance present in those studies? For example, here we're looking at crude oil.

If crude oil were mixed with the groundwater, in your opinion would that change whether that material -- that mixture would be able to travel through the glacially derived clay deposits?

A. No. In order for the -- first of all, the -- if there was a spill and crude oil mingled with the groundwater, the crude oil would tend to move -- or portions of the crude oil would tend to move where the groundwater goes.

So there has to be a gradient in the groundwater system. There has to be, rather, a push, a movement of the water to carry whatever contaminants might be with it. And we documented that there is no consistent

- downward vertical gradient at any of the research sites
 that we looked at.
- Therefore, there is no mechanism, no physical
 mechanism to carry shallow surface contaminants down to
 the Wall Lake Aquifer.
- Q. Does that mean it will sit there and pool, or what does that mean?
- A. In the glacial clays that is largely a decent characterization. There is very minimal lateral movement of groundwater or -- and, therefore, contaminants in those glacial clays.
- Q. And back to the crude oil. If it were mixed with
 water and pooled there, would the presence of the crude
 oil in the mixture change the permeability, or would it
 be able to permeate the deposits over the aquifer?
- 16 A. I do not believe so.
- Q. I'm just wondering the effect of the additional substance such as crude oil when all that's been studied has been water.
- A. We studied the physical properties of the clay, and just the presence of a single contaminant is not going to change those physical properties of that rather thick clay layer.
- Q. So if the single contaminant were made up of many different components, though, my understanding is there

- is many components of crude oil so all those were studied as to their effect on the permeability of clay?
- A. We did not look at contaminants in our study. We looked at the native physical properties of the glacially derived clays and the groundwater contained in it.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

As to the glacially derived clay, is the uniformity of the thickness -- is the thickness uniform above the aquifers or not?

A. No. The thickness would not be totally uniform.

There would be variability in the thickness. But the depths of wells that I heard talked about in testimony I believe yesterday, maybe the day before, were in the

And wells at those depths, assuming that the well is properly constructed, properly maintained, would -- should not be threatened by any contaminant at land surface.

- Q. And what is the variation of the thickness of the clay --
- 21 A. I do not have that number.

180- to 220-foot depth range.

Q. And moving to karst, that was my line of
questioning, and I'm trying to reconcile the information
provided publicly by the U.S. Geological Service as well
as portions of the Application that cite the potential

karst at certain mileposts.

2.3

What is your take on why the Applicant -- or is there a potential for karst at those mileposts identified by the Applicant?

A. I would doubt it seriously. But typically what is done is you grab the -- the publication, or the map in this case, and, again, I'm assuming it's the National Karst Map prepared by the U.S. Geological Survey.

It's common to find a map or a publication that covers your entire area of concern, and in this case it's eastern South Dakota. And the only single source information -- of information on karst potential in that area is the National Karst Map, as far as I'm aware.

And, unfortunately, in my opinion that map is flawed. There are disclaimers and subtleties in the map that are often missed by users. And you have to be extremely careful in reading the fine print on the map as to what it's really telling you.

But I disagree that we have karst potential along the pipeline route of the type that would cause land subsidence that may impact, you know, surface or near surface structures.

- Q. And you indicated that you've made your concerns known to the U.S. Geological Service?
- A. I have indeed.

- Q. Do you know why -- has the U.S. Geological Service responded to you? 2
- I actually met with one of the authors of the 3 4 map at a recent meeting. And he was painfully aware of 5 concerns that were put to him by people in South Dakota. 6 And I know of other written comments that came from Oklahoma.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

And he was aware of concerns from the various states and had taken those to heart and said that he was -- he and the other author were going to be working with the states to address the issues that the various states have raised about the characterization that that map portrays of karst in their state.

- And when that service came out with the digital version of the map there were no changes?
- It was this most recent digital version, which is presumably supposed to be better than the old one, and it was the newest version on which the various states commented.

So it's a difficult thing because the layperson or the consultant that's not privy to the actual review of these kinds of maps goes to look for something. an obvious source to go look at. And it's a citable, referenceable piece of information that people can use. Unfortunately, in this case and in my opinion, it's

- flawed.
- 2 Q. Is karst visible from the surface?
- 3 A. It certainly can be.
- 4 Q. Is it always visible from the surface?
- 5 A. No, it's not.
- Q. And you mentioned test holes. You bored down? Is
- 7 | that how you do those test holes?
- 8 A. Correct. The Geological Survey Program has two
- 9 drilling rigs that we use routinely to investigate
- 10 geology and help define the groundwater resources in our
- 11 state. And it's those machines that we've used over the
- 12 years.
- We've had drilling equipment for more than 50 years,
- 14 and we use those routinely to document, investigate,
- describe the State's subsurface geology and groundwater
- 16 resources.
- 17 Q. And how deep were those test holes?
- 18 A. Anywhere from 10, 15 feet to over 800 feet.
- 19 Q. And how many did you do in the approximate area of
- 20 | the pipeline route?
- 21 A. I was afraid that question might come, and I do not
- 22 have the number. However, every test hole, every well
- 23 drilled by the Geological Survey Program is available
- 24 online on an interactive map that anybody anywhere in the
- 25 | world and even on their smartphone can access.

So if you would go to the Department of Environment and Natural Resources' home page and access one of their GIS interactive maps, you have access to every single test hole and well that I do. And you can search through the Geological Survey Program's home page. You can search that database using my name as the geologist, and you can find out exactly where I was the rig-side geologist.

- Q. And if one were to do that search, would there be other names that should be searched in addition to yours?
- 11 A. No. There have been a number of people responsible 12 for the logging of the holes over the years. So there's
- 13 no special name that needs to be looked for.

2.3

- Q. My question is if I searched only for your name,
- would that be the comprehensive list of the holes?
- A. Oh, heavens no. We have drilled as an agency I'm going to say roughly 24,000 test holes and wells for our specific projects that we've worked on.

In our online database I believe there's 34, 35,000 records of drilling, which would be those logs in addition to our own that we used in some of our projects.

The Department of Environment and Natural Resources
Water Rights Program, which is the agency that regulates
water permitting in the state, they have roughly 65,
67,000 records of well drilling that are available as

well. And in addition to that, every log of every oil test or gas test that has been drilled in this state is available through that same online mapping service.

So you as well as I have access to over 100,000 test holes and wells on this interactive map.

Q. And you mentioned, in your opinion, karst that is of the kind that would -- and I'm paraphrasing -- potentially lead to land movement is not found in the route area.

Are there other types of karst?

2.3

A. Karst is -- to directly answer your question, I don't know.

But karst is the dissolution of carbonate rocks.

And one of those types mentioned yesterday was limestone.

And, in fact, Wind Cave and Jewel Cave are in what's either -- depending on your preference, it's either called the Madison Limestone or in South Dakota we call it the Paha Sapa Limestone. So it's in a carbonate rock. It's in a limestone.

The reason, I believe -- one of the reasons, I believe, that the National Karst Map shows karst potential in eastern South Dakota along some of the proposed pipeline route is that there's another carbonate unit that underlies a great deal of eastern South Dakota, and it's called the Niobrara formation.

And for those of you familiar with Lewis & Clark

Lake down near Yankton the gorgeous cliffs that are on

either side of the Lake are formed by a Niobrara

formation, and it is a carbonated rock unit and soluble

over time.

2.3

And because that rock unit is the first one encountered underneath some of the glacial deposits in areas of eastern South Dakota, I believe that is why there is karst potential shown on that National Karst Map.

However, in all of my greater than 37 years working in the State of South Dakota I have never seen any visible evidence of karst at land surface in eastern South Dakota.

Now that's not to say that at depth in the Niobrara formation or other deeper carbonate rock units there may not be some dissolution cavities that are present. They could be as wide as a piece of paper, the thickness of a piece of paper, or they could be larger.

But my opinion was and my assumption based on your questioning of other witnesses yesterday is that there was a concern that there may be these large fissures or depressions that would suddenly appear at land surface that could indeed impact a pipeline or other surface structure.

My personal opinion is that is not a threat along the pipeline route. If we were talking in Florida, I'd have a very different opinion.

2.3

Q. So you covered land surface. What about during the construction project, during the excavation below the surface?

Is there the potential for karst discovery during that process?

A. Anything is possible. You know, I don't have the world's best crystal ball and can say absolutely positively not.

It would be, in my opinion, the remotest of possibilities that you would see something. Because you're excavating glacially derived sediments, and any carbonate unit is commonly deeply buried below those glacial sediments.

So to see a manifestation of dissolution at depth all the way up through those glacial sediments either as to land surface or the base of the trench that may be excavated I would see as being the remotest of possibilities.

Q. But yet the U.S. Geological Service still publishes currently to this date this map. And so you can see the cause for concern. It seems to be just a difference of opinion?

A. It's a difference of opinion and -- for instance, one of the problem areas in the most recent version of the National Karst Map was that all of a sudden there showed to be an area in southwestern South Dakota in Fall River County this area that they showed that there was karst potential when in the previous National Karst Map there was not.

2.3

And, of course, I looked at it, and I had staff look at it. And the only thing that we can figure out is that in the description of the surface geologic unit there was one little word that might contain some carbonate or something.

It could have been a fragment of carbonate in a larger geologic unit, and it appeared that they just looked for words that might lead to describing rock units that could be soluble. And that's how they may have, you know, shown that, oh, gee, there's karst potential here.

In no cases -- and I have talked to my colleagues in the other states, and in no cases with that National Karst Map was there serious interaction between somebody doing a desktop study at the U.S. Geological Survey in Reston, Virginia, and trying to figure out what it is in every single state where we do or don't have karst potential.

In my world I would expect they would come to the

- experts, and those experts would be the Geological

 Surveys in all 50 states in our union and ask What do you

 think? What have you seen? What are your geologists in
- 4 their expertise saying?

6

- That did not happen. They did not consult with the states. And as a result, in my opinion, they came up with a map that cannot be defended.
- And, in fact, when you go and try and use their map

 at the state level dealing with the state experts that

 have people on the ground that have done work, the map

 falls apart.
- So, again, I have no qualms, no fears, no
 premonitions, that karst in any way, shape, or form is
 going to be a problem along the pipeline route.
- Q. And in your experience does the average person know how to identify karst?
- 17 A. Probably not.
- Q. In your opinion would it take somebody with a geotechnical engineer or a geology degree?
- 20 A. It probably would.
- MS. REAL BIRD: No further questions. Thank you.
- 23 MS. WIEST: Rosebud Sioux Tribe, did you have any questions?
- MR. RAPPOLD: Good morning, Mr. Iles.

- 1 | Matt Rappold on behalf of the Rosebud Sioux Tribe.
- 2 I have no questions for you this morning.
- 3 Thank you.

7

- 4 MS. WIEST: Ms. Craven.
- 5 MS. CRAVEN: I have no questions either.
- 6 MS. WIEST: Ms. Northrup.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

8 BY MS. NORTHRUP:

- 9 Q. Good morning. My name is Margo Northrup. I'm an
 10 attorney from the South Dakota Association of Rural Water
- 11 Systems. I just have a couple of questions.
- 12 It looks like from your prefiled testimony there are
- 13 | 25 of the state's aquifers that are currently being
- 14 monitored; is that correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And would that include the aquifers that you have
- 17 | identified on page 4 of your testimony?
- 18 A. Yes. I know for certain we have a monitoring site
- 19 | in the Selby, maybe two, and one or two monitoring sites
- 20 | in the Spring Creek, I believe. The Vermillion East Fork
- 21 is where I am uncertain, but for sure the most heavily
- 22 monitored aquifer that we have is the Big Sioux Aquifer,
- 23 which basically coincides with the Big Sioux River Valley
- 24 throughout eastern South Dakota.
- 25 Q. And if there was a contamination in one of these

1 aquifers, would that become readily apparent to DENR? 2 If it was an aquifer wide contamination event, then 3 the answer to your question would be yes. But typically 4 each one of these monitoring sites, which consists of one 5 or two wells at different depths, monitors only a small 6 area right around that site. 7 So, for instance, I will take the Big Sioux Aquifer. 8 If there's a contamination event in Grant County in the Big Sioux Aquifer, the well site down in Minnehaha County 10 is not going to pick it up. The contamination net would 11 have to be very close to that monitoring location in order for us to pick it up. 12 13 So if there was a breach of the oil pipeline, that 14 wouldn't necessarily show up in your monitoring well 15 unless it was in close proximity? 16 That's correct. And my recollection is the proposed 17 pipeline route doesn't come very near any of our 18 monitoring sites. But the value of the monitoring sites 19 is that it does provide some baseline background water 20 quality information in a few of the aquifers along the 21 proposed pipeline route. 22 MS. NORTHRUP: Okay. I have no further 2.3 questions. Thank you. 2.4 MS. WIEST: Dakota Access.

MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Iles.

25

Thank

```
1
     you for being here today. We appreciate your testimony,
2
     and we have no questions.
 3
              MS. WIEST: Commissioners.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: I have no questions. I just
 5
     want to say I appreciate the clarity and credibility of
 6
     your testimony.
7
              Thank you.
8
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Good morning, Mr. Iles.
              THE WITNESS: Good morning.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    I like everyone else
11
     watched you sit in the back there and soak everything up
12
     and probably -- I don't know if it's more exciting than
13
     drilling a test hole or not.
14
              THE WITNESS: At times.
15
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: I only have one question,
16
     and that is how is the Wall Lake Aquifer recharged? Do
17
     you know?
18
              THE WITNESS: You have been around too long and
19
     can ask questions that cut to the quick.
20
              Recharge of our buried glacial aquifers is a
21
     question that we are still trying to address. I'm going
22
     to dance around the answer to your question.
2.3
              The short answer is I don't know. However,
24
     it -- the Wall Lake Aquifer, I believe, if I can remember
25
     this far back, may be connected to the Skunk Creek
```

Aquifer on the southwest side of Sioux Falls. But once it moves away from -- once the aquifer is away from that possible connection area, it is encapsulated in glacial clays.

2.3

We actually are in the midst of looking at that very question. Because the answer to your question is extremely important in the understanding and management of South Dakota's groundwater resources, which is the charge of the Water Rights Program and DENR. And unless we are confident in our understanding of recharge to those buried aquifers, we can't do the best job possible for the people of South Dakota in managing those resources.

So we're in the midst of a project where we are sampling selected buried glacial sands and gravels for isotopes, certain isotopes, carbon 14, tritium, oxygen 18, and deuterium. And through the isotopic sampling we will gain a clearer picture of if and how much recharge is going to some of those buried glacial sands.

There is an aquifer in the Dolton area that is coincidentally named the Dolton aquifer that is a buried glacial sand and gravel aquifer.

We have age dates out of that aquifer that are greater than 30,000 years. So, in other words, the

majority of the water in that aquifer is probably the water that was in place during the time that it was created as an aquifer during glacial times. The significance of that is it's not getting a tremendous amount of contemporary recharge.

2.3

I suspect that the Wall Lake Aquifer may in large part be of the same type. But we won't know -- we'll have a better idea at the conclusion of this study, which is over a year out before it concludes.

But the question you asked is very pertinent. It's very important. And we are continuing to look at that.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Forgive me. Your answer gets another question.

Is there a concern then for mining of water in the Wall Lake Aquifer as a potential as growth takes place?

THE WITNESS: Potentially, yes. And that's exactly one of the reasons exactly why we are looking at that question.

Because, as you well know, South Dakota water law prohibits withdrawal of more water on an average annual basis than is recharged on an average annual basis. So it becomes very important to know that balance. And so the potential could be there. And

```
1
     that's exactly why we're looking at this question.
2
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: By your first answer you
 3
    would not be surprised then. It was either slip up creek
4
     or split rock -- I think it was Split Rock Aquifer that
    we drilled a test hole and found water that was 18,000
5
 6
    years old.
7
              THE WITNESS: That's correct. Yeah. And I know
8
    exactly where that aquifer is.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Okay. Thank you. Enjoyed
10
    your testimony.
11
              MS. WIEST: Any further cross?
12
              Any redirect?
13
              MS. CREMER: Thank you. I have no redirect.
14
              MS. WIEST: Thank you.
15
              Staff, do you have another witness?
16
              MS. CREMER: No, we do not. Staff would rest.
17
              MS. WIEST: Let's go through what witnesses are
18
    remaining.
19
              I believe that our next witness would probably
20
    be Mr. Goldtooth. Would that be correct, Ms. Craven?
21
              MS. CRAVEN: Yes.
              MS. WIEST: And do you have any update on
22
2.3
    Ms. Deville's ability?
2.4
             MS. CRAVEN: She's only able to appear
25
     telephonically Friday.
```

```
1
              MS. WIEST: Friday when?
2
              MS. CRAVEN: We could do her first thing in the
 3
    morning.
 4
              MS. WIEST:
                          Because after Mr. Goldtooth's
5
     testimony, if I have this correct, I think we're at
 6
     rebuttal.
7
             MS. CRAVEN: Yes.
8
              MS. WIEST: Would that be correct?
                            We object to this testimony.
              MR. KOENECKE:
10
              I'm sorry. You're asking me what?
11
              MS. WIEST:
                          No. I was just asking you --
12
              MR. KOENECKE: The telephonic testimony of whom?
13
              MS. WIEST: No. I was just asking after
14
    Mr. Goldtooth's testimony it would be my understanding
15
     that we would be at the spot where it would be Dakota
16
    Access rebuttal testimony?
17
              MR. KOENECKE: Yeah. That's correct. And we
18
     object to calling any other witnesses prior to our
19
     rebuttal witnesses being called.
20
              MS. WIEST: You mean after?
21
              MR. KOENECKE:
                            After. Right. Our witnesses
22
     should be last. Our opportunity for rebuttal closes.
2.3
    want everybody else called prior to that time.
2.4
              MS. WIEST: Can you respond to that?
25
              MS. CRAVEN: Yes. I think we've all been trying
```

1 to be cooperative about Staff witnesses that haven't been 2 able to appear and they've appeared telephonically and 3 we're taking all sorts of testimony out of order. 4 We've heard rebuttal testimony from the State 5 already. So it seems like our request to accommodate a 6 witness should be honored just like that. Everyone 7 else's requests so far have been honored. It's a 8 professional courtesy. MS. WIEST: Yeah. Is there any way that we 10 couldn't get her on the phone today? I mean, you do 11 understand the point that the rebuttal witnesses of the 12 Applicant should be the last witnesses. 13 MS. CRAVEN: Yes. She's had some kind of dental 14 emergency with her children, and she has to take them to 15 the dentist today. And Friday's the only time that she 16 can appear. 17 MR. KOENECKE: This witness is Lisa Deville? 18 MS. WIEST: Yes. 19 Well, at this point the parties can maybe think 20 about it a little bit more, but why don't we take 21 Mr. Goldtooth's testimony right now and then we could 22 probably break for lunch. 2.3 MS. CRAVEN: Calling Dallas Goldtooth. (The oath is administered by the court reporter.) 2.4

DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. CRAVEN:

- 3 Q. Good morning, Mr. Goldtooth.
- 4 A. Good morning.
- 5 Q. How are you today?
- 6 A. I'm good. I actually apologize. I'm not wearing my
- 7 | sport coat, actually ripped it this morning. I kind of
- 8 hulked it. So I'm not as formal as I would like to be.
- 9 I'm also a little bit -- I'm caffeine sensitive. I
- 10 | had some caffeine so I'm more jittery than a long tailed
- 11 cat in a room full of rocking chairs.
- 12 Q. Would you please state your name and occupation for
- 13 the record.
- 14 A. My name is Dallas Goldtooth, and I am an organizer
- 15 | with the Indigenous Environmental Network.
- 16 Q. And would you please summarize your education and
- 17 professional experience.
- 18 A. Yeah. I attended the University of California
- 19 Berkeley. I'm also a cultural therapist for the
- 20 Indigenous Child and Family Resource Center in the Bay
- 21 area.
- I am currently a language student and also a Dakota
- 23 | language teacher for communities in Minnesota. And, you
- 24 know, currently -- the two hats, the Keystone XL campaign
- 25 organizer for the Indigenous Environmental Network as

- well as U.S. pipeline organizer for the same
 organization.
 - And, you know, the capacity of that job, my occupation, is just to assist communities throughout the country, North America, U.S., and whatever means possible on their different campaigns.
- Q. I also understand that you recently received recognition from an advocacy group CREDO for your efforts as an environmental advocate.

10 What was your award?

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

- A. Oh, it was the CREDO Climate Hero Award. And I just got announced a couple -- or they just told me a couple of days ago so -- and CREDO is a social change organization with over 3.5 million members, and so they wanted to -- it was a recognition of my work supporting grass roots communities, landowners, Tribes to protect their lands and water.
- 18 Q. Congratulations.
- 19 A. Thank you.
- MS. CRAVEN: May I approach the witness?
- MS. WIEST: Yes. Please, do.
- 22 THE WITNESS: It looks like a piece of paper.
- 23 That is what it is.
- 24 Q. Could you please identify that document.
- 25 A. Yeah. This is Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony that I

submitted. 1 2 MS. CRAVEN: And I'd like the record to reflect that I showed Mr. Goldtooth DRA IEN Exhibit No. 12 of his 3 4 prefiled testimony. 5 Are your answers to the questions the same today as 6 when you prefiled your testimony? 7 Yes. Α. 8 MS. CRAVEN: I'd like to make a motion to introduce that into the record. 10 MS. WIEST: Is there any objection to 11 Exhibit 12? 12 MR. KOENECKE: I'd like to voir dire the witness 13 if I could. 14 MS. WIEST: Go ahead. 15 MR. KOENECKE: Good morning, Mr. Goldtooth. Му 16 name is Brett Koenecke. I'm a lawyer for the Dakota 17 Access Pipeline. 18 THE WITNESS: Good morning, Brett. 19 MR. KOENECKE: Good morning. Do you have any 20 particular education or expertise in fossil fuel 21 development? 22 THE WITNESS: Just based off my experience in 2.3 working with communities that are fighting against fossil 24 fuel development.

MR. KOENECKE: And so have you undertaken any

```
1
     education in fossil fuel development?
2
              THE WITNESS: A number of workshops and
 3
     trainings that are related to the fight against fossil
 4
     fuel development.
5
              MR. KOENECKE: And who were those offered by?
 6
              THE WITNESS: By U.S. Tar Sands Network.
                                                         There
     was another training that was offered by Forest Ethics
7
8
     and another one by Oil Change International, I believe.
              MR. KOENECKE: Is there more than just the
10
     three?
11
              THE WITNESS: I think that there was a number of
12
     informal trainings, but that was the experience of those
13
     trainings.
14
              MR. KOENECKE: Approximately how long were the
15
     three trainings?
16
              THE WITNESS: A couple days, I think, each.
                                                            Two
17
     days. One of them was a -- a part of a larger gathering,
18
     and it was a -- that gathering was about three days long.
19
     It was Extreme Energy Summit down in Biloxi, Mississippi.
20
              MR. KOENECKE: Who were the presenters at any of
21
     those meetings?
22
              THE WITNESS: I can't remember.
23
              MS. CRAVEN: You know, we object it to this.
24
     This is our witness, and it seems like -- yeah.
25
     Mr. Koenecke has -- we've objected to a lot of their
```

1 witnesses. They've offered all of these witnesses that were not experts providing expert testimony, and we just 3 object to this. This is beyond --

Well, this objection is overruled. MS. WIEST: I think he was still conducting his voir dire, and so I would let him finish.

> MR. KOENECKE: Thank you.

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Again, the question I think was who were some of the presenters?

THE WITNESS: I can't remember the names of some of the -- I know that for Oil Change International it was worked with a guy named David Turnbull who is an oil market analysis for Oil Change International is one of the leaders that did some of that.

So I'm trying to understand, are MR. KOENECKE: these meetings at which you've discussed how to oppose fossil fuel projects?

THE WITNESS: No. It was -- a lot of those meetings discuss how to analyze -- or how to use oil market analysis to assist communities that do whatever -you know, they decide what they want to do with that information as far as their campaigns, whatever direction they want to take.

So my job is basically to help with information for communities that may or may not be opposing pipelines but are concerned about its impacts in their territory,
their neighborhoods, or their lands.

2.3

2.4

MR. KOENECKE: So if I'm correct, the sum total of your education is six or seven days, three, two- to three-day meetings?

THE WITNESS: It's how you define education. I mean, working day in and day out with communities and also self-educated landowners about the process, the regulation process, the permitting process, the actual construction processes I think can add to one's education as well and deepen one's really knowledge about -- about how the industry operates and conducts construction.

MR. KOENECKE: I guess, Ms. Wiest, we'd object to this witness having any particular expertise to offer the testimony in writing.

MS. CRAVEN: We're not offering him as an expert witness. That is not our intention. Just like

Ms. Howard read all of these documents that were in her testimony, Mr. Goldtooth has a lot of experience and knowledge from information working and documents that he's read, similarly to Ms. Howard. We objected to that testimony because they were offering her as an expert witness, and we were overruled.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$$ WIEST: I'll overrule the objection and allow it.

1 MS. CRAVEN: Thank you.

- 2 Q. I did make a motion to introduce his testimony.
- MS. WIEST: And I will admit Exhibit 12.
- 4 MS. CRAVEN: Okay. Thank you.
- 5 Q. Would you please explain the role of the Indigenous
- 6 Environmental Network?
- 7 A. Yeah. The Indigenous Environmental Network is a
- 8 grassroots network that supports grassroots -- primarily
- 9 indigenous communities throughout North America or what
- 10 | we call Turtle Island and their struggles to protect
- 11 their land, natural resources, culturally significant
- 12 | sites against extractive industry, environmental
- degradation, and just a whole slough of different issues
- 14 that might be affecting native lands, communities,
- 15 frontline communities.
- In that work we've also had to work and look forward
- 17 to working with non-Native communities in that struggle
- 18 as well because we see the potential of that
- 19 collaboration. So it is national, local, and
- 20 international work that IEN participates in.
- 21 | Q. And are there priority issues for IEN?
- 22 A. Priority issues right now is extractive -- economics
- 23 extractive industry. I mean, the focal point is
- 24 protection of sacred sites. The recognition of
- 25 indigenous rights in relationship to extractive

development in relation to energy development and indigenous people's role in like the consultation process that happens in that.

2.3

So that's, I guess, some of the focal point for us is indigenous rights, proper consultation between Tribes and federal governments or other governments as well or even industry, and a focus just on general fossil fuels.

Current campaign that we push forth is the Leave It
In The Ground Campaign, which is to avoid complete
climate disaster we have to keep the average global
temperature below 2 percent.

And in order to do that it's been -- hundreds of scientists have all come together to state that in order to do that 80 percent of the world's fossil fuel reserves have to stay in the ground.

- Q. Would you please discuss your concerns with the development of the Dakota Access Pipeline as it relates to the output of the Bakken deposit?
- A. Yeah. And this is -- what's difficult is it's -- there's different ways that you can quantify -- and I know that the directive of this Commission, from my perspective, is to look at the number of how this is -- you know, really solid facts. That's what you want. That's what they're looking for.

And then we also have to take into account -- I

think we as all human beings have to acknowledge the social impacts and other cultural impacts that this pipeline and also in relation to the output from the Bakken have on communities not only at the source but along the route.

2.3

And, you know, my experience in just working with communities in the Bakken region, there are significant concerns about the negative impacts that oil development in the region has had on those communities. The health disparage, the health risk, the increased risk of violence in those communities which have all been quantified in a number of reports.

And so that me, as an advocate, in the position of work that I do has to reflect that, those concerns, and really share that as much as possible. So that's one of the things that I really want to identify is the voices from the people that I work with and community members that are -- that stand against this pipeline project for the fact that there is a sincere fear and concern that this could possibly encourage further development in the region, therefore, increasing the numbers that are already there of higher health risk and violence that's inflicted upon those communities.

And I think that's the -- the concerns is -- I guess I don't know that that answers the question, I guess,

I've been asked. 2 Is there a concern that native communities are disproportionately impacted by oil and gas development? 3 4 There are concerns, yes. And that has been 5 described in -- not only from perspectives and statements 6 from community members themselves but also in reports and 7 number of studies and even by the Federal Government who 8 have acknowledged the immense disparities indigenous frontline communities face. 10 And it's not just native communities. I mean, there's landowners who have had, you know, guns pulled on 11 them. These are non-Indian landowners because they've 12 resisted more pipelines coming through their territory. 13 14 MR. KOENECKE: I object to that testimony. 15 There's no foundation or basis for that. It's highly 16 prejudicial and very, very unreliable. THE WITNESS: Well, I can come up with the news 17 18 clippings if you want. 19 MS. WIEST: Sustained. 20 MR. KOENECKE: Objection to that. News 21 clippings are not evidence either. 22 MS. WIEST: Sustained. 2.3 MS. CRAVEN: May I approach the witness? 24 MS. WIEST: Yes.

Mr. Goldtooth, can you identify the document I just

- 1 handed to you?
- 2 A. Yes. This is a report by the Post Carbon Institute
- 3 | written by J. David Hughes and his associates. It's
- 4 | titled Drilling Deeper: A Reality Check On U.S.
- 5 | Government Forecasts For A Lasting Tight Oil & Shale Gas
- 6 Boom. And it's a report.
- 7 Q. Is this a document maintained by IEN in any database
- 8 or file?
- 9 A. Yes, it is. We actually have it on file in our
- 10 office and actually use digital -- we also have a digital
- 11 format.
- MS. CRAVEN: I would move to introduce this
- 13 report into the record.
- MR. KOENECKE: I object. I don't think it was
- 15 provided in the prehearing filings.
- MS. CRAVEN: Yes, it was. It was provided in
- 17 | the prehearing filings, and it's been over on this table
- 18 all week long.
- 19 MR. KOENECKE: Can you point it out to me?
- 20 MS. CRAVEN: Would you hold it up, Dallas,
- 21 | please, Mr. Goldtooth.
- MS. CREMER: What's the number on it?
- 23 MS. CRAVEN: Let's see. I don't know if we
- 24 | actually got a number on it but it was on our list and we
- 25 brought it. So it may not have gotten a number on it

```
1
    when we were doing that.
2
              MR. KOENECKE: I maintain my objection. I don't
     see it on the list, and I didn't get a copy of it and
 3
     there are a number of foundational difficulties with
4
     this.
 6
              MS. WIEST: Can you expand on the foundational
7
    difficulties, Mr. Koenecke?
8
              MR. KOENECKE: I don't think he's an author of
    the study, and I don't think he can testify to anything
10
    that's in the document. He said he wasn't an expert in
11
    the voir dire.
12
              MS. CRAVEN: It's a business record. We object
13
    to that.
14
              And I do have our witness list that we've
15
    prefiled, and it's listed. It's the second document from
16
    the bottom.
              MR. KOENECKE: It's not a business record.
17
18
    don't believe that for a minute.
19
              MS. WIEST: I believe it was listed on the
20
    website as the Post Carbon Institute Shale Market Report.
21
     Is that the title of it?
22
              THE WITNESS: Yeah.
2.3
              MS. WIEST: Can you read the title of it?
24
              THE WITNESS: Drilling Deeper, Reality Check On
25
    U.S. Government Forecasts For Tight Oil & Shale Gas Boom,
```

1 Post Carbon Institute. 2 MR. KOENECKE: And no document was attached. 3 MS. CRAVEN: It's been on the table. It just 4 didn't get a number, didn't get one of those little tags. 5 It's been here all week long on the table. And it's on 6 our list. 7 MR. KOENECKE: I don't find that to be helpful 8 if it's on the table. MS. CRAVEN: Well, that's where all the exhibits 10 are. 11 MS. WIEST: We can stop the back and forth for a 12 minute. 13 But I believe when we were having exhibits what 14 is required is to the extent that the party actually 15 files and serves the exhibit, then no extra copies are 16 required, but -- and we just need one for the hearing. 17 But in this case I believe the only thing that 18 was done was that the name was included on there. So the 19 document was not -- there were no copies given to any of 20 the parties. 21 I don't believe the name is the same as the name 22 that is listed on the -- your list of your exhibits. 2.3 My other concern is that I do not believe that 24 this is the type of document that comes under the 25 business records exception, and lacking that foundation,

I would not admit the exhibit. 2 Q. From your experience --3 MS. WIEST: Just one question, though. 4 For our records, what exhibit number would that 5 have been? 6 MS. CRAVEN: It would have been Exhibit No. 13, 7 DRA. It just didn't get a tag. It's on our list. I 8 brought a copy. MS. WIEST: Yep. I understand all of that, and 10 I think I've explained my reasons. I just needed that 11 for my record to show that it was offered and not 12 admitted. 13 Thank you. 14 THE WITNESS: May I ask what is the definition 15 of a business record? 16 COMMISSIONER HANSON: No, you may not. 17 MS. WIEST: You can proceed. 18 From your experience working in the -- in your 19 position, could you please elaborate on your 20 understanding of demand, oil demand as it relates to 21 DAPL? 22 A. So the -- and I admit that, you know, my 2.3 understanding is from the documents I read and the 24 capacity I assist these communities and the document that 25 we've -- or the report here that we put forth is a

significant resource in that work that we utilized in order to give our communities that we work with as much information as we can, as it pertains to this specific project and also future projects and past projects.

2.3

You know, the concern that, you know, in our work and also as a result of looking at the data and looking at the research that was comprised in this report is that the predictions about oil production that are put out there by the Energy Information Administration not necessarily may be wrong but that the predictions are off and that there are a number of reports out there and information that, you know, the -- we're going to see peak oil occur much sooner than what has been predicted before.

And demand, obviously, on the global scale has a major part to play in the oil market. I mean, with OPEC taking a certain stance in its oil production has affected U.S. production itself.

So there's a close relationship, and the concern for demand is just that we question the ability in the long term about the need for this pipeline, for DAPL, considering the strong potential for production levels to continue to fall in the region, the Bakken region.

Q. There's been considerable testimony as to whether the Commission should require preparation of an

- 1 | Environmental Impact Statement.
- 2 Are you familiar with Environment Impact Statements?
- 3 A. Yes, I am.
- 4 Q. What would be the benefit of a government agency
- 5 | preparing an Environmental Impact Statement prior to any
- 6 approval of a major pipeline project?
- 7 A. I mean, I feel like it's pretty straightforward.
- 8 It's commonsense that in order for any body or any
- 9 decision-makers to have an adequate and -- the proper
- 10 materials to base a good solid decision off, they have to
- 11 have as much information about the impact that this
- 12 project may have.
- And I think the EIS from what I understand is very
- 14 | thorough and provides a great -- this is my
- 15 | interpretation -- nonpartisan almost approach to
- 16 examining what this could -- potential effect have on
- 17 lands, water, and resources and so on and so forth. It
- 18 just makes commonsense any decision-maker would take that
- 19 into account.
- 20 Q. So it would be an independent evaluation?
- 21 A. As far as opposed to opinions by the Applicant or
- 22 Intervenors, I think that it provides another barrier.
- 23 That's my interpretation of it.
- 24 Q. Is DAPL the type of project for which state and
- 25 | federal agencies routinely prepare an EIS?

- 1 A. From my experience it seems like it does fall under
- 2 | like -- I've seen on other projects where an EIS has been
- 3 conducted or has been encouraged to be conducted or --
- 4 yeah. So yes.
- 5 | Q. And earlier we heard testimony from Mr. Flo that he
- 6 had reviewed regulations implementing an EIS.
- 7 Based on your understanding for an EIS in
- 8 | South Dakota, are there any regulations implementing the
- 9 EIS statute?
- 10 A. To my knowledge, there are no regulations in the
- 11 | state -- to conduct EIS. There's statutes. There's
- 12 statutes in the laws that the Legislature has passed down
- 13 for agencies, but there's no regulation.
- So when he said that, I don't know what regulations
- 15 he's reading because there are none on the books for
- 16 | agencies.
- 17 They can take the statutes -- they can take the law
- 18 and interpret it in-house amongst themselves how they
- 19 want to conduct that as far as the environmental impact,
- 20 but there are no regulations.
- 21 Q. Earlier you mentioned that IEN is also involved in
- 22 the protection of sacred sites and cultural resource
- 23 properties.
- Is it common for Tribes to prohibit the release of
- 25 information on sacred sites?

A. It is common. I think that there has to be -- and it's also -- and it makes sense because really it can be complicated.

2.3

2.4

But there's a difference between sites that have cultural items and sacred sites, which often have cultural items in them or culturally significant items or artifacts.

Sacred sites, because there's been a long history of exploitation and interference from outside communities, outside non-Native people, a lot of tribal nations, governments, even just common people are really hesitant about sharing and will refuse to share the location of sacred sites for that very reason of people coming in and overstepping where they shouldn't be.

So there's a difference between that and a site that might have cultural artifacts that a project might come upon or even just people, laymen walking the land might come upon.

- Q. And what is your tribal affiliation?
- A. I'm Mdewakantonwan Dakota. I'm an enrolled member of the Lower Sioux Indian Community in Minnesota. But I was raised on the hard gravel streets of South Dakota in southeast South Dakota on the Yankton Sioux Rez.
 - Q. What would your response be if someone sent you an e-mail asking for a list of your Tribe's sacred sites?

- A. If somebody asked me for my Tribe's --
- 2 Q. Sent you an e-mail.

7

8

10

11

18

A. I'd be very uncomfortable and weirded out and
actually upset. Because it's a question of what's the
intention of that? What's the -- you know, first asking

6 what's the intention of that?

- But then also because of -- as I explained, the long history of trespassing on significant -- on sacred sites, I won't tell them. I wouldn't share that information whatsoever. Which just seems commonsense to me and many native people and leaders as well.
- 12 Q. Would you maybe even be insulted?
- A. Yeah. For sure. Definitely insult would be something that would be a natural response.
- Q. Is there anything else you would like to say to the Commission?
- A. I think just to add upon that, I think that -- I
- about the role that -- how does climate change play into

know that in previous discussions -- there's been talks

- 20 all of this, and how do we take that into account? How
- 21 do we actually process that?
- 22 And I feel like given the numerous expert testimony 23 in the world and numerous reports that have been put out 24 there, in order for us to avoid climate disaster we have 25 to -- we have to keep 80 percent of the oil reserves in

the ground. How does that affect us and our people our relatives in South Dakota?

2.3

2.4

I mean, I think you have to see that it does have a direct impact. Whether you're a farmer and you're going to have considerably low yields or your product is going to be put into -- is going to be threatened, you have to look into the algae blooms in the eastern part of the state and the glacial lakes there in the northeast area or across the land.

You know, you could talk about the higher energy prices that as citizens I have to take on. So I think there is a correlation from a large level that we can as people here in South Dakota -- or whether it's North Dakota or Iowa, whatever it may be, must take into account that there are effects and there's a potential here to address that. That has to come into play here.

And I think that, you know, the other question that I -- that my thoughts about is also the length and what is the plan? Like I haven't seen, in reading the materials and presented by DAPL -- like there has been discussions about when oil production falls, which whether you're taking an EIA's assessment or other assessments, they all agree that oil production's going to fall.

So what happens when there's no longer a --

1 MR. KOENECKE: I object to this litany of 2 personal question and answer here. I don't think there's 3 any foundation for it or basis, and I don't think it 4 falls to -- or reaches the level of testimony. 5 Instead, it seems to me to be argument disguised 6 as testimony, and I object to going further. 7 MS. CRAVEN: We're looking at the Statute 8 49-41B-22, and it's the Applicant's burden of proof to show that the pipeline will not pose a threat to the 10 environment, nor the social and economic conditions of 11 the inhabitants or to impair the health, safety, or welfare of the inhabitants. 12 13 Mr. Goldtooth is talking about how this pipeline 14 has a potential to do that. 15 MS. WIEST: The objection is overruled. 16 what he is talking about now is similar to what he has in 17 his prefiled testimony. 18 I would just remind you to the extent it's in 19 the testimony, we don't necessarily need to listen -- I 20 mean, have it stated exactly again. 21 THE WITNESS: Okay. 22 A. Can you remind me --2.3 MS. CRAVEN: I have no further questions. 24 MS. WIEST: Yankton Sioux Tribe, did you have 25 any questions?

MS. REAL BIRD: (Speaks Dakota). Dallas, no questions from Yankton.

MS. WIEST: Did you have any questions, Rosebud?

MR. RAPPOLD: Yes. Just probably one.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. RAPPOLD:

3

4

5

6

7

25

- Q. Still morning. Good morning, Mr. Goldtooth.
- 8 A. Good morning.
 - Q. I'll forego the introductions.

Have you seen any information in the documents that
you've reviewed that shows how the project will provide
for the future energy needs of the residents of the State

- 13 of South Dakota?
- 14 A. I've overlooked the documents. I've seen them.
- 15 I've heard the arguments. I've seen the arguments. But
- 16 I call into question about the needs of South Dakota.

17 Like when it pertains to South Dakota I don't see

18 | how it fulfills the -- fully fulfills the needs of

19 | South Dakota, given the many other options that

20 | South Dakota is looking into for energy independence and

21 | especially renewable energies. But since this is a

22 | pipeline that oil's passing through South Dakota, I call

23 into question the actual need.

MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you. No further questions.

MS. WIEST: Ms. Northrup, did you have any

- 1 questions? 2 MS. NORTHRUP: I have no questions. Thank you. MS. WIEST: Commission Staff, do you have any 3 4 questions? 5 MS. EDWARDS: No questions. Thank you. 6 MS. WIEST: Dakota Access. 7 MR. KOENECKE: I do have a few. Thank you, 8 Ms. Wiest. CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. KOENECKE: Good morning again, Mr. Goldtooth. 11 12 A. Good morning. 13 If I understood your most recent testimony 14 correctly, you don't think South Dakota has a need for 15 this pipeline? Well, I think it's -- it can be -- I feel like the
- 16
- 17 arguments that DAPL has put forth, that there is debate
- 18 for -- that's, I guess, really why we're here is looking
- 19 at the benefits. And I don't think that they -- the
- 20 risks are not worth the supposed benefits. I feel like
- 21 that's my -- my opinion.
- 22 Well, let's talk about that some.
- 23 How did you get here from Morton, Minnesota?
- Well, okay. So I see where you're going with it. 24 Α.
- 25 Obviously, we are dependent on oil, as citizens, as

- 1 individuals.
- 2 Q. Just answer the question. How did you get here?
- 3 A. I drove.
- 4 Q. Right. And so where did the gasoline come from?
- 5 A. The gasoline --
- 6 | Q. Where did you buy gas at last time you bought?
- 7 A. The gasoline came from an oil refinery. And
- 8 actually the gasoline came from Tesoro, which most likely
- 9 either came from the East Coast or West Coast refinery.
- 10 Q. And do you know whether Tesoro has interest in the
- 11 Bakken?
- 12 A. Yeah.
- Q. And do they? What are they? Do you know?
- 14 A. Well, I know they have investments, as far as I
- 15 understand.
- MS. CRAVEN: We object. This is beyond the
- 17 | scope of direct. We never brought up Tesoro. We never
- 18 | brought up driving.
- MR. KOENECKE: He brought it up. He
- 20 specifically said this doesn't contribute to
- 21 | South Dakota's benefit. And I want to go down that line.
- MS. WIEST: Overruled.
- 23 Q. So if I recall correctly, you said you know, yes,
- 24 that Tesoro has interest in the Bakken.
- MS. REAL BIRD: I'll object. Mr. Goldtooth is

- not a citizen of the state. He testified that he is from
 Minnesota, and so his gasoline consumption is not
 relevant.
 - MR. RAPPOLD: And I would like to add on that the statute does require a showing in the Application that the project will benefit the future energy needs of the residents of the state. And that statute is referring to the State of South Dakota.
- MS. WIEST: Do you have a response?

2.3

- MR. KOENECKE: We'll argue about what that statute says. I think there's plenty of case law on what legislative findings mean. If that was in the requirement of the burden of proof, the Legislature would have put it there.
 - But as far as that goes, it's South Dakotans -- are we really going to get into semantics over where he bought gas last time? He brought it up. He said South Dakotans.
 - MS. REAL BIRD: You asked the question. So my objection is the same; he's not a citizen so his gasoline consumption is not relevant.
- MS. WIEST: I'll sustain the objection. I think you can get your point, if you want to, in other questions.
- Q. Do you know whether there's an oil refinery of any

- 1 kind in South Dakota?
- 2 A. You know, I don't know.
- 3 Q. Would it surprise you if there was not?
- 4 A. It would not surprise me.
- 5 | Q. So our oil has to come from someplace, doesn't it?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. What's your definition of community?
- 8 A. Oh. That's -- it depends. It can be -- it's a
- 9 | number of things. I can't really peg it down because it
- 10 | actually fluctuates. Whether I'm working with
- 11 landowners, non-Native landowners who identify as
- 12 landowners and as a community unto itself, farmers and
- 13 ranchers, or if you're talking about a tribal nation or
- 14 if you're talking about a district within a tribal
- 15 nation.
- 16 For me it definitely fluctuates, but it's an open
- 17 | term that helps, in my work, work with the people I'm
- 18 | giving -- I think it's an easy term to identify
- 19 | communities with -- people with.
- 20 I think you can also identify South Dakotans as a
- 21 | community, I mean, in the sense of unified origin and
- 22 space, and relationship to the land can also be used.
- 23 Q. So are you representing South Dakotans here today?
- 24 A. I'm representing --
- MS. CRAVEN: That's argumentative. We object.

1 MS. WIEST: Overruled.

A. Oh. So who I represent is I'm a Dakota person, a

Dakota man. And in my relationship to the land I

basically -- I guess in a very personal sense I do this

work for the land and the water I love. And I'm --

I belong to the Oceti Sakowin, which is a territory that is from the Mississippi all the way to the Rocky Mountains. So that's where I speak from is -- my relationship to this land and to the energy and spirit of this land.

I cannot say I speak on behalf of tribal nations.

In very actual legal terms I can't say that. But in the communities I work with there is a definite past and just relationship that I support the people along the route of DAPL and just in the general area just support them in whatever voices they have or issues or opinions or concerns that they have.

- Q. You mentioned in your testimony that there are communities against oil development; is that correct?
- 20 A. Yeah. And --

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. How do you know that they're against oil development?
- 23 A. Because the folks have said it. They've told us.
- 24 They've told me. They've stated numerous times outside
- 25 of conversations with me.

- 1 Q. And if someone says they're for oil development, do
- 2 | they still get to be a part of your community?
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 MR. RAPPOLD: Objection. Argumentative.
- 5 MS. WIEST: Sustained.
- 6 Q. Why do you think an Environmental Impact Statement
- 7 is preferable to this process?
- 8 A. Well, I mean, I would argue for both. Why not -- if
- 9 you're a decision-maker and you're considering the
- 10 | impacts on land or resources or towns or whatever it may
- 11 be, why not push it to the highest level of assessment
- 12 and study.
- So I think that both would be preferable, that you
- 14 | would have an EIS as well as the process that is going
- 15 through here.
- 16 Q. Did I understand you to say that you believe that
- 17 | the Federal Energy Information Administration is
- 18 incorrect?
- 19 A. Can you rephrase that?
- 20 Q. I heard you testify about the Federal Energy
- 21 Information Administration.
- 22 A. Well, what I stated is that the research that we've
- 23 done and some of the reports that we've read have stated
- 24 | that it's not incorrect but that the processes in which
- 25 | they are -- their evaluations and their predictions may

```
1
    be called into question.
2
         But I -- you know, that's based off of our
 3
    experience and talking with and reading the reports.
 4
         Couldn't the same thing be true if the government
    prepared an EIS?
 6
              MS. CRAVEN: We object. That calls for
7
    speculation.
8
              MR. KOENECKE: I've got nothing further.
                                                         Thank
    you.
10
              MS. WIEST: Okay. Is there any questions from
11
    the Commissioners?
12
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do you understand the
13
     importance of petroleum products to agriculture in
14
    South Dakota?
15
              THE WITNESS: I do.
16
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do you understand the
17
     importance of petroleum products to the tourism industry
18
    in South Dakota?
19
              THE WITNESS: I do. I can see that. Yeah.
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Do you understand the
21
     importance of petroleum products to the economy of the
22
    United States of America?
2.3
              THE WITNESS: I do.
24
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Would you prefer that this
25
     country obtain our oil from within this country or from
```

other countries? 1 2 THE WITNESS: That's a -- that's a trick 3 question. 4 CHAIRMAN NELSON: That's a simple question. 5 THE WITNESS: I would prefer local sustainable 6 energy development from a very localized energy 7 production. So, obviously, I would prefer that energy 8 that we consume is produced locally on a local level, preferable a renewable, sustainable solution. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. 11 MS. WIEST: Any other Commission questions? 12 Any further cross? 13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. RAPPOLD: 15 Are you aware of the ethanol industry in 16 South Dakota? 17 A. Yes. Yes. I am aware. And, you know, I've been 18 educated myself. Actually a lot of ranchers I work with 19 and actually farmers have educated me and numerous people 20 on it and the benefit to them that are producers, I 21 quess. 22 Q. And, can you say, do you think ethanol is widely 2.3 used in eastern South Dakota? A. From what I've seen, yes, as well as outside the 24 25 region as well.

```
1
              MR. RAPPOLD: Okay. Thank you. No further
2
     questions.
                          Do you have any redirect?
 3
              MS. WIEST:
 4
              MS. CRAVEN:
                          No. No, thank you.
5
              MS. WIEST:
                          Thank you.
 6
              THE WITNESS:
                            Thank you.
7
              MS. WIEST: We will break for lunch. It's about
8
    noon so let's come back at 1:15.
              MR. KOENECKE: Before we go, I'd like to make an
     offer.
10
11
              MS. WIEST: Oh, yes.
12
              MR. KOENECKE: In the interest of moving the
13
    hearings along, I believe that IEN wanted to call Lisa.
14
     I forget her last name.
15
              MR. RAPPOLD: Deville.
16
              MR. KOENECKE: We would stipulate to the
17
     introduction of her testimony as it's been put in the
    record without needing to call her to cross-examine, if
18
19
     that's acceptable to the rest of the parties.
20
              MS. WIEST: Is that acceptable?
21
              MS. CRAVEN: Can we get back to you? We'd like
22
    to talk about it.
2.3
              Can we also get the list of the DAPL --
24
              MS. WIEST: I'll ask. Do you know the order of
25
     the witnesses that you will be presenting to us after
```

```
lunch?
1
2
              MR. KOENECKE: I don't know the order, but it's
     the six that filed rebuttal testimony.
 3
 4
              MR. RAPPOLD: Regarding Ms. Deville's testimony,
5
     I'd also like to put on the record that the rebuttal
 6
     testimony I've reviewed there's no DAPL witness that
7
     specifically identifies her testimony as being rebutted
8
     so I don't really see the point of going after -- of the
     problem that's been raised.
10
              MR. KOENECKE: That's why we accept the
11
     stipulation of her testimony.
12
              MR. RAPPOLD: It's my decision to make. I was
13
     just putting that in there.
14
              MS. WIEST: Okay. We'll come to that decision
15
     after lunch. We'll see you at 1:15.
16
              MR. RAPPOLD: So we have no idea which witness
17
     is going to be called after lunch? I mean, come on.
18
     There has to be some idea who they're going to call
19
     first.
20
              MS. CRAVEN: Everyone's had to have an order.
21
              MS. WIEST:
                          Do you have a response,
22
     Mr. Koenecke?
2.3
              MR. KOENECKE: I didn't hear what the question
24
     was.
25
                          They were, once again, asking who
              MS. WIEST:
```

```
1
     would be your first witness after lunch.
2
              MR. KOENECKE: We're going to call Aaron DeJoia
 3
     first.
              MR. RAPPOLD: And I really don't think it's
 4
5
     unreasonable for the Commission to have DAPL tell us what
 6
     order they're going to call their witnesses in because
7
     after that witness is done we have no idea who they're
8
     going to call next.
              MR. KOENECKE: We're going to discuss it over
10
     lunch, just like they're going to discuss the offer of
11
     Lisa Deville's testimony.
12
              MR. RAPPOLD: Two entirely different matters.
13
              MS. WIEST: We will leave it at that, and we
14
     will see you at 1:15.
15
                      (A short recess is taken)
16
              MS. WIEST: I believe Mrs. Hoogestraat -- I'm
17
     sorry.
18
              MS. CRAVEN: Ms. Wiest, I have two issues I'd
19
     like to just bring to your attention.
20
              One is this is my DRA exhibit marked 13 which
21
     was marked last Tuesday, and so it was over there
22
     properly marked. It was properly on the list, and I
2.3
     would ask you to reconsider not allowing it into the
2.4
     record.
25
                          Is there any response?
              MS. WIEST:
```

1 MS. SEMMLER: I think you've already stated the 2 reason why that wasn't admitted. 3 The Commission rule, as we understood it, was 4 that you had to provide a copy of the document itself to 5 all of the parties, and it wasn't served on the parties. 6 We received a list of documents but not the document 7 itself so I don't know why the Commission would change 8 its ruling. You were simply following your own order. MS. WIEST: I want to make clear my ruling was 10 not merely based on that procedural requirement; it was 11 based on the foundational requirement. So I'm not 12 reconsidering the ruling. 13 Ms. Hoogestraat, did you have an issue you 14 wanted to bring before us? 15 MS. HOOGESTRAAT: Thank you. 16 Mr. Boomsma was advised to attend Friday to 17 participate in the rebuttal testimony that will be 18 presented. 19 And I'm just myself presenting a request that we 20 continue rebuttal testimony tomorrow morning at 8 o'clock 21 so that he can participate, and I would appreciate your 22 consideration of this matter. 2.3 MS. WIEST: Is there any response?

MR. KOENECKE: We would object to that request.

This hearing has been properly noticed, and for us to

24

1 take a whole afternoon off is -- is -- that's just not 2 reasonable in my view at all. 3 Our witnesses are here. They've been patiently 4 waiting and -- we talked about Mr. Iles this morning has 5 been patiently waiting all week long for his chance. 6 to ask our witnesses to sit around for an afternoon and 7 not testify, that's just not reasonable. 8 MS. WIEST: Is there any other response? 9 MS. CRAVEN: We would support Mr. Boomsma's 10 request. 11 MS. REAL BIRD: Along those lines, perhaps it 12 might help if the Applicant can provide a list of order 13 they plan to go, and that might assist Mr. Boomsma. 14 MS. WIEST: Is there any other responses? 15 The Commission -- I will decline that request. 16 I believe that the Commission does need to go forward. 17 We only are scheduled through today and tomorrow 18 afternoon hopefully so I just don't think that we can 19 delay. 20 MS. HOOGESTRAAT: Thank you for considering. 21 MS. WIEST: Thank you. 22 Ms. Wiest, the other issue that I MS. CRAVEN: 2.3 wanted to bring up was that I -- typically stipulations 24 are attorneys confer and then they bring the matter to

the Court or the Hearing Officer and there was no prior

```
1
     stipulation, which is a professional courtesy as well
2
     too. But I would agree to accept the stipulation that
     Ms. Deville's testimony be entered into the record.
 3
              MS. WIEST: And that would be -- so then she
 4
5
     would not be testifying live; is that correct?
 6
              MS. CRAVEN: That's correct, yes.
7
              MS. WIEST: And is there any objection to that
8
     testimony coming in?
              MR. KOENECKE:
                            None whatsoever. Thank you.
                                                            Ι
10
     appreciate the consideration.
11
              MS. CRAVEN: You're welcome.
12
              MS. WIEST: And can you identify the exhibit
13
     number for her testimony?
14
              Would it be 1? Her prefiled testimony is your
15
     Exhibit 1?
16
              MS. CRAVEN: Yes. Yes.
17
              MS. WIEST: And I would ask, is there anybody
18
     else who has any objection to this coming in as
19
     stipulated?
              If not, Exhibit 1 has been offered and admitted.
20
21
              Is there anything else to come before the
22
     Commission before we start with rebuttal?
2.3
              MR. KOENECKE: There is.
24
              We intend to at this point call our witnesses in
25
     a particular order. I want to state we reserve the right
```

to change our minds, depending on what happens here this afternoon.

2.3

2.4

We've got six rebuttal witnesses. They've all filed prefiled testimony. It is my understanding, and I'm asking the Commission to consider this, that rebuttal testimony is to rebut something that's come up in the testimony this last two weeks. It's not to rehash anybody's direct testimony. We've got very targeted, bullet hole questions to ask and answers to get back.

And I want to make sure that that's everybody's understanding is rebuttal is not rehash what happened on direct testimony but instead just the rebuttal points.

That's what we've striven to do. We spent a long time last night coming up with our rebuttal testimony, shaving it down, making it responsive to what's happened here this week. We've got a few Commissioner questions that we need to answer still. That's our intention.

We're going to call witnesses -- probably Aaron, then Micah, then Joey, then Chuck, then Monica, and then wrap up with Stacy.

I want to get through it in an expedient fashion. I think we can do that. We're looking forward to wrapping this case up. I think everybody else is too.

So that's our intention this afternoon is very direct, targeted testimony and not a rehashing.

1 MS. WIEST: Is there anything else? 2 Regarding those statements, my MR. RAPPOLD: understanding of Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony is that it's 3 4 directly related to the direct testimony of a particular 5 witness and that particular witness has to be identified 6 in the rebuttal testimony and it's for that purpose, not 7 so much the purpose of addressing issues that came up in 8 the hearing. And that's my understanding. MS. WIEST: Anyone else? 10 We'll just proceed with it. To the extent there 11 are objections, we'll take care of those objections. 12 You may call your first witness. 13 MS. SEMMLER: Dakota Access calls Aaron DeJoia. 14 (The oath is administered by the court reporter.) 15 MR. RAPPOLD: Ms. Wiest, I've got a concern with 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MR. RAPPOLD: Ms. Wiest, I've got a concern with the document that we've just been handed. The resume of the witness is what it appears to be. It would seem to me that a little bit more advanced notice and filing of this document would have been appropriate under the circumstances.

It's a little bit hard to review this document, which is six pages, as the witness is testifying, which seems to be what's contemplated, and I object to this being provided to us at this moment in time.

MS. WIEST: Is there a reason this was just

provided now? 1 2 MS. SEMMLER: You know, I was just trying to 3 help with efficiency sake. There's, along the line, been 4 some questions about qualification of various witnesses, and I think this document in and of itself will answer a 5 6 lot of that so we don't need to go through that live here 7 todav. MR. RAPPOLD: And a brief response. 9 Helping with efficiency would have been 10 accomplished by filing this along the deadlines that the 11 Commission established. 12 MS. SEMMLER: And all I can do is apologize for 13 that. And if the Commission does not want this in, 14 that's okay. We can have the witness testify to it. 15 Well, another option would be if the MS. WIEST: 16 parties would want 10 minutes to -- or to review it. 17 if they're going to --18 MR. RAPPOLD: I'm going to ask the Commission to 19 stick by its filing orders and deadlines in this case. 20 MS. WIEST: So you're withdrawing it? 21 MS. SEMMLER: No. I'm not going to withdraw it. 22 But if the Commission chooses to make that decision, 2.3 that's your prerogative. 2.4 MR. RAPPOLD: And I understand where 25 Ms. Semmler's going, but it still gets at our ability to

- adequately prepare for cross-examine of the witness and review his qualifications prior to the hearing and address other issues that could be associated with his qualifications, bias and other interests in the case. And it's a violation of our due process rights, as those are protected by the Constitution of the State of South Dakota, the laws of the State of South Dakota, and the Administrative Rules of the Public Utilities Commission.
 - Based on those grounds, I would object to -- I guess maybe I'm prematurely objecting, but in the interest of expediency, I'm objecting now.
 - MS. SEMMLER: Brief comment.

2.3

- The parties all had an opportunity to conduct discovery, and I don't believe this was requested in discovery.
- MS. EDWARDS: If I could just add, I guess where -- I'm having trouble seeing where the document is necessary.
- If there's questions in his prefiled about his educational background and professional credentials, do we need this? I don't really see why they're giving it to us now.
- MS. WIEST: Well, at this point nothing has been offered. Is this an exhibit that's going to be offered?

- 1 I don't know.
- 2 MS. SEMMLER: That was the plan.
- MS. WIEST: Well, then I will rule on it when
- 4 it's offered. If and when it's offered.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

6 BY MS. SEMMLER:

- 7 Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 8 A. Aaron DeJoia.
- 9 Q. And who do you work for?
- 10 A. I work for DuraRoot, LLC.
- 11 Q. What is your business address?
- 12 A. Business address is 4626 County Road 65, Keenesburg,
- 13 Colorado 80643.
- 14 Q. And what do you do for DuraRoot?
- 15 A. I am a principal soil scientist, agronomist, and
- 16 reclamation scientist for them.
- 17 Q. Could you tell us briefly about your educational
- 18 | background?
- 19 A. I have a bachelor's and a master's degree from
- 20 Kansas State University. My bachelor's degree is in
- 21 agriculture with an agronomy, soil and water science
- 22 emphasis, and my master's degree is in agronomy, soil
- 23 | fertility emphasis.
- 24 Q. Do you have a secondary degree?
- 25 A. I have a secondary degree in natural resources and

- environmental science from Kansas State University that
 was obtained at the same time as my bachelor's degree.
- 3 Q. Do you have experience -- well, let me back up.
- 4 What did you do as it pertains to this filing in
- 5 front of the South Dakota PUC?
- 6 A. I am helping Dakota Access develop reclamation plans
- 7 and soil plans for the entire line stretching from the
- 8 boundary of South Dakota and North Dakota through the
- 9 Illinois area properties.
- 10 Q. Have you done that before?
- 11 A. I have done that multiple times for multiple
- 12 pipelines and private companies throughout the nation.
- 13 Q. How long?
- 14 A. I have been working in the environmental science
- field, soil science field, since 2000. I've been working
- 16 in the oil and gas and pipeline industry since about
- 17 | 2002. So that's about 15, 16 years.
- 18 Q. Do you see a document in front of you marked
- 19 DAPL 39?
- 20 A. Yes, I do.
- 21 O. What is that?
- 22 A. That is Aaron DeJoia's rebuttal testimony. Or my
- 23 rebuttal testimony.
- 24 Q. Did you write this document?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

```
Q.
         The document sitting in front of you, do you
2
    recognize that as the document you wrote?
         Yes, I do.
 3
    Α.
              MS. SEMMLER: Move to admit DAPL 39.
 4
5
              MS. WIEST: Any objection to Exhibit 39?
 6
              MS. REAL BIRD: May I voir dire the witness?
7
              MS. WIEST: Go ahead.
8
              MS. REAL BIRD: Mr. DeJoia, would you turn to
     line 215 of your testimony.
              Excuse me. 216.
10
11
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
              MS. REAL BIRD: The study, the two studies that
    are referenced in 216, 217, and 218, did you author those
13
14
    studies?
15
              THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
16
              MS. REAL BIRD: Did you recreate the studies
    that are listed in those lines?
17
18
              THE WITNESS: Did I do what?
              MS. REAL BIRD: Recreate those studies.
19
20
              THE WITNESS: No, I did not.
21
              MS. SEMMLER:
                            I'm going to object. I don't
22
    think that this goes towards his qualifications or
2.3
     capacity. Those can be addressed on cross-examination.
24
              MS. REAL BIRD: I'm conducting the examination
25
     for the purposes of an objection.
```

```
1
              MS. WIEST: Go ahead.
2
              MS. REAL BIRD: I would like to object to lines
 3
     216 through 218 on the basis of hearsay and lack of
 4
     personal knowledge.
5
              MS. WIEST: Do you have a response?
 6
              MS. SEMMLER: He's testifying as to a report
7
     that he read, and in his professional capacity with the
8
     many years of experience he has he can put that report
     into practice, so to speak, and can draw some conclusions
10
     from it.
11
              He's an expert in this field, and these are the
12
     sorts of literatures that such an expert reviews.
13
              MS. REAL BIRD: And they are still out-of-court
14
     statements offered for their truth, and they don't fall
15
     within any exception to that rule.
16
              MS. WIEST: The objection is overruled.
17
              MS. REAL BIRD: And I have additional voir dire
18
     to conduct.
19
              MS. WIEST: Go ahead.
20
              MS. REAL BIRD: Line 265 through 273.
              THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
22
              MS. REAL BIRD: There are additional studies
2.3
     listed in those lines.
2.4
              Did you author those studies?
25
                            No, I did not.
                                             They're peer
              THE WITNESS:
```

- 1 reviewed studies.
- 2 MS. REAL BIRD: And did you recreate the studies
- 3 that are listed in those lines?
- 4 THE WITNESS: No, I did not. They're peer
- 5 reviewed studies.
- 6 MS. REAL BIRD: And the Yankton Sioux Tribe
- 7 | objects to the inclusion of those answers on hearsay
- 8 grounds and lack of personal knowledge.
- MS. SEMMLER: Same response.
- 10 MS. WIEST: And based on that response, I will
- 11 again overrule the objection.
- MS. REAL BIRD: That concludes my objection.
- MS. WIEST: Any other objections?
- 14 If not, it has been admitted.
- 15 Q. Do you need to change or amend anything in this
- 16 document?
- 17 A. No, I do not.
- 18 Q. So if I were to ask you these questions today, would
- 19 | you answer them the same?
- 20 A. Yes, I would.
- 21 Q. And are you adopting this written testimony as your
- 22 | sworn testimony today?
- 23 A. Yes, I am.
- 24 Q. I'm going to move on and ask a few questions about
- 25 some testimony we heard earlier this week. Okay?

- 1 A. Okay.
- 2 Q. You told us what your job was for this project. As
- 3 | part of that job did you review the company's Ag
- 4 Mitigation Plan?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. Do you agree that soil is a valuable resource for a
- 7 landowner?
- 8 A. Yes. It's the most valuable resource a landowner
- 9 has.
- 10 Q. And do you agree that landowners may have some
- 11 unique circumstances on their land?
- 12 A. Of course. Every landowner has unique
- 13 circumstances.
- 14 Q. Those two things in mind then, do you think the
- 15 Agricultural Mitigation Plan covers that?
- 16 A. Yes, it does.
- 17 Q. Can you give a couple of examples of why you believe
- 18 | it covers that?
- 19 A. Every landowner in the route has different
- 20 circumstances that their land is different, and we
- 21 understand that as soil scientists and agronomists. And
- 22 | we try to take that into account.
- 23 In the Ag Mitigation Plan -- yeah. Agricultural
- 24 Impact Mitigation Plan we're looking at doing topsoil
- 25 | separation and segregation. We're looking at doing deep

- 1 | ripping and compaction. We're looking at how to return
- 2 | that property back to 100 percent productivity to
- 3 whatever use it was before so that the landowner can get
- 4 back whole and become able to farm or ranch or do
- 5 | whatever they want with that property as long as it
- 6 abides by their easement agreement and that they do not
- 7 have to deal with the impacts associated with --
- 8 associated with pipeline construction.
- 9 This plan goes into great details about how it's
- 10 going to be done. It does not specify that each
- 11 individual tract will either have something done or not.
- 12 | That's working with the landowners as we go out there and
- 13 figuring out what needs to be done and then working as
- 14 | construction's being done and implementing the right
- 15 processes at the right time for those landowners.
- 16 Q. So are there typicals that are connected or attached
- 17 to this plan?
- 18 A. Yes, there are.
- 19 Q. What are those -- what are those for then?
- 20 A. Typicals are the general way that construction
- 21 | practices will be conducted during the pipeline
- 22 restoration -- in this case, the pipeline restoration
- 23 process.
- Q. So are they intended to cover all landowners and all
- 25 | circumstances?

- 1 A. No. They're intended to cover the general idea of
- 2 | how we're going to do the restoration, the ag impact
- 3 | mitigation part of this. So they do not cover every
- 4 | instance we're going to have. They cover this is
- 5 | typically how we would want to do this process.
- 6 Q. Given adequate resources, that being time and money,
- 7 | is there any land that can't be restored?
- 8 A. There is no land that can't be restored under those
- 9 circumstances.
- 10 Q. And if the Ag Mitigation Plan is followed, what's
- 11 your expectation regarding crop yields after the
- 12 | construction's over?
- 13 A. I believe the crop yields can be returned to
- 14 | 100 percent based on past experiences on other projects
- within the three-year window we're estimating in that
- 16 plan.
- 17 Q. I'm going to jump back. You spoke about topsoil
- 18 | segregation; right?
- 19 Can you help talk to the Commission about the
- 20 | 12 inches that I think is in a typical --
- 21 A. In typical we are planning on taking -- taking up to
- 22 a maximum of 12 inches. And we'll actually go to the
- 23 depth of the topsoil if it's less than 12 inches.
- 24 The reason we chose 12 inches is because that's
- 25 where your highest amount of organic matter, microbial

activity, and fertility in that land.

2.3

If we can salvage that -- and when I say "salvage" it means separate and put to the side so it doesn't get intermixed with subsoil and less high quality soils. If we can separate and segregate that soil and protect it from erosion, protect it from other -- you know, petroleum spills from vehicles, vehicle traffic, we're going to be able to put that back on and begin that process of restoration that much faster.

So we think that it's critically important to separate and protect that soil resource, topsoil, which is going to make restoration that much easier for the whole project out there.

You said if you can separate it. What do you mean

by -- aren't you going to do that in all circumstances?

A. We will do that in all circumstances if the landowner allows us to. You know, we have to work with the landowners. The landowners know the property the best.

Some landowners in my experience have not wanted topsoil segregation. So in those instances we work with them, tell them what the negative impacts of that might be, and try to get them to go along with the plan as we go out there.

Q. What if there's more than 12 inches of topsoil?

- 1 A. If there's more than 12 inches of topsoil, we will
- 2 definitely take 12 inches all the time. If the
- 3 | landowner requests that we take to the depth of the
- 4 topsoil or 18 inches, we will do that for the landowner.
- 5 We do not want to burden the landowner with, you
- 6 know, taking less than what they want. We believe
- 7 | 12 inches will adequately protect that topsoil resource
- 8 and begin to start that restoration process and not have
- 9 them lose fertility or crop productivity.
- 10 Q. As a consultant for Dakota Access, were you asked to
- 11 develop a seed mix that's consistent with NRCS?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. In addition to that, do you know, is Dakota Access
- 14 willing to accommodate landowner preference regarding
- 15 | seed mix?
- 16 A. Yes. Dakota Access will accommodate all landowners
- 17 with seed mixes that they request. We might work with
- 18 them to help them design a seed mix that's special for
- 19 them and might give them some inputs on the seed mixes.
- 20 But at the end of the day it's their property, and
- 21 | they know what they want back there. And Dakota Access
- 22 is committed to working with them to get the seed mix
- 23 that they need and want out there.
- 24 Q. We heard a lot of testimony about drain tiles
- 25 | earlier in this process. Did you hear some of that

l testimony?

- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. So some of the testimony we heard landowners
- 4 | indicated that drain tiles can't be repaired.
- Is that consistent with your experience?
- 6 A. That is not consistent with my experience.
- 7 Q. Tell us about your experience.
- 8 A. I have worked in multiple states in the Midwest, the
- 9 Dakotas, Kansas, Illinois, Missouri, all having varying
- 10 types of drain tiles from cement, clay, and the newer
- 11 plastic style drain tiles.
- In all of those instances we have been able to
- 13 repair drain tiles, even sensitive clay and cement tiles
- 14 that tend to break.
- Sometimes we have to go back beyond the trench line
- 16 a little bit further to find a good piece of tile to tie
- 17 | into, but in all of those instances we've been able to
- 18 reconnect those tiles back together and have that drain
- 19 system continue to operate and function properly.
- 20 Q. What if there's settling in the trench later on?
- 21 What does that do to the drain tile system?
- 22 A. That will cause a huge issue with the drain tile
- 23 system because they're put on a grade that is very --
- 24 .1 sometimes percent. And if any sagging occurs in that
- 25 trench line, it will begin to remove the benefits of

1 | having drain tile there.

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

- Q. What if that would happen in this case? What does

 Dakota Access do about that?
 - MR. RAPPOLD: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 5 MS. SEMMLER: It's in the Ag Mitigation Plan.
 - MS. WIEST: Overruled.
 - A. Dakota Access in their Ag Mitigation Plan has a typical, as we talked about earlier, one way to fix that -- or to ensure that that sagging doesn't happen.

And what they plan on doing is putting sandbags in that trench line to prop up the drain tile so settling does not occur through that trench line. And that is a typical way that has been done for years in the pipeline industry to prevent sagging of the drain tile as the pipeline -- as the settling occurs in that trench line.

Because if it's not done, that drain tile has a decent likelihood of settling at some point during the life.

And it's critically important that that's done.

- Q. But what if even that doesn't fix it? What's DAPL's promise?
 - A. DAPL's promise is to go back and fix those issues that are associated with pipeline construction.
- Q. And based on your experience is it possible to fix those issues?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- 1 igl| Q. So you just testified a bit about sandbags. We
- 2 | heard a recommendation regarding I think it's tiled
- 3 bridge; is that right?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Can you explain the difference and compare and
- 6 | contrast those, please.
- 7 A. A tile bridge is a device that is usually
- 8 | prefabricated to go into the drain -- into the ditch
- 9 line. And those systems have been out for a few years
- 10 but not many.
- I have only -- I don't know if I've been on a
- 12 | project that's actually used them yet because of the
- 13 effectiveness of the sandbag system. And those systems
- 14 have not proven longevity. They have not proven
- 15 effectiveness. And they have not proven that they can be
- 16 installed correctly in the trench line system.
- So my feeling is they aren't bad, but they need some
- 18 more proven issues, especially when we're going through
- 19 | farmland that is as important to these people's
- 20 livelihood as that. We need a proven system that has
- 21 | worked before, rather than a system that might work.
- 22 Q. And you think sandbags are a proven system?
- 23 A. Yes. They've been used for as long as I've been in
- 24 | the industry, and we've had very few, if any, problems
- 25 | with using the sandbag system out there.

- Q. We heard about -- or I think you mentioned decompaction. Is it important to restoration? Is that true?
- A. Decompaction is probably the most critical aspect.

 And when I do see problems postconstruction it's because decompaction wasn't completed.

So decompaction is critical on that throughout all locations of the pipeline right of way. The method mostly used is a mechanical decompaction technique where we take the decompaction out by using normal agricultural equipment that's used every day in agriculture and use it to remove that decompaction.

- Q. And have you seen that method done successfully?
- A. Yes. We do that method all over the United States and have returned corn yields back to previous conditions very quickly using that method.
 - MS. SEMMLER: Nothing further. Thank you.
- MS. WIEST: Yankton Sioux Tribe, do you have any questions?
- 20 MS. REAL BIRD: I have no questions for the witness.
 - MS. WIEST: Rosebud.
- MR. RAPPOLD: Just a few.

25

2.4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. RAPPOLD:

- 3 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. DeJoia.
- 4 A. Good afternoon.
- 5 | Q. You don't work for Dakota Access, do you?
- 6 A. No, I do not.
- 7 Q. I've noticed in your testimony you use the word "we"
- 8 a lot when responding to questions about things that
- 9 Dakota Access was going to do.
- 10 Would you agree with that?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Why would you use the word "we" if you don't work
- 13 | for Dakota Access?
- 14 A. The reason I use the word "we" is that it's a team
- 15 effort. It's an effort between the landowner who is a
- 16 very integral part of the restoration plan and
- 17 techniques, Dakota Access which is installing the
- 18 pipeline, the contractors which are putting the pipeline
- 19 | in the ground, and myself which is providing the science
- 20 and technology to get this land back to full production
- 21 as quickly as possible.
- Q. And so you're -- your role and responsibility is to
- 23 look at their plans; correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- Q. And form opinions based on their plans; right?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. So you're not actually part of the "we" that's
- 3 involved in doing anything here, are you?
- 4 MS. SEMMLER: I'm going to object. It was asked
- 5 and answered. He provided an explanation as to why he
- 6 used that particular two-letter word.
- 7 MR. RAPPOLD: I have no further questions.
- 8 Thank you.
- 9 MS. WIEST: Do you have any questions,
- 10 Ms. Craven?
- MS. CRAVEN: Yes, I do.
- 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MS. CRAVEN:
- 14 Q. Kimberly Craven here for Dakota Rural Action and
- 15 Indigenous Environmental Network.
- 16 How are you today?
- 17 A. I'm doing good.
- 18 O. Good.
- 19 You testified there are limited studies regarding
- 20 | insects in the soil above the pipeline.
- 21 A. I did not testify to that.
- 22 Q. Your testimony -- I'll come back to that.
- 23 Your testimony discourages the use of FERC
- 24 requirements for mitigation and winter planning. You
- 25 also testified that growing up in rural Kansas you

1 appreciate the importance of the land to people who live 2 off of it. Why in the world would you discourage the use of 3 4 stricter standards even if not legally required in order to protect the land? 6 MS. SEMMLER: I'm going to object. 7 witnesses who made those recommendations, who were Staff 8 witnesses, did amend much of the testimony. So I think it's just a bit out of context to refer to that. 10 As a group, I think we need to take into 11

As a group, I think we need to take into consideration the revised recommendations, and if she wishes to ask questions on those specific revisions, I think that makes sense.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

MS. CRAVEN: He didn't change his testimony.

MS. WIEST: Can you be more specific as to what you're referring to?

MS. CRAVEN: I'll ask another question.

Q. Is there anything that would prohibit the PUC from imposing the stricter FERC requirements?

MS. SEMMLER: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion regarding this Commission's authority.

MS. CRAVEN: He's here as an expert witness, and he's talking about the FERC protection. I mean, we're -- he's the closest we're going to get to an expert witness.

MS. WIEST: Overruled.

- 1 A. Can you restate that question?
- 2 Q. Yes. Is there anything that would prohibit the PUC
- 3 from imposing the stricter FERC requirements that you've
- 4 talked about?
- 5 A. I don't know if the FERC requirements are more
- 6 | strict or less strict, and I don't know what the PUC's
- 7 ability to hand out tougher or less tough parameters.
- 8 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 9 In your experience, is the best manner of mitigating
- 10 impacts on the environment to establish strict uniform
- 11 | standards that may be waived on a case-by-case basis or
- 12 to let industry regulate itself?
- 13 A. I believe that the best way to do this is work with
- 14 | all parties involved, including the landowners, and have
- 15 us do what gets their land back to full productivity as
- 16 quickly as possible.
- 17 Q. I have some more questions.
- 18 You testified that the most valuable resource a
- 19 | landowner has is its land -- is its soil; is that
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. That's my opinion, yes.
- 22 Q. Yeah. And without water does that decrease the
- 23 | value of the land?
- MS. SEMMLER: Objection. I think that's beyond
- 25 | the scope. This witness isn't talking about land value

and the --1 2 MS. CRAVEN: He's an agronomist. He's an expert on soils and re-vegetation and all sorts of things of 3 4 that nature, and I'm asking his opinion on the importance of water to these resources. 6 MS. WIEST: Overruled. 7 Yes. I believe rainfall is a very important aspect of this -- of a soil/landowner system. What about stream water for irrigation? 10 Irrigation in some parts is a very important aspect 11 of an irrigation system. 12 Especially to farmers; correct? 13 If they count on irrigation for their -- to grow 14 their crops, yes. 15 I have more questions. Just a moment. 16 How do you discount or explain away the test [sic] 17 from Sibson and Moeckly regarding their topsoil problems? 18 MS. SEMMLER: I'm going to object. There's no foundation there. 19 20 MS. CRAVEN: He's rebutting all the landowners. 21 That's what he says, he's rebutting the landowners. 22 MS. SEMMLER: I think we need maybe a more 2.3 specific question, or maybe I didn't hear it right. 2.4 Did you say the test?

MS. CRAVEN: How does he discount or explain

- 1 away the testimony from Sibson and Moeckly regarding
 2 their topsoil problem.
- MS. SEMMLER: I'm going to object as irrelevant.
- 4 It's a different pipeline. It's a different company.
- 5 Without exactly similar circumstances in all ways, I
- 6 don't know how he can --
- 7 MS. CRAVEN: It's in the record. They've 8 testified. It's part of this docket proceeding.
 - MS. WIEST: Overruled.
- A. I have no knowledge or no understanding of the
 system that went on on the TransCanada 1 pipeline. And
 so I cannot make an educated reasoning for that -- those
 issues out there.
- If I went out and did a soil study and figured out
 what went on there, I probably would be able to make a
 better response to your question.
- Q. So as a consultant and expert for Dakota Access, you haven't yet tried to figure out what led to the failure
- of other re-vegetation efforts in the State of South
- 20 Dakota?
- 21 A. I cannot speak to other pipelines that I've never
- 22 been on before. I'm using the experience from peer
- 23 | reviewed journal articles, my personal experience with
- 24 pipelines throughout the nation, and other reclamation
- 25 | practices that are in place for roadway right-of-way

- 1 restorations, mine soils restorations, and all those
- 2 other restoration projects that I've worked on over the
- 3 years.
- 4 Q. Have you been involved in crop monitoring protocols
- 5 | for TransCanada in South Dakota?
- 6 A. Yes, I have.
- 7 Q. And would you talk a little bit about that.
- 8 A. Yes. We put together a crop monitoring protocol for
- 9 the Keystone XL Project through South Dakota. And that
- 10 project -- or that was submitted to the -- I was a
- 11 | subconsultant to WESTECH. I do not know if that's been
- 12 incorporated into their projects or not.
- 13 Q. And you also did problem tract consulting with
- 14 TransCanada in North Dakota; is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. So how does the plan deal with compact -- compaction
- 17 | issues deeper down by the pipe?
- 18 A. Can you restate that?
- 19 Q. Yeah. How does the Agricultural Mitigation Plan
- 20 deal with compaction issues that are deeper down by the
- 21 pipeline?
- 22 A. We have a deep ripping protocol in the Agricultural
- 23 | Mitigation Plan, and that is how we're planning on
- 24 handling deep -- or compaction relief on this pipeline
- 25 right of way.

- 1 Q. Have you ever been involved with producing or
- 2 | contributing to an Environmental Impact Statement?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 | Q. What additional information would be available that
- 5 | we would be able to obtain or glean from an EIS in your
- 6 professional opinion?
- 7 A. From my professional point of view and what I use
- 8 | EISs for, they're very invaluable to us because they have
- 9 too broad of general base information in them. And
- 10 | they're of very little use to a soil scientist, in my
- 11 professional opinion.
- Other soil scientists like to use them. I'm not a
- fan of them because of the broadness and inaccuracy of
- 14 | some of the data in there.
- 15 Q. Did you just say they were invaluable, though?
- 16 A. I don't find much value at all in them.
- 17 Q. Invaluable?
- 18 A. Invaluable probably was too strong of a word, if I
- 19 | said invaluable. It's of very little use.
- 20 Q. Dakota Access has never installed a pipe before.
- 21 How can we be certain that productivity will be returned?
- 22 A. They have developed an Agricultural Impact
- 23 | Mitigation Plan, which is the very first step of making
- 24 | sure that agricultural production will be maintained and
- 25 | put back to where it was before.

- 1 | Q. And are you aware that the contractor in this
- 2 | pipeline is proposed to be the pipeline -- the same
- 3 contractor in the first Keystone Pipeline, Michels?
- $4 \mid A$. I was made aware of that this week, yes.
- 5 | Q. And so since we have the same contractor, what kind
- 6 of assurances can you give us that a contractor will
- 7 actually follow the instructions?
- MS. SEMMLER: I'm going to object. He can't
- 9 speak for Michels. I mean he's speculating on what that
- 10 company might or might not do.
- MS. WIEST: Can you rephrase that at all?
- MS. CRAVEN: I'll just move on.
- 13 Q. If there's only 2 to 3 inches of topsoil, how can
- 14 you be so certain that it won't get mixed up with any
- 15 other subsoils?
- 16 A. We are going to work with the people involved in the
- 17 topsoil, measure the topsoil depths, and then extract
- 18 that topsoil as best we can to the depth that it is.
- 19 Q. Have you ever seen or worked with 100 year old clay
- 20 tile in South Dakota?
- 21 A. In South Dakota, no.
- 22 Q. So are you simply saying that landowners like the
- 23 | Stofferahns who have had direct involvement with their
- 24 | clay tiles are incorrect in their analysis of them?
- 25 A. What I heard the other landowners say is that

- 1 | they're very difficult to re -- you know, to reestablish,
- 2 | but they are not impossible. They've done it many times
- 3 on their own farms, is what I remember them saying.
- 4 | Maybe not many, but they have done it on their own farms.
- 5 | Q. So you were here when they were testifying? Did you
- 6 listen to their testimony?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Okay. And are you willing to replace the entire old
- 9 clay or concrete tile system on the entire parcel of
- 10 | affected property?
- Are you willing to make that recommendation to DAPL,
- 12 | that they replace the entire clay tiles?
- Go ahead and answer.
- 14 A. Yes. I -- let me go back.
- I believe that we can find quality tile as we --
- 16 after we trench that we can hook up to and will not have
- 17 to replace the entire system.
- 18 Q. And can you tell us, how much did the machineries
- 19 | weigh, the excavation and other type of machineries that
- 20 | are going to be used in the trench and things like that?
- 21 What's their weight?
- 22 A. I do not know.
- 23 Q. Are there studies demonstrating soil temperatures
- 24 may increase up to 5 degrees Fahrenheit above the
- 25 | pipeline?

- 1 A. I believe that's what the studies say, yes.
- 2 Q. Okay.
- 3 MS. CRAVEN: No further questions. Thank you.
- 4 MS. WIEST: Ms. Northrup, did you have any
- 5 questions?
- 6 MS. NORTHRUP: I have no questions. Thank you.
- 7 MS. WIEST: Commission Staff, do you have any
- 8 questions?

10

MS. EDWARDS: I do. One second.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

11 BY MS. EDWARDS:

- 12 Q. Mr. DeJoia, turning to line 384 of your testimony,
- 13 you testified that you don't believe a winter
- 14 construction plan is necessary; correct?
- 15 A. Yes. That is correct. I do not believe a winter
- 16 | construction plan is necessary.
- 17 Q. Would you, nonetheless, agree that a winter
- 18 | stabilization plan is necessary?
- 19 A. I believe that if we go into winter before we get
- 20 the right of way stabilized, that it would be a very good
- 21 | idea to have a winter stabilization plan in place.
- 22 Q. Thank you.
- 23 You also testified about an Agriculture Mitigation
- 24 Plan.
- Do you know if Dakota Access intends to use

- 1 subcontractors to handle the construction and reclamation 2 process?
- 3 I cannot speak for Dakota Access whether or not 4 they're planning on using subcontractors to do that part 5 of the project.
- 6 How could -- in your experience how could we be 7 sure that Dakota Access or any contractors followed the Ag Mitigation Plan?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

- I believe that Dakota Access is planning on having environmental inspectors out in the field. I believe that a key part of that would be having them be there knowledgeable about agricultural and agronomy issues so that they can see these things go on in the field or have someone available to them that they can consult with when issues come up on, hey, does this look right or not? that would help those EIs make sure we're doing what's best for the soils to get us back to full reclamation success as quickly as possible.
- But those EIs aren't independent third parties? MS. SEMMLER: I'm sorry to interrupt, Kristen. Sorry to do that to you. But Joey is going to take the stand in a couple of witnesses, and I think he can speak more to the company's promises in regards to those sorts of contractors and such.
- 25 MS. EDWARDS: Okay. No further questions then.

Thank you.

2.3

2 MS. WIEST: Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: The earlier discussion about using the sandbag method for propping up the drain tile, this is the first that I've heard about this.

So are those sandbags setting directly on top of the pipe then, the pipeline?

THE WITNESS: In the typicals that I have seen for Dakota Access they are sitting on top of the pipeline, and the pipeline has a pad over top of it.

In other typicals I've seen for other companies, if they cross not horizontal -- not perpendicular but at an angle, they will put multiple bags in different places across the line so that it doesn't -- it might not sag in the middle, but we don't want it sagging anywhere in between there.

So as we said before, the typicals are a typical. But when we get out to -- that's the minimum we do. But we don't want those drain tiles to sag anymore than anyone else because it causes issues across the whole right of way.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: In your testimony you talked a little bit about the organic issue, and we had some testimony earlier from a gentleman that was attempting to establish an organic buffalo herd.

Can you talk to us a little bit about, as you mentioned, using biological controls in organic areas?

2.3

THE WITNESS: Yes. There are multiple ways -- are you talking about weed management?

CHAIRMAN NELSON: Well, you mentioned biological controls kind of broadly so that's why I'm asking if you can define exactly how you were intending to use biological controls.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. So the biological controls refer to an integrated weed management approach to taking care of weeds as they come up along the right of way.

Integrated weed management looks at all options to control those weeds, including herbicides, mechanical means, which includes pulling, cultural methods, and then also biological controls.

There are different biological controls for different weed species out there. Some of the weeds that we might have on the right of way might not have a biological control so that might not even be an option. But others might have a very effective biological control.

As part of the integrated weed management process we look at what's the most -- what's the best, most effective way to get rid of that weed with the resources we have.

```
1
              In my opinion herbicides should always be used
2
     towards the end of that deal because of the negative
 3
     effects it can have on other people off right of way or
 4
     issues.
5
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Does the Ag Mitigation Plan
 6
     speak at all to the organic issue?
7
              THE WITNESS: I do not believe so.
8
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: And not knowing whether or not
     you can or can't speak for the company, but do you
10
     believe the company is committed to working with
11
     landowners who are in an organic situation to maintain
12
     that?
13
              THE WITNESS: I believe they are.
14
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: As a scientist, would you ever
15
     design a test plot on top of a pipeline right of way?
16
              THE WITNESS: No, I wouldn't.
17
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Why not?
18
              THE WITNESS: Because of the -- you don't know
     what's the difference.
19
20
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: You don't know what the
21
     variability --
22
              THE WITNESS: It adds an error.
2.3
              COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Good afternoon.
                                                        I just
24
    have a few questions here.
25
              You've encountered landowners who have not
```

```
1
     wanted segregation in their soil?
2
              THE WITNESS: Very few. Maybe one.
 3
              COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Okay. In that instance
 4
     did they give a reasoning why?
5
              THE WITNESS: They couldn't give a reasoning.
 6
     They just thought it would be less impact if the trench
7
     line -- if they just drove on top of the surface and just
8
     dug everything up.
              COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Certainly.
10
              THE WITNESS: I didn't agree with them on that,
11
     but it's their land. We have to listen to the
12
     landowners.
13
              COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: Was there some type of
14
     documentation that showed that that was their wishes?
15
              THE WITNESS: Yes. We made sure that was
16
     documented.
17
              COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: And is that a
18
     recommendation you make in the future, that if the
19
     landowner wishes to deviate from the plan, to have
     documentation?
20
21
              THE WITNESS: That's documented a lot of times
22
     on what I refer to as a line sheet. As we go through the
2.3
     landowners, as we're getting information about seed mixes
24
     and that stuff, all of that's documented on those.
25
              COMMISSIONER SATTGAST:
                                      Thank you.
```

- 1 COMMISSIONER HANSON: No.
- 2 MS. WIEST: Any further cross based on those
- 3 Commissioner questions?
- 4 Any redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 6 BY MS. SEMMLER:
- 7 Q. You were asked a question about 100 year old clay
- 8 | tile. And you've not worked on that age of clay tile in
- 9 | South Dakota particularly; right?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. Have you worked on that sort of tile in other
- 12 states?

- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Based on your experience and your education, do you
- 15 have any reason to believe that South Dakota's tile would
- 16 be any different than those other states' 100 year old
- 17 clay tile?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You testified about a temperature increase along a
- 20 pipeline. Do you remember that?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. What kind of pipeline was that?
- 23 A. I believe one was a study to act like a pipeline
- 24 which had heated tapes, and the other was a natural gas
- 25 | pipeline.

- 1 Q. You were just asked about test plots. To have a
- 2 | test plot is there a particular sort of soil that's
- 3 | required? Do you have to test the soil?
- 4 A. No. There is not a particular type of soil, but you
- 5 | want to make sure that test plot is on uniform soil. You
- 6 do not want soils to change in that test plot.
- 7 So if you have multiple soils in there, your whole
- 8 test plot gets thrown, is of relatively little use unless
- 9 you know what you're measuring.
- 10 Q. Do you know if there's been any soil studies done on
- 11 the Stofferahn property?
- 12 A. There is the NRCS soil survey that's been done on
- 13 | that property.
- 14 Q. Have you had occasion to look at that?
- 15 A. Yes, I have.
- 16 Q. Have you drawn any conclusions?
- 17 A. I noticed when I looked at it there are three
- 18 | separate soil types between his sheds and the pipeline
- 19 | right of way. Those three soil types are drastically
- 20 different going from approximately 6 inches in depth to
- 21 | over, I believe, 18 inches in depth of the A soil
- 22 | material. That's a drastic difference in soil types for
- 23 a research plot.
- 24 Q. So do you have any opinions on whether or not that's
- 25 | an appropriate location then for such a plot?

```
1
     Α.
         I don't know how they review the data and analyze
2
     the data. I personally probably would look for other
 3
     places for a research plot in my opinion.
 4
         I'm going to jump back a topic. The temperature
     question.
 6
         So do you know -- do you have an opinion on whether
7
     a crude oil pipeline temperature -- would it react the
8
           Is it the same as those other examples you looked
     at?
10
         If the temperature in the pipeline and the pipeline
11
     is exactly the same, they'd act similarly. If the
12
     temperature is different and the pipe is different, there
13
     is no comparison that can be made between those two
14
     issues.
15
         So sitting here today, you just can't provide any
16
     certainties to the apples to apples to those?
17
         No. There is no certainty in those two.
18
              MS. SEMMLER: Nothing further.
                                               Thanks.
19
              MS. WIEST: Is there any recross based on
20
     redirect from anyone?
21
              Seeing none, thank you.
22
              You may call your next witness.
2.3
              (Exhibits DAPL 50 through 53 are marked
2.4
                        for identification.)
```

MR. KOENECKE:

25

We'll call Micah Rorie to the

- 1 stand.
- 2 (The oath is administered by the court reporter.)
- 3 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 4 BY MR. KOENECKE:
- 5 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Rorie.
- 6 Would you state your name and business address for
- 7 | the record, please.
- 8 A. My name is Micah Rorie. My business address is
- 9 | 1300 Main Street, Houston, Texas 77002.
- 10 Q. Are you employed by the Dakota Access project?
- 11 A. I am.
- 12 Q. What's your position?
- 13 A. I'm the senior right-of-way manager handling land
- 14 acquisitions in North and South Dakota portions of the
- 15 project.
- 16 Q. Did you prepare prefiled testimony in this
- 17 proceeding?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. Did you work with me to put your prefiled testimony
- 20 together?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. In fact, you did that just as if we were working on
- 23 | it here --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. -- this afternoon live; correct?

You'll have to wait for me to finish my question before you answer. That will help the court reporter immensely. Thank you.

Do you have any additions or corrections to your testimony?

- A. I do have one correction.
- Q. What is it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

A. The last part of my testimony on the last page
stated that we would provide contact information for
environmental inspectors, agricultural inspectors to each
landowner.

simply provide the contact information for the respective land agent in that area. They are the most intimate with the properties that we're crossing and with the landowners in those areas, and they can direct specific concerns to the respective environmental inspectors that are in that area at the time the concern is voiced.

And it, in my experience, would be more efficient to

Q. Thank you.

20 Have you got anything further in the manner of corrections or additions?

- 22 A. No.
- Q. I'm not sure what this exhibit number is. I apologize. Does yours have an exhibit number up there?
- 25 A. I do not see the exhibit number on this.

- 1 MS. WIEST: Is it 35?
- 2 MR. KOENECKE: I'm sorry. I apologize.
- 3 A. What I have in front of me says 35.
- 4 MR. KOENECKE: I'll move Dakota Access -- or
- 5 DAPL Exhibit 35.
- 6 MS. WIEST: Is there any objection to
- 7 Exhibit 35?
- If not, it's been admitted.
- 9 Q. Mr. Rorie, how long have you been working on land
- 10 | acquisition for Dakota Access?
- 11 A. A little over a year.
- 12 Q. When did landowner contacts begin for the project,
- 13 if you know?
- 14 A. Began in the summer of 2014.
- 15 Q. And what type of activities did you begin with at
- 16 that time?
- 17 A. Locating landowners along the proposed route.
- 18 Q. Did you also inquire about survey access?
- 19 A. We did.
- 20 Q. Do you maintain a Staff of agents who work
- 21 underneath you to do landowner contacts?
- 22 A. I do.
- 23 Q. And do they keep records of their contacts with
- 24 landowners?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. In fact, they keep records of every contact, don't
- 2 they?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do your agents abide by an ethical policy?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. In fact, do they have to sign an ethical policy?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Each one individually?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. What kind of things are in the policy?
- 11 A. The policy outlines Dakota Access's procedures in
- 12 terms of code of conduct and ethics.
- 13 Q. Who enforces that policy?
- 14 A. Ultimately the person that enforces that is
- 15 Mr. Mahmoud.
- 16 Q. Is there any landowner that the project has
- 17 | intentionally not communicated with?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Have you tried to reach every landowner personally?
- 20 A. Have I personally tried to do that?
- 21 Q. I'm sorry. That wasn't a very good question.
- 22 Has the project attempted to make personal contact
- 23 with every landowner on the project route?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Mr. Rorie, do the land agents negotiate with

- 1 | landowners as to such things as timing and access to
- 2 their property?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And so if a landowner requested access to a
- 5 | particular portion of a field that would have to cross
- 6 | the right of way, is that something typically negotiated?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You wouldn't exclude anybody from their being able
- 9 to access all of their property during construction; is
- 10 that correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. There should be in front of you DAPL Exhibit 50 with
- 13 the yellow lines on it and maps. Have you got that up
- 14 there?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 17 A. I do.
- 18 Q. Can you tell the Commissioners what that is?
- 19 A. This document represents the pipeline's proximity to
- 20 farmsteads along the route.
- 21 Q. Do you know what the yellow lines signify? Might it
- 22 mean that there's a corresponding aerial photograph?
- 23 A. Yes. That's correct.
- Q. Was that document prepared under your direction?
- 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And can you testify to its contents today? 2 Yes, I can. Α. I would move DAPL 50. 3 MR. KOENECKE: 4 MS. WIEST: Is there any objection to 50? MS. REAL BIRD: Is that 5-0? 5 6 MS. WIEST: Yes. 5-0. 7 MS. REAL BIRD: I don't believe I have that on 8 my list that was filed on September 23. MS. WIEST: Right. Can you explain the 10 existence of this? 11 MR. KOENECKE: I can do that. 12 Commissioner Nelson asked us during the pendency 13 of these proceedings to prepare an exhibit like this. 14 And we've had discussions on more than one occasion about 15 the Applicant preparing this in order to meet with 16 Commissioner Nelson's request so that questions could be 17 asked about it. 18 I think we've done -- I think we've done exactly 19 what was asked of us, and this witness can testify as to 20 the contents. It was not requested prior to September 23 21 and has developed during the course of the proceedings, 22 and I think it's directly responsive to what was asked of 2.3 11S. 24 MS. WIEST: Are there any objections to the

25

admission of Exhibit 50?

- 1 If not, it's admitted.
- 2 Q. Mr. Rorie, are there indeed places where there
- 3 | are -- let's start over on that question.
- 4 Does the three-page table with the yellow lines
- 5 | indicate the approximate distance in feet from structures
- 6 to the pipeline?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you know how we determined those distances?
- 9 A. Normally determine those using GIS mapping
- 10 resources.
- 11 Q. Nothing further about that.
- Mr. Rorie, are you familiar with the Stofferahn
- 13 family?
- 14 A. I am.
- 15 Q. How many tracts of their -- owned by Stofferahns
- 16 does the project seek to cross?
- 17 A. To my knowledge, one.
- 18 Q. Mr. Rorie, are you familiar with the tracts owned by
- 19 | the Hohn or Geide families?
- 20 A. I am.
- 21 Q. How many tracts of land does that cross?
- 22 A. I'd have to get you that information. My
- 23 understanding is one each.
- Q. Thank you. Are you familiar with the Schoffelman
- 25 property?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you know how many tracts of land owned by that
- 3 | family we seek to cross?
- 4 A. I believe there's one.
- 5 Q. Are you familiar with the property of the Andreessen
- 6 family?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you know how many tracts of land the project
- 9 seeks to cross?
- 10 A. I believe there's one.
- 11 Q. Are you familiar with the Hoogestraat family? Do
- 12 | you know how many tracts we seek to cross?
- 13 A. I believe there's two involved with the Hoogestraat
- 14 family.
- 15 Q. How did you arrive at two for them?
- 16 A. By looking at our lists, the information that I have
- 17 for the project and the folks who are associated with the
- 18 Hoogestraat family.
- 19 Q. Is one of the two owned by what's thought to be her
- 20 son, Mr. Anderson?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. How many tracts of land does the project have
- 23 identified in South Dakota?
- 24 A. 743.
- Q. Have you spoken with landowners up and down the

- 1 right of way in South Dakota?
- 2 A. I have.
- 3 | Q. Is it your opinion that the testimony from
- 4 | landowners here at the Commission is representative of
- 5 | the general sentiment of landowners on the route in
- 6 | South Dakota?
- 7 A. No, it's not.
- 8 Q. Mr. Rorie, has the project filed condemnation
- 9 actions?
- 10 A. It has.
- 11 Q. How did the project arrive at the decision to
- 12 make -- to file those actions?
- 13 A. After extensive efforts to try to reach an amicable
- 14 agreement with those specific landowners --
- MS. CRAVEN: We object. This is beyond the
- 16 rebuttal, the scope of rebuttal.
- We were lectured by the attorney about how we're
- 18 supposed to stay within the limits of the rebuttal, and
- 19 this is way beyond that scope.
- MS. WIEST: Did you have a response?
- 21 MR. KOENECKE: I do have a response.
- Condemnation has been brought up this week on
- 23 several occasions, and it would be highly improper for
- 24 | the Commission not to take the testimony of the company
- 25 as to the number perhaps, some of the sentiments behind

1 | it, some of the things that led up to that decision.

Nobody on my side of the table likes eminent domain. There's nobody over here that's excited about it. But it got brought up and the Commission let it in and we think it would be highly prejudicial to not at least hear a little bit about how we got to those decisions.

MS. WIEST: The objection is overruled.

I believe what we have done is we have allowed the prefiled testimony in, and to the extent that there was more information that was at the hearing or through Interrogatories before the hearing, we have allowed that to be testified to by the witnesses.

MR. KOENECKE: Could you read the question back, please.

(Reporter reads back the last question.)

A. So after extensive efforts to negotiate with landowners and make an amicable agreement, if we arrive at what we determine to be an impasse, there's no further progress to be made in negotiations, then we move forward.

- Q. Are there instances where the landowners have declared an impasse?
- 24 A. That's correct.

2.3

25 Q. How about for survey access?

- 1 A. Yes. That has happened as well.
- 2 Q. Can you explain a little bit about that for the
- 3 Commission?
- 4 A. The initial part of the process of acquisition is to
- 5 | obtain permission from all of the landowners to survey
- 6 | their properties, conduct certain surveys that help us
- 7 | provide information about the route of the pipeline, the
- 8 constructibility of the pipeline, et cetera.
- 9 And those surveys are essential in order for us to
- 10 give proper information to the governing bodies over the
- 11 project.
- 12 Q. And, in fact, a circuit judge told us to file
- 13 | condemnations if we needed surveys; isn't that correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- MR. KOENECKE: I've got nothing further. Thank
- 16 you.
- MS. WIEST: Yankton Sioux Tribe, did you have
- 18 any questions?
- MS. BAKER: Thank you. Just one or two.
- 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 21 BY MS. BAKER:
- Q. Good afternoon.
- 23 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. Jennifer Baker. I'm an attorney for the Yankton
- 25 | Sioux Tribe.

I was wondering, why does the amount Dakota Access
will pay for crop damage diminish over a three-year
period rather than paying for 100 percent damage over
those three years, assuming crops are damaged for three
years?

- A. Initially we anticipate affecting one full growing season due to the pipeline's construction. After that full growing season it's been, in our experience accurate, really more than accurate, to compensate the landowner for that 80 percent on the second growing season. And then as the crops are replenished, the productivity increases, we feel it's fair to compensate 60 percent on third year in lieu of compensating 100 percent for the three years.
- Q. Are you saying that 20 percent of the crop should be coming back in the second year and then 40 percent in the third year?
- A. Initially is how we approached that topic, yes. But then again those were all handled individually on an individual basis contingent on that specific landowner's crop yields. It's a discussion between us and the landowner.
- Q. Okay. So those 100, 80, 60 percent figures aren't set in stone?
- 25 A. They are not set in stone. That's correct.

- Q. Okay. And then how can farmers be made whole if
- 2 crop damage exceeds three years?
- 3 A. That would be something we would look at on an
- 4 individual basis. If that crop damage that you're
- 5 | speaking to is attributable to the pipeline or the
- 6 | construction of the pipeline or operation or maintenance
- 7 of the pipeline, then we would have that discussion with
- 8 the landowner and reach an agreement with them.
- 9 Q. Okay. Why was that not included in your testimony?
- 10 A. That would be more of a question for our counsel as
- 11 to why it was not included. Our standard payment
- 12 | structure for crop damage is what's reflected in my
- 13 testimony.
- MS. BAKER: Okay. Nothing further. Thank you.
- MS. WIEST: Mr. Rappold.

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 17 BY MR. RAPPOLD:
- 18 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Rorie.
- 19 A. Good afternoon.
- 20 Q. You're familiar with the condemnation proceedings
- 21 | that are taking place in South Dakota; correct?
- 22 A. I am.
- 23 Q. You know there's new filed cases in Lincoln County;
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. Uh-huh.

- 1 | Q. And also the other county is Minnehaha County?
- 2 A. That's my understanding, yes.
- 3 | Q. Is it also your understanding that you have
- 4 different results of your lawsuits in both counties?
- 5 A. Depends on your definition of results. I'm not sure
- 6 I understand that question.
- 7 Q. One county ruled in your favor, and one county ruled
- 8 against you?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Okay. And that's different results; right?
- 11 A. Yeah. That would be, yep, different.
- 12 Q. That's my definition for these purposes.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. So you don't -- did you know when those cases are
- 15 going to be resolved?
- 16 A. I do not know when they'll be resolved.
- 17 Q. Is it your understanding that the judge that ruled
- in your favor hasn't issued a written order yet?
- 19 A. It's my understanding he has not issued a written
- 20 order. That's correct.
- MR. RAPPOLD: Thank you. I have no further
- 22 questions.
- MS. WIEST: Ms. Craven.

24

CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. CRAVEN:

- Q. I'll be brief. In response to one of Ms. Baker's questions you said we'll have to ask the attorneys why
- 5 | it's not in there.
- 6 Did you prepare your own testimony?
- A. I prepared the testimony with our attorneys and other members of the project team, what we thought was pertinent to answer that question.
- Ultimately, those types of negotiations and discussions about compensation vary based on those conversations with landowners and their particular farming operation.
- Q. There's a map of the homes, Exhibit 50, which was
- just entered into the record that shows the homes are
- 16 within 600 feet. Are there any maps of the wells
- 17 attached to these homes that are within 600 feet of the
- 18 | centerlines?
- 19 A. I do not have any maps today to provide to you to
- 20 show that, no.
- 21 Q. Have you done that kind of a study?
- 22 A. I have not personally done that kind of study.
- 23 Q. So you don't know where the water supplies are for
- 24 | the benefit of these homes that are going to be impacted
- 25 by the pipeline?

- 1 A. I personally do not know that, know.
- 2 Q. Who would know that?
- 3 A. That would be a better question for Mrs. Howard.
- 4 Q. Okay. You also testified that DAPL tries to work
- 5 with landowners.
- 6 Does that include digging up dirt on them for
- 7 | 40 year old transgressions?
- 8 A. I'm not sure I understand the question. Digging up
- 9 dirt?
- 10 MR. KOENECKE: I object. That's argumentative.
- MS. CRAVEN: No further questions.
- 12 MS. WIEST: Sustained.
- 13 Ms. Northrup.
- MS. NORTHRUP: I have no questions. Thank you.
- MS. WIEST: Commission Staff.
- MS. EDWARDS: I have no questions for this
- 17 witness. Thank you.
- MS. WIEST: Commissioners.
- 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: First of all, thank you for
- 20 the work that you and your staff did in putting together
- 21 Exhibit 50. It's helpful to me. I want to ask just a
- 22 few questions based on this.
- THE WITNESS: Sure.
- CHAIRMAN NELSON: If we could look at I think
- 25 it's the second map of SD-MC-010.000, McPherson County.

1 Directly below the indicator of 195 feet it appears that the pipeline -- the permanent easement route 2 3 goes through some trees that is maybe circled with a 4 driveway of some type. 5 Can you help me understand exactly what that 6 feature is? 7 THE WITNESS: I would have to provide that 8 information for you, after further research, what that particular feature is. I can't really speak to it today. 10 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And here's the thing that 11 puzzles me. Would you agree it looks like there's some 12 kind of a driveway around that? 13 THE WITNESS: It does. In my experience 14 sometimes those are former bins. Sometimes they're 15 former owned sites. Not as often. 16 But we have several areas in North and 17 South Dakota where that type of feature is prevalent, and 18 it surrounds bins, some type of a shelter belt. 19 CHAIRMAN NELSON: But to your knowledge the landowner doesn't have a concern of that? 20 21 THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, I have no record 22 of their concern of that feature. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Let's go to the 24 last map, the last picture. And this is a Lincoln County 25 SD-LI-065.100.

1 It appears that the easement area, the temporary easement area, specifically goes around their shelter 2 belt. Is that correct? 3 4 THE WITNESS: Yes. 5 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I'm assuming that was 6 either asked for or you all agreed in order to preserve their shelter belt; is that correct? 7 THE WITNESS: One or the other, yes. CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I think the last question 10 that I want to ask, and this is a Miner County map, 11 SD-MN-032.000. 12 So relating back to the question that I asked on 13 the last map, on this one east of the house it appears 14 that the temporary easement area is going right through 15 the middle of some trees. 16 Is there some reason why these trees weren't 17 excluded also just like we saw on the last map? 18 THE WITNESS: I can't speak to the particular 19 reasons for that, other than it is closer proximity to a 20 road bore so there's a certain space necessary to conduct 21 that. But I would have to get back to you as to the 22 exact answer why that wasn't done. 2.3 CHAIRMAN NELSON: So let me ask Ms. Wiest. 24 What would be the appropriate method for him to

respond to my questions that were unanswered?

```
1
              MR. KOENECKE: We can respond to those with
2
     other witnesses, if necessary.
 3
              MS. WIEST:
                         Okay.
 4
              CHAIRMAN NELSON:
                                Thank you.
5
              I don't have any other questions, but, again,
 6
     thanks for your work on this.
7
              THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Good afternoon, Mr. Rorie.
              THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
10
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: Who makes the decision or
11
     did you share that with us who makes the decision to sue
12
     for access to the properties?
13
              THE WITNESS: The ultimate decision comes from
14
    Mr. Mahmoud.
15
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: And that would be the same
16
     with condemnation? Is that what you refer to as
17
     condemnation then?
18
                            Yes, sir.
              THE WITNESS:
19
              COMMISSIONER HANSON: What financial
20
     arrangements are made with the landowner if the pipeline
21
     route is changed after they've gone through the lawsuit?
22
              THE WITNESS: What financial arrangements are
2.3
     made if the pipeline route changes after we go through a
2.4
     lawsuit?
25
              COMMISSIONER HANSON:
                                    Sure. If the route is
```

1 changed. 2 THE WITNESS: That might be a better question 3 for counsel. I'm not sure how South Dakota courts 4 respond to that. In terms of variations of a route postdecision or a judgment, I don't know. 6 COMMISSIONER HANSON: So you don't have a 7 standard operating procedure whereby if you have sued a 8 landowner and the route changes and the landowner has incurred expenses for the lawsuit, you don't have a 10 standard operating procedure for reimbursing them for the 11 cost of the lawsuit? 12 THE WITNESS: I don't have a standard operating 13 procedure for that, no. 14 COMMISSIONER HANSON: All right. Thank you. 15 No further questions. 16 MS. WIEST: Any further cross based on those 17 questions? 18 Any redirect? 19 MR. KOENECKE: No. 20 MS. WIEST: Okay. Thank you. 21 We will take a break now of 15 minutes. 22 will be back at 5 to. 2.3 (A short recess is taken) 24 MS. WIEST: Mr. Koenecke, I believe you were 25 going to call your next witness.

```
1
              MR. KOENECKE: I will. But I think first
2
    Ms. Baker has a motion.
 3
              MS. BAKER: I do. Thank you.
              The Yankton Sioux Tribe would move for the
 4
5
     admission of the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony of Chris
6
     Saunsoci, as he is still ill and will not be available to
     testify in person at the proceeding.
7
8
              We have conferred with the opposing counsel, and
     they have agreed to stipulate to this. I am just looking
10
     for the exhibit number, and it is YST 11, I believe.
11
              MS. WIEST: Okay. Just getting my list. So
12
    YST 11, prefiled of Mr. Saunsoci?
13
                                That's correct.
              MS. BAKER: Yes.
14
              MS. WIEST: And that would come in without his
15
    presence; is that correct?
16
              MS. BAKER:
                          Yes.
17
             MS. WIEST: And there is no objection to that?
18
              MR. KOENECKE: No objection.
19
              MS. WIEST: If not, Yankton Sioux Tribe
    Exhibit 11 will be admitted.
20
21
              MS. BAKER: Thank you.
22
              MS. CRAVEN: Ms. Wiest, I have a question about
2.3
    Exhibit 50.
                 Would it be appropriate to ask it now?
2.4
              MS. WIEST: Sure.
25
              MS. CRAVEN: When we were discussing the
```

preparation of this exhibit and the list the other day I asked to have included adjoining farms where there is no eminent domain taking place.

2.3

And there is actually maps on here that I've seen. One is SD-LI-027519300. And there is an adjoining house, and it looks to be closer than the actual farm. It's about, let's see, one, two, three, four, fifth from the back. There's no number attached to that house. It's in the upper right-hand corner. It's in Lincoln County.

MS. WIEST: What are the numbers on it?

MS. CRAVEN: SD-LI-027519300.

MS. WIEST: Okay. Can you explain to me again the issue? I'm sorry.

MS. CRAVEN: Well, so the house is where the eminent domain appears to be taking place. They have numbers. But say the pipeline right of way comes right up to your property but they don't have to use eminent domain to come right up to your property but your house could, in fact, be closer to the right of way than the house where the eminent domain has taken place. So it's an adjoining property.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Perhaps I can help there. If she looks at the very next page. Look at the very next page.

```
1
              Does that include the one that you're referring
2
     to?
 3
              MS. CRAVEN: Oh, I think it does. Okay.
4
         It does.
                     Thank you.
5
              So let me see if that would be true then for the
 6
    other one that I have a concern about. There was another
7
     one.
              I'm not seeing it right now.
              So all the adjoining properties then are
10
     identified?
                  I want to make sure. It probably would have
11
    been helpful to have them both numbered on the same page
12
     so that it didn't create confusion.
13
              MS. WIEST: I can't answer your question. I
14
    don't know.
15
              Would Mr. Mahmoud be able to answer a question
16
     on this? Were you getting to your testimony?
17
              MR. KOENECKE: I think the answer is yes.
18
     Sorry. I'm trolling around the room looking for a 50 I
19
     can use right now. Sorry. I just kind of grabbed the
20
    nearest microphone.
21
              MR. MAHMOUD: I don't really understand what the
22
    question is, Ms. Wiest.
2.3
              MR. KOENECKE: We'll do our best to answer
24
    everybody's questions about this exhibit. Mr. Mahmoud
```

has knowledge of the question when it was first posed,

- 1 | and we'll do what we can do here. It's going to take
- 2 | some more back and forth to figure out exactly what's
- 3 being asked, I think.
- 4 MS. WIEST: Okay. Is it all right, Ms. Craven,
- 5 | if we go into the testimony and then we can try to figure
- 6 it out?
- 7 MS. CRAVEN: Yes. Okay. Thank you.
- 8 MS. WIEST: Are we ready for the next witness
- 9 then?
- Go ahead, Mr. Koenecke.
- MR. KOENECKE: Thank you, Ms. Wiest.
- 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 13 BY MR. KOENECKE:
- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Mahmoud. Do you understand
- 15 | you're still under oath?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- 17 Q. Did you put forth written Prefiled Rebuttal
- 18 | Testimony in this matter?
- 19 A. Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Is that in front of you, and is it marked with an
- 21 | exhibit number?
- There's a lot of paper over there, isn't there?
- 23 A. Oh, here it is. I'm sorry.
- 24 Q. Sorry.
- 25 A. It is Exhibit 54.

- 1 Q. I think it might be 34. I'm sorry. We tried to
- 2 | locate yours over on the table previously and couldn't
- 3 | find it so --
- 4 A. 36. Sorry.
- 5 Q. 36?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.
- 7 Q. We've marked it as 36. That's your written Prefiled
- 8 Rebuttal Testimony; correct?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. Do you have any additions or corrections for that
- 11 this afternoon?
- 12 A. Well, I don't know if it's an addition or a
- 13 correction. More so an agreement with the Staff to not
- 14 contest the 24 million dollar road bond.
- 15 Q. And so that portion of your testimony related to
- 16 that is not anything you're going to be testifying to
- 17 | this afternoon?
- 18 A. That's correct. It's no longer valid.
- 19 Q. Understood. Do you have any other additions or
- 20 | corrections?
- 21 A. No, I do not.
- 22 Q. If I asked you all those questions this afternoon
- 23 orally, would you answer the same way?
- 24 A. I would.
- MR. KOENECKE: I would move the admission of

1 DAPL 36.

2.3

2.4

MR. RAPPOLD: Rosebud objects. The grounds being the remainder of the testimony as identified, it isn't rebuttal testimony.

If you start on page 3, the question at line 67, Mr. -- I'm sorry. I can't pronounce your name. Have you studied the Keystone conditions imposed by the Commission in HP09-001?

That question is not designed to rebut anyone's testimony that I'm aware of. The answer then -- the answers from that point on just address various conditions that the Commission has placed on other pipelines, particularly the Keystone I.

It's clearly not rebuttal testimony. And it is more appropriate, I believe, for -- if Dakota Access wants these types of conditions placed on this Permit, it would have been more appropriate in one of two places.

One, direct testimony by Dakota Access. Or, two, it should be something that they would submit in our post briefings that I assume we're going to submit to the Commission.

And so I would object to admission of this testimony.

MS. REAL BIRD: The Yankton Sioux Tribe joins in that objection.

1 MS. WIEST: Okay. 2 MS. REAL BIRD: And also with regard to lines 227 and 273, those questions call for speculation 3 4 and ask for legal conclusions, and we add to the objection of Rosebud and join in. 6 So we join in the objection and then add that 7 additional grounds for the objection. 8 Thank you. MS. WIEST: Okay. 10 MS. CRAVEN: And IEN and DRA joins as well. MS. WIEST: Okay. Did you have a response, 11 12 Mr. Koenecke? 13 MR. KOENECKE: We've heard over the last two 14 weeks a number of questions related to conditions that 15 might or might not be imposed on the project. We think 16 this is responsive to those concerns which were outlined 17 in writing previously to this time. 18 It's direct on rebuttal to those concerns that 19 were espoused by Staff witnesses certainly and to a 20 lesser extent some of the other witnesses. 21 I think it's completely relevant. It ought to be in front of the Commission. I don't know that it's a 22 2.3 focal point of Mr. Mahmoud's testimony this afternoon, 24 but I think it's very relevant. It's timely. It's

instructive. And this is the time to take that up if

- 1 somebody wants to.
- 2 MS. WIEST: I will overrule the objections. I
- 3 | think it is relevant rebuttal testimony.
- 4 Anything further?
- 5 With that, I will admit Exhibit 36.
- 6 Q. Mr. Mahmoud, have you got a copy of Exhibit 50 in
- 7 | front of you, which is the farmstead exhibit?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Have you had a -- were you in the room when
- 10 Commissioner Nelson asked his questions of Mr. Rorie?
- 11 A. Yes, I was.
- 12 Q. Would you like to say anything about those questions
- 13 at this -- let me back up.
- 14 Did you make an investigation of the matters
- 15 | contained in Exhibit 50 in response to Commissioner
- 16 | Nelson's questions?
- 17 A. I did to the two questions that I walked away with
- 18 from tract number SD-MC-010.000 and SD-MN-032-000.
- 19 Q. What can you tell all of us about those two tracts?
- 20 A. The first one, track SD-MC-010.000 I believe,
- 21 | Commissioner Nelson, you were referring to the area
- 22 | southeast of the line that has the measurement measuring
- 23 the 195 feet.
- 24 CHAIRMAN NELSON: It would be the feature
- 25 directly south of the 190 foot numerals.

A. I know not everybody can see, but would you mind just pointing?

2.3

(Chairman Nelson points.)

A. Okay. We're talking about the same area, which what I referred to as southeast, Commissioner Nelson referred to it as south.

Based on our review, and we pulled up some Google

Earth aerial photography, that appears to be an old

filled in pond that is no longer -- is not farmed, from

what we can tell, with a road going around it.

And then on the next one, the SD-MN-032-000, we looked at -- let me flip to it. The map I'm looking at, again, is SD-MN-032.000. This is Miner County, South Dakota in the title.

Where the route crosses over 229th Street, that road crossing is proposed to be a bore. We will set up bore pits on each side of the road so that the trees that are indicated that fall within the right of way -- we can't 100 percent say that we're not going to have to cut the tree out, but our intent is to side trim that. Because theoretically the bore will extend beyond it.

The landowner has already given us consent to cut down the tree so we have an easement for this property. Without saying we will not cut, our intention is to not cut, but we may have to side trim. And unless the tree

1 | causes a safety concern, then it will remain in place.

2.3

And I should add back on the first tract, we also have an easement for that property that we're traversing, the pond area.

THE WITNESS: Those are the two I had walked away with your questions.

CHAIRMAN NELSON: And I greatly appreciate that.

And, frankly, on the first McPherson County -- and I hadn't noticed this earlier, but you've been very careful going through the two shelter belts to minimize the number of trees cut there.

And as a guy who spent much of his youth helping to build shelter belts, I appreciate that.

- Q. Mr. Mahmoud, do you expect the project to replace rail car transportation for crude oil from the Bakken in North Dakota?
- A. We do. As part of this project, it's going to move somewhere between 450 and 570,000 barrels of crude oil per day out of the Bakken.

When you look at that production today, the Bakken, which extends from North Dakota to Wyoming, it's about 1.3 million barrels per day. If you look at where this pipe's going to service, it's about 1 million barrels per day.

What that means is the collection area or gathering

field in North Dakota that this pipeline will directly serve has a production quantity of about 1 million barrels per day. So this pipe will move about a third of that production coming out of the Bakken.

2.3

It will also, if you look at a bigger picture -- I'm sorry. That's a little bit more than 40 percent. About a third of the total Bakken.

Rail today is the largest transporter of crude out of the Bakken. So when you do the math and if you assume 700 barrels per car, rail car, that's about five unit trains that will be displaced by this pipeline every single day going forward. Five trains, 120 cars, 700 barrels per car off of the rails.

There's two important factors with that. One is safety. Hands down if you look at the data from DOT -- this isn't my data. This is data you can go to their website and look at -- on a per barrel basis of product moved, pipelines are by far, no question, the safest mode of transportation.

So what this pipeline does, it increases the safety for the general public. It increases the safety here in South Dakota for the rail that moves crude out of the Bakken, across the United States, and directly for the North Dakota area.

The same thing with trucks. It's about 2,000 trucks

taken off the road every day by moving crude with this
pipe as opposed to in -- trucks or semis moving that
crude at 200 barrels per truckload.

So, in my mind, absolutely. It's going to remove crude that's being transported via rail that would otherwise -- be transported by rail will now be transported on this pipe. And that's about a third of that production or 40 percent coming out of the Bakken proper.

- Q. Have you heard concerns here this week that
 South Dakota will get nothing from this pipeline?
- 12 A. I have.

2.3

- 13 Q. Do you have a response to that?
- 14 A. I do.

It's actually pretty baffling to me. And, you know, since this is my opinion it's actually pretty extraordinary that we would sit here and have a debate about crude oil in North America when, bottom line, the U.S. is a carbon-based society. No matter how you slice it, alternate energy, whatever's out there, we're crude based. Everything we do.

And that's not going to change. We know we all hope it's going to change one day just from being concerned citizens, but at this point it's just not there.

So when I hear that about the U.S. in particular --

and what I really started thinking about in South Dakota, the U.S. produces somewhere around 10 million barrels per day. We also import about 10 million barrels per day.

2.3

So our consumption, and these are round numbers, but it ranges between 17 and a half, 20 million barrels per day. Roughly half of that is produced right here in North America. Over the past five years that import has reduced by 26 percent. Again these aren't my numbers. These are facts you can find on the internet, EIA, wherever.

So of that 26 percent reduction, a million barrels of that's from the Bakken. That oil that's produced in the Bakken translates directly to refineries across the U.S., which produces the fuels that the farms here in South Dakota are dependent on. All of us that got here, unless you're driving the Chevy Volt sitting out there, put fuel inside that vehicle.

Even that Chevy Volt benefited from cruel oil because I don't know what the power plant was burning, could have been natural gas, could have been wind, but at some point the tires on that car are rubber product.

That is simply a byproduct or a derivative of crude oil.

So in no uncertain point we as a society in South Dakota are dependent upon crude oil in every sense of the word.

And when I hear that we want to produce locally and we want to do all of those fun things, that sounds good in theory, but it's simply not reality.

2.3

South Dakota, a couple of fun facts, is the eighth largest consumer --

MR. RAPPOLD: I'm going to object. The answer is becoming a narrative.

- Q. Where does South Dakota rank in terms of per capita consumption of oil products, if you know.
- A. I do know. And it's eighth. You know, when we look at that, it's the eighth largest consumer. It's the 39th largest producer of energy in the United States out of 50 states. It's the 25th out of 31 states producing crude oil in the U.S. 25 out of 31.

South Dakota consumes about 60,000 barrels per day of crude oil. 60,000 barrels. They produce five.

That's a 12 times differential.

So when I hear things that South Dakota -- you know, economic development, Sioux Falls, wherever it may be, there's not a chance that Sioux Falls, that South Dakota can have economic development without crude oil. When a third of that -- well, 26 percent reduction. Half of the crude oil is domestically produced. That's coming from the Bakken. So the Bakken is spurring and generating economic growth in this state. It's developing it in

- 1935 Sioux Falls. 2 When I look at pipes in the Sioux Falls area, if you 3 look at the pipe map and the grid where there's refined 4 products, there's natural gas pipes, when you look at the 5 map and footprint of Sioux Falls there's pipes inside 6 that city limit. It's that simple. 7 MS. CRAVEN: He's assuming facts that are not in 8 evidence. THE WITNESS: We actually -- well, I can't 10 answer. Sorry. 11 MS. WIEST: Do you have a response, 12 Mr. Koenecke? 13 Was that an objection? 14 MS. CRAVEN: That is an objection. 15 Mr. Mahmoud, I'll rephrase the question. 16 Mr. Mahmoud, do you have in front of you DAPL 52?
- 18 I do. I have two maps, DAPL 51 and 52.
- Speaking about 51, was that prepared under your 19
- direction? 20

17

- 21 Α. It was.
- 22 Can you tell us what that is?

Or is it 51? 52? I'm sorry.

- 2.3 51 is a map that shows several pipelines on it that
- 24 traverse through residential areas within the Sioux Falls
- 25 There's a blue circle on it. area.

- I can't remember the individual's name who testified 1 2 that she would never live next to a pipeline. The blue 3 dot is -- actually centers on her home. She lives 4 immediately adjacent to a pipeline. It's actually a 5 refined products pipeline. And I'm looking at 6 Exhibit 51. 7 Is 51 a picture of a little bit of Minnehaha and a 8 lot of northern Lincoln County in Sioux Falls? MS. WIEST: Have these been handed out? 10 MR. KOENECKE: They have been. 11 MS. WIEST: I don't have it. 12 MR. KOENECKE: I'm sorry. 13 THE WITNESS: I have 52. 14 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Could I ask that the last two 15 or three questions be repeated? Because I was looking 16 for a map and not listening. 17 MR. KOENECKE: I apologize, Commissioner. 18 looked up there and thought you had them. 19 Mr. Mahmoud, back to 51, can you tell us what area 20 51 encompasses? 21 It's a residential area, Sioux Falls general area,
- 21 M. It is a restruction area, broak raits general area,
- 22 Minnehaha and Lincoln County residential. I don't know
- 23 the name of the residential neighborhood.
- Q. Do you see a blue dot in the middle of 51?
- 25 A. I do.

Q. Can you tell the Commissioners or everybody here what the blue dot signifies?

products pipeline.

A. I can. And I can't remember the lady's name who testified that she would not live next to a pipeline.

And so we have her address, and without disclosing what that is but we mapped that. We put it on this map and the center of the map, the circle, is her home and her

So I'm not trying to discredit that individual. I'm just pointing out a fact that although she doesn't believe that she could live next to a pipeline, she, in fact, does.

home actually abuts a pipeline right of way. A refined

So it just goes to the point of once a pipeline is built -- and this pipeline predated this community, by the way. Once that pipeline is in the ground it's very hard to determine that it's there. And so the safety factor and the concern --

And, again, I take this for granted because I live in Houston, Texas, where oil and gas pipelines are second nature. I work in this business so I have a little bit different perspective.

But with a little bit of education, which we hope to do, to help continue to educate the public we hope to overcome those fears. And when we see examples like this

it's a clear indication that not only can the pipelines 2 exist, but the pipelines and development and communities 3 can exist together and coexist without having negative 4 impacts to either one. Turning your attention to 52, can you tell the Commissioners what 52 is? 6 7 A. Same thing. Or similar. MS. CRAVEN: Are these in the record? Objection. These are not in the record. 10 MR. KOENECKE: I haven't offered them yet. MS. CRAVEN: Well, you've moved on to the other 11 one, and it seems like you should do one at a time. 12 13 MR. KOENECKE: I'll move them both at the same 14 time or if it makes you feel better, I can move 51 now. 15 I'll move the admission of 51. 16 MS. WIEST: Any objection? 17 MS. REAL BIRD: The Yankton Sioux Tribe would 18 like to inquire of the witness for the purposes of making 19 an objection. MS. WIEST: Go ahead. 20 21 MS. REAL BIRD: Mr. Mahmoud, my name is 22 Thomasina Real Bird, attorney for the Yankton Sioux 2.3 Tribe. Hi. 24 I would like to know the landowner that you

speak of in reference to the blue dot.

```
1
              THE WITNESS: I've already said I can't remember
    her name. She testified --
2
              MS. REAL BIRD: I'm not asking for her name,
 3
 4
     sir. But you've indicated that you located her address,
    and you looked up where she lived.
 6
              When were you made aware of the address?
7
              THE WITNESS: Our right of way group -- and I
8
    just forgot her name.
              MS. REAL BIRD: I'm not asking for her name so
10
    that's fine.
11
              THE WITNESS: I know you're not, but you're
12
    asking me how I know. I did know her name. I forgot.
              So at that time we have -- we were able to
13
14
     locate where she lives with our right of way group who
15
    has the resources to figure that kind of stuff out.
16
              MS. REAL BIRD: Has your group or company known
17
    of her address for some time now?
18
              THE WITNESS: I think that's correct.
19
              MS. REAL BIRD: Did you know of her address
20
    before September 23, 2015?
21
              THE WITNESS: Oh, I can't answer that. I mean,
22
    maybe so.
2.3
              MS. REAL BIRD: Have you had contact with this
24
    landowner through your interaction with landowners that
25
     you testified as to?
```

```
1
              THE WITNESS: You know, I'd have to ask my right
2
    of way group. I don't have our contact notes in front of
    us. But I believe we did.
 3
              MR. KOENECKE: Ms. Wiest, the prefiled testimony
 4
5
    of the individual has her address right on the front
6
    page. And she brought it up in her own testimony earlier
7
     this week when Mrs. Petterson said, no one would ever
8
     live next to a pipeline. Well, as it turns out, she
    does.
10
              I think that's very directly brought up on
11
     rebuttal, and the map demonstrates clearly what her
    relationship is. That couldn't be a more clear cut use
12
13
    of rebuttal testimony.
14
              MS. REAL BIRD: And I haven't yet made my
15
    objection.
16
              MS. WIEST: Yes. Please go ahead, Ms. Real
17
    Bird.
18
              MS. REAL BIRD: So is it your testimony that you
19
    have had her address prior to September 23?
              THE WITNESS: And I said I don't know.
20
21
              MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. But you believe you've
22
    had it for some time since you've had contact with
2.3
     landowners?
24
              THE WITNESS: I just said I don't know when we
    had her address. I can't answer that.
25
```

```
1
              MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. And have you reviewed her
2
    prefiled testimony that contains her address?
 3
              THE WITNESS: Me?
                                 No.
                                      I have not read it.
              MS. REAL BIRD: Ms. Wiest, I'd like to object to
 4
 5
    the admission of this Exhibit No. 51.
 6
              It was not on the exhibit and witness list.
7
     company had advanced notice of the landowner's address by
8
    virtue of her filing with the PUC, and they have also
     testified that they have made contact with each of the
10
     landowners.
11
              And it's untimely to raise this exhibit at this
12
    point. They could have conducted discovery, prepared
13
     this map in advance of the September 23 deadline, and
14
     it's inappropriate to --
15
              You know, we heard a diatribe earlier about not
16
    having trial by ambush. And so experiencing on the other
17
     side I think this objection is -- is meritful.
18
              MS. WIEST: Anyone else have an objection before
19
     I go to Mr. Koenecke?
20
              MS. CRAVEN: We join the objection.
21
              MS. WIEST:
                          Okay. Mr. Koenecke, can you
22
     respond, please.
2.3
              MR. KOENECKE: Absolutely, Ms. Wiest.
24
              My recollection is Ms. Petterson testified that
25
     she -- that no one would want to live next to a pipeline.
```

And she apparently does. I don't know whether she knows it or not. But she brought that up herself in live testimony here earlier this week, and I think that's, as I said previously, a clear reason to offer a map on

rebuttal. Here's what it shows.

2.3

- She said something, and it's -- we want to have our say on that. That's our rebuttal. I think it's completely within the bounds.
- I find the objection to be illogical. We can't be asked to abide by a rule which says our rebuttal can only cover things that came up before the hearing started. That's not how rebuttal works.
- To the best of our ability we rebutted what we knew ahead of time, but we did not know the statement Mrs. Petterson was going to make, and we offer the map in rebuttal.
- MS. WIEST: I would note that, for example, with Mr. Boomsma's when he had his witnesses there were a number of exhibits that were admitted, I believe, after the fact with pictures of the land based on what had been brought up during the hearing.
- And I think that this is properly rebuttal evidence, and I will admit Exhibit 51.
- Q. Mr. Mahmoud, turning your attention to Exhibit 52, was 52 prepared at your direction?

- 1 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. What does 52 purport to show?
- 3 A. 52 is a map that shows pipelines within the
- 4 | Sioux Falls area, and the names and the types of pipes
- 5 that those are.
- 6 Q. Was it prepared using publicly available
- 7 information?
- 8 A. It was. This is -- this is public information.
- 9 Q. Is it offered in response to testimony that's been
- 10 offered since this hearing began?
- 11 A. It is. It's in response to testimony about the,
- 12 lack of better words, compatibility of pipelines with
- 13 economic development areas or developable areas within
- 14 the Sioux Falls arena.
- And various questions that I've either received or
- 16 various staff have received, my staff, over the past two
- 17 weeks.
- 18 Q. Do you know what the green star indicates?
- 19 A. That's the center of Sioux Falls, I believe.
- MR. KOENECKE: I'd move 52 at this time.
- 21 MS. WIEST: Is there any objection?
- MS. REAL BIRD: I'll make my same objection for
- 23 the record.
- MS. CRAVEN: And DRA and IEN, we also object.
- 25 We also note that it's not relevant. We don't know

anything about these pipelines. We don't know what they contain. We don't know how big they are.

Yes, they're on the map. But there's no other information about them. So they have no real value to add to this discussion.

MS. WIEST: I will admit Exhibit 52. I believe we can give it the weight that it deserves under those parameters.

Anything further?

2.3

- Q. Mr. Mahmoud, did Dakota Access consult with local governments here in South Dakota?
 - A. We did. We actually consulted with quite a few people in the Sioux Falls, Tea, Harrisburg, Hartford area.

As a matter of fact, I just did a quick count -- I wrote it down so I wouldn't forget.

Over the duration of this project since we began -and just to give you a frame of reference with government
officials, people that make policy decisions, people that
make decisions on our ability to put this pipe into the
ground or not or various conditions in the communities
that they serve, we've had 171 meetings with government
officials: 100 local government officials, 71 state,
38 Minnehaha, 24 in Lincoln County.

Not only that, we've met with the City of

Sioux Falls, the City of -- and the Counties of Lincoln and Minnehaha in a joint session.

When we got some feedback in December of 2014 from some of those same people that we met with as well as some developers and some other interested parties we were asked to reevaluate our project coming through the Sioux Falls area.

And so we started down that path. And the very first thing we did after we received that feedback is we went back to the decision-makers of that area to ask them did we get it closer to what your expectations were this time? Yes or no?

It was a pretty simple presentation. I actually gave the presentation so this isn't stuff that I'm secondhand to. This is stuff that I personally did because it was such a big deal around the Sioux Falls area. And so I went, and I presented to a joint council meeting or a joint meeting of those two counties and the City of Sioux Falls.

Back in March of this year we filed some additional information into the docket, kind of spelling out what we had done to address those concerns.

So following the meeting, the joint meeting, we then had -- we hired a local engineer, McClory Engineering, and we took their data, our construction manager and

project manager, Jack Edwards, and I have a project director who's not here named Tom Siguaw. We evaluated it. We came up with multiple alternatives to cross the Sioux Falls area.

2.3

I had Monica Howard and her staff evaluate those various routes. Then we took it one step further. We went to each of the cities, the City of Sioux Falls again. Ms. Best who's not here anymore, but we met with her and her staff and the other departments. We met with the City of Harrisburg, and we met with the City of Harrisburg.

And we met with all of those individuals very specifically, and I personally met with these individuals to ensure that the route that we picked through the Sioux Falls area met their expectations to ensure that we were not infringing upon their proposed or future economic developable areas.

When we did that we walked away with each meeting with a very specific question that we asked them: Does this meet your expectations?

Not only did we ask that question, we gave them multiple routes. We said which route, if you're picking -- and we gave them the option to pick -- which one would you pick?

The route that we ended up with is the one that's

- 1 proposed today and is the one that they individually
- 2 picked. Not only did they pick it because it makes the
- 3 most sense --
- 4 MR. RAPPOLD: Objection. Hearsay.
- 5 MS. REAL BIRD: I'll join in that objection and
- 6 move to strike.
- 7 Q. Mr. Mahmoud, were you personally at the meeting?
- 8 A. I was at the meeting.
- 9 Q. Are there objections that are unresolved from any of
- 10 the cities you spoke about regarding the routing?
- 11 A. There are none.
- 12 Q. Do you know why there are no objections?
- 13 A. Because they picked the final route. And when they
- 14 did such, they picked a route that follows a power line
- 15 through -- when the route goes kind of east-west through
- 16 that area. And they picked a route that parallels a
- 17 | power line that's existing today.
- 18 And so when we put forth the different alternatives
- 19 we said, hey, which one makes more sense? To get
- 20 | 100 percent out of whatever area you concerned about, to
- 21 | be a little bit in, to be a lot in, and we gave all sorts
- 22 of routes.
- 23 And they picked one that was out. They picked one
- 24 that followed the pathway of an existing transmission
- 25 line that would not be developable anyway because of the

1 power line. So we were abutting that power line. 2 Everybody believed that that was the right thing to do 3 and gave us the green light. 4 Not only did we do that, in areas where they 5 believed that they needed additional depth of cover at 6 roadways or future development areas where they thought 7 somebody had come in and said we may put a gas station there one day or we may do this, it doesn't really matter what it was, they gave us a list. 10 And Hartford in particular. But Sioux Falls, the 11 engineering department, Tea and Harrisburg, they all did 12 the same thing. They said, can you go deeper at these 13 locations for future development? 14 MS. REAL BIRD: Objection. My objection is 15 still pending from before, and it's happening again. 16 He's offering multiple instances of hearsay, out-of-court 17 statements that are offered for their truth and there's 18 no exception and I would like that ruled on on the record. 19 20 MS. WIEST: Objection is sustained. 21 MS. REAL BIRD: And my motion to strike those 22 hearsay statements is still pending. 2.3 MS. WIEST: The statements from before, yes, 24 where he changed --

MS. REAL BIRD:

25

And I

The hearsay statements.

```
1
     move to strike the most recent hearsay statements as
 2
     well.
 3
              MS. WIEST: Yes. Granted.
 4
              MR. KOENECKE: Can you tell me exactly which
 5
     hearsay statements you've just ordered stricken?
 6
              MS. WIEST: I would have to have the record read
     back.
7
8
              MR. KOENECKE: Let's do that. I have to have
     that done, I'm afraid.
10
                      (A short recess is taken)
11
              MS. WIEST: Cheri, have you looked through and
12
     found the place where we need to start from?
13
     (Reporter reads back a portion of the Witness's answer.)
14
              MS. WIEST: Can you explain to me, Mr. Koenecke,
15
     why the very last part would not be hearsay that they
16
     individually picked?
17
              MR. KOENECKE: Because he didn't testify as to
18
     what somebody said. He testified as to what they did,
19
     and that was not hearsay. He was there. He has personal
20
     knowledge.
21
              I've sat here and listened to people talk about
22
     the Keystone Pipeline when nobody knows anything about
2.3
     what happened with Keystone and construction and been
2.4
     overruled.
25
              But Mr. Mahmoud was there at the meeting and
```

1 testified to what someone did. That's not hearsay and is 2 properly before the Commission. 3 Any response? MS. WIEST: 4 MS. REAL BIRD: The statement defined in the 5 codified law is an oral or written assertion or nonverbal 6 conduct of a person if it's intended by him as an 7 assertion. So it doesn't have to be a verbal statement. So that's my response. MS. WIEST: Then I rule that that statement is 10 hearsay. Should we go on to the other? 11 (Reporter reads back a portion of the Witness's answer.) 12 MS. WIEST: And I believe the statements about 13 everybody believed it was the right thing and they said 14 we can go deeper, I can't remember the rest of it, that's 15 what I sustained as to hearsay. 16 Did you want to proceed? 17 MR. KOENECKE: We'll rephrase. 18 Mr. Mahmoud, did you learn of specific concerns 19 about the routing and installation of the pipeline around 20 Sioux Falls as a part of your responsibilities? 21 Α. Yes, I did. 22 Did you respond to those concerns? 2.3 T did. Α. 24 What were your responses specifically as they relate

to construction techniques or routing?

- 1 A. In locations where we were made aware of, whatever
- 2 | the constraint was, myself and my team, we evaluated
- 3 | those, we made the accommodations and either went
- 4 deeper -- well, that was what we did.
- We went deeper in each case to account for future
- 6 growth for the constraint that was identified to us.
- 7 Q. And did you collocate your facility with existing
- 8 linear facilities?
- 9 A. We did. We parallel a -- I'm sorry. An electric
- 10 | transmission line to -- we abut that transmission line to
- 11 avoid impacts to green field or areas that are not
- 12 encumbered by an existing utility.
- 13 Q. Do you remember the distance of that collocation?
- 14 A. No. I'd have to measure it from a map. I
- 15 apologize.
- 16 Q. If I showed you the project maps which were attached
- 17 | to the Application, do you think you could find it?
- 18 A. I'm sure I could.
- 19 Q. It's right there in that binder open in front of
- 20 you.
- 21 A. Do you want me to scale a map? Seriously?
- Is that what you're asking, Brett?
- 23 Q. I don't know what scale a map means, Joey.
- 24 A. Do you want me to measure a distance from the map?
- 25 Q. I think we want to give the Commissioners an

indication of what kind of distance we're collocating in 1 2 the areas of Tea, Harrisburg. If you open to Exhibit A2 and flip to page 47 of 49, 3 4 you'll find the I-29 crossing at milepost 471. 5 right? 6 Directing your attention to that page --7 MS. CRAVEN: Objection. That's a leading 8 question. MS. WIEST: Overruled. 10 Are you familiar with the scale of this map, Mr. Mahmoud? 11 12 A. Well, I can read the scale, but I do not have a 13 scale which is an engineering device to measure from like 14 a ruler. So if I had that, I could calculate distance 15 real quick. But since I do not, I'm not going to 16 estimate it. 17 Q. Okay. Very good. 18 Mr. Mahmoud, who actually picked the final route? 19 For the area south of the city of Tea through 20 Harrisburg, that -- the city -- those two cities picked 21 the route. 22 The City of Sioux Falls concurred with our route, 2.3 and the City of Hartford concurred with our route.

MS. REAL BIRD: Objection to the answer. It's

hearsay, and I move to strike. The same grounds stated

2.4

1 before. They're out of court statements, and statements 2 has the definition stated earlier. 3 MS. WIEST: Any response? 4 MR. KOENECKE: We'll restate. 5 Did the City of Tea concur with your route? Q. 6 In my opinion, they did. 7 Did the City of Harrisburg concur with your route? 8 MS. REAL BIRD: I have an objection still --9 MS. WIEST: He is rephrasing so that portion of 10 the statement we can strike, and then going to this next 11 question. 12 MS. CRAVEN: I have a question. Whose testimony 13 is being rebutted right now? 14 COMMISSIONER HANSON: I can answer that 15 question. 16 I asked a number of questions pertaining to 17 elected officials and discussion with persons in the --18 along the pipeline route from a standpoint of elected 19 officials and people representing the citizens, and I 20 believe he's answering those questions. 21 MR. RAPPOLD: I think the best witness to 22 respond to those questions, Commissioner Hanson, would actually be the City officials, with all due respect.

MS. REAL BIRD: And I would still like to

articulate my objection to hearsay. They're asking for

2.3

2.4

1 the City's out-of-court statements that they concur, and 2 the answers are demonstrating hearsay. 3 They're out-of-court statements, and they're 4 offered for their truth here. They're hearsay without an exception. 6 MS. CRAVEN: And with all due respect to 7 Commissioner Hanson, his questions are not testimony. 8 His questions are questions. MS. WIEST: Did you have any response, 10 Mr. Koenecke? 11 MR. KOENECKE: Mr. Mahmoud has personal 12 knowledge of the actions taken by everybody we've talked 13 about here. And that -- to my way of thinking the 14 absolute best evidence and testimony there is, is the 15 actions that were taken in response to something that was 16 personally witnessed. I don't think that's hearsay at all. 17 18 MS. REAL BIRD: It's the definition of hearsay. 19 They're not Mr. Mahmoud's statements that he's testifying 20 to. They're the City's statements that they concur. 21 Hearsay and best evidence are different 22 evidentiary principles. Best evidence does not apply to 2.3 a hearsay consideration. This is the definition of hearsay, and I move to -- I object, and I move to strike. 24

MS. WIEST: Can you rephrase the question?

- MR. KOENECKE: You were laying foundation for
 the Commissioner's questions that we think were terribly
 important. We're doing that to the best of our ability.
 I don't think we've done anything other than talk about
 what people's actions were, which is exactly what this
 matter is about.
 - MS. CRAVEN: We agree that the Commissioners' questions are important, but we need to follow the rules about rebuttal.
 - We just got a big lecture from Mr. Koenecke right before this started about rebuttal. And now he's just answering questions and putting all sorts of things in the record that is not related to the direct testimony.
 - MS. WIEST: We're on two different issues. I'm trying to stick to the hearsay question right now.
 - And I will sustain the objection for the last hearsay objection from Ms. Real Bird.
- 19 You may proceed.
- Q. Mr. Mahmoud, do you have in front of you a document marked as Exhibit 54?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 23 Q. Can you tell the Commissioners what that is?
- A. This is a package of information that documents the steps that we took to accommodate the request from the

- 1 | local government officials around Sioux Falls, Tea,
- 2 | Harrisburg, and Hartford, who we met with, when we met
- 3 with them, the steps we took, and the correspondence that
- 4 we had.
- 5 It just provides the documentation that was on the
- 6 record either publicly that we presented and/or notes
- 7 | from our meetings and the actual individuals that we met
- 8 with.
- 9 Q. Is the top sheet a letter from my office?
- 10 A. It is. It's May, Adams dated March 19, 2015, and on
- 11 the front it's stamped Exhibit DAPL 54.
- 12 Q. And is that a filing letter purporting to file
- 13 information in the docket?
- 14 A. I'm sorry, Brett.
- 15 Q. Is it a filing letter?
- 16 A. A filing letter?
- 17 Q. Yeah. Is it a letter that was sent to file
- 18 information in the docket?
- 19 A. Yes. This was submitted to the PUC Staff as part of
- 20 the record.
- 21 | Q. Do you have personal knowledge of all of the
- 22 information that's been filed -- or that's in Exhibit 54?
- 23 A. I actually prepared probably 95 percent of this
- 24 letter.
- 25 Q. Does it represent business records that you have

1 kept in the performance of your duties?

A. Yes, it does.

2.3

MR. KOENECKE: I move 54.

MS. WIEST: Is there an objection to 54?

MS. REAL BIRD: The Yankton Sioux Tribe objects to the admission of Exhibit 54.

The grounds are the exhibit is not contained in the Applicant's witness and exhibit list filed

September 23, 2015. The front of the document is marked with a date of March 19, 2015.

Other parties were chastised for dilatory filings for documents that existed prior to perceived deadlines, and here we have a concrete deadline that was not adhered to by the Applicant.

The fact that it was filed in the docket does not mean that it automatically comes in as an exhibit. The Applicant failed to place it on the exhibit and witness list. It did understand the rules of that as demonstrated by them listing their actual Application, which was also filed in the docket.

And so the response -- the probable response that, well, this has already been filed in the docket doesn't mean that it gets to become an exhibit at the evidentiary hearing it.

It does need to be designated. The rules need

1 to be followed and applied to everybody, and that's my 2 objection. Secondly, from a very cursory review, because we 3 4 were handed it just a few minutes ago, it does not even 5 appear to be identical to the document and exhibits filed 6 on March 19, 2015. So we'd actually have to go through the numerous attachments and -- if the court were even 7 8 inclined to accept it. But they're not even identical. So that's my objection. 10 MS. WIEST: What is not identical? 11 didn't understand that last part. 12 MS. REAL BIRD: Certainly. So it was the letter -- the cover letter was filed on March 19, 2015. 13 14 MS. WIEST: Uh-huh. 15 MS. REAL BIRD: And attached are Exhibits A1, 16 A2, A3, Exhibit B, and Exhibit C. Those were filed in 17 the docket. None of those were listed on the exhibit 18 list that was due and filed September 23. 19 The physical document we were just handed from 20 the Applicant is not even identical to what they filed in 21 the docket. 22 So I have, I guess, two hurdles of objection. 2.3 First, failure to abide by the rules that the Commission 24 ordered. The document did exist. It appears to be a

dilatory submission. And, two, they're not identical

from a cursory review. And, of course, I have to preface
that because it just came in minutes ago, and I can't be
expected to have reviewed every page yet.

MS. WIEST: And actually I think -- you know, I cannot review this in two minutes so I need time to review this. I don't know if people want to take a break or -- what would the Commission want to do?

MR. KOENECKE: Could I offer a quick response before that? I think it might be helpful.

MS. WIEST: Okay.

2.3

2.4

MR. KOENECKE: 54 was prepared in response to Commissioner Hanson's comments throughout the hearing. He wanted to know who we spoke with as far as government officials.

The front page, the front letter from Kara that's four pages, is a part of putting that together.

The attachments -- the entirety of 54 is not meant to be the attachments to the letter of March 19.

What's contained in 54 is all of the detail of the meetings that we went through in order to be responsive to Commissioner Hanson's questions which have arisen because of the ex parte rules, I should say, since the hearing began.

So we're not saying that 54 is the March submission. We're just saying that the letter on top of

1 it is part of our package of response to his very 2 important questions. That's all we're trying to do with 54. 3 4 I feel bad about -- if somebody thought it was 5 otherwise because it's not. And the letter on top makes 6 it kind of look like this was the package that was 7 submitted then, and it isn't. I should have said that 8 previously, and I apologize for any confusion. 54 in its entirety is simply a package of 10 everything we did in order to portray that we've gone out 11 and done what we said we did.

MS. WIEST: Again, I will need time to look through this. It appears that at the end of it there are a number of e-mails with different people from Hartford and from other places. So we'll at least take a 10-minute break.

MS. CRAVEN: Ms. Wiest, may I be recognized briefly, please?

MS. WIEST: Go ahead.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. CRAVEN: We support the answering of Commissioner Hanson's questions but we need to follow the rules and we join in the objection that Yankton has put before you.

We've all had deadlines we've had to do discovery. And now it's just like -- and we've been

chastised for ambushing -- ambushing and not having information presented beforehand, and now this feels like a total ambush.

2.3

There's been no communication with us about stipulating to these. We haven't seen them, and it just seems like we're being ambushed by the other counsel.

MR. RAPPOLD: And if I might chime in, I'm curious as to the ex parte rule that Mr. Koenecke referenced in his soliloguy a moment ago.

MR. KOENECKE: I have not, nor has anybody else involved in this docket, been able to communicate directly with Commissioners, nor they with us until this hearing started last Tuesday.

There was no way under the rules for Commissioner Hanson to communicate his concerns to us prior to the deadlines contained in the Scheduling Order.

Necessarily, and in order to be responsive to Commissioner Hanson's questions, we have had to develop both rebuttal testimony and these exhibits so we could show him and answer his very specific questions, which, as I said, are good questions. And so that's what we've done here.

Same thing we did with Commissioner Nelson's questions on the farmstead issue. There have been other questions that we've responded to in live testimony. And

so that's what we've done.

2.3

2.4

It's completely within the rules. It's an expectation that the Commissioners should have, that they can ask questions and that we go down at night and work on answers to them so that they can make a decision with a full understanding.

We've participated in the spirit of the proceedings here, and we should not only not be chastised for it, we expect to be asked hard questions and we're ready to do that. That's why we're here on rebuttal.

MR. RAPPOLD: And thank you for the clarification on the reference to the ex parte rule. I appreciate that.

MS. REAL BIRD: And I'll just respond briefly, if I may.

The objection is not meant to challenge or in other ways discount Commissioner Hanson's questions, but it's a matter of following the rules here. And the document on top at least existed on March 19, 2015. It was filed in the record.

And, you know, the Applicant's choice not to list it as an exhibit, I think, is fatal to their position here trying to insert it now as an exhibit.

It existed well prior to the deadline and, you know, all of the attachments, I guess we'll have to go

1 through them and see when those existed. But, you know, 2 they had a chance to prepare their case and prepare their Application and their documents, and we all had to abide 3 4 by the deadlines that were announced. And I just wanted to file that brief response. 6 MS. WIEST: As I stated, we will take a 7 10-minute break. 8 (A short recess is taken) MS. REAL BIRD: Ms. Wiest, may I add additional 10 reasons for my objection based upon my review over the 11 break of the document? 12 MS. WIEST: Go ahead? 13 MS. REAL BIRD: May I add additional reasons for 14 my objection? 15 MS. WIEST: I'm sorry. I didn't hear you. 16 MS. REAL BIRD: Now that I've had a chance to 17 review the document? 18 Each of the attachments or portions of 19 Exhibit 54 appear to have existed before the exhibit and 20 witness deadline. And I might add that they are trying 21 to prove that they've considered the views of governing 22 bodies of affected local units of government. And they took that in consideration for the selection of the 2.3 24 proposed location.

Those are the Applicant's affirmative duties.

They have that burden of proof. They had that burden of proof when this case started, when they filed their Application. They chose not to include this information in their Application, chose not to include it in their exhibit list.

2.3

It does appear that all the portions of the exhibit existed well before the exhibit deadline. And I can't see a reason why they should be allowed to add it here just because there were important Commissioner questions about it.

This was their burden. That was their strategy by not including it, and they should be excluded.

MS. WIEST: My ruling is I will deny the admission of the exhibit. And I believe that this information could have been provided earlier as stated by Ms. Real Bird.

COMMISSIONER HANSON: Ms. Wiest, I'm going to make a motion to overrule that, but I'm only going to do it on a portion, if I understand the objection and the ruling correctly. Because I do agree that a large portion of this is not responsive to my question.

However, the portion that shows the officials that they met with and information pertaining to those meetings is responsive.

The remainder of it is -- well, I'll call it a

regurgitation, but it's duplicative of the information that was used for PowerPoints at the meetings and things of that nature.

2.3

2.4

So I do agree with Ms. Real Bird on a portion of that. But it is, in fact, responsive to -- there is a portion that is responsive to my question, and I think it's imperative that Commissioners are able to ask for and receive information. Otherwise, the foundation of the hearing just dissipates.

MS. WIEST: And can you just specifically state which documents those are so that we know?

COMMISSIONER HANSON: I knew you were going to ask me that.

Unfortunately, the cover letter covers a number of items including both. But the portion that has the matrix showing Sioux Falls, Tea, Harrisburg, and those meetings is responsive. And the information on the meetings that took place the next few pages. And then I believe there were some notes regarding those meetings. And I think that is responsive.

The remainder of it which shows the maps,

PowerPoints, and such, which is a considerable amount, is

not responsive.

MS. WIEST: So it would be the page you've already identified, and I believe it was the next three

1 pages after that. And then were there pages toward the 2 end that you were --I have this page, and then I have the next three 3 4 pages. Were there any pages beyond these four pages? COMMISSIONER HANSON: I would say that where it 5 6 starts with Exhibit D on the handwritten page. 7 MS. WIEST: Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER HANSON: That page and everything after it is responsive. 10 And, you're correct, the page with the matrix 11 and the following three pages. 12 MS. WIEST: Okay. I think I have it. 13 So the other two Commissioners can vote on that 14 ruling. 15 COMMISSIONER SATTGAST: I'll concur with 16 Commissioner Hanson. CHAIRMAN NELSON: I concur with Commissioner 17 18 Hanson. 19 MS. WIEST: So those portions of Exhibit 54 that 20 have been identified by Commissioner Hanson have been 21 admitted. 22 You may proceed. 2.3 MR. KOENECKE: Thank you. 24 During the break, Mr. Mahmoud, did you have a chance

to refresh your recollection as to the distance of

- 1 collocation?
- 2 A. I did. It was 4 miles.
- 3 | Q. Thank you. Do you have Exhibit 55 in front of you?
- 4 A. I do.
- $5 \mid Q$. Is that a document that was prepared under your
- 6 direction?
- 7 A. Yes, it was.
- 8 Q. Is it prepared in response to --
- 9 MR. KOENECKE: I think they've been handed out.
- We found them. Sorry.
- 11 Q. Directing your attention again to Exhibit 55, is
- 12 | that document in front of you?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. Was that exhibit prepared at your direction?
- 15 A. Yes, it was.
- 16 Q. Was it prepared because you think it's responsive to
- 17 | Commissioner Hanson's question?
- 18 A. That's exactly why I had it prepared.
- 19 | 0. And what does the document contain?
- 20 A. This is a listing of the dates and the people and
- 21 the various levels of government that we met with over
- 22 the duration of the project to date. And where I spoke
- 23 about the 171 meetings and the breakdown, that's where I
- 24 got this information.
- 25 So it's just a simple listing for just -- to

demonstrate our commitment over the past -- well, during the development of this project to reach out to the community officials and to make sure that they were informed and educated regarding the project to ensure that we were accommodating any concerns or to provide information that they may request.

MR. KOENECKE: I'd move Exhibit 55.

MR. RAPPOLD: Objection.

MS. WIEST: Go ahead.

2.3

MR. RAPPOLD: Same grounds as before. Let me preface with what I'm going to say.

I agree wholeheartedly that the Commissioners' questions in this process are, without question, very important.

However, it seems what's happening is the Commissioners' questions are actually helping the Applicant satisfy its burden of proof. And when the situation appears to be that it's compromising the adversarial proceeding, which is contemplated under the PUC Administrative Rules and the Administrative Procedures Act for contested cases.

Most of this information on here was available prior to the deadline to submit evidence and exhibit lists, testimony. The Applicant has an affirmative duty, burden of proof 49-41B-22, Sub 4. "The facility will not

unduly interfere with the ordinarily development of the region, with due consideration having been given to the views of governing bodies of affected local units of government."

2.3

2.4

This information, although it is responsive to the Commissioner's questions, should have been properly filed and presented prior to the deadline established by the Commission for submitting exhibits and witness lists.

So I object on those grounds.

MS. WIEST: Any other objections?

MS. REAL BIRD: The Yankton Sioux Tribe joins in the motion for the reasons stated by Rosebud.

MS. CRAVEN: And Dakota Rural Action and the Indigenous Environmental Network would also join.

MS. WIEST: Any response, Mr. Koenecke?

MR. KOENECKE: Commissioner Hanson specifically asked us who we met with, policymakers. This is directly responsive to a Commissioner question.

It's not surprising to me that a Commissioner would ask a relevant question about something that was central to the burden of proof, and it really shouldn't be to anybody else in this room.

He asked for more specific information, and we have a duty to give it to him if he asks us for it. If

it's relevant and the -- the timing is not anything that
I have any control over, and it's really not anything the
Commissioner had any control over. He asked it at his
early as possible opportunity.

And somehow to twist this around that the fact that it's relevant to this proceeding is something that should be used against us, that we somehow didn't provide it on a timely fashion, is a real distortion of this process, in my view and opinion.

We were asked at the earliest possible opportunity, and we responded when we put our witness on the stand. I don't think you can ask for any better of us than that.

I trust that it's responsive to the Commissioner's question. I think it's exactly what I heard him ask.

MR. RAPPOLD: Brief response?

MS. WIEST: Brief.

2.3

2.4

MR. RAPPOLD: Had the Applicant submitted in information in accordance with the deadlines established with the Commission under the rules, the burden of proof rule that I just cited for you, I submit that Commissioner Hanson would not have had that question because it would have been answered.

The information would have been submitted, and

we would have been able to look at a table just like this, and you would have had your answer.

MS. WIEST: Based on the Commission's prior ruling and that it is responding to a question that was asked during the hearing, I will admit this exhibit.

You may proceed.

2.3

- Q. Mr. Mahmoud, do you have any information to share on cyber security measures used by the pipeline?
- A. I do. Really it's more of a brief statement.

We are a multibillion dollar company. We're actually the largest in the U.S. from an administering perspective. Third largest energy company in the U.S. Fifth largest energy company in the world.

We have an incredibly robust cyber security program that's been developed in coordination with the Department of Homeland Security, the FBI, and the CIA. We move more energy commodities in this country than anyone.

So as a responsibility to our government, which we have that responsibility, we have an incredible burden to protect ourselves, as well as the ability to move those energy commodities for the benefit of the consumers in the United States.

So it's simple: Of course, we have a cyber security program. I can't even think about how I would ever share that with you because it would violate the very premise

of a cyber security program for security purposes. And we just simply can't. I mean, I don't even know how we would address that if somebody forced us to because we just simply cannot.

2.3

So with that said, we have -- I think where this came up was in regards to our SCADA system. The SCADA system is on its own server. It only has three access points, which I'm not going to disclose where those are at. But there's only three locations that people can get into it to operate our systems in this country, and they are incredibly secure, closed systems for highly trained operators to get in to control, operate, and move those commodities across this country.

- Q. Is there any update on dialogue with Fish & Wildlife Service between the project and the FWS?
- A. There is. There's been a lot of questions. I received some questions. Monica received some questions about the Special Use Permit and the easements that have been -- that we're crossing of the U.S. Fish & Wildlife.

Thursday of last week when I returned to Houston -I had to get back -- we had a phone call. Actually
Monica had a phone call. And so her and I were briefed
by the Fish & Wildlife from the archeology perspective
that they did, in fact, review our information, provided
comments, and requested that we incorporate those into

the documents for resubmittal.

2.3

MS. REAL BIRD: Objection. Hearsay. He's testifying as to what the Fish & Wildlife told him over the phone. That's the definition of hearsay without an exception.

MS. WIEST: Any response?

MR. KOENECKE: He's testifying related to foundation and updates to his original testimony. I don't know of another way to place a dialogue in evidence than to ask one of the people who was a party to it.

I mean, we're not asking what somebody else informed him of a conversation. We're asking him about a conversation he was a part of. I don't think that's hearsay at all. That's -- again, you know, telling what happened is -- I'm sorry. That's evidence.

MS. REAL BIRD: If I may respond, Mr. Mahmoud's statements are not hearsay. He can testify as to what he stated. But when a witness is testifying as to someone else's statements as defined in the codified law and they're made out of court, not within court, that's the definition of hearsay. And that's my objection.

MS. WIEST: Could you repeat the question.

(Reporter reads back the last question.)

MS. WIEST: Okay. I'm going to overrule the objection. I think it falls within the public records

and reports exception since we are talking about a public office and agency in the statements.

Go ahead.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

A. I should clarify we got an e-mail, and we had a phone call. And when I testified earlier I testified that we had not had any communication.

And so since it happened during the relevancy of this trial, I wanted to make sure to fully disclose that after myself testified and after Monica testified that we did have that dialogue.

And we also had a conference call with their regional Fish & Wildlife person out of Denver, and we are actively working with them to address their comments.

That's really the update.

Q. Mr. Mahmoud, are you aware of whether the parent companies have issued quarantees to the project?

I am. And I am aware of that. You know, to

- eliminate any doubt about our financial responsibility,
- 19 not only do I represent Dakota Access, I represent Energy
- 20 Transfer Partners and a whole slough of our other
- 21 affiliates, and I can tell you that our parent company
- 22 has issued -- and not just ours but our partners have
- 23 issued parent guarantees to backstop any liability that
- 24 we may have in the event of a situation on this project.
- MR. KOENECKE: I've got nothing further. Thank

1 you. 2 MS. WIEST: Yankton Sioux Tribe, did you have 3 any questions? 4 MS. REAL BIRD: I do. 5 CROSS-EXAMINATION 6 BY MS. REAL BIRD: 7 Good afternoon again. 8 Is it your opinion that an EIS is not necessary for the proposed project? 10 That's correct. Α. 11 Would you articulate why that is your opinion? 12 Sure. You know, during this hearing I actually went 13 back -- although we're not filing it, I actually prepared 14 a white paper on the differences for South Dakota's 15 statutes required for an EIS at a state level versus an 16 EIS -- or the process under the -- the process that we've 17 been going through. 18 And when I did a side-by-side comparison, and I 19 think we'll eventually file this, a side-by-side 20 comparison, there literally is nothing that is not included in this record that would be disclosed in an 21 22 EIS.

They're virtually the exact same information

packaged in a different document. So, in my opinion, why

should us, the Applicant -- it's a duplicative process.

2.3

24

- It's burdensome. It's punitive in a regulatory sense to have an Applicant not only go through this process but then have to prepare an EIS or pay for one when all you're doing is regurgitating the same information. That doesn't seem very fair in a public process.
 - Do it one way or the other. If we would have been asked to do an EIS at the beginning of this process, we probably wouldn't object. But we're at this point at this stage of the project where we've submitted tons of information, mountains of information, a lot of paper, killed a lot of trees for this Application.
 - We've done everything that we've been asked to do, and to repackage it just to appease a few people's very biased interest to me is a gross waste of money, time, the public's intent, as well as a lot of other people's intentions and, clearly, a misrepresentation of the South Dakota Statutes, in my opinion.
- Q. Is your opinion based on your understanding of the National Environmental Policy Act?
 - A. Say that again, please.
- 22 Q. Sure.

- Is your opinion based upon your understanding of the National Environmental Policy Act?
- 25 A. It is. Which is a federal statute and not a state

statute.

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

As we have articulated many times, this is not a federalized project, no matter how much anybody wants it to be. It's simply not.

Under NEPA, which I think you all know so I shouldn't have to tell you this -- there's not an EIS required under NEPA for this project because there is no lead federal agency.

Under a state agency if they so desired, they could develop a state level EIS. But that's not what's happened here.

- 12 Q. Is your opinion based on anything else?
- 13 A. I think I just gave you my opinion.
- 14 Q. Why doesn't Dakota Access offer to adhere to the
- 15 | first sentence of Condition 41 in the KXL Amended Permit
- 16 Conditions attached to your prefiled testimony?
- 17 A. I would have to pull that out. If you can show me,
- 18 I would be happy to. Or I can look at my testimony. But
- 19 I need to actually get a copy of the KXL condition to
- 20 read again real quick.
- 21 Q. It should be attached to your Exhibit 36.
- 22 A. It's not.
- 23 Q. Oh, is that not in the record? The attachment?
- 24 A. My copy up here does not. What we said earlier,
- 25 somebody took the file copy off the table and has not

- l returned it.
- 2 Q. I will go over there and look again. That's where
- 3 | they are to be located. If you'll hold, please.
- 4 MS. REAL BIRD: Ms. Wiest, would you like -- I'm
- 5 | not sure how to proceed if it's not provided on the
- 6 table.
- 7 MS. WIEST: I think she provided it to him
- 8 electronically.
- 9 MS. REAL BIRD: Okay. Great.
- 10 A. I'm trying to find it in my written testimony where
- 11 | we said we would or would not, by the way.
- 12 Q. No problem. I'll pause. Take your time.
- 13 If it helps, it's line 83, 84 and the question and
- 14 answer preceding that, page 4.
- 15 A. The problem is I just don't see item No. 41 in those
- 16 list of numbers.
- 17 Q. And that's my question. Why doesn't Dakota Access
- 18 offer to adhere to Condition 41 in the KXL Permit
- 19 Condition and, in particular, the first sentence?
- 20 A. I'm going to read the first sentence. Okay?
- 21 | "Keystone shall follow all protection and mitigation
- 22 efforts as identified by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service
- 23 | in SD GFP." That's the first sentence.
- 24 And I'll answer it because there's nothing I will
- 25 agree to in that first sentence because we're not

1 Keystone, in this particular case.

2.3

2.4

Q. And I understand that. And that was prefaced in your rebuttal testimony that, of course, those type of changes -- it's understood you're not Keystone.

But you do agree that you identify a number of conditions that you would adhere to listed on lines 83 and 84. Those do, of course, reference Keystone, but you still agree to them with the understanding, of course, that Dakota Access, your company, would be the responsible party and not Keystone.

So I still have the remaining question as to 41, with that understanding.

A. Yeah. Okay. Thank you.

Then the rest of it goes on to say "Keystone shall identify all greater prairie chicken and greater sage and short-tailed grouse, leks within the buffer distances from the construction right of way set forth for the species in the FEIS and biological assessment prepared by DOS and U.S. Fish & Wildlife, in accordance with comments in the FEIS and BA, Keystone shall avoid or restrict construction activities as specified by U.S. Fish & Wildlife within such buffer zones between March 1 and June 15 and for other species as specified by U.S. Fish & Wildlife in South Dakota GFP."

And I appreciate you catching that. We would not

- 1 | agree to this so thank you. And simply because there's
- 2 | not an FEIS or biological opinion to refer back to so
- 3 | this condition just simply does not apply to the current
- 4 docket for Dakota Access.
- 5 Q. And I understand that. And so that's my question is
- 6 more particular to the first sentence of the condition.
- 7 Would you tell me why Dakota Access does not offer
- 8 to adhere to the first sentence of Condition 41 that's
- 9 attached to your -- in the KXL Amended Permit Condition
- 10 | that is attached to your prefiled?
- 11 A. I'm sorry. I really don't understand your question.
- 12 I read the sentence that Keystone shall follow all
- 13 protection and mitigation efforts as identified by U.S.
- 14 | Fish & Wildlife in SD GFP.
- 15 Q. That's the condition. That's the portion of that
- 16 | condition that I'm --
- 17 A. And we would agree to a similar condition if one was
- 18 issued that started with Dakota Access shall.
- 19 Q. Okay. I think that answers my question.
- 20 A. Okay. I'm sorry.
- 21 Q. We did get there eventually. Thank you.
- 22 A. You bet.
- 23 Q. Mr. Mahmoud, do you know what a frac-out is in the
- 24 | context of horizontal direction drilling?
- 25 A. I do.

Q. What is it? 2 MR. KOENECKE: I object. This isn't relevant or 3 I don't think covered in rebuttal. I don't remember that 4 term coming up in the whole hearing. Perhaps I missed 5 it. That's possible but --6 MS. REAL BIRD: May I respond? 7 MS. WIEST: Go ahead. 8 MS. REAL BIRD: On line 83 of the Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony, DAPL Exhibit 36, Mr. Mahmoud 10 indicates that Dakota Access generally agrees to the 11 conditions, and we would agree with the project specific 12 adjustments. 13 And No. 21 is listed. If you do turn to 14 Condition 21 and the attached -- the condition mentions 15 frac-out. So I'm inquiring as to that. 16 MS. WIEST: Objection overruled. 17 I'm sorry. Do I answer that? 18 MS. WIEST: Yes. 19 Α. Okay. Let me read it real quick. 20 (Witness examines document) 21 Well, in concept we have frac-out plans for our 22 project. I generally just don't -- in my opinion, I 2.3 don't care for some of the way that this thing is 24 necessarily written. 25 We have frac-out plans. The value of submitting

- some of the data seems to be irrelevant for the construction activity.
- We've committed to clean up and remediate any
 impacts that we may have, and we do have those plans in
 place. So I think if the condition was worded a little
 bit different, we probably wouldn't have an issue with
- Q. And, again, this is a condition that you offered to adhere to through the filing of your prefiled testimony, and there was no exception or changes.
- 11 A. Uh-huh.

it.

- 12 Q. And so --
- 13 A. Hold on.
- 14 Q. I don't have a question yet.
- 15 A. Oh, you don't?
- 16 Q. I didn't ask a question --
- 17 A. Okay.
- 18 Q. -- sir. Thank you.
- My question is why are you offering to include this condition in a Permit, if granted, if you don't entirely agree with the condition?
- 22 A. Well, in my prefiled testimony, which I was trying
- 23 to answer so I apologize for stepping over you, Dakota
- 24 Access generally agrees to the conditions, and
- 25 specifically we would agree to the following with project

1 specific adjustments as listed. 2 So what I'm saying there is we do agree. I already 3 just said we have a frac-out plan. So with this 4 condition modified accordingly and to make it more appropriate to be site specific, we would agree. 6 Q. And where would you propose the Commission receive 7 all of the site-specific information in the conditions, if it hasn't been testified to at the hearing? Well, I believe -- and I shouldn't assume this, but 10 I'm going to anyway -- that those plans, I believe, were 11 already filed as part of our documentation as part of the 12 Application. 13 Q. Just one more question. 14 Have you received a commitment from shippers who 15 have provided you a guarantee that their product will 16 certainly return to South Dakota? 17 Α. No. 18 MS. REAL BIRD: No further questions. 19 MS. WIEST: Rosebud. 20 MR. RAPPOLD: Yeah. I need to move up there, 21 though. 22 MS. WIEST: Okay. 23 MR. RAPPOLD: I might be able to get started 24 while we wait for that to come up.

1 CROSS-EXAMINATION 2 BY MR. RAPPOLD: Good afternoon, Mr. Mahmoud, again. 3 4 Were you involved with Dakota Access's Application for a Permit from the Federal Energy Regulatory -- FERC? 5 6 A. For this project? 7 That's what we're talking about. Yeah. This project. For what? The tariff? 10 Yeah. Q. 11 No. Α. 12 You weren't? 0. 13 Α. No. 14 Did anyone -- do you know who did that? 15 I do. 16 Would you disagree that FERC issued an opinion based 17 on Dakota Access's Application for a Permit? 18 MR. KOENECKE: Objection. He testified he 19 didn't prepare it. 20 MR. RAPPOLD: Well, I'm --21 MR. KOENECKE: Inadequate foundation for this 22 question. 23 MR. RAPPOLD: I just asked if he would disagree 24 whether or not FERC issued a Permit.

MR. KOENECKE: You have to find out whether he

- 1 knows anything about it before you get to that question.
- 2 MS. WIEST: Can you lay some foundation?
- 3 | Q. In your Application in several places you do make
- 4 reference to needing a permit from the Federal Energy
- 5 Regulatory Commission.
- 6 Do you agree with that?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. You don't agree with that?
- 9 A. We don't need a permit from them.
- 10 Q. Not for anything?
- 11 A. We do not need a permit from the FERC for this
- 12 project.
- 13 Q. Maybe I have my terms mixed up.
- 14 A. I'm sure.
- 15 Q. Yeah. I'm sure too. Thank you for pointing that
- 16 out.
- 17 A. You're welcome.
- 18 MR. RAPPOLD: I'd ask the Commission to take
- 19 | judicial notice of 149 FERC paragraph 61, 275, and it's
- 20 called a Declaratory Order, and it's on the screen above
- 21 us. It's on Docket No. OR14-42-000. And I'd ask that
- 22 | the Commission take judicial notice of this Declaratory
- 23 Order.
- MS. WIEST: For what reason?
- MR. RAPPOLD: I want to use this Order to

1 compare some information that's -- that's contained in 2 the FERC Order with information that's contained in 3 Dakota Access's Application for a Permit here and also 4 with testimony of the witness that he elicited earlier on 5 in his rebuttal testimony. 6 It will be for impeachment purposes. 7 MR. KOENECKE: I object. There's no adequate 8 foundation. We don't know if this document is even accurate or correct. Somebody has to come here and put 10 it into evidence. 11 MR. RAPPOLD: I'm asking for judicial notice. 12 MR. KOENECKE: And there are a host of reasons 13 why that shouldn't be granted. Judicial notice I think 14 applies to statutes and not this, even if it is what it 15 purports to be. I don't know. I can't read it from here 16 even. 17 MR. RAPPOLD: I could pull up the statute on 18 judicial notice if it will help reach a conclusion on the 19 applicability of judicial notice. 20 MS. WIEST: How long is this document? 21 MR. RAPPOLD: It's several pages. I mean, I can 22 jump right to the chase here. 2.3 I think it's about 15 pages maybe. 2.4 The document is 13 pages. 25 MS. WIEST: And before ruling on this motion --

```
1
     or request for judicial notice, I would like to read
2
     this. And what I would propose is that the Commission
 3
     adjourn for the evening and we come back in the morning.
 4
              Is there any objection to that?
5
              MR. RAPPOLD: None from me.
 6
              MS. WIEST: And what is the citation to this?
7
              MR. RAPPOLD: I got to get back in it.
8
    right there.
              MS. WIEST: Do you have a paper copy of this?
10
              MR. RAPPOLD: I don't. I just came across it a
11
     little while ago and just for the purposes of rebuttal
12
     impeachment, and I think the rules would permit me not
13
     to -- the rules shouldn't require me to have a paper copy
14
     right now.
15
              MS. WIEST: Okay. With this, we will adjourn
16
     for the evening --
17
              Go ahead. Sorry.
18
              CHAIRMAN NELSON: Just a word or two about
19
    tomorrow. First and most importantly, I do intend for us
20
     to finish tomorrow.
21
              Secondly, I have got a previously scheduled
22
    conference call at 1 o'clock, and so however tomorrow
2.3
    morning works out, I am going to propose we take our
24
     lunch break, assuming we're not done by then, at 12:45
25
    until 2 o'clock.
```

```
1
               MS. WIEST: Okay. With that, we will adjourn.
 2
     Thank you.
               (The hearing is in recess at 5:15 p.m.)
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 8th day of
11	October, 2015, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 23rd day of
14	October, 2015.
15	
16	
17	
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler, Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		1		T	
116 1705.3 1706.3 1706.13 1706.14 1706.15 1706.14 1707.14 1706.15 1707.14 1706.15 1707.14 1706.15 1707.14 1706.15 1707.14 1707.14 1706.15 1707.14 1707.15 1707.17	1	1120 [1] - 1715:13	1258 [1] - 1716:19	1426 [1] - 1718:10	1585 [1] - 1713:7
1 196 -1705:3, 1706:3, 1706:3, 1706:3, 1706:14, 1707:14, 1707:14, 1706:15, 1706:10, 1707:14, 1707:14, 1706:15, 1706:15, 1706:15, 1707:14, 1707:14, 1707:14, 1707:17, 1706:16, 1707:20, 170					
1 19 1705-3, 1706-3, 1706-3, 1706-17, 1706-17, 1706-17, 1707-14, 1708-13, 1733-9, 1733-14, 1808-16, 1808-30, 1818-31, 1808-30, 1818-31, 1808-30, 1818-31, 1808-30, 1818-31, 1808-30, 1818-2, 1818-71, 1818-1819-1819-1819-1819-1819-1819-1819				1	
1708-14, 1707-14, 1707-14, 1708-15, 1708-13, 170	1 [16] - 1705:3, 1706:3,				
1708.13, 1733.9, 1732.11, 1715.16 1727.11, 1707.21 1439.11, 1718.13 1599.11, 1713.10, 1733.14, 1808.15, 1808.20, 1386.11, 1715.18 1276.11, 1707.22 1450.11, 1718.13 1599.11, 1715.11, 1800.23, 1931.2, 1979.22, 1807.22 1146.11, 1715.18 1281.11, 1715.23 1450.11, 1718.13 1599.11, 1716.17 1716.18 1281.11, 1717.23 1450.11, 1718.13 1599.11, 1716.17 1716.	1706:14, 1707:14,				
1733:14, 1863:14, 1863:20, 1868:20, 1868:20, 1868:20, 1868:20, 1868:20, 1868:21, 1869:10, 1715:18, 1869:19, 1716:12, 1879:22, 1897:22, 1897:22, 1897:22, 1997:23, 1997:24, 1869:17, 1716:24, 1869:17, 1716:34, 1869:17, 1716:34, 1869:17, 1716:34, 1869:17, 1716:34, 1869:19, 1716:32, 1869:20, 1886:24, 1867:19, 1716:34, 1869:19, 1716:32, 1899:19, 1716:34, 1869:19, 1	1708:13, 1733:9,				
1868;16, 1863;20, 1388]] - 1707;12	1733:14, 1863:14,				,
1878.24, 1887-11, 1990.22, 1997.22, 1987-24, 1781-19,	1863:15, 1863:20,				
1980;23, 1981;2; 1144 m -1716:19 1286 m -1707:11 1467 m -1718:14 1706:20, 1708:3, 1706:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1708:18, 1866:18, 1933:2, 1933:2,	1878:24, 1887:11,				
1979-22, 1987-22, 1987-22 1148 (pt. 1715-19 1286 (pt. 1707-11 1460 (pt. 1718-17 1727-21, 1731-16, 1706-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 1708-19, 1708-18, 170	1930:23, 1931:2,				
13.10 -1930/22 1158 1715:20 1298 0 -1717:4 1464 0 -1708:13 1606 0 -1713:12 1706:19, 1706:19, 1706:18, 1708:18, 1716:19, 1715:20 1245 0 -1907:19, 1441:6, 1462 0 -1708:13 1606 0 -1713:12 1606 0 -1713:12 1606 0 -1713:13 1606 0 -1713:13 1606 0 -1713:13 1606 0 -1713:13 1607 0 -1713:13 1606 0 -1713:13 1606 0 -1713:13 1606 0 -1713:13 1607	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· · · · · ·
10 (rol. 1706.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1708.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.18, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1709.18, 1709.19, 1	1.3 [1] - 1930:22				
1706:18, 1708:18, 1708:15, 116 p. 1709:5 13 p. 1706:12, 1463 p. 1708:13 1467 p. 1718:17 1467 p. 1718:17 1467 p. 1718:17 1467 p. 1718:17 1467 p. 1718:18 1467 p. 1718:19 1447 p. 1718:18 1467 p. 1718:19 1467 p. 1718:19 1467 p. 1718:19 1488 p. 1708:10, 1718:19 1718:	10 [10] - 1706:9,				
1722-17, 1769-5, 160 m - 1715-21 1706:19, 1841-6, 1467 m - 1718:17 1607 m - 1713:13 1866:16, 1933-2, 1163 m - 1715:22 1300 m - 1901-9 1477 m - 1718:18 1616 m - 1713:14 1616 m - 1713:15 1484 m - 1718:19 1634 m - 1713:15 1638 m - 1713:15 1484 m - 1718:19 1634 m - 1713:15 1638 m - 1713:15 1639 m - 1714:15 1639 m - 1714	1706:18, 1708:18,			1	
1791.6, 1813-18, 1860:16, 1983-2, 1167 1-715:22 1860:20, 1986:24 1472 1-718:18 1615 1-713:13 1860:16, 1983-2, 1167 1-710:29 1300 1-190:19 1477 1-718:18 1615 1-713:13 1860:16, 1963:3 1177 1-716:3 1303 1-717:5 1484 1-718:19 1634 1-713:15 1898:7, 1898:16, 1974:2, 1716:4 1307 1-717:5 1484 1-718:19 1634 1-713:15 1898:7, 1898:16, 1972:3, 1912:14, 182 1-716:5 1303 1-717:5 1489 1-718:20 1644 1-776:19 1894:23, 1912:14, 1922:3, 1912:14, 1922:3, 1912:14, 1912:34, 1922:4 1183 1-716:5 1323 1-707:19 1494 1-718:20 1642 1-716:21, 1713:18 1912:34, 1912:34 1912:34, 19	1722:17, 1769:5,				
1866 16, 1933:2, 1933:2, 1933:3, 1933:3, 1933:3, 1933:3, 1933:3, 1947:171:171:171:171:171:171:171:171:171:1	1791:6, 1813:18,				
10-minute 12- 1360 16, 1963.7 177.19 1301 1, 177.17 1484 1, 177.18:19 1631 1, 171.3:15 1306 1, 177.17 1488 1, 177.18:19 1633 1, 177.13:15 1306 1, 177.17 1488 1, 177.18:19 1633 1, 177.13:15 1306 1, 177.17 1488 1, 177.18:19 1633 1, 177.13:15 1306 1, 177.17 1488 1, 177.18:19 1633 1, 177.13:15 1306 1, 177.17 1488 1, 177.18:19 1634 1, 177.13:15 1306 1, 177.17 1489 1, 177.18:19 1634 1, 177.13:15 1309 1, 177.17 1499 1, 1718:20 1716:19 1718:20 1716:19 1718:20 1716:19 1718:20 1716:19 1718:20 1716:19 1718:20 1716:19 1718:20 1717:3 1499 1, 1718:20 1713:18 1852 1, 1716:8 1331 1, 1707:13 1499 1, 1718:20 1655 1, 1713:18 1656 1, 1713:18 1716:8 1331 1, 1717:9 1717:9			•		
10-minute g	1933:3				
1986.01-16, 1983.7 1777 21 - 1707.5 1305 1 - 1717.5 1488 21 - 1708.10 1633 11 - 1713.15 1718.19	I				
100 - 18/4-2,	·				
1898.77, 1898.16, 1997.19, 1997.18 1178 1917.16 1309 2] - 1707.6, 1489 1917.18 1642 2] - 1706.19 1912.3, 1912.14, 1912.23, 1929.19, 1942.23, 1929.19, 1944.23, 1947.20 1183 19 - 1716.5 1323 19 - 1707.19 1494 19 - 1718.20 1713.18 1942.23, 1947.20 1183 19 - 1716.7 1327 19 - 1707.13 1499 19 - 1718.21 1655 1713.18 100.20 19 - 1714.20 1714.20 1717.20					
19123, 191214, 1182 2 - 171615, 1707.7 149 1] - 1985:19 1642 2] - 1706:21, 19123, 1929:19, 1944:23, 1947:20 183 1] - 1716:7 1327 1] - 1707:13 1499 1] - 1718:21 1655 1] - 1713:18 100,000 1] - 1815:4 1192 2] - 1716:8 1331 2] - 1717:8 15 1] - 1706:20, 1663 1] - 1713:19 1002 1] - 1706:14, 1708:15 1706:11, 1708:18 1331 2] - 1717:8 1813:18, 1869:17, 1666 1] - 1713:19 1708:19, 1708:11, 1708:13, 1706:11, 1708:3, 1706:11, 1708:3, 1706:11, 1708:3, 1706:11, 1708:3, 1706:11, 1708:3, 1708:16, 1708:16, 1708:17, 1708:18, 1769:12, 1759:12, 1759:12, 1759:23, 1759:23, 1759:12,					
1912/23, 1927-14, 1912/23, 1929-19, 1944/23, 1947-120 1183 II - 1716:7 1327 III - 1707:13 1494 III - 1718:20 1713:18 1655 III - 1713:18 1830 III - 1717:7 15 III - 1707:13 1494 III - 1718:20 1666 III - 1713:19 1713:18 1809 III - 1713:18 1809 III - 1713:18 1809 III - 1713:18 1809 III - 1713:19 1724:18, 1727:17, 1666 III - 1714:21 1725:24, 1738:23, 1735:19, 1717:9 1717:9 1717:10 1718:20 1717:44 1717:42 17	1898:7, 1898:16,				
1942:23, 1929:19, 1947:20 100,000 [n] - 1815:4 1002 [n] - 1712:24 1002 [n] - 1712:24 1002 [n] - 1712:24 1003 [n] - 1712:24 1003 [n] - 1712:24 1003 [n] - 1712:24 1003 [n] - 1708:16 1708:17, 1708:18, 1769:12, 1769:18, 1769:19, 176	1912:3, 1912:14,				
194,23, 194,25, 194,	l ' '				
176.8					
1028 (i)	' ' '				
1038 (p) - 1718-20 1706:11, 1708:3, 1332 (p) - 1717:9 1920:21, 1979:23, 1670 (p) - 1706:17, 1050 (q) - 1708:18, 1759:24, 1738:23, 1335 (p) - 1717:9 1986:23 1714:4 1781:24 1678 (p) - 1714:4 1781:24 1678 (p) - 1714:5 1606 (p) - 1714:21 1830:3, 1830:11, 1342 (p) - 1707:3 1501 (p) - 1718:21 1680 (p) - 1714:5 1603 (p) - 1715:3 1875:22, 1875:23, 1370 (p) - 1717:12 1505 (p) - 1718:22 1686 (p) - 1714:6 1678 (p) - 1714:6 1678 (p) - 1714:6 1678 (p) - 1714:6 1679 (p) - 1715:4 1877:1, 1877:2, 1371 (p) - 1717:15 1513 (p) - 1718:23 1714:7 1706:10, 1707:10, 1707:14, 1707:16 1707:10, 1707:14, 1707:17 1200 (g) - 1707:10, 1707:14, 1707:17 1200 (g) - 1707:10, 1707:14, 1707:17 1200 (g) - 1716:10 1387 (p) - 1717:19 1537 (p) - 1711:7 1708:4, 1933:5 1706:2, 1708:10, 1715:0 1215 (p) - 1716:11 1399 (p) - 1717:10 1551 (p) - 1716:10 1221 (p) - 1716:14 1399 (p) - 1717:19 1551 (p) - 1711:8 1709:20 1709:10, 1709:10, 1716:10 1221 (p) - 1716:14 1399 (p) - 1717:19 1551 (p) - 1711:8 1706:20 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709:50 1709:10, 1709					
1050 -					
1708:17, 1708:18, 1759:19, 1759:23, 1768:18, 1769:12, 1768:18, 1769:12, 1768:18, 1769:12, 1768:18, 1769:12, 1830:3, 1830:11, 1830:3, 1830:11, 1834:11-1717:11 1781:24 1680 (1-1714:5 168					
1768.18, 1769.10, 1714:21					
1060 - -					
1834;3, 1875;20, 1875;23, 1876;23, 1876;22, 1875;23, 1876;24, 1876;25, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;2, 1877;1, 1877;1, 1877;1, 1877;1, 1871;15 1006 (i) - 1715;5 107 (i) - 1707;10, 1707;10, 1707;14, 1707;16, 1707;18, 1716;9 1200 (i) - 1707;10, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1707;17, 1708;19, 1715;9 1091 (i) - 1715;9 1094 (i) - 1715;9 1096 (i) - 1715;10, 1716;11 1209 (i) - 1716;11 1209 (i) - 1716;11 1221 (i) - 1706;10, 1717;13 1221 (i) - 1706;14, 1707;15 1097 (i) - 1715;13 1098 (i) - 1715;13 1098 (i) - 1716;14 110 - 1706;10, 1708;19, 1736;6, 1737;14, 1737;16, 1737;14, 1737;16, 1737;14, 1737;16, 1921;12, 1921;20 1221 (i) - 1707;9 1731;19, 1733;6, 1737;16, 1921;12, 1921;20 1222 (i) - 1716;17 1225 (i) - 1716;17 1226 (i) - 1716;17 1226 (i) - 1707;9 1418 (i) - 1718;7 1422 (i) - 1713;5 1509 (i) - 1718;22 1509 (i) - 1718;23 1510 (i) - 1718;23 1520 (i) - 1714;8 1530 (i) - 1706;3, 1706;4, 1706;6,		1			
1064 [2] - 1776:3 1875:22, 1875:23, 1875:23, 1716:4 1370 [1] - 1717:13 1509 [1] - 1718:22 1690 [2] - 1714:6, 1715:4 1066 [1] - 1715:4 1877:1, 1877:2, 1877:7, 1934:17 1373 [1] - 1707:13 1510 [1] - 1718:23 1692 [2] - 1714:6, 1714:7 1067 [1] - 1715:5 1877:7, 1934:17 1375 [1] - 1707:13 1529 [2] - 1706:3, 1714:8 1692 [2] - 1714:7, 1714:8 1071 [1] - 1715:7 1200 [3] - 1707:10, 1707:10, 1707:16, 1707:17, 1707:17 1200 [3] - 1707:10, 1707:10, 1707:17, 1707:17 1386 [2] - 1707:8, 1717:17 1386 [2] - 1708:4, 1933:5 1530 [3] - 1706:4, 1697 [1] - 1714:9 1697 [1] - 1714:8 1091 [2] - 1715:8, 1706:17 1208 [1] - 1716:10 1387 [1] - 1717:18 1537 [1] - 1706:7 1708:4, 1933:5 1094 [1] - 1715:9 1216 [1] - 1716:10 1394 [1] - 1717:18 154 [1] - 1709:6 1703:10 1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1715:10 1218 [1] - 1716:11 1398 [1] - 1717:19 154 [1] - 1711:8 1967:23 1097 [1] - 1715:13 1227 [1] - 1716:14 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1097 [1] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1707:10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
1875:24, 1876:25, 1877:2, 1877:2, 1877:2, 1877:2, 1877:2, 1877:7, 1934:17 1715:5 1067 (n) - 1715:5 120 (n) - 1931:12 1376 (n) - 1707:13 1529 (2) - 1706:3, 1714:8 1695 (n) - 1714:8 1707:14, 1707:16, 1707:17, 1707:17 1200 (n) - 1716:9 1387 (n) - 1717:18 1530 (n) - 1706:10, 1706:10				1	
187:1, 187:2, 187:7, 1934:17		1			
1066 (1) - 1715:4					
107 10 1715:5 120 10 1931:12 1376 10 1711:16 1711:16 1695 10 1714:18 1695 10 1714:18 1695 10 1714:18 1695 10 1714:18 1695 10 1714:18 1695 10 1714:18 1717:16 1717:16 1717:16 1717:16 1717:16 1717:17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 1706:5, 1706:6 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 1					
1071 [1] - 1715:7 1086 [5] - 1707:10, 1707:10, 1707:14, 1707:16, 1707:18, 1716:9 1200 [5] - 1707:10, 1707:17 1386 [2] - 1707:8, 1716:9 1530 [3] - 1706:4, 1706:6 17 [3] - 1706:21, 1706:21, 1706:7 1088 [1] - 1715:8 1201 [1] - 1716:10 1387 [1] - 1717:17 1531 [1] - 1706:7 1708:4, 1933:5 1091 [2] - 1715:8, 1715:9 1208 [1] - 1716:11 1398 [1] - 1717:18 154 [1] - 1709:6 1703:10 1094 [1] - 1715:9 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1398 [1] - 1717:19 1551 [1] - 1711:8 1967:23 1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1715:10 1218 [1] - 1707:5 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1097 [1] - 1715:13 1227 [1] - 1716:14 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1721 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1706:10, 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:12, 1921:12, 1921:20 1234 [1] - 1707:6 1408 [1] - 1707:8 1557 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1091:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1255 [1] - 1707:19 1416 [1] - 1709:6 1588 [1] - 1709:6 1731:41 11091:10, 1709					
1707:14, 1707:16, 1707:17					
1707:14, 1707:16, 1707:17 1201 [i] - 1716:9 1387 [i] - 1717:17 1531 [i] - 1706:7 1708:4, 1933:5 1088 [i] - 1715:8 1091 [i] - 1716:8 1209 [i] - 1716:10 1389 [i] - 1717:18 1537 [i] - 1706:7 1703:1989 [i] - 1703:10 1715:9 1094 [i] - 1715:9 1216 [i] - 1716:11 1398 [i] - 1717:19 1540 [i] - 1709:6 1703:10 1096 [i] - 1715:9 1218 [i] - 1716:11 1399 [i] - 1717:19 1551 [i] - 1711:8 1967:23 1096 [i] - 1715:10 1218 [i] - 1707:5 140 [i] - 1823:16 1552 [i] - 1711:8 1721 [i] - 1714:10 1097 [i] - 1715:11 1227 [i] - 1716:14 140 [i] - 1709:5 1553 [i] - 1711:9 1727 [i] - 1706:20 1098 [i] - 1715:13 1227 [i] - 1716:14 1401 [i] - 1717:20 1555 [i] - 1711:9 1731 [i] - 1706:20 10:00 [i] - 1781:25 11 [i0] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1706:15 1404 [i] - 1718:5 1557 [i] - 1713:4 1773 [i] - 1714:11 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1234 [i] - 1707:20 1412 [i] - 1707:8 1578 [i] - 1713:5 1782 [i] - 1714:12 1921:10, 1921:20 1252 [i] - 1707:19 1418 [i]	l				
1/0/:17, 1/0/:17 1088 [1] - 1715:8 1208 [1] - 1716:10 1389 [1] - 171:18 1537 [1] - 1711:7 1703-1989 [1] - 1703:10 1091 [2] - 1715:8, 1715:9 1215 [1] - 1716:11 1394 [1] - 1717:19 1540 [1] - 1709:6 1703:10 1094 [1] - 1715:9 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1398 [1] - 1717:19 15540 [1] - 1711:7 171 [2] - 1944:22, 1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1715:10 1218 [1] - 1716:13 14 [1] - 1823:16 1552 [1] - 1711:8 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1097 [1] - 1715:11 1227 [1] - 1716:14 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1715:13 1227 [1] - 1716:14 1401 [1] - 1717:20 1555 [1] - 1711:9 1731 [1] - 1706:20 10:00 [1] - 1781:25 1230 [2] - 1716:14 1404 [1] - 1718:3 1561 [1] - 1706:18 1714:11 1 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1706:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1707:20 1412 [1] - 1707:8 1578 [1] - 1713:5 1782 [1] - 1714:11 1 [234 [1] - 1707:19 1416 [1] - 1718:5 1580 [1] - 1713:5 1793 [2] - 1714:13 1 [251 [1] - 1706:17 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1581 [1] - 1713:6 <td< td=""><td>, , ,</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	, , ,				
1088 [1] - 1715:8 1209 [1] - 1716:10 1394 [1] - 1717:18 154 [1] - 1709:6 1703:10 1094 [1] - 1715:9 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1399 [1] - 1717:19 1540 [1] - 1711:7 171 [2] - 1944:22, 1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1218 [1] - 1716:13 14 [1] - 1823:16 1552 [1] - 1711:8 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1097 [1] - 1715:11 1221 [1] - 1707:5 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1715:13 1227 [1] - 1716:14 1401 [1] - 1717:20 1555 [1] - 1711:9 1731 [1] - 1706:20 10:00 [1] - 1781:25 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1706:15 1408 [1] - 1707:4 1562 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 10:00 [1] - 1708:19, 1733:6, 1411 [1] - 1718:5 1572 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 10:10 [1] - 1708:19, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1404 [1] - 1718:5 1578 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 10:21 [1] - 1707:6 1234 [1] - 1707:6 1412 [1] - 1708:5 1578 [1] - 1713:5 1789 [1] - 1714:13 10:21 [1] - 1707:19 1416 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:5 1793 [2] - 1714:13 10:21 [1] - 1707:19 1416 [1] - 17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				· ·
1091 [2] - 1715:8, 1715:9 1094 [1] - 1715:9 1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1715:10 1715:10 1097 [1] - 1715:11 1098 [1] - 1715:13 1098 [1] - 1716:14 1098 [1] - 1715:13 10:00 [1] - 1781:25 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1097 [1] - 1716:17 1216 [1] - 1716:17 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1218 [1] - 1716:13 1398 [1] - 1717:19 1540 [1] - 1711:7 1540 [1] - 1711:8 1967:23 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1552 [1] - 1711:8 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1727 [1] - 1716:20 1727 [1] - 1716:20 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1727 [1] - 1716:20 1728 [1] - 1716:15 1729 [1] - 1716:15 1739 [1] - 1716:15 1740 [1] - 1706:18 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1753 [1] - 1714:12 1753 [1] - 1714:12 1753 [1] - 1714:13 1754 [1] - 1713:5 1755 [1] - 1713:5 1757 [1] - 1713:6					1
1094 [1] - 1715:9 1216 [1] - 1716:11 1399 [1] - 1717:19 1551 [1] - 1711:8 1967:23 1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1715:10 1221 [1] - 1707:5 14 [1] - 1823:16 1552 [1] - 1711:8 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1097 [1] - 1715:11 1227 [1] - 1716:14 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1715:13 1230 [2] - 1716:14, 1716:14 1402 [1] - 1717:20 1555 [1] - 1711:9 1731 [1] - 1706:20 10:00 [1] - 1781:25 1404 [1] - 1718:3 1561 [1] - 1706:18 1714:11 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1234 [1] - 1707:0 1408 [1] - 1707:4 1562 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1773:14, 1737:16, 1921:12, 1921:20 1251 [1] - 1707:19 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1709:6 1789 [1] - 1714:13, 1793:6 1921:20 1252 [1] - 1716:17 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:6 1793 [2] - 1714:13, 1714:14					
1094 [1] - 1715:9 1218 [1] - 1716:13 14 [1] - 1823:16 1552 [1] - 1711:8 1721 [1] - 1714:10 1097 [1] - 1715:10 1227 [1] - 1716:14 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1715:13 1230 [2] - 1716:14 1401 [1] - 1717:20 1555 [1] - 1711:9 1731 [1] - 1706:20 10:00 [1] - 1781:25 1402 [1] - 1718:3 1561 [1] - 1706:18 1737 [2] - 1706:19, 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1731 [1] - 1716:15 1408 [1] - 1707:4 1562 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1234 [1] - 1707:0 1411 [1] - 1718:5 1572 [1] - 1713:4 1773 [1] - 1714:12 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1251 [1] - 1707:19 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1709:6 1789 [1] - 1714:13, 1921:20 1252 [1] - 1716:17 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:5 1793 [2] - 1714:13,					, , ,
1096 [2] - 1715:10, 1221 [1] - 1707:5 140 [1] - 1709:5 1553 [1] - 1711:9 1727 [1] - 1706:20 1097 [1] - 1715:11 1230 [2] - 1716:14 140 [1] - 1709:5 1555 [1] - 1711:9 1731 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1781:25 1231 [1] - 1716:15 140 [1] - 1718:3 1557 [1] - 1713:3 1737 [2] - 1706:19, 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1234 [1] - 1716:17 1408 [1] - 1707:4 1562 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1731:19, 1733:6, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1234 [1] - 1707:6 1412 [1] - 1707:8 1572 [1] - 1713:4 1773 [1] - 1714:12 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1251 [1] - 1707:19 1416 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1703:6 1793 [2] - 1714:13, 1921:20 1746:18 1714:14 1773 [1] - 1706:20					
1097 [1] - 1715:10 1227 [1] - 1716:14 1401 [1] - 1717:20 1555 [1] - 1711:9 1731 [1] - 1706:20 1098 [1] - 1781:25 1716:15 1402 [1] - 1717:20 1557 [1] - 1713:3 1737 [2] - 1706:19, 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1731 [1] - 1716:15 1408 [1] - 1707:4 1562 [1] - 1713:4 1753 [1] - 1714:11 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1416 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1703:6 1793 [2] - 1714:14 174:11 1773 [1] - 1716:17 1416 [1] - 1707:8 1578 [1] - 1713:5 1782 [1] - 1714:12 1793 [2] - 1716:17 1416 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1709:6 1789 [1] - 1714:13 1793 [2] - 1714:14 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:6 1793 [2] - 1714:14					
1097 [1] - 1715:11 1098 [1] - 1715:13 1230 [2] - 1716:14, 1402 [1] - 1717:20 1557 [1] - 1713:3 1737 [2] - 1706:19, 10:00 [1] - 1781:25 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1231 [1] - 1716:15 1404 [1] - 1718:3 1561 [1] - 1706:18 1714:11 1706:19, 1708:19, 1234 [1] - 1716:17 1411 [1] - 1718:5 1572 [1] - 1713:4 1773 [1] - 1714:11 1731:19, 1733:6, 1236 [1] - 1707:6 1412 [1] - 1707:8 1578 [1] - 1713:5 1782 [1] - 1714:12 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1251 [1] - 1707:19 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:6 1793 [2] - 1714:14, 1921:20 1706:19, 1706:18 1714:11 1714:11					
10:00 [η] - 178:13 1716:15 1404 [η] - 1718:3 1561 [η] - 1706:18 1714:11 11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:10, 1706:19, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:20 1234 [η] - 1716:17 1411 [η] - 1718:5 1572 [η] - 1713:4 1773 [η] - 1714:11 173 [η] - 1707:20 1412 [η] - 1707:8 1578 [η] - 1709:6 1789 [η] - 1714:13 1921:20 1252 [η] - 1716:17 1418 [η] - 1718:7 1580 [η] - 1713:6 1793 [2] - 1714:14					
10:00 [i] - 1781:25 1231 [i] - 1716:15 1408 [i] - 1707:4 1562 [i] - 1713:4 1753 [i] - 1714:11 1706:19, 1708:19, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:20 1236 [i] - 1707:6 1416 [i] - 1707:8 1578 [i] - 1713:4 1773 [i] - 1714:11 1753 [i] - 1714:11 1773 [i] - 1714:12 1416 [i] - 1707:8 1578 [i] - 1713:5 1782 [i] - 1714:12 1416 [i] - 1718:5 158 [i] - 1709:6 1789 [i] - 1714:13 1921:20 1252 [i] - 1716:17 1422 [i] - 1718:7 1580 [i] - 1713:6 1714:14				1	
11 [10] - 1706:10, 1706:19, 1708:19, 1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1234 [1] - 1716:17 1411 [1] - 1718:5 1411 [1] - 1718:5 1412 [1] - 1707:8 1572 [1] - 1713:4 1578 [1] - 1713:5 1782 [1] - 1714:12 1789 [1] - 1714:13 1793 [2] - 1714:13 1793 [2] - 1714:14 1793 [2] - 1714:14 1794:14 1794:14 1794:14 1794:14	• •				
1706:19, 1708:19, 1236 [1] - 1707:6 1412 [1] - 1707:8 1578 [1] - 1713:5 1782 [1] - 1714:12 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1251 [1] - 1707:19 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:5 1793 [2] - 1714:13 1921:20 1793 [2] - 1716:17 1793 [2] - 1714:14 1793 [2] - 1714:14		1		1	
1731:19, 1733:6, 1737:14, 1737:16, 1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1243 [1] - 1707:20 1416 [1] - 1718:5 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1423 [1] - 1713:6 1789 [1] - 1714:13 1793 [2] - 1714:14 1794 [1] - 1713:6					
1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20 1251 [1] - 1707:19 1418 [1] - 1718:7 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1422 [1] - 1718:7 1580 [1] - 1713:5 1793 [2] - 1714:13, 1714:14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
1921:10, 1921:12, 1921:20					
1921.20 1925 (a) 1746:10 1925 (b) 1925 (c) 1746:10 1925 (c) 1925 (
102[i] 1707.12	1102 [1] - 1707:12		1424 [1] - 1718:8		
111 [1] - 1768:3 1257 [1] - 1716:18 1425 [1] - 1718:8 1583 [1] - 1713:7 1796 [1] - 1714:15	111 [1] - 1/68:3		1425 [1] - 1718:8	1303 [1] - 17 13:7	1700[1] - 1714.10

1797 [1] - 1714:16	1798:22, 1799:10	1870:13, 1871:3	3	1706:15, 1733:9,
18 [5] - 1706:21,	1986 [1] - 1799:19	217 [1] - 1870:13		1735:5, 1735:6,
1708:5, 1823:17,	1998 [1] - 1800:3	2170 [2] - 1705:7,		1744:22, 1770:21,
1877:4, 1899:21	1:15 [3] - 1858:8,	1720:4	3 [10] - 1705:4, 1706:4,	1771:20, 1772:18,
18,000 [1] - 1825:5	1859:15, 1860:14	2175 [1] - 1720:4	1706:15, 1706:22,	1779:22, 1820:17,
1 80 [1] - 1810:14		218 [2] - 1870:13,	1708:14, 1725:14,	1967:2, 1968:25,
1801 [1] - 1706:16	2	1871:3	1735:5, 1766:10,	1978:14
1805 [1] - 1714:17		2182 [1] - 1720:5	1890:13, 1926:5	4/8/15 [1] - 1706:4
181 [1] - 1709:7		2198 [1] - 1720:5	3.5 [1] - 1829:14	40 [5] - 1789:17,
1820 [1] - 1714:17	2 [14] - 1705:3, 1706:3,	22 [1] - 1708:6	30 [4] - 1705:9,	1912:16, 1916:7,
1822 [1] - 1714:18	1706:14, 1708:6,	220 [1] - 1709:9	1735:5, 1795:4,	1931:6, 1932:8
1828 [1] - 1711:11	1708:13, 1759:24,	220-foot [1] - 1810:14	1802:6	404 [2] - 1705:11,
	1760:1, 1760:15,	2205 [1] - 1720:6	30,000 [1] - 1823:25	1710:12
1834 [1] - 1706:11	1760:18, 1773:20,		300 [1] - 1705:10	41 [7] - 1705:15,
1849 [1] - 1711:12	1835:11, 1890:13,	2206 [1] - 1720:6	301 [1] - 1710:4	1735:6, 1977:15,
1850 [1] - 1711:12	1987:25	2208 [1] - 1720:7	31 [3] - 1705:10,	1978:15, 1978:18,
1856 [1] - 1711:13	2,000 [1] - 1931:25	2211 [1] - 1720:7	1934:13, 1934:14	
1857 [1] - 1711:13	2/17/15 [1] - 1706:7	2212 [1] - 1720:8		1979:11, 1980:8
1863 [1] - 1706:3	2/18/15 [1] - 1706:5	2215 [1] - 1720:8	32 [2] - 1705:10,	414 [2] - 1704:15,
1868 [1] - 1719:7	2/25/15 [1] - 1706:6	227 [1] - 1927:3	1735:5	1797:24
1872 [1] - 1705:14	20 [2] - 1912:15,	229th [1] - 1929:15	320 [1] - 1710:4	419 [1] - 1710:12
188 [1] - 1709:7	1933:5	23 [7] - 1906:8,	324 [1] - 1710:5	42 [1] - 1705:15
1882 [1] - 1719:7		1906:20, 1939:20,	33 [2] - 1705:11,	45 [2] - 1705:16,
1883 [1] - 1719:8	200 [1] - 1932:3	1940:19, 1941:13,	1752:13	1735:6
1892 [1] - 1719:8	2000 [1] - 1869:15	1957:9, 1958:18	339 [1] - 1710:5	450 [1] - 1930:18
1894 [1] - 1719:9	2002 [1] - 1869:17	231 [1] - 1709:10	34 [3] - 1705:11,	46 [2] - 1705:17,
1896 [1] - 1719:9	2009 [1] - 1723:6	237 [1] - 1709:10	1814:19, 1925:1	1733:9
1898 [1] - 1719:9	2014 [2] - 1903:14,	239 [1] - 1709:11	35 [5] - 1705:12,	4626 [1] - 1868:12
	1945:3	23rd [1] - 1989:13	1903:1, 1903:3,	463 [1] - 1710:13
19 [6] - 1956:10,	2015 [13] - 1703:8,	1	1903:5, 1903:7	47 [2] - 1705:18,
1957:10, 1958:6,	1703:9, 1704:16,	24 [3] - 1708:17,	35,000 [1] - 1814:19	1952:3
1958:13, 1959:18,	1939:20, 1956:10,	1925:14, 1944:24	357 [1] - 1710:6	471 [1] - 1952:4
1962:19	1957:9, 1957:10,	24,000 [1] - 1814:17	358 [1] - 1710:6	48 [1] - 1705:19
190 [1] - 1928:25	1958:6, 1958:13,	243 [1] - 1709:11	36 [8] - 1705:12,	
1901 [1] - 1719:11	1962:19, 1989:11,	25 [2] - 1820:13,	1	481 [1] - 1710:13
1903 [1] - 1705:12	1989:14	1934:14	1925:4, 1925:5,	482 [1] - 1710:14
1907 [1] - 1705:21	202 [1] - 1709:8	250 [1] - 1709:12	1925:7, 1926:1,	483 [1] - 1710:14
1911 [1] - 1719:12	2035 [1] - 1719:17	253 [1] - 1709:12	1928:5, 1977:21,	487 [1] - 1710:15
1913 [1] - 1719:12	2046 [1] - 1719:17	255 [1] - 1709:13	1981:9	49 [6] - 1705:20,
1915 _[1] - 1719:13		258 [1] - 1709:13	364 [1] - 1705:15	1744:21, 1764:3,
1916 _[1] - 1719:13	208 [1] - 1708:17	259 [1] - 1709:14	365 [1] - 1710:7	1774:10, 1774:21,
1919 _[1] - 1719:14	2084 [1] - 1719:18	25th [1] - 1934:13	37 [2] - 1705:13,	1952:3
• •	2088 [1] - 1719:18	26 [4] - 1708:7,	1816:11	49-41B-22 [2] -
1921 [1] - 1708:19	2093 [1] - 1719:19		372 [1] - 1710:7	1848:8, 1968:25
1924 [1] - 1719:15	2096 [1] - 1719:19	1933:8, 1933:11,	374 [1] - 1710:8	491 [1] - 1710:16
1928 [1] - 1705:12	21 [2] - 1981:13,	1934:22	376 [1] - 1710:8	495 [1] - 1710:16
194 [2] - 1709:8,	1981:14	260 [1] - 1709:16	378 [1] - 1710:9	4D [1] - 1785:4
1764:3	210 [1] - 1709:9	263 [2] - 1705:10,	379 [2] - 1710:9,	
1942 [1] - 1705:21	2123 [1] - 1719:20	1709:16	1710:10	5
1944 [1] - 1705:22	2125 [1] - 1719:20	265 [1] - 1871:20	38 [2] - 1705:14,	ວ
195 [4] - 1744:21,	2129 [1] - 1719:21	271 [1] - 1709:17	1944:24	
1764:3, 1917:1,	2130 [1] - 1719:22	273 [2] - 1871:20,		5 [12] - 1705:5, 1706:
1928:23	2133 [1] - 17 19.22 2133 [1] - 1705:13	1927:3	384 [1] - 1892:12	1706:16, 1726:7,
195.6 [2] - 1774:10,		275 [1] - 1985:19	39 [4] - 1705:14,	1730:22, 1752:13,
1774:21	2142 [1] - 1719:23	28 [1] - 1735:4	1869:19, 1870:4,	1789:12, 1800:15,
1966 [1] - 1705:23	2143 [1] - 1719:23	280 [1] - 1709:17	1870:5	
	2145 [1] - 1719:24	289 [1] - 1709:18	393 [1] - 1710:11	1801:11, 1801:14,
1971 [1] - 1705:24	2147 [1] - 1719:24		39th [1] - 1934:11	1891:24, 1920:22
1975 [2] - 1719:16,	2148 [1] - 1720:3	29 [1] - 1703:8		5-0 [2] - 1906:5,
1798:12	2149 [1] - 1705:14	292 [1] - 1709:18	4	1906:6
4077 4700 10	1	294 [1] - 1709:19	1	50 [15] - 1705:21,
1977 [2] - 1798:13,	215 [1] - 1870:9			
1977 _[2] - 1798:13, 1798:20 1984 _[4] - 1719:16,	215 [1] - 1870:9 2159 [1] - 1705:23	296 [1] - 1710:3 299 [1] - 1705:15	4 _[15] - 1705:4, 1706:5,	1813:13, 1819:2,

		T			
1906:3, 1906:4,	1769:11, 1899:20	739 [1] - 1712:8	941 [1] - 1712:17	abuts [1] - 1937:8	3
1906:25, 1915:14,	6/5/15 [1] - 1705:9	743 [2] - 1706:16,	945 [1] - 1712:17	abutting [1] - 1948:1	
1916:21, 1921:23,	60 [2] - 1912:13,	1908:24	946 [1] - 1712:18	accept [3] - 1859:10,	
1923:18, 1928:6,	1912:23	745 [1] - 1712:8	949 [1] - 1712:18	1863:2, 1958:8	
1928:15, 1934:13	60,000 [2] - 1934:15,	747 [1] - 1705:8	95 [1] - 1956:23	acceptable [3] -	
500 [1] - 1704:15	1934:16	748 [1] - 1705:9	951 [2] - 1712:19,	1730:15, 1858:19,	
51 [14] - 1705:21,	600 [2] - 1915:16,	749 [1] - 1712:9	1712:19	1858:20	
1935:17, 1935:18,	1915:17	757 [1] - 1712:9	955 [1] - 1712:21	accepted [1] - 1707:14	
1935:19, 1935:23,	607 [1] - 1710:24	77002 [1] - 1901:9	957 [1] - 1706:18	Access [61] - 1704:2,	
1936:6, 1936:7,	608 [1] - 1719:3	790 [1] - 1710:15	959 [1] - 1712:22	1707:13, 1723:11,	
1936:19, 1936:20,	61 [2] - 1705:3,		992 [1] - 1712:22	1724:15, 1725:19,	
1936:24, 1938:14,	1985:19	8	997 [1] - 1712:23	1728:21, 1729:13,	
1938:15, 1941:5,	612 [1] - 1719:4		998 [1] - 1712:23	1735:8, 1735:20,	
1942:23	62 [2] - 1705:3, 1705:4	0 4700.0 4700.0	9:45 [1] - 1781:24	1735:21, 1736:4,	
52 [13] - 1705:22,	620 [1] - 1719:4	8 [6] - 1703:9, 1706:8,		1736:21, 1743:6,	
1935:16, 1935:17,	621 [1] - 1711:3	1706:17, 1708:17,	Α	1748:22, 1751:13,	
1935:18, 1936:13,	627 [5] - 1706:7,	1735:5, 1861:20 8/24/15 [1] - 1706:21		1752:1, 1752:15,	
1938:5, 1938:6,	1706:8, 1706:8,	80 [4] - 1835:14,	A4 1050.15	1779:23, 1781:21,	
1942:24, 1942:25,	1706:9, 1706:10	1846:25, 1912:10,	A1 [1] - 1958:15	1782:2, 1782:5,	
1943:2, 1943:3, 1943:20, 1944:6	63 [1] - 1705:4	1912:23	A2 [2] - 1952:3, 1958:16	1821:24, 1826:16,	
,	64 [1] - 1705:5	800 [3] - 1804:12,		1830:17, 1835:17,	
523 [1] - 1710:18 524 [1] - 1705:11	648 [1] - 1711:4	1804:22, 1813:18	A3 [1] - 1958:16	1850:6, 1865:13,	
525 [2] - 1705:5,	65 [3] - 1709:4,	80643 [1] - 1868:13	Aaron [5] - 1860:2, 1864:18, 1865:13,	1869:6, 1877:10, 1877:13, 1877:16,	
1705:6	1814:24, 1868:12	812 [5] - 1705:16,	1868:8, 1869:22	1877:21, 1879:3,	
528 [1] - 1710:19	651 [1] - 1711:4	1705:17, 1705:18,	AARON [1] - 1719:6	1879:7, 1882:5,	
53 [2] - 1705:23,	662 [1] - 1711:5	1705:17, 1705:10,	abide [5] - 1762:13,	1882:9, 1882:13,	
1900:23	668 [1] - 1711:16	82 [1] - 1708:9	1904:4, 1942:10,	1882:17, 1887:17,	
54 [16] - 1705:23,	67 [1] - 1926:5	825 [1] - 1712:10	1958:23, 1963:3	1889:20, 1892:25,	
1924:25, 1955:21,	67,000 [1] - 1814:25	83 [3] - 1978:13,	abides [2] - 1782:14,	1893:3, 1893:7,	
1956:11, 1956:22,	674 [2] - 1706:14,	1979:6, 1981:8	1874:6	1893:9, 1894:9,	
1957:3, 1957:4,	1706:21	84 [3] - 1709:4,	ability [14] - 1723:25,	1901:10, 1903:4,	
1957:6, 1959:11,	676 [1] - 1711:16	1978:13, 1979:7	1763:25, 1790:23,	1903:10, 1912:1,	
1959:17, 1959:19,	684 [1] - 1711:17	843 [1] - 1712:10	1791:9, 1795:5,	1926:15, 1926:18,	
1959:24, 1960:3,	687 [1] - 1711:17	863 [1] - 1712:11	1795:15, 1825:23,	1944:10, 1974:19,	
1960:9, 1963:19,	691 [1] - 1711:18	871 [1] - 1712:11	1842:20, 1866:25,	1977:14, 1978:17,	
1966:19	693 [1] - 1711:18	872 [3] - 1712:12,	1885:7, 1942:13,	1979:9, 1980:4,	
546 [1] - 1710:19	695 [1] - 1711:20	1712:12, 1712:13	1944:20, 1955:3,	1980:7, 1980:18,	
55 [5] - 1705:24,	698 [1] - 1706:14	874 [1] - 1712:13	1971:20	1981:10, 1982:24	
1709:3, 1967:3,	699 [1] - 1711:20	878 [1] - 1712:15	able [21] - 1728:22,	ACCESS [2] - 1703:4,	
1967:11, 1968:7	_	884 [1] - 1706:15	1748:17, 1756:22,	1703:5	
566 [1] - 1710:20	/	886 [1] - 1712:15	1759:11, 1808:15,	access [11] - 1813:25,	
568 [1] - 1710:20		8th [2] - 1704:16,	1809:15, 1825:24,	1814:2, 1814:3,	
570,000 [1] - 1930:18	7 [4] - 1705:6, 1706:7,	1989:10	1827:2, 1874:4,	1815:4, 1903:18,	
57069 [1] - 1797:25	1706:17, 1708:16		1876:8, 1878:12,	1905:1, 1905:4,	
572 [1] - 1710:21	700 [2] - 1931:10,	9	1878:17, 1887:15,	1905:9, 1910:25,	
575 [1] - 1710:21	1931:13		1889:5, 1905:8,	1919:12, 1972:7	
578 [1] - 1710:22	701 [1] - 1711:21	• 4700 0 4705 7	1923:15, 1939:13,	Access's [6] -	
584 [1] - 1710:22	702 [2] - 1711:21,	9 _[5] - 1703:8, 1705:7,	1961:11, 1965:7,	1729:25, 1774:6,	
588 [1] - 1710:23	1733:11	1706:8, 1706:18,	1971:1, 1983:23	1904:11, 1984:4,	
59 [1] - 1705:9	704 [1] - 1712:3	1708:17	Aboriginal [1] -	1984:17, 1986:3	
594 [1] - 1710:23	707 [1] - 1706:15	9/8/15 [1] - 1705:8	1708:18	accommodate [4] -	
596 [1] - 1710:24	708 [1] - 1712:4	901 [1] - 1712:16	above-entitled [2] -	1827:5, 1877:14,	
5:15 [1] - 1988:3	71 [2] - 1765:25,	916 [1] - 1708:3	1704:14, 1989:10	1877:16, 1955:25	
	1944:23	924 [1] - 1708:3	absence [1] - 1786:9	accommodating [1] -	
6	713 [1] - 1712:4	926 [2] - 1708:4,	absolute [1] - 1954:14	1968:5	
	722 [1] - 1712:5	1708:7	absolutely [4] -	accommodations [1]	
6 m 1705 5 1700 7	724 [1] - 1712:5	927 [1] - 1708:5	1726:4, 1817:10,	- 1951:3	
6 [6] - 1705:5, 1706:7,	727 [1] - 1712:6	928 [1] - 1708:6	1932:4, 1941:23	accomplished [1] -	
1706:16, 1708:16,	729 [1] - 1712:6	931 [1] - 1712:16	abut [1] - 1951:10	1866:10	

1956:7, 1957:19 adjacent [1] - 1936:4 advise [1] - 1729:5 accordance [3] agents [3] - 1903:20, 1754:21, 1970:20, Adams [1] - 1956:10 adjoining [4] - 1922:2, advised [1] - 1861:16 1904:4, 1904:25 add [15] - 1745:12, 1979:19 1922:5, 1922:22, ago [6] - 1802:6, advocacy [1] - 1829:8 according [1] - 1780:1 1786:22. 1788:11. 1923:9 1829:13. 1958:4. advocate [2] - 1829:9, 1833:10, 1846:17, adjourn [3] - 1987:3, 1959:2, 1961:9, accordingly [2] -1836:13 1792:15, 1983:4 1852:4, 1867:17, 1987:15, 1988:1 1987:11 aerial [2] - 1905:22, account [7] - 1805:9, 1927:4, 1927:6, adjustments [2] -1929:8 agree [53] - 1759:4, 1930:2, 1944:5, 1759:7, 1760:16, 1835:25, 1843:19, 1981:12, 1983:1 affect [5] - 1742:21, 1963:9, 1963:13, 1846:20, 1847:15, administered [5] -1779:12, 1780:6, 1761:3, 1761:8, 1761:12, 1761:15, 1963:20, 1964:8 1873:22, 1951:5 1721:4, 1797:15, 1780:20, 1847:1 addition [7] - 1728:1, accurate [7] - 1754:4, 1827:24, 1865:14, 1764:14, 1764:15, affected [6] - 1750:2, 1782:22, 1814:10, 1765:15, 1765:16, 1754:5, 1772:14, 1901:2 1784:18, 1842:18, 1772:15, 1912:9, 1814:21, 1815:1, administering [1] -1766:9, 1766:13, 1891:10, 1963:22, 1877:13, 1925:12 1986:9 1971:11 1969:3 1767:6, 1767:7, 1769:7, 1770:18, additional [12] affecting [2] acknowledge [2] administers [1] -1770:24, 1771:1, 1724:6, 1752:21, 1729:24, 1836:1 1749:7 1834:14, 1912:6 1808:10, 1809:17, 1771:23, 1771:24, acknowledged [2] -Administration [1] affiliates [1] - 1974:21 1871:17, 1871:22, 1772:22, 1772:23, 1735:15, 1837:8 1855:21 affiliation [1] -1889:4, 1927:7, 1772:25, 1773:3, acquisition [2] administration [2] -1845:19 1945:20, 1948:5, 1778:15, 1778:21, 1903:10, 1911:4 1842:9, 1855:17 afraid [5] - 1744:11, 1963:9, 1963:13 1778:24, 1779:4, acquisitions [1] -Administrative [3] -1748:24, 1796:8, additionally [1] -1847:23, 1863:2, 1901:14 1867:8, 1968:20 1813:21, 1949:9 1757:14 1873:6, 1873:10, acres [2] - 1765:25, administrator [1] afternoon [23] -1882:10, 1892:17, additions [10] -1768:3 1798:2 1862:1, 1862:6, 1725:8, 1726:5, 1897:10, 1917:11, act [4] - 1745:18, admission [12] -1862:18, 1864:2, 1955:7, 1964:20, 1728:9. 1729:19. 1784:3, 1898:23, 1727:16, 1731:15, 1864:24, 1882:3, 1965:4, 1968:12, 1732:14. 1800:25. 1900:11 1733:5, 1737:12, 1882:4, 1896:23, 1978:25, 1979:5, 1902:4, 1902:21, Act [17] - 1739:1, 1906:25, 1921:5, 1901:5, 1901:25, 1979:8, 1980:1, 1925:10, 1925:19 1749:8, 1758:7, 1925:25, 1926:22, 1911:22, 1911:23, address [26] - 1721:8, 1980:17, 1981:11, 1758:20, 1761:10, 1938:15, 1941:5, 1913:18, 1913:19, 1982:21, 1982:25, 1721:9, 1797:21, 1762:1, 1763:1, 1957:6, 1964:14 1919:8, 1919:9, 1797:23, 1812:11, 1983:2, 1983:5, 1764:2, 1767:9, admit [8] - 1834:3, 1924:14, 1925:11, 1985:6, 1985:8 1822:21, 1847:16, 1767:23, 1769:5, 1925:17, 1925:22, 1841:1, 1841:22, agreed [3] - 1783:1, 1867:3, 1868:11, 1769:8, 1783:25, 1870:4, 1928:5, 1927:23, 1975:7, 1918:6, 1921:9 1868:12, 1901:6, 1942:23, 1944:6, 1797:6, 1968:21, 1984:3 agreement [5] -1901:8, 1926:11, 1976:20, 1976:24 1971:5 **Ag** [7] - 1873:3, 1937:5, 1939:4, 1874:6, 1909:14, **ACTING** [1] - 1703:14 admitted [14] -1873:23, 1875:10, 1910:18, 1913:8, 1939:6, 1939:17, Action [5] - 1704:5, 1727:19, 1731:18, 1879:5, 1879:7, 1925:13 1939:19, 1940:5, 1733:8, 1773:13, 1737:17, 1801:12, 1893:8, 1896:5 Agreement [1] -1940:19, 1940:25, 1883:14, 1969:14 1801:15, 1841:12, ag [4] - 1795:15, 1708:13 1941:2, 1941:7, action [1] - 1740:16 1861:2. 1863:20. 1795:16, 1875:2 agrees [2] - 1981:10, 1945:22, 1972:3, age [2] - 1823:24, 1872:14, 1903:8, actions [5] - 1909:9, 1974:13 1982:24 1909:12, 1954:12, 1907:1, 1921:20, 1898:8 addressed [1] agricultural [5] -1954:15, 1955:5 1942:19, 1966:21 agencies [7] -1870:23 1766:21, 1881:10, admitting [1] active [2] - 1742:1, 1738:18, 1754:6, addressing [1] -1889:24, 1893:12, 1734:21 1786:7 1783:4, 1790:14, 1865:7 1902:10 adopt [1] - 1772:2 actively [1] - 1974:13 1843:25, 1844:13, adds [1] - 1896:22 Agricultural [5] adopted [7] - 1756:22, 1844:16 activities [7] -1873:15, 1873:23, adequate [3] - 1843:9, 1790:17, 1791:7, 1759:11, 1759:16, agency [18] - 1745:16, 1875:6, 1986:7 1888:19, 1888:22, 1791:14, 1798:7, 1776:23, 1780:12, 1762:14, 1774:18, adequately [6] -1889:22 1808:6, 1903:15, 1790:13, 1794:7 1778:18, 1783:16, 1752:1, 1752:3, agriculture [5] -1979:21 adopting [2] -1790:23, 1791:3, 1752:16. 1756:18. 1795:14, 1856:13, 1757:21, 1872:21 1791:13, 1792:9, activity [6] - 1757:5, 1867:1, 1877:7 1868:21, 1881:11, 1766:23, 1766:25, advance [1] - 1941:13 1795:19, 1796:2, adhere [5] - 1977:14, 1892:23 1798:8, 1814:16, 1784:9, 1876:1, advanced [2] -Agriculture [1] -1978:18, 1979:6, 1814:23, 1843:4, 1982.2 1865:18, 1941:7 1980:8. 1982:9 1795:20 1974:2, 1977:8, actual [9] - 1748:23, adversarial [1] adhered [1] - 1957:14 agronomist [2] -1763:6, 1812:21, 1968:19 1977:9 1868:15, 1886:2 1833:9. 1849:23. adherence [1] agency's [1] - 1794:25 advertences [1] agronomists [1] -1854:12, 1922:6, 1754:13 agent [1] - 1902:14 1799:14

1873:21 1824:5, 1875:25, 1746:8, 1778:6, 1706:21, 1788:7, appropriation [3] -1912:1, 1965:22 1778:9, 1787:7, 1848:8, 1957:8, 1751:1, 1781:7, agronomy [3] -1868:21, 1868:22, AN [1] - 1703:4 1787:11, 1883:5, 1962:21, 1963:25 1781:9 analysis [12] - 1738:4, 1970:24 application [5] -1893:12 Approval [1] - 1705:9 ahead [20] - 1741:10, 1738:11, 1742:12, answering [5] -1721:18. 1730:19. approval [1] - 1843:6 1764:16, 1769:19, 1770:21, 1770:24, 1724:9. 1767:19. 1730:24, 1731:4, approved [1] -1953:20. 1955:12. 1738:21 1773:8, 1793:15, 1771:2, 1771:4, 1747:18 1960:20 Application [32] -1771:12, 1783:3, 1830.14 1870.7 approximate [2] -1871:1, 1871:19, 1832:13, 1832:20, 1705:3, 1705:3, answers [12] -1813:19, 1907:5 1890:24 1727:11, 1731:10, 1705:4. 1705:4. 1891:13, 1924:10, April [1] - 1770:17 1938:20, 1940:16, 1732:25, 1801:4, 1705:5, 1723:6, analyst [1] - 1722:19 aquifer [17] - 1801:21, 1830:5, 1836:25, 1942:14, 1960:19, analyze [4] - 1724:12, 1724:14, 1724:15, 1802:12, 1806:13, 1963:12, 1968:9, 1800:9, 1832:19, 1864:9, 1872:7, 1726:12, 1735:21, 1806:14, 1807:13, 1974:3, 1981:7, 1735:22, 1737:6, 1926:11, 1954:2, 1900.1 1809:15, 1820:22, 1987:17 1962:5, 1980:19 1737:8, 1738:5, **AND** [2] - 1706:2, 1821:2, 1823:2, 1774:2, 1774:6, **Ailts** [1] - 1703:16 1711:2 anticipate [1] - 1912:6 1823:21, 1823:22, Akeley [1] - 1797:24 anticipated [1] -1779:5, 1780:2, ANDERSON [1] -1823:23, 1823:24, Akeley-Lawrence [1] -1729:13 1784:17, 1810:25, 1717:11 1824:1, 1824:3, 1797:24 Anderson [2] anyway [2] - 1947:25, 1852:5, 1951:17, 1825:4, 1825:8 1957:19, 1963:3, Alberta [1] - 1794:11 1707:3, 1908:20 1983:10 Aquifer [23] - 1802:3, apart [1] - 1819:11 1964:3, 1964:4, alert [1] - 1747:14 Andreessen [1] -1802:5, 1802:8, 1908:5 apologize [9] -1976:11, 1983:12, 1802:10, 1802:16, alerted [1] - 1746:20 1775:2, 1828:6, 1984:4, 1984:17, 1802:20, 1802:23, algae [1] - 1847:7 angle [1] - 1894:13 1985:3, 1986:3 1866:12, 1902:24, 1802:24, 1803:1, animal [3] - 1762:20, alignment [1] -1903:2. 1936:17. APPLICATION [1] -1806:16, 1806:17, 1730:12 1767:3, 1767:4 1703:4 1951:15. 1960:8. 1806:25, 1808:4, **ALLAN** [1] - 1718:9 announced [2] -1982:23 applications [3] allow [2] - 1776:12, 1829:12, 1963:4 1808:6, 1809:5, apparent [1] - 1821:1 1763:21, 1771:8, 1820:22. 1821:7. 1833:25 annual [2] - 1824:23 1771:11 1821:9, 1822:16, allowed [4] - 1778:18, answer [59] - 1741:2, appear [7] - 1816:23, applied [1] - 1958:1 1822:24, 1823:1, 1910:9, 1910:12, 1747:8, 1748:15, 1825:24, 1827:2, applies [3] - 1774:24, 1824:6, 1824:16 1748:24, 1752:18, 1827:16, 1958:5, 1964:8 1783:25, 1986:14 aquifers [11] - 1806:2, 1752:20, 1752:22, 1963:19, 1964:6 allowing [1] - 1860:23 apply [6] - 1730:22, APPEARANCES [1] -1806:4, 1806:8, allows [1] - 1876:17 1754:7, 1754:10, 1757:3, 1775:12, 1807:15, 1810:9, almost [3] - 1722:24, 1756:18, 1756:20, 1704:1 1786:1, 1954:22, 1820:13, 1820:16, appeared [2] -1750:14, 1843:15 1756:22, 1757:16, 1980:3 1818:14, 1827:2 1821:1, 1821:20, 1757:18, 1759:11, altering [1] - 1780:5 appointed [1] - 1989:8 1822:20, 1823:11 1764:11, 1774:5, appease [1] - 1976:14 alternate [1] - 1932:20 appreciate [9] archeology [1] -1777:6, 1777:10, Appendix [1] alternative [1] -1778:11, 1780:16, 1708:17 1822:1, 1822:5, 1972:23 1730:15 1861:21, 1863:10, area [70] - 1741:13, 1780:18, 1815:11, apples [2] - 1900:16 alternatives [2] -1821:3, 1822:22, applicability [1] -1884:1, 1930:7, 1742:3, 1742:25, 1946:3, 1947:18 1930:13, 1962:13, 1743:3, 1744:25, 1822:23, 1823:6, 1986:19 altogether [1] -1979:25 1745:1, 1745:2, 1824:13, 1825:2, applicable [3] -1778:22 approach [4] -1745:16, 1745:21, 1848:2, 1851:2, 1754:3, 1754:23, ambient [1] - 1799:24 1864:17. 1866:5. 1829:20, 1837:23, 1746:6, 1746:10, 1756:12 ambush [2] - 1941:16, 1843:15, 1895:10 1872:19, 1891:13, 1746:17, 1746:24, applicant [1] -1961:3 1902:2, 1915:9, approached [1] -1747:6, 1747:13, 1763:23 ambushed [1] -1918:22, 1923:13, 1912:18 1753:22, 1756:11, Applicant [21] -1961:6 1923:15, 1923:17, appropriate [10] -1756:12, 1766:20, 1737:7, 1746:25, ambushing [2] -1923:23, 1925:23, 1731:2, 1751:21, 1768:9, 1771:22, 1747:14, 1762:13, 1961:1 1754:3, 1865:19, 1926:10, 1934:6, 1772:21, 1774:9, 1782:14, 1784:15, amend [2] - 1872:15, 1935:10, 1939:21, 1899:25, 1918:24, 1774:20, 1774:21, 1785:13, 1811:2, 1884:8 1940:25, 1949:13, 1921:23, 1926:15, 1775:19, 1779:2, 1811:4, 1827:12, Amended [2] -1950:11, 1952:24, 1926:17, 1983:5 1783:10, 1790:4, 1843:21, 1862:12, 1977:15, 1980:9 1953:14, 1961:20, 1807:2, 1808:4, appropriated [1] -1906:15, 1957:14, America [5] - 1829:5, 1971:2, 1978:14, 1811:10, 1811:13, 1749:18 1957:17, 1958:20, 1834:9, 1856:22, 1978:24, 1981:17, appropriately [1] -1813:19. 1815:9. 1968:17, 1968:24, 1932:18, 1933:7 1818:4. 1818:5. 1982:23 1970:19, 1975:25, 1731.7 amicable [2] -1821:6, 1823:3, answered [9] -1976:2 appropriating [1] -1909:13, 1910:18 1823:21, 1828:21, 1740:24, 1740:25, Applicant's [6] -1743:15 amount [5] - 1734:14,

1847:8, 1854:15, 1781:16, 1887:23 1874:16, 1915:17, 1815:3, 1889:4, 1744:7, 1744:14, 6 1869:9, 1902:14, 1922:8, 1951:16, 1893:14, 1921:6, 1747:7, 1752:25, articulate [2] -1902:18, 1918:1, 1953:25, 1975:11 1958:15, 1977:16, 1943:6, 1968:22 1911:19, 1911:21, 1918:2, 1918:14, 1977:21, 1980:9, Avenue [1] - 1704:15 1913:14, 1921:3, articulated [1] -1928:21, 1929:4, 1977:2 1980:10, 1981:14, average [4] - 1819:15, 1921:13, 1921:16, 1930:4, 1930:25, artifacts [2] - 1845:7, 1989:11 1824:22, 1824:23, 1921:21 1931:24, 1935:2, Attached [1] - 1705:13 Baker [19] - 1704:6. 1845:16 1835:10 1935:25. 1936:19. 1709:4, 1709:10, attachment [1] arts [1] - 1798:11 avoid [5] - 1751:10, 1936:21, 1943:4, 1835:9, 1846:24. 1709:16, 1710:4, ascertain [1] - 1740:6 1977:23 1944:14, 1945:7, 1710:9, 1710:12, attachments [6] -1951:11, 1979:20 ascertainable [1] -1945:10, 1945:17, 1710:15, 1712:15, 1740:3 1800:20, 1958:7, avoided [2] - 1778:22, 1946:4, 1946:15, 1959:17, 1959:18, 1713:12, 1714:11, 1786:12 aspect [3] - 1881:4, 1947:16, 1947:20, 1962:25, 1963:18 award [2] - 1829:10, 1714:20, 1716:8, 1886:7, 1886:10 1952:19 1716:17, 1719:12, assert [1] - 1733:10 attempt [1] - 1805:11 1829:11 Area [1] - 1705:22 1719:23, 1720:4, attempted [1] assertion [2] - 1950:5, aware [33] - 1741:17, Areas [1] - 1708:9 1911:24, 1921:2 1904:22 1747:3, 1747:4, 1950:7 areas [44] - 1723:23, Baker's [2] - 1757:14, attempting [1] -1753:18, 1755:5, assessment [4] -1727:1, 1727:2, 1915:3 1894:24 1755:8, 1758:22, 1764:14, 1847:22, 1729:3, 1732:20, attend [1] - 1861:16 1766:2, 1766:4, Bakken [18] - 1835:18, 1855:11, 1979:18 1732:21, 1744:23, 1836:4, 1836:7, attended [1] - 1828:18 1766:5, 1766:6, assessments [1] -1745:3, 1745:4, 1842:23, 1851:11, 1777:19, 1777:22, attention [8] -1847:23 1753:15, 1753:16, 1777:23, 1778:2, 1851:24, 1930:15, Assid [1] - 1707:4 1724:17, 1727:20, 1755:18, 1755:24, 1785:4, 1785:5, 1930:19, 1930:20, 1759:18, 1860:19, ASSID [1] - 1718:3 1931:4, 1931:7, 1756:1, 1756:13, 1785:6, 1796:2, 1938:5, 1942:24, assist [11] - 1723:8, 1756:16, 1756:17, 1804:15, 1804:18, 1931:9, 1931:23, 1952:6, 1967:11 1723:19, 1724:1, 1763:14, 1774:4, 1932:8, 1933:12, 1811:13. 1812:4. attorney [6] - 1773:11, 1725:3, 1728:5, 1775:6, 1775:8, 1933:13, 1934:24 1812:8. 1857:15. 1805:23, 1820:10, 1732:11, 1790:20, 1775:9, 1783:19, 1857:17, 1890:1, balance [1] - 1824:25 1829:4, 1832:20, 1909:17, 1911:24, 1784:18, 1791:15, 1890:4, 1926:10, ball [1] - 1817:10 1841:24, 1862:13 1938:22 1801:20, 1806:3, 1939:6, 1951:1, bar[1] - 1722:11 attorneys [4] assisted [2] - 1728:7, 1806:12, 1807:4, 1974:15, 1974:17 bark [1] - 1741:24 1802:18, 1862:24, 1732.12 1808:4, 1816:8, barr [1] - 1722:23 1915:4, 1915:7 associated [6] -1818:2, 1895:2, attributable [1] -В barrel [1] - 1931:17 1799:15, 1867:3, 1902:16, 1917:16, 1913:5 barrels [13] - 1930:18, 1874:7, 1874:8, 1935:24, 1943:13, 1930:22, 1930:23, 1879:22, 1908:17 audit [1] - 1754:12 **B.S**[1] - 1722:6 1946:17, 1948:4, 1931:3, 1931:10, August [1] - 1723:15 associates [1] -BA [1] - 1979:20 1948:6, 1951:11, 1931:13, 1932:3, author [4] - 1812:10, 1838:3 bachelor [1] - 1798:11 1952:2 1933:2, 1933:3, 1839:8, 1870:13, association [1] bachelor's 161 arena [2] - 1790:12, 1933:5, 1933:11, 1871:24 1731:22 1733:22, 1734:5, 1943:14 1934:15, 1934:16 authoritative [2] -Association [2] -1734:12, 1868:19, Arends [2] - 1707:3 barrier[1] - 1843:22 1781:12, 1781:15 1704:9, 1820:10 1868:20, 1869:2 **ARENDS** [1] - 1718:9 base [3] - 1817:19, assume [4] - 1785:10, authorities [1] background [6] argue [2] - 1852:10, 1843:10, 1889:9 1926:20, 1931:9, 1774:24 1721:24, 1722:5, 1855:8 based [48] - 1725:7, authority [8] -1983:9 1798:10, 1821:19, argument [2] - 1751:6, 1739:11. 1744:4. 1745:15, 1763:20, assuming [9] -1867:21, 1868:18 1848:5 1744:8, 1747:23, 1769:1, 1774:11, 1725:22, 1754:15, backstop [1] argumentative [3] -1748:20, 1752:5, 1781:8, 1810:15, 1774:18, 1790:16, 1974:23 1853:25, 1855:4, 1774:1, 1774:5, 1791:11, 1884:21 1811:7, 1912:4, Bacon [1] - 1707:3 1916:10 1784:24, 1786:23, 1918:5, 1935:7, authors [3] - 1805:6, bad [2] - 1880:17, arguments [3] -1787:1, 1788:3, 1805:8, 1812:3 1987:24 1960:4 1849:15, 1850:17 1793:6, 1797:8, automatically [3] assumption [2] baffling [1] - 1932:15 arisen [1] - 1959:22 1799:11, 1802:22, 1725:19, 1816:20 1745:20, 1745:21, bags [1] - 1894:13 Army [5] - 1706:4, 1804:6, 1805:14, 1957:16 assurances [1] -**BAILEY** [1] - 1714:3 1706:5, 1706:6, 1808:3, 1816:20, autumn [1] - 1729:15 1890:6 **Bailey** [1] - 1706:17 1706:7, 1706:10 1830:22, 1844:7, availability [1] assure [1] - 1752:7 **BAKER** [20] - 1734:22, arrangements [2] -1856:2, 1861:10, 1723:25 assured [1] - 1805:7 1735:3, 1735:14, 1861:11, 1867:10, 1919:20, 1919:22 assuring [1] - 1790:21 available [12] -1737:21, 1737:23, arrive [3] - 1908:15, 1872:10, 1875:14, atmosphere [1] -1739:6, 1740:10, 1738:17, 1740:1, 1879:23, 1882:25, 1909:11, 1910:18 1759:22, 1805:17, 1807:25 1740:10, 1740:25, 1898:2, 1898:14, articles [3] - 1781:12, 1813:23, 1814:25, attached [12] - 1840:2,

1900:19, 1915:11, 1857:20, 1915:24, birds [3] - 1764:22, 1719:17, 1719:21, bins [2] - 1917:14, 1916:22, 1920:16, 1971:21 1917:18 1765:14, 1788:20 1720:5, 1861:16, 1929:7, 1932:19, benefited [1] -BIO [1] - 1708:17 bit [22] - 1726:11, 1862:13 1932:21, 1942:20, 1738:1, 1765:4, Boomsma's [2] -1933:18 biological [10] -1963:10, 1971:3, benefits [3] - 1850:19, 1895:2, 1895:5, 1783:6, 1827:20, 1862:9, 1942:18 1976:19, 1976:23, 1850:20, 1878:25 1895:8, 1895:9, 1828:9, 1865:18, bore [4] - 1918:20, 1977:12, 1984:16 Berkeley [1] - 1828:19 1895:15, 1895:16, 1865:21, 1878:16, 1929:16, 1929:21 baseline [1] - 1821:19 best [33] - 1727:13, 1895:19, 1895:20, 1880:1, 1884:9, bored [1] - 1813:6 Basin [1] - 1706:10 1888:7, 1894:23, 1729:22, 1731:12, 1979:18, 1980:2 bottom [4] - 1726:8, basis [10] - 1803:25, 1895:1, 1910:6, BIRD [65] - 1805:19, 1779:23, 1839:16, 1733:2, 1751:18, 1911:2, 1931:6, 1824:23, 1824:24, 1752:6, 1752:7, 1805:21, 1819:21, 1932:18 1936:7, 1937:21, 1837:15, 1848:3, 1772:15, 1772:23, 1849:1, 1851:25, bought [2] - 1851:6, 1937:23, 1947:21, 1871:3, 1885:11, 1781:9, 1788:4, 1852:19, 1862:11, 1852:17 1912:20, 1913:4, 1788:24, 1789:14, 1870:6, 1870:8, 1982:6 bounced [1] - 1799:18 1931:17 1789:20, 1790:4, 1870:12, 1870:16, **Black** [1] - 1803:19 boundary [1] - 1869:8 bat [5] - 1739:13, 1799:24, 1801:8, 1870:19, 1870:24, block [1] - 1742:19 bounds [1] - 1942:8 1741:20, 1741:21, 1817:10, 1823:11, 1871:2, 1871:13, blooms [1] - 1847:7 boy [1] - 1758:11 1741:22, 1785:2 1876:19, 1885:9, 1871:17, 1871:20, blue [5] - 1935:25, breach [1] - 1821:13 Bat [1] - 1741:23 1885:13, 1890:18, 1871:22, 1872:2, 1936:2, 1936:24, break [14] - 1781:20, 1893:17, 1895:23, 1872:6, 1872:12, bats [3] - 1741:13, 1937:2, 1938:25 1781:23, 1781:24, 1923:23, 1942:13, 1881:20, 1906:5, 1742:1, 1742:2 BMPs [2] - 1750:13, 1782:6, 1827:22, bay [1] - 1828:20 1946:8, 1953:21, 1906:7, 1926:24, 1751:21 1858:7, 1878:14, 1954:14, 1954:21, 1927:2, 1938:17, became [1] - 1800:6 bodies [14] - 1730:8, 1920:21, 1959:6, 1954:22, 1955:3 1938:21, 1939:3, 1730:11, 1743:9, become [7] - 1723:3, 1960:16, 1963:7, Best [5] - 1704:8, 1939:9, 1939:16, 1758:15, 1794:18, 1743:13, 1752:13, 1963:11, 1966:24, 1709:6, 1709:11, 1796:22, 1821:1, 1939:19, 1939:23, 1752:16, 1775:9, 1987:24 1718:11, 1718:19 1940:14, 1940:18, 1874:4. 1957:23 1779:9. 1780:2. breakdown [1] bet [2] - 1797:18, 1940:21, 1941:1, becomes [2] -1789:13, 1795:3, 1967:23 1980:22 1941:4, 1943:22, 1911:10, 1963:22, 1749:12, 1824:24 breeding [3] better [10] - 1752:10, 1947:5, 1948:14, 1969:3 becoming [1] - 1934:7 1769:18, 1770:1, 1774:23, 1812:17, 1948:21, 1948:25, **BEFORE** [1] - 1703:12 **body** [13] - 1730:5, 1788:20 1950:4, 1952:24, 1824:8, 1887:16, 1746:9, 1748:11, beforehand [1] -Brett [6] - 1704:2, 1953:8, 1953:24, 1916:3, 1920:2, 1769:3, 1774:11, 1961:2 1736:10. 1830:16. 1938:14, 1943:12, 1954:18, 1957:5, began [7] - 1798:19, 1778:20, 1781:6, 1830:18, 1951:22, 1958:12, 1958:15, 1970:12 1781:8, 1782:25, 1799:10, 1800:3, 1956:14 between [16] - 1754:6, 1962:14, 1963:9, 1792:5, 1795:6, BRIAN [2] - 1711:19, 1903:14, 1943:10, 1777:12, 1777:20, 1963:13, 1963:16, 1795:9, 1843:8 1944:17, 1959:23 1715:12 1969:12, 1973:2, begin [5] - 1876:8, 1818:20, 1835:5, bogged [1] - 1783:6 Brian [1] - 1703:17 1973:16, 1975:4, 1845:4, 1845:15, bond [1] - 1925:14 1877:8, 1878:25, bridge [2] - 1880:3, 1975:6, 1978:4, 1882:15, 1894:16, 1903:12, 1903:15 books [1] - 1844:15 1880:7 1978:9, 1981:6, 1899:18, 1900:13, Boom [1] - 1838:6 beginning [4] brief [7] - 1866:8, 1981:8, 1983:18 1912:21, 1930:18, boom [1] - 1839:25 1768:14, 1797:20, 1867:13, 1915:3, bird [3] - 1760:19, 1933:5, 1972:15, boomsma [1] -1802:9, 1976:7 1963:5, 1970:17, 1760:25, 1762:20 1979:22 1717:11 behalf [3] - 1799:2, 1970:18, 1971:9 Bird [31] - 1704:6, beyond [8] - 1832:3, 1820:1, 1854:11 Boomsma [35] briefed [1] - 1972:22 1710:19, 1710:23, 1851:16, 1878:15, behind [3] - 1741:24, 1704:3, 1709:5, briefings [1] - 1926:20 1885:24, 1909:15, 1711:4, 1711:16, 1749:4, 1909:25 1715:7, 1715:9, briefly [7] - 1722:14, 1711:20, 1712:4, 1909:19, 1929:21, beings [1] - 1836:1 1715:13, 1715:16, 1784:21, 1796:18, 1966:4 1712:9, 1712:22, **believes** [1] - 1763:22 1715:18, 1715:20, 1798:9, 1868:17, bias [1] - 1867:4 1713:4, 1713:8, 1715:22, 1716:3, bell [1] - 1749:21 1960:18, 1962:14 1713:18, 1714:4, biased [1] - 1976:14 1716:5, 1716:7, belong [1] - 1854:6 bring [5] - 1806:6, 1714:8, 1714:17, Big [5] - 1741:19, 1716:11, 1716:13, 1860:19, 1861:14, below [5] - 1808:1, 1715:3, 1715:13, 1820:22, 1820:23, 1716:17, 1716:20, 1817:5, 1817:15, 1862:23, 1862:24 1821:7, 1821:9 1717:7, 1717:17, 1716:22, 1717:3, broad [1] - 1889:9 1835:11, 1917:1 1718:17, 1718:22, big [5] - 1778:25, 1717:7, 1717:13, broadly [1] - 1895:6 belt [3] - 1917:18, 1719:4, 1719:16, 1779:3, 1944:2, 1717:15, 1717:16, 1918:3, 1918:7 broadness [1] -1719:20, 1761:10, 1945:16, 1955:10 1717:19, 1718:3, belts [2] - 1930:10, 1889:13 1805:22, 1938:22, bigger [1] - 1931:5 1718:5, 1718:7, 1930:13 brought [12] -1940:17, 1955:18, Biloxi [1] - 1831:19 1718:8, 1718:10, benefit [6] - 1843:4, 1838:25, 1841:8, 1964:16, 1965:4 binder [1] - 1951:19 1718:13, 1718:14, 1851:21, 1852:6, 1851:17, 1851:18,

1851:19, 1852:17, 1799:17, 1828:18 1930:9 1890:14, 1911:6, 1918:9, 1918:23, 1909:22, 1910:4, Cam [1] - 1732:12 carry [2] - 1808:24, 1918:20 1919:4, 1928:24, 1940:6, 1940:10, Cameron [9] -1809:4 certainly [12] -1930:7, 1936:14, 1942:2, 1942:21 1724:22, 1725:2, 1726:12. 1734:10. 1966:17, 1987:18 cars [1] - 1931:12 buffalo [1] - 1894:25 1725:14, 1728:7, case [24] - 1727:6, 1734:25. 1744:3. **chairman** [1] - 1929:3 chairs [1] - 1828:11 buffer [2] - 1979:16, 1744:17, 1766:10, 1747:18, 1748:3, 1762:23. 1792:19. 1795:14. 1813:3. challenge [1] -1979:22 1779:19, 1785:10, 1759:8, 1769:4, 1897:9. 1927:19. 1785:19 1783:20, 1792:10, 1962:16 **build** [1] - 1930:13 1958:12, 1983:16 **Building** [1] - 1704:15 campaign [3] -1804:7, 1811:7, chance [5] - 1862:5, 1828:24, 1835:8, 1811:10, 1812:25, certainties [1] built [1] - 1937:15 1934:20, 1963:2, 1900:16 bulk [1] - 1801:24 1835:9 1840:17, 1852:11, 1963:16, 1966:24 certainty [3] - 1740:6, bullet [1] - 1864:9 campaigns [2] -1864:23, 1866:19, change [23] - 1732:16, 1829:6, 1832:22 1867:4, 1874:22, 1740:22, 1900:17 1744:1, 1745:6, Bulletin [1] - 1706:11 1879:2, 1885:11, burden [11] - 1848:8, Campbell [1] - 1766:6 CERTIFICATE [1] -1764:11, 1786:25, 1951:5, 1963:2, Canada [1] - 1761:9 1989:2 1792:3, 1792:7, 1852:13, 1877:5, 1964:2, 1979:1 candidate [12] certification [2] -1792:8, 1808:14, 1964:1, 1964:11, case-by-case [1] -1795:24, 1795:25 1809:14, 1809:22, 1758:8, 1758:9, 1968:17, 1968:25, 1885:11 Certified [2] - 1989:6, 1829:13, 1831:8, 1758:17, 1758:18, 1969:22, 1970:21, 1758:22, 1758:25, cases [8] - 1724:6, 1989:19 1832:11, 1832:13, 1971:19 1726:20, 1804:5, burdensome [1] -1759:5, 1762:8, **CERTIFY** [1] - 1989:8 1846:19, 1861:7, 1818:18, 1818:19, 1763:5, 1763:8, cetera [6] - 1783:22, 1864:1, 1872:15, 1976:1 1763:12, 1764:12 1913:23, 1914:14, 1790:15, 1792:12, 1884:14, 1899:6, buried [7] - 1807:23, candidates [1] -1968:21 1932:22, 1932:23 1792:24, 1911:8 1817:15, 1822:20, 1763:10 cat [1] - 1828:11 CFR [6] - 1744:21, changed [4] -1823:11, 1823:15, catching [1] - 1979:25 1772:13, 1919:21, cannot [7] - 1819:7, 1764:3, 1774:10, 1823:19, 1823:22 1854:11, 1887:12, categories [5] -1774:21, 1775:2, 1920:1. 1948:24 burning [1] - 1933:19 1756:15, 1758:6, changes [9] - 1727:7, business [13] -1887:21, 1893:3, 1775:11 1727:11, 1772:3, 1760:19, 1761:25, 1728:2, 1732:4, 1959:5, 1972:4 Chairman [33] -1792:16, 1812:15, 1763:7 1709:7, 1709:12, 1797:23, 1839:12, capable [1] - 1767:18 1919:23, 1920:8, 1839:17, 1840:25, capacity [4] - 1829:3, category [2] -1710:6, 1710:14, 1979:4, 1982:10 1841:15, 1868:11, 1841:24, 1870:23, 1761:17, 1775:18 1710:21, 1711:8, **changing** [1] - 1772:7 1868:12, 1901:6, 1871:7 caused [1] - 1770:22 1711:13, 1711:17, capita [1] - 1934:8 causes [2] - 1894:20, 1712:5, 1712:10, **chapter** [1] - 1795:4 1901:8, 1937:21, 1956:25 Capitol [2] - 1704:14, 1930:1 1712:17, 1713:6, Chapter [1] - 1708:6 Cave [4] - 1803:19, 1713:14, 1713:19, characterization [2] buy [1] - 1851:6 1704:15 1714:5, 1714:6, 1809:9, 1812:12 BY [28] - 1721:6, Capossela [2] -1815:15 1714:13, 1714:21, 1706:7, 1706:8 cave [1] - 1741:12 characterize [1] -1737:23, 1753:6, 1715:5, 1715:8, 1798:25 CAPOSSELA[1] cavities [1] - 1816:17 1773:10, 1782:4, cement [2] - 1878:10, 1715:10, 1715:15, charge [1] - 1823:9 1793:8, 1793:17, 1711:3 1715:20, 1716:10, 1794:5, 1796:20, car [5] - 1930:15, 1878:13 chase [2] - 1770:12, 1797:17, 1805:21, 1716:11, 1716:15, 1986:22 1931:10, 1931:13, Center [1] - 1797:24 1717:9, 1717:18, 1820:8, 1828:2, center [3] - 1828:20, chastised 131 -1933:21 1718:20, 1719:9, 1849:6, 1850:10, 1937:7, 1943:19 1957:11, 1961:1, carbon [5] - 1823:16, 1719:13, 1719:18, 1857:14, 1868:6, 1962:8 1838:2, 1839:20, Centerline [2] -1720:6 1882:2, 1883:13, 1840:1, 1932:19 1705:15, 1705:21 check [2] - 1838:4, CHAIRMAN [36] -1892:11, 1898:6, carbon-based [1] centerlines [1] -1839:24 1703:13, 1741:5, 1901:4, 1911:21, 1932:19 1915:18 Cheri [4] - 1703:24, 1777:3, 1789:25, 1913:17, 1915:2, 1765:10, 1949:11, carbonate [7] centers [1] - 1936:3 1790:6, 1792:25, 1924:13, 1975:6, 1815:13, 1815:18, central [5] - 1770:6, 1989:18 1793:3, 1822:4, 1984:2 1815:23, 1816:16, CHERI [1] - 1989:5 1770:7, 1770:16, 1856:12, 1856:16, byproduct [1] -1817:15, 1818:11, Chevy [2] - 1933:16, 1785:7. 1969:22 1856:20, 1856:24, 1933:22 1818:13 1933:18 certain [20] - 1730:21, 1857:4, 1857:10, carbonated [1] -1734:17, 1739:21, chicken [1] - 1979:15 1894:3, 1894:22, C 1816:4 child [1] - 1828:20 1743:4, 1753:22, 1895:5, 1896:5, children [1] - 1827:14 Cardno [2] - 1722:25, 1755:17, 1755:18, 1896:8, 1896:14, 1793:25 1757:3, 1759:1, chime [1] - 1961:7 caffeine [2] - 1828:9, 1896:17. 1896:20. care [3] - 1865:11, 1763:14, 1763:15, choice [1] - 1962:21 1828:10 1916:19. 1916:24. 1895:11, 1981:23 1796:14, 1811:1, choose [1] - 1736:24 calculate [1] - 1952:14 1917:10, 1917:19, career [1] - 1760:9 1820:18, 1823:16, chooses [1] - 1866:22 California [2] -1917:23, 1918:5, careful [2] - 1811:17, 1842:17, 1889:21, chose [3] - 1875:24,

1964:3, 1964:4 1741:7, 1741:9, clarify [4] - 1724:7, codified [2] - 1950:5, 1857:11, 1860:5, Chris [1] - 1921:5 1744:7, 1744:19, 1973:19 1861:3, 1861:7, 1777:4, 1777:5, CHRIS [1] - 1703:13 1974:4 coexist [1] - 1938:3 1862:15, 1862:16, 1822:8, 1822:10, clarity [3] - 1724:4, 1863:22, 1864:5, 1822:15, 1824:13, Chuck [1] - 1864:19 coincidentally [1] -1725:5, 1822:5 1866:11, 1866:13, 1825:2, 1825:9, CHUCK [3] - 1709:15, 1823:22 Clark [6] - 1708:10, 1866:18, 1866:22, 1841:16, 1896:23, 1719:3, 1719:22 coincides [1] -1867:9, 1875:19, 1897:3, 1897:9, CIA [1] - 1971:16 1708:10, 1722:7, 1820:23 1892:7. 1909:4. 1897:13. 1897:17. 1775:7, 1797:24, circle [2] - 1935:25, collaboration [1] -1909:24, 1910:4, 1897:25, 1898:1, 1937:7 1816:1 1834:19 1919:8, 1919:10, 1911:3, 1916:15, circled [1] - 1917:3 clay [15] - 1808:16, colleagues [1] -1926:7, 1926:12, 1919:15, 1919:19, circuit [1] - 1911:12 1809:20, 1809:23, 1818:18 1919:25, 1920:6, 1926:21, 1927:22, 1810:2, 1810:7, circumstances 191 collection [1] -1950:2, 1958:23, 1920:14, 1922:23, 1810:20, 1878:10, 1865:20. 1873:11. 1930:25 1959:7, 1969:8, 1953:14, 1964:17, 1878:13, 1890:19, college [3] - 1722:15, 1873:13, 1873:20, 1965:12, 1966:5, 1970:21, 1983:6, 1890:24, 1891:9, 1722:16, 1798:16 1874:25, 1875:9, 1985:5, 1985:18, 1966:8, 1966:15 1891:12, 1898:7, 1876:15, 1876:16, collocate [1] - 1951:7 1985:22, 1987:2 Commissioner's [3] -1898:8, 1898:17 1887:5 collocating [1] -1955:2, 1969:6, clays [10] - 1806:17, Commission's [5] citable [1] - 1812:23 1952:1 1762:17, 1763:24, 1970:15 1806:20, 1807:8, **citation** [1] - 1987:6 collocation [2] -1796:11, 1884:21, Commissioners [13] -1807:10, 1808:2, cite [1] - 1810:25 1951:13, 1967:1 1971:3 1822:3, 1856:11, 1809:8, 1809:11, cited [1] - 1970:22 Colorado [1] -1810:5, 1823:4 Commissioner [74] -1894:2, 1905:18, 1868:13 cities [3] - 1946:7, clean [1] - 1982:3 1709:8, 1709:8, 1916:18, 1937:1, 1947:10, 1952:20 column [1] - 1782:23 1709:13, 1709:18, 1938:6, 1951:25, Clean [1] - 1749:7 citizen [2] - 1852:1, comfortable [1] -1710:7, 1710:7, 1955:23, 1961:12, cleaner [3] - 1749:22, 1852:20 1724:9 1710:14, 1710:15, 1962:3, 1965:7, 1750:14, 1751:4 citizens [4] - 1847:11, coming [15] - 1735:12, 1710:22, 1710:22, 1966:13 cleaning [5] -1850:25, 1932:24, 1750:14, 1750:18, 1711:8, 1711:18, Commissioners' [3] -1726:18, 1726:19, 1765:7, 1837:13, 1953:19 1712:6, 1712:6, 1955:7, 1968:12, 1726:23, 1727:5, 1845:13, 1863:8, City [5] - 1704:8, 1712:11, 1712:18, 1968:16 1788:7 1944:25, 1945:19, 1863:18. 1864:14. 1712:18, 1712:24, Commissions [1] clear [8] - 1732:19, 1912:16. 1931:4. 1946:7, 1952:22 1713:7, 1713:7, 1790:15 1755:13, 1785:20, 1932:8. 1934:23. **CITY** [1] - 1708:8 1713:14, 1714:6, commitment [2] -1787:20, 1861:9, 1945:6. 1981:4 city [10] - 1935:6, 1714:18, 1715:4, 1968:1, 1983:14 1938:1, 1940:12, Comm [1] - 1708:17 1945:1, 1946:10, 1715:9, 1715:15, committed [3] -1942:4 1952:19, 1952:20, comment [4] -1716:5, 1716:10, 1877:22, 1896:10, clearer [1] - 1823:18 1952:23, 1953:5, 1735:17, 1786:5, 1716:15, 1716:19, 1982:3 clearing [2] - 1741:19, 1953:7, 1953:23 1786:9, 1867:13 1717:5, 1717:10, commodities [3] -1741:25 city's [2] - 1954:1, commented [2] -1718:8, 1718:14, clearly [5] - 1726:7, 1971:17, 1971:21, 1954:20 1782:17, 1812:19 1718:21, 1718:21, 1972:13 1735:6, 1926:14, comments [8] -Civ.15-138 [2] -1719:9, 1719:14, 1940:11, 1976:17 common [5] -1805:6, 1805:9, 1707:14, 1707:16 1719:19, 1719:19, 1790:11, 1811:9, cliffs [1] - 1816:2 1805:10, 1812:6, Civ.15-341 [2] -1720:7, 1789:24, 1844:24, 1845:1, climate [4] - 1829:11, 1959:12, 1972:25, 1707:17, 1707:17 1793:6, 1793:12, 1845:11 1835:10, 1846:19, 1974:13, 1979:19 CI [1] - 1708:17 1793:20, 1797:10, commonly [2] -1846:24 Commerce [1] clarification [16] -1864:16, 1898:3, 1806:7, 1817:15 clipper [1] - 1794:11 1722:20 1725:17, 1726:15, 1906:12, 1906:16, commonplace [1] clippings [2] -COMMISSION [3] -1726:19, 1729:20, 1928:10, 1928:15, 1802:13 1837:18, 1837:21 1703:1, 1703:12, 1730:4, 1730:17, 1928:21, 1929:5, commonsense [4] close [3] - 1821:11, 1703:15 1730:23, 1732:16, 1936:17, 1953:22, 1740:11, 1843:8, 1821:15, 1842:19 1732:25, 1745:12, Commission [54] -1954:7, 1959:12, 1843:18, 1846:10 closed [1] - 1972:11 1746:2, 1773:16, 1734:10, 1734:12, 1959:21, 1960:21, communicate 131 closer[4] - 1918:19, 1774:15, 1785:14, 1734:13, 1734:25, 1961:15, 1961:18, 1754:16, 1961:11, 1922:6, 1922:20, 1747:1, 1747:4, 1788:22, 1962:12 1961:23, 1962:17, 1961:15 1945:11 1747:14, 1762:10, clarifications [8] -1964:9, 1966:16, communicated [1] closes [1] - 1826:22 1762:16, 1762:18, 1725:10, 1727:8, 1966:17, 1966:20, 1904:17 closest [1] - 1884:24 1763:18, 1763:21, 1728:11, 1729:19, 1967:17, 1969:17, communication [3] -1730:16, 1731:9, Co [1] - 1705:6 1764:3, 1793:5, 1969:19, 1969:20, 1754:6, 1961:4, 1732:14, 1772:4 Coast [2] - 1851:9 1796:1, 1800:8, 1970:3, 1970:23 1974:6 clarified [2] - 1725:6, coat [1] - 1828:7 1835:21, 1842:25, **COMMISSIONER** [34] communities [29] -1846:16, 1850:3, 1773:1 code [1] - 1904:12 - 1703:13, 1703:14,

1778:1, 1799:3, 1950:22, 1961:15, 1911:6, 1918:20, 1751:19, 1963:21 compensation [1] -10 1828:23, 1829:4, 1915:11 1968:5 1950:6 considering [3] -1829:16, 1830:23, complete [4] - 1729:2, concludes [2] conducted [13] -1842:22, 1855:9, 1832:20, 1832:25, 1738:11, 1779:8, 1824:9. 1872:12 1742:12. 1748:21. 1862:20 1833:7, 1834:9, 1835:9 conclusion [5] -1770:21, 1771:21, consistent [6] -1834:14, 1834:15, completed [1] -1759:2, 1767:16, 1771:24, 1772:1. 1745:13, 1768:4, 1834:17, 1836:4, 1772:11. 1772:19. 1881.6 1824:8, 1884:21, 1808:25, 1877:11, 1836:7. 1836:9. 1772:24. 1844:3. 1878:5, 1878:6 completely [3] -1986:18 1836:11, 1836:23, 1874:21, 1941:12 consisting [1] -1927:21, 1942:8, conclusions [3] -1837:2, 1837:9, 1871:9, 1899:16, conductina [2] -1768:3 1962.2 1837:10, 1841:24, 1832:5, 1870:24 1927:4 completion [1] consists [1] - 1821:4 1842:2, 1845:9, Conclusions [1] conducts [1] -1729:1 Constitution [1] -1853:19, 1854:13, compliance [6] -1707:16 1833:12 1867:6 1854:19, 1938:2, confer [1] - 1862:24 1728:3, 1728:4, concrete [2] - 1891:9, constraint [2] -1944:21 1790:21, 1791:2, 1957:13 conference [2] -1951:2, 1951:6 community [9] -1974:11, 1987:22 CONSTRUCT [1] -1796:4, 1796:9 concur[7] - 1779:10, 1836:17, 1837:6, conferred [1] - 1921:8 complicated [2] -1953:5, 1953:7, 1703:5 1845:21, 1853:7, 1738:1, 1845:3 1954:1, 1954:20, confident [1] construct [1] -1853:12, 1853:21, complied [1] -1966:15, 1966:17 1823:10 1728:21 1855:2, 1937:15, 1751:23 concurred [2] -Confidential [8] constructed [1] -1968:3 1952:22, 1952:23 1705:7, 1705:16, comply [1] - 1763:23 1810:16 compact [1] - 1888:16 concurrence [1] -1705:17, 1705:18, components [2] constructibility [1] compaction [4] -1705:19, 1705:20, 1738:7 1809:25, 1810:1 1911:8 1874:1, 1888:16, 1705:23, 1706:22 concurs [2] - 1786:3, comprehensive [1] construction [50] -1888:20, 1888:24 1787:17 confusing [1] -1814:15 1726:9, 1726:14, companies [4] -1723:14 comprised [1] condemnation [5] -1728:2, 1728:4, 1791:25, 1869:12, 1842:7 1909:8, 1909:22, confusion [2] -1728:18, 1728:19, 1894:11, 1974:16 compromising [1] -1913:20, 1919:16, 1923:12, 1960:8 1728:23, 1729:1, company [26] -1968:18 1919:17 congratulations [1] -1729:14, 1729:17, 1721:15, 1732:2, Condemnation [1] -1829:18 1730:13, 1745:9, concentrating [1] -1745:7, 1751:2, 1707:18 connected [2] -1751:25, 1752:12, 1799:21 1754:20, 1783:23, concept [1] - 1981:21 condemnations [1] -1822:25, 1874:16 1752:14, 1778:22, 1787:18, 1787:22, 1911:13 1784:18, 1784:19, concern [25] connection [3] -1789:4, 1790:19, 1750:21. 1751:17. condition [20] -1756:4, 1756:7, 1788:18, 1789:4, 1790:20, 1792:3, 1726:13, 1743:21, 1790:2, 1790:17, 1758:12. 1781:6. 1823:3 1792:8, 1794:18, 1790:22, 1791:4, 1788:17, 1788:24, 1748:7, 1794:25, consent [1] - 1929:22 1887:4, 1890:10, 1791:5, 1791:7, 1803:22, 1803:25, 1796:3, 1977:15, consequence [6] -1896:9, 1896:10, 1792:2, 1792:4, 1806:11, 1811:10, 1977:19, 1978:18, 1732:20, 1745:4, 1909:24, 1939:16. 1980:3, 1980:6, 1753:15, 1756:12, 1792:6, 1792:13, 1816:22, 1817:24, 1941:7. 1971:10. 1792:15, 1806:11, 1980:9, 1980:15, 1756:13, 1756:16 1824:15, 1836:19, 1971:12, 1971:13, 1980:16, 1980:17, 1817:5, 1833:10, 1837:2, 1840:23, Conservation [1] -1974:21, 1979:9 1833:12, 1874:8, 1981:14, 1982:5, 1842:5, 1842:19, 1708:3 company's [9] -1874:20, 1879:22, 1982:8, 1982:20, 1865:15, 1902:18, conservation [5] -1730:12, 1731:24, 1892:14, 1892:16, 1982:21, 1983:4 1917:20, 1917:22, 1726:25, 1760:2, 1742:8, 1754:13, Condition [3] -1893:1, 1905:9, 1923:6, 1930:1, 1760:3, 1761:13, 1786:3, 1788:4, 1912:7, 1913:6, 1978:19, 1980:8, 1937:18 1784:5 1789:20, 1873:3, 1945:25, 1949:23, 1981:14 concerned [5] consider [4] -1893:23 1950:25, 1979:17, 1741:18, 1786:8, conditions [14] -1738:10, 1778:3, compare [2] - 1880:5, 1979:21, 1982:2 1833:1, 1932:23, 1790:21, 1791:8, 1778:12, 1864:5 1986:1 construction's [2] -1947:20 1807:7, 1848:10, considerable [4] compared [1] - 1756:1 1874:14, 1875:12 1881:15, 1926:7, concerns [21] -1753:14, 1753:20, comparison [3] consult [5] - 1726:3, 1926:12, 1926:16, 1791:16, 1803:25, 1842:24, 1965:22 1900:13, 1975:18, 1739:1. 1819:5. 1806:3, 1811:23, 1927:14, 1944:21, considerably [1] -1975:20 1893:14, 1944:10 1979:6, 1981:11, 1812:5, 1812:8, 1847:5 compatibility [1] -1982:24, 1983:7 consultant 191 -1835:16, 1836:8, consideration [8] -1943:12 1790:19, 1790:24, 1836:14, 1836:24, Conditions [1] -1738:24, 1739:19, compensate [2] -1791:3, 1791:5, 1837:4, 1854:17, 1977:16 1861:22, 1863:10, 1912:9. 1912:12 1902:17, 1927:16, conduct [8] - 1844:11, 1793:18, 1799:11, 1884:11, 1954:23, compensating [1] -1812:21, 1877:10, 1927:18, 1932:10, 1844:19, 1867:14, 1963:23, 1969:2 1912:13 1887:17 1945:22, 1950:18, 1871:18, 1904:12, considered [2] -

1882:24, 1883:1, 1799:25, 1829:5, consultants [2] contaminating [1] controls [6] - 1895:2, 11 1885:20, 1886:12, 1735:19, 1735:22 1802:20 1895:6, 1895:8, 1856:25, 1971:17, 1888:14, 1891:7, consultants' [1] contamination [7] -1895:9, 1895:15, 1972:10, 1972:13 1735:20 1799:13. 1803:1. 1892:14, 1892:15, COUNTY [1] - 1989:3 1895:16 1808:5. 1820:25. 1898:10, 1898:21, County [16] - 1707:21, consultation [14] conversation [2] -1731:2, 1742:9, 1821:2, 1821:8, 1973:12, 1973:13 1901:25, 1905:10, 1775:5, 1818:5, 1771:5, 1779:7, 1905:11, 1905:23, 1821:10 conversations [2] -1821:8, 1821:9, contemplate [1] -1908:21. 1910:24. 1913:23, 1914:1, 1780:23, 1783:1, 1854:25, 1915:12 1911:13, 1911:14, 1916:25, 1917:24, 1785:11, 1786:13, 1755:17 COOK [1] - 1715:3 1912:25, 1913:21, 1918:10, 1922:10, 1786:24, 1787:2, contemplated [2] -Cooke [1] - 1708:16 1913:24, 1914:9, 1929:13, 1930:8, 1787:3, 1787:13, 1865:23, 1968:19 cooperative [1] -1914:20, 1918:3, 1835:2, 1835:5 1936:8, 1936:22, contemporary [1] -1827:1 1918:7, 1921:13, consulted [6] -1824:5 coordination [1] -1944:24 1921:15, 1925:8, county [5] - 1726:24, 1725:23, 1731:6, content [1] - 1801:16 1971:15 1925:18, 1939:18, 1868:12, 1914:1, 1738:19, 1740:17, contents [2] - 1906:1, copies [2] - 1840:15, 1966:10, 1975:10, 1914.7 1785:21, 1944:12 1906:20 1840:19 1986:9, 1989:12 consulting [5] couple [10] - 1753:13, contest [1] - 1925:14 copy [10] - 1759:20, 1722:24, 1723:5, corrected [1] -1786:22, 1807:1, contested [1] -1839:3, 1841:8, 1780:11 1733:23, 1799:5, 1861:4, 1928:6, 1820:11, 1829:12, 1968:21 correction [4] -1831:16, 1873:17, 1888:13 context [2] - 1884:9, 1977:19, 1977:24, 1726:6, 1780:9, 1893:22, 1934:4 consults [1] - 1768:19 1980:24 1977:25, 1987:9, consume [1] - 1857:8 1902:6, 1925:13 course [10] - 1730:9, contingent [2] -1987:13 corrections [9] -1753:14, 1818:8, consumer [2] -1729:10, 1912:20 CORLISS [1] -1934:5, 1934:11 1725:8, 1726:5, 1873:12, 1906:21, continue [4] -1717:14 1728:9, 1729:19, 1959:1, 1971:23, consumers [1] -1842:23, 1861:20, corn [1] - 1881:15 1732:15, 1902:4, 1979:3, 1979:7, 1971:21 1878:19, 1937:24 corner [1] - 1922:9 1902:21, 1925:10, 1979:8 consumes [1] -Corps [14] - 1706:4, continued [2] -1925:20 court [18] - 1721:4, 1934:15 1800:1, 1800:4 1706:5, 1706:6, correctly [8] -1733:18, 1733:24, consumption [4] -Continued [15] -1706:7, 1706:10, 1729:10, 1741:11, 1734:2, 1797:15, 1852:2, 1852:21, 1725:23, 1738:22, 1706:1, 1707:1, 1782:18, 1791:17, 1827:24, 1862:25, 1933:4, 1934:9 1708:1, 1709:1, 1738:24, 1742:9, 1865:14, 1871:13, 1850:14, 1851:23, contact [8] - 1902:9, 1710:1. 1711:1. 1768:18, 1768:19, 1880:16, 1964:20 1901:2, 1902:2, 1902:13, 1904:1, 1712:1. 1713:1. 1769:1, 1780:23, correlation [1] -1948:16, 1953:1, 1904:22, 1939:23, 1714:1, 1715:1, 1783:1 1847:12 1954:1, 1954:3, 1940:2, 1940:22, 1716:1, 1717:1, Corps's [2] - 1725:25, Correspondence [1] -1958:7, 1973:20 1941:9 1718:1, 1719:1, 1738:25 1705:8 courtesy [2] - 1827:8, correct [81] - 1727:13, contacts 131 -1720:1 correspondence [2] -1863:1 1903:12, 1903:21, continues [1] -1731:12, 1733:2, 1903:23 1747:4, 1956:3 courts [1] - 1920:3 1807:13 1745:10, 1752:23, corresponding [1] cover[8] - 1874:24, contain [6] - 1743:9, 1754:25, 1755:19, continuing [1] -1743:14. 1780:3. 1756:2, 1756:9, 1905:22 1875:1, 1875:3, 1824:11 cost [3] - 1791:19, 1875:4, 1942:11, 1818:11, 1944:2, 1760:20, 1760:25, contract [2] - 1791:12, 1791:20, 1920:11 1948:5, 1958:13, 1967:19 1762:1, 1767:10, 1792:14 couched [1] - 1725:18 1965:14 contained 191 -1769:9, 1771:18, contractor [5] council [1] - 1945:17 covered [3] - 1732:18, 1738:4, 1805:3, 1789:4, 1890:1, 1772:14, 1772:16, 1817:4, 1981:3 counsel [5] - 1736:9, 1810:5, 1928:15, 1890:3, 1890:5, 1779:11, 1780:16, 1913:10, 1920:3, covers [4] - 1811:10, 1957:7, 1959:19, 1781:8, 1782:19, 1890:6 1921:8, 1961:6 1873:15, 1873:18, 1961:16, 1986:1, 1783:5, 1783:17, contractors [3] counsel's [4] -1965:14 1986:2 1882:18, 1893:7, 1784:1, 1784:2, 1736:19, 1757:24, crane [2] - 1739:14, 1784:4, 1784:8, contains [1] - 1941:2 1893:24 1764:23, 1775:23 1742:18 1788:6, 1789:9, contaminant [3] contrast [1] - 1880:6 1795:1, 1801:8, count [2] - 1886:13, cranes [1] - 1742:13 1809:21, 1809:24, contribute [1] -1810:17 1801:25, 1802:3, 1944:15 Craven [59] - 1704:4, 1851:20 counties [6] - 1766:7, 1709:5, 1709:9, contaminants [4] -1813:8, 1820:14, contributing [2] -1709:14, 1709:17, 1820:15, 1821:16, 1788:11, 1914:4, 1808:24, 1809:4, 1807:11, 1889:2 1710:5, 1710:8, 1825:7, 1825:20, 1945:1, 1945:18 1809:10, 1810:3 control [9] - 1729:22, 1710:13, 1710:16, 1826:5, 1826:8, countries [3] contaminate [1] -1775:10, 1788:12, 1826:17, 1833:3, 1760:24, 1761:3, 1710:20, 1710:24, 1748:5 1895:13, 1895:19, contaminated [2] -1854:19, 1863:5, 1857:1 1711:3, 1711:6, 1895:21, 1970:2, 1747:25, 1751:12 1863:6, 1882:23, country [8] - 1799:18, 1711:9, 1711:11, 1970:3, 1972:12

	1	1	1	
1711:21, 1712:5,	1927:10, 1935:7,	1711:20, 1711:21,	1870:23, 1894:12,	1713:18, 1713:19, 12
1712:10, 1712:12,	1935:14, 1938:8,	1711:21, 1712:4,	1898:2, 1905:5,	1714:4, 1714:4,
1712:17, 1712:19,	1938:11, 1941:20,	1712:4, 1712:5,	1907:16, 1907:21,	1714:5, 1714:11,
1712:23, 1713:5,	1943:24, 1952:7,	1712:8, 1712:9,	1908:3, 1908:9,	1714:11, 1714:12,
1713:10, 1713:13,	1953:12, 1954:6,	1712:9, 1712:10,	1908:12, 1920:16,	1714:12, 1714:17,
1713:15, 1713:18,	1955:7, 1960:17,	1712:15, 1712:16,	1946:3	1714:17, 1714:21,
1714:8, 1714:12,	1960:20, 1969:14	1712:16, 1712:17,	CROSS [16] - 1737:22,	1715:4, 1715:8,
1714:13, 1715:19,	create [1] - 1923:12	1712:22, 1712:22,	1753:5, 1773:9,	1715:13, 1715:14,
1715:21, 1716:4,	created [2] - 1805:5,	1712:23, 1712:23,	1782:3, 1805:20,	1715:14, 1715:18,
1716:8, 1716:14,	1824:3	1713:4, 1713:4,	1820:7, 1849:5,	1715:19, 1715:19,
1716:18, 1716:22,	credentials [1] -	1713:5, 1713:5,	1850:9, 1882:1,	1716:4, 1716:4,
1717:8, 1717:20,	1867:21	1713:6, 1713:12,	1883:12, 1892:10,	1716:8, 1716:8,
1718:10, 1718:18,	credibility [1] - 1822:5	1713:12, 1713:13,	1911:20, 1913:16,	1716:9, 1716:9,
1718:23, 1719:4,	CREDO [3] - 1829:8,	1713:13, 1713:18,	1915:1, 1975:5,	1716:14, 1716:14,
1719:8, 1719:13,	1829:11, 1829:13	1713:18, 1713:19,	1984:1	1716:17, 1716:18,
1719:17, 1719:24,	Creek [2] - 1820:20,	1714:4, 1714:4,	cross-examination [3]	1716:18, 1716:19,
1720:5, 1720:7,	1822:25	1714:5, 1714:11,	- 1765:2, 1765:3,	1716:22, 1716:23,
1720:8, 1734:21,	creek [1] - 1825:3	1714:11, 1714:12,	1870:23	1717:4, 1717:4,
1773:8, 1773:11,	Cremer [12] - 1703:17,	1714:12, 1714:17,	CROSS-	1717:5, 1717:7,
1820:4, 1825:20,	1704:10, 1711:16,	1714:17, 1714:21,	EXAMINATION [16] -	1717:8, 1717:8,
1883:10, 1883:14,	1711:18, 1711:20,	1715:4, 1715:8,	1737:22, 1753:5,	1717:9, 1717:12,
1914:23, 1924:4	1712:3, 1712:8,	1715:13, 1715:14,	1773:9, 1782:3,	1717:17, 1717:17,
CRAVEN [90] -	1712:13, 1712:15,	1715:14, 1715:18,	1805:20, 1820:7,	1717:18, 1718:5,
1733:8, 1736:3,	1713:11, 1714:3,	1715:19, 1715:19,	1849:5, 1850:9,	1718:7, 1718:10,
1736:5, 1736:12,	1714:16	1716:4, 1716:4,	1882:1, 1883:12,	1718:11, 1718:11,
1737:1, 1773:10,	CREMER [7] -	1716:8, 1716:8,	1892:10, 1911:20,	1718:13, 1718:17,
1773:18, 1776:3,	1797:13, 1797:17,	1716:9, 1716:9,	1913:16, 1915:1,	1718:18, 1718:18,
1776:10, 1776:23,	1801:11, 1805:16,	1716:14, 1716:14,	1975:5, 1984:1	1718:19, 1718:19,
1778:7, 1778:11,	1825:13, 1825:16,	1716:17, 1716:18,	Cross-Examination	1718:20, 1719:4,
1779:19, 1779:22,	1838:22	1716:18, 1716:19,	[140] - 1709:4,	1719:4, 1719:7,
1780:10, 1781:18,	crevices [1] - 1741:23	1716:22, 1716:23,	1709:4, 1709:5,	1719:8, 1719:8,
1793:8, 1820:5,	critical [3] - 1784:7,	1717:4, 1717:4,	1709:5, 1709:6,	1719:12, 1719:12,
1825:21, 1825:24,	1881:4, 1881:7	1717:5, 1717:7,	1709:6, 1709:7,	1719:13, 1719:16,
1826:2, 1826:7,	critically [2] - 1876:10,	1717:8, 1717:8,	1709:16, 1709:17,	1719:16, 1719:17,
1826:25, 1827:13,	1879:16	1717:9, 1717:12,	1709:17, 1710:4,	1719:17, 1719:18,
1827:23, 1828:2,	crop [11] - 1875:11,	1717:17, 1717:17,	1710:4, 1710:5,	1719:23, 1719:23,
1829:20, 1830:2,	1875:13, 1877:9,	1717:18, 1718:5,	1710:5, 1710:6,	1719:24, 1719:24,
1830:8, 1831:23,	1888:4, 1888:8,	1718:7, 1718:10,	1710:12, 1710:12,	1720:4, 1720:4,
1833:16, 1834:1,	1912:2, 1912:15,	1718:11, 1718:11,	1710:13, 1710:13,	1720:5, 1720:5,
1834:4, 1837:23,	1912:21, 1913:2,	1718:13, 1718:17,	1710:19, 1710:19,	1720:6
1838:12, 1838:16,	1913:4, 1913:12	1718:18, 1718:18,	1710:20, 1710:20,	cross-examine [2] -
1838:20, 1838:23,	crops [3] - 1886:14,	1718:19, 1718:19,	1710:21, 1711:4,	1858:18, 1867:1
1839:12, 1840:3,	1912:4, 1912:11	1718:20, 1719:4,	1711:4, 1711:5,	crossed [8] - 1742:7,
1840:9, 1841:6,	Cross [140] - 1709:4,	1719:4, 1719:7,	1711:7, 1711:7,	1744:25, 1745:16,
1848:7, 1848:23,	1709:4, 1709:5,	1719:8, 1719:8,	1711:12, 1711:12,	1746:5, 1774:12,
1851:16, 1853:25,	1709:5, 1709:6,	1719:12, 1719:12,	1711:16, 1711:17,	1775:15, 1775:21,
1856:6, 1858:4,	1709:6, 1709:7,	1719:13, 1719:16,	1711:20, 1711:21,	1775:22
1858:21, 1859:20,	1709:16, 1709:17,	1719:16, 1719:17,	1711:21, 1712:4,	crosses [3] - 1757:11,
1860:18, 1862:9,	1709:17, 1710:4,	1719:17, 1719:18,	1712:4, 1712:5,	1776:4, 1929:15
1862:22, 1863:6,	1710:4, 1710:5,	1719:23, 1719:23,	1712:8, 1712:9,	crossing [16] - 1730:6,
1863:11, 1863:16,	1710:5, 1710:6,	1719:24, 1719:24,	1712:9, 1712:10,	1745:18, 1747:1,
1883:11, 1883:13,	1710:12, 1710:12,	1720:4, 1720:4,	1712:15, 1712:16,	1777:12, 1777:20,
1884:14, 1884:17,	1710:13, 1710:13,	1720:5, 1720:5,	1712:16, 1712:17,	1778:6, 1778:7,
1884:22, 1886:2,	1710:19, 1710:19,	1720:6	1712:22, 1712:22,	1779:2, 1792:5,
1886:20, 1886:25,	1710:20, 1710:20,	cross [24] - 1737:19,	1712:23, 1712:23,	1806:3, 1902:15,
1887:7, 1890:12,	1710:21, 1711:4,	1742:3, 1745:11,	1713:4, 1713:4,	1929:16, 1952:4,
1892:3, 1909:15,	1711:4, 1711:5,	1765:2, 1765:3,	1713:5, 1713:5,	1972:19
1915:2, 1916:11,	1711:7, 1711:7,	1774:3, 1774:7, 1793:6, 1805:17,	1713:6, 1713:12,	crossings [4] -
1921:22, 1921:25, 1922:12, 1922:15,	1711:12, 1711:12,		1713:12, 1713:13,	1770:23, 1779:17,
1922:12, 1922:15,	1711:16, 1711:17,	1825:11, 1857:12, 1858:18, 1867:1,	1713:13, 1713:18,	1795:7, 1795:9
1020.0, 1024.7,		1000.10, 1007.1,		
	1	I.	1	I .

CRR [1] - 1703:24 1736:4, 1736:21, 1903:4, 1903:10, 1854:15, 1858:23, 1746:23, 1746:24, 13 crude [27] - 1790:13, 1739:15, 1742:24, 1904:11, 1908:23, 1859:6, 1860:5, 1843:9, 1843:10, 1794:17. 1808:12. 1743:6, 1748:21, 1909:1, 1909:6, 1869:19, 1870:4, 1843:18, 1855:9, 1808:13, 1808:18, 1749:19, 1751:1, 1912:1, 1913:21, 1891:11, 1900:23, 1859:12, 1859:14, 1808:19, 1808:20, 1751:13, 1751:25, 1917:17, 1920:3, 1903:5, 1905:12, 1866:22, 1909:11, 1809:12, 1809:13, 1752:15, 1770:6, 1926:15, 1926:18, 1906:3, 1916:4, 1910:1, 1919:10, 1770:7, 1771:20, 1929:14, 1930:16, 1926:1, 1935:16, 1919:11, 1919:13, 1809:18, 1810:1, 1773:12. 1774:5. 1930:21. 1931:1. 1935:18. 1956:11. 1945:10. 1962:5 1900:7, 1930:15, 1774:8, 1775:16, 1931:22, 1931:24, 1981:9 decision-maker [2] -1930:18, 1931:8, 1932:11, 1933:1, DAPL's [2] - 1879:19, 1931:22, 1932:1, 1775:22, 1776:5, 1843:18, 1855:9 1933:15, 1933:24, 1776:9, 1776:15, 1879:21 1932:3, 1932:5, decision-makers [2] -1934:4, 1934:8, 1843:9, 1945:10 1932:18, 1932:20, 1776:16, 1777:13. **DARREN** [1] - 1711:15 1934:15, 1934:18, 1778:6, 1779:23, 1933:22, 1933:24, Darren [1] - 1703:18 decisions [4] -1934:20, 1944:10, 1781:21, 1782:2. 1934:14, 1934:16, data [11] - 1757:3, 1730:18, 1910:7, 1782:5, 1782:10, 1944:11, 1969:14, 1944:19, 1944:20 1934:21, 1934:23 1842:6, 1889:14, 1796:10, 1796:14, 1974:19, 1976:18, cruel [1] - 1933:18 1900:1, 1900:2, declaratory [2] -1977:14, 1978:17, 1797:25, 1798:3, crystal [1] - 1817:10 1931:15, 1931:16, 1985:20, 1985:22 1979:9, 1979:24, Cultural [5] - 1705:16, 1798:19, 1799:1, 1945:25, 1976:12, declared [1] - 1910:23 1799:6, 1799:20, 1980:4, 1980:7, 1705:17, 1705:18, 1982:1 decline [1] - 1862:15 1799:22, 1800:2, 1980:18, 1981:10, 1705:19, 1705:20 database [5] - 1739:6, decompaction [7] -1800:3, 1803:13, cultural [8] - 1737:2, 1982:23, 1983:16, 1739:9, 1814:6, 1881:2, 1881:4, 1803:17, 1803:20, 1984:4, 1984:17, 1828:19, 1836:2, 1814:19, 1838:7 1881:6, 1881:7, 1803:23, 1804:13, 1986:3, 1989:7, 1844:22, 1845:5, date [3] - 1817:23, 1881:9, 1881:10, 1804:19, 1804:24, 1989:13 1845:6, 1845:16, 1957:10, 1967:22 1881.12 1805:9, 1811:11, 1895:14 **DAKOTA** [4] - 1703:2, Dated [1] - 1989:13 decrease [1] - 1885:22 1812:5, 1815:17, 1703:4, 1703:5, culturally [2] dated [1] - 1956:10 deep [4] - 1813:17, 1815:22, 1815:24, 1989:1 1834:11, 1845:6 dates [2] - 1823:24, 1873:25, 1888:22, 1816:8, 1816:12, Dakota's [4] - 1823:8, curious [1] - 1961:8 1967:20 1888:24 1816:14, 1818:4, 1851:21, 1898:15, current [2] - 1835:8, **David** [4] - 1731:21, deepen [1] - 1833:11 1820:10, 1820:24, 1975:14 1980:3 1744:18, 1832:12, deeper [9] - 1816:16, 1821:24, 1823:12, Dakota) [1] - 1849:1 cursory [2] - 1958:3, 1838:3 1838:4, 1839:24, 1824:21, 1826:15, Dakotans [5] -1959:1 days [6] - 1791:6, 1888:17, 1888:20, 1828:22, 1830:16, 1733:17, 1852:15, cut [10] - 1770:12, 1829:13, 1831:16, 1948:12, 1950:14, 1835:17, 1844:8, 1852:18, 1853:20, 1779:17, 1780:4, 1831:17, 1831:18, 1951:4, 1951:5 1845:20, 1845:22, 1853:23 1822:19, 1929:19, 1833:4 deeply [1] - 1817:15 1845:23, 1847:2, **Dakotas** [1] - 1878:9 1929:22, 1929:24, dead [1] - 1741:24 defended [1] - 1819:7 1847:13, 1847:14, **DALLAS** [1] - 1711:11 1929:25, 1930:11, deadline [7] define [4] - 1802:7, 1849:13, 1849:16, Dallas [4] - 1827:23, 1940:12 1941:13, 1957:13, 1813:10, 1833:6, 1849:17, 1849:19, cuts [2] - 1779:9, 1828:14, 1838:20, 1962:24, 1963:20, 1895:7 1849:20, 1849:22, 1849:1 1780:20 1964:7, 1968:23, defined [8] - 1729:1, 1850:6, 1850:14, **Dam** [1] - 1777:21 cutting [1] - 1736:6 1969:7 1774:9, 1775:8, 1852:8, 1853:1, damage [5] - 1912:2, cyber [4] - 1971:8, deadlines [7] -1775:9, 1775:19, 1854:2, 1854:3, 1912:3, 1913:2, 1971:14, 1971:23, 1866:10, 1866:19, 1778:14, 1950:4, 1856:14, 1856:18, 1913:4, 1913:12 1957:13, 1960:24, 1972:1 1973:19 1857:16, 1857:23, damaged [1] - 1912:4 1961:16, 1963:4, defines [1] - 1744:22 1865:13, 1867:7, dams [1] - 1777:11 D 1970:20 definite [1] - 1854:13 1869:5, 1869:6, **Dan** [2] - 1721:3, deal [8] - 1736:6, definitely [3] -1869:8, 1877:10, 1721:7 1757:21, 1815:24, 1846:13, 1853:16, 1877:13, 1877:16, **D.C** [1] - 1792:11 **DAN** [1] - 1714:10 1874:7, 1888:16, 1877:2 1877:21, 1879:3, daily [1] - 1791:13 dance [1] - 1822:22 1888:20, 1896:2, definition [12] -1879:7, 1882:5, Dakota [190] - 1704:2, Daniel [1] - 1721:9 1945:16 1744:24, 1745:3, 1882:9, 1882:13, 1704:5, 1704:14, **DAPL** [34] - 1705:2, dealing [2] - 1780:14, 1775:3, 1841:14, 1882:17, 1883:14, 1704:16, 1707:13, 1705:6, 1705:6, 1819:9 1853:7, 1914:5, 1887:17, 1887:20, 1708:18, 1723:11, 1705:21, 1708:18, debate [2] - 1850:17, 1914:12, 1953:2, 1888:5, 1888:9, 1724:15, 1724:16, 1709:2, 1710:2, 1932:17 1954:18, 1954:23, 1888:14, 1889:20, 1725:19, 1728:21, 1719:2, 1719:5, December [1] - 1945:3 1973:4, 1973:21 1890:20, 1890:21, 1729:13, 1729:25, 1720:2, 1776:4, decent [2] - 1809:8, definitions [2] -1892:25, 1893:3, 1733:8, 1733:18, 1779:9, 1841:21, 1879:17 1756:15, 1783:6 1893:7, 1893:9, 1733:24, 1735:8, 1842:21, 1843:24, decide [1] - 1832:21 degradation [1] -1894:9, 1898:9, 1735:20, 1735:21, 1847:20, 1850:17, decision [16] -1834:13

1901:10, 1901:14,

1808:16, 1809:15, 1768:23, 1769:3, 1974:10 1902:16, 1926:18, degree [12] - 1733:22, 14 1734:5, 1734:12, 1816.7 1774.8 **Diane** [1] - 1704:8 1927:18, 1955:13 1767:18, 1798:11, depressions [1] determinations [1] diatribe [1] - 1941:15 **DIRECT** [6] - 1721:5, 1819:19. 1868:19. 1816:23 1755:12 1797:16. 1828:1. difference [8] -1868:20. 1868:22. depth [10] - 1802:22, determine [11] -1868:5. 1901:3. 1787:14, 1817:24, 1868:24. 1868:25. 1810:14, 1816:15, 1725:20, 1762:11, 1818:1, 1845:4, 1924:12 1770:22, 1771:21, 1817:17, 1875:23, Direct [56] - 1705:9, 1869.2 1845:15, 1880:5, degrees [2] - 1734:4, 1772:20, 1779:14, 1705:10, 1705:10, 1877:3, 1890:18, 1896:19, 1899:22 1780:23, 1781:5, 1899:20, 1899:21, 1705:11, 1705:11, 1891:24 differences [2] -**DEJOIA**[1] - 1719:6 1705:12, 1709:3, 1948:5 1907:9. 1910:19. 1736:23, 1975:14 1709:16, 1710:3. **DeJoia** [7] - 1705:14, depths [4] - 1810:12, 1937:17 different [41] -1810:15, 1821:5, 1710:11, 1710:18, 1860:2, 1865:13, determined [5] -1734:18, 1750:13, 1868:8, 1870:8, 1890:17 1742:8, 1745:2, 1755:23, 1760:24, 1711:3, 1711:6, 1764:17, 1774:19, 1711:11, 1711:16, 1882:3, 1892:12 derivative [1] -1761:3, 1761:25, 1907.8 1711:20, 1712:3, **DeJoia's** [1] - 1869:22 1933:22 1763:15, 1774:13, 1712:8, 1712:13, derived [7] - 1806:17, determining [1] -1784:25, 1787:1, delay [1] - 1862:19 1712:15, 1712:21, 1806:18, 1808:2, 1734:3 1787:12, 1792:4, delegated [1] -1808:16, 1810:5, deuterium [1] -1794:13, 1809:25, 1713:3, 1713:11, 1730:19 deletions [3] - 1725:8, 1810:7, 1817:14 1823:17 1817:3, 1821:5, 1713:17, 1714:3, Derric [2] - 1797:14, develop [4] - 1869:6, 1714:10, 1714:16, 1726:5, 1800:25 1829:6, 1834:13, 1835:20, 1860:12, 1714:20, 1715:3, 1797:23 1877:11, 1961:18, delisting [1] - 1797:2 **DERRIC** [1] - 1714:16 1977:10 1873:19, 1873:20, 1715:7, 1715:13, **DELORES** [1] - 1718:3 developable [3] -1887:4, 1894:13, 1715:18, 1715:22, describe [4] demand [4] - 1841:20, 1895:16, 1895:17, 1716:3, 1716:7, 1722:14, 1798:9, 1943:13, 1946:17, 1842:15, 1842:20 1947:25 1898:16, 1899:20, 1716:13, 1716:17, 1798:15, 1813:15 demonstrate [1] -1900:12, 1914:4, 1716:22, 1717:3, described [1] - 1837:5 developed [3] -1968:1 1717:7, 1717:11, 1914:10, 1914:11, describing [1] -1889:22, 1906:21, demonstrated [1] -1717:13, 1717:15, 1937:22, 1947:18, 1957:19 1818:15 1971:15 1717:16, 1718:3, 1954:21, 1955:15, demonstrates [1] description [1] developers [1] -1960:14, 1975:24, 1718:5, 1718:7, 1818:10 1945:5 1940:11 1718:10, 1718:13, 1982:6 deserves [2] developing [1] demonstrating [2] differential [1] -1718:17, 1719:3, 1734:11, 1944:7 1934:25 1891:23. 1954:2 1719:7, 1719:11, 1934:17 design [3] - 1745:8, development [24] -Denied [2] - 1706:12, 1719:15, 1719:22, difficult [6] - 1727:1, 1877:18, 1896:15 1721:18, 1728:2, 1707:21 1737:5, 1757:20, 1720:3 1830:21, 1830:24, designated [3] denied [2] - 1705:13, 1812:20, 1835:19, directing [2] - 1952:6, 1831:1, 1831:4, 1763:14, 1784:7, 1747:18 1967:11 1891:1 1835:1, 1835:17, 1957:25 **DENR** [3] - 1795:5, direction [8] - 1724:2, 1836:8, 1836:20, difficulties [2] designed [2] -1821:1, 1823:9 1832:22, 1905:24, 1837:3, 1854:19, 1839:4, 1839:7 1799:23, 1926:9 dental [1] - 1827:13 1935:20, 1942:25, 1854:22, 1855:1, digging [2] - 1916:6, desired [2] - 1772:4, dentist [1] - 1827:15 1967:6, 1967:14, 1857:6, 1934:19, 1916:8 1977.9 Denver [1] - 1974:12 1980:24 digital [5] - 1805:3, 1934:21, 1938:2, desktop [1] - 1818:21 deny [1] - 1964:13 directive [1] - 1835:21 1943:13, 1948:6, 1812:14, 1812:16, detail [3] - 1803:4, **Denying** [1] - 1707:15 directly [19] - 1743:7, 1948:13, 1968:2, 1838:10 1805:6, 1959:19 Department [6] -1769:17, 1770:8, 1969:1 dilatory [2] - 1957:11, details [1] - 1874:9 1722:20, 1795:20, 1780:4, 1780:6, 1958:25 deviate [1] - 1897:19 detection [1] -1798:4, 1814:1, 1806:24, 1807:24, device [2] - 1880:7, diligence [1] -1742:20 1814:22, 1971:15 1815:11, 1865:4, 1952:13 1783:23 determination [27] department [2] -1894:6, 1906:22, diminish [1] - 1912:2 Deville [3] - 1706:3, 1739:17, 1739:18, 1782:11, 1948:11 1917:1, 1928:25, 1827:17, 1858:15 dire [5] - 1830:12, 1739:25, 1740:2, departments [2] -1931:1, 1931:23, 1832:5, 1839:11, Deville's [4] - 1825:23, 1745:15. 1745:23. 1790:15, 1946:9 1933:13, 1940:10, 1859:4, 1860:11, 1870:6, 1871:17 1746:4, 1746:9, Departments [1] -1961:12, 1969:18 1863:3 direct [19] - 1724:22, 1746:13, 1746:19, 1795:14 director [1] - 1946:2 devised [1] - 1807:19 1727:23, 1731:21, 1747:12, 1747:15, dependent [3] directorial [1] - 1791:9 dewatering [6] -1758:19, 1780:8, 1753:21, 1754:2, 1850:25, 1933:15, dirt [2] - 1916:6, 1749:3, 1750:5, 1790:16, 1798:7, 1754:3, 1755:3, 1933:24 1916:9 1750:6, 1750:9, 1805:17, 1847:4, 1756:11, 1756:14, deposit [1] - 1835:18 dirty [2] - 1750:11, 1787:21, 1788:4 1851:17, 1864:8, 1762:19, 1763:14, deposited [1] -1750:15 dialogue [3] -1864:12, 1864:25, 1763:22, 1763:24, 1806:19 disagree [4] - 1734:8, 1766:12, 1768:16, 1972:14, 1973:9, 1865:4, 1890:23, deposits [3] -

1811:19, 1984:16, 1957:9, 1958:5, doubt [2] - 1811:5, Discussion [1] -1777:2, 1777:20, 15 1984:23 1705:7 1958:19, 1958:24, 1974:18 1777:25, 1778:4 disappeared [1] discussions [4] -1962:19, 1963:11, Douglas [1] - 1703:19 driveway [2] - 1917:4, 1846:18. 1847:21. 1963:17, 1967:5, 1773:19 down [21] - 1736:22, 1917:12 disaster [2] - 1835:10, 1906:14, 1915:11 1967:12, 1967:19, 1760:18, 1780:1, driving [2] - 1851:18, 1846:24 disguised [1] - 1848:5 1975:24, 1981:20, 1783:6, 1809:4, 1933:16 1986:8, 1986:20, discharge [11] -**Dismiss** [1] - 1707:15 1813:6, 1816:2, drove [2] - 1851:3, 1986:24 1897:7 1749:2, 1749:25, disparage [1] -1821:9, 1831:19, 1844:12, 1851:21, 1750:12, 1751:1, documentation [4] -1836:10 due [9] - 1783:23, 1897:14, 1897:20, 1751:22, 1751:23, disparities [1] -1853:9, 1864:15, 1802:20, 1803:2, 1956:5, 1983:11 1888:17, 1888:20, 1781:1, 1781:6, 1837:8 1867:5, 1912:7, 1781:7, 1781:8, documented [5] -1908:25, 1929:23, 1953:23, 1954:6, displaced [1] -1781:9 1766:11, 1808:25, 1931:15, 1944:16, 1958:18, 1969:2 1931:11 1897:16, 1897:21, discharged [5] disproportionately [1] 1945:8, 1962:4 dug [1] - 1897:8 1897:24 1749:10, 1749:12, downstream [2] duly [1] - 1989:8 - 1837:3 1749:21, 1750:22, documents [11] -1777:9, 1777:13 duly-appointed [1] dissipates [1] -1833:18, 1833:20, downward [4] -1989:8 1965:9 1841:23, 1849:10, 1807:13, 1807:22, discharging [1] duplicative [2] dissolution [3] -1750:12 1849:14, 1861:6, 1808:1, 1809:1 1965:1, 1975:25 1815:13, 1816:17, 1955:24, 1957:12, disclaimers [1] -DRA [7] - 1706:2, 1817:17 DuraRoot 121 -1963:3, 1965:11, 1811:15 1711:2, 1830:3, 1868:10, 1868:14 distance [10] - 1748:4, disclose [2] - 1972:8, 1973:1 1841:7, 1860:20, 1748:5, 1748:12, duration [2] - 1944:17, 1974:8 dollar [2] - 1925:14, 1927:10, 1943:24 1967:22 1748:14, 1907:5, 1971:10 disclosed [1] drafter [1] - 1748:16 1951:13, 1951:24, **Duration** [1] - 1706:8 Dolton [3] - 1807:2, 1975:21 drafting [1] - 1724:23 1952:1, 1952:14, during [25] - 1722:16, 1823:21, 1823:22 disclosing [1] drain [15] - 1877:24, 1966:25 1728:21, 1741:20, domain [5] - 1910:3, 1937:5 distances [2] -1878:4, 1878:10, 1741:25, 1747:16, 1922:3, 1922:16, discount [3] -1907:8, 1979:16 1878:11, 1878:13, 1751:21, 1798:23, 1922:19, 1922:21 1886:16, 1886:25, distortion [1] - 1970:8 1878:18, 1878:21, 1799:16, 1817:4, domestically [1] -1962:17 1878:22, 1879:1, 1817:5, 1817:7, district [1] - 1853:14 1934:23 discourage [1] -1879:11, 1879:14, 1824:2, 1824:3, Districts [1] - 1708:6 dominantly [4] -1884:3 1879:17, 1880:8, 1874:21, 1879:18, ditch [1] - 1880:8 1799:1, 1799:13, 1894:4, 1894:19 1905:9, 1906:12, discourages [1] -**DNR** [1] - 1795:8 1883:23 1799:22, 1806:17 drastic [1] - 1899:22 1906:21, 1942:21, **DO** [1] - 1989:8 done [41] - 1738:10, drastically [1] -Discoveries [1] -1966:24, 1968:1, docket [15] - 1723:3, 1743:16, 1771:19, 1899:19 1705:7 1971:5, 1974:7, 1723:9, 1723:11, 1782:18, 1791:16, draw [2] - 1759:18, 1975:12 discovery [6] -1723:12, 1887:8, 1791:17, 1805:16, 1871:9 duties [3] - 1732:1, 1723:16, 1817:7. 1945:21, 1956:13, 1867:15, 1867:16, 1811:6, 1819:10, drawing [1] - 1724:17 1957:1, 1963:25 1956:18, 1957:15, 1840:18, 1855:23, **Drawing** [1] - 1708:13 1941:12, 1960:25 duty [2] - 1968:24, 1957:20, 1957:22, 1860:7, 1869:10, 1969:25 Discovery [1] drawings [2] -1958:17, 1958:21, 1869:11, 1874:10, 1729:25, 1730:13 dying [1] - 1741:24 1706:22 1961:11, 1980:4 1874:11, 1874:13, drawn [1] - 1899:16 discredit [1] - 1937:10 Docket [1] - 1985:21 1874:14, 1879:13, discuss [6] - 1725:16, drilled [6] - 1804:13, Е document [47] -1879:16, 1879:17, 1737:2, 1832:19, 1804:23, 1813:23, 1724:19, 1762:4, 1881:13, 1891:2, 1835:16, 1860:9, 1814:16, 1815:2, 1769:12, 1769:23, e-mail [3] - 1845:25, 1891:4, 1899:10, 1860:10 1770:13, 1807:7, 1825:5 1846:2, 1974:4 1899:12, 1906:18, drilling [10] - 1798:24, discussed [4] -1813:14, 1829:24, e-mails [1] - 1960:14 1910:9, 1915:21, 1744:25, 1768:17, 1837:25, 1838:7, 1799:9, 1813:9, **EAGLE**[1] - 1714:20 1915:22, 1918:22, 1804:16, 1832:16 1813:13, 1814:20, 1839:10, 1839:15, 1945:22, 1949:9, Eagle [2] - 1708:16, 1814:25, 1822:13, discusses [1] -1840:2. 1840:19. 1955:4, 1960:11, 1708:17 1838:4, 1839:24, 1725:15 1840:24, 1841:24, 1961:22, 1962:1, Eared [1] - 1741:23 1980:24 discussing [2] -1861:4, 1861:6, 1976:12, 1976:13, eared [2] - 1739:13, 1773:22, 1921:25 1865:16, 1865:19, drink [1] - 1776:15 1987:24 1785:2 discussion [10] -1865:21, 1866:5, drinking [14] -**DOS** [1] - 1979:19 earliest [1] - 1970:10 1867:18, 1869:18, 1774:11, 1774:25, 1753:14, 1753:20, early [3] - 1770:15. **DOT** [1] - 1931:15 1775:4, 1775:9, 1762:15, 1803:9, 1869:24, 1870:1, dot [4] - 1936:3. 1799:19, 1970:4 1870:2, 1872:16, 1806:9, 1894:3, 1775:13, 1775:17. 1936:24, 1937:2, earth [1] - 1929:8 1912:21. 1913:7. 1905:16. 1905:19. 1775:19 1776:10 1938:25 easement [9] -1944:5, 1953:17 1905:24, 1955:20, 1776:13, 1776:18,

1778:24, 1779:3, 1737:18, 1776:7, 1844:3 engines [1] - 1788:18 1 6 either [21] - 1721:17, 1874:6, 1917:2, 1776:21, 1796:18, 1752:7, 1752:10, encumbered [1] enjoyed [1] - 1825:9 1918:1, 1918:2, 1796:20, 1797:7, 1786:2, 1787:25, 1951:12 enrolled [1] - 1845:20 1918:14, 1929:23, 1850:5, 1867:17, 1791:13. 1795:15. end [6] - 1729:15, ensure [4] - 1879:9, 1930:3 1892:9, 1892:11, 1815:16. 1816:3. 1757:14, 1877:20, 1946:14, 1946:15, Easement [1] -1893:25, 1916:16 1817:18. 1820:5. 1896:2, 1960:13, 1968:4 1708:13 Edwards [24] -1825:3. 1837:21. 1966:2 ensuring [1] - 1790:3 1851:9, 1874:11. 1703:16, 1704:10, easements [2] -Endangered [11] entered [2] - 1863:3, 1705:10, 1705:15, 1918:6, 1938:4, 1755:6, 1972:18 1738:25, 1758:7, 1915:15 1943:15, 1951:3, easier [1] - 1876:12 1709:7, 1710:6, 1758:20, 1762:1, enters [1] - 1748:10 1710:9, 1710:13, 1956:6 East [2] - 1704:15, 1763:1, 1767:8, entire [12] - 1755:12, 1710:21, 1712:21, elaborate [1] -1851:9 1767:23, 1769:5, 1756:24, 1757:6, 1713:9, 1713:17, east [4] - 1797:24, 1841:19 1769:8, 1783:25, 1760:9, 1762:2, 1714:10, 1714:15, 1820:20, 1918:13, elaboration [1] -1797:5 1764:7. 1811:10. 1716:9, 1716:18, 1774:14 endangered [14] -1947:15 1869:7, 1891:8, 1717:17, 1718:19, elected [2] - 1953:17, 1742:22, 1743:18, east-west [1] -1891:9, 1891:12, 1719:8, 1719:18, 1953:18 1758:8, 1758:16, 1947:15 1891:17 1719:24, 1720:6, electric [1] - 1951:9 1758:19, 1758:23, eastern [16] - 1770:6, entirely [2] - 1860:12, 1772:2, 1946:1 1799:1, 1799:22, electronically [2] -1759:3, 1759:6, 1982:20 effect [8] - 1745:7, 1762:9, 1762:21, 1800:2, 1803:23, 1759:21, 1978:8 entirety [2] - 1959:17, 1757:5, 1763:3, 1763:6, 1767:14, 1804:13, 1804:19, elicited [1] - 1986:4 1960:9 1766:12, 1768:23, eliminate [3] -1796:21 1804:23, 1811:11, entitled [3] - 1704:14, 1809:17, 1810:2, 1815:22, 1815:24, 1784:10, 1784:13, ended [1] - 1946:25 1734:13, 1989:10 1843:16 1816:8. 1816:13. 1974.18 energies [1] - 1849:21 entrapment [1] -1820:24, 1847:7, effective [2] - 1895:20, eliminated [1] energy [20] - 1831:19, 1782:22 1895:24 1857:23 1766:23 1835:1. 1842:9. ENTRIX [2] - 1722:25, effectiveness [3] -1847:10. 1849:12. easy [1] - 1853:18 elimination [1] -1793:25 1777:16, 1880:13, 1849:20, 1852:6, echo [1] - 1776:19 1767:1 Environment [2] -1880:15 1854:9, 1855:17, ecological [2] -**Emails** [1] - 1706:6 1798:4, 1814:1 effects [3] - 1776:19, 1857:6, 1857:7, 1774:12, 1774:17 emergency [1] environment [6] -1847:15, 1896:3 1932:20, 1934:12, economic [6] -1827:14 1733:15. 1782:11. efficiency [2] - 1866:3, 1971:12, 1971:13, 1848:10, 1934:19, eminent [5] - 1910:2, 1814:22. 1843:2. 1866:9 1971:17, 1971:21, 1934:21, 1934:25, 1922:3, 1922:16, 1848:10. 1885:10 efficient [1] - 1902:12 1984:5, 1985:4 1943:13, 1946:17 1922:18, 1922:21 environmental [22] effort [2] - 1882:15 Energy [3] - 1705:6, economics [1] emphasis [2] -1721:16, 1722:20, efforts [7] - 1721:19, 1855:20, 1974:19 1834:22 1868:22, 1868:23 1722:24, 1723:5, 1829:8, 1887:19, **ENERGY** [1] - 1703:5 economy [1] employ [3] - 1791:19, 1730:20, 1731:2, 1909:13, 1910:17, enforce [4] - 1746:15, 1856:21 1795:15, 1795:16 1731:6, 1732:5. edits [3] - 1727:10, 1978:22, 1980:13 1754:2, 1763:21, 1738:15, 1748:20, employed [2] -EIA [1] - 1933:9 1763:25 1728:9, 1800:25 1790:2, 1901:10 1773:12, 1790:20, EIA's [1] - 1847:22 enforcement [1] educate [1] - 1937:24 1790:25, 1796:7, employee [1] eight [1] - 1780:2 educated [5] - 1833:8, 1783:16 1829:9, 1834:12, 1731:23 eighth [3] - 1934:4, enforces [4] -1844:19, 1869:1, 1857:18, 1857:19, employment [1] -1887:12, 1968:4 1934:10, 1934:11 1746:11, 1754:13, 1869:14, 1893:10, 1799:19 **EIS** [24] - 1738:10. 1904:13, 1904:14 education [8] -1902:10, 1902:17 employs [2] - 1790:7, 1738:18, 1748:23, engage [2] - 1765:2, 1828:16, 1830:20, 1790:19 Environmental [14] -1784:20, 1784:23, 1791:19 1831:1, 1833:4, Enbridge [4] -1704:4, 1738:13, 1793:10, 1843:13, 1833:6, 1833:10, engineer [2] -1828:15, 1828:25, 1794:14, 1794:16, 1843:25, 1844:2, 1819:19, 1945:24 1898:14, 1937:23 1794:17, 1794:20 1834:6, 1834:7, 1844:6, 1844:7, educational [5] engineered [1] -1843:1, 1843:5, encapsulated [1] -1844:9, 1844:11, 1721:24, 1722:5, 1730:13 1855:6, 1883:15, 1823:3 1855:14, 1856:5, 1798:9, 1867:21, engineering [4] -1889:2, 1969:15, enclosed [1] -1868:17 1889:5, 1975:8, 1722:23, 1945:24, 1976:20, 1976:24 1741:14 1975:15, 1975:16, edwards [1] - 1713:3 1948:11, 1952:13 equipment [9] encompasses [1] -1975:22, 1976:3, **EDWARDS** [22] -Engineers [6] -1726:14, 1788:14, 1936:20 1976:7, 1977:6, 1738:23, 1738:24, 1710:3, 1721:3, 1788:18, 1788:22, encountered [2] -1977:10 1742:9, 1768:19, 1721:6, 1727:16, 1789:5, 1789:6, 1816:7, 1896:25 Els [2] - 1893:16, 1769:1, 1780:24 1799:9, 1813:13, 1731:15, 1733:5, encourage [1] -1893:19 Engineers' [1] -1881:11 1734.8 1735.11 1836:20 1737:12, 1737:15, **EISs** [1] - 1889:8 1725:23 equivalent [2] encouraged [1] -

		1		
1730:14, 1748:23	1986:10	1711:9, 1711:9,	1715:14, 1715:14,	1719:20, 1719:21, 17
erosion [2] - 1729:22,	evident [1] - 1736:23	1711:11, 1711:12,	1715:15, 1715:15,	1719:22, 1719:23,
1876:6	evidentiary [2] -	1711:12, 1711:13,	1715:16, 1715:18,	1719:23, 1719:24,
error [1] - 1896:22	1954:22, 1957:24	1711:13, 1711:16,	1715:18, 1715:19,	1719:24, 1720:3,
especially [4] -	ex [3] - 1959:22,	1711:16, 1711:17,	1715:19, 1715:20,	1720:4, 1720:4,
1729:7, 1849:21,	1961:8, 1962:12	1711:17, 1711:18,	1715:20, 1715:21,	1720:5, 1720:5,
1880:18, 1886:12	exact [4] - 1728:14,	1711:18, 1711:20,	1715:22, 1716:3,	1720:6, 1720:6,
espoused [1] -	1773:4, 1918:22,	1711:20, 1711:21,	1716:4, 1716:4,	1720:7, 1720:7,
1927:19	1975:23	1711:21, 1712:3,	1716:5, 1716:5,	1720:8, 1720:8
essential [1] - 1911:9	exactly [16] - 1814:7,	1712:4, 1712:4,	1716:7, 1716:8,	EXAMINATION [28] -
essentially [6] -	1824:19, 1825:1,	1712:5, 1712:5,	1716:8, 1716:9,	1721:5, 1737:22,
1730:7, 1743:16,	1825:8, 1848:20,	1712:6, 1712:6,	1716:9, 1716:10,	1753:5, 1773:9,
1743:17, 1749:19,	1887:5, 1895:7,	1712:8, 1712:8,	1716:10, 1716:11,	1782:3, 1793:7,
1790:25, 1807:25	1900:11, 1906:18,	1712:9, 1712:9,	1716:13, 1716:14,	1793:16, 1794:4,
establish [2] -	1917:5, 1924:2,	1712:10, 1712:10,	1716:14, 1716:15,	1796:19, 1797:16,
1885:10, 1894:25	1949:4, 1955:5,	1712:11, 1712:11,	1716:15, 1716:17,	1805:20, 1820:7,
established [5] -	1967:18, 1970:15	1712:12, 1712:12,	1716:17, 1716:18,	1828:1, 1849:5,
1735:14, 1735:15,	examination [5] -	1712:13, 1712:13,	1716:18, 1716:19,	1850:9, 1857:13,
1866:11, 1969:7,	1758:1, 1765:2,	1712:15, 1712:15,	1716:19, 1716:20,	1868:5, 1882:1,
1970:20	1765:3, 1870:23,	1712:16, 1712:16,	1716:20, 1716:22,	1883:12, 1892:10,
estimate [1] - 1952:16	1870:24	1712:17, 1712:17,	1716:22, 1716:23,	1898:5, 1901:3,
estimating [1] -	Examination [338] -	1712:18, 1712:18,	1717:3, 1717:4,	1911:20, 1913:16,
1875:15	1709:3, 1709:4,	1712:19, 1712:19,	1717:4, 1717:5,	1915:1, 1924:12,
et [6] - 1783:22,	1709:4, 1709:5,	1712:21, 1712:22,	1717:5, 1717:7,	1975:5, 1984:1
1790:15, 1792:12,	1709:5, 1709:6,	1712:22, 1712:23,	1717:7, 1717:8,	examine [3] - 1807:6,
1792:24, 1911:8	1709:6, 1709:7,	1712:23, 1712:24,	1717:8, 1717:9,	1858:18, 1867:1
ethanol [2] - 1857:15,	1709:7, 1709:8,	1713:3, 1713:4,	1717:9, 1717:10,	examines [5] - 1762:4,
1857:22	1709:8, 1709:9,	1713:4, 1713:5,	1717:11, 1717:12,	1763:19, 1769:23,
ethical [2] - 1904:4,	1709:9, 1709:10,	1713:5, 1713:6,	1717:13, 1717:15,	1770:13, 1981:20
1904:6	1709:10, 1709:11,	1713:6, 1713:7,	1717:16, 1717:17,	examining [1] -
ethics [2] - 1831:7,	1709:11, 1709:12,	1713:7, 1713:8,	1717:17, 1717:18,	1843:16
1904:12	1709:12, 1709:13,	1713:8, 1713:9,	1717:18, 1717:19,	example [8] - 1746:3,
evaluate [1] - 1946:5	1709:13, 1709:14,	1713:9, 1713:10,	1717:19, 1717:20,	1750:25, 1764:1,
evaluated [3] -	1709:16, 1709:16,	1713:11, 1713:12,	1717:20, 1718:3,	1774:16, 1784:12,
1758:21, 1946:2,	1709:17, 1709:17,	1713:12, 1713:13,	1718:5, 1718:5,	1795:18, 1808:11,
1951:2	1709:18, 1709:18,	1713:13, 1713:14,	1718:7, 1718:7,	1942:17
evaluates [1] -	1709:19, 1710:3,	1713:14, 1713:15,	1718:8, 1718:8,	examples [5] - 1775:6,
1758:25	1710:4, 1710:4,	1713:15, 1713:17,	1718:10, 1718:10,	1803:18, 1873:17,
Evaluation [2] -	1710:5, 1710:5,	1713:18, 1713:18,	1718:11, 1718:11,	1900:8, 1937:25
1708:6, 1708:7	1710:6, 1710:6,	1713:19, 1713:19,	1718:13, 1718:13,	excavated [1] -
evaluation [1] -	1710:7, 1710:7,	1714:3, 1714:4,	1718:14, 1718:14,	1817:20
1843:20	1710:8, 1710:8,	1714:4, 1714:5,	1718:17, 1718:17,	excavating [1] -
evaluations [1] -	1710:9, 1710:9,	1714:5, 1714:6,	1718:18, 1718:18,	1817:14
1855:25	1710:10, 1710:11,	1714:7, 1714:7,	1718:19, 1718:19,	excavation [2] -
evaporate [1] -	1710:12, 1710:12,	1714:8, 1714:8,	1718:20, 1718:20,	1817:5, 1891:19
1807:24	1710:13, 1710:13,	1714:9, 1714:10,	1718:21, 1718:21,	exceeded [5] - 1751:5,
evening [2] - 1987:3,	1710:14, 1710:14,	1714:11, 1714:11,	1718:22, 1718:22,	1751:7, 1781:3,
1987:16	1710:15, 1710:15,	1714:12, 1714:12,	1718:23, 1718:23,	1781:5
event [3] - 1821:2,	1710:16, 1710:16,	1714:13, 1714:13,	1719:3, 1719:4,	exceeds [1] - 1913:2
1821:8, 1974:24	1710:18, 1710:19,	1714:14, 1714:14,	1719:4, 1719:7,	except [1] - 1791:11
eventually [2] -	1710:19, 1710:20,	1714:15, 1714:16,	1719:7, 1719:8,	exception [10] -
1975:19, 1980:21	1710:20, 1710:21,	1714:17, 1714:17,	1719:8, 1719:9,	1727:10, 1735:11,
Evidence [1] - 1765:3	1710:21, 1710:22,	1714:18, 1714:20,	1719:9, 1719:10,	1785:4, 1840:25,
• •	1710:22, 1710:23,	1714:21, 1714:21,	1719:11, 1719:12,	1871:15, 1948:18,
evidence [13] -	1710:23, 1710:24,	1715:3, 1715:4,	1719:12, 1719:13,	1954:5, 1973:5,
1779:16, 1804:22,	1710:24, 1711:3,	1715:4, 1715:5,	1719:13, 1719:14,	1974:1, 1982:10
1816:13, 1837:21,	1711:4, 1711:4,	1715:7, 1715:8,	1719:15, 1719:16,	excited [1] - 1910:3
1935:8, 1942:23,	1711:5, 1711:6,	1715:8, 1715:9,	1719:16, 1719:17,	exciting [1] - 1822:12
1954:14, 1954:21,	1711:7, 1711:7,	1715:9, 1715:10,	1719:17, 1719:18,	exclude [1] - 1905:8
1954:22, 1968:23, 1973:9, 1973:15,	1711:8, 1711:8,	1715:10, 1715:11,	1719:18, 1719:19,	excluded [3] - 1785:7,
1913.9, 1913.13,		1715:13, 1715:13,	1719:19, 1719:20,	

1918:17, 1964:12 1875:14 1952:22, 1956:1, exhibits [7] - 1840:9, 18 F excuse [5] - 1738:22, 1840:13, 1840:22, experiencing [1] -1965:16 1770:5, 1773:5, 1942:19, 1958:5, 1941:16 FALLS [1] - 1708:8 1795:11, 1870:10 1961:19, 1969:8 face [1] - 1837:9 falls [4] - 1819:11, Expert [1] - 1706:7 facilitate [2] - 1792:7, exhibit [35] - 1801:7, Exhibits [10] - 1705:3, expert [20] - 1733:12, 1847:21, 1848:4, 1792:15 1840:15, 1841:1, 1705:10, 1705:12, 1733:19, 1733:25, 1973:25 facilities [1] - 1951:8 familiar [21] - 1732:9, 1841:4, 1860:20, 1705:13, 1706:14, 1734:3, 1735:12, Facility [1] - 1705:5 1863:12, 1867:25, 1738:17, 1758:6, 1706:15, 1707:6, 1735:16, 1783:11, facility [2] - 1951:7, 1902:23, 1902:24, 1707:7, 1900:23, 1785:5, 1785:16, 1760:3, 1791:18, 1968:25 1902:25, 1906:13, 1832:2, 1833:16, 1958:15 1793:18, 1794:16, **FACILITY** [1] - 1703:5 1921:10, 1922:1, exist [3] - 1938:2, 1833:22, 1839:10, 1795:22, 1795:23, 1923:24, 1924:21, 1938:3, 1958:24 Fact [1] - 1707:16 1846:22, 1871:11, 1796:14, 1802:5, 1928:7, 1941:6, existed [6] - 1957:12, 1871:12, 1884:22, fact [23] - 1726:12, 1802:14, 1816:1, 1941:11, 1956:11, 1962:19, 1962:24, 1884:24, 1886:2, 1729:24, 1730:1, 1843:2, 1907:12, 1957:7, 1957:8, 1742:7, 1745:17, 1963:1, 1963:19, 1887:17 1907:18, 1907:24, 1957:16, 1957:17, 1964:7 expertise [3] - 1819:4, 1774:9, 1803:14, 1908:5, 1908:11, existence [2] -1957:23, 1958:17, 1830:20, 1833:14 1815:15, 1819:8, 1913:20, 1952:10 1962:22, 1962:23, 1746:20, 1906:10 experts [5] - 1746:12, 1836:19, 1901:22, families [1] - 1907:19 1963:19, 1964:5, existing [4] - 1947:17, 1819:1, 1819:9, 1904:1, 1904:6, family [7] - 1828:20, 1964:7, 1964:14, 1911:12, 1922:20, 1947:24, 1951:7, 1832:2 1907:13, 1908:3, 1967:14, 1968:23, explain [11] - 1774:4, 1937:11, 1937:13, 1951:12 1908:6, 1908:11, 1971:5 exists [2] - 1742:15, 1790:6, 1800:6, 1942:20, 1944:15, 1908:14, 1908:18 **Exhibit** [67] - 1705:4, 1742:16 1834:5, 1880:5, 1957:15, 1965:5, fan [1] - 1889:13 1705:4, 1705:5, 1970:5, 1972:24 expand [1] - 1839:6 1886:16, 1886:25, far [12] - 1725:7, 1706:14, 1706:15, 1906:9, 1911:2, factfinder [1] -1792:7, 1811:13, expect [5] - 1771:4, 1706:16, 1706:16, 1733:20 1771:7, 1818:25, 1922:13. 1949:14 1822:25, 1827:7, 1706:17, 1706:17, factor [3] - 1734:4, explained [2] -1832:22, 1843:21, 1930:14, 1962:9 1706:18, 1706:18, 1841:10, 1846:7 1937:18 1844:19, 1851:14, expectation [2] -1706:19, 1706:19, factors [2] - 1734:2, explanation [3] -1852:15, 1931:18, 1875:11, 1962:3 1706:20, 1706:20, 1931:14 1783:14, 1793:4, 1959:13 expectations [4] -1706:21, 1724:18, facts [4] - 1835:23, farm [4] - 1795:20, 1883:5 1729:8, 1945:11, 1727:17, 1727:21, 1933:9, 1934:4, exploitation [1] -1795:24, 1874:4, 1946:15, 1946:20 1731:16, 1731:19, 1935:7 expected [1] - 1959:3 1845:9 1922:6 1733:6, 1759:19, Fahrenheit [1] expediency [1] extend [1] - 1929:21 Farm [1] - 1707:21 1759:23, 1760:1, 1891:24 extends [1] - 1930:21 farmed [1] - 1929:9 1867:12 1769:12, 1800:15, failed [1] - 1957:17 farmer [1] - 1847:4 expedient [2] extensive [3] -1801:11, 1801:14, failure [2] - 1887:18, 1792:8, 1864:21 1806:23, 1909:13, farmers [4] - 1853:12, 1830:3, 1830:11, 1958:23 1857:19, 1886:12, 1910:17 expenses [1] - 1920:9 1834:3, 1841:6, extensively [1] fair [3] - 1756:19, 1913:1 experience [39] -1863:15, 1863:20, 1912:12, 1976:5 1806:21 farming [3] - 1784:12, 1722:15, 1733:23, 1870:5, 1903:5, FAITH [1] - 1714:20 1795:22, 1915:13 extent [13] - 1724:25, 1734:5, 1734:9, 1903:7, 1905:12, 1727:4, 1728:22, fall [7] - 1736:1, farmland [1] - 1880:19 1744:4, 1744:8, 1906:25, 1915:14, 1770:14, 1842:23, farms [4] - 1891:3, 1738:6, 1744:2, 1747:23, 1748:10, 1916:21, 1921:20, 1744:5, 1785:23, 1844:1, 1847:24, 1891:4, 1922:2, 1748:18, 1748:21, 1921:23, 1924:25, 1871:14. 1929:18 1802:12, 1840:14, 1786:23, 1787:2, 1933:14 1928:5, 1928:6, 1848:18, 1865:10, Fall [1] - 1818:5 1798:15, 1798:16, farmstead [2] -1928:15, 1936:6, 1910:10, 1927:20 Falls [30] - 1704:8, 1928:7, 1961:24 1819:15, 1828:17, 1941:5, 1942:23, 1705:22, 1705:23. extinction [1] -1830:22, 1831:12, farmsteads [1] -1942:24, 1944:6, 1806:23, 1807:3, 1833:19, 1836:6, 1758:13 1905:20 1952:3, 1955:21, 1823:1, 1934:19, 1841:2, 1841:18, extra [1] - 1840:15 fashion [2] - 1864:22, 1956:22. 1957:6. 1934:20, 1935:1, 1844:1, 1856:3, extract [1] - 1890:17 1970:8 1958:16. 1963:19. 1935:2, 1935:5, 1869:3, 1871:8, extractive [4] faster [1] - 1876:9 1966:6, 1966:19, 1935:24, 1936:8, 1876:20, 1878:5, 1834:12, 1834:22, fatal [1] - 1962:22 1967:3, 1967:11, 1936:21, 1943:4, 1878:6, 1878:7, 1834:23, 1834:25 favor [2] - 1914:7, 1968:7, 1977:21, 1943:14, 1943:19, 1879:23, 1885:9, extraordinary [1] -1914:18 1981:9 1887:22, 1887:23, 1944:13, 1945:1, 1932:17 **FBI**[1] - 1971:16 **EXHIBITS** [8] - 1705:2, 1945:7, 1945:16, 1893:6, 1898:14, extreme [1] - 1831:19 fear [3] - 1802:19, 1706:2, 1706:13, 1902:12, 1912:8, 1945:19, 1946:4, extremely [2] -1804:3, 1836:19 1707:2, 1708:2, 1917:13 1946:7, 1946:15, 1811:17, 1823:7 fears [2] - 1819:12, 1708:8, 1708:12, experiences [1] -1948:10, 1950:20, 1937:25 1708:15

1728:13, 1736:18, flawed [3] - 1805:1, 1816:16 19 feature [5] - 1917:6, fighting [1] - 1830:23 1917:9, 1917:17, figure [6] - 1818:9, 1760:20, 1761:7, 1811:15, 1813:1 formed [1] - 1816:3 1917:22, 1928:24 1818:22, 1887:18, 1762:3, 1802:7, flip [2] - 1929:12, former [4] - 1803:15, federal [23] - 1740:16. 1924:2. 1924:5. 1808:17, 1816:6, 1952:3 1804:10, 1917:14, 1747:22, 1754:25, 1939:15 1825:2, 1826:2, 1917:15 Flo [11] - 1721:3, 1755:4, 1756:4, figured [1] - 1887:14 1846:5, 1859:19, 1721:9, 1737:24, forth [9] - 1835:8, 1860:1, 1860:3, 1756:6, 1756:17, figures [1] - 1912:23 1753:7, 1759:24, 1840:11, 1841:25, 1865:12. 1889:23. 1768:25, 1769:9, figuring [1] - 1874:13 1773:7, 1773:11, 1843:17, 1850:17, 1890:3, 1894:5, 1771:5, 1771:13, file [10] - 1800:10, 1776:23, 1793:18, 1924:2, 1924:17, 1916:19, 1921:1, 1796:21, 1844:5 1771:14, 1784:1, 1947:18, 1979:17 1838:8, 1838:9, 1923:25, 1928:20, 1784:25, 1789:10, 1909:12, 1911:12, **FLO** [1] - 1714:10 **forward** [5] - 1834:16, 1930:2, 1930:8, 1835:6, 1843:25, 1956:12, 1956:17, Florida [1] - 1817:2 1862:16, 1864:22, 1945:9, 1958:23, 1855:17, 1976:25, 1910:21, 1931:12 1963:5, 1975:19, flow [1] - 1807:15 1977:15, 1978:19, 1977:8, 1984:5, 1977:25 flowing [1] - 1750:19 fossil [7] - 1830:20, 1978:20, 1978:23, 1985:4 1830:23, 1831:1, filed [23] - 1724:8, fluctuates [2] -1978:25. 1980:6. Federal [2] - 1837:7, 1831:3, 1832:17, 1724:15, 1801:7, 1853:10, 1853:16 1980:8, 1987:19 1855:20 1835:7, 1835:14 1859:3, 1864:4, focal [3] - 1834:23, federalized [1] -Fischer [1] - 1734:1 1906:8, 1909:8, foundation [12] -1835:4, 1927:23 1977:3 1913:23, 1945:20, **fish** [5] - 1743:18, 1765:5, 1837:15, **focus** [1] - 1835:7 federally [3] - 1738:8, 1753:25, 1780:4, 1956:22, 1957:8, 1840:25, 1848:3, folks [3] - 1790:24, 1787:8, 1972:23 1739:7, 1761:8 1886:19, 1955:1, 1957:15, 1957:20, 1854:23, 1908:17 feedback [2] - 1945:3, Fish [53] - 1708:4, 1965:8, 1973:8, 1957:22, 1958:5, follow [6] - 1788:9, 1945.9 1708:5, 1725:20, 1984:21, 1985:2, 1958:13, 1958:16, 1890:7, 1955:8, 1725:22, 1725:24, feet [7] - 1813:18, 1958:18, 1958:20, 1986:8 1960:21, 1978:21, 1907:5. 1915:16. 1738:6, 1739:1, foundational [3] -1962:20, 1964:2, 1980:12 1739:19, 1739:20, 1915:17, 1917:1, 1969:7, 1983:11 1839:4. 1839:6. followed [8] -1739:24, 1740:5, 1861:11 1928:23 files [1] - 1840:15 1738:12, 1738:14, 1740:9, 1740:16, FEIS [3] - 1979:18, four [5] - 1747:25, filing [10] - 1865:18, 1752:20, 1785:22, 1740:19, 1742:9, 1748:1, 1922:7, 1979:20, 1980:2 1866:10, 1866:19, 1875:10, 1893:7, 1746:3, 1746:13, 1959:16, 1966:4 **FERC** [15] - 1790:12, 1869:4, 1941:8, 1947:24, 1958:1 1746:18, 1746:23, 1791:22, 1791:23, 1956:12, 1956:15, four-tenths [2] following [6] -1747:12, 1754:1, 1747:25, 1748:1 1792:10, 1883:23, 1956:16, 1975:13, 1789:20. 1861:8. 1754:8, 1755:5, 1884:19, 1884:23, 1982:9 frac [5] - 1980:23, 1945:23. 1962:18. 1755:10, 1758:24, 1885:3, 1885:5, filings [3] - 1838:15, 1966:11, 1982:25 1981:15, 1981:21, 1760:1, 1764:17, 1984:5, 1984:16, 1838:17, 1957:12 1981:25, 1983:3 follows [1] - 1947:14 1768:9, 1768:19, 1984:24, 1985:11, filled [2] - 1799:8, frac-out [5] - 1980:23, foot [1] - 1928:25 1768:22, 1779:7, 1985:19, 1986:2 1929:9 1981:15, 1981:21, **footprint** [1] - 1935:5 1779:13, 1780:22, fertility [3] - 1868:23, final [9] - 1729:8, 1981:25, 1983:3 **FOR** [1] - 1703:4 1782:25, 1783:2, 1876:1, 1877:9 1738:7, 1739:16, fragment [1] - 1818:13 forced [1] - 1972:3 1785:10, 1785:14, few [16] - 1748:25, 1739:18, 1753:21, frame [1] - 1944:18 forecasts [2] - 1838:5, 1785:21, 1785:24, 1782:6, 1821:20, 1754:1, 1763:14, frankly [1] - 1930:8 1839:25 1786:2, 1786:5, 1850:7, 1864:16, 1947:13, 1952:18 Frey [2] - 1705:10, forego [1] - 1849:9 1786:10, 1787:4, 1872:24, 1880:9, Final [1] - 1707:13 1705:13 foregone [1] - 1759:2 1972:14, 1972:19, 1880:24, 1881:23, financial [3] -FREY [3] - 1709:15, forest [1] - 1831:7 1973:3, 1974:12, 1896:24, 1897:2, 1919:19, 1919:22, 1719:3, 1719:22 forget [2] - 1858:14, 1978:22, 1979:19, 1916:22, 1944:12, 1974:18 Friday [3] - 1825:25, 1944:16 1979:21, 1979:23, 1958:4, 1965:18, **Findings** [1] - 1707:16 1826:1, 1861:16 forgive [1] - 1824:13 1980:14 1976:14 findings [3] - 1762:14, Friday's [1] - 1827:15 forgot [2] - 1939:8, fissures [1] - 1816:22 field [17] - 1722:19, 1786:3, 1852:12 fringed [2] - 1739:15, 1939:12 fit [1] - 1775:18 1732:5, 1734:10, fine [3] - 1751:2, 1743:2 fork [1] - 1820:20 five [5] - 1722:22, 1791:5, 1792:4, 1811:17, 1939:10 Fringed [2] - 1708:7, form [2] - 1819:13, 1931:10, 1931:12, 1792:7, 1792:13, Fines [1] - 1707:4 1772:17 1882:25 1933:7, 1934:16 1792:17, 1804:10, Fines-Tracy [1] front [23] - 1744:24, formal [6] - 1786:13, **Five** [2] - 1708:5, 1869:15, 1871:11, 1707:4 1775:3, 1780:11, 1786:24, 1787:2, 1708:7 1893:10, 1893:13, finish [3] - 1832:6, 1800:14, 1869:5, 1787:3, 1787:13, Five-Year [2] - 1708:5, 1905:5, 1931:1, 1902:1, 1987:20 1869:18, 1870:1, 1828:8 1708:7 1951:11 firm [2] - 1722:24, 1903:3, 1905:12, format [2] - 1725:1, fifth [2] - 1922:7, fix [4] - 1879:8, 1791:20 1924:20, 1927:22, 1838:11 1879:19, 1879:21, 1971:13 1928:7, 1935:16, firmly [1] - 1808:4 formation [3] -1879:23 fight [1] - 1831:3 1940:2, 1940:5, first [35] - 1723:6, 1815:25, 1816:4,

1951:19, 1955:20, Geide [2] - 1707:5, GFP [3] - 1978:23, 1745:16, 1746:9, 1807:8, 1807:9, 20 1956:11, 1957:9, 1907:19 1979:24, 1980:14 1774:10, 1774:24 1807:15, 1807:21, 1959:15, 1967:3, **GEIDE** [1] - 1716:13 GIS [2] - 1814:3, governments [4] -1808:10, 1808:13, 1967:12 1907:9 1835:6. 1845:11. 1808:19, 1808:21, general [10] - 1742:16, frontline [2] - 1834:15, 1779:2, 1835:7, 1944:11 1808:22, 1809:10, given [8] - 1785:25, 1837.9 1854:15, 1874:20, 1795:24, 1840:19, grab [1] - 1811:6 1810:5, 1813:10, 1813:15, 1823:8 fuel [7] - 1830:20, 1875:1, 1889:9, 1846:22, 1849:19, grabbed [1] - 1923:19 1830:24, 1831:1. Group [5] - 1722:23. 1909:5, 1931:21, 1875:6, 1929:22, grade [1] - 1878:23 1723:1, 1723:4, 1831:4, 1832:17, 1936:21 1969.2 gradient [2] - 1808:22, 1727:25, 1731:23 1835:14, 1933:17 generally [7] glacial [16] - 1802:23, 1809.1 group [10] - 1728:3, fuels [2] - 1835:7, 1806:18, 1807:8, 1784:22, 1785:18, graduate [1] - 1798:18 1933:14 1728:4, 1743:5, 1789:14, 1800:9, 1807:23, 1809:8, Grant [1] - 1821:8 **fulfills** [2] - 1849:18 1981:10, 1981:22, 1809:11, 1816:7, 1771:7, 1829:8, granted [4] - 1937:19, 1884:10, 1939:7, 1982:24 full [9] - 1729:16, 1817:16, 1817:18, 1949:3. 1982:20. 1939:14, 1939:16, 1744:24, 1828:11, generated [1] -1822:20, 1823:3, 1986:13 1940:2 1882:20, 1885:15, 1783:22 1823:15, 1823:19, Granting [1] - 1707:14 1893:17, 1912:6, 1823:23, 1824:3, grouse [1] - 1979:16 generates [1] grass [1] - 1829:16 1912:8, 1962:6 1847:8 grow [1] - 1886:13 1783:18 grasslands [6] fully [6] - 1729:4, generating [1] glacially [7] - 1806:16, growing [4] - 1883:25, 1743:21, 1744:10, 1806:18, 1808:2, 1912:6, 1912:8, 1735:15, 1738:17, 1934:24 1755:6, 1765:23, 1808:16, 1810:4, 1763:7, 1849:18, gentleman [1] -1912:10 1768:3, 1778:21 1974:8 1810:7, 1817:14 growth [4] - 1729:4, 1894:24 grassroots [2] fun [2] - 1934:2, glean [1] - 1889:5 1824:16, 1934:25, gentleman's [1] -1834:8 1934:4 Glenn [1] - 1704:3 1951.6 1783:12 gravel [4] - 1806:18, Growth [1] - 1708:9 function [1] - 1878:19 geographically [1] global [5] - 1760:20, 1806:19, 1823:23, functioning [1] -1760:22, 1761:7, guarantee [1] -1799:17 1845:22 1835:10, 1842:15 1789:7 gravels [2] - 1807:23, 1983:15 geography [1] guarantees [2] fund [1] - 1722:21 1722:6 **goal** [1] - 1790:3 1823:15 furthermore [1] geologic [3] goldtooth [2] -Great [3] - 1770:5, 1974:16, 1974:23 1763:18 1804:20, 1818:10, 1833:19, 1837:25 guess [14] - 1722:11, 1770:15, 1770:16 future [8] - 1842:4, 1818:14 Goldtooth [12] -1774:23, 1789:19, great [7] - 1798:18, 1849:12, 1852:6, Geological [9] -1706:11, 1825:20, 1833:13, 1835:4, 1802:19, 1803:4, 1897:18, 1946:16, 1798:3, 1804:6, 1827:23, 1828:3, 1836:24, 1836:25, 1815:24, 1843:14, 1948:6, 1948:13, 1805:1, 1805:8, 1828:14, 1830:3, 1850:18, 1854:4, 1874:9, 1978:9 1830:15, 1838:21, 1857:21, 1867:11, 1951:5 1811:8, 1813:8, greater [8] - 1730:22, 1848:13, 1849:7, 1867:17, 1958:22, FWS [1] - 1972:15 1813:23, 1814:5, 1748:11, 1748:14, 1850:11, 1851:25 1962:25 1818:21 1765:25, 1816:11, geological [11] -GOLDTOOTH [1] guns [1] - 1837:11 G 1823:25, 1979:15 1798:19, 1798:21, 1711:11 Gustafson [1] greatly [2] - 1750:2, 1799:8, 1799:20, Goldtooth's [3] -1703:19 1930:7 gain [1] - 1823:18 1804:11, 1807:16, 1826:4, 1826:14, Guthrie [1] - 1733:21 green [3] - 1943:18, GARY [1] - 1703:13 guy [2] - 1832:12, 1810:24, 1811:24, 1827:21 1948:3, 1951:11 gas [12] - 1790:12, 1812:1, 1817:22, Google [1] - 1929:7 1930:12 Greg [1] - 1703:18 1815:2, 1837:3, 1819:1 gorgeous [1] - 1816:2 grid [1] - 1935:3 1839:25, 1851:6, geologist [8] - 1798:2, **GOULET** [1] - 1716:3 н gross [1] - 1976:15 1852:17, 1869:16, 1798:6, 1798:20, Goulet [1] - 1707:5 ground [8] - 1729:2, 1898:24, 1933:20, 1800:4, 1804:10, 1819:10, 1835:9, governing [3] -1935:4, 1937:20, habitat [38] - 1742:4, 1804:11, 1814:6, 1911:10, 1963:21, 1835:15, 1847:1, 1948.7 1742:7, 1742:13, 1814:8 1969:3 1882:19, 1937:16, 1742:15, 1742:25, Gas [1] - 1838:5 geologists [1] government [14] -1944:21 1743:3. 1743:23. gasoline [7] -1819:3 1778:18, 1838:5, grounds [10] - 1735:6, 1745:19. 1745:23. 1799:15, 1851:4, 1839:25, 1843:4, geology [11] -1770:1, 1867:10, 1745:24, 1746:3, 1851:5, 1851:7, 1798:12, 1798:13, 1856:4, 1944:18, 1872:8, 1926:2, 1746:5, 1746:12, 1851:8, 1852:2, 1798:25, 1799:21, 1944:22, 1944:23, 1927:7, 1952:25, 1746:14, 1754:9, 1852:20 1800:12, 1800:13, 1956:1, 1959:13, 1957:7, 1968:10, 1765:21, 1766:2, gathering [3] -1802:23, 1802:25, 1963:22, 1967:21, 1969:10 1766:16, 1766:22, 1831:17, 1831:18, 1813:10, 1813:15, 1969:4, 1971:18 groundwater [20] -1767:1, 1767:4, 1930:25 1819:19 Government [1] -1750:18, 1788:1, 1768:4, 1771:22, geared [1] - 1799:6 geotechnical [1] -1837.7 1798:25, 1799:21, 1772:20, 1774:16, gee [1] - 1818:17 1819:19 governmental [4] -1799:25, 1807:7, 1774:17, 1774:20,

1779:2, 1779:10, 1977:18 1865:8, 1867:2, 1741:11, 1741:12 hook [1] - 1891:16 21 1779:12, 1780:4, hard [4] - 1845:22, 1910:11, 1910:12, hibernate [1] hope [3] - 1932:22, 1780:21, 1784:4, 1865:21, 1937:17, 1942:11, 1942:21, 1741:13 1937:23, 1937:24 1784:5, 1784:7, 1943:10, 1957:24, 1962.9 high [7] - 1732:20, hopefully [1] -1784:10, 1784:13, Harrisburg [9] -1959:12, 1959:23, 1745:4, 1753:15, 1862:18 1784:16 1705:23, 1944:13, 1961:13, 1965:9, 1756:11, 1756:13, horizontal [2] **habitats** [1] - 1778:17 1971:5, 1975:12, 1946:10, 1948:11, 1756:16, 1876:4 1894:12, 1980:24 half [4] - 1753:15, 1981:4. 1983:8. 1952:2, 1952:20, higher [4] - 1752:7, hose [1] - 1743:16 1988:3 1933:5, 1933:6, 1953:7, 1956:2, 1764:20, 1836:22, host [1] - 1986:12 1965:16 hearings [2] - 1804:2, 1847:10 1934.22 HOUDYSHELL [1] -1858:13 highest [3] - 1758:12, hand [4] - 1761:20, Hartford [7] - 1705:23, 1713:11 1769:14, 1885:7, 1944:13, 1946:11, hearsay [32] - 1735:7, 1855:11, 1875:25 Houdyshell [1] -1922:9 1948:10, 1952:23, 1735:25, 1736:1, highly [4] - 1837:15, 1706:17 handed [6] - 1838:1, 1956:2, 1960:14 1871:3, 1872:7, 1909:23, 1910:5, hours [1] - 1791:6 1947:4, 1948:16, 1865:16, 1936:9, hats [1] - 1828:24 1972:11 house [7] - 1844:18, 1948:22, 1948:25, 1958:4, 1958:19, HCA[11] - 1745:22, Highmore [1] - 1807:4 1918:13, 1922:6, 1746:7, 1755:24, 1949:1, 1949:5, Hills [1] - 1803:19 1967:9 1922:8, 1922:15, handle [1] - 1893:1 1756:1, 1774:22, 1949:15, 1949:19, hire [1] - 1790:23 1922:19, 1922:21 handled [1] - 1912:19 1775:20, 1778:3, 1950:1, 1950:10, hired [1] - 1945:24 Houston [3] - 1901:9, 1950:15, 1952:25, handling [2] -1778:12, 1778:14, hires [4] - 1790:19, 1937:20, 1972:20 1953:25, 1954:2, 1888:24, 1901:13 1783:6, 1783:19 1790:24, 1791:3, Howard [7] - 1705:11, HCAs [5] - 1745:11, 1954:4, 1954:16, hands [1] - 1931:15 1791:20 1705:14, 1780:8, 1755:22, 1773:22, 1954:18, 1954:21, handwritten [1] -Historical [1] - 1705:8 1833:18, 1833:21, 1954:23, 1954:24, 1783:24 1966:6 history [4] - 1799:8, 1916:3, 1946:5 HDD [2] - 1705:23, 1955:16, 1955:18, Hanson [35] - 1709:8, 1802:8, 1845:8, HOWARD [2] -1973:2, 1973:4, 1770:22 1709:13, 1709:18, 1846:8 1710:11, 1720:3 1973:14, 1973:17, 1710:7, 1710:14, head [4] - 1793:23, hitting [1] - 1807:10 HP09-001 [1] - 1926:8 1973:21 1710:22, 1711:8, 1794:9. 1794:22. Hohn [4] - 1707:6, HP14-002 [1] - 1703:4 heart [1] - 1812:9 1794:24 1711:18, 1712:6, 1707:19, 1707:20, huge [1] - 1878:22 heated [1] - 1898:24 heading [3] - 1760:15, 1712:11, 1712:18, 1907:19 Hughes [1] - 1838:3 1761:18, 1769:15 heavens [1] - 1814:16 1713:7, 1713:14, **HOHN** [2] - 1716:16, hulked [1] - 1828:8 heavily [2] - 1743:24, 1714:6, 1714:18, health [7] - 1731:24, 1718:6 human [6] - 1766:23, 1820:21 1715:4, 1715:9, 1732:1, 1733:16, hold [3] - 1838:20, 1766:25, 1784:9, held [1] - 1704:13 1716:5, 1716:10, 1836:9, 1836:10, 1978:3, 1982:13 1807:21, 1808:6, help [15] - 1723:10, 1716:15, 1716:19, 1836:22, 1848:11 hole [5] - 1813:22, 1836:1 1791:4, 1813:10, 1717:5, 1718:8, hear [12] - 1729:10, 1814:4, 1822:13, hundreds [1] -1832:24, 1862:12, 1718:14, 1718:21, 1796:1, 1803:7, 1825:5, 1864:9 1835:12 1719:14, 1719:19, 1803:9, 1859:23, 1866:3, 1875:19, holes [9] - 1804:12, hurdles [1] - 1958:22 1953:22, 1954:7, 1877:25, 1886:23, 1877:18, 1893:16, 1804:23, 1813:6, hydrologist [2] -1961:15, 1966:16, 1902:2, 1911:6, 1910:6, 1932:25, 1813:7, 1813:17, 1776:18, 1798:20 1966:18, 1966:20, 1934:1, 1934:18, 1917:5, 1922:23, 1814:12, 1814:15, hydrology [1] -1969:17, 1970:23 1937:24, 1986:18 1963:15 1814:17, 1815:5 1776:24 HANSON [24] helpful [5] - 1794:23, heard [23] - 1725:7, home [5] - 1814:2, hydrostatic [11] -1703:13, 1741:7, 1840:7, 1916:21, 1760:5, 1763:13, 1814:5, 1936:3, 1743:9, 1743:13, 1777:4, 1822:8, 1802:2, 1802:16, 1923:11, 1959:9 1937:7, 1937:8 1749:3, 1749:21, 1822:10, 1822:15, helping [4] - 1866:9, 1803:21, 1805:14, Homeland [1] -1750:3, 1750:22, 1869:6, 1930:12, 1824:13, 1825:2, 1810:12, 1827:4, 1971:16 1751:15, 1751:20, 1968:16 1825:9, 1841:16, 1844:5, 1849:15, homes [4] - 1915:14, 1776:25, 1778:16, 1898:1, 1919:8, 1855:20, 1872:25, helps [2] - 1853:17, 1915:15, 1915:17, 1780:25 1919:10, 1919:15, 1877:24, 1878:3, 1978:13 1915:24 1919:19, 1919:25, 1880:2, 1881:1, herbicides [2] honored [2] - 1827:6, I 1920:6, 1920:14, 1890:25, 1894:5, 1895:13, 1896:1 1827:7 1922:23, 1953:14, 1927:13, 1932:10, herd [1] - 1894:25 Hoogestraat [7] -1964:17, 1965:12, 1941:15, 1970:16 I-29 [1] - 1952:4 HEREBY [1] - 1989:8 1707:6, 1707:7, 1966:5, 1966:8 Hearing [2] - 1703:7, hero [1] - 1829:11 I1 [1] - 1707:3 1860:16, 1861:13, Hanson's 161 -1862:25 herself [1] - 1942:2 I10 [1] - 1707:7 1908:11, 1908:13, 1959:12, 1959:21, hearing [24] - 1730:10, hesitant [1] - 1845:11 **I11** [1] - 1707:8 1908:18 1960:21, 1961:18, 1733:13, 1747:16, hi [1] - 1782:5 I12 [1] - 1707:8 HOOGESTRAAT [3] -1962:17, 1967:17 1747:19, 1806:1, Hi [1] - 1938:23 **I13** [1] - 1707:9 1717:6, 1861:15, happy [2] - 1736:21, 1840:16, 1861:25, hibernaculum [2] -I16 [1] - 1707:9 1862:20

	T	I	I	I
117 [1] - 1707:10	1783:24	impaired [1] - 1781:2	1732:6	1837:12, 1845:21 22
118 [1] - 1707:10	IEN [11] - 1706:2,	impasse [2] - 1910:19,	incidental [1] -	indicate [3] - 1735:19,
12 [1] - 1707:3	1711:2, 1733:8,	1910:23	1787:25	1771:19, 1907:5
120 [1] - 1707:11	1830:3, 1834:20,	impeachment [2] -	inclined [1] - 1958:8	indicated [5] - 1794:6,
I21 [1] - 1707:11	1834:21, 1838:7,	1986:6, 1987:12	include [8] - 1730:7,	1811:23, 1878:4,
122 [1] - 1707:12	1844:21, 1858:13,	imperative [1] -	1774:17, 1820:16,	1929:18, 1939:4
123 [1] - 1707:12	1927:10, 1943:24	1965:7	1916:6, 1923:1,	indicates [3] -
124 [1] - 1707:13	II [1] - 1705:17	implementing [4] -	1964:3, 1964:4,	1771:21, 1943:18,
125 [1] - 1707:13	III [6] - 1705:16,	1764:2, 1844:6,	1982:19	1981:10
126 [1] - 1707:14	1705:17, 1705:18,	1844:8, 1874:14	included [11] -	indicating [1] -
127 [1] - 1707:16	1705:18, 1705:19,	implication [1] -	1726:11, 1726:12,	1764:18
13 [1] - 1707:4	1705:20	1803:21	1730:6, 1745:3,	indication [2] -
130 [1] - 1707:17	ILES [1] - 1714:16	implied [1] - 1802:18	1796:6, 1798:24,	1938:1, 1952:1
131 [1] - 1707:17	lles [8] - 1706:16,	import [2] - 1933:3,	1840:18, 1913:9,	indicator[1] - 1917:1
132 [1] - 1707:17	1797:14, 1797:18,	1933:7	1913:11, 1922:2,	indigenous [6] -
14 [1] - 1707:16	1797:23, 1819:25,	importance [5] -	1975:21	1828:20, 1834:9,
	1821:25, 1822:8,	1856:13, 1856:17,	includes [1] - 1895:14	1834:25, 1835:2,
143 [1] - 1707:19 144 [1] - 1707:19	1862:4	1856:21, 1884:1,	including [7] -	1835:5, 1837:8
	ill [1] - 1921:6	1886:4	1722:19, 1739:20,	Indigenous [8] -
145 [1] - 1707:20	Illinois [3] - 1795:13,	important [15] -	1790:14, 1885:14,	1704:4, 1773:12,
I45L [1] - 1707:20	1869:9, 1878:9	1823:7, 1824:11,	1895:13, 1964:12,	1828:15, 1828:25,
146J [1] - 1707:20	illogical [1] - 1942:9	1824:24, 1876:10,	1965:15	1834:5, 1834:7,
I47P [1] - 1707:21	imagine [2] - 1775:18,	1879:16, 1880:19,	inclusion [1] - 1872:7	1883:15, 1969:15
15 [1] - 1707:5	1777:11	1881:2, 1886:7,	incomplete [3] -	indirectly [1] - 1780:6
150 [1] - 1707:21	immediately [1] -	1886:10, 1931:14,	1738:5, 1738:6,	individual [5] -
17 [1] - 1707:6	1936:4	1955:3, 1955:8,	1779:6	1874:11, 1912:20,
18 [1] - 1707:6	immense [1] - 1837:8	1960:2, 1964:9,	incorporate [1] -	1913:4, 1937:10,
19 [1] - 1707:7	immensely [1] -	1968:14	1972:25	1940:5
idea [6] - 1824:8,	1902:3	importantly [1] -	incorporated [1] -	individual's [1] -
1859:16, 1859:18,	impact [18] - 1725:15,	1987:19	1888:12	1936:1
1860:7, 1875:1,	1738:4, 1738:11,	imposed [3] - 1796:3,	incorrect [3] -	individually [4] -
1892:21	1739:21, 1740:6,	1926:7, 1927:15	1855:18, 1855:24,	1904:8, 1912:19,
identical [6] -	1743:19, 1744:8,	imposing [2] -	1890:24	1947:1, 1949:16
1749:11, 1958:5,	1748:20, 1776:15,	1884:19, 1885:3	increase [2] - 1891:24,	individuals [4] -
1958:8, 1958:10,	1795:16, 1804:17,	impossible [1] -	1898:19	1851:1, 1946:12,
1958:20, 1958:25	1811:21, 1816:24,	1891:2	increased [1] -	1946:13, 1956:7
identification [5] -	1843:11, 1844:19,	impression [1] -	1836:10	industry [12] -
1724:18, 1727:21,	1847:4, 1875:2,	1766:20	increases [3] -	1722:17, 1788:24,
1759:23, 1800:15,	1897:6	improper [3] -	1912:12, 1931:20,	1833:12, 1834:12,
1900:24	Impact [9] - 1738:13,	1733:19, 1734:6,	1931:21	1834:23, 1835:7,
identified [19] -	1738:15, 1843:1,	1909:23	increasing [1] -	1856:17, 1857:15,
1726:21, 1727:2,	1843:2, 1843:5,	IN [1] - 1703:4	1836:21	1869:16, 1879:14,
1751:15, 1752:15,	1855:6, 1873:24,	in-depth [1] - 1802:22	incredible [1] -	1880:24, 1885:12
1770:17, 1778:22,	1889:2, 1889:22	in-house [1] - 1844:18	1971:19	infer [1] - 1733:20
1783:10, 1806:4,	impacted [4] -	inaccuracy [1] -	incredibly [2] -	infestations [3] -
1811:3, 1820:17,	1740:23, 1743:24,	1889:13	1971:14, 1972:11	1726:21, 1727:1,
1865:5, 1908:23,	1837:3, 1915:24	inadequate [3] -	incumbent [2] -	1720:21, 1727:1,
1923:10, 1926:3,	impacting [1] - 1780:4	1779:5, 1779:6,	1754:20, 1769:5	infiltrate [1] - 1807:24
1951:6, 1965:25,	impacts [19] -	1984:21	incurred [1] - 1920:9	infiltrates [1] -
1966:20, 1978:22,	1740:17, 1752:1,	inappropriate [2] -	Ind [1] - 1708:17	1807:12
1980:13	1752:3, 1752:13,	1736:25, 1941:14	indeed [4] - 1802:6,	inflicted [1] - 1836:23
identifies [2] -	1752:16, 1770:22,	inception [1] - 1802:9	1811:25, 1816:24,	informal [1] - 1831:12
1760:22, 1859:7	1779:24, 1789:12,	inches [12] - 1875:20,	1907:2	Information [1] -
identify [10] - 1819:16,	1833:1, 1836:2,		independence [1] -	1855:21
1829:24, 1836:16,	1836:8, 1855:10,	1875:22, 1875:23, 1875:24, 1876:25	1849:20	information [67] -
1837:25, 1853:11,	1874:7, 1876:22,	1875:24, 1876:25,	independent [2] -	1725:7, 1730:11,
1853:18, 1853:20,	1885:10, 1938:4,	1877:1, 1877:2,	1843:20, 1893:19	1739:11, 1740:11,
1863:12, 1979:5,	1951:11, 1982:4	1877:4, 1877:7,	independently [1] -	1757:3, 1762:7,
1979:15	impair [2] - 1733:16,	1890:13, 1899:20,	1763:24	1764:18, 1777:18,
identifying [3] -	1848:11	1899:21		1801:8, 1810:23,
1739:7, 1751:19,		incident [2] - 1732:2,	Indian [3] - 1777:25,	1001.0, 1010.23,

		1		
1811:12, 1812:24,	installed [3] -	1867:12, 1976:15	1804:2, 1844:21,	1946:1 23
1821:20, 1832:22,	1799:24, 1880:16,	interested [1] - 1945:5	1883:3, 1885:14,	James [1] - 1705:23
1832:24, 1833:20,	1889:20	interests [1] - 1867:4	1888:4, 1889:1,	JANICE [1] - 1715:22
1842:3, 1842:9,	installing [1] -	interfere [1] - 1969:1	1890:16, 1908:13,	JASON [1] - 1715:3
1842:12, 1843:11,	1882:17	interference [1] -	1961:11, 1984:4	JD [1] - 1722:7
1844:25, 1846:9,	instance [9] -	1845:9	involvement [1] -	Jennifer [2] - 1704:6,
1849:10, 1855:17,	1745:19, 1749:18,	interferes [1] -	1890:23	1911:24
1889:4, 1889:9,	1755:22, 1788:19,	1757:23	lowa [5] - 1785:7,	Jewel [2] - 1803:19,
1897:23, 1902:9,	1796:5, 1818:1,	intermixed [1] -	1798:12, 1798:14,	1815:15
1902:13, 1907:22,	1821:7, 1875:4,	1876:4	1803:16, 1847:14	jittery [1] - 1828:10
1908:16, 1910:11,	1897:3	international [5] -	irrelevant [3] -	job [9] - 1721:13,
1911:7, 1911:10,	instances [6] -	1761:13, 1831:8,	1762:17, 1887:3,	1735:20, 1798:5,
1917:8, 1943:7,	1748:12, 1876:21,	1832:11, 1832:13,	1982:1	1823:11, 1829:3,
1943:8, 1944:4,	1878:12, 1878:17,	1834:20	irrigation [4] - 1886:9,	1832:24, 1873:2,
1945:21, 1955:24,	1910:22, 1948:16	internet [1] - 1933:9	1886:10, 1886:11,	1873:3
1956:13, 1956:18,	instead [2] - 1848:5,	interpret [1] - 1844:18	1886:13	Joey [3] - 1864:19,
1956:22, 1961:2,	1864:12	interpretation [4] -	Island [1] - 1834:10	1893:21, 1951:23
1964:3, 1964:15,	institute [3] - 1838:2,	1785:11, 1808:5,	isotopes [2] - 1823:16	JOEY [2] - 1709:3,
1964:23, 1965:1,	1839:20, 1840:1	1843:15, 1843:23	isotopic [1] - 1823:17	1719:15
1965:8, 1965:17,	instructions [2] -	Interrogatories [3] -	issue [12] - 1734:6,	join [8] - 1734:20,
1967:24, 1968:6,	1725:2, 1890:7	1774:2, 1774:7,	1742:7, 1747:19,	1734:23, 1927:5,
1968:22, 1969:5, 1969:24, 1970:20,	instructive [1] -	1910:12	1804:2, 1861:13,	1927:6, 1941:20,
1970:25, 1971:7,	1927:25	interrupt [2] -	1862:22, 1878:22,	1947:5, 1960:22,
1972:24, 1975:23,	insult [1] - 1846:13	1788:20, 1893:20	1894:23, 1896:6,	1969:15
1976:4, 1976:10,	insulted [1] - 1846:12	interrupted [1] -	1922:14, 1961:24,	joins [3] - 1926:24,
1983:7, 1986:1,	intake [1] - 1782:21	1765:12	1982:6	1927:10, 1969:12
1986:2	intakes [1] - 1777:20	INTERVENORS [5] -	issued [10] - 1738:7,	joint [4] - 1945:2,
informed [2] - 1968:4,	integral [1] - 1882:16	1707:2, 1715:6,	1747:20, 1914:18, 1914:19, 1974:16,	1945:17, 1945:18,
1973:12	integrated [3] -	1716:2, 1717:2,	1974:22, 1974:23,	1945:23
Infrastructure [2] -	1895:10, 1895:12, 1895:22	1718:2	1980:18, 1984:16,	journal [1] - 1887:23
1705:21, 1705:22		Intervenors [3] -	1984:24	Joy [3] - 1707:6,
infringing [1] -	integrity [1] - 1763:16 intend [2] - 1863:24,	1704:3, 1736:24, 1843:22	issues [23] - 1733:13,	1707:19, 1707:20 JOY [1] - 1716:16
1946:16	1987:19	intimate [1] - 1902:14	1800:1, 1805:5,	1
inhabitants [2] -	intended [3] -	introduce [3] - 1830:9,	1812:11, 1834:13,	judge [2] - 1911:12, 1914:17
1848:11, 1848:12	1874:24, 1875:1,	1834:2, 1838:12	1834:21, 1834:22,	judgment [1] - 1920:5
initial [1] - 1911:4	1950:6	introduction [2] -	1854:16, 1860:18,	judicial [7] - 1985:19,
Injunction [1] -	intending [1] - 1895:7	1733:9, 1858:17	1865:7, 1867:3,	1985:22, 1986:11,
1707:15	intends [1] - 1892:25	introductions [1] -	1879:21, 1879:24,	1986:13, 1986:18,
input [1] - 1750:24	Intensive [5] -	1849:9	1880:18, 1887:13,	1986:19, 1987:1
inputs [1] - 1877:19	1705:16, 1705:17,	invaluable [5] -	1888:17, 1888:20,	July [1] - 1723:15
inquire [2] - 1903:18,	1705:18, 1705:19,	1889:8, 1889:15,	1893:12, 1893:15,	jump [3] - 1875:17,
1938:18	1705:20	1889:17, 1889:18,	1894:20, 1896:4,	1900:4, 1986:22
inquiries [1] - 1753:20	intent [2] - 1929:20,	1889:19	1900:14, 1955:15	June [2] - 1723:13,
inquiring [1] - 1981:15	1976:16	investigate [5] -	italics [1] - 1769:18	1979:23
insects [1] - 1883:20	intention [6] -	1732:3, 1732:7,	item [1] - 1978:15	jurisdiction [5] -
insert [1] - 1962:23	1833:17, 1846:5,	1799:12, 1813:9,	items [4] - 1845:5,	1755:11, 1762:11,
inside [2] - 1933:17,	1846:6, 1864:17,	1813:14	1845:6, 1965:15	1762:17, 1762:23,
1935:5	1864:24, 1929:24	investigating [1] -	itself [8] - 1733:20,	1768:10
inspection [1] -	intentionally [1] -	1799:2	1796:25, 1842:18,	jurisdictional [1] -
1796:7	1904:17	investigation [1] -	1853:12, 1861:4,	1769:1
inspector [5] -	intentions [1] -	1928:14	1861:7, 1866:5,	jurisdictions [1] -
1730:20, 1731:3,	1976:17	investigations [2] -	1885:12	1763:20
1731:6, 1790:2,	interaction [2] -	1801:22, 1802:11	IV [1] - 1705:19	
1791:1	1818:20, 1939:24	investments [1] -		K
inspectors [5] -	interactive [3] -	1851:14	J	
1790:20, 1893:10,	1813:24, 1814:3,	Invoice [1] - 1708:11		Kaneae (4) 1060-20
1902:10, 1902:17	1815:5	involved [15] - 1723:3,	JACK [1] - 1710:3	Kansas [4] - 1868:20,
installation [1] -	interest [5] - 1851:10,	1723:12, 1789:25,	Jack [2] - 1705:15,	1869:1, 1878:9, 1883:25
1950:19	1851:24, 1858:12,	1800:6, 1802:18,		1003.23

1942:20 Kara [5] - 1704:2, Kimberly [3] - 1704:4, 1718:7, 1718:11, koenecke [1] -24 1735:18, 1782:5, 1773:11, 1883:14 1718:13, 1718:20, 1713:15 landfill [1] - 1806:22 1794:2, 1959:15 kind [19] - 1764:21, 1718:23, 1719:11, Kristen [3] - 1703:16, Landfill [1] - 1806:24 Karen [2] - 1703:17, 1807:3. 1815:7. 1719:15, 1719:22, 1704:10, 1893:20 landowner [32] -1704.10 1827:13, 1828:7, 1830:16, 1831:25, Kunzelman [2] -1731:3, 1795:25, Karst [6] - 1811:13, 1853:1, 1890:5, 1839:7, 1859:22, 1707:7, 1707:20 1873:7, 1873:8, 1920:24, 1924:10, 1815:21, 1816:9, 1895:6, 1898:22, KUNZELMAN [1] -1873:12, 1873:19, 1927:12. 1935:12. 1818:3, 1818:6, 1904:10, 1915:21, 1716:21 1874:3, 1876:17, 1941:19, 1941:21, 1915:22, 1917:12, 1877:3, 1877:4, 1818:20 KXL[4] - 1977:15, 1923:19, 1939:15, 1949:14, 1954:10, karst [37] - 1803:9, 1977:19, 1978:18, 1877:5, 1877:14, 1955:10, 1961:8, 1945:21, 1947:15, 1803:12, 1803:14, 1980:9 1882:15, 1885:19, 1952:1, 1960:6 1969:16 1803:15, 1803:17, 1897:19, 1902:11, KOENECKE [95] -1803:18, 1803:22, kinds [1] - 1812:22 1903:12, 1903:21, L 1821:25, 1826:9, 1804:1, 1804:3, Kirschenmann [1] -1904:16, 1904:19, 1826:12, 1826:17, 1804:6, 1804:15, 1706:15 1904:23, 1905:4, **L.P** [1] - 1705:5 1826:21, 1827:17, 1804:16, 1804:18, KIRSCHENMANN [1] -1912:10, 1912:22, lack [4] - 1766:10, 1830:12, 1830:15, 1804:25, 1805:3, 1913:8, 1917:20, 1712:14 1871:3, 1872:8, 1810:22, 1811:1, 1830:19, 1830:25, 1919:20, 1920:8, knowing [1] - 1896:8 1943:12 1831:5, 1831:9, 1811:3, 1811:8, knowledge [35] -1929:22, 1938:24, lacking [1] - 1840:25 1831:14, 1831:20, 1811:12, 1811:19, 1939:24 1727:14, 1731:13, lady's [1] - 1937:3 1832:7, 1832:15, 1812:13, 1813:2, landowner's [2] -1733:3, 1733:25, Lake [22] - 1706:8, 1833:3, 1833:13, 1815:6, 1815:10, 1912:20, 1941:7 1740:2, 1742:24, 1802:2, 1802:5, 1815:11, 1815:13, 1837:14, 1837:20, landowners [41] -1743:2. 1744:12. 1802:8, 1802:10, 1838:14, 1838:19, 1815:21, 1816:9, 1746:21, 1747:7, 1829:16, 1833:8, 1802:15, 1802:20, 1816:13, 1817:7, 1747:9, 1747:23, 1839:2, 1839:8, 1837:11, 1837:12, 1802:23, 1802:24, 1839:17, 1840:2, 1818:6, 1818:17, 1748:2, 1757:17, 1853:11, 1853:12, 1803:1, 1806:16, 1840:7, 1848:1, 1818:23, 1819:13, 1763:1, 1765:21, 1873:10, 1874:12, 1806:17, 1806:24, 1850:7, 1850:10, 1819:16 1874:15, 1874:24, 1772:15, 1772:23, 1808:4, 1808:6, 1851:19, 1852:10, Katlyn [1] - 1703:19 1876:18, 1876:20, 1781:17, 1801:9, 1809:5, 1816:2, KEARNEY [1] -1856:8, 1858:9, 1877:16, 1878:3, 1803:12, 1803:14, 1816:3, 1822:16, 1858:12, 1858:16, 1711:15 1833:11, 1833:20, 1885:14, 1886:20, 1822:24, 1824:6, 1859:2, 1859:10, Kearney [2] - 1703:18, 1844:10, 1871:4, 1886:21, 1890:22, 1859:23, 1860:2, 1824:16 1706:14 1872:8, 1887:10, 1890:25, 1896:11, 1860:9, 1861:24, lakes [1] - 1847:8 1907:17, 1917:19, 1896:25, 1897:12, Keenesburg [1] -1863:9, 1863:23, land [54] - 1748:13, 1917:21, 1923:25, 1897:23, 1902:16, 1868:12 1748:14, 1750:19, 1900:25, 1901:4, 1903:17, 1903:24, 1949:20, 1954:12, keep [8] - 1728:22, 1903:2, 1903:4, 1754:25, 1755:3, 1905:1, 1908:25, 1767:9, 1789:4, 1956:21 1906:3, 1906:11, 1756:3, 1756:6, 1909:4, 1909:5, 1792:17, 1835:10, knowledgeable [2] -1909:21, 1910:14, 1756:10, 1768:8, 1909:14. 1910:18. 1733:24, 1893:12 1846:25, 1903:23, 1911:15, 1916:10, 1768:25, 1795:10, 1910:22, 1911:5, 1904:1 known [3] - 1739:7, 1919:1, 1920:19, 1795:11, 1804:17, 1915:12, 1916:5, keeping [1] - 1729:11 1811:24, 1939:16 1921:1, 1921:18, 1807:10, 1807:11, 1939:24, 1940:23, KENT [1] - 1717:16 knows [4] - 1791:7, 1923:17, 1923:23, 1808:6, 1810:17, 1941:10 1942:1, 1949:22, kept [2] - 1788:23, 1924:11, 1924:13, 1811:20, 1815:8, **Lands** [1] - 1708:18 1957:1 1985:1 1816:13, 1816:23, 1925:25, 1927:13, **KEVIN** [1] - 1715:7 Koenecke [53] lands [5] - 1756:17, 1936:10, 1936:12, 1817:4, 1817:19, 1704:2, 1709:3, 1829:17, 1833:2, key [1] - 1893:11 1936:17, 1938:10, 1834:11, 1845:17, 1834:14, 1843:17 1709:11, 1710:3, Keystone [17] -1938:13, 1940:4, 1847:9, 1853:22, language [5] -1710:10, 1710:18, 1723:6, 1723:14, 1941:23, 1943:20, 1854:3, 1854:5, 1726:11, 1735:4, 1710:24, 1711:5, 1828:24, 1888:9, 1854:9, 1854:10, 1949:4, 1949:8, 1711:12, 1712:16, 1735:8, 1828:22, 1890:3, 1926:7, 1855:10, 1873:11, 1949:17, 1950:17, 1828:23 1713:13, 1714:5, 1926:13, 1949:22, 1953:4, 1954:11, 1873:20, 1875:7, large [12] - 1726:20, 1714:7, 1714:9, 1949:23, 1978:21, 1955:1, 1957:3, 1875:8, 1876:1, 1715:4, 1715:8, 1727:1, 1727:4, 1979:1, 1979:4, 1882:20, 1884:1, 1959:8, 1959:11, 1732:4, 1744:5, 1715:10, 1715:11, 1979:7, 1979:10, 1884:5, 1885:15, 1961:10, 1966:23, 1765:23, 1766:15, 1715:14, 1715:19, 1979:14, 1979:20, 1967:9, 1968:7, 1885:19, 1885:23, 1716:4, 1716:9, 1816:22, 1824:7, 1980:12 1969:17, 1973:7, 1885:25, 1897:11, 1847:12, 1964:20 1716:14. 1716:19. kill [1] - 1742:1 1974:25, 1981:2, 1901:13, 1902:14, 1716:20. 1716:23. largely [2] - 1766:21, killed [1] - 1976:11 1984:18, 1984:21, 1903:9, 1904:25, 1809:8 1717:5. 1717:9. KIMBERLY [1] -1984:25, 1986:7, 1907:21, 1908:2, larger [3] - 1816:19, 1717:12, 1718:5, 1712:3 1908:8, 1908:22, 1986:12

1818:14, 1831:17 1765:2, 1952:7 level [8] - 1758:12, 1753:19, 1862:11, 1767:4, 1863:5, 25 largest [7] - 1931:8, leak [7] - 1742:20, 1819:9, 1847:12, 1870:17, 1871:2, 1866:6, 1884:1, 1934:5, 1934:11, 1742:21, 1747:24, 1848:4, 1855:11, 1871:23, 1872:3, 1901:25, 1936:2, 1934:12. 1971:11. 1748:4. 1770:22. 1857:8, 1975:15, 1905:13, 1905:21, 1937:4, 1937:12, 1971:12, 1971:13 1802:20, 1803:2 1977:10 1907:4, 1927:3, 1937:19, 1940:8, LARSON [1] - 1718:16 leaking [1] - 1799:14 levels [4] - 1760:23, 1979:6 1941:25, 1942:2, 1781:5, 1842:22, 1961:25 last [30] - 1722:4, leaks [1] - 1799:2 linked [1] - 1766:25 lived [1] - 1939:5 1730:17, 1753:14, **Lisa** [3] - 1827:17, learn [4] - 1768:2, 1967:21 **Lewis** [5] - 1708:10. 1858:13, 1860:11 livelihood [1] -1765:13, 1772:18, 1768:8, 1802:13, 1708:10, 1722:7, 1880:20 1794:3, 1826:22, list [36] - 1759:6, 1950.18 lives [2] - 1936:3, 1827:12, 1851:6, learned [1] - 1766:15 1775:7, 1816:1 1761:14, 1762:9, 1852:17, 1858:14, 1939:14 least [5] - 1747:22, **liability** [1] - 1974:23 1762:21, 1764:22, 1860:21, 1864:7, 1768:2, 1910:6, lie [1] - 1802:24 1767:9, 1767:13, living [1] - 1767:20 1864:14, 1902:8, 1960:15, 1962:19 lies [1] - 1806:24 1767:14, 1767:25, LLC [2] - 1703:4, 1910:16, 1917:24, 1785:19, 1791:14, 1868:10 leave [4] - 1783:3, lieu [1] - 1912:13 1918:9, 1918:13, 1803:7, 1835:8, 1796:22, 1796:23, life [3] - 1803:15, local [9] - 1834:19, 1918:17, 1927:13, 1814:15, 1838:24, 1860:13 1804:10, 1879:18 1857:5, 1857:8, 1936:14, 1949:15, 1839:3, 1839:14, lecture [1] - 1955:10 1944:10, 1944:23, light [1] - 1948:3 1955:17, 1958:11, 1840:6, 1840:22, 1945:24, 1956:1, lectured [1] - 1909:17 lights [1] - 1794:12 1961:13, 1972:20, 1841:7, 1845:25, led [2] - 1887:18, 1963:22, 1969:3 likelihood [1] -1973:23 1858:23, 1860:22, localized [1] - 1857:6 1910:1 1879:18 lasting [1] - 1838:5 1861:6, 1862:12, locally [2] - 1857:8, Ledin [8] - 1706:20, likely [3] - 1743:24, lasts [1] - 1752:11 1906:8, 1921:11, 1934:1 1727:23, 1727:24, 1771:12, 1851:8 1922:1, 1941:6, late [1] - 1770:15 locate [2] - 1925:2, 1728:3, 1729:20, limbs [1] - 1741:24 1948:9, 1957:8, lateral [1] - 1809:9 1939:14 1730:5, 1749:1, limestone [4] -1957:18, 1958:18, laterally [1] - 1807:14 1773:17 located [3] - 1768:9, 1815:14, 1815:17, 1962:22, 1964:5, **LAURIE** [1] - 1716:21 Ledin's [3] - 1728:17, 1939:4, 1978:3 1815:18, 1815:19 1978:16 Law [1] - 1707:16 1730:20, 1789:11 limit [2] - 1798:17, locating [1] - 1903:17 listed [26] - 1743:9, law [11] - 1722:7, left [3] - 1721:1, 1935:6 location [7] - 1728:14, 1743:14, 1743:20, 1722:18, 1767:13, 1792:22, 1792:23 1773:5, 1784:6, limited [1] - 1883:19 1749:19, 1758:18, 1767:16, 1767:17, 1821:11, 1845:12, legal [6] - 1760:16, limits [4] - 1751:5, 1758:23, 1759:1, 1767:18, 1824:22, 1899:25, 1963:24 1760:19, 1767:15, 1751:7, 1751:8, 1759:5, 1761:12, 1844:17, 1852:11, 1854:12. 1884:20. locations [7] -1909:18 1763:3, 1766:6, 1950:5, 1973:19 1927:4 1725:13, 1726:17, Lincoln [7] - 1913:23, 1767:24, 1769:6, legally [1] - 1884:4 Lawrence [1] -1806:8, 1881:8, 1917:24, 1922:9, 1774:19, 1797:5, 1797:24 1948:13, 1951:1, legislative [1] -1936:8, 1936:22, 1806:14, 1839:15, laws [8] - 1754:23, 1972:9 1852:12 1944:24, 1945:1 1839:19, 1840:22, 1760:24, 1763:19, log [1] - 1815:1 Legislature [2] -LINDA [1] - 1716:3 1870:17, 1871:23, 1763:23, 1763:25, logging [1] - 1814:12 1844:12, 1852:13 line [36] - 1733:14, 1872:3, 1958:17, 1769:9, 1844:12, logs [1] - 1814:20 leks [1] - 1979:16 1752:13, 1757:12, 1979:6, 1981:13, 1867:7 length [1] - 1847:18 long-eared [2] -1761:7, 1772:18, 1983:1 lawsuit [4] - 1919:21. less [6] - 1875:23, 1739:13, 1785:2 1780:1, 1789:17, listen [3] - 1848:19, 1919:24, 1920:9, 1876:4, 1877:6, 1810:22, 1851:21, Long-Eared [1] -1891:6, 1897:11 1920:11 1885:6, 1885:7, 1741:23 1866:3, 1869:7, listened [1] - 1949:21 lawsuits [1] - 1914:4 1897:6 1870:9, 1871:20, longevity [1] listening [2] - 1745:5, lawyer [2] - 1722:9, 1880:14 lesser[1] - 1927:20 1878:15, 1878:25, 1936:16 1830:16 Letter [4] - 1706:4, 1879:11, 1879:12, look [33] - 1780:15, listing [8] - 1758:21, lay [1] - 1985:2 1706:5, 1706:6, 1879:15, 1880:9, 1800:1, 1807:19, 1764:19, 1764:20, layer [1] - 1809:23 1880:16, 1892:12, 1810:3, 1812:22, 1706.7 1796:25, 1797:1, laying [2] - 1765:4, letter [14] - 1883:6, 1894:14, 1897:7, 1812:23, 1818:8, 1957:19, 1967:20, 1955:1 1897:22, 1926:5, 1824:11, 1834:16, 1956:9, 1956:12, 1967:25 laymen [1] - 1845:17 1928:22, 1932:18, 1835:22, 1847:7, 1956:15, 1956:16, lists [4] - 1762:12, 1947:14, 1947:17, 1882:23, 1893:15, layperson [1] -1956:17, 1956:24, 1908:16, 1968:24, 1947:25, 1948:1, 1895:23, 1899:14, 1812:20 1958:13, 1959:15, 1969:9 1951:10, 1978:13, 1900:2, 1913:3, lead [4] - 1728:2, 1959:18, 1959:25, litany [1] - 1848:1 1981:8 1916:24, 1922:24, 1815:8, 1818:15, 1960:5, 1965:14 literally [1] - 1975:20 linear [1] - 1951:8 1930:20, 1930:22, 1977.8 **Letters** [1] - 1707:14 literatures [1] -1931:5, 1931:15, leaders [2] - 1832:14, liner [1] - 1806:22 Level [5] - 1705:16, 1871:12 1931:17 1934:10 1846:11 1705:17, 1705:18, lines [15] - 1733:9, live [14] - 1734:16, 1935:2, 1935:3, 1735:4, 1735:5, leading [3] - 1721:18, 1705:19, 1705:20

1935:4, 1960:6, 1705:22, 1708:9, 1843:7, 1847:3, 1981:9, 1984:3 market [4] - 1832:13, 26 1960:12, 1971:1, MAHMOUD [3] -1708:13, 1708:18, 1832:20, 1839:20, 1848:20, 1852:12, 1977:18, 1978:2 1709:3, 1719:15, 1708:18, 1811:13, 1842:16 1853:21, 1855:8, looked [13] - 1793:9, 1815:21, 1816:10, 1859:17, 1876:14, 1923:21 master [1] - 1730:5 1800:11. 1809:2. Mahmoud's [3] -1818:3, 1818:7, master's [4] - 1798:13, 1884:23, 1890:9, 1810:4. 1814:13. 1927:23, 1954:19, 1818:20 1803:15, 1868:19, 1905:22, 1939:21, 1818:8. 1818:15. map [48] - 1783:19. 1957:16, 1957:23, 1973:16 1868:22 1899:17. 1900:8. 1783:21. 1804:7. 1972:2. 1973:11. mail [3] - 1845:25, material [2] - 1808:14, 1929:12, 1936:18, 1804:25, 1805:3, 1986:21 1846:2, 1974:4 1899:22 1805:4, 1805:7, 1939:5, 1949:11 meaning [5] mails [1] - 1960:14 materials [3] looking [20] - 1808:11, 1805:10, 1805:11, 1755:20, 1755:21, Main [1] - 1901:9 1724:15, 1843:10, 1781:2, 1787:9, 1823:5, 1824:19, 1811:6, 1811:8, Mainstem [2] - 1706:9, 1847:20 1811:9, 1811:14, 1787:10 1825:1, 1835:24, 1706:10 math [1] - 1931:9 1811:15, 1811:17, 1842:6, 1848:7, means [6] - 1731:2, maintain [3] - 1839:2, matrix [2] - 1965:16, 1829:5, 1876:3, 1849:20, 1850:18, 1812:4, 1812:12, 1896:11, 1903:20 1966:10 1895:14, 1930:25, 1864:22, 1873:24, 1812:15, 1813:24, maintained [5] -Matt [3] - 1704:7, 1873:25, 1874:1, 1815:5, 1817:23, 1951:23 1753:9, 1820:1 1726:14, 1729:16, 1819:7, 1819:8, 1908:16, 1921:9, meant [2] - 1959:17, 1810:16, 1838:7, MATTER [1] - 1703:4 1819:10, 1915:14, 1923:18, 1929:12, 1962:16 1889:24 matter [16] - 1704:14, 1916:25, 1917:24, 1936:5, 1936:15 measure [4] maintains [1] - 1739:9 1740:10, 1751:18, looks [8] - 1734:2, 1918:10, 1918:13, 1890:17, 1951:14, maintenance [1] -1785:17, 1800:7, 1918:17, 1929:12, 1763:21, 1820:12, 1951:24, 1952:13 1913:6 1861:22, 1862:24, 1829:22, 1895:12, 1935:3, 1935:5, measured [1] -1875:25, 1924:18, major [3] - 1775:13, 1917:11, 1922:6, 1935:23, 1936:16, 1751:25 1842:16, 1843:6 1932:19, 1944:15, 1922:24 1937:6, 1937:7, measurement [2] majority [1] - 1824:1 1948:8, 1955:6, lose [2] - 1795:25, 1940:11, 1941:13, 1807:20. 1928:22 maker [2] - 1843:18, 1962:18, 1977:3, 1942:4, 1942:15, 1877:9 measures [4] -1989:10 1855:9 1943:3, 1944:3, love [1] - 1854:5 1752:7, 1752:15, makers [2] - 1843:9, matters [2] - 1860:12, 1951:14, 1951:21, low [3] - 1806:21, 1789:12, 1971:8 1945:10 1928:14 1951:23, 1951:24, 1808:2, 1847:5 measuring [2] -MATTHEW [1] man [1] - 1854:3 1952:10 lower [2] - 1806:21, 1899:9, 1928:22 1717:11 management [13] mapped [2] - 1801:22, 1845:21 mechanical [2] maximum [1] -1729:22, 1763:16, 1937:6 **LSR** [1] - 1794:12 1881:9, 1895:13 1875:22 1781:9, 1788:4, mapping [2] - 1815:3, lunch [8] - 1827:22, 1788:8, 1789:14. McClory [1] - 1945:24 mechanically [1] -1907:9 1858:7, 1859:1, MCCOMSEY [1] -1729:2 1789:21, 1798:24, maps [10] - 1804:20, 1859:15, 1859:17, mechanism [3] -1823:7, 1895:4, 1989:5 1860:1, 1860:10, 1812:22, 1814:3, 1747:21, 1809:3, 1895:10, 1895:12, McComsey [2] -1905:13, 1915:16, 1987:24 1809:4 1895:22 1703:24, 1989:18 1915:19, 1922:4, mechanisms [1] -McFadden [1] -Management [1] -1935:18, 1951:16, M 1749:25 1708:3 1706:18 1965:21 meet [2] - 1906:15, manager [9] -MCFADDEN [1] -Maps [2] - 1705:21, 1946:20 1721:17, 1721:20, 1713:3 machineries [2] -1705:23 meeting [9] - 1812:4, 1721:22, 1721:25, McIntosh [1] -1891:18, 1891:19 March [9] - 1945:20, 1945:18, 1945:23, 1723:24, 1728:1, 1706:15 machines [1] -1956:10, 1957:10, 1946:18, 1947:7, 1901:13, 1945:25, MCINTOSH [1] -1813:11 1958:6, 1958:13, 1947:8, 1949:25 1946:1 1712:3 Madison [1] - 1815:17 1959:18, 1959:24, Meetings [3] manages [3] -McPherson [3] -Mahmoud [28] -1962:19, 1979:22 1790:19, 1790:24, 1705:24, 1705:24, 1766:7, 1916:25, 1705:9, 1705:12, marches [1] - 1802:13 1791:20 1930:8 1707:21 1904:15, 1919:14, Margo [2] - 1704:9, meetings [13] managing [3] -Mdewakantonwan [1] 1923:15, 1923:24, 1820:9 1831:21, 1832:16, 1721:19, 1732:4, - 1845:20 1924:14, 1928:6, MARILYN [1] - 1718:4 1832:19, 1833:5, 1823:12 mean [34] - 1736:24, 1930:14, 1935:15, marked [14] - 1724:17, 1944:22, 1956:7, mandatory [1] -1752:18, 1758:10, 1935:16, 1936:19, 1727:20, 1759:19, 1959:20, 1964:24, 1791:23 1766:22, 1781:4, 1938:21, 1942:24, 1759:23, 1800:14, 1965:2, 1965:17, manifestation [1] -1783:9, 1784:7, 1944:10, 1947:7, 1860:20, 1860:21, 1965:18, 1965:19, 1817:17 1786:10, 1788:16, 1949:25, 1950:18, 1860:22, 1869:18, 1967:23 Mankato [1] - 1722:7 1809:6, 1809:7, 1952:11, 1952:18, 1900:23, 1924:20, meets [1] - 1789:7 1826:20, 1827:10, manner [2] - 1885:9, 1954:11, 1955:20, 1925:7, 1955:21, melt [2] - 1806:18, 1833:7, 1834:23, 1902:20 1966:24, 1971:7, 1957:9 1807:11 1837:10, 1842:16, Map [12] - 1705:21, 1974:15, 1980:23,

member [2] - 1728:3, 1750:18, 1751:12, modified [2] - 1780:8, 1790:11, 1794:16, 27 Minnehaha [7] -1756:22, 1766:18, 1845:20 1775:5, 1821:9, 1983:4 1803:18, 1812:16, 1790:25, 1804:4, members [5] - 1799:9, 1914:1, 1936:7, modify [1] - 1728:23 1818:2, 1820:21, 1829:14. 1836:17. 1808:24, 1813:21, 1936:22, 1944:24, 1850:13, 1851:8, Moeckly [3] - 1707:8, 1837:6, 1915:8 1818:11, 1818:15, 1945.2 1886:17, 1887:1 1873:8, 1881:4, memorized [1] -1834:14, 1845:16, Minnesota [13] -MOECKLY [1] -1885:18, 1895:23, 1845:17, 1862:12, 1895:24, 1902:14, 1758:11 1722:6, 1722:20, 1717:16 1862:13. 1876:22. 1947:3. 1949:1. 1794:11, 1794:15, mentioned [16] moment [3] - 1865:24, 1877:17, 1877:19, 1968:22, 1987:19 1795:8, 1795:13, 1728:15, 1742:11, 1886:15, 1961:9 1880:21, 1890:10, mostly [1] - 1881:9 1796:5, 1796:6, 1742:12, 1744:19, money [2] - 1875:6, 1894:14, 1895:18, 1828:23, 1845:21, Motion [2] - 1707:14, 1788:13, 1789:1, 1976:15 1895:19, 1895:20, 1707:15 1850:23, 1852:2 1806:13, 1808:9, Monica [5] - 1864:19, 1905:21, 1920:2, minute [2] - 1839:18, 1813:6, 1815:6, motion [8] - 1734:20, 1946:5, 1972:17, 1925:1, 1927:15, 1840:12 1830:8, 1834:2, 1815:14, 1844:21, 1972:22, 1974:9 1959:9, 1961:7, 1921:2, 1948:21, 1854:18, 1881:1, minutes [5] - 1866:16, MONICA [2] - 1710:11, 1963:20, 1983:23 1964:18, 1969:13, 1895:2, 1895:5 1920:21, 1958:4, 1720:3 migrates [1] - 1807:14 1986:25 1959:2, 1959:5 mentions 121 monitor [15] -1728:17, 1981:14 migration [5] misheard [1] -Mountains [1] -1752:10, 1790:1, merely [1] - 1861:10 1742:17, 1770:9, 1760:14 1854:8 1790:2, 1790:7, 1770:14, 1770:16, misrepresentation [1] mountains [1] meritful [1] - 1941:17 1790:8, 1791:2, Merjent [1] - 1793:24 1808:1 - 1976:17 1976:10 1791:4, 1791:5, Migratory [1] missed [2] - 1811:16, move [40] - 1727:16, met [15] - 1812:3, 1791:9, 1791:10, 1761:10 1981:4 1731:15, 1733:5, 1944:25, 1945:4, 1791:12, 1791:19, Mike [2] - 1728:15, Mississippi [2] -1741:5, 1741:9, 1946:8, 1946:9, 1792:6, 1792:13, 1728:20 1831:19, 1854:7 1744:17, 1757:1, 1946:10, 1946:12, 1792:15 mile [2] - 1747:25, 1946:13, 1946:15, Missouri [9] - 1706:9, monitored [2] -1758:2. 1761:17. 1748:1 1792:20. 1807:9. 1956:2, 1956:7, 1706:10, 1775:12, 1820:14, 1820:22 1964:23, 1967:21. milepost [1] - 1952:4 1807:21, 1808:19, 1775:15, 1776:4, monitoring [14] mileposts [3] -1808:20, 1838:12, 1969:18 1776:14, 1777:24, 1792:2, 1793:1, 1730:7, 1811:1, 1870:4, 1872:24, method [8] - 1783:1, 1778:3, 1878:9 1795:6, 1796:5, 1890:12, 1903:4, 1811:3 1792:5, 1881:8, misstates [2] -1799:25, 1820:18, 1906:3, 1910:20, miles [2] - 1807:1, 1881:13, 1881:14, 1779:16, 1779:18 1820:19, 1821:4, 1921:4, 1925:25, 1881:16, 1894:4, 1967:2 mitigate [2] - 1779:24, 1821:11, 1821:14, 1930:17, 1931:3, Miller [1] - 1807:4 1918:24 1789:12 1821:18, 1888:4, 1938:13, 1938:14, methods [1] - 1895:14 million [9] - 1829:14, mitigating [1] - 1885:9 1888:8 1938:15, 1943:20, monitors [6] -Mexico [1] - 1761:9 1925:14, 1930:22, Mitigation [12] -1947:6, 1949:1, 1930:23, 1931:2, 1758:25, 1795:15, mic [1] - 1736:10 1873:4, 1873:15, 1933:2, 1933:3, 1795:16, 1795:21, 1952:25, 1954:24, MICAH [1] - 1719:11 1873:23, 1873:24, Micah [3] - 1864:19, 1933:5, 1933:11 1875:10, 1879:5, 1796:9, 1821:5 1957:3. 1968:7. 1971:16, 1971:20, mind [3] - 1873:14, 1900:25, 1901:8 1879:7, 1888:19, Montana [1] - 1770:6 1972:12, 1983:20 1929:1, 1932:4 1888:23, 1889:23, morning [34] - 1721:7, MICHAEL [3] moved [4] - 1737:12, minds [1] - 1864:1 1893:8, 1896:5 1737:24, 1737:25, 1712:21, 1713:11, 1807:18, 1931:18, mine [2] - 1741:13, mitigation [8] -1753:7, 1753:8, 1713:17 1938:11 1888:1 1773:2, 1773:11, 1751:25, 1752:15, Michels [2] - 1890:3, Miner [2] - 1918:10, 1795:17, 1875:3, movement [4] -1773:14, 1788:19, 1890:9 1797:18, 1805:22, 1807:8, 1808:23, 1929:13 1883:24, 1892:23, Michigan [1] -1809:9, 1815:8 1805:24, 1819:25, mingled [1] - 1808:18 1978:21, 1980:13 1794:20 moves [3] - 1735:4, 1820:2, 1820:9, microbial [1] minimal [3] - 1803:2, mix [4] - 1877:11, 1823:2, 1931:22 1821:25, 1822:8, 1808:7, 1809:9 1877:15, 1877:18, 1875:25 moving [6] - 1748:10, 1822:9, 1826:3, 1877:22 minimize [3] microphone [1] -1792:17, 1810:22, 1828:3, 1828:4, 1726:10, 1752:16, mixed [4] - 1808:13, 1923:20 1858:12, 1932:1, 1828:7, 1830:15, 1930:10 1809:12, 1890:14, middle [4] - 1764:10, 1932.2 minimized [2] -1985:13 1830:18, 1830:19, 1894:15, 1918:15, MR [165] - 1734:15, 1849:7, 1849:8, 1752:1, 1752:3 mixes [3] - 1877:17, 1936:24 1753:4, 1753:6, 1850:11, 1850:12, minimum [2] - 1752:6, 1877:19, 1897:23 midst [3] - 1757:25, 1756:24, 1757:2, 1861:20, 1862:4, mixture [2] - 1808:15, 1894:18 1823:5, 1823:14 1987:3, 1987:23 1757:13. 1757:20. 1809:14 mining [1] - 1824:15 Midwest [1] - 1878:8 1758:4. 1759:15. Morton [1] - 1850:23 MinnCan [1] - 1794:15 Mni [1] - 1777:23 might [41] - 1724:5, 1759:21, 1762:18, mode [1] - 1931:18 most [21] - 1743:24, Minneapolis [1] -1742:2, 1748:7, 1765:1, 1765:10, Model [1] - 1705:7 1743:25, 1753:12, 1722:23 1749:25, 1750:17,

F	T	T		1
1767:17, 1773:4,	1962:11, 1966:23,	1778:8, 1778:11,	1852:9, 1852:19,	1894:2, 1898:2, 28
1786:15, 1786:18,	1967:9, 1968:7,	1779:15, 1779:19,	1852:22, 1853:25,	1898:6, 1900:18,
1787:6, 1793:14,	1968:8, 1968:10,	1779:21, 1779:22,	1854:1, 1855:5,	1900:19, 1903:1,
1793:17, 1794:1,	1969:17, 1970:17,	1780:7, 1780:10,	1856:6, 1856:10,	1903:6, 1906:4,
1819:25, 1821:25,	1970:19, 1973:7,	1780:13, 1781:18,	1857:11, 1858:3,	1906:5, 1906:6,
1826:9, 1826:12,	1974:25, 1981:2,	1781:19, 1781:20,	1858:4, 1858:5,	1906:7, 1906:9,
1826:17, 1826:21,	1983:20, 1983:23,	1781:22, 1781:23,	1858:7, 1858:11,	1906:24, 1909:15,
1827:17, 1830:12,	1984:2, 1984:18,	1782:2, 1782:4,	1858:20, 1858:21,	1909:20, 1910:8,
1830:15, 1830:19,	1984:20, 1984:21,	1786:16, 1786:21,	1858:24, 1859:14,	1911:17, 1911:19,
1830:25, 1831:5,	1984:23, 1984:25,	1786:22, 1787:12,	1859:20, 1859:21,	1911:21, 1913:14,
1831:9, 1831:14,	1985:18, 1985:25,	1787:15, 1789:23,	1859:25, 1860:13,	1913:15, 1914:23,
1831:20, 1832:7,	1986:7, 1986:11,	1789:24, 1793:5,	1860:16, 1860:18,	1915:2, 1916:11,
1832:15, 1833:3,	1986:12, 1986:17,	1793:8, 1793:11,	1860:25, 1861:1,	1916:12, 1916:14,
1833:13, 1837:14,	1986:21, 1987:5,	1793:13, 1793:15,	1861:9, 1861:15,	1916:15, 1916:16,
1837:20, 1838:14,	1987:7, 1987:10	1794:2, 1794:5,	1861:23, 1862:8,	1916:18, 1919:3,
1838:19, 1839:2,	MS [512] - 1721:1,	1796:16, 1796:17,	1862:9, 1862:11,	1920:16, 1920:20,
1839:8, 1839:17,	1721:3, 1721:6,	1796:18, 1796:20,	1862:14, 1862:20,	1920:24, 1921:3,
1840:2, 1840:7,	1727:16, 1727:18,	1797:7, 1797:8,	1862:21, 1862:22,	1921:11, 1921:13,
1848:1, 1849:4,	1731:15, 1731:17,	1797:13, 1797:17,	1863:4, 1863:6,	1921:14, 1921:16,
1849:6, 1849:24,	1731:15, 1731:17,	1801:11, 1801:13,	1863:7, 1863:11,	1921:17, 1921:19,
			1863:12, 1863:16,	
1850:7, 1850:10, 1851:19, 1852:4,	1733:8, 1734:7,	1805:16, 1805:18, 1805:19, 1805:21,	1	1921:21, 1921:22, 1921:24, 1921:25,
1852:10, 1855:4,	1734:8, 1734:22,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1863:17, 1865:1,	1 ' ' I
	1734:24, 1735:3,	1819:21, 1819:23,	1865:9, 1865:13,	1922:11, 1922:12,
1856:8, 1857:14,	1735:10, 1735:11,	1820:4, 1820:5,	1865:25, 1866:2,	1922:13, 1922:15,
1858:1, 1858:9,	1735:14, 1735:17,	1820:6, 1820:8,	1866:12, 1866:15,	1923:3, 1923:13,
1858:12, 1858:15,	1735:24, 1736:3,	1821:22, 1821:24,	1866:20, 1866:21,	1924:4, 1924:7,
1858:16, 1859:2,	1736:4, 1736:5,	1822:3, 1825:11,	1867:13, 1867:17,	1924:8, 1926:24,
1859:4, 1859:10,	1736:8, 1736:12,	1825:13, 1825:14,	1867:24, 1868:2,	1927:1, 1927:2,
1859:12, 1859:16,	1736:18, 1737:1,	1825:16, 1825:17,	1868:3, 1868:6,	1927:9, 1927:10,
1859:23, 1860:2,	1737:3, 1737:4,	1825:21, 1825:22,	1870:4, 1870:5,	1927:11, 1928:2,
1860:4, 1860:9,	1737:12, 1737:14,	1825:24, 1826:1,	1870:6, 1870:7,	1935:7, 1935:11,
1860:12, 1861:24,	1737:15, 1737:16,	1826:2, 1826:4,	1870:8, 1870:12,	1935:14, 1936:9,
1863:9, 1863:23,	1737:18, 1737:20,	1826:7, 1826:8,	1870:16, 1870:19,	1936:11, 1938:8,
1865:2, 1865:15,	1737:21, 1737:23,	1826:11, 1826:13,	1870:21, 1870:24,	1938:11, 1938:16,
1866:8, 1866:18,	1738:16, 1738:17,	1826:20, 1826:24,	1871:1, 1871:2,	1938:17, 1938:20,
1866:24, 1879:4,	1738:20, 1739:23,	1826:25, 1827:9,	1871:5, 1871:6,	1938:21, 1939:3,
1881:23, 1882:2,	1740:1, 1740:4,	1827:13, 1827:18,	1871:13, 1871:16,	1939:9, 1939:16,
1883:7, 1900:25,	1740:8, 1740:10,	1827:23, 1828:2,	1871:17, 1871:19,	1939:19, 1939:23,
1901:4, 1903:2,	1740:12, 1740:13,	1829:20, 1829:21,	1871:20, 1871:22,	1940:14, 1940:16,
1903:4, 1906:3,	1740:24, 1740:25,	1830:8, 1830:10,	1872:2, 1872:6,	1940:18, 1940:21,
1906:11, 1909:21,	1741:2, 1741:4,	1830:14, 1831:23,	1872:9, 1872:10,	1941:1, 1941:4,
1910:14, 1911:15,	1741:10, 1744:2,	1832:4, 1833:16,	1872:12, 1872:13,	1941:18, 1941:20,
1913:17, 1914:21,	1744:7, 1744:14,	1833:24, 1834:1,	1879:5, 1879:6,	1941:21, 1942:17,
1916:10, 1919:1,	1747:2, 1747:7,	1834:3, 1834:4,	1881:17, 1881:18,	1943:21, 1943:22,
1920:19, 1921:1,	1747:10, 1752:25,	1837:19, 1837:22,	1881:20, 1881:22,	1943:24, 1944:6,
1921:18, 1923:17,	1753:3, 1756:23,	1837:23, 1837:24,	1883:4, 1883:9,	1947:5, 1948:14,
1923:21, 1923:23,	1757:1, 1757:8,	1838:12, 1838:16,	1883:11, 1883:13,	1948:20, 1948:21,
1924:11, 1924:13,	1757:9, 1757:10,	1838:20, 1838:22,	1884:6, 1884:14,	1948:23, 1948:25,
1925:25, 1926:2,	1757:19, 1757:24,	1838:23, 1839:6,	1884:15, 1884:17,	1949:3, 1949:6,
1927:13, 1934:6,	1758:2, 1759:13,	1839:12, 1839:19,	1884:20, 1884:22,	1949:11, 1949:14,
1936:10, 1936:12,	1759:17, 1762:10,	1839:23, 1840:3,	1884:25, 1885:24,	1950:3, 1950:4,
1936:17, 1938:10,	1764:6, 1764:23,	1840:9, 1840:11,	1886:2, 1886:6,	1950:9, 1950:12,
1938:13, 1940:4,	1765:7, 1767:15,	1841:3, 1841:6,	1886:18, 1886:20,	1952:7, 1952:9,
1941:23, 1943:20,	1767:21, 1773:8,	1841:9, 1841:17,	1886:22, 1886:25,	1952:24, 1953:3,
1947:4, 1949:4,	1773:10, 1773:18,	1848:7, 1848:15,	1887:3, 1887:7,	1953:8, 1953:9,
1949:8, 1949:17,	1775:23, 1776:1,	1848:23, 1848:24,	1887:9, 1890:8,	1953:12, 1953:24,
1950:17, 1953:4,	1776:3, 1776:7,	1849:1, 1849:3,	1890:11, 1890:12,	1954:6, 1954:9,
1953:21, 1954:11,	1776:10, 1776:12,	1849:25, 1850:2,	1892:3, 1892:4,	1954:18, 1954:25,
1955:1, 1957:3,	1776:17, 1776:21,	1850:3, 1850:5,	1892:6, 1892:7,	1955:7, 1955:15,
1959:8, 1959:11,	1776:23, 1777:1,	1850:6, 1851:16,	1892:9, 1892:11,	1957:4, 1957:5,
1961:7, 1961:10,	1778:5, 1778:7,	1851:22, 1851:25,	1893:20, 1893:25,	1958:10, 1958:12,

1958:14, 1958:15, 1936:23, 1937:3, 1731:5, 1785:13, 29 Nelson [38] - 1709:7, 1784:1 1959:4, 1959:10, 1938:21, 1939:2, 1867:19, 1892:14, 1709:12, 1710:6, nice [1] - 1753:10 1960:12, 1960:17, 1939:3, 1939:8, 1892:16, 1892:18, 1710:14, 1710:21, Nickel [7] - 1706:19, 1960:19, 1960:20, 1939:9, 1939:12 1918:20, 1919:2, 1711:8. 1711:13. 1731:21, 1731:22, 1962:14, 1963:6, named [5] - 1721:21. 1975:8 1711:17, 1712:5. 1731:23, 1744:18, 1963:9, 1963:12, 1802:7. 1823:22. need [28] - 1728:13. 1712:10. 1712:17. 1776:24, 1781:15 1832:12, 1946:2 1963:13, 1963:15, 1729:16. 1840:16. 1713:6, 1713:14, Nickel's [4] - 1732:19, names [3] - 1814:10, 1733:10, 1773:20, 1963:16. 1964:13. 1842:21, 1848:19, 1713:19. 1714:5. 1849:23, 1850:14, 1965:10, 1965:24, 1832:10, 1943:4 1714:6, 1714:13, 1773:21 1862:16, 1864:17, 1714:21, 1715:5, 1966:7, 1966:12, Nancy [1] - 1707:11 **night** [3] - 1741:23, 1966:19, 1968:9, 1866:6, 1867:22, 1715:8, 1715:10, NANCY [1] - 1717:3 1864:14, 1962:4 1969:11, 1969:12, 1872:15, 1877:23, 1715:15, 1715:20, narrative [1] - 1934:7 Niobrara [3] -1969:14, 1969:16, 1880:17, 1880:20, 1716:10, 1716:11, nation [4] - 1853:13, 1815:25, 1816:3, 1970:18, 1971:3, 1884:10, 1886:22, 1716:15, 1717:9, 1853:15, 1869:12, 1816:15 1973:2, 1973:6, 1949:12, 1955:8, 1887:24 1717:18, 1718:20, nobody [3] - 1910:2, 1973:16, 1973:22, 1957:25, 1959:5, 1719:9, 1719:13, National [8] - 1811:13, 1910:3, 1949:22 1973:24, 1975:2, 1960:12, 1960:21, 1719:18, 1720:6, 1815:21, 1816:9, noise [3] - 1726:10, 1975:4, 1975:6, 1977:19, 1983:20, 1906:12, 1928:10, 1818:3, 1818:6, 1788:13, 1788:17 1978:4, 1978:7, 1985:9, 1985:11 1928:21, 1929:3, 1818:19, 1976:20, non [6] - 1756:1, 1978:9, 1981:6, needed [4] - 1725:2, 1929.5 1976:24 1777:25, 1834:17, 1981:7, 1981:8, national [5] - 1804:6, 1841:10, 1911:13, Nelson's [5] -1837:12, 1845:10, 1981:16, 1981:18, 1948:5 1793:12, 1793:21, 1804:25, 1805:3, 1853:11 1983:18, 1983:19, needing [2] - 1858:18, 1906:16, 1928:16, 1811:7, 1834:19 non-HCA[1] - 1756:1 1983:22, 1985:2, 1985:4 1961:23 nations [2] - 1845:10, non-Indian [2] -1985:24, 1986:20. needs [7] - 1782:18, **NEPA**[2] - 1977:5, 1854:11 1777:25, 1837:12 1986:25, 1987:6, 1814:13, 1849:12, 1977:7 Nationwide [3] non-Native [3] -1987:9, 1987:15, 1849:16, 1849:18, nesting [1] - 1766:1 1725:24, 1738:23, 1834:17, 1845:10, 1988:1 1852:6, 1874:13 net [1] - 1821:10 1768:18 1853:11 muddy [2] - 1750:11, negative [4] - 1836:8, network [3] - 1799:25, native [6] - 1768:3, none [6] - 1844:15, 1750:15 1876:22, 1896:2, 1831:6, 1834:8 1810:4, 1834:14, 1863:9, 1900:21, muffled [1] - 1726:9 1938:3 Network [8] - 1704:4, 1837:2, 1837:10, 1947:11, 1958:17, muffling [2] - 1788:13 negotiate [2] -1773:12, 1828:15, 1846:11 1987:5 mulch [4] - 1730:19, 1904:25, 1910:17 1828:25, 1834:6, Native [3] - 1834:17, nonetheless [1] -1730:22, 1730:23, negotiated [1] -1834:7, 1883:15, 1845:10, 1853:11 1892:17 1730:24 1905:6 1969:15 natural [12] - 1726:25, nonexistent [2] multibillion [1] negotiations [2] never [9] - 1760:6, 1778:21, 1782:11, 1803:3, 1808:7 1971:10 1910:20, 1915:10 1760:7, 1797:19, 1790:12, 1790:15, nonfederal [2] multiple [9] - 1869:11, neighborhood [1] -1816:12, 1851:17, 1814:22, 1834:11, 1755:3, 1756:10 1878:8, 1894:13, 1887:21, 1889:20, 1846:14, 1868:25, 1936:23 nonpartisan [1] -1895:3, 1899:7, neighborhoods [1] -1936:2 1898:24, 1933:20, 1843:15 1946:3, 1946:22, 1935:4 1833:2 new [3] - 1750:1, nonsensical [1] -1948:16 **NELSON** [36] -Natural [7] - 1722:22. 1805:5, 1913:23 1735:23 Municipal [1] - 1708:9 newer [2] - 1805:2, 1703:13, 1741:5, 1723:1, 1723:4, nonverbal [1] - 1950:5 **MURRAY** [1] - 1718:4 1777:3, 1789:25, 1878:10 1727:25, 1731:23, noon [1] - 1858:8 **Murray** [1] - 1707:8 1790:6, 1792:25, newest [1] - 1812:18 1798:4, 1814:2 normal [1] - 1881:10 must [3] - 1739:1, 1793:3, 1822:4, news [2] - 1837:17, nature [5] - 1751:12, normally [2] - 1771:6, 1740:16, 1847:14 1856:12, 1856:16, 1837:20 1761:13, 1886:4, 1907:9 1856:20, 1856:24, next [23] - 1721:2, 1937:21, 1965:3 north [3] - 1770:5, 1857:4, 1857:10, Ν 1729:20, 1752:19, NatureServe [2] -1770:7, 1785:7 1894:3, 1894:22, 1758:2, 1770:10, 1739:5, 1739:6 North [23] - 1705:6, 1895:5, 1896:5, 1797:12, 1825:19, near [4] - 1800:12, 1749:19, 1751:1, name [28] - 1721:7, 1860:8, 1900:22. 1896:8, 1896:14, 1811:21, 1816:2, 1770:6, 1775:22, 1789:2, 1797:21, 1896:17, 1896:20, 1920:25, 1922:24, 1821:17 1776:5, 1776:9, 1805:22, 1814:6, 1916:19, 1916:24, 1922:25, 1924:8, nearest [1] - 1923:20 1776:15, 1777:13, 1814:13, 1814:14, 1917:10, 1917:19, 1929:11, 1936:2, necessarily [8] -1778:6, 1829:5, 1820:9, 1828:12, 1917:23, 1918:5, 1937:4, 1937:12, 1766:11, 1771:9, 1834:9, 1847:13, 1828:14, 1830:16, 1918:9. 1918:23. 1940:8, 1941:25, 1792:21, 1821:14, 1869:8, 1888:14, 1840:18, 1840:21, 1919:4. 1928:24. 1953:10, 1965:18, 1842:10, 1848:19, 1901:14, 1917:16, 1858:14, 1868:7, 1930:7, 1936:14, 1961:17, 1981:24 1965:25, 1966:3 1930:16, 1930:21, 1901:6, 1901:8, 1966:17, 1987:18 necessary [9] nexus [2] - 1755:4, 1931:1, 1931:24, 1926:6, 1936:1,

1932:18, 1933:7 1848:6, 1851:16, 1958:9, 1958:22, 1942:15, 1959:8, 1788:8, 1877:11, 30 northeast [1] - 1847:8 1899:12 1851:25, 1853:25, 1960:22, 1962:16, 1977:14, 1978:18, northeastern [1] -NRG [5] - 1724:10, 1856:6, 1861:24, 1963:10, 1963:14, 1980.7 1728:8. 1787:10. 1865:23, 1867:10, 1964:19, 1968:8, Offer [1] - 1707:13 1803:16 1792:25, 1793:19 1870:21, 1871:2, 1973:2, 1973:21, offered [17] - 1737:16, northern [3] -1739:13, 1770:5, NRG's [2] - 1721:25, 1883:4, 1884:6, 1973:25, 1981:16, 1831:5, 1831:7, 1886:18, 1887:3, 1984:18, 1987:4 1936.8 1774.5 1832:1, 1841:11, 1890:8. 1909:15. objections [9] -Northern [2] **nuance** [1] - 1745:14 1863:20, 1867:25, 1916:10, 1926:22, 1736:2, 1865:11, 1741:23, 1798:12 number [36] - 1752:2, 1868:4, 1871:14, 1934:6, 1941:4, 1872:13, 1906:24, 1938:10, 1943:9, northrup [1] - 1849:25 1761:3, 1779:17, 1943:24, 1954:24, 1928:2, 1947:9, 1943:10, 1948:17, 1805:12, 1810:21, Northrup [13] -1969:10, 1976:8, 1947:12, 1969:11 1954:4, 1982:8 1704:9, 1709:6, 1813:22, 1814:11, 1981:2, 1986:7 objects [4] - 1735:4, 1709:10, 1710:4, 1831:2, 1831:11, offering [4] - 1833:16, objected [2] -1872:7, 1926:2, 1833:22, 1948:16, 1710:20, 1713:5, 1835:22, 1836:12, 1831:25, 1833:21 1957:5 1837:7, 1838:22, 1982:19 1714:17, 1717:4, obligated [1] -1838:24, 1838:25, objecting [2] office [3] - 1838:10, 1718:17, 1820:6, 1867:11, 1867:12 1762:13 1839:4, 1840:4, 1956:9, 1974:2 1820:9, 1892:4, 1841:4, 1842:11, objection [101] obligation [2] -Officer [1] - 1862:25 1916:13 NORTHRUP [6] -1853:9, 1863:13, 1727:18, 1731:17, 1746:25, 1747:14 officer [2] - 1731:24, 1902:23, 1902:24, 1733:7, 1734:20, 1781:19, 1820:8, observation [1] -1732:2 1902:25, 1909:25, 1734:23, 1734:24, 1804:12 officers [1] - 1788:12 1821:22, 1850:2, 1921:10, 1922:8, 1735:23, 1735:24, observed [1] -1892:6, 1916:14 officials [11] -1924:21, 1927:14, 1736:8, 1736:19, 1791:14 northwest [1] - 1807:4 1726:24, 1944:19, 1928:18, 1930:11, 1737:5, 1737:9, observing [1] - 1791:6 1944:23, 1953:17, northwestern [1] -1942:19, 1953:16, 1738:16, 1739:23, obtain [3] - 1856:25, 1953:19, 1953:23, 1770:7 1960:14, 1965:14, 1740:12, 1740:24, Notary [2] - 1989:7, 1889:5, 1911:5 1956:1. 1959:14. 1979:5 1741:6, 1747:2, 1989:18 obtained [3] -1964:22, 1968:3 1756:23, 1757:19, numbered [1] -1798:12, 1798:13, **note** [3] - 1725:12, Officials [1] - 1705:24 1759:13, 1764:6, 1923:11 1942:17, 1943:25 1869:2 often [9] - 1726:21, numbers [6] -1765:9, 1767:15, 1783:21, 1788:12, notes [3] - 1940:2, **obvious** [1] - 1812:23 1767:22, 1775:23, 1836:21, 1922:11, 1956:6, 1965:19 obviously [4] -1789:3, 1792:1, 1776:1, 1776:7, 1922:17, 1933:4, 1782:18, 1842:15, 1792:7, 1811:16, nothing [17] -1776:17, 1776:19, 1933:8, 1978:16 1850:25, 1857:7 1845:5, 1917:15 1732:23, 1744:14, 1780:17, 1786:15, numerals [1] -1744:16, 1756:16, occasion [2] -Oil [1] - 1838:5 1787:6, 1801:13, 1928:25 oil [56] - 1747:23, 1789:23, 1796:16, 1899:14, 1906:14 1830:10, 1832:4, numerous [6] -1856:8, 1867:24, occasions [1] -1748:3, 1748:10, 1755:5, 1846:22, 1833:24, 1837:20, 1881:17, 1900:18, 1909:23 1748:13, 1790:13, 1839:2, 1848:15, 1846:23, 1854:24, 1907:11, 1911:15, occupation [2] -1794:17, 1808:12, 1852:20, 1852:22, 1913:14, 1932:11, 1857:19, 1958:7 1828:12, 1829:4 1808:13, 1808:18, 1855:4, 1863:7, 1974:25, 1975:20, occur [7] - 1729:9, 1808:19, 1808:20, 1863:18, 1870:5, 1978:24 0 1809:12, 1809:14, 1742:25, 1743:3, 1870:25, 1871:16, notice [10] - 1805:25, 1809:18, 1810:1, 1770:2, 1776:14, 1872:11, 1872:12, 1815:1, 1821:13, 1865:18, 1941:7, 1842:13, 1879:12 o'clock [3] - 1861:20, 1879:4, 1884:20, 1831:8, 1832:11, 1985:19, 1985:22, occurred [1] - 1799:3 1987:22, 1987:25 1885:24, 1903:6, 1986:11, 1986:13, 1832:12, 1832:13, occurrences [2] -Oahe [2] - 1706:8, 1906:4, 1910:8, 1986:18, 1986:19, 1832:19, 1836:8, 1739:7, 1766:11 1777:21 1921:17, 1921:18, 1837:3, 1839:25, 1987:1 occurs [5] - 1770:14, oath [6] - 1721:4, 1926:25, 1927:5, 1841:20, 1842:8, noticed [4] - 1861:25, 1771:22, 1772:20, 1797:15, 1827:24, 1927:6, 1927:7, 1842:13, 1842:16, 1882:7, 1899:17, 1878:24, 1879:15 1865:14, 1901:2, 1935:13, 1935:14, 1842:17, 1846:25, 1930:9 Oceti [1] - 1854:6 1924:15 1938:9. 1938:16. 1847:21, 1847:23, notification [1] -October [5] - 1703:8, object [45] - 1733:9, 1938:19. 1940:15. 1850:25, 1851:7, 1738:22 1703:9, 1704:16, 1736:18, 1740:8, 1941:17, 1941:18, 1852:25. 1853:5. notify [1] - 1747:1 1989:11, 1989:14 1744:2, 1762:10, 1941:20, 1942:9, 1854:19. 1854:21. November [3] -**OF** [8] - 1703:2, 1764:23, 1776:21, 1943:21, 1943:22, 1855:1. 1856:25. 1770:15, 1798:21, 1703:4. 1703:4. 1778:5, 1779:15, 1947:4, 1947:5, 1869:16. 1900:7. 1799:10 1704:13, 1708:8, 1793:11, 1826:9, 1948:14, 1948:20, 1930:15, 1930:18, noxious [4] - 1726:18, 1989:1, 1989:3 1826:18, 1831:23, 1952:7, 1952:24, 1932:18, 1933:12, 1726:21, 1727:2, offer [10] - 1783:14, 1832:3, 1833:13, 1953:8, 1953:25, 1933:18, 1933:22, 1727:5 1833:14, 1858:10, 1837:14, 1838:14, 1955:17, 1955:18, 1933:24, 1934:9, NRCS [4] - 1726:25, 1860:10, 1942:4, 1839:12, 1848:1, 1957:4, 1958:2,

1934:14, 1934:16, 1938:12, 1940:7, 1976:18, 1976:19, 1895:2, 1896:6, oversee [1] - 1723:21 31 1934:21, 1934:23, 1941:25, 1946:6, 1976:23, 1977:12, 1896:11 overstepping [1] -1937:20 1946:24, 1946:25, 1977:13, 1980:2, organization [2] -1845:14 oil's [1] - 1849:22 1947:1, 1947:19, 1981:22, 1984:16 1829:2 1829:14 own [9] - 1799:9, Oklahoma [1] - 1812:7 1947:23, 1948:8, opinions [5] organizations [1] -1814:21, 1861:8, old [9] - 1805:4, 1973:10, 1976:3, 1733:12, 1843:21, 1793:19 1891:3, 1891:4, 1976:6, 1980:17, 1854:16. 1882:25. 1812:17, 1825:6, organize [1] - 1798:7 1915:6, 1940:6, 1899:24 1983:13 1890:19, 1891:8, organizer [3] -1972.7 one's [2] - 1833:10, 1898:7, 1898:16, opponent [3] - 1736:1, 1828:14, 1828:25, owned [8] - 1795:10, 1916:7, 1929:8 1833:11 1736:3, 1736:5 1795:11, 1795:12, 1829:1 ones [1] - 1754:10 Olson [1] - 1706:16 opportunity [6] origin [1] - 1853:21 1907:15, 1907:18, OLSON [1] - 1712:7 ongoing [1] - 1739:3 1764:7, 1799:23, original [6] - 1730:2, 1908:2, 1908:19, Onida [1] - 1989:13 1826:22, 1867:14, 1737:4, 1749:24, 1917:15 Oltmanns [1] - 1707:9 OLTMANNS [1] online [4] - 1739:6, 1970:4, 1970:11 1764:14, 1805:4, oxygen [1] - 1823:17 1813:24, 1814:19, oppose [1] - 1832:16 1717:13 1973:8 opposed [2] once [7] - 1767:12, 1815:3 ORRIN [1] - 1716:13 1843:21, 1932:2 OPEC [1] - 1842:16 otherwise [3] -1767:24, 1823:1, open [9] - 1736:10, opposing [2] -1932:6, 1960:5, 1823:2, 1859:25, **p.m**[1] - 1988:3 1779:9, 1779:17, 1832:25, 1921:8 1937:14, 1937:16 1965:8 package [4] - 1955:24, 1780:4, 1780:20, opt [1] - 1792:1 ought[1] - 1927:21 one [101] - 1721:20, 1960:1, 1960:6, 1792:22, 1853:16, option [4] - 1791:25, ourselves [1] -1723:14, 1726:6, 1960:9 1951:19, 1952:3 1866:15, 1895:19, 1727:25, 1730:4, 1971:20 packaged [1] operate [4] - 1754:21, 1946:23 1730:17, 1732:16, out-of-court [4] -1975:24 1878:19, 1972:10, options [2] - 1849:19, 1734:4, 1734:16, 1871:13, 1948:16, pad [1] - 1894:10 1736:14, 1740:14, 1972:12 1895:12 1954:1, 1954:3 PAGE [25] - 1705:2, 1744:4, 1747:5, operates [1] - 1833:12 OR14-42-000 [1] outcome [1] - 1790:4 1706:2, 1706:13, 1751:9, 1760:6, operating [5] - 1745:8, 1985:21 outlined [1] - 1927:16 1707:2, 1708:2, 1760:7. 1760:12. 1794:18, 1920:7, oral [1] - 1950:5 outlines [1] - 1904:11 1708:8. 1708:12. 1760:20, 1767:8, 1920:10, 1920:12 orally [1] - 1925:23 output [4] - 1749:14, 1708:15, 1709:2, 1768:2, 1777:14, operation [6] -Orchid [2] - 1708:7, 1750:23, 1835:18, 1710:2, 1711:2, 1778:2, 1783:23, 1746:16, 1755:15, 1772:18 1836:3 1711:14, 1712:2, 1791:1, 1793:14, 1755:16, 1783:11, outside [9] - 1746:21, orchid [2] - 1739:15, 1713:2, 1714:2, 1794:2, 1802:7, 1913:6, 1915:13 1743:3 1747:5, 1776:7, 1714:19, 1715:2, 1804:5, 1812:3, operational [1] order [31] - 1788:23, 1793:11, 1793:13, 1715:6. 1716:2. 1812:17, 1814:2, 1789:5, 1800:9, 1754:14 1845:9, 1845:10, 1717:2, 1718:2, 1814:9, 1815:14, operations [1] -1808:17, 1821:12, 1854:24, 1857:24 1718:15, 1719:2, 1815:20, 1816:6, 1763:16 1827:3, 1835:12, overcome [1] -1719:5, 1720:2 1818:2, 1818:11, operators [1] -1835:13, 1842:2, 1937:25 page [45] - 1707:14, 1820:19, 1820:25, 1972:12 1843:8, 1846:24, overland [1] - 1748:6 1725:14, 1726:7, 1821:4, 1822:15, 1858:24, 1859:2, opinion [48] overlooked [1] -1726:8, 1733:9, 1824:19, 1831:8, 1859:20, 1860:6, 1733:19, 1734:6, 1849:14 1735:5, 1735:6, 1831:17, 1832:13, 1861:8, 1862:12, 1740:13, 1740:15, overrule [12] -1744:21, 1752:13, 1836:15, 1840:4, 1740:20, 1751:24, 1863:25, 1884:4, 1734:24, 1735:24, 1759:24, 1760:15, 1840:16, 1841:3, 1752:14, 1779:23, 1906:15, 1911:9, 1741:7, 1767:21, 1760:18, 1761:20, 1849:4, 1860:20, 1786:25, 1787:2, 1914:18, 1914:20, 1777:4, 1777:5, 1766:10, 1769:11, 1879:8, 1892:9, 1802:22, 1803:5, 1918:6, 1959:20, 1780:17, 1833:24, 1769:14, 1770:21, 1897:2, 1898:23, 1805:1, 1808:14, 1960:10, 1961:17, 1872:11, 1928:2, 1771:20, 1772:18, 1902:6, 1904:8, 1811:14, 1812:25, 1985:20, 1985:23, 1964:18, 1973:24 1773:5, 1773:20, 1906:14, 1907:17, 1815:6, 1816:20, 1985:25, 1986:2 overruled [22] -1779:22, 1789:12, 1907:23, 1908:4, 1817:1, 1817:3, Order [2] - 1707:14, 1737:9, 1738:20, 1814:2, 1814:5, 1908:10, 1908:19, 1817:12, 1817:25, 1961:16 1741:4. 1747:10. 1820:17, 1902:8, 1911:19, 1912:6, 1818:1, 1819:6, ordered [2] - 1949:5, 1764:6, 1765:9, 1907:4, 1922:24, 1914:7, 1915:3, 1819:18, 1850:21, 1958:24 1777:1, 1787:15, 1922:25, 1923:11, 1918:8, 1918:13, 1885:21, 1886:4, orders [1] - 1866:19 1832:4, 1833:23, 1926:5, 1940:6, 1922:5, 1922:7, 1889:6, 1889:11, ordinarily [1] - 1969:1 1848:15, 1851:22, 1952:3, 1952:6, 1923:1, 1923:6, 1896:1, 1900:3, Oregon [2] - 1721:10, 1854:1, 1871:16, 1959:3, 1959:15, 1923:7, 1926:17, 1900:6, 1909:3, 1722:8 1879:6, 1884:25, 1965:24, 1966:3, 1926:18, 1928:20, 1932:16, 1953:6, organic [8] - 1795:20, 1886:6, 1887:9, 1966:6, 1966:8, 1929:11, 1931:14, 1970:9, 1975:8, 1795:23 1875:25 1910:8, 1949:24, 1966:10, 1978:14 1932:23, 1938:4, 1975:11, 1975:24, 1894:23, 1894:25, 1952:9, 1981:16 pages [12] - 1865:22,

1959:16, 1965:18, 1834:20 1906:12 1792:22, 1912:3 1904:20, 1915:22, 32 1966:1, 1966:4, particular [24] pending [2] - 1948:15, 1916:1, 1945:15, permanent [3] -1966:11, 1986:21, 1746:5, 1757:5, 1948:22 1778:24, 1779:3, 1946:13, 1947:7, 1986:23, 1986:24 1767:3. 1769:7. 1954:16 people [35] - 1775:14, 1917.2 Pages [1] - 1703:10 1774:19. 1778:19. 1775:17. 1776:15. permeability [4] persons [1] - 1953:17 Paha [1] - 1815:18 1798:8. 1830:20. 1790:25, 1812:5, 1806:21, 1808:2, perspective [4] -1835:22, 1937:22, 1833:14. 1863:25. **PAIGE** [1] - 1712:7 1812:24, 1814:11, 1809:14, 1810:2 1865:4. 1865:5. 1819:10, 1823:12, 1971:12, 1972:23 painfully [1] - 1812:4 permeable [1] -1883:6, 1899:2, 1836:17, 1845:10, pallid [4] - 1708:4, 1807:14 perspectives [1] -1899:4, 1905:5, 1845:11, 1845:13, 1837:5 1739:14, 1742:21, permeate [1] -1915:12, 1917:9, 1845:17, 1846:11, 1770:20 1809:15 pertaining [2] -1918:18, 1932:25, 1847:1, 1847:13, Pallid [1] - 1708:5 permission [1] -1953:16, 1964:23 1948:10, 1978:19, 1853:17, 1853:19, 1911:5 pertains [3] - 1842:3, paper [9] - 1759:20, 1979:1, 1980:6 1816:18, 1816:19, 1854:14, 1857:19, PERMIT [1] - 1703:5 1849:17, 1869:4 1884:1, 1890:16, particularly [3] -1829:22, 1924:22, permit [19] - 1721:18, pertinent [3] -1727:1, 1898:9, 1896:3, 1944:13, 1975:14, 1976:10, 1749:6, 1751:5, 1802:15, 1824:10, 1926:13 1944:19, 1945:4, 1987:9, 1987:13 1751:10, 1751:22, 1915:9 parties [11] - 1827:19, 1949:21, 1953:19, paragraph [7] -1765:4, 1789:2, PETER [1] - 1711:3 1840:20, 1858:19, 1959:6, 1960:14, 1789:3, 1791:7, Petition [1] - 1707:17 1762:2, 1764:8, 1861:5, 1866:16, 1967:20, 1972:9, 1764:11, 1769:19, 1791:24, 1792:6, petition [1] - 1764:18 1867:14, 1885:14, 1973:10 1770:10, 1772:19, 1792:14, 1794:25, petroleum [7] -1893:19, 1945:5, people's [6] - 1757:22, 1795:4, 1796:12, 1985:19 1722:21, 1799:2, 1957:11 1835:2, 1880:19, 1985:4, 1985:9, parallel [1] - 1951:9 1799:13, 1856:13, Partners [1] - 1974:20 1955:5, 1976:14, 1985:11, 1987:12 parallels [1] - 1947:16 1856:17, 1856:21, partners [1] - 1974:22 1976:16 Permit [20] - 1723:9, parameters [3] -1876:7 per [13] - 1930:19, 1725:24, 1737:6, parts [2] - 1799:1, 1796:11, 1885:7, PETTERSON [1] -1930:22, 1930:23, 1886:10 1738:23, 1747:17, 1944:8 1715:22 party [12] - 1736:1, 1931:3, 1931:10, 1747:20. 1749:2. paraphrasing [2] -Petterson [4] - 1707:9, 1931:13, 1931:17, 1736:3, 1736:4, 1768:18, 1782:14, 1775:4, 1815:7 1940:7, 1941:24, 1932:3, 1933:2, 1736:22, 1790:1, 1795:4, 1926:16, 1942:15 parcel [1] - 1891:9 1791:2, 1793:1, 1933:3, 1933:5, 1972:18, 1977:15, PHMSA [10] - 1745:1, parent [3] - 1974:15, 1934:8, 1934:15 1796:4, 1796:9, 1978:18, 1980:9, 1746:11, 1746:15, 1974:21, 1974:23 perceived [1] -1840:14, 1973:10, 1982:20, 1984:5, parks [1] - 1795:10 1754:2, 1754:12, 1957:12 1979:10 1984:17, 1984:24, part [37] - 1732:4, 1754:21, 1755:14, percent [22] - 1730:22, passed [2] - 1791:20, 1986:3 1738:24. 1747:22. 1783:15, 1783:18 1835:11, 1835:14, 1844:12 permits [6] - 1726:13, PHMSA's [1] -1771:4, 1771:12, 1846:25, 1874:2, 1789:2, 1789:10, passes [1] - 1784:16 1771:14, 1776:22, 1746:12 1875:14, 1878:24, passing [1] - 1849:22 1790:21, 1791:7, 1786:10, 1793:25, phone [6] - 1737:1, past [6] - 1842:4, 1912:3, 1912:10, 1796:8 1827:10, 1972:21, 1795:16, 1799:23, 1912:13, 1912:14, 1854:13, 1875:14, permitted [2] - 1765:2, 1972:22, 1973:4, 1804:8, 1824:7, 1912:15, 1912:16, 1933:7, 1943:16, 1781:1 1831:17, 1842:16, 1974:5 1912:23, 1929:19, permitting [7] -1968:1 1847:7, 1855:2, photograph [1] -1931:6, 1932:8, path [1] - 1945:8 1721:16, 1763:18, 1873:3, 1875:3, 1905:22 1933:8, 1933:11, 1768:23, 1782:12, pathway [1] - 1947:24 1882:16, 1883:2, photography [1] -1934:22, 1947:20, patience [1] - 1805:25 1794:7, 1814:24, 1887:8, 1893:4, 1929:8 1956:23 patiently [2] - 1862:3, 1833:9 1893:11, 1895:22, Photos [5] - 1707:19, Perennial [1] perpendicular [1] -1862:5 1902:8, 1911:4, 1707:19, 1707:20, 1793:24 1894:12 1930:17, 1949:15, Paul [1] - 1799:11 1707:20, 1707:21 perfectly [1] - 1767:18 person [6] - 1819:15, 1950:20, 1956:19, pause [1] - 1978:12 physical [5] - 1809:3, perform [1] - 1783:23 1854:2, 1904:14, 1958:11, 1959:16, pay [2] - 1912:2, 1809:20, 1809:22, performance [1] -1921:7. 1950:6. 1960:1, 1973:13, 1976:3 1810:4, 1958:19 1957:1 1974:12 1983:11 paying [1] - 1912:3 pick [5] - 1821:10, performed [3] parte [3] - 1959:22, personal [10] - 1817:1, payment [1] - 1913:11 1821:12, 1946:23, 1770:25, 1771:3, 1848:2, 1854:4, 1961:8, 1962:12 pays [1] - 1790:7 1946:24, 1947:2 1786:13 1871:4, 1872:8, participate [4] picked [10] - 1946:14, peak [1] - 1842:13 perhaps [6] - 1745:13. 1887:23, 1904:22, 1723:16, 1724:23, 1947:2, 1947:13, peer [3] - 1871:25, 1802:20, 1862:11. 1949:19, 1954:11, 1861:17, 1861:21 1947:14, 1947:16, 1872:4, 1887:22 1909:25, 1922:23, 1956:21 participated [1] -1947:23, 1949:16. peg [1] - 1853:9 1981:4 1962:7 personally [9] -1952:18, 1952:20 **PEGGY** [1] - 1717:6 period [3] - 1741:25, participates [1] -1900:2, 1904:19, picking [1] - 1946:23 pendency [1] -

		1		1
picture [5] - 1790:10,	1898:22, 1898:23,	Plains [3] - 1770:5,	1803:6, 1827:11,	possibilities [2] - 33
1823:18, 1917:24,	1898:25, 1899:18,	1770:15, 1770:16	1827:19, 1834:23,	1817:13, 1817:21
1931:5, 1936:7	1900:7, 1900:10,	Plan [17] - 1705:6,	1835:4, 1838:19,	possibility [1] -
pictures [1] - 1942:20	1907:6, 1911:7,	1705:7, 1708:3,	1852:23, 1859:8,	1741:19
piece [6] - 1746:5,	1911:8, 1913:5,	1708:3, 1708:4,	1863:24, 1867:24,	possible [18] - 1740:5,
1812:24, 1816:18,	1913:6, 1913:7,	1873:4, 1873:15,	1879:18, 1889:7,	1742:20, 1742:23,
1816:19, 1829:22,	1915:25, 1917:2,	1873:23, 1873:24,	1926:11, 1927:23,	1748:3, 1790:4,
1878:16	1919:20, 1919:23,	1875:10, 1879:5,	1932:24, 1933:21,	1792:21, 1817:9,
Pierre [1] - 1704:15	1922:17, 1931:1,	1879:7, 1888:19,	1933:23, 1937:14,	1823:3, 1823:11,
pipe [13] - 1750:1,	1931:11, 1931:20,	1888:23, 1889:23,	1941:12, 1976:8	1829:5, 1836:15,
1750:7, 1888:17,	1932:11, 1936:2,	1893:8, 1896:5	pointed [1] - 1801:20	1879:23, 1882:21,
1889:20, 1894:7,	1936:4, 1936:5,	plan [27] - 1728:19,	pointing [3] - 1929:2,	1885:16, 1893:18,
1900:12, 1931:3,	1937:4, 1937:8,	1728:24, 1728:25,	1937:11, 1985:15	1970:4, 1970:10,
1932:2, 1932:7,	1937:9, 1937:12,	1729:6, 1729:17,	points [4] - 1777:12,	1981:5
1935:3, 1944:20	1937:14, 1937:15,	1729:22, 1760:2,	1864:12, 1929:3,	possibly [4] - 1735:19,
pipe's [1] - 1930:23	1937:16, 1940:8,	1784:5, 1798:7,	1972:8	1746:20, 1757:11,
Pipeline [8] - 1705:5,	1941:25, 1950:19,	1807:19, 1847:19,	policy [7] - 1749:4,	1836:20
1705:21, 1705:22,	1953:18, 1971:8	1862:13, 1868:2,	1904:4, 1904:6,	post [4] - 1838:2,
1764:2, 1830:17,	pipeline's [2] -	1874:9, 1874:17,	1904:10, 1904:11,	1839:20, 1840:1,
1835:17, 1890:3,	1905:19, 1912:7	1875:16, 1876:23,	1904:13, 1944:19	1926:20
1949:22	Pipeline's [1] -	1879:10, 1882:16,	Policy [2] - 1976:20,	postconstruction [1] -
PIPELINE [1] - 1703:5	1707:13	1888:16, 1892:14,	1976:24	1881:5
pipeline [114] -	pipelines [18] -	1892:16, 1892:18,	policymakers [1] -	postdecision [1] -
1721:17, 1729:1,	1763:17, 1783:8,	1892:21, 1892:24,	1969:18	1920:5
1729:2, 1733:14,	1790:12, 1790:13,	1897:19, 1983:3	polluted [1] - 1749:19	potential [31] -
1733:15, 1739:12,	1832:25, 1837:13,	planned [1] - 1779:9	pollution [1] - 1729:21	1725:15, 1740:17,
1742:3, 1742:15,	1869:12, 1887:21,	planning [5] -	pond [2] - 1929:9,	1742:1, 1742:13,
1745:1, 1745:8,	1887:24, 1926:13,	1875:21, 1883:24,	1930:4	1751:11, 1758:13,
1745:17, 1745:18,	1931:18, 1935:23,	1888:23, 1893:4,	pool [1] - 1809:6	1758:15, 1770:22,
1746:16, 1748:9,	1937:20, 1938:1,	1893:9	Pool [1] - 1706:8	1779:24, 1802:19,
1748:13, 1754:13,	1938:2, 1943:3,	plans [16] - 1728:18,	pooled [1] - 1809:13	1802:25, 1803:1,
1754:21, 1755:7,	1943:12, 1944:1	1728:21, 1729:8,	populated [1] -	1807:7, 1810:25,
1755:15, 1763:12,	pipes [4] - 1935:2,	1730:13, 1760:3,	1756:17	1811:3, 1811:12,
1777:23, 1783:9,	1935:4, 1935:5,	1790:22, 1792:4,	portion [14] - 1772:8,	1811:19, 1815:22,
1783:12, 1783:16,	1943:4	1795:17, 1869:6,	1772:25, 1905:5,	1816:9, 1817:7,
1784:18, 1790:1,	pipit [13] - 1708:3,	1869:7, 1882:23,	1925:15, 1949:13,	1818:6, 1818:17,
1794:17, 1800:11,	1739:14, 1742:4,	1882:25, 1981:21,	1950:11, 1953:9,	1818:24, 1824:16,
1801:21, 1802:21,	1742:6, 1760:2,	1981:25, 1982:4,	1964:19, 1964:21,	1824:25, 1834:18,
1803:2, 1803:23,	1760:19, 1761:2,	1983:10	1964:22, 1965:4,	1842:22, 1843:16,
1804:14, 1804:17,	1764:19, 1765:22,	plant [2] - 1762:20,	1965:6, 1965:15,	1847:15, 1848:14
1806:11, 1807:5,	1766:6, 1768:4,	1933:19	1980:15	potentially [3] -
1811:20, 1813:20,	1770:1, 1770:9	plastic [1] - 1878:11	portions [6] - 1808:20,	1780:5, 1815:8,
1815:23, 1816:24,	pipits [1] - 1761:8	play [5] - 1775:11,	1810:25, 1901:14,	1824:18
1817:2, 1819:14,	pits [1] - 1929:17	1777:8, 1842:16,	1963:18, 1964:6,	power [5] - 1933:19,
1821:13, 1821:17,	place [19] - 1767:3,	1846:19, 1847:16	1966:19	1947:14, 1947:17,
1821:21, 1829:1,	1781:10, 1784:5,	plenty [1] - 1852:11	Portland [4] -	1948:1
1836:3, 1836:18,	1786:25, 1787:3,	plot [8] - 1896:15,	1721:10, 1722:8,	PowerPoints [2] -
1842:21, 1843:6,	1824:2, 1824:17,	1899:2, 1899:5,	1722:25, 1723:1	1965:2, 1965:22
1848:9, 1848:13,	1887:25, 1892:21,	1899:6, 1899:8,	portray [1] - 1960:10	practically [2] -
1849:22, 1850:15,	1913:21, 1922:3,	1899:23, 1899:25,	portrays [1] - 1812:12	1783:8, 1783:9
1869:16, 1874:8,	1922:16, 1922:21,	1900:3	pose [3] - 1733:14,	practice [2] - 1788:25,
1874:21, 1874:22,	1930:1, 1949:12,	plots [1] - 1899:1	1739:12, 1848:9	1871:9
1879:13, 1879:15,	1957:17, 1965:18,	plus [2] - 1724:16,	posed [3] - 1754:8,	practices [11] -
1879:22, 1881:8,	1973:9, 1982:5	1804:22	1801:4, 1923:25	1729:23, 1729:24,
1882:18, 1883:20,	placed [3] - 1762:12,	point [31] - 1741:16,	position [6] - 1786:14,	1751:19, 1752:6,
1887:4, 1887:11,	1926:12, 1926:16	1747:17, 1763:9,	1800:5, 1836:13,	1781:9, 1788:4,
1888:21, 1888:24,	places [7] - 1799:12,	1765:6, 1765:11,		1789:14, 1789:21,
1890:2, 1891:25,	1894:13, 1900:3,	1768:25, 1773:6,	1841:19, 1901:12,	1795:23, 1874:21,
1894:7, 1894:10,	1907:2, 1926:17,	1777:12, 1779:21,	1962:23	1887:25
1896:15, 1898:20,	1960:15, 1985:3	1780:17, 1797:4,	positively [1] -	prairie [3] - 1739:15,
,,	,	1100.11, 1101.4,	1817:11	p. a [0] 1700.10,

1743:3, 1979:15 1842:18, 1842:22, premonitions [1] prevents [2] - 1782:21 proceedings [10] -34 Prairie [2] - 1708:7, 1819:13 previous [4] -1764:4, 1764:5, 1847:21, 1857:7, 1772:17 preparation [4] -1766:10. 1818:6. 1802:16, 1806:8, 1882:20, 1889:24, 1728:5. 1732:11. 1846:18. 1881:15 1906:13. 1906:21. 1930:20, 1931:2, preceding [1] -1978:14 1842:25. 1922:1 previously [10] -1913:20. 1962:8. 1931:4, 1932:8 production's [1] precluded [1] prepare [10] -1723:4, 1737:13, 1989:9. 1989:12 1847:23 1764:20 1723:23, 1843:25, 1768:17, 1787:7, PROCEEDINGS [1] -1801:22, 1925:2, preconsidered [1] -1867:1, 1901:16, 1704.13 productivity [5] -1927:17, 1942:4, 1874:2. 1877:9. 1792:16 1906:13, 1915:6, process [61] - 1723:7, 1963:2, 1976:3. 1885:15. 1889:21. 1723:10, 1723:17, 1960:8, 1987:21 preconstruction [2] -1912:12 1984:19 prices [1] - 1847:11 1738:22, 1743:21 1725:24, 1738:17, 1739:2, 1740:15, predated [1] - 1937:15 prepared [23] primarily [7] products [7] -1724:3, 1725:3, 1721:17, 1724:14, 1740:18, 1743:15, 1856:13, 1856:17, predicted [1] -1856:21, 1934:9, 1842:13 1804:21, 1804:25, 1770:2, 1770:5, 1750:10, 1750:11, 1751:22, 1754:12, 1806:7, 1806:10, 1770:14, 1794:17, 1935:4, 1936:5, predictions [3] -1937.9 1811:8, 1856:5, 1834:8 1756:24, 1757:6, 1842:8, 1842:10, 1905:24, 1915:7, 1763:19, 1768:17, professional [11] primary [2] - 1798:23, 1855:25 1935:19, 1941:12, 1768:18, 1768:24, 1721:11, 1760:9, 1799:11 prefabricated [1] -1942:25, 1943:6, prime [1] - 1764:1 1771:5, 1771:12, 1798:1, 1827:8, 1880:8 1956:23, 1959:11, 1771:13, 1771:15, 1828:17, 1863:1, preface [2] - 1959:1, principal [1] - 1868:15 1967:5, 1967:8, 1782:12, 1782:17, 1867:21, 1871:7, 1968:11 principles [1] -1967:14, 1967:16, 1784:20, 1786:17, 1889:6, 1889:7, prefaced [1] - 1979:2 1954:22 1967:18, 1975:13, 1786:19, 1786:24, 1889:11 prefacing [2] - 1765:5, print [1] - 1811:17 1979:18 1787:25, 1790:3, Professional [2] -1765:7 printing [1] - 1722:17 preparing [5] -1791:19, 1792:3, 1989:6, 1989:19 prefer [3] - 1856:24, priorities [1] - 1764:20 1724:12, 1724:13, 1794:6, 1796:24, **Program** [5] - 1798:3, 1857:5, 1857:7 priority [2] - 1834:21, 1796:25, 1817:8, 1748:19, 1843:5, 1813:8. 1813:23. preferable [3] -1834:22 1833:8, 1833:9, 1906:15 1814:23, 1823:9 1855:7, 1855:13, private [2] - 1799:5, 1835:2, 1846:21, prerogative [1] program [5] - 1792:1, 1857.9 1869:12 1866:23 1855:7, 1855:14, 1792:2, 1971:14, privately [1] - 1795:12 preference [2] -1867:5, 1874:23, presence [3] -1971:24, 1972:1 1815:16. 1877:14 privy [2] - 1736:17, 1875:5, 1876:9, Program's [1] -1809:13, 1809:21, Prefiled [6] - 1829:25, 1812:21 1877:8, 1877:25, 1921:15 1814:5 1865:3, 1921:5, probable [1] - 1957:21 1893:2, 1895:23, present [4] - 1748:7, 1924:17, 1925:7, programs [1] problem [9] - 1727:2, 1911:4, 1968:13, 1800:4, 1808:10, 1798:24 1981:8 1791:15, 1818:2, 1970:9, 1975:16, 1816:17 progress [1] - 1910:20 prefiled [28] - 1723:21, 1819:14, 1859:9, 1975:25, 1976:2, presentation [2] prohibit [3] - 1844:24, 1723:23, 1725:14, 1887:2, 1888:13, 1976:5, 1976:7 1945:13, 1945:14 1884:18, 1885:2 1728:5, 1738:2, 1978:12, 1978:15 processes [8] presented [7] prohibits [1] - 1824:22 1800:10, 1800:18, problems [5] - 1767:5, 1747:3, 1749:10, 1764:18, 1847:20, Project [1] - 1888:9 1801:4, 1801:19, 1805:4, 1880:24, 1749:13, 1749:14, 1861:18, 1945:17, project [90] - 1721:17, 1803:24, 1820:12, 1881:5, 1886:17 1794:7, 1833:10, 1956:6, 1961:2, 1721:20, 1721:22, 1830:4, 1830:6, procedural [1] -1855:24, 1874:15 1969:7 1721:25, 1723:24, 1839:15, 1848:17, 1861:10 produce [2] - 1934:1, presenters [2] -1727:3, 1728:1, 1863:14, 1864:4, procedure [5] -1934:16 1831:20, 1832:9 1742:18, 1742:25, 1867:20, 1901:16, 1738:12. 1738:14. produced [4] - 1857:8, presenting [2] -1743:3, 1745:11, 1901:19, 1910:10, 1920:7, 1920:10, 1933:6, 1933:12, 1858:25, 1861:19 1756:3, 1763:3, 1921:12, 1940:4, 1920:13 1934:23 preserve [1] - 1918:6 1766:20, 1766:21, 1941:2, 1977:16, procedures [1] producer [1] - 1934:12 1769:6, 1771:22. presumably [1] -1980:10, 1982:9, 1904:11 producers [1] -1772:21, 1774:3, 1812:17 1982:22 Procedures [1] -1857:20 1774:7, 1775:15, prefiling [1] - 1723:19 presumption [1] -1968:21 produces [2] - 1933:2, 1779:24, 1790:1, 1804:5 prehearing [2] proceed [7] - 1841:17, 1933:14 pretty [5] - 1750:10, 1791:1, 1791:21, 1838:15, 1838:17 1865:10, 1950:16, producing [2] -1791:25, 1792:11, prejudicial [2] -1843:7, 1932:15, 1955:19, 1966:22, 1889:1, 1934:13 1792:19, 1794:11, 1932:16, 1945:13 1837:16, 1910:5 1971:6, 1978:5 product [4] - 1847:5, 1794:12, 1794:15, prevalent [2] -Preliminary [1] proceeding [7] -1931:17, 1933:21, 1794:20, 1799:16, 1741:15, 1917:17 1707:15 1736:22, 1747:17, 1983:15 1804:4, 1817:5, prevent [1] - 1879:14 prematurely [1] -1887:8, 1901:17, production [12] -1823:14, 1836:18, prevention [1] -1867:11 1921:7, 1968:19, 1842:8, 1842:17, 1842:4, 1843:6, 1729:21 premise [1] - 1971:25 1970:6

1969:22, 1970:2, 1867:20, 1872:18, raises [1] - 1806:5 1713:12, 1714:4, 1715:3, 1715:13, 36 1872:24, 1881:19, ranch [1] - 1874:4 1714:7, 1714:11, 1717:7, 1717:17, 1971:9, 1974:14, 1881:20, 1882:8, 1714:14, 1714:21, 1718:17, 1718:22, 1980:11 ranchers [2] -1883:7, 1883:9, 1715:14, 1715:18, 1719:4, 1719:16, realm [1] - 1762:16 1853:13, 1857:18 1884:12, 1885:17, 1717:4, 1717:8, 1719:20, 1805:22, Realtime [2] - 1989:6, range [2] - 1792:16, 1886:15, 1892:3, 1718:18, 1718:22, 1938:22, 1940:16, 1989:19 1810:14 1892:5, 1892:6, 1719:12, 1719:16, 1955:18, 1964:16, ranged [1] - 1799:16 reason [16] - 1742:14, 1892:8. 1893:25. 1719:20. 1719:23. 1965:4 ranges [1] - 1933:5 1742:16, 1749:5, 1896:24, 1898:3, 1720:4, 1753:3, REAL [65] - 1805:19, 1759:4, 1806:6, rank [1] - 1934:8 1753:9, 1820:1, 1805:21, 1819:21, 1906:16, 1911:18, 1815:20, 1845:13, rappold [2] - 1709:13, 1914:22, 1915:4, 1913:15 1849:1, 1851:25, 1861:2, 1865:25, 1719:7 1852:19, 1862:11, 1916:11, 1916:14, rate [1] - 1752:8 RAPPOLD [69] -1875:24, 1882:14, 1916:16, 1916:22, rather [6] - 1783:7, 1870:6. 1870:8. 1898:15, 1918:16, 1734:15, 1753:4. 1918:25, 1919:5, 1870:12, 1870:16, 1807:23, 1808:23, 1942:4, 1964:8, 1753:6, 1756:24, 1920:15, 1920:17, 1870:19, 1870:24, 1809:22, 1880:21, 1985:24 1757:2, 1757:13, 1923:24, 1925:22, 1912:3 1871:2, 1871:13, reasonable [3] -1757:20, 1758:4, 1927:3, 1927:14, 1871:17, 1871:20, re [3] - 1886:3, 1792:21, 1862:2, 1759:15, 1759:21, 1928:10, 1928:12, 1887:19, 1891:1 1871:22, 1872:2, 1862:7 1762:18, 1765:1, 1928:16, 1928:17, re-vegetation [2] -1872:6, 1872:12, reasonableness [1] -1765:10, 1767:17, 1930:6, 1936:15, 1886:3, 1887:19 1881:20, 1906:5, 1773:4, 1786:15, 1792:16 1943:15, 1953:16, 1906:7, 1926:24, reach [6] - 1759:1, reasoning [3] -1786:18, 1787:6, 1927:2, 1938:17, 1953:20, 1953:22, 1904:19, 1909:13, 1887:12, 1897:4, 1793:14, 1793:17, 1954:7, 1954:8, 1913:8, 1968:2, 1938:21, 1939:3, 1897:5 1794:1, 1819:25, 1955:2, 1955:8, 1939:9, 1939:16, 1986:18 reasons [10] - 1751:9, 1849:4, 1849:6, 1955:12, 1959:21, reaches [2] - 1807:13, 1939:19, 1939:23, 1763:4. 1815:20. 1849:24, 1852:4, 1960:2, 1960:21, 1940:14, 1940:18, 1855:4, 1857:14, 1848:4 1824:19. 1841:10. 1961:18, 1961:20, 1940:21, 1941:1, react [1] - 1900:7 1918:19, 1963:10, 1858:1. 1858:15. 1961:21, 1961:24, 1941:4, 1943:22, 1963:13, 1969:13, read [28] - 1760:7, 1859:4. 1859:12. 1961:25, 1962:4, 1947:5, 1948:14, 1760:10, 1760:12, 1986:12 1859:16, 1860:4, 1962:9, 1962:17, 1948:21, 1948:25, 1761:5, 1762:2, rebut [2] - 1864:6, 1860:12, 1865:2, 1964:10, 1968:13, 1950:4, 1952:24, 1762:6, 1764:13, 1926:9 1865:15, 1866:8, 1968:16, 1969:6, 1953:8, 1953:24, 1764:16, 1769:19, 1866:18, 1866:24, REBUTTAL [2] -1972:16, 1972:17, 1954:18, 1957:5, 1879:4, 1881:23, 1769:25, 1770:10, 1719:5, 1720:2 1975:3, 1983:18 1958:12, 1958:15, 1803:23, 1833:18, 1882:2, 1883:7, Rebuttal [20] quick [7] - 1789:16, 1962:14, 1963:9, 1913:17, 1914:21, 1833:21, 1839:23, 1705:12, 1705:13, 1822:19, 1944:15, 1963:13, 1963:16, 1926:2, 1934:6, 1841:23, 1855:23, 1705:14, 1705:14, 1952:15, 1959:8, 1969:12, 1973:2, 1947:4, 1953:21, 1871:7, 1910:14, 1706:3, 1706:3, 1977:20, 1981:19 1973:16, 1975:4, 1961:7, 1962:11, 1941:3, 1949:6, 1706:7, 1706:11, quickly [5] - 1792:21, 1975:6, 1978:4, 1968:8, 1968:10, 1952:12, 1977:20, 1706:21, 1707:6, 1978:9, 1981:6, 1881:16, 1882:21, 1970:17, 1970:19, 1978:20, 1980:12, 1707:10, 1708:16, 1885:16, 1893:18 1981:8, 1983:18 1983:20, 1983:23, 1981:19, 1986:15, 1708:16, 1708:19, quite [3] - 1736:17, real [5] - 1944:4, 1984:2, 1984:20, 1987:1 1829:25, 1865:3, 1784:24, 1944:12 1952:15, 1970:8, 1984:23, 1985:18, readily [1] - 1821:1 1921:5, 1924:17, 1977:20, 1981:19 1985:25, 1986:11, reading [7] - 1745:20, 1925:8, 1981:9 reality [3] - 1838:4, R 1746:2, 1785:9. 1986:17, 1986:21, rebuttal [42] - 1826:6, 1839:24, 1934:3 1987:5, 1987:7, 1811:17, 1844:15, 1826:16, 1826:19, really [34] - 1726:10, 1987:10 1847:19, 1856:3 1826:22, 1827:4, rail [6] - 1930:15, 1726:20, 1726:23, Rappold [46] - 1704:7, reads [5] - 1765:13, 1827:11, 1859:3, 1931:8, 1931:10, 1728:14, 1730:23, 1709:4, 1709:9, 1910:16, 1949:13, 1859:5, 1861:17, 1931:22, 1932:5, 1731:1, 1736:3, 1709:12, 1709:17, 1950:11, 1973:23 1861:20, 1863:22, 1932:6 1756:25, 1757:20, 1709:19, 1710:5, ready [2] - 1924:8, 1864:3, 1864:5, rails [1] - 1931:13 1757:23, 1799:6, 1710:8, 1710:12, 1962:10 1864:11, 1864:12, rain [1] - 1788:1 1811:18, 1833:11, 1710:19, 1710:23, Real [30] - 1704:6, 1864:14, 1865:6, rainfall [2] - 1807:10, 1835:23, 1836:15, 1711:4, 1711:7, 1869:22, 1869:23, 1710:19, 1710:23, 1886.7 1836:16, 1845:2, 1711:12, 1711:13, 1711:4, 1711:16, 1909:16, 1909:18, rainwater [1] -1845:11, 1850:18, 1711:17, 1711:21, 1926:4, 1926:14, 1711:20, 1712:4, 1750:19 1852:16, 1853:9, 1712:4, 1712:9, 1712:9, 1712:22, 1927:18. 1928:3. raise [1] - 1941:11 1859:8, 1860:4, 1712:11, 1712:16, 1940:11. 1940:13. 1713:4, 1713:8, raised [5] - 1804:1, 1867:22, 1912:9, 1712:19, 1712:22, 1942:5, 1942:7, 1713:18, 1714:4, 1807:17, 1812:12, 1917:9, 1923:21, 1713:4, 1713:8, 1942:10, 1942:12, 1714:8, 1714:17, 1845:22, 1859:9 1933:1, 1948:8,

1942:16, 1942:22, 1731:1, 1735:13, 1709:10, 1709:11, 1830:2, 1836:14 1720:7, 1720:8 37 1955:9, 1955:11, 1751:9, 1752:5, 1709:12, 1709:13, **RECROSS**reflected [1] - 1913:12 1961:19, 1962:10, 1778:23, 1785:24, 1709:14, 1709:19, **EXAMINATION** [4] refresh [1] - 1966:25 1979:3, 1981:3, 1786:6, 1786:9, 1710:8, 1710:8, 1793:7. 1793:16. refuse [1] - 1845:12 1986:5, 1987:11 1787:8, 1787:16, 1710:9, 1710:9, 1794:4, 1857:13 regard [8] - 1726:18, rebutted 131 - 1859:7. 1796:13, 1880:2, 1710:15, 1710:16, red [1] - 1761:14 1732:19, 1738:8, 1942:13. 1953:13 1891:11, 1897:18 1710:23, 1710:23, Redirect [20] -1740:17, 1746:16, recommendations 1710:24. 1711:9. rebutting [2] -1709:11, 1709:18, 1768:24, 1787:17, 1886:20, 1886:21 1711:13, 1712:11, [20] - 1725:18, 1710:10, 1710:16, 1927:2 1712:12, 1712:12, 1725:21, 1725:25, RECALLED [1] -1710:24, 1711:9, regarding [26] -1726:1, 1735:1, 1712:13, 1712:19, 1719:2 1711:18, 1713:9, 1730:18, 1743:6, 1743:6, 1752:2, 1712:19, 1713:8, receive [2] - 1965:8, 1714:15, 1715:9, 1744:16, 1750:21, 1752:4, 1752:9, 1713:8, 1713:9, 1983:6 1715:16, 1715:20, 1753:15, 1753:20, 1713:10, 1713:15, 1752:19, 1752:21, received [11] -1716:5, 1716:11, 1757:15, 1763:16, 1713:15, 1714:7, 1782:15, 1785:22, 1723:22, 1724:25, 1716:20, 1717:19, 1770:20, 1772:17, 1714.7 1714.8 1785:25, 1786:3, 1737:1, 1829:7, 1718:8, 1718:14, 1788:8, 1802:2, 1787:4, 1787:9, 1714:8, 1714:9, 1861:6, 1943:15, 1719:10, 1720:8 1803:9, 1806:2, 1943:16, 1945:9, 1788:9, 1884:7, 1714:13, 1714:14, redirect [8] - 1796:17, 1859:4, 1865:2, 1884:11 1714:14, 1715:10, 1972:17, 1983:14 1797:8, 1825:12, 1875:11, 1877:14, 1715:11, 1715:21, recommended [2] recent [5] - 1812:4, 1825:13, 1858:3, 1880:2, 1883:19, 1716:20, 1717:19, 1729:20, 1730:5 1812:16, 1818:2, 1898:4, 1900:20, 1884:21, 1886:17, 1717:20, 1717:20, 1850:13, 1949:1 reconcile [1] -1920:18 1887:1, 1947:10, 1718:22, 1718:22, recently [3] - 1732:6, 1810:23 REDIRECT [2] -1965:19, 1968:4 1718:23, 1718:23, reconnect [1] -1805:2, 1829:7 1796:19, 1898:5 regardless [1] -1719:20, 1719:20, 1878:18 reduced [1] - 1933:8 1783:25 recertification [1] -1719:21, 1720:7, reconsider [1] reduction [2] -1723:9 regards [2] - 1893:23, 1720:8 1860:23 1972:6 recess [6] - 1782:1, 1933:11, 1934:22 recross [2] - 1797:8, reconsidering [1] region [7] - 1836:7, 1860:15, 1920:23, reestablish [1] -1900:19 1861:12 1836:9, 1836:21, 1949:10, 1963:8, 1891:1 record [38] - 1721:8, RECROSS [4] -1842:23, 1857:25, 1988:3 reevaluate [1] -1793:7, 1793:16, 1764:25, 1779:18, 1969:2 recharge [4] -1945:6 1794:4, 1857:13 1780:15, 1780:16, Regional [2] -1822:20, 1823:10, Reexamination [2] -1781:11, 1785:20, Recross-1823:19, 1824:5 1714:6, 1716:11 1708:10, 1708:10 1787:20, 1797:22, Examination [56] recharged [2] refer [8] - 1726:17, regional [1] - 1974:12 1709:9, 1709:9, 1804:12, 1828:13, 1822:16, 1824:23 Registered [2] -1775:5, 1783:12, 1830:2, 1830:9, 1709:10, 1709:10, reckon [1] - 1764:4 1884:9, 1895:10, 1989:5, 1989:19 1838:13, 1839:12, 1709:11, 1709:12, 1897:22, 1919:16, reclaim [1] - 1784:16 regs [1] - 1793:9 1709:13, 1709:14, 1839:17, 1841:11, reclamation [7] -1980:2 regularly [1] - 1763:19 1709:19, 1710:8, 1790:3, 1790:4, 1841:15, 1858:18, reference [7] - 1726:8, regulate [2] - 1775:10, 1710:8. 1710:9. 1859:5, 1860:24, 1868:16, 1869:6, 1726:10, 1938:25, 1885:12 1710:9, 1710:15, 1863:3, 1868:7, 1887:24, 1893:1, 1944:18, 1962:12, regulated [2] -1710:16, 1710:23, 1887:7, 1901:7, 1893:17 1979:7, 1985:4 1790:12, 1795:19 1710:23, 1710:24, 1915:15, 1917:21, referenceable [1] recognition [3] regulates [3] -1711:9, 1711:13, 1938:8, 1938:9, 1829:8, 1829:15, 1812:24 1778:19, 1795:8, 1712:11, 1712:12, 1943:23, 1948:19, 1834:24 referenced [2] -1814:23 1712:12, 1712:13, 1949:6, 1955:13, recognize [4] -1870:13, 1961:9 regulating [1] -1712:19, 1712:19, 1956:6, 1956:20, 1724:19, 1731:19, references [1] -1790:14 1713:8. 1713:8. 1962:20, 1975:21, 1870:2, 1905:16 1730:18 regulation [4] -1713:9, 1713:10, 1977:23 recognized [1] referred [4] - 1736:10, 1745:1, 1746:11, 1713:15, 1713:15, records [8] - 1814:20, 1960:17 1775:3, 1929:5 1833:9, 1844:13 1714:7, 1714:7, 1814:25, 1840:25, recollection [3] referring [4] - 1852:8, regulations [16] -1714:8, 1714:8, 1841:4, 1903:23, 1821:16, 1941:24, 1884:16, 1923:1, 1724:16, 1746:16, 1714:9, 1714:13, 1904:1, 1956:25, 1966:25 1928:21 1754:22, 1755:14, 1714:14, 1714:14, 1973:25 recommend [3] refined [3] - 1935:3, 1755:16, 1755:23, 1715:10, 1715:11, recovered [1] - 1797:4 1727:5, 1743:8, 1936:5, 1937:8 1755:24, 1756:12, 1715:21, 1716:20, Recovery [1] - 1708:4 1743:12 refineries [1] -1764:2, 1784:21, 1717:19, 1717:20, recreate [3] - 1870:16. recommendation [20] 1933:13 1790:22, 1844:6, 1717:20, 1718:22, 1870:19. 1872:2 - 1726:2, 1726:24, refinery [3] - 1851:7, 1844:8, 1844:10, 1718:22, 1718:23, Recross [56] - 1709:9, 1851:9. 1852:25 1844:14, 1844:20 1728:18 1728:24 1718:23, 1719:20, 1709:9, 1709:10, 1730:14, 1730:21, reflect [3] - 1780:16, regulatory [11] -1719:20, 1719:21,

1721:12, 1721:14, relief [1] - 1888:24 1865:14, 1901:2, 1885:3, 1885:5 respect [7] - 1735:25, 38 1902:2, 1989:9 1721:15, 1728:1, remain [5] - 1763:5, requires [3] - 1733:24, 1742:19, 1748:22, 1745:15, 1774:11, 1763:8. 1763:10. Reporter [9] -1757:16, 1797:5 1752:12, 1779:4, 1774:18, 1790:16, 1764:12, 1930:1 1765:13. 1910:16. requiring [1] -1953:23. 1954:6 1976:1, 1984:5, remainder [3] -1949:13. 1950:11. 1763:15 respective [2] -1985:5 1926:3, 1964:25, 1973:23. 1989:6. research [14] -1902:13, 1902:17 1989:19. 1989:19 respond [12] - 1757:8, regurgitating [1] -1965:21 1806:23, 1807:1, 1976:4 1780:7, 1826:24. reports [8] - 1791:13, 1807:2, 1807:3, remaining [2] -1836:12, 1837:6. 1918:25, 1919:1. regurgitation [1] -1807:6, 1807:19, 1825:18, 1979:11 1965:1 1842:11, 1846:23, 1920:4, 1941:22, 1807:20, 1808:3, remediate [1] - 1982:3 1855:23, 1856:3, 1950:22, 1953:22, rehabilitate [1] remember [14] -1809:1, 1842:7, 1784:16 1974:1 1855:22, 1899:23, 1962:14, 1973:16, 1772:5, 1784:10, rehash [3] - 1783:7, 1788:14. 1822:24. **Reports** [1] - 1706:9 1900:3, 1917:8 1981:6 1864:7, 1864:11 1831:22, 1832:10, represent [5] - 1753:9, researched [1] responded [3] rehashing [1] -1891:3, 1898:20, 1854:2, 1956:25, 1806:20 1812:2, 1961:25, 1864:25 1974:19 reserve [1] - 1863:25 1970:11 1936:1, 1937:3, reimbursement [1] representative [1] responding [3] -1939:1, 1950:14, reserves [2] -1909:4 1736:12, 1882:8, 1722:21 1951:13, 1981:3 1835:14, 1846:25 reimbursing [1] remind [2] - 1848:18, representing [3] -Reservoirs [2] -1971:4 response [45] -1920:10 1848:22 1853:23, 1853:24, 1706:9, 1706:10 1734:7, 1735:10, reinforcement [1] remotest [2] -1953:19 Residence [1] -1817:12, 1817:20 represents [1] -1705:15 1752:17, 1756:24, 1728:15 1905:19 1757:2, 1757:7, remove [3] - 1878:25, residential [4] relate [1] - 1950:24 1881:12, 1932:4 request [12] - 1723:22, 1935:24, 1936:21, 1759:15, 1777:16, related [11] - 1762:25, 1793:20, 1845:24, removed [2] - 1796:22 1792:9, 1827:5, 1936:22, 1936:23 1774:2, 1774:6, 1861:19, 1861:24, residents [2] -1846:14. 1852:9. 1799:3, 1799:13, render [2] - 1733:19, 1859:21. 1860:25. 1831:3, 1865:4, 1740:19 1862:10, 1862:15, 1849:12, 1852:7 1861:23, 1862:8, 1925:15, 1927:14, rendering [1] - 1734:5 1877:17, 1906:16, resisted [1] - 1837:13 1866:8, 1871:5, 1955:25, 1968:6, 1955:13, 1973:7 renewable [2] resolved [5] -1872:9, 1872:10, 1987:1 relates [2] - 1835:17, 1849:21, 1857:9 1736:16, 1785:12, 1887:16, 1909:20, Request [1] - 1706:22 1841:20 1914:15, 1914:16 repackage [1] -1909:21, 1915:3, relating [4] - 1761:25, requested [4] -1976:14 Resource [5] -1927:11, 1928:15, 1762:7, 1772:7, 1867:15, 1905:4, repair [1] - 1878:13 1722:22, 1723:1, 1932:13, 1935:11, 1918:12 1906:20, 1972:25 1723:4, 1727:25, repaired [1] - 1878:4 1943:9, 1943:11, relation [2] - 1835:1, requests [2] - 1827:7, 1731:23 repeat [2] - 1765:10, 1950:3, 1950:8, 1877:3 1836:3 resource [11] -1973:22 1953:3, 1954:9, require [10] - 1744:11, relationship [9] -1774:12, 1774:17, repeated [1] - 1936:15 1747:21, 1789:4, 1954:15, 1957:21, 1727:24, 1800:12, 1800:1, 1828:20, repeating [1] -1834:25, 1842:19, 1791:24, 1795:5, 1842:1, 1844:22, 1959:8, 1959:11, 1801:19 1960:1, 1963:5, 1795:16, 1796:9, 1853:22, 1854:3, 1873:6, 1873:8, rephrase [8] - 1740:4, 1967:8, 1969:16, 1854:9. 1854:14. 1842:25, 1852:5, 1876:11. 1877:7. 1776:2, 1786:21, 1970:17, 1973:6 1940:12 1987:13 1885:18 1855:19, 1890:11, Response [3] required [15] - 1732:3, Relationship [1] -Resources [6] -1935:15, 1950:17, 1705:5, 1705:6, 1738:18, 1740:19, 1706:8 1705:16, 1705:17, 1954:25 1706:21 1746:15. 1749:2. 1705:18, 1705:19. relatively [1] - 1899:8 rephrasing [1] responses [2] -1749:6, 1755:18, 1705:20, 1798:4 relatives [1] - 1847:2 1953:9 1862:14, 1950:24 1784:22, 1796:6, release [2] - 1748:13, replace [4] - 1891:8, resources [21] responsibilities [7] -1840:14, 1840:16, 1844:24 1726:25, 1737:2, 1891:12, 1891:17, 1721:13, 1790:8, 1884:4, 1899:3, relevancy [1] - 1974:7 1930:14 1775:4, 1782:11, 1975:15, 1977:7 1798:5, 1798:6, 1790:15, 1798:25, relevant [13] replenished [1] -1798:23, 1799:12, requirement [8] -1799:21, 1813:10, 1722:14, 1763:11, 1912.11 1950:20 1726:3, 1789:1, 1813:16, 1814:22, 1798:16, 1852:3, report [8] - 1838:2, responsibility [6] -1789:7, 1789:9, 1823:8, 1823:13, 1852:21, 1927:21, 1838:6, 1838:13, 1738:25, 1739:18, 1806:22, 1852:13, 1927:24, 1928:3, 1834:11, 1843:17, 1839:20, 1841:25, 1882:22, 1971:18, 1861:10, 1861:11 1855:10, 1868:25, 1943:25, 1969:21, 1842:7, 1871:6, 1971:19, 1974:18 requirements [11] -1875:6, 1886:5, 1970:1, 1970:6, 1871:8 responsible [2] -1745:7, 1754:14, 1895:25, 1907:10, 1981:2 Reported [1] -1814:11. 1979:10 1755:17, 1764:1, 1939:15 reliability [2] -1703:24 responsive [17] -1748:19, 1748:22 1795:24, 1796:7, Resources' [1] reporter [7] - 1721:4, 1864:15, 1906:22, 1883:24, 1884:19, 1814:2 relied [1] - 1735:12 1797:15, 1827:24,

1927:16, 1959:21, 1708:7 1800:13, 1801:21, roadways [1] - 1948:6 1861:9, 1861:12, 39 1961:17, 1964:21, reviewed [13] -**ROBERT** [1] - 1713:3 1803:23, 1806:3, 1964:13, 1964:20, 1964:24, 1965:5, 1724:4, 1725:5, 1807:5, 1811:20, 1966:14, 1971:4, robust [1] - 1971:14 1965:6, 1965:17, 1766:5. 1784:21. 1813:20, 1815:9, 1986:25 rock [7] - 1815:18, 1965:20, 1965:23, 1804:21, 1844:6, 1815:23, 1817:2, runoff [1] - 1788:1 1816:4, 1816:6, 1966:9, 1967:16, 1849:11. 1859:6. 1819:14, 1821:17, runs [1] - 1755:7 1816:16, 1818:15, 1969:5, 1969:19, 1872:1. 1872:5. 1821:21, 1836:5, 1825:4 Rural [7] - 1704:5, 1887:23. 1941:1. 1854:14. 1873:19. 1970:14 Rock [3] - 1706:4, 1704:9, 1733:8, rest [4] - 1825:16, 1903:17, 1904:23, 1773:12, 1820:10, 1959:3 1706:5, 1706:6 1858:19, 1950:14, 1905:20, 1909:5, 1883:14, 1969:14 reviewina [2] rocking [1] - 1828:11 1979:14 1911:7, 1917:2, 1771:11, 1789:16 rural [1] - 1883:25 rocks [1] - 1815:13 1919:21, 1919:23, restate [4] - 1747:11, reviews [2] - 1771:8, Ryan [4] - 1727:23, Rocky [1] - 1854:7 1919:25, 1920:4, 1885:1, 1888:18, 1871:12 1728:3, 1732:12, **ROD** [1] - 1718:6 1920:8, 1929:15, 1953:4 revise [1] - 1805:11 1789:11 Rod [1] - 1707:6 1946:14, 1946:22, **Reston** [1] - 1818:22 Revised [5] - 1708:4, Rolayne [1] - 1703:16 1946:25. 1947:13. restoration [14] -1738:5, 1774:2, S role [8] - 1722:1, 1947:14, 1947:15, 1729:9, 1752:8, 1779:5, 1780:2 1724:2, 1786:8, 1947:16, 1952:18, 1752:10, 1792:20, revised [3] - 1774:6, 1799:8, 1834:5, sacred [8] - 1834:24, 1952:21, 1952:22, 1874:22, 1875:2, 1802:12, 1884:11 1835:2, 1846:19, 1844:22, 1844:25, 1952:23, 1953:5, 1876:9, 1876:12, revisions [1] -1882:22 1845:5, 1845:8, 1953:7, 1953:18 1877:8, 1881:2, 1884:12 roles [2] - 1721:15, 1845:13, 1845:25, routes [3] - 1946:6, 1882:16, 1888:2 Rez [1] - 1845:23 1727:25 1846:8 1946:22, 1947:22 restorations [2] -RICHARD [1] -**RON** [1] - 1718:12 safest [1] - 1931:18 routine [1] - 1794:18 1888:1 1703:14 Ronald [1] - 1707:11 Safety [1] - 1764:2 restore [2] - 1743:21, routinely [4] rid [1] - 1895:24 Room [1] - 1704:15 safety [18] - 1731:24, 1763:19, 1813:9, 1744:10 rig [1] - 1814:7 room [7] - 1759:5, 1732:1, 1732:2, 1813:14, 1843:25 restored [3] - 1784:19. rig-side [1] - 1814:7 1764:22, 1806:1, 1732:6, 1733:16, Routing [1] - 1705:24 1875:7, 1875:8 right-hand [3] -1828:11, 1923:18, 1748:19, 1748:22, routing [4] - 1789:3, restrict [1] - 1979:20 1761:20, 1769:14, 1928:9, 1969:23 1755:17. 1755:23. 1947:10, 1950:19, resubmittal [1] -1922.9 roosting [2] - 1742:2, 1763:16. 1783:16. 1950:25 1973:1 right-of-way [2] -1788:20 1791:11. 1848:11. **RPR** [1] - 1703:24 result [3] - 1787:3, 1887:25. 1901:13 roots [1] - 1829:16 1930:1. 1931:15. RST [2] - 1759:23, 1819:6, 1842:6 Rights [2] - 1814:23, Rorie [13] - 1705:12, 1931:20, 1931:21, 1760:1 results [3] - 1914:4, 1823:9 1900:25, 1901:5, 1937:17 rubber [1] - 1933:21 1914:5, 1914:10 rights [3] - 1834:25, 1901:8, 1903:9, sag [2] - 1894:14, resume [2] - 1800:23, Rule [1] - 1733:11 1835:5, 1867:5 1904:25, 1907:2, 1894:19 rule [16] - 1736:1, 1865:16 rigs [1] - 1813:9 1907:12, 1907:18, sage [1] - 1979:15 1746:3, 1747:22, Resume [2] - 1705:15, ripped [1] - 1828:7 1909:8, 1913:18, **sagging** [4] - 1878:24, 1753:22, 1754:3, 1706:8 ripping [2] - 1874:1, 1919:8, 1928:10 1879:9, 1879:14, 1785:4, 1785:6, return [2] - 1874:1, 1888:22 **RORIE** [1] - 1719:11 1894:15 1785:9, 1861:3, 1983:16 risk [4] - 1759:1, ROSEBUD [1] **sake** [1] - 1866:3 1868:3, 1871:15, returned [7] - 1749:22, 1836:10, 1836:22 1708:2 **Sakowin** [1] - 1854:6 1942:10, 1950:9, 1799:19, 1875:13, risks [1] - 1850:20 Rosebud [12] salvage [2] - 1876:2 1961:8, 1962:12, 1881:15, 1889:21, Rislov [1] - 1703:18 1704:7, 1753:9, sampling [2] -1970:22 1972:20, 1978:1 River [12] - 1705:23, 1759:19, 1769:11, 1823:15, 1823:18 ruled [5] - 1733:18, review [26] - 1724:12, 1706:9, 1706:10, 1819:23, 1820:1, sand [3] - 1806:18, 1914:7, 1914:17, 1735:21, 1735:22, 1741:19, 1775:13, 1849:3, 1881:22, 1806:19, 1823:23 1948:18 1737:6, 1742:5, 1775:15, 1776:4, 1926:2, 1927:5, sandbag [3] ruler [1] - 1952:14 1764:7, 1771:2, 1969:13, 1983:19 1776:14, 1777:24, 1880:13, 1880:25, Rules [3] - 1765:3, 1774:1, 1774:5, 1778:3, 1818:5, roughly [3] - 1814:17, 1894:4 1867:8, 1968:20 1784:24, 1788:3, 1820.23 1814:24, 1933:6 sandbags [4] rules [15] - 1749:6, 1800:9, 1812:21, river [4] - 1749:18, round [1] - 1933:4 1879:10, 1880:1, 1865:21, 1866:16, 1757:4, 1763:15, 1749:22, 1751:1, Rounds [1] - 1703:17 1880:22, 1894:6 1955:8, 1957:18, 1867:2, 1873:3, 1751:4 route [55] - 1741:16, sands [4] - 1807:23, 1957:25, 1958:23, 1900:1, 1929:7, road [8] - 1764:10, 1742:15, 1745:18, 1959:22, 1960:22, 1823:15, 1823:20, 1958:3, 1959:1, 1868:12, 1918:20, 1746:5, 1748:9, 1961:14, 1962:2, 1831:6 1959:5, 1959:6, 1925:14, 1929:10, 1755:12, 1763:12, Sapa [1] - 1815:18 1963:10, 1963:17, 1962:18, 1970:21, 1929:15, 1929:17, 1766:3, 1766:16, sat [2] - 1722:11, 1972:24 1987:12, 1987:13 1932:1 1766:21, 1770:9, 1949:21 Review [2] - 1708:5, ruling [8] - 1861:8, roadway [1] - 1887:25 1796:7, 1800:11,

1889:12 1730:2, 1753:10, 1786:22, 1787:12, 40 satisfied [5] sentiments [1] -1785:15, 1785:22, scope [7] - 1776:7, 1761:11, 1761:21, 1789:23, 1793:11, 1909:25 1761:22, 1769:15, 1786:4, 1786:6, 1793:12, 1793:13, 1794:2, 1794:5, separate [5] - 1876:3, 1787:5 1851:17. 1885:25. 1769:16, 1769:17, 1796:16, 1861:1, 1876:5. 1876:11. satisfies [1] - 1787:18 1909:16, 1909:19 1800:16, 1804:20, 1865:13, 1866:2, 1876:14, 1899:18 satisfy [4] - 1782:15, screen [1] - 1985:20 1817:13, 1817:17, 1866:12, 1866:21, separation [1] -1817:20, 1817:23, 1867:13, 1868:2, 1782:20, 1788:9, screened [1] -1873:25 1834:18. 1838:23. 1868:6. 1870:4. 1968:17 1782.21 September [9] -1839:3, 1842:12, 1870:21, 1871:6, Sattgast [14] - 1709:8, SD [6] - 1704:9, 1703:8, 1770:15, 1847:3, 1849:17, 1872:9, 1879:5, 1710:7, 1710:15, 1705:6, 1705:8, 1906:8, 1906:20, 1881:17, 1883:4, 1850:24, 1856:19, 1710:22, 1712:6, 1705:9, 1978:23, 1939:20, 1940:19, 1859:8, 1859:15, 1884:6, 1884:20, 1712:18, 1712:24, 1980:14 1941:13, 1957:9, 1860:14, 1867:22, 1885:24, 1886:18, 1713:7, 1715:15, SD-LI-027519300 [2] -1958:18 1869:18, 1881:5, 1886:22, 1887:3, 1717:10, 1718:21, 1922:5, 1922:12 serious [2] - 1733:14, 1893:13, 1902:25, 1890:8, 1893:20, 1719:9, 1719:19, SD-LI-065.100 [1] -1818:20 1922:7, 1923:5, 1898:6, 1900:18 1720:7 1917:25 seriously [2] - 1811:5, 1929:1, 1936:24, **Semmler** [25] -SATTGAST [10] -SD-MC-010.000 [3] -1951:21 1937:25, 1963:1, 1704:2, 1709:16, 1703:14, 1741:9, 1916:25, 1928:18, serve [2] - 1931:2, 1964:8, 1978:15 1709:18, 1710:11, 1777:5, 1896:23, 1928:20 1944:22 seed [7] - 1877:11, 1710:16, 1711:7, 1897:3, 1897:9, SD-MN-032-000 [2] served [1] - 1861:5 1928:18, 1929:11 1877:15, 1877:17, 1711:9, 1712:8, 1897:13, 1897:17, server [1] - 1972:7 1712:12, 1712:13, 1877:18, 1877:19, 1897:25, 1966:15 SD-MN-032.000 [2] serves [1] - 1840:15 Saunsoci [3] -1877:22, 1897:23 1712:23, 1713:6, 1918:11, 1929:13 service [12] - 1726:25, seeing [3] - 1867:18, 1713:9, 1713:19, 1708:19, 1921:6, **SDARWS** [2] -1785:16, 1787:16, 1714:12, 1714:14, 1921:12 1900:21, 1923:8 1708:12, 1718:15 1796:25, 1797:1, 1717:18, 1717:19, seek [3] - 1907:16, saw [1] - 1918:17 search [3] - 1814:4, 1810:24, 1811:24, 1908:3, 1908:12 1717:20, 1719:3, **SCADA** [3] - 1742:20, 1814:6, 1814:9 1812:1, 1812:14, 1719:7, 1720:3, seeks [1] - 1908:9 1972:6 searched [2] -1815:3, 1817:22, 1720:8, 1735:18, seem [2] - 1865:17, scale [7] - 1807:21, 1814:10, 1814:14 1930:23 1782:5 1976:5 1842:15, 1951:21, season [3] - 1912:7, Service [43] - 1725:20, Semmler's [1] segregate [1] - 1876:5 1951:23, 1952:10, 1912:8, 1912:11 1725:22, 1725:24, 1866:25 segregation [4] -1952:12, 1952:13 1738:7. 1739:1. second [7] - 1736:19, senior [3] - 1721:12, 1873:25, 1875:18, **scenarios** [1] - 1748:3 1739:19, 1739:20, 1839:15. 1892:9. 1721:13, 1901:13 1876:21, 1897:1 schedule [5] - 1724:1, 1912:10, 1912:16, 1739:24, 1740:5, sense [8] - 1845:2, **Selby** [1] - 1820:19 1728:23, 1729:11, 1916:25, 1937:20 1740:9, 1740:16, 1853:21, 1854:4, 1729:14, 1729:15 selected [1] - 1823:15 1742:10, 1746:4, secondary [2] -1884:13, 1933:24, selection [1] -1746:14, 1746:19, scheduled [2] -1868:24, 1868:25 1947:3, 1947:19, 1963:23 1746:24, 1747:13, 1862:17, 1987:21 secondhand [1] -1976:1 Scheduling [1] self [1] - 1833:8 1754:1, 1754:9, 1945:15 sensitive [23] -1961:16 self-educated [1] -1755:6, 1755:11, secondly [2] - 1958:3, 1732:21, 1744:1, SCHOFFELMAN [1] -1833:8 1758:24, 1760:1, 1987:21 1744:23, 1745:2, semantics [1] -1764:17, 1768:10, 1715.7 Section [1] - 1769:5 1745:3, 1745:17, 1852:16 1768:20, 1768:22, Schoffelman [3] section [3] - 1748:23, 1745:24, 1746:1, semis [1] - 1932:2 1779:7, 1779:14, 1707:10, 1707:21, 1760:22, 1762:6 1746:6, 1746:10, 1780:23, 1782:25, semmler [1] - 1719:10 1907:24 sections [2] -1746:14, 1746:25, school [4] - 1722:7, 1783:2, 1785:11, SEMMLER [60] -1748:19, 1750:8 1747:13, 1753:16, 1785:14, 1785:21, 1722:18, 1767:17, secure [1] - 1972:11 1735:17, 1736:8, 1754:9, 1774:3, 1785:24, 1786:2, 1798:18 1736:18, 1737:3, security [5] - 1971:8, 1774:9, 1774:20, 1786:5, 1786:10, Science [1] - 1797:24 1971:14, 1971:23, 1738:16, 1739:23, 1775:11, 1775:19, 1787:4, 1787:8, science [6] - 1802:13, 1740:8, 1740:12, 1972:1 1779:2. 1828:9. 1972:15, 1978:22 1868:21, 1869:1, 1740:24, 1741:2, Security [1] - 1971:16 1878:13 Service's [1] - 1725:21 1869:14, 1869:15, 1744:2, 1747:2, sediment [3] sent [3] - 1845:24, services [3] - 1723:5, 1882:19 1756:23, 1757:8, 1729:22, 1749:20, 1846:2, 1956:17 1793:1, 1793:19 scientific [2] -1757:10, 1757:24, 1806:13 sentence [8] -1759:13, 1762:10, **Services** [3] - 1708:4, 1781:12, 1781:16 sediments [7] -1977:15, 1978:19, 1764:23, 1767:15, 1708:5, 1740:19 scientist [4] -1802:24, 1804:13, 1978:20, 1978:23, 1868:15, 1868:16, 1775:23, 1776:17, session [1] - 1945:2 1806:14, 1806:16, 1978:25, 1980:6, 1778:5, 1779:15, set [5] - 1757:3, 1889:10, 1896:14 1817:14, 1817:16, 1980:8, 1980:12 1780:7, 1781:22, 1912:24, 1912:25, scientists [3] -1817:18 sentiment [1] - 1909:5 1835:13, 1873:21, 1782:4, 1786:16, 1929:16, 1979:17 see [39] - 1729:16,

sets [1] - 1729:5 1841:11, 1848:9, 1795:22, 1845:24, 41 1809:24, 1811:11, situation [5] setting [1] - 1894:6 1915:20, 1943:2, 1814:3, 1818:23, 1734:16, 1734:19, 1855:1, 1893:14, 1961:20, 1977:17 1931:12 1896:11, 1968:18, 1950:1, 1973:18 settling [4] - 1878:20, showed [5] - 1818:4, Sioux [63] - 1704:6, someplace [1] -1879:11, 1879:15, 1974:24 1818:5. 1830:3. 1704:7. 1704:8. situations [2] -1853:5 1879:18 1897:14, 1951:16 1705:22. 1705:23. 1791:11, 1791:18 sometimes [4] seven [1] - 1833:4 1734:22. 1735:3. six [8] - 1779:9, several [11] - 1721:15, showing [2] - 1852:5, 1878:15, 1878:24, 1737:20. 1741:19. 1722:22, 1726:17, 1965:16 1780:3, 1780:20, 1917:14 1752:25, 1753:9, 1760:18, 1777:11, shown [3] - 1730:12, 1791:6, 1833:4, somewhere [2] -1930:18, 1933:2 1816:9, 1818:17 1759:19, 1769:11, 1859:3, 1864:3, 1793:22, 1909:23, 1805:18, 1805:23, 1917:16, 1935:23, shows [9] - 1807:20, 1865:22 son [1] - 1908:20 1815:21, 1849:11, 1806:23, 1807:3, 1985:3, 1986:21 size [1] - 1778:24 sooner [1] - 1842:13 1819:23, 1820:1, Shale [2] - 1838:5, 1915:15, 1935:23, **Skipper** [3] - 1739:15, sorry [37] - 1722:2, 1820:22, 1820:23, 1839:20 1942:5, 1943:3, 1742:24, 1771:20 1722:4, 1723:13, 1821:7, 1821:9, 1964:22, 1965:21 Skunk [1] - 1822:25 1726:7, 1743:11, shale [1] - 1839:25 1823:1, 1845:21, SHPO [1] - 1705:9 shall [6] - 1789:4, sleep [1] - 1741:23 1744:15, 1760:14, 1845:23, 1848:24, shrubs [1] - 1766:1 slice [1] - 1932:19 1762:3, 1780:19, 1978:21, 1979:14, 1979:20, 1980:12, 1872:6, 1881:18, Sibson [4] - 1707:10, 1784:8, 1826:10, slip [1] - 1825:3 1911:17, 1911:25, 1707:18, 1886:17, 1860:17, 1893:20, 1980:18 slope [2] - 1730:21, 1921:4, 1921:19, **shallow** [6] - 1799:24, 1887:1 1893:21, 1903:2, 1731:4 1926:24, 1934:19, **SIBSON** [1] - 1716:7 1904:21, 1922:14, 1801:21, 1806:2, **slough** [2] - 1834:13, 1934:20, 1935:1, 1923:18, 1923:19, sic [1] - 1886:16 1806:4, 1806:8, 1974:20 1935:2, 1935:5, 1924:23, 1924:24, 1809:4 side [15] - 1761:20, small [1] - 1821:5 1935:24, 1936:8, 1925:1, 1925:4, **shape** [1] - 1819:13 1769:14, 1814:7, smartphone [1] -1936:21, 1938:17, 1926:6, 1931:6, share [7] - 1805:14, 1816:3, 1823:1, 1813:25 1938:22, 1943:4, 1935:10. 1935:17. 1836:15, 1845:12, 1876:3, 1910:2, snow [1] - 1807:11 1943:14, 1943:19, 1936:12. 1951:9. 1846:9, 1919:11, 1929:17, 1929:20, soak [1] - 1822:11 1944:13, 1945:1, 1956:14, 1963:15, 1971:7, 1971:24 1929:25, 1941:17, social [3] - 1829:13, 1945:7, 1945:16, 1967:10, 1973:15, sharing [1] - 1845:12 1975:18, 1975:19 1836:2, 1848:10 1945:19, 1946:4, 1980:11, 1980:20, side-by-side [2] shaving [1] - 1864:14 Society [1] - 1705:8 1946:7, 1946:15, 1981:17, 1987:17 1975:18, 1975:19 sheds [1] - 1899:18 society [2] - 1932:19, 1948:10, 1950:20, sort [6] - 1762:19, sign [1] - 1904:6 sheet [2] - 1897:22. 1933:23 1952:22, 1956:1, 1792:5, 1795:8, 1956:9 significance [2] soil [26] - 1868:15, 1957:5, 1965:16, 1796:12, 1898:11, 1744:20, 1824:4 **sheets** [1] - 1730:12 1868:21, 1868:22, 1969:12, 1975:2 1899.2 significant [6] -Shelly [1] - 1706:18 1869:7, 1869:15, SIOUX [5] - 1708:2, sorts [7] - 1799:7, 1807:22, 1834:11, **SHELLY** [1] - 1712:21 1873:6, 1873:21, 1708:8, 1708:15, 1827:3, 1871:12, 1836:7, 1842:1, **shelter** [5] - 1917:18, 1876:5, 1876:11, 1714:19, 1715:2 1886:3, 1893:23, 1845:6, 1846:8 1918:2, 1918:7, 1883:20, 1885:19, sit [5] - 1736:21, 1947:21, 1955:12 signifies [1] - 1937:2 1930:10, 1930:13 1887:14, 1889:10, 1809:6, 1822:11, Sose [1] - 1777:23 signify [1] - 1905:21 1889:12, 1891:23, Shiner [1] - 1725:15 1862:6, 1932:17 sound [1] - 1788:13 Siguaw [1] - 1946:2 1897:1, 1899:2, shiner [8] - 1708:3, site [8] - 1748:5, sounds [2] - 1747:5, similar [8] - 1750:23, 1899:3, 1899:4, 1739:13, 1742:19, 1749:17, 1820:18, 1934:2 1742:21, 1779:10, 1793:19, 1796:24, 1899:5, 1899:10, 1821:6, 1821:9, source [15] - 1749:16, 1804:2, 1848:16, 1779:12, 1779:25, 1899:12, 1899:18, 1845:15, 1983:5, 1751:4, 1751:5, 1887:5, 1938:7, 1899:19, 1899:21, 1780:20 1983:7 1751:7, 1775:6, 1980:17 Shiners [2] - 1780:3, 1899:22 site-specific [2] -1775:9, 1775:13, similarly [3] - 1791:16, soil/landowner [1] -1780.6 1749:17, 1983:7 1775:17, 1777:24, shippers [1] - 1983:14 1833:21, 1900:11 1886:8 sites [17] - 1799:16, 1778:4, 1781:5, simple [5] - 1857:4, soils [6] - 1876:4, **SHIRLEY** [1] - 1717:13 1809:1, 1820:19, 1781:7, 1811:11, 1935:6, 1945:13, 1886:3, 1888:1, short [8] - 1772:19, 1821:4. 1821:18. 1812:23, 1836:4 1967:25, 1971:23 1893:17. 1899:6. 1782:1, 1822:23, 1834:12, 1834:24, sources [5] - 1751:11, simply [13] - 1726:13, 1899:7 1860:15, 1920:23, 1844:22, 1844:25, 1751:14, 1751:17, 1745:18, 1861:8, solid [3] - 1781:3, 1949:10, 1963:8, 1845:4, 1845:5, 1751:19, 1780:25 1890:22, 1902:13, 1835:23, 1843:10 1979:16 1845:8, 1845:13, south [4] - 1807:1, 1933:22, 1934:3, **solids** [1] - 1751:6 short-tailed [1] -1845:25, 1846:8, 1928:25, 1929:6, 1960:9, 1972:2, soliloguy [1] - 1961:9 1979:16 1917:15 1952:19 1972:4, 1977:4, soluble [2] - 1816:4, shorthand [2] sitting [4] - 1870:1, SOUTH [2] - 1703:2, 1980:1, 1980:3 1989:9 1818:16 1894:9, 1900:15, 1989:1 sincere [1] - 1836:19 solution [1] - 1857:9 show [9] - 1783:19, 1933:16 South [104] - 1704:14, single [6] - 1809:21, 1797:3, 1821:14, someone [6] -

	I	1	I	
1704:16, 1708:18,	1853:22, 1918:20	1721:18, 1723:23,	Spotted [2] - 1708:16,	stamped [1] - 1956:1142
1724:16, 1733:17,	spaces [1] - 1741:15	1744:11, 1746:21,	1708:17	stance [1] - 1842:17
1733:18, 1733:24,	speaking [3] -	1748:12, 1748:16,	SPOTTED [1] -	stand [6] - 1780:9,
1770:7, 1774:8,	1802:25, 1913:5,	1749:17, 1757:17,	1714:20	1786:14, 1836:18,
1775:16, 1776:16,	1935:19	1784:6, 1787:17,	Sprague's [11] -	1893:22, 1901:1,
1782:10, 1796:10,	Speaks [1] - 1849:1	1795:23, 1814:18,	1708:3, 1739:14,	1970:12
1796:14, 1797:25,	special [3] - 1814:13,	1842:3, 1884:12,	1760:2, 1760:19,	standard [6] -
1798:3, 1798:19,	1877:18, 1972:18	1884:15, 1886:23,	1761:2, 1761:8,	1726:10, 1788:24,
1799:1, 1799:6,	specialist [5] -	1902:16, 1909:14,	1764:19, 1765:22,	1913:11, 1920:7,
1799:20, 1799:22,		1912:20, 1946:19,	1768:4, 1770:1,	
1800:2, 1800:3,	1721:12, 1721:14,	1950:18, 1961:20,		1920:10, 1920:12
	1721:16, 1728:1,	1969:24, 1981:11,	1770:9	standardized [2] -
1803:13, 1803:17,	1741:20		Spring [1] - 1820:20	1729:23, 1730:1
1803:20, 1803:23,	specialists [3] -	1983:1, 1983:5,	spring [5] - 1729:7,	standards [3] -
1804:13, 1804:19,	1721:19, 1722:1,	1983:7	1729:14, 1741:20,	1781:3, 1884:4,
1804:24, 1805:9,	1723:25	specifically [12] -	1770:16, 1770:17	1885:11
1811:11, 1812:5,	species [72] -	1730:11, 1735:8,	spurring [1] - 1934:24	Standing [3] - 1706:4,
1815:17, 1815:22,	1725:16, 1738:8,	1761:24, 1795:6,	SS [1] - 1989:2	1706:5, 1706:6
1815:24, 1816:8,	1739:8, 1739:22,	1851:20, 1859:7,	St [1] - 1799:11	standpoint [1] -
1816:12, 1816:14,	1740:7, 1740:18,	1918:2, 1946:13,	stabilization [5] -	1953:18
1818:4, 1820:10,	1740:22, 1741:22,	1950:24, 1965:10,	1729:9, 1731:1,	star [1] - 1943:18
1820:24, 1823:8,	1742:22, 1743:9,	1969:17, 1982:25	1731:4, 1892:18,	start [9] - 1729:14,
1823:12, 1824:21,	1743:14, 1743:18,	specified [3] - 1731:4,	1892:21	1738:3, 1773:16,
1844:8, 1845:22,	1743:20, 1743:22,	1979:21, 1979:23	stabilize [1] - 1730:25	1782:7, 1863:22,
1845:23, 1847:2,	1743:25, 1744:9,	specify [2] - 1730:21,	stabilized [3] - 1729:4,	1877:8, 1907:3,
1847:13, 1849:13,	1744:11, 1745:19,	1874:10	1731:7, 1892:20	1926:5, 1949:12
1849:16, 1849:17,	1745:25, 1746:12,	spectacular [1] -	stabilizing [2] -	started [9] - 1723:15,
1849:19, 1849:20,	1757:5, 1757:17,	1803:18	1728:25, 1729:6	
1849:22, 1850:14,	1758:9, 1758:13,	spectrum [1] - 1752:6	· ·	1933:1, 1942:12,
1851:21, 1852:8,	1758:18, 1758:22,	speculate [4] -	Stacy [1] - 1864:20	1945:8, 1955:11,
1852:15, 1852:18,		1749:4, 1749:9,	Staff [31] - 1704:10,	1961:13, 1964:2,
1853:1, 1853:20,	1758:25, 1759:3,		1706:21, 1721:1,	1980:18, 1983:23
1853:23, 1856:14,	1759:4, 1759:6,	1757:4, 1786:20	1721:3, 1722:1,	starting [2] - 1788:18,
1856:18, 1857:16,	1762:7, 1762:11,	speculating [1] -	1723:5, 1723:22,	1789:17
1857:23, 1867:7,	1762:20, 1762:21,	1890:9	1724:3, 1724:5,	startle [1] - 1788:20
1869:5, 1869:8,	1763:3, 1763:5,	speculation [13] -	1725:1, 1725:3,	starts [1] - 1966:6
1887:19, 1888:5,	1763:7, 1763:10,	1738:16, 1739:23,	1735:10, 1736:5,	STATE [2] - 1703:2,
	1763:11, 1763:13,	1744:3, 1744:6,	1737:11, 1776:20,	1989:1
1888:9, 1890:20,	1764:12, 1765:14,	1756:23, 1756:25,	1792:12, 1797:13,	state [43] - 1721:7,
1890:21, 1898:9,	1767:1, 1767:9,	1757:6, 1757:12,	1800:15, 1801:11,	1727:2, 1733:21,
1898:15, 1901:14,	1767:12, 1767:14,	1776:22, 1786:15,	1801:13, 1825:15,	1734:1, 1736:15,
1908:23, 1909:1,	1767:24, 1768:24,	1856:7, 1879:4,	1825:16, 1827:1,	1749:6, 1771:11,
1909:6, 1913:21,	1769:6, 1769:8,	1927:3	1850:3, 1884:7,	1789:3, 1789:10,
1917:17, 1920:3,	1771:22, 1772:20,	speed [2] - 1752:8,	1892:7, 1903:20,	1790:13, 1793:10,
1929:14, 1931:22,	1774:18, 1774:19,	1777:16	1916:15, 1925:13,	1794:7, 1794:25,
1932:11, 1933:1,	1778:17, 1779:4,	spelling [1] - 1945:21	1927:19, 1956:19	1795:10, 1796:2,
1933:15, 1933:24,	1782:22, 1782:24,	spent [2] - 1864:13,	staff [9] - 1728:8,	1797:21, 1798:8,
1934:4, 1934:8,	1784:3, 1785:7,	1930:12	1799:9, 1804:21,	1799:2, 1812:13,
1934:15, 1934:18,	1785:19, 1785:25,	spill [7] - 1747:24,	1818:8, 1916:20,	1813:11, 1814:24,
1934:20, 1944:11,	1786:11, 1787:17,	1748:4, 1748:5,	1943:16, 1946:5,	1815:2, 1818:23,
1975:14, 1976:18,	1788:21, 1796:21,	1748:10, 1776:14,	1946:9	1819:9, 1828:12,
1979:24, 1983:16,	1797:1, 1797:2,	1777:16, 1808:18	STAFF [6] - 1703:15,	1835:13, 1843:24,
1989:7, 1989:13	1797:4, 1895:17,	Spill [1] - 1705:6	1706:13, 1711:14,	1844:11, 1847:8,
southeast [3] -	1979:18, 1979:23	spills [2] - 1722:21,	1700.13, 1711.14,	1852:1, 1852:7,
1845:23, 1928:22,	Species [11] -	1876:7	i i	1
1929:5	1738:25, 1758:7,		1714:2	1863:25, 1868:7,
southern [1] - 1794:12	1758:20, 1762:1,	spirit [2] - 1854:9,	Staff's [6] - 1724:18,	1901:6, 1934:25,
southwest [1] -	1763:1, 1767:9,	1962:7	1727:17, 1727:21,	1944:23, 1965:10,
1823:1	1767:23, 1769:5,	split [2] - 1825:4	1731:16, 1731:19,	1975:15, 1976:25,
southwestern [1] -	1769:8, 1783:25,	spoken [2] - 1743:5,	1733:6	1977:9, 1977:10
1818:4	1709.6, 1763.25,	1908:25	stage [1] - 1976:9	State [25] - 1704:14,
space [3] - 1741:14,	specific [27] -	sport [1] - 1828:7	Stamm [1] - 1705:11	1705:8, 1722:6,
5 P400 [0] 17 T1.1T,	apecinic [2/] -	spot [1] - 1826:15	STAMM [1] - 1710:18	1724:16, 1749:7,

1781:4, 1784:20, 1726:19, 1726:23, study [11] - 1776:18, success [1] - 1893:18 43 stopover [2] -1784:23, 1795:20, 1727:6, 1788:7, 1742:13, 1742:14 1810:3, 1818:21, successfully [1] -1798:2, 1798:6, 1799.15 storage [2] - 1799:4, 1824:8, 1839:9, 1881:13 1798:14, 1798:18, status [1] - 1760:16 1799:14 1855:12. 1870:12. succinctly [1] -1799:19, 1800:3, statuses [1] - 1760:19 **storm** [1] - 1729:21 1887:14. 1898:23. 1801:18 1816:12, 1827:4, statute [9] - 1745:20, straightforward [1] -1915:21, 1915:22 sudden [1] - 1818:3 1849:12, 1852:8, 1844:9, 1848:7, 1843:7 stuff [5] - 1776:25, suddenly [1] -1867:6. 1867:7. 1852:5, 1852:7, 1897:24, 1939:15, strategy [1] - 1964:11 1816:23 1868:20, 1869:1, 1852:11, 1976:25, 1945:14, 1945:15 stream [3] - 1743:16, sue [1] - 1919:11 1887:19, 1989:7 1977:1, 1986:17 sturgeon [4] - 1708:4, 1748:11, 1886:9 SUE [1] - 1716:7 state's [1] - 1820:13 statutes [8] - 1796:10, 1739:14, 1742:22, sued [1] - 1920:7 **Street** [1] - 1901:9 State's [2] - 1799:7, 1796:12, 1844:11, 1770:20 street [2] - 1797:24, sufficient [2] - 1731:3, 1813:15 1844:12, 1844:17, Sturgeon [1] - 1708:5 1929:15 1734:13 1975:15, 1976:18, state-owned [1] streets [1] - 1845:22 style [1] - 1878:11 suitable [3] - 1742:4, 1795:10 1986:14 stretching [1] - 1869:7 Sub [1] - 1968:25 1742:6. 1745:25 statement [14] stay [3] - 1767:13, subconsultant [1] stricken [4] - 1736:9, **SULLY** [1] - 1989:3 1726:15, 1738:15, 1835:15, 1909:18 1888:11 1764:25, 1779:18, sum [1] - 1833:3 1759:7, 1766:9, step [2] - 1889:23, subcontractors [2] -1949:5 summarize [2] -1771:23, 1772:1, 1946:6 1893:1, 1893:4 strict [3] - 1885:6, 1801:16, 1828:16 1772:22, 1774:14, stepping [1] - 1982:23 subheading [1] -1885:10 Summary [3] - 1706:9, 1942:14, 1950:4, steps [2] - 1955:25, 1769:17 stricter [3] - 1884:4, 1708:5, 1708:7 1950:7, 1950:9, 1956:3 1884:19, 1885:3 subject [4] - 1745:1, summer [1] - 1903:14 1953:10, 1971:9 stick [2] - 1866:19, 1751:2, 1756:12, strictly [1] - 1802:25 **summit** [1] - 1831:19 Statement [5] -1955:16 1806.9 Summons [1] strike [11] - 1735:4, 1738:13, 1843:1, still [22] - 1729:4, submission [2] -1738:9, 1744:15, 1707:17 1843:5, 1855:6, 1738:12, 1751:5, 1958:25, 1959:25 1749:11, 1765:20, Sunoco [1] - 1705:5 1889:2 1772:18, 1798:17, submit [5] - 1792:11, 1947:6, 1948:21, **superior**[1] - 1734:1 statements [22] -1799:24, 1817:22, 1949:1, 1952:25, 1926:19, 1926:20, supersede [1] -1735:25, 1748:20, 1822:21, 1832:5, 1953:10, 1954:24 1968:23, 1970:22 1725:25 1837:5, 1843:2, 1849:7, 1855:2, submits [1] - 1735:21 striven [1] - 1864:13 supervised [1] -1865:2, 1871:14, 1864:17, 1866:25, strong [2] - 1842:22, submitted [10] -1741:21 1948:17, 1948:22, 1871:13, 1921:6, 1724:10. 1725:6. 1889:18 supervises [1] -1948:23, 1948:25, 1924:15, 1948:15, 1805:10. 1830:1. structure [7] -1791.4 1949:1. 1949:5. 1948:22, 1953:8, 1888:10, 1956:19, 1749:22, 1750:9, supplies [1] - 1915:23 1950:12, 1953:1, 1953:24, 1979:8, 1960:7, 1970:19, 1750:12, 1791:24, support [5] - 1722:1, 1954:1, 1954:3, 1979:11 1970:25, 1976:9 1804:18, 1816:25, 1854:14, 1854:15, 1954:19, 1954:20, stipulate [2] submitting [3] -1913:12 1862:9, 1960:20 1973:17, 1973:19, 1858:16, 1921:9 1792:8, 1969:8, Structure [1] supporting [3] -1974:2 stipulated [1] -1981:25 1705:21 1724:3, 1724:14, states [20] - 1748:18, 1863:19 subpoenaed [1] structured [1] -1829:15 1751:24, 1752:14, stipulating [1] -1800:8 1792:14 **supports** [1] - 1834:8 1770:21, 1772:10, 1961:5 subsection [1] structures [2] supposed [3] -1794:7, 1794:10, stipulation [3] -1811:22, 1907:5 1744:22 1812:17, 1850:20, 1795:14, 1805:10, 1859:11, 1863:1, subsequent [1] struggle [1] - 1834:17 1909:18 1812:8, 1812:11, 1863:2 1802:11 struggles [1] -**Supreme** [1] - 1733:18 1812:18, 1818:19, stipulations [1] -1834:10 subsidence [2] surface [19] - 1800:12, 1819:2, 1819:6, 1862:23 1804:17, 1811:21 student [1] - 1828:22 1807:11, 1807:12, 1878:8, 1898:12, STOFFERAHN [3] studied [4] - 1809:18, **subsoil** [1] - 1876:4 1808:7, 1809:4, 1934:13 1715:17, 1717:3, 1809:20, 1810:1, subsoils [1] - 1890:15 1810:18, 1811:21, States [10] - 1761:9, 1718:12 substance [2] -1926:7 1811:22, 1813:2, 1761:18, 1762:3, Stofferahn [5] studies [19] - 1748:21, 1808:10, 1809:18 1813:4, 1816:13, 1764:8, 1769:15, 1707:11, 1707:11, 1758:24, 1797:3, substantial [1] -1816:23, 1816:24, 1856:22, 1881:14, 1707:12, 1899:11, 1764:18 1808:9, 1808:11, 1817:4, 1817:6, 1931:23, 1934:12, 1907:12 substantially [1] -1837:7, 1870:12, 1817:19, 1818:10, 1971:22 Stofferahns [2] -1733:16 1870:14, 1870:16, 1897:7 states' [1] - 1898:16 1890:23, 1907:15 1870:19, 1871:22, subsurface [2] surmise [1] - 1744:4 **stating** [1] - 1737:7 stone [2] - 1912:24, 1871:24, 1872:1, 1798:24, 1813:15 **Surplus** [1] - 1706:9 station [1] - 1948:7 1912:25 1872:2, 1872:5, subtitle [1] - 1806:22 surprise [10] stationing [1] - 1730:7 stop [2] - 1791:10, 1883:19, 1891:23, subtleties [1] -1765:19, 1766:16, stations [6] - 1726:18, 1840:11 1892:1, 1899:10 1811:15 1766:18, 1766:19,

1768:2, 1768:6, 1708:10 1900:4, 1900:7, 1776:8, 1839:9, 1801:7, 1801:16, 44 1768:8, 1768:12, Systems [2] - 1704:9, 1900:10, 1900:12 1855:20, 1862:7, 1801:19, 1802:2, 1853:3, 1853:4 1820:11 temperatures [1] -1866:14, 1883:21, 1802:17, 1803:22, surprised [1] - 1825:3 systems [5] - 1742:20, 1906:1, 1906:19, 1803:24, 1806:2, 1891:23 1880:9, 1880:13. 1921:7, 1949:17, 1806:7, 1806:10, surprisina [1] temporary [3] -1969:20 1972:10, 1972:11 1749:2, 1918:1, 1973:17 1806:15, 1810:12, testifying [19] -1820:12, 1820:17, surrounds [1] -1918:14 1734:17, 1736:9, 1822:1. 1822:6. 1917:18 Т tend [3] - 1808:19, 1736:20, 1755:10, 1825:10, 1826:5, Survey [14] - 1705:16, 1808:20, 1878:14 1757:13, 1757:25, 1826:9, 1826:12, 1705:17, 1705:18, tender [1] - 1737:19 table [15] - 1730:6, 1758:4, 1764:23, 1826:14, 1826:16, 1705:19, 1705:20, tenths [2] - 1747:25, 1730:8. 1736:19. 1765:1, 1775:23, 1827:3, 1827:4, 1798:3, 1804:6, 1748:1 1807:12. 1807:24. 1863:5, 1865:22, 1827:21, 1830:4, 1805:1, 1805:8, tenure [1] - 1800:3 1838:17, 1840:3, 1830:6, 1832:2, 1871:6, 1891:5, 1811:8, 1813:8, term [4] - 1842:21, 1840:5, 1840:8, 1833:15, 1833:19, 1925:16, 1954:19, 1813:23, 1814:5, 1853:17, 1853:18, 1907:4, 1910:2, 1973:3, 1973:7, 1833:22, 1834:2, 1818:21 1981:4 1925:2, 1971:1, 1973:18 1837:14, 1842:24, survey [10] - 1798:19, terms [9] - 1749:4, 1977:25, 1978:6 1844:5, 1846:22, 1798:21, 1799:8, testimonies [2] -1751:22, 1791:12, tactical [1] - 1721:19 1848:4, 1848:6, 1799:20, 1804:11, 1796:4, 1854:12, 1738:2, 1774:13 tag [1] - 1841:7 1848:17, 1848:19, testimony [211] -1807:17, 1899:12, 1904:12, 1920:4, tags [1] - 1840:4 1723:19, 1723:21, 1850:13, 1854:18, 1903:18, 1910:25, 1934:8, 1985:13 tailed [2] - 1828:10, 1858:17, 1859:3, 1911:5 1723:24, 1724:3, terribly [1] - 1955:2 1979:16 1859:4, 1859:6, surveys [14] - 1732:5, 1724:8, 1724:10, territory [3] - 1833:1, talks [2] - 1761:24, 1859:7, 1859:11, 1724:13, 1724:22, 1741:21, 1766:10, 1837:13, 1854:6 1846:18 1860:11, 1861:17, 1771:21, 1771:24, 1724:24, 1725:4, Tesoro [4] - 1851:8, tank [1] - 1722:21 1861:20, 1863:3, 1725:9, 1725:14, 1772:1. 1772:11. 1851:10, 1851:17, tanks [2] - 1799:4, 1726:7, 1726:17, 1863:8, 1863:13, 1772:19. 1772:23. 1851:24 1799:14 1863:14, 1864:4, 1727:10, 1727:23, 1819:2, 1911:6, test [29] - 1749:3, tapes [1] - 1898:24 1728:6, 1728:14, 1864:6, 1864:7, 1911:9, 1911:13, 1750:3, 1750:22, 1976:12 tar [1] - 1831:6 1728:17, 1730:2, 1864:8, 1864:12, 1751:15, 1751:20, targeted [2] - 1864:8, 1730:9, 1731:21, 1864:14, 1864:25, suspect [3] - 1803:7, 1780:25, 1781:1, 1864:25 1865:4, 1865:6, 1732:9, 1732:15, 1804:8. 1824:6 1804:12, 1804:22, tariff [1] - 1984:9 1869:22, 1869:23, 1732:17, 1732:18, suspended [3] -1813:6, 1813:7, 1870:9, 1872:21, Tea [8] - 1705:23, 1732:19, 1732:24, 1751:6, 1781:2, 1813:17, 1813:22, 1944:13, 1948:11, 1733:10, 1733:12, 1872:22, 1872:25, 1782:23 1814:4, 1814:17, 1952:2, 1952:19, 1734:18, 1734:21, 1877:24, 1878:1, sustain [5] - 1741:5, 1815:2, 1815:4, 1878:3, 1882:7, 1953:5, 1956:1, 1736:14, 1739:11, 1741:9, 1777:3, 1822:13, 1825:5, 1883:22, 1883:23, 1965:16 1739:16, 1740:21, 1852:22, 1955:17 1886:16, 1886:24, 1884:8, 1884:14, teacher [1] - 1828:23 1742:5, 1742:12, sustainable [2] -1896:15, 1899:1, 1887:1, 1891:6, teachings [1] - 1807:9 1744:16, 1744:17, 1857:5, 1857:9 1899:2, 1899:3, 1745:5, 1745:13, 1892:12, 1894:22, team [5] - 1724:7, sustained [10] -1899:5, 1899:6, 1894:24, 1901:16, 1799:23, 1882:14, 1745:14, 1748:17, 1757:19, 1759:17, 1899:8 1901:19, 1902:5, 1748:18, 1749:1, 1915:8, 1951:2 1776:1, 1778:10, testified [32] - 1747:3, 1902:8, 1909:3, 1751:24, 1753:19, teams [1] - 1721:19 1837:19, 1837:22, 1776:24, 1784:12, 1909:24, 1910:10, 1753:25, 1756:21, 1855:5, 1916:12, technical [4] -1787:7. 1789:19. 1757:16, 1757:22, 1913:9, 1913:13, 1723:23, 1723:24, 1948:20, 1950:15 1793:9, 1796:21, 1757:23, 1759:10, 1915:6, 1915:7, 1781:12, 1781:16 sworn [1] - 1872:22 1852:1, 1880:1, 1759:16, 1763:2, 1923:16, 1924:5, technique [1] - 1881:9 SWPPP [3] - 1729:21, 1883:19, 1883:25, 1763:13, 1770:20, 1925:15, 1926:3, techniques [5] -1885:18, 1887:8, 1729:25, 1730:6 1771:20, 1772:3, 1926:4, 1926:10, 1751:25, 1752:12, 1892:13, 1892:23, system [18] - 1775:7, 1926:14, 1926:18, 1772:8. 1772:10. 1752:14, 1882:17, 1898:19, 1910:13, 1808:23, 1878:19, 1772:12, 1773:1, 1926:23, 1927:23, 1950:25 1878:21, 1878:23, 1916:4, 1936:1, 1773:17, 1773:20, 1928:3, 1940:4, technology [1] -1937:4, 1939:2, 1880:13, 1880:16, 1940:6, 1940:13, 1773:21, 1776:8, 1882:20 1939:25, 1941:9, 1880:20, 1880:21, 1940:18, 1941:2, 1776:23, 1777:2, 1941:24, 1949:18, 1880:22, 1880:25, telephonic [1] -1942:3, 1943:9, 1778:13, 1779:20, 1950:1, 1974:5, 1886:8, 1886:11, 1826:12 1943:11, 1953:12, 1780:8, 1780:10, telephonically [2] -1974:9, 1983:8, 1887:11, 1891:9, 1780:12, 1780:13, 1954:7, 1954:14, 1891:17, 1972:6, 1825:25, 1827:2 1984.18 1955:14, 1961:19, 1783:13, 1785:19, testify [14] - 1724:13, 1972:7 temperature [6] -1961:25, 1968:24, 1789:12, 1800:10, **System** [2] - 1708:10, 1835:11, 1898:19, 1757:22, 1763:10, 1973:8, 1977:16, 1800:18, 1801:4,

1977:18, 1978:10, 1916:23, 1917:7, 1704:6, 1805:22, 1878:4, 1878:10, TOP [1] - 1715:12 45 1979:3, 1982:9, 1917:13, 1917:21, 1938:22 1878:11, 1878:13, **Top** [1] - 1707:12 1982:22, 1986:4, 1918:4, 1918:8, Thornton [1] -1878:18, 1890:24, Topeka [11] - 1708:3, 1918:18, 1919:7, 1706:19 1891:12, 1894:19 1986:5 1725:15, 1739:13, Testimony [41] -1919:9, 1919:13, thorough [2] timely [2] - 1927:24, 1742:19, 1742:21, 1706:14. 1706:14. 1919:18, 1919:22, 1783:14, 1843:14 1970:8 1779:10, 1779:12, 1706:15. 1706:15. 1920:2, 1920:12, 1779:25, 1780:3, thoughts [1] - 1847:18 timing [2] - 1905:1, 1706:16. 1706:16. 1930:5. 1935:9. threat [5] - 1733:14, 1970:1 1780:6, 1780:20 1936:13, 1939:1, 1706:17, 1706:17, TIMPSON [1] -1739:12, 1808:5, topic [2] - 1900:4, 1939:7, 1939:11, 1706:18, 1706:18, 1713:17 1817:1, 1848:9 1912:18 1706:19, 1706:19, 1939:18, 1939:21, threatened [14] -Timpson [2] topsoil [15] - 1873:24, 1940:1, 1940:20, 1706:20, 1706:20, 1758:8, 1758:10, 1706:21, 1728:15 1875:17, 1875:23, 1706:21, 1707:3, 1940:24, 1941:3 1758:11, 1758:12, Tina [1] - 1703:19 1876:11, 1876:21, theirs [1] - 1787:10 1707:4, 1707:4, 1758:14, 1758:15, tires [1] - 1933:21 1876:25, 1877:1, 1707:5, 1707:5, themselves [2] -1758:19, 1758:23, 1877:4, 1877:7, title [5] - 1721:11, 1707:6, 1707:7, 1837:6, 1844:18 1759:6, 1762:8, 1886:17, 1887:2, 1798:1, 1839:21, 1707:7, 1707:8, theoretically [1] -1763:6, 1765:15, 1890:13, 1890:17, 1839:23, 1929:14 1707:8, 1707:9, 1929:21 1810:17, 1847:6 1890:18 **Title** [1] - 1708:18 1707:9, 1707:10, theory [1] - 1934:3 three [27] - 1722:19, total [5] - 1722:16, titled [2] - 1769:18, 1707:11, 1707:11, therapist [1] - 1828:19 1743:20, 1744:9, 1838.4 1781:2, 1833:3, 1707:12, 1707:12, thereby [1] - 1780:4 1777:19, 1794:13, 1931:7, 1961:3 **TO** [1] - 1703:5 1707:13, 1707:18, therefore [7] -1808:3, 1831:10, totally [1] - 1810:10 today [24] - 1724:13, 1708:14, 1829:25, 1831:15, 1831:18, 1745:21, 1758:19. tough [1] - 1885:7 1727:10, 1731:10, 1865:3, 1921:5, 1774:22, 1786:11, 1833:4, 1833:5, tougher [1] - 1885:7 1780:11, 1801:3, 1924:18, 1925:8, 1809:3. 1809:10. 1875:15, 1899:17, 1822:1, 1827:10, tourism [1] - 1856:17 1981:9 1836:21 1899:19. 1907:4. 1827:15, 1828:5, toward [1] - 1966:1 testing [5] - 1743:10, 1912:2. 1912:4. thesis [1] - 1803:15 1830:5, 1853:23, towards [5] - 1726:8, 1743:13, 1749:21, 1912:14, 1913:2, they've [14] - 1827:2, 1862:17, 1866:7, 1757:14, 1769:14, 1776:25, 1778:16 1922:7, 1936:15, 1832:1, 1837:12, 1872:18, 1872:22, 1870:22, 1896:2 Texas [1] - 1937:20 1965:25, 1966:3, 1854:23, 1854:24, 1883:16, 1900:15, towns [1] - 1855:10 texas [1] - 1901:9 1862:3, 1864:3, 1966:11, 1972:7, 1906:1, 1915:19, track [1] - 1928:20 textbook [1] - 1807:9 1972:9 1880:23, 1887:7, 1917:9, 1930:20, tract [5] - 1768:3. THE [82] - 1703:1, three-day [1] - 1833:5 1891:2, 1919:21, 1931:8, 1947:1, 1874:11. 1888:13. 1703:2, 1703:4, 1963:21, 1967:9 three-page [1] -1947:17 1928:18, 1930:2 1703:5, 1703:12, thick [1] - 1809:22 1907:4 TODD [2] - 1710:18, tracts [10] - 1765:23, 1790:5, 1790:11, thickness [6] three-year [2] -1714:3 1766:15, 1907:15, 1793:2, 1822:9, 1810:8, 1810:10, 1875:15, 1912:2 together [7] - 1835:13, 1907:18, 1907:21, 1822:14, 1822:18, 1810:11, 1810:19, threshold [1] - 1759:1 1878:18, 1888:8, 1908:2, 1908:8, 1824:18, 1825:7, 1816:18 throughout [7] -1901:20, 1916:20, 1908:12, 1908:22, 1829:22, 1830:18, 1820:24, 1829:4, thicknesses [1] -1938:3, 1959:16 1928:19 1830:22, 1831:2, 1802:12 1834:9, 1869:12, Tom [1] - 1946:2 Tracy [1] - 1707:4 1831:6, 1831:11, 1881:7, 1887:24, thinking [4] - 1804:8, **TOM** [1] - 1712:14 traditional [1] -1831:16, 1831:22, 1807:18, 1933:1, 1959:12 tomorrow [5] -1807:18 1832:10, 1832:18, 1954:13 thrown [1] - 1899:8 1861:20, 1862:17. traffic [1] - 1876:7 1833:6, 1837:17, thinks [1] - 1764:24 Thursday [1] -1987:19, 1987:20, trails [1] - 1795:10 1839:22, 1839:24, third [14] - 1780:1, 1972:20 1987:22 trained [1] - 1972:11 1841:14, 1848:21, 1790:1, 1791:2, tie [1] - 1878:16 tons [1] - 1976:9 training [1] - 1831:7 1856:15, 1856:19, 1793:1, 1796:4, tight [1] - 1838:5 took [9] - 1782:6, trainings [4] - 1831:3, 1856:23, 1857:2, Tight [1] - 1839:25 1796:9, 1893:19, 1945:25, 1946:6, 1831:12, 1831:13, 1857:5. 1858:6. 1912:13, 1912:17, tile [17] - 1878:16, 1955:25, 1956:3, 1831:15 1870:11, 1870:15, 1931:3, 1931:7, 1878:21, 1878:22, 1963:23, 1965:18, **trains** [2] - 1931:11, 1870:18, 1870:20, 1932:7, 1934:22, 1879:1, 1879:11, 1977:25, 1989:9 1931:12 1871:21, 1871:25, 1971:12 1879:14, 1879:17, top [14] - 1769:14, TransCanada [3] -1872:4, 1894:8, third-party [5] -1880:7, 1890:20, 1793:23, 1794:9, 1887:11, 1888:5, 1895:3, 1895:9, 1790:1, 1791:2, 1891:9, 1891:15, 1794:21, 1794:24, 1888:14 1896:7, 1896:13, 1793:1, 1796:4, 1894:4, 1898:8, 1894:6, 1894:9, TRANSCRIPT [1] -1896:16, 1896:18, 1796:9 1898:11, 1898:15, 1894:10, 1896:15, 1704:13 1896:22, 1897:2, **Thomas** [1] - 1707:12 1898:17 1897:7, 1956:9, Transcript [1] - 1703:7 1897:5, 1897:10, THOMAS [1] - 1715:17 tiled [1] - 1880:2 1959:25, 1960:5, transcription [1] -1897:15, 1897:21, Thomasina [3] tiles [10] - 1877:24, 1962:19 1989:12

Transfer [2] - 1705:6, 1735:3, 1737:20, 1982:22 1894:18 1784:3, 1796:11, 46 1974:20 1752:25, 1753:9, TSS [1] - 1781:5 1840:24, 1844:1, typically [10] transgressions [1] -1759:19, 1769:12, TSSS [1] - 1781:2 1741:12, 1749:6, 1865:19, 1875:8, 1916:7 1805:18, 1805:23, 1790:9. 1790:18. 1905:24, 1924:15, Tuesday [2] - 1860:21, 1819:23, 1820:1, 1791:13, 1811:5, 1935:19, 1944:7, translates [1] -1961:13 1933:13 1848:24, 1872:6, tuned [1] - 1789:6 1821:3. 1862:23. 1961:14, 1967:5, 1881:18, 1911:17, 1875:5. 1905:6 1968:19, 1970:21, transmission [3] turbidity [1] - 1749:20 1911:25. 1921:4. 1975:16. 1977:5. typicals [5] - 1874:16, 1947:24, 1951:10 turn [4] - 1759:24, 1921:19, 1926:24, 1977:7, 1977:9 1874:20. 1894:8. transportation [2] -1769:11, 1870:8, 1938:17, 1938:23, 1894:11, 1894:17 undergone [1] -1930:15, 1931:19 1981:13 1957:5, 1969:12, 1802:11 transported [3] turnbull [1] - 1832:12 1975:2 underground [2] -1932:5, 1932:6, U turning [4] - 1727:20, TRIBE [4] - 1708:2, 1799:4, 1799:14 1932:7 1892:12, 1938:5, 1708:15, 1714:19, underlies [1] transporter [1] -1942:24 **U.S** [37] - 1706:10, 1715:2 1815:24 1931:8 turns [1] - 1940:8 1708:4, 1708:5, Tribe's [2] - 1845:25, underneath [2] trap [4] - 1743:17, Turtle [1] - 1834:10 1739:24, 1740:9, 1846.1 1816:7, 1903:21 1743:18 twice [2] - 1775:22, 1760:1, 1785:14, travel [3] - 1748:4, Tribes [3] - 1829:16, underpinning [1] -1776:5 1785:21, 1787:3, 1835:5, 1844:24 1803:5 1748:11, 1808:15 twist [1] - 1970:5 1804:6, 1804:25, **Tributary** [1] - 1706:10 understatement [1] traveled [2] - 1747:24, two [43] - 1722:23, 1805:7, 1810:24, trick [1] - 1857:2 1734:9 1748:13 1723:2, 1754:6, 1811:8, 1811:24, tried [4] - 1887:18, understood [4] traverse [1] - 1935:24 1754:15, 1813:8, 1812:1, 1817:22, 1904:19, 1904:20, 1850:13, 1861:3, traversing [1] - 1930:3 1820:19, 1821:5, 1818:21, 1829:1, 1925:1 1925:19, 1979:4 TRC [1] - 1793:25 1828:24, 1831:16, 1829:5, 1831:6, tries [1] - 1916:4 undertaken [1] -1833:4, 1860:12, treatises [2] -1838:4, 1839:25, trigger [1] - 1746:18 1830:25 1860:18, 1864:7, 1781:13, 1781:16 1842:18, 1932:19, triggering [1] -1870:12, 1873:14, unduly [1] - 1969:1 Treaty [1] - 1761:10 1932:25, 1933:2, tree [4] - 1741:19, 1763:15 1883:6, 1900:13, unfortunately [3] -1933:14, 1934:14, triggers [2] - 1746:18, 1929:20, 1929:23, 1900:17, 1908:13, 1811:14, 1812:25, 1971:11, 1971:12, 1784:23 1908:15, 1908:19, 1965:14 1929:25 1972:19, 1978:22, trim [2] - 1929:20, 1911:19, 1922:7, unified [1] - 1853:21 trees [10] - 1741:24, 1979:19, 1979:21, 1929:25 1926:17, 1926:19, uniform [4] - 1810:8, 1741:25, 1765:25, 1979:23, 1980:13 tritium [1] - 1823:16 1810:10, 1885:10, 1927:13, 1928:17, 1917:3, 1918:15, ultimate [3] - 1733:13, trolling [1] - 1923:18 1928:19, 1930:5, 1899:5 1918:16, 1929:17, 1734:6, 1919:13 trouble [1] - 1867:18 1930:10, 1931:14, uniformity [1] - 1810:7 1930:11, 1976:11 ultimately [5] -TROY [1] - 1718:16 1935:18, 1936:14, union [2] - 1761:13, tremendous [1] -1754:20, 1765:6, 1943:16, 1945:18, truckload [1] - 1932:3 1819:2 1824:4 1774:10, 1904:14, 1952:20, 1955:15, unique [2] - 1873:11, trucks [3] - 1931:25, trench [23] - 1749:3, 1915:10 1958:22, 1958:25, 1873:12 1750:4, 1750:6, 1932:2 unanswered [1] -1959:5, 1966:13, unit [7] - 1815:24, 1750:8, 1750:11, true [11] - 1727:13, 1918:25 1987:18 1816:4, 1816:6, 1750:14, 1750:16, 1731:12, 1733:2, Unanticipated [1] two-letter[1] - 1883:6 1750:18, 1750:19, 1759:8, 1766:25, 1817:15, 1818:10, 1705:7 type [16] - 1766:16, 1818:14, 1931:10 1787:22, 1788:2, 1772:10, 1801:8, unavailable [1] -1793:1, 1796:2, 1856:4, 1881:3, United [10] - 1761:9, 1792:22, 1817:19, 1731:25 1923:5, 1989:11 1804:15, 1811:20, 1761:18, 1762:3, 1878:15, 1878:20, uncertain [2] trust [1] - 1970:14 1824:7, 1840:24, 1764:8, 1769:15, 1878:25, 1879:11, 1820:21, 1933:23 1843:24, 1891:19, 1879:12, 1879:15, truth [3] - 1871:14, 1856:22, 1881:14, unclear [1] - 1742:6 1897:13, 1899:4, 1931:23, 1934:12, 1880:16, 1891:16, 1948:17, 1954:4 uncomfortable [1] -1891:20, 1897:6 try [7] - 1776:12, 1903:15, 1917:4, 1971:22 1846:3 1917:17, 1917:18, units [4] - 1816:16, **Trenching** [1] - 1705:9 1801:18, 1819:8, under [40] - 1738:23, 1979:3 1818:15, 1963:22, trespassing [1] -1873:22. 1876:23. 1738:25, 1739:19, types [10] - 1741:15, 1969:3 1846:8 1909:13, 1924:5 1748:3, 1748:7, 1815:10, 1815:14, University [6] trial [2] - 1941:16, trying [16] - 1764:13, 1749:6, 1750:25, 1974:8 1773:4, 1776:10, 1878:10, 1899:18, 1722:7, 1798:11, 1753:22, 1758:7, 1899:19, 1899:22, tribal [7] - 1777:19, 1810:23, 1818:22, 1798:14, 1828:18, 1758:20, 1760:22, 1915:10, 1926:16, 1868:20, 1869:1 1777:25, 1845:10, 1822:21, 1826:25, 1761:7, 1761:9, 1943:4 unknown [1] - 1743:4 1832:15, 1866:2, 1845:19, 1853:13, 1761:17, 1762:1, typical [6] - 1729:25, unless [7] - 1778:18, 1853:14, 1854:11 1937:10, 1955:16, 1764:8, 1769:4, 1875:20, 1875:21, 1784.5 1821.15 1960:2, 1962:23, **Tribe** [26] - 1704:6, 1769:17, 1770:8, 1879:8, 1879:13, 1823:9, 1899:8, 1963:20, 1978:10, 1704:7, 1734:22, 1774:21, 1775:20,

1929:25, 1933:16 1983:24, 1985:13, 1729:4, 1752:1, 1983:24 1776:19, 1777:2, 47 1886:3, 1887:19 unreasonable [1] -1986:17 waiting [2] - 1862:4, 1777:9, 1777:13, 1860:5 update [3] - 1825:22, vehicle [2] - 1876:7, 1862:5 1777:19, 1777:20, 1972:14, 1974:14 1933:17 1777:23, 1777:25, unreliable [1] waived [1] - 1885:11 1837:16 updated [2] - 1773:1, vehicles [2] - 1726:9, walked [3] - 1928:17, 1778:4, 1778:16, unresolved [1] -1783:22 1876.7 1930:5, 1946:18 1778:19, 1779:9, 1780:2, 1780:5, 1947.9 updates [2] - 1772:4, verbal [1] - 1950:7 walking [1] - 1845:17 1780:25. 1781:1. unrestored [1] -1973.8 Verified [1] - 1707:17 wall [1] - 1806:13 1781:6, 1781:7, 1792:23 upland [1] - 1769:2 Vermillion [2] -Wall [19] - 1802:2, 1782:7, 1782:22, untimely [1] - 1941:11 upper [1] - 1922:9 1797:25, 1820:20 1802:5, 1802:8, 1782:23, 1782:25, unto [1] - 1853:12 upset [1] - 1846:4 version [5] - 1805:3, 1802:10, 1802:15, 1787:22, 1787:24, unusual [2] - 1734:15, upshot [1] - 1744:24 1812:15, 1812:16, 1802:20, 1802:23, 1787:25, 1789:12, 1812:18, 1818:2 1802:24, 1803:1, 1734:19 USA [5] - 1744:20, 1792:5, 1795:3, unusually [19] -1745:21, 1747:1, versus [1] - 1975:15 1806:16, 1806:17, 1795:6, 1795:9, 1774:21, 1783:6 1732:20, 1744:23, vertical [1] - 1809:1 1806:24, 1808:4, 1800:1, 1806:19. 1808:6, 1809:5, 1745:2, 1745:3, USAs [6] - 1744:19, vertically [2] -1807:11, 1807:12, 1822:16, 1822:24, 1745:17, 1745:24, 1744:22, 1773:22, 1807:22, 1807:25 1807:18, 1807:23, 1745:25, 1746:6, 1824:6, 1824:16 1774:4, 1774:8, **via** [1] - 1932:5 1807:24, 1808:24, WALSH [1] - 1711:19 1746:10, 1746:14, 1776:24 Vicinity [1] - 1708:18 1809:13, 1809:19, 1746:24, 1747:13, Walsh [1] - 1706:14 users [3] - 1777:9, view [3] - 1862:2, 1814:24, 1821:19, 1753:16, 1754:9, wants [5] - 1735:1, 1777:13, 1811:16 1889:7, 1970:9 1824:1, 1824:2, 1774:3, 1774:9, usual [1] - 1789:1 views [2] - 1963:21, 1792:3, 1926:16, 1824:15, 1824:21, 1774:20, 1775:10, UTILITIES [2] -1969:3 1928:1, 1977:3 1824:22, 1825:5, warrant [1] - 1766:11 1775:19 1703:1, 1703:12 VII [1] - 1703:9 1829:17, 1843:17. **up** [74] - 1747:17, Utilities [4] - 1790:14, warranted [1] violate [1] - 1971:25 1854:5, 1868:21, 1750:18, 1765:8, 1796:1, 1800:8, 1764:19 violates [1] - 1733:11 1885:22, 1886:5, 1765:11, 1780:22, Washington [1] -1867:8 violation [1] - 1867:5 1886:9, 1915:23 1781:4, 1783:3, utility [1] - 1951:12 1792:11 violations [1] waters [2] - 1778:16, 1788:18, 1799:6, utilized [1] - 1842:1 waste [1] - 1976:15 1751:10 1781:1 1804:3, 1806:6, **WASTE** [1] - 1711:6 violence [2] - 1836:11, ways [5] - 1752:9, 1807:25, 1809:24, V watched [1] - 1822:11 1836:22 1835:20, 1887:5, 1817:18, 1819:6, Water [8] - 1704:9, Virginia [2] - 1799:17, 1895:3, 1962:17 1821:10, 1821:12, 1706:9, 1708:10, 1818:22 valid [1] - 1925:18 wearing [1] - 1828:6 1821:14, 1822:11, 1708:10, 1749:7, virtually [1] - 1975:23 Valley [1] - 1820:23 website [3] - 1759:22, 1825:3, 1837:17, 1814:23, 1820:10, virtue [1] - 1941:8 valuable [3] - 1873:6, 1839:20, 1931:17 1838:20, 1851:17, 1823:9 visible [3] - 1813:2, 1873:8, 1885:18 weed [8] - 1788:8, 1851:18, 1851:19, water [109] - 1729:21, 1813:4. 1816:13 1788:12, 1895:4, 1852:17, 1862:23, value [6] - 1821:18, 1730:5, 1730:8, voiced [1] - 1902:18 1895:10. 1895:12. 1885:23, 1885:25, 1864:6, 1864:14, 1730:11, 1743:8, voices [2] - 1836:16, 1895:17, 1895:22, 1864:20, 1864:23, 1889:16, 1944:4, 1743:12, 1743:13, 1854:16 1895:24 1981:25 1865:7, 1869:3, 1743:15, 1747:25, void [1] - 1765:25 weeds [8] - 1726:18, 1875:21, 1879:11, valves [1] - 1742:20 1748:5, 1748:11, voir [5] - 1830:12, 1726:22, 1727:2, 1883:25, 1890:14, variability [2] -1749:3, 1749:10, 1832:5, 1839:11, 1727:5, 1788:7, 1891:16, 1891:24, 1810:11, 1896:21 1749:11, 1749:12, 1870:6, 1871:17 1895:11, 1895:13, 1893:15, 1894:4, variable [1] - 1777:14 1749:13, 1749:14, Volt [2] - 1933:16, 1895:17 1895:11, 1897:8, variables [2] - 1777:7, 1749:15, 1749:18, 1933:18 1902:24, 1905:13, week [14] - 1753:15, 1777:15 1749:24, 1750:3, Volume [6] - 1703:9, 1908:25, 1909:22, 1791:6, 1801:24, variation [1] - 1810:19 1750:4, 1750:15, 1705:16, 1705:17, 1910:1, 1910:4, 1838:18, 1840:5, variations [1] - 1920:4 1750:22, 1750:23, 1705:18, 1705:19, 1916:6, 1916:8, various [14] - 1760:23, 1862:5, 1864:16, 1750:24, 1751:3, 1705:20 1922:18, 1922:19, 1872:25, 1890:4, 1774:13, 1799:3, 1751:4, 1751:7, volume [1] - 1777:15 1927:25, 1928:13, 1909:22, 1932:10, 1801:22, 1812:8, 1751:11, 1751:15, vote [2] - 1741:7, 1929:7, 1929:16, 1940:7, 1942:3, 1812:11, 1812:18, 1751:20, 1752:13, 1966:13 1936:18, 1939:5, 1972:20 1866:4, 1926:11, 1752:16, 1769:3, vulnerable [1] -1940:6, 1940:10, weekly [1] - 1791:13 1943:15, 1943:16, 1774:11, 1774:25, 1761:14 1942:2, 1942:11, weeks [3] - 1864:7, 1944:21, 1946:6, 1775:4, 1775:6, 1927:14, 1943:17 1942:21, 1946:3, 1967:21 1775:7, 1775:9, W 1946:25, 1972:6, weigh [1] - 1891:19 vary [1] - 1915:11 1775:13, 1775:17, 1977:24, 1981:4, weight [5] - 1734:11, varying [1] - 1878:9 1775:19, 1776:11, 1982:3, 1983:20, 1734:14, 1735:1, vegetation [4] wait [2] - 1902:1, 1776:13, 1776:16,

1891:21, 1944:7 1866:15, 1866:20, 1978:4 1988:1 withdrawing [1] -48 weirded [1] - 1846:3 WIEST [242] - 1721:1, 1867:24, 1868:3, Wiest's [1] - 1736:12 1866:20 1727:18, 1731:17, 1870:5, 1870:7, wildlife [3] - 1747:4, withdrawn [1] welcome [3] -1733:7, 1734:7, 1871:1, 1871:5, 1787:8, 1972:23 1750:22 1863:11, 1919:7, 1985:17 1734:24, 1735:10, 1871:16, 1871:19, Wildlife [53] - 1708:4, Witness [4] - 1762:4, welfare [2] - 1733:16, 1735:24. 1736:4. 1872:10, 1872:13, 1708:5, 1725:20, 1769:23, 1770:13, 1737:4. 1737:14. 1879:6, 1881:18, 1725:21, 1725:22, 1848:12 1981:20 1737:16. 1737:20. 1881:22. 1883:9. wellhead [1] - 1775:5 1725:24, 1738:7, **WITNESS** [78] -1884:15, 1884:25, 1738:20, 1740:4, welling [1] - 1788:2 1739:1, 1739:19, 1718:15, 1719:2, 1886:6, 1887:9, 1740:13, 1741:4, 1739:20, 1739:24, wells [7] - 1804:12, 1790:5, 1790:11, 1741:10, 1747:10, 1890:11, 1892:4, 1810:12, 1810:15, 1740:5, 1740:9, 1793:2, 1822:9, 1892:7, 1894:2, 1753:3, 1757:1, 1814:17, 1815:5, 1740:16, 1740:19, 1822:14, 1822:18, 1898:2, 1900:19, 1757:9, 1757:19, 1742:10, 1746:4, 1824:18, 1825:7, 1821:5, 1915:16 1903:1, 1903:6, 1758:2, 1759:17, West [2] - 1799:17, 1746:13, 1746:19, 1829:22, 1830:18, 1906:4, 1906:6, 1764:6, 1765:7, 1746:23, 1747:12, 1830:22, 1831:2, 1851:9 1906:9. 1906:24. 1767:21, 1773:8, 1754:1, 1754:8, 1831:6, 1831:11, west [3] - 1807:2, 1909:20, 1910:8, 1776:1, 1776:12, 1755:5, 1755:11, 1831:16, 1831:22, 1807:4, 1947:15 1911:17, 1913:15, 1777:1, 1778:8, 1758:24, 1760:1, 1832:10, 1832:18, WESTECH [1] -1779:21, 1780:13, 1914:23, 1916:12, 1764:17, 1768:9, 1833:6, 1837:17, 1888:11 1916:15, 1916:18, 1781:20, 1781:23, 1768:19, 1768:22, 1839:22, 1839:24, Western [2] - 1708:7, 1919:3, 1920:16, 1782:2, 1786:21, 1779:7, 1779:13, 1841:14, 1848:21, 1772:17 1920:20, 1920:24, 1787:15, 1789:24, 1780:22, 1782:25, 1856:15, 1856:19, western [2] - 1739:15, 1921:11, 1921:14, 1793:5, 1793:13, 1783:2, 1785:11, 1856:23, 1857:2, 1743:2 1921:17, 1921:19, 1793:15, 1796:17, 1785:14, 1785:21, 1857:5, 1858:6, wetland [4] - 1730:6, 1921:24, 1922:11, 1785:24, 1786:2, 1797:8, 1801:13, 1870:11, 1870:15, 1755:6, 1769:2, 1922:13, 1923:13, 1805:18, 1819:23, 1786:5, 1786:10, 1870:18, 1870:20, 1795:6 1924:4, 1924:8, 1820:4, 1820:6, 1787:4, 1972:14, 1871:21, 1871:25, wetlands [5] - 1730:8, 1927:1, 1927:9, 1821:24, 1822:3, 1972:19, 1973:3, 1872:4, 1894:8, 1730:11, 1795:3, 1825:11, 1825:14, 1927:11, 1928:2, 1974:12, 1978:22, 1895:3, 1895:9, 1795:9, 1795:10 1825:17, 1825:22, 1935:11, 1936:9, 1979:19, 1979:22, 1896:7, 1896:13, whatever's [1] -1936:11, 1938:16, 1826:1, 1826:4, 1979:24, 1980:14 1896:16, 1896:18, 1932:20 1938:20, 1940:16, 1826:8, 1826:11, willing [3] - 1877:14, 1896:22, 1897:2, whatsoever [2] -1826:13, 1826:20, 1941:18, 1941:21, 1891:8, 1891:11 1897:5, 1897:10, 1846:10. 1863:9 1826:24, 1827:9, 1942:17, 1943:21, WIN [1] - 1711:6 1897:15, 1897:21, whereby [1] - 1920:7 1827:18, 1829:21, 1944:6, 1948:20, 1916:23, 1917:7, Win [1] - 1706:3 wherein [1] - 1802:7 1830:10, 1830:14, 1948:23, 1949:3, Wind [2] - 1803:19, 1917:13, 1917:21, white [1] - 1975:14 1832:4, 1833:24, 1949:6, 1949:11, 1815:15 1918:4, 1918:8, whole [9] - 1834:13, 1834:3, 1837:19, 1949:14, 1950:3, 1918:18, 1919:7, wind [1] - 1933:20 1862:1, 1874:4, 1837:22, 1837:24, 1950:9, 1950:12, 1919:9, 1919:13, window [1] - 1875:15 1876:13, 1894:21, 1839:6, 1839:19, 1952:9, 1953:3, 1919:18, 1919:22, winter [13] - 1728:17, 1899:7, 1913:1, 1839:23, 1840:11, 1953:9, 1954:9, 1920:2, 1920:12, 1728:18, 1728:22, 1974:20, 1981:4 1841:3, 1841:9, 1954:25, 1955:15, 1930:5, 1935:9, 1729:5, 1729:7, wholeheartedly [1] -1841:17, 1848:15, 1957:4, 1958:10, 1936:13, 1939:1, 1729:16, 1741:14, 1968:12 1848:24, 1849:3, 1958:14, 1959:4, 1939:7, 1939:11, 1883:24, 1892:13, whooping [2] -1959:10, 1960:12, 1849:25, 1850:3, 1939:18, 1939:21, 1892:15, 1892:17, 1739:14, 1742:17 1850:6, 1851:22, 1960:19, 1963:6, 1940:1, 1940:20, 1892:19, 1892:21 wide [2] - 1816:18, 1852:9, 1852:22, 1963:12, 1963:15, 1940:24, 1941:3 winterization [2] -1821.2 1854:1, 1855:5, 1964:13, 1965:10, witness [69] - 1721:2, 1728:24, 1729:6 widely [1] - 1857:22 1856:10, 1857:11, 1965:24, 1966:7, 1733:22, 1734:3, Wisconsin [4] -WIEBERS [1] -1966:12, 1966:19, 1858:3, 1858:5, 1734:4, 1734:16, 1794:21, 1795:3, 1717:14 1968:9, 1969:11, 1858:7, 1858:11, 1737:19, 1740:8, 1795:5, 1795:13 Wiebers [1] - 1707:13 1858:20, 1858:24, 1969:16, 1970:18, 1744:3, 1744:15, wishes [3] - 1884:12, Wiest [18] - 1703:16, 1971:3, 1973:6, 1859:14, 1859:21, 1747:2, 1747:7, 1897:14, 1897:19 1757:24, 1833:13, 1973:22, 1973:24, 1859:25, 1860:13, 1753:1, 1756:21, withdraw [1] -1850:8, 1860:18, 1975:2, 1978:7, 1860:16, 1860:25, 1757:10, 1757:13, 1866:21 1862:22, 1865:15, 1981:7, 1981:16, 1861:9, 1861:23, 1757:21, 1757:25, withdrawal [4] -1918:23, 1921:22, 1862:8, 1862:14, 1981:18, 1983:19, 1759:10, 1759:14, 1782:7, 1782:17, 1923:22, 1924:11, 1862:21, 1863:4, 1983:22, 1985:2, 1762:25, 1763:7, 1782:23, 1824:22 1940:4, 1941:4, 1863:7, 1863:12, 1985:24, 1986:20, 1767:18, 1780:9, withdrawals [2] -1941:23, 1960:17, 1863:17, 1865:1, 1986:25, 1987:6, 1787:7, 1797:12, 1778:16, 1778:19 1963:9, 1964:17, 1987:9, 1987:15, 1865:9, 1865:25,

1825:15, 1825:19, word [8] - 1818:11, 1911:24, 1921:4, 1921:12 1921:19, 1926:24, 1827:6, 1827:17, 1882:7, 1882:12, 1938:17, 1938:22, 1829:20, 1830:12, 1882:14, 1883:6, Ζ 1831:24, 1833:14, 1889:18, 1933:25, 1957:5, 1960:22, 1833:17, 1833:23, 1987:18 1969:12, 1975:2 zone [2] - 1742:17, worded [1] - 1982:5 1837:23, 1839:14, YANKTON [3] -1807:14 1859:6, 1859:16, words [7] - 1749:14, 1708:15. 1714:19. **Zone** [2] - 1705:6, 1860:1. 1860:7. 1715:2 1755:4, 1786:22, 1775:5 1865:5, 1865:12, 1804:16, 1818:15, year [14] - 1722:24, zones [1] - 1979:22 1865:17, 1865:22, 1823:25, 1943:12 1723:8. 1824:9. Zulkosky [1] - 1708:14 1866:14, 1867:1, 1875:15, 1890:19, works [3] - 1790:7, 1870:6, 1881:21, 1898:7, 1898:16, 1942:12, 1987:23 1884:22, 1884:24, workshops [1] -1903:11, 1912:2, 1885:25, 1900:22, 1912:13, 1912:16, 1831:2 1906:19, 1916:17, 1912:17, 1916:7, world [7] - 1760:23, 1920:25, 1924:8, 1945:20 1799:6, 1813:25, 1938:18, 1941:6, Year [2] - 1708:5, 1818:25, 1846:23, 1953:21, 1957:8, 1708:7 1884:3, 1971:13 1957:18, 1963:20, world's [3] - 1803:18, years [25] - 1722:17, 1969:8, 1970:11, 1722:19, 1722:22, 1817:10, 1835:14 1973:18, 1986:4 1722:23, 1723:2, worst [1] - 1748:3 Witness's [2] worst-case [1] -1743:20, 1744:9, 1949:13, 1950:11 1802:6, 1807:17, 1748:3 witness's [3] -1813:12, 1813:13, worth [1] - 1850:20 1733:25, 1757:16, 1814:12, 1816:11, Worthing [1] - 1807:1 1776:8 1823:25, 1825:6, wrap [1] - 1864:20 witnessed [1] -1869:17, 1871:8, wrapping [1] -1954:16 1879:13, 1880:9, 1864:23 witnesses [29] -1888:3, 1912:4, write [1] - 1869:24 1757:3, 1759:16, 1912:5, 1912:14, writing [2] - 1833:15, 1805:13, 1816:21, 1913:2, 1933:7 1927:17 1825:17, 1826:18, yellow [3] - 1905:13, written [13] - 1760:6, 1826:19, 1826:21, 1905:21, 1907:4 1760:11, 1812:6, 1827:1, 1827:11, yesterday [14] -1838:3, 1872:21, 1827:12, 1832:1, 1728:16, 1728:20, 1914:18, 1914:19, 1858:25, 1860:6, 1732:18, 1736:23, 1924:17, 1925:7, 1744:25, 1745:6, 1862:3, 1862:6, 1950:5, 1978:10, 1863:24, 1864:3, 1745:14, 1783:13, 1981:24 1803:10, 1804:1, 1864:18, 1866:4, wrote [2] - 1870:2, 1884:7, 1884:8, 1804:16, 1810:13, 1944:16 1893:22, 1910:13, 1815:14, 1816:21 Wyoming [1] -1919:2, 1927:19, yesterday's [1] -1930:21 1927:20, 1942:18 1803:21 WITNESSES [15] yields [5] - 1847:5, X 1709:2, 1710:2, 1875:11, 1875:13, 1711:2, 1711:14, 1881:15, 1912:21 1712:2, 1713:2, XL [4] - 1723:6, YOUNG [1] - 1711:6 1714:2, 1714:19, 1723:14, 1828:24, Young [6] - 1706:3, 1715:2, 1715:6, 1888.9 1706:20, 1724:22, 1716:2, 1717:2, 1744:17, 1766:10, 1718:2, 1719:5, Υ 1785:10 1720.2 young's [5] - 1738:3, Wittler [2] - 1703:24, 1742:11, 1757:15, Yankton [25] - 1704:6, 1989:18 1766:9, 1770:20 1708:18, 1734:22, **WITTLER** [1] - 1989:5 Young's [2] - 1779:19, 1735:3, 1737:20, wonder [1] - 1797:20 1785:19 1752:25, 1805:18, wonderful [1] yourself [2] - 1769:20, 1805:23, 1816:2, 1799:22 1771.7 1845:23, 1848:24, wondering [2] youth [1] - 1930:12 1849:2, 1872:6, 1809:17, 1912:1 YST [2] - 1921:10, 1881:18, 1911:17,