

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

| | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------|
| In the Matter of the Application of Native American Telecom, LLC for a Certificate of Authority to Provide Local Exchange Service within the Study Area of Midstate Communications, Inc. |)))))) | Docket No. TC11-087 |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------|

**CENTURYLINK'S MOTION TO COMPEL DISCOVERY RESPONSES FROM
NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM**

Qwest Communications Company, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company, doing business as "CenturyLink" ("CenturyLink"), through counsel, pursuant to SDLC 15-6-37 (a) (2), hereby moves the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission to issue an order compelling Native American Telecom, LLC ("NAT"), to respond fully to discovery requests as identified below. In support of its Motion, CenturyLink states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. As an introduction and as described more fully below, NAT is engaging in plainly obstructionist conduct in its failure to comply with the most basic of discovery requests from CenturyLink. Three of the discovery requests, all asking for documents and information reviewed by NAT's consultant in the preparation of his testimony, are among the most straightforward and non-controversial discovery requests made in any litigation, and NAT's responses that such requests are outside the scope of this docket, and that NAT does not understand the meaning of words used in CenturyLink's request such as "data" or "information," are patently unreasonable. Further, NAT's failure to provide responses to

CenturyLink's other requests that are the subject of this Motion – for information relating to how NAT intends to make money from interexchange carriers such as CenturyLink though its admitted plans to engage in access stimulation – are well within the applicable discovery standard that such requests are reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, as framed by the pleading in this docket.

LEGAL AUTHORITIES GOVERNING DISCOVERY

2. Applying the discovery rules from the state rules of civil procedure,¹ SDCL 15-6-37 (a) (2) authorizes a party to move the Commission for an order compelling another party to answer an interrogatory or request for documents. As affirmed in the attached Affidavit, and as evidenced by the email communications between counsel, the undersigned hereby certifies compliance with SDLC 15-6-37 (a) (2), that he has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with opposing counsel in an effort of obtain the requested discovery without the need for Commission action; however, those efforts have been unsuccessful.²

3. The scope of pretrial discovery is governed by SDCL 15-6-26 (b) (1). This statute states in pertinent part:

Parties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action, whether it relates to the claim or defense of the party seeking discovery or to the claim or defense of any other party, including the existence, description, nature, custody, condition and location of any books, documents, or other tangible things and the identity and location of persons having knowledge of any discoverable matter. It is not ground for objection that the information sought will be inadmissible at the trial if the information sought appears reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. (Emphasis added).

¹ ARSD 20:10:01.02 says: **Use of rules of civil procedure.** Except to the extent a provision is not appropriately applied to an agency proceeding or is in conflict with SDCL chapter 1-26, another statute governing the proceeding, or the commission's rules, the rules of civil procedure as used in the circuit courts of this state shall apply.

² See Affidavit of Todd L. Lundy, attached as Exhibit 7.

The South Dakota Supreme Court has consistently held that the discovery rules are to be construed liberally and in favor of broad discovery. Bean v. Best, 80 N.W.2d 565 (SD 1957). A party "may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action." Id.

4. A broad construction of the discovery rules is necessary to satisfy the three distinct purposes of discovery: (1) narrow the issues; (2) obtain evidence for use at trial; and (3) secure information that may lead to admissible evidence at trial. Kaarup v. St. Paul Fire and Marine Ins. Co., 436 N.W.2d 17, 19-20 (SD 1989) (citation omitted). In South Dakota, "[t]he scope of pretrial discovery is, for the most part, broadly construed." Kaarup, 436 NW2d at 19 (citing Bean v. Best, 80 NW2d 565 (SD 1957)). SDCL 15-6-26 (b) provides that "[p]arties may obtain discovery regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action...." Accordingly, "[t]he proper standard for ruling on a discovery motion is whether the information sought is 'relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action....'" Kaarup, 436 NW2d at 20 (quoting SDCL 15-6-26 (b) (1)). "This phraseology implies a broad construction of 'relevancy' at the discovery stage because one of the purposes of discovery is to examine information that may lead to admissible evidence at trial." Id. (citing 8 C. Wright and A. Miller, Federal Practice and Procedure, § 2008 (1970)).

5. As demonstrated in the sections below, the discovery requests that are the subject of this Motion are well within the scope of relevant issues in this docket as framed by the pleadings, and NAT's failure to respond, based either upon the scope of discovery or based upon objections to the language used in CenturyLink's requests is not reasonable and conflicts with South Dakota's rules of discovery.

NAT SHOULD BE COMPELLED TO RESPOND TO CENTURYLINK'S DISCOVERY REQUESTS

6. On February 24, 2012, CenturyLink served a First Set and a Second Set of Discovery Requests upon NAT. The First Set was a modest 15 in number and was limited to the area in which NAT was requesting certification. The Second Set focused upon the filed testimony of its consultant, Mr. Carey Roesel, and in general requested the documents, information, and data used by Mr. Roesel in his analysis and preparation of this testimony. This Motion to Compel will begin with NAT's failure to respond to three questions within the Second Set of Discovery Requests.

Material Reviewed by NAT's Consultant in the Preparation of His Testimony

7. On February 17, 2012, NAT filed the Direct Testimony of Carey Roesel in support of its application for certification. Mr. Roesel's testimony states that he is employed by Technologies Management, Inc., and that he has been retained by NAT as a consultant and to provide testimony in this docket. Mr. Roesel outlines the purpose of his testimony as describing "the managerial, financial, and technical ability of NAT to provide the telecommunications services as outlined in NAT's revised 'Application for a Certificate of Authority,'" and he concludes by opining that NAT does have such ability.³ Other than referencing NAT's website for its rates, terms and conditions of service, and the confidential financial documents that NAT provided to the Commission, Mr. Roesel does not identify any of the documents or information that he reviewed or analyzed in the preparation of his Testimony.

³ Direct Testimony of Carey Roesel, at pp. 2, 10.

8. On February 24, 2012, CenturyLink served the following three data requests upon NAT as part of its Second Set of Discovery:

- 2.1 Please produce all documents, data, and other information reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in his preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony.
- 2.2 Please produce all documents reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony relating to NAT's "access stimulation" activities or its delivery of calls to FCSCs.
- 2.3 Please produce all documents reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony relating to any charges, billings or invoices to interexchange carriers that may result from the delivery or transport of calls by NAT to FCSCs.

In sum, all three requests seek the documents and information Mr. Roesel reviewed and analyzed in preparing his testimony, and 2.2 and 2.3 focus upon any information he reviewed and analyzed relating to access stimulation and to the charges that NAT may invoice interexchange carriers such as CenturyLink. All three requests are couched in terms of what he reviewed "in preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony."

9. On March 15, 2012, NAT responded, out of time, to CenturyLink's Second Set of Discovery.⁴ NAT's Response to Request 2.1 was:

Subject to and notwithstanding the aforementioned general objections, such information is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this Certificate for Authority matter. NAT also objects that the terms "facts," "data," or "other information" is vague, overbroad, and ambiguous. NAT also objects that the requested materials are beyond the scope of permissible discovery under SDCL 15-6-26(b).

⁴ By Stipulation, NAT's responses to CenturyLink's discovery were due March 9. NAT did not serve its responses to CenturyLink's Second Set until March 19, even though the responses were signed by both counsel and NAT's corporate representative on March 15.

10. NAT's Responses to Requests 2.2 and 2.3 were the same, and mirrored its response to 2.1, changing only its inability to interpret the words "reviewed" and "analyzed", instead of "facts," "data," or "other information:"⁵

Subject to and notwithstanding the aforementioned general objections, such information is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this Certificate for Authority matter. NAT also objects that the terms "reviewed" and "analyzed" are vague, overbroad, and ambiguous. NAT also objects that the requested materials are beyond the scope of permissible discovery under SDCL 15-6-26(b).

11. Almost immediately after receiving these responses, CenturyLink's counsel wrote to NAT's counsel, requesting an explanation as to how documents reviewed in preparation of the filing of a consultant's testimony in a particular docket could possibly not be relevant to that very docket.⁶ NAT's counsel's response did not address the issue of relevancy; rather, the focus of his objection turned on the meaning of the language contained in CenturyLink's request. NAT's counsel stated:

Please clarify what "documents, data, and other information" CenturyLink is seeking in Data Requests 2.1 and 2.2

The information encompassed by these Data Request could include Mr. Roesel's college textbooks, continuing education materials, etc.

Please advise.⁷

CenturyLink again responded promptly, providing an explanation of the materials requested:

Scott, the words "documents, data, and other information" are qualified by the phrase "reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in his preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony." So, we're asking for the documents and information he reviewed from the time he started his preparation of his testimony through its completion. If Mr Roesel

⁵ NAT's Responses to 2.2 and 2.3 also refer to SDLC 16-6-26 (b), instead of 15-6-26 (b), but CenturyLink assumes this was an inadvertent typographical error.

⁶ The email from CenturyLink's counsel to NAT's, dated March 19, 2012, is attached to this Motion as Exhibit 1.

⁷ The email from NAT's counsel to CenturyLink, dated March 21, 2012, is attached as Exhibit 2.

did not review his college textbooks and Continuing Educational materials in the preparation of his testimony, then we are not asking for those. On the other hand, if he did review his college textbook in the formulation of his testimony, then we're asking for that.⁸

Since the above email from CenturyLink's counsel, NAT has not communicated its position regarding 2.1, 2.2, or 2.3 any further, and has not provided any substantive response to those data requests.

12. It's not clear from NAT's responses whether it still objects to these discovery requests because they are beyond the scope of relevant issues in this docket. In case it does, CenturyLink responds by asserting that discovery requests 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are tightly connected to the issues in this docket, by requesting the materials that NAT's consultant/witness reviewed or analyzed in preparation of his testimony. The CenturyLink discovery requests simply request the material that form the basis of testimony actually filed in the docket; it is difficult to imagine a request more closely aligned with the issues and evidence in any docket.

13. And, NAT's refusal to respond based upon its lack of an understanding of the words "facts," "data," "other information," "reviewed," or "analyzed" is equally unavailing. These words have a clear and straightforward meaning, and NAT's email communication suggesting that such language may call for Mr. Roesel to produce his college textbooks is an example of applying an extreme interpretation to commonly used language. Also, it is telling that NAT fails to provide any material that Mr. Roesel in fact did review during the time period in which he was preparing his testimony that would easily be within a reasonable interpretation of the discovery requests.

⁸ The email from CenturyLink's counsel to NAT's, dated March 21, 2012, is attached to this Motion as Exhibit 3.

14. NAT's own discovery requests to CenturyLink show that NAT is not acting reasonably, because NAT uses the very terms to which it objects in regard to CenturyLink's discovery requests. That is, NAT's discovery requests include a definition section uses the terms "facts,"⁹ "data,"¹⁰ and "information."¹¹ Further, as stated in email communications among counsel, these terms are limited to the materials that Mr. Roesel used "in preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony," certainly providing sufficient clarity and definition for NAT to respond.

Materials Regarding Charges Nat Will Attempt To Impose Upon IXCs

15. CenturyLink's First Set of Discovery included the following three requests:

1.13. Produce all documents evidencing communications between you and any FCSC relating to calls that may be delivered to, or transported through, the area that is the subject of its Application for Certificate of Authority.

1.14. Produce all contracts, agreements or other documentation of understanding or arrangement between you and any FCSC relating in any way to calls delivered to, or transported through, the area that is the subject of NAT's Application for Certificate of Authority.

1.15. Produce all documents, memos, or correspondence addressing, discussing, analyzing, referencing or otherwise relating to business plans, strategies, goals, or methods of obtaining monies or revenues from interexchange carriers in the area that is the subject of NAT's Application for Certificate of Authority, for calls that may be delivered or transported to FCSCs.

⁹ See Exhibit 4. NAT Definition 5 says: "Describe" when used in reference to a factual situation or allegation means to state with particularity all **facts** known connected with, bearing upon, or relating in any way to the matters on which inquiry is made.

¹⁰ See Exhibit 4. NAT Definition 6 says "Document," or "documentation," shall include, without limitation, any correspondence, writing, DVD, CD, graphic material, note, report, summary, manual, draft, calendar, log, photograph, plan, invoice, diary, ledger, journal, **data** or other recorded communication of any kind—regardless of medium and whether or not original or draft including additional writing thereon or attached thereto—that is responsive to the request.

¹¹ See Exhibit 4. NAT Data Request 1.34 says: Please identify any expert witness that you have employed/retained in this matter and any **factual information** provided to any expert witness that you have employed/retained in this matter.

16. NAT's Responses to 1.13, 1.14, and 1.15 were the same:

Subject to and notwithstanding the aforementioned general objections, NAT also objects to the extent the request is vague and ambiguous, seeks proprietary confidential information, and trade secrets. Moreover, such information is neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence in this Certificate of Authority matter.

17. Counsel for CenturyLink communicated with counsel for NAT, requesting that NAT reconsider its objections, and asserting that these requests are within the relevant issues in this docket as framed by the pleadings this docket.¹² NAT's response was that it will not agree to produce the requested documents, because they "are not reasonably related to the limited issue in this matter."¹³

18. Contrary to NAT's objections, CenturyLink's requests are well within the subject matter of this docket as framed by Commission orders and the pleadings. On November 30, 2011, the Commission granted CenturyLink's petition to intervene. The governing standard on petitions to intervene is whether the requesting party "will be bound and affected ... adversely with respect to an interest peculiar to the petitioner as distinguished an interest common to the public or to the taxpayers in general," as provided in ARSD 20:10:01:15:05. CenturyLink's petition to intervene asserted that, as an interexchange carrier, it may be the victim of an access charge scheme perpetrated by NAT for calls delivered to free service calling companies, and therefore it had an interest in the docket.¹⁴ And, as admitted by NAT in its discovery responses, and as stated by CenturyLink's witness Mr. Easton, NAT will be engaging in "access

¹² See Exhibit 5.

¹³ See Exhibit 6.

¹⁴ See Qwest's Re-filed Petition to Intervene, dated November 1, 2011, at ¶¶2 through 5.

stimulation” as defined by the FCC.¹⁵ CenturyLink has further concerns that NAT will engage in a form of “mileage pumping,” in which LEC charges unreasonably high transport charges to IXCs.¹⁶ Thus, requests 1.13, 1.14, and 1.15 sought information on NAT’s plans or intentions to charge access to IXCs for calls delivered to free service calling companies in the area that is the subject of the application for Certificate of Authority, as such intentions may be reflected in communications or agreements with free service calling companies or through internal plans and memoranda.

19. In sum, CenturyLink’s discovery requests -- to learn how NAT may attempt to engage in a scheme to charge inflated access to IXCs in the area that is the subject of NAT’s requested certificate -- are closely connected to the relevant issues in this case, and NAT should respond fully.

CONCLUSION

20. For the reasons stated above, CenturyLink respectfully requests an order of the Commission compelling NAT to respond fully and as soon as reasonably practical to Requests numbered 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, 1.13, 1.14, and 1.15.

Dated: April 2, 2012.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Todd Lundy
Todd L. Lundy (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California St., #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Telephone: 303-992-2510
todd.lundy@centurylink.com

¹⁵ See Direct Testimony of William R. Easton, at pp. 14 through 18.

¹⁶ Id., at pp. 20 through 22.

And

**Christopher W. Madsen
Boyce, Greenfield, Pashby & Welk, L.L.P.
300 S. Main Avenue
P.O. Box 5015
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015
Main: (605) 336-2424
Direct: (605) 731-0202
Fax: (605) 334-0618**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of this document was delivered via e-mail on this 2nd day of April, 2012, to the following parties:

Ms. Patricia Van Gerpen
Executive Director
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us
(605) 773-3201 - voice
(866) 757-6031 - fax

Ms. Karen E. Cremer
Staff Attorney
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
karen.cremer@state.sd.us
(605) 773-3201 - voice
(866) 757-6031 - fax

Mr. Chris Daugaard
Staff Analyst
South Dakota Public Utilities Commission
500 E. Capitol Ave.
Pierre, SD 57501
chris.daugaard@state.sd.us
(605) 773-3201- voice
(866) 757-6031 - fax

Mr. Scott R. Swier - Representing: Native American Telecom, LLC
Attorney at Law
Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC
202 N. Main St.
PO Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
scott@swierlaw.com
(605) 286-3218 - voice
(605) 286-3219 - fax

Mr. William VanCamp - Representing: AT&T Communications of the Midwest, Inc.
Attorney
Olinger, Lovald, McCahren & Reimers, P.C.
117 East Capitol
PO Box 66
Pierre, SD 57501-0066
bvancamp@olingerlaw.net
(605) 224-8851 - voice

Mr. Richard D. Coit
SDTA
PO Box 57
Pierre, SD 57501-0057
richcoit@sdtaonline.com
(605) 224-7629 - voice
(605) 224-1637 - fax

Ms. Meredith A. Moore - Representing: Midstate Communications, Inc.
Attorney
Cutler & Donahoe, LLP
100 N. Phillips Ave., 9th Floor
Sioux Falls, SD 57104-6725
meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com
(605) 335-4950 - voice
(605) 335-4961 - fax

Mr. Scott G. Knudson - Representing: Sprint Communications Company, LP
Attorney
Briggs and Morgan, PA.
80 S. Eighth St.
2200 IDS Center
Minneapolis, MN 55402
sknudson@briggs.com
(612) 977-8400 - voice
(612) 977-8650 - fax

Mr. Phillip Schenkenberg - Representing: Sprint Communications Company, LP
Attorney
Briggs and Morgan, PA.
80 South Eighth Street
2200 IDS Center
Minneapolis, MN 55402
pschenkenberg@briggs.com
(612) 977-8400 - voice
(612) 977-8650 - fax

Mr. Stanley E. Whiting - Representing: Sprint Communications Company, LP
Attorney
142 E. Third St.
Winner, SD 57580
swhiting@gwtc.net
(605) 842-3313 - voice

Mr. Jason D. Topp
Corporate Counsel
Qwest Corporation dba CenturyLink
200 S. Fifth St., Room 2200
Minneapolis, MN 55402
jason.topp@centurylink.com
(612) 672-8905 - voice
(612) 672-8911 - fax

Mr. Thomas J. Welk - Representing: Qwest dba CenturyLink
Boyce Greenfield Pashby & Welk LLP
101 N. Phillips Ave., Ste. 600
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015
tiwelk@bgpw.com
(605) 336-2424 - voice
(605) 334-0618 - fax

Mr. Christopher W. Madsen - Representing: Qwest dba CenturyLink
Boyce Greenfield Pashby & Welk LLP
101 N. Phillips Ave., Ste. 600
Sioux Falls, SD 57117-5015
cwmadsen@bgpw.com
(605) 336-2424 - voice
(605) 334-0618 - fax

/s/ Todd Lundy
Todd Lundy

From: Lundy, Todd

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 12:11 PM

To: 'scott@swierlaw.com'; Schenkenberg, Philip; Meredith Moore; Rich Coit; Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us; cwmadsen@bgpw.com; bvancamp@olingerlaw.net; Knudson, Scott; Ryan Taylor; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; chris.daugaard@state.sd.us; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; M.Northrup@riterlaw.com; DPRogers@riterlaw.com; david.jacobson@state.sd.us; tobinlaw@gwtc.net; William Lawson

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Scott, I have received and reviewed NAT's responses to CenturyLink's second set of discovery requests, which, in sum, asks for information reviewed by NAT's consultant, Mr. Roesel, in the preparation of his testimony. Your responses to 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are that they are outside the scope of this case. I fail to see how a question of what an expert has reviewed in preparation of testimony that has actually been filed in the case could be outside its scope. Please let me and the others know how it is that the information reviewed in preparation of an expert's testimony filed in a docket could be outside the docket.

Further, you object on the grounds that use of the words "facts, data, and other information" is vague. I also fail to see how that objection is sustainable. In short, CenturyLink wants to know what Mr. Roesel reviewed and analyzed, and such language was intended to provide detail of what is being requested.

Thanks.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@gwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 8:13 AM

To: Schenkenberg, Philip; Meredith Moore; Rich Coit; Lundy, Todd; Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us; cwmadsen@bgpw.com; bvancamp@olingerlaw.net; Knudson, Scott; Ryan Taylor; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; chris.daugaard@state.sd.us; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; M.Northrup@riterlaw.com; DPRogers@riterlaw.com; david.jacobson@state.sd.us; tobinlaw@gwtc.net; William Lawson

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to CenturyLink's Second Set of Discovery Requests.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(MIDSTATE/SDTA)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:35 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit" <richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd"

<Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,

"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"

<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp,

Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"

<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"

<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>,

"Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"

<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"

<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"

<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"

<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"

<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>,

"William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Midstate's/SDTA's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(SPRINT & CENTURYLINKS)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:25 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith

Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit"

<richcoit@sdaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd"

<Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,

"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"

<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp,

Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"

<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"

<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>,

"Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"

<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"

<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"

<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"

<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"

<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>,

"William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Sprint's and CenturyLink's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

From: scott@swierlaw.com [mailto:scott@swierlaw.com]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 11:06 AM

To: Lundy, Todd

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Todd:

Thanks for your below email.

Please clarify what "documents, data, and other information" CenturyLink is seeking in Data Requests 2.1 and 2.2

The information encompassed by these Data Request could include Mr. Roesel's college textbooks, continuing education materials, etc.

Please advise.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

From: "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>

Date: Mon, March 19, 2012 1:11 pm

To: "scott@swierlaw.com" <scott@swierlaw.com>, "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, Meredith Moore

857

<meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, Rich Coit
<richcoit@sdaonline.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"
<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com"
<cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net"
<bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"
<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, Ryan Taylor <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>,
"Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"
<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"
<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting"
<swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek"
<jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"
<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"
<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"
<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"
<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"
<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net"
<tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, William Lawson <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Scott, I have received and reviewed NAT's responses to CenturyLink's second set of discovery requests, which, in sum, asks for information reviewed by NAT's consultant, Mr. Roesel, in the preparation of his testimony. Your responses to 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are that they are outside the scope of this case. I fail to see how a question of what an expert has reviewed in preparation of testimony that has actually been filed in the case could be outside its scope. Please let me and the others know how it is that the information reviewed in preparation of an expert's testimony filed in a docket could be outside the docket.

Further, you object on the grounds that use of the words "facts, data, and other information" is vague. I also fail to see how that objection is sustainable. In short, CenturyLink wants to know what Mr. Roesel reviewed and analyzed, and such language was intended to provide detail of what is being requested.

Thanks.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@qwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 8:13 AM

To: Schenkenberg, Philip; Meredith Moore; Rich Coit; Lundy, Todd; Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us; cwmadsen@bgpw.com; bvancamp@olingerlaw.net; Knudson, Scott; Ryan Taylor; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; chris.daugaard@state.sd.us; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; M.Northrup@riterlaw.com; DPRogers@riterlaw.com; david.jacobson@state.sd.us; tobinlaw@gwtc.net; William Lawson

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to CenturyLink's Second Set of Discovery Requests.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (MIDSTATE/SDTA)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:35 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit" <richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk" <tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us" <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning" <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen" <patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com" <M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com" <DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us" <david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, "William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Midstate's/SDTA's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(SPRINT & CENTURYLINKS)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:25 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith

Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit"

<richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd"

<Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com"

<cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,

"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"

<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp,

Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"

<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"

<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>,

"Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"

<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"

<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"

<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"

<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"

<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>,

"William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Sprint's and CenturyLink's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

This communication is the property of CenturyLink and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.

From: Lundy, Todd

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 1:52 PM

To: 'scott@swierlaw.com'; 'Schenkenberg, Philip'; 'Meredith Moore'; 'Rich Coit'; 'Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us'; 'cwmadsen@bgpw.com'; 'bvancamp@olingerlaw.net'; 'Knudson, Scott'; 'Ryan Taylor'; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Weik'; 'chris.daugaard@state.sd.us'; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; 'M.Northrup@riterlaw.com'; 'DPRogers@riterlaw.com'; 'david.jacobson@state.sd.us'; 'tobinlaw@gwtc.net'; 'William Lawson'

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Scott, the words "documents, data, and other information" are qualified by the phrase "reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in his preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony." So, we're asking for the documents and information he reviewed from the time he started his preparation of his testimony through its completion. If Mr Roesel did not review his college textbooks and Continuing Educational materials in the preparation of his testimony, then we are not asking for those. On the other hand, if he did review his college textbook in the formulation of his testimony, then we're asking for that.

Thank you.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@gwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 11:06 AM

To: Lundy, Todd

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Todd:

Thanks for your below email.

Please clarify what "documents, data, and other information" CenturyLink is seeking in Data Requests 2.1 and 2.2

The information encompassed by these Data Request could include Mr. Roesel's college textbooks, continuing education materials, etc.

Please advise.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

From: "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>

Date: Mon, March 19, 2012 1:11 pm

To: "scott@swierlaw.com" <scott@swierlaw.com>, "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, Meredith Moore <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, Rich Coit <richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>, Ryan Taylor <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk" <tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us" <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning" <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen" <patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com" <M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com" <DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us" <david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, William Lawson <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Scott, I have received and reviewed NAT's responses to CenturyLink's second set of discovery requests, which, in sum, asks for information reviewed by NAT's consultant, Mr. Roesel, in the preparation of his testimony. Your responses to 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are that they are outside the scope of this case. I fail to see how a question of what an expert has reviewed in preparation of testimony that has actually been filed in the case could be outside its scope. Please let me and the others know how it is that the information reviewed in preparation of an expert's testimony filed in a docket could be outside the docket.

Further, you object on the grounds that use of the words "facts, data, and other information" is vague. I also fail to see how that objection is sustainable. In short, CenturyLink wants to know what Mr. Roesel reviewed and analyzed, and such language was intended to provide detail of what is being requested.

Thanks.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@qwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 8:13 AM

To: Schenkenberg, Philip; Meredith Moore; Rich Coit; Lundy, Todd; Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us; cwmadsen@bcpw.com; bvancamp@olingerlaw.net; Knudson, Scott; Ryan Taylor; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; chris.daugaard@state.sd.us; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; M.Northrup@riterlaw.com; DPRogers@riterlaw.com; david.jacobson@state.sd.us; tobinlaw@qwtc.net; William Lawson

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to CenturyLink's Second Set of Discovery Requests.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or

disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (MIDSTATE/SDTA)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:35 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith

Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit"

<richcoit@sdtasonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd"

<Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,

"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"

<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp,

Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"

<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"

<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>,

"Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"

<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"

<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"

<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"

<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"

<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>,

"William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Midstate's/SDTA's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street

P.O. Box 256

Avon, SD 57315

Telephone: (605) 286-3218

Facsimile: (605) 286-3219

Scott@SwierLaw.com

www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise

legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(SPRINT & CENTURYLINKS)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:25 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit" <richcoit@sdtsonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk" <tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us" <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning" <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen" <patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com" <M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com" <DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us" <david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, "William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Sprint's and CenturyLink's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

This communication is the property of CenturyLink and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION
OF NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC
FOR A CERTIFICATE OF AUTHORITY TO
PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE
WITHIN THE STUDY AREA OF
MIDSTATE COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Docket No. TC11-087

**NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC'S FIRST SET OF DISCOVERY
REQUESTS TO CENTURYLINK**

Native American Telecom, LLC ("NAT"), through counsel, hereby serves its First Set of Discovery Requests upon CenturyLink.

CenturyLink must answer these discovery requests on or before March 9, 2012.

INSTRUCTIONS

These requests are continuing in nature and amended answers are to be served as soon as reasonably practical when additional information is available to you, directly or indirectly, that would render incomplete, incorrect or misleading any answer previously provided.

Please repeat each data request and identify the individual(s) supplying the response. Where a request calls for a response in multiple parts, each part should be separated in the response so that the response is understandable and complete with respect to each part. If a request is not clearly understood or questions arise related to a request, please contact the undersigned counsel for clarification.

NAT hereby incorporates all other "Instructions" as contained in CenturyLink's Discovery Requests to NAT (dated February 24, 2012)

Unless otherwise directed, you should answer these requests for January 1, 2009, forward.

DEFINITIONS

1. "NAT" shall mean Native American Telecom, LLC and its predecessors and assigns, including without limitation, its officers, directors, employees, agents, consultants, attorneys, affiliates and subsidiaries.
2. "CLEC" shall mean competitive local exchange carrier as that term is used and understood in the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
3. "Conference call company" means any provider of voice conferencing or chat-line services.
4. "Describe" when used in reference to a document or other communication means to identify the type of document or communication, the date created or made, the people involved in communication, the present location or possession of the document or other recorded evidence of communication, and the substance content of the document or other communication.
5. "Describe" when used in reference to a factual situation or allegation means to state with particularity all facts known connected with, bearing upon, or relating in any way to the matters on which inquiry is made.
6. "Document," or "documentation," shall include, without limitation, any correspondence, writing, DVD, CD, graphic material, note, report, summary, manual, draft, calendar, log, photograph, plan, invoice, diary, ledger, journal, data or other recorded communication of any kind—regardless of medium and whether or not original or draft including additional writing thereon or attached thereto—that is responsive to the request.
7. "Free Calling Service Company" or "FCSC" is defined as any person or entity that provides conference calling, chat rooms, adult content calling, podcasts, and international calling services. FCSC is also defined as any person or entity that receives a kickback, marketing fee, commission or other payment based upon the volume of traffic delivered or routed through a particular

destination, including without limitation any and all brokers, agents, persons or entities between you and a FCSC.

8. "Identify, identity or identification" when used herein shall mean provide the full name, title, business address and telephone number of any relevant individuals or, when used in reference to documentation, shall mean to state the type of document (e.g., letter, memorandum, policy, circular, book, etc.) and the location of such documentation by business address.
9. "LEC" shall mean local exchange carrier as that term is defined in 47 U.S.C. § 153(26).
10. "List," "explain," "specify," or "state" shall mean to set forth fully, in detail, and unambiguously each and every fact of which you, your company or your agents or representatives have knowledge which is relevant to the answer called for by the request.
11. "You" or "your" includes without limitation CenturyLink and its predecessors, assigns, affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, agents, directors, employees, and consultants.
12. NAT hereby incorporates all other "Definitions" as contained in CenturyLink's Discovery Requests to NAT (dated February 24, 2012).

DATA REQUESTS

- 1.1 Does CenturyLink deliver or transport any calls directly to any FCSC, such as a free conference call, chat line, recording, or like company? If so, identify the FCSC by name, website, and content.
- 1.2 If the answer to number 1.1 is yes, identify and describe all charges that CenturyLink invoices carriers for such calls by rate, mileage, and any other component of the invoice.

- 1.3 Do you transport any calls to any other communications company (i.e., local exchange carrier, interexchange carrier or any other common carrier), that will be delivering calls to any FCSC or terminating calls for any FCSC? If so, identify the other communications company or companies, and the FCSC by name, website, and content.
- 1.4 If the answer to number 1.3 is yes, identify and describe all charges that CenturyLink will be invoicing carriers for such calls by rate, mileage, and any other component of the invoice.
- 1.5 Provide a copy of all Access Tariff(s) currently filed with the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission by CenturyLink.
- 1.6 Provide a copy of all local exchange tariff(s) you have filed with the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission.
- 1.7 Provide a copy of each of the local exchange tariffs, price lists or catalogs you have filed in each state in which CenturyLink is certificated.
- 1.8 Identify each FCSC that receives calls delivered by CenturyLink in each state in which CenturyLink is certificated.
- 1.9 Does CenturyLink transport calls for other interexchange carriers in South Dakota? If so, what are the rate and mileage applicable to such calls?
- 1.10 If CenturyLink does transport calls for other interexchange carriers, what are the points or places of connection with the interexchange carrier and where does CenturyLink transport the calls?
- 1.11 Will CenturyLink be engaging in access stimulation as defined by the FCC in the *Connect America* order?
- 1.12 If the answer to number 11 is yes, please produce a copy of the FCC tariff under 47 C.F.R. § 61.26 of the FCC rules.

- 1.13 Describe the percentage ownership of the owners of CenturyLink.
- 1.14 Describe CenturyLink relationship with any entity in which CenturyLink has any ownership or managerial connection, either directly or through corporations, partnerships, trusts, or any other form of entity.
- 1.15 Produce all documents evidencing communications between you and any LEC, ILEC, CLEC, and/or IXC offering services in the state of South Dakota.
- 1.16 Produce all documents evidencing communications between you and any FCSC.
- 1.17 Produce all documents evidencing communications between you and any centralized access provider in South Dakota.
- 1.18 Produce all contracts, agreements or other documentation of understanding or arrangement between you and any LEC and/or IXC offering services in South Dakota.
- 1.19 Produce all contracts, agreements or other documentation of understanding or arrangement between you and any FCSC.
- 1.20 Produce all contracts, agreements or other documentation of understanding or arrangement between you and any centralized access provider in South Dakota.
- 1.21 Produce all documents relating to any plan to share revenues, marketing fees or commissions, complete with the rates, terms and conditions, with any LEC offering services in South Dakota, and FCSC, or any centralized access provider in South Dakota,
- 1.22 Produce all documents, memos, or correspondence addressing, discussing, analyzing, referencing or otherwise relating to business plans, strategies, goals, or methods of obtaining monies or revenues in South Dakota or in any other state.

- 1.23 Produce all memos addressing, discussing, analyzing, referencing or otherwise relating to business plans, strategies, goals, methods of obtaining monies or revenues from any retail, wholesale customer, including residents, businesses, local exchange carriers, and interexchange carriers, in South Dakota or any other state.
- 1.24 Produce all documents, memos, and correspondence relating to your wholesale pricing rates ("rate decks") from 2009-present.
- 1.25 Produce all documents, memos, and correspondence relating to your history of making payments to LECs, ILECs, and/or CLECs for terminating switched access charges from 2009-present date.
- 1.26 Produce all documents, filings, memos, and correspondence relating to your intervention into any other federal, state, or local case in which a LEC, ILEC, and/or CLEC has sought an application for authority to provide telecommunications services.
- 1.27 Identify all of CenturyLink bank accounts.
- 1.28 Identify by name the employees and work locations of all of CenturyLink's employees.
- 1.29 Please provide all Business Plans you have prepared for the South Dakota market.
- 1.30 As of year-end 2010 and 2011, please provide the number of CenturyLink's:
 - (a) Retail residential customers in South Dakota;
 - (b) Retail traditional business customers in South Dakota; and
 - (c) Any other customers.
- 1.31 As of year-end 2010 and 2011, please provide the number of CenturyLink's:

- (a) Retail residential access lines in South Dakota;
- (b) Retail traditional business access lines in South Dakota;
- (c) Conferencing calling company access lines in South Dakota; and
- (d) Any other access lines in South Dakota.

- 1.32 Please provide the number of CenturyLink's employees as of year-end 2010 and 2011.
- 1.33 Please provide an organization chart showing all CenturyLink employees as of year-end 2011.
- 1.34 Please identify any expert witness that you have employed/retained in this matter and any factual information provided to any expert witness that you have employed/retained in this matter.
- 1.35 Identify any cases in which any of your expert witness(es) have testified or prefiled testimony over the last four (4) years.
- 1.36. Please provide all information regarding your expert witness(es) as required by SDCL 15-6-26(b)(4).

DOCUMENT REQUESTS

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 1: Provide any documents that evidence commitments for future financing of CenturyLink's operations.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 2: Provide 2011 bank statements, general ledger and journal entries and any other financial records that identify the detail for CenturyLink's income and expenses.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 3: Produce all documents that reflect CenturyLink's Board of Directors' meetings, minutes, and resolutions, and CenturyLink's bylaws.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 4: Provide all general ledger journal entries or other accounting records of CenturyLink that supports CenturyLink's balance sheets and profit and loss statements for 2009, 2010, and 2011.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 5: Provide all documents reflecting any loan CenturyLink has received from any lender.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 6: Please provide all cost studies or similar analyses that you have performed or had prepared on your behalf by any consultant or other third party for access services and high volume access services.

DOCUMENT REQUEST NO. 7: Provide any documents that evidence commitments for future financing of CenturyLink's operations.

Dated this 24th day of February, 2012.

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

/s/ Scott R. Swier

Scott R. Swier
202 Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, South Dakota 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-
scott@swierlaw.com
Attorneys for NAT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of *NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC'S FIRST SET OF DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO CENTURYLINK* was delivered via *electronic mail* on this 24th day of *February, 2012*, to the following parties:

SERVICE LIST
(SDPUC TC 11-087)

/s/ Scott R. Swier
Scott R. Swier

From: Lundy, Todd

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 2:48 PM

To: 'scott@swierlaw.com'; 'Schenkenberg, Philip'; 'Meredith Moore'; 'Rich Coit'; 'Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us'; 'cwmadsen@bgpw.com'; 'bvancamp@olingerlaw.net'; 'Knudson, Scott'; 'Ryan Taylor'; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; 'chris.daugaard@state.sd.us'; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; 'M.Northrup@riterlaw.com'; 'DPRogers@riterlaw.com'; 'david.jacobson@state.sd.us'; 'tobinlaw@gwtc.net'; 'William Lawson'

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Scott, in addition to the issues regarding the lack of a response by NAT to CenturyLink's requests 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 as addressed below, CenturyLink also requests that NAT reconsider its objections to and refusal to answer the following, as these subject matter areas are well within the issues as framed by the pleadings in this docket:

1.13 Produce all documents evidencing communications between you and any FCSC relating to calls that may be delivered to, or transported through, the area that is the subject of its Application for Certificate of Authority.

1.14 Produce all contracts, agreements or other documentation of understanding or arrangement between you and any FCSC relating in any way to calls delivered to, or transported through, the area that is the subject of NAT's Application for Certificate of Authority.

1.15 Produce all documents, memos, or correspondence addressing, discussing, analyzing, referencing or otherwise relating to business plans, strategies, goals, or methods of obtaining monies or revenues from interexchange carriers in the area that is the subject of NAT's Application for Certificate of Authority, for calls that may be delivered or transported to FCSCs.

Thank you.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@qwest.com

From: Lundy, Todd

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 1:52 PM

To: 'scott@swierlaw.com'; 'Schenkenberg, Philip'; 'Meredith Moore'; 'Rich Coit'; 'Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us'; 'cwmadsen@bgpw.com'; 'bvancamp@olingerlaw.net'; 'Knudson, Scott'; 'Ryan Taylor'; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; 'chris.daugaard@state.sd.us'; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; 'M.Northrup@riterlaw.com'; 'DPRogers@riterlaw.com'; 'david.jacobson@state.sd.us'; 'tobinlaw@gwtc.net'; 'William

Lawson'

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Scott, the words "documents, data, and other information" are qualified by the phrase "reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in his preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony." So, we're asking for the documents and information he reviewed from the time he started his preparation of his testimony through its completion. If Mr Roesel did not review his college textbooks and Continuing Educational materials in the preparation of his testimony, then we are not asking for those. On the other hand, if he did review his college textbook in the formulation of his testimony, then we're asking for that.

Thank you.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@gwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 11:06 AM

To: Lundy, Todd

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Todd:

Thanks for your below email.

Please clarify what "documents, data, and other information" CenturyLink is seeking in Data Requests 2.1 and 2.2

The information encompassed by these Data Request could include Mr. Roesel's college textbooks, continuing education materials, etc.

Please advise.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

From: "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>

Date: Mon, March 19, 2012 1:11 pm

To: "scott@swierlaw.com" <scott@swierlaw.com>; "Schenkenberg,

Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, Meredith Moore

<meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, Rich Coit

<richcoit@sdtsonline.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com"

<cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net"

<bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"

<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, Ryan Taylor <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>,

"Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"

<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"

<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting"

<swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek"

<jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"

<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"

<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"

<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"

<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"

<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net"

<tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, William Lawson <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Scott, I have received and reviewed NAT's responses to CenturyLink's second set of discovery requests, which, in sum, asks for information reviewed by NAT's consultant, Mr. Roesel, in the preparation of his testimony.

Your responses to 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are that they are outside the scope of this case. I fail to see how a question of what an expert has reviewed in preparation of testimony that has actually been filed in the case could be outside its scope. Please let me and the others know how it is that the information reviewed in preparation of an expert's testimony filed in a docket could be outside the docket.

Further, you object on the grounds that use of the words "facts, data, and other information" is vague. I also fail to see how that objection is sustainable. In short, CenturyLink wants to know what Mr. Roesel reviewed and analyzed, and such language was intended to provide detail of what is being requested.

Thanks.

Todd Lundy

CenturyLink Law Department

1801 California, #1000

Denver, CO 80202

Work: 303-992-2510

Fax: 303-295-7069

Cell: 303-587-4820

Email: todd.lundy@gwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [mailto:scott@swierlaw.com]

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 8:13 AM

To: Schenkenberg, Philip; Meredith Moore; Rich Coit; Lundy, Todd; Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us; cwmadsen@bgpw.com; bvancamp@olingerlaw.net; Knudson, Scott; Ryan Taylor; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; chris.daugaard@state.sd.us; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; M.Northrup@riterlaw.com; DPRogers@riterlaw.com; david.jacobson@state.sd.us; tobinlaw@gwtc.net; William Lawson

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to CenturyLink's Second Set of Discovery Requests.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (MIDSTATE/SDTA)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:35 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit"

<richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd"

<Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,

"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk" <tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "Chris Daugaard" <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us> <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning" <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen" <patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M. Northrup" <M.Northrup@riterlaw.com> <M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers" <DPRogers@riterlaw.com> <DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "David Jacobson" <david.jacobson@state.sd.us> <david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "Tobinlaw" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net> <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, "William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Midstate's/SDTA's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (SPRINT & CENTURYLINKS)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:25 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit" <richcoit@sdaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen Cremer" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>

<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com"
<cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,
"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"
<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp,
Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"
<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"
<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>,
"Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"
<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"
<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"
<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"
<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"
<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>,
"William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Sprint's and CenturyLink's
discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is
intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This
communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client
privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not
the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or
disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error,
please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply
transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

This communication is the property of CenturyLink and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is
strictly
prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication
in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy
all copies of the communication and any attachments.

From: scott@swierlaw.com [mailto:scott@swierlaw.com]

Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2012 3:15 PM

To: Lundy, Todd

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Todd:

Thank you for your below email.

NAT will not agree to produce these documents as the documents are not reasonably related to the limited issue in this matter.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

From: "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>

Date: Thu, March 29, 2012 3:47 pm

To: "scott@swierlaw.com" <scott@swierlaw.com>, "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, Meredith Moore <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, Rich Coit <richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvantamp@olingerlaw.net"

<bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"
<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, Ryan Taylor <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>,
"Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"
<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"
<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting"
<swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek"
<jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"
<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"
<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"
<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"
<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"
<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net"
<tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, William Lawson <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Scott, in addition to the issues regarding the lack of a response by NAT to CenturyLink's requests 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 as addressed below, CenturyLink also requests that NAT reconsider its objections to and refusal to answer the following, as these subject matter areas are well within the issues as framed by the pleadings in this docket:

1.13 Produce all documents evidencing communications between you and any FCSC relating to calls that may be delivered to, or transported through, the area that is the subject of its Application for Certificate of Authority.

1.14 Produce all contracts, agreements or other documentation of understanding or arrangement between you and any FCSC relating in any way to calls delivered to, or transported through, the area that is the subject of NAT's Application for Certificate of Authority.

1.15 Produce all documents, memos, or correspondence addressing, discussing, analyzing, referencing or otherwise relating to business plans, strategies, goals, or methods of obtaining monies or revenues from interexchange carriers in the area that is the subject of NAT's Application for Certificate of Authority, for calls that may be delivered or transported to FCSCs. Thank you.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@qwest.com

From: Lundy, Todd

Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 1:52 PM

To: 'scott@swierlaw.com'; 'Schenkenberg, Philip'; 'Meredith Moore'; 'Rich Coit'; 'Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us'; 'cwmadsen@bgpw.com'; 'bvancamp@olingerlaw.net'; 'Knudson, Scott'; 'Ryan Taylor'; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; 'chris.daugaard@state.sd.us'; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; 'M.Northrup@riterlaw.com'; 'DPRogers@riterlaw.com'; 'david.jacobson@state.sd.us'; 'tobinlaw@gwtc.net'; 'William Lawson'

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Scott, the words "documents, data, and other information" are qualified by the phrase "reviewed or analyzed by Carey Roesel in his preparation and drafting of his Direct Testimony." So, we're asking for the documents and information he reviewed from the time he started his preparation of his testimony through its completion.

If Mr Roesel did not review his college textbooks and Continuing Educational materials in the preparation of his testimony, then we are not asking for those. On the other hand, if he did review his college textbook in the formulation of his testimony, then we're asking for that.

Thank you.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820
Email: todd.lundy@qwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2012 11:06 AM
To: Lundy, Todd
Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Todd:

Thanks for your below email.

Please clarify what "documents, data, and other information" CenturyLink is seeking in Data Requests 2.1 and 2.2
The information encompassed by these Data Request could include Mr. Roesel's college textbooks, continuing education materials, etc.

Please advise.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client

privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

From: "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>

Date: Mon, March 19, 2012 1:11 pm

To: "scott@swierlaw.com" <scott@swierlaw.com>, "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, Meredith Moore <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, Rich Coit <richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>, Ryan Taylor <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk" <tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us" <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swaiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning" <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen" <patty.vangerpen@ritterlaw.com>, "M.Northrup@ritterlaw.com" <M.Northrup@ritterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@ritterlaw.com" <DPRogers@ritterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us" <david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, William Lawson <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Scott, I have received and reviewed NAT's responses to CenturyLink's second set of discovery requests, which, in sum, asks for information reviewed by NAT's consultant, Mr. Roesel, in the preparation of his testimony. Your responses to 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are that they are outside the scope of this case. I fail to see how a question of what an expert has reviewed in preparation of testimony that has actually been filed in the case could be outside its scope. Please let me and the others know how it is that the information reviewed in preparation of an expert's testimony filed in a docket could be outside the docket.

Further, you object on the grounds that use of the words "facts, data, and other information" is vague. I also fail to see how that objection is sustainable. In short, CenturyLink wants to know what Mr. Roesel reviewed and analyzed, and such language was intended to provide detail of what is being requested.

Thanks.

Todd Lundy
CenturyLink Law Department
1801 California, #1000
Denver, CO 80202
Work: 303-992-2510
Fax: 303-295-7069
Cell: 303-587-4820

Email: todd.lundy@qwest.com

From: scott@swierlaw.com [<mailto:scott@swierlaw.com>]

Sent: Monday, March 19, 2012 8:13 AM

To: Schenkenberg, Philip; Meredith Moore; Rich Coit; Lundy, Todd; Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us; cwmadsen@bgpw.com; bvancamp@olingerlaw.net; Knudson, Scott; Ryan Taylor; Topp, Jason; 'Thomas Welk'; chris.daugaard@state.sd.us; 'Stanley Whiting'; 'Jeff Holoubek'; 'Diane Browning'; 'Patty VanGerpen'; M.Northrup@riterlaw.com; DPRogers@riterlaw.com; david.jacobson@state.sd.us; tobinlaw@gwtc.net; William Lawson

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (CENTURYLINK - SECOND SET)

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to CenturyLink's Second Set of Discovery Requests.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: RE: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS (MIDSTATE/SDTA)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>

Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:35 pm

To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit"

<richcoit@sdtanline.com>, "Lundy, Todd"
<Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us"
<Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com"
<cwmadsen@bgpw.com>,
"bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott"
<SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp,
Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk"
<tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us"
<chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>,
"Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning"
<diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen"
<patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com"
<M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com"
<DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us"
<david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>,
"William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Midstate's/SDTA's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

----- Original Message -----

Subject: SDPUC TC 11-087 -- NAT'S DISCOVERY
RESPONSES/OBJECTIONS
(SPRINT & CENTURYLINKS)

From: <scott@swierlaw.com>
Date: Fri, March 09, 2012 4:25 pm
To: "Schenkenberg, Philip" <PSchenkenberg@Briggs.com>, "Meredith Moore" <meredithm@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Rich Coit" <richcoit@sdtaonline.com>, "Lundy, Todd" <Todd.Lundy@CenturyLink.com>, "Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us" <Karen.Cremer@state.sd.us>, "cwmadsen@bgpw.com" <cwmadsen@bgpw.com>, "bvancamp@olingerlaw.net" <bvancamp@olingerlaw.net>, "Knudson, Scott" <SKnudson@Briggs.com>, "Ryan Taylor" <ryant@cutlerlawfirm.com>, "Topp, Jason" <Jason.Topp@CenturyLink.com>, "Thomas Welk" <tjwelk@bgpw.com>, "chris.daugaard@state.sd.us" <chris.daugaard@state.sd.us>, "Stanley Whiting" <swhiting@gwtc.net>, "Jeff Holoubek" <jeff@nativeamericantelecom.com>, "Diane Browning" <diane.c.browning@sprint.com>, "Patty VanGerpen" <patty.vangerpen@state.sd.us>, "M.Northrup@riterlaw.com" <M.Northrup@riterlaw.com>, "DPRogers@riterlaw.com" <DPRogers@riterlaw.com>, "david.jacobson@state.sd.us" <david.jacobson@state.sd.us>, "tobinlaw@gwtc.net" <tobinlaw@gwtc.net>, "William Lawson" <Bret.Lawson@sprint.com>

Counsel:

Attached please find NAT's Responses/Objections to Sprint's and CenturyLink's discovery requests.

Thank you.

Scott

Scott R. Swier

SWIER LAW FIRM, PROF. LLC

202 N. Main Street
P.O. Box 256
Avon, SD 57315
Telephone: (605) 286-3218
Facsimile: (605) 286-3219
Scott@SwierLaw.com
www.SwierLaw.com

Confidentiality Notice

This message is being sent by or on behalf of Swier Law Firm, Prof. LLC. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, attorney-client privileged, confidential, or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print,

retain, copy, or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone at (605) 286-3218 or by reply transmission by e-mail, and delete all copies of the message.

This communication is the property of CenturyLink and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.

This communication is the property of CenturyLink and may contain confidential or privileged information. Unauthorized use of this communication is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the communication and any attachments.

**BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA**

In the Matter of the Application of Native)
American Telecom, LLC for a Certificate of)
Authority to Provide Local Exchange Service)
within the Study Area of Midstate)
Communications, Inc.)

Docket No. TC11-087


AFFIDAVIT OF TODD LUNDY IN COMPLIANCE WITH SDLC 15-6-37 (a) (2)

Todd L. Lundy, being duly sworn, states as follows:

1. I am employed by CenturyLink as Associate General Counsel, and as such, represent CenturyLink in the above-captioned docket.
2. I have in good faith conferred with opposing counsel, Mr. Scott Swier, in this docket in an effort of obtain the requested discovery without the need for Commission action; however, those efforts have been unsuccessful.
3. The attempts to resolve the discovery disputes with NAT's counsel are memorialized in email communications between counsel, marked as Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 attached to and described in more detail in CenturyLink's Motion to Compel. They include the following email communications:
 - Exhibit 1: Email from me to Mr. Swier, dated March 19, 2012.
 - Exhibit 2: Email from Mr. Swier to me, dated March 21, 2012.
 - Exhibit 3: Email from me to Mr. Swier, dated March 21, 2012.
 - Exhibit 5: Email from me to Mr. Swier, dated March 29, 2012.
 - Exhibit 6: Email from Mr. Swier to me, dated March 29, 2012.

Further, the affiant sayeth naught.

Dated this 2nd day of April, 2012.



Todd L. Lundy

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME on this 2nd day of April, 2012.

Dawn S Shaw

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

2/7/14

