Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) Inspection Form

For Operators of Gas Distribution Systems

For Requirements of 192.1005 - 192.1011

Version 9/23/2011

This inspection form is for the evaluation of a gas distribution integrity management program for all operators of gas distribution except operators of master meter or small liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) systems. The form contains questions related to specific regulatory requirements and questions which are strictly for informational purposes. The questions which are related to specific regulatory requirements are preceded by the rule section number which prescribes the applicable code citation for the question. The cell preceding informational questions states "information only".

S/Y stands for "Satisfactory" or "Yes", U/N stands for "Unsatisfactory" or "No", N/A stands for "Not Applicable", and N/C stands for "Not Checked". If an item is marked U/N, N/A, or N/C, an explanation must be included in the comments section.

Some inspection questions contain examples to further clarify the intent of the question. For example, question 5 asks, "Do the written procedures require the consideration of information gained from past design, operations, and maintenance (e.g. O&M activities, field surveys, One-Call system information, excavation damage, etc.)?" The list following "e.g." is not meant to be all inclusive or that all the items are required. Some of the items may not be applicable to an individual operator's system.

Some States require the operator to notify and send the State regulatory authority any changes to operator's plans and procedures. Operators in these states should also notify and send revisions of the DIMP plan to the State regulatory authority.

Operator Contact and System Information — Operator Information:

Name of Operator (legal entity):						
PHMSA Operator ID(s) Included in this Inspection:						
Type of Operator:	☐ Investor Owned ☐ LPG	Municipal Pri	vate ive)			
States(s) included in this inspection:			,			
Headquarters Address:						
Company Contact:						
Phone Number:						
Email:						
Date(s) of Inspection:	Click here to enter a c	date. TO Click here to	enter a date.			
Date of Report:	Click here to enter a c	r a date.				
Persons Interviewed:	'					
Persons Interviewed						
(List the DIMP Administrator as the						
first contact)	Title	Phone Number		Email		
State or Federal Representat	ives:					
Inspector Name & A		Phone Num	ber	Email		
Inspector Comments (optional):						

192.10	005 What	must a gas distributio	on operator do to	impleme	nt th	is su	ıbpa	rt?
Question No.	Rule §192	D	escription		S/Y	U/N	N/A	N/C
1	.1005	Was the plan written and imp 192.1005 by 08/02/2011? OR For a gas system put into serv a plan written and implement	2/2011, was					
Inspector's	Comments							
2	Information Only	Were commercially available produced development of the operator's	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Fully 🗌	Partially	N	lot at a	all 🗌		
		Commercial product(s)/templ	ates name if used:					
Inspector's	s Comments							
3	Information Only	Does the operator's plan assig positions, of those accountabl required actions?	•					
Inspector's	Comments							
4	.1007(a)(1)	Do the written procedures ide sources used to determine the to assess the threats and risks	ne following characteristic to the integrity of the pip	cs necessary peline:				
		 Design (e.g. type of constr pipe method, materials, si services, etc.)? 						
		Operating Conditions (e.g.)	. pressure, gas quality, etc	c.)?				
		 Operating Environmental frost heave, land subsider damage, external heat sou paving, population density placement, etc.)? 	nce, landslides, washouts, urces, business districts, w	snow vall-to-wall				
Inspector's	Comments							

		192.1007(a) Knowledge of the System				
Question No.	Rule §192	Description	S/Y	U/ N	N/ A	N/C
5	.1007(a)(2)	Do the written procedures require the consideration of information gained from past design, operations, and maintenance (e.g. O&M activities, field surveys, One-Call system information, excavation damage, etc.)?				
Inspector's	Comments					
6	Information Only	Do the written procedures indicate if the information was obtained from paper records, or subject matter expert knowledge (select all which approximately ap		ctroni	c reco	rds,
		Electronic Paper Paper	SN	1E 🔙		
Inspector's	Comments					
7	.1007(a)(3)	Does the plan contain written procedures to identify additional information that is needed to fill gaps due to missing, inaccurate, or incomplete records?				
Inspector's	S Comments					
8	.1007(a)(3)	Does the plan list the additional information needed to fill gaps due to missing, inaccurate, or incomplete records?				
Inspector's	Comments					
9	.1007(a)(3)	Do the written procedures specify the means to collect the additional information needed to fill gaps due to missing, inaccurate, or incomplete records (e.g., O&M activities, field surveys, One-Call System, etc.)?				
Inspector's	Comments					
10	.1007(a)(5)	Do the written procedures require the capture and retention of data on any new pipeline installed?				
Inspector's	Comments					
11	.1007(a)(5)	Does the data required for capture and retention include, at a minimum, the location where the new pipeline is installed and the material from which it is constructed?				
Inspector's	Comments					
12	.1007(a)	Does the documentation provided by the operator demonstrate implementation of the element "Knowledge of the System"?				
Inspector's	Comments					
13	.1007(a)	Has the operator demonstrated an understanding of its system?				

PHMSA Form 22 - Gas Distribution System DIMP Inspection, September 23, 2011, Rev 0

Inspector's Comments	

		192.1007(b) Identify Threats				
Question No.	Rule §192	Description	S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C
14	.1007(b)	In identifying threats, do the written procedures include consideration of the following categories of threats to each gas distribution pipeline?				
Inspector's	Comments					
15	.1007(b)	Did the operator consider the information that was reasonably available to identify existing and potential threats?				
Inspector's	Comments					
16	Information Only	Does the plan subdivide the primary threats into subcategories to identify existing and potential threats?				
Inspector's	Comments					
17	.1007(b)	In identifying threats did the information considered include any of the following? Incident and leak history				
Inspector's	Comments					
18	Information Only	Does the plan categorize primary threats as either "system-wide" or "lo				
		All System-wide All Localized Some of Both		Not Id	entifie	d
Inspector's	Comments					
19	Information Only	Do the written procedures consider, in addition to the operator's own information, data from external sources (e.g. trade associations, government agencies, or other system operators, etc.) to assist in identifying potential threats?				
Inspector's	Comments					
20	.1007(b)	Does the documentation provided by the operator demonstrate implementation of the element "Identify Threats"?				

Inspector's	Comments									
		192.1007(c) Evaluate and	Rar	ık Ri	isk					
Question No.	Rule §192	Description					S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C
21	Information Only	Was the risk evaluation developed fully or in p	art us	sing a	comm	nercially	availa	ble to	ol?	
	Only	Fully Partially D		Not at	all	<u> </u>				
		Commercial tool name if used:								
	Comments	Dath. itt.				•			1	
22	.1007 (c)	Do the written procedures contain the method the relative importance of each threat and estimisks posed?								
		Briefly describe the method.								
Inspector's	Comments				(I)	Τω			_	
		For questions 23 – 25, do the written	Corrosion	Natural Forces	Excavation Damage	Other outside Force Damage	Material or Welds	Equipment Failure	Incorrect Operation	Other Concerns
		procedures to evaluate and rank risk consider:	0	Nat	Excava	Other	Mate	Equip	Incorr	Othe
23		Each applicable current and potential threat?								
24	.1007 (c)	The likelihood of failure associated with each threat?								
25		The potential consequence of such a failure?								
		Mark each box above with one of the following N/A for "Not Applicable" and N/C for "Not Che	_		isfact	ory", U	for "U	nsatis	factory'	",
	Comments								т —	1
26	.1007 (c)	If subdivision of system occurs, does the plan so into regions with similar characteristics and for are likely to be effective in reducing risk? Briefly describe the approach.			•					
Inspector's	Comments	,							_1	1
27	Information Only	Is the method used to evaluate and rank risks	reaso	nable	?					
Inspector's	Comments									
28	.1007(c)	Are the results of the risk ranking supported by model/method?	y the	risk ev	/aluat	ion				
Inspector's	Comments							1		1
29	.1007(c)	Did the operator validate the results generated model/method?	d by t	he risk	c eval	uation				
Inchector's	Comments	Briefly describe.						<u> </u>		
30	Comments .1007(c)	Does the documentation provided by the oper	ator	demo	nstrat		T	T	Γ	
30	.1007(0)	implementation of the element "Evaluate and				C				

Inspector's Comments

	192.10	07 (d) Identify and implement measures to add	ress	risks	•	
Question No.	Rule §192	Description	S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C
31	.1007 (d)	Does the plan include procedures to identify when measures, beyond minimum code requirements specified outside of Part 192 Subpart P, are required to reduce risk?				
Inspector's	Comments					
32	.1007 (d)	When measures, beyond minimum code requirements specified outside of Part 192 Subpart P, are required to reduce risk, does the plan identify the measures selected, how they will be implemented, and the risks they are addressing?				
Inspector's	Comments					
33	.1007 (d)	Complete the table at the end of this form: Threat Addressed, Measu Performance Measure	ıre to F	Reduce	Risk, a	nd
Inspector's	Comments					
34	.1007 (d)	Does the plan include an effective leak management program (unless all leaks are repaired when found) 1. Locate the leaks in the distribution system; 2. Evaluate the actual or potential hazards associated with these				
		leaks; 3. Act appropriately to mitigate these hazards; 4. Keep records; and 5. Self-assess to determine if additional actions are necessary to keep people and property safe.				
Inspector's	Comments					
35	.1007(d)	Does the documentation provided by the operator demonstrate implementation of the measures, required by Part 192 Subpart P, to reduce risk?				
Inspector's	Comments					

No	tion o.	Rule §192			Descriptio	n			S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C													
	.1007	7(e)		i) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by cause?	ii) Number of excavation damages?	iii) Number of excavation tickets received by gas department ?	iv) Total number of leaks either eliminate d or repaired categorize d by cause?	v) Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by material?		Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by material?		Number of hazardous leaks either eliminated or repaired, categorized by material?		vi) Any additional measures the operator determinare needed to evaluate the effectiveness of the IM program in controlling each identified threat?											
36	proce opera	ach performa	w the led a baseline	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.								Choose an		Choose an		Choose an		n.			
37		the plan esta line for each p sure?		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.		noose an i Choose an i item.		ı item.														
38	proce	edures to coll ach performa		Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an Choo			ose ar	item.													
39	requi	ne written pro re the opera- tor each perf sure?	tor to	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choose an item.	Choos		Cho	ose ar	item.													
		Mark eac	h box above wi N/A f	th one of the or "Not Appli	U		, ,	"Unsat	isfacto	ory",															
Inspe	ctor's	Comments	*																						
4	0	.1007 (e)	When measur procedures pr																						
Inspe	ctor's	Comments																							
4	1	Information Only	Can the perfo				erator in th	e																	
Inspe	ector's	Comments																							
4	2	.1007(e)																							

		192.1007(f)Periodic Evaluation and Improvemen	t			
Question No.	Rule §192	Description	S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C
43	.1007 (f)	 Do the written procedures for periodic review include: a. Frequency of review based on the complexity of the system and changes in factors affecting the risk of failure, not to exceed 5 years? b. Verification of general information (e.g. contact information, form names, action schedules, etc.)? c. Incorporate new system information? d. Re-evaluation of threats and risk? e. Review the frequency of the measures to reduce risk? f. Review the effectiveness of the measures to reduce risk? g. Modify the measures to reduce risk and refine/improve as needed (i.e. add new, modify existing, or eliminate if no longer needed)? h. Review performance measures, their effectiveness, and if they are not appropriate, refine/improve them? 				
Inspector's	Comments					
44	Information Only	Does the plan contain a process for informing the appropriate operating personnel of an update to the plan?				
Inspector's	Comments		l			ı
45	Information Only	Does the plan contain a process for informing the appropriate regulatory agency of a significant update to the plan?				
Inspector's	Comments					
46	.1007(f)	Does the documentation provided by the operator demonstrate implementation of the element "Periodic Evaluation and Improvement"?				
Inspector C	comments			•		•

		192.1007(g) Report results				
Question No.	Rule §192	Description	S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C
47	.1007(g)	Does the plan contain or reference procedures for reporting, on an annual basis, the four measures listed in 192.1007(e)(1)(i) through (e)(1)(iv) to PHMSA as part of the annual report required by § 191.11 and the State regulatory authority?				
Inspector's	Comments		ı		I.	I.
48	Information Only	When required by the State, does the plan identify the specific report form, date, and location where it is to be submitted?				
Inspector's	Comments				•	•
49	.1007(g)	Has the operator submitted the required reports?				
Inspector's	Comments					

19	192.1009 What must an operator report when mechanical fittings fail?										
Question No.	Rule §192	Description	S/Y	U/ N	N/A	N/C					
50	.1009	Does the operator have written procedures to collect the information necessary to comply with the reporting requirements of 192.1009?									
Inspector's	Inspector's Comments										

192.1011 What records must an operator keep?										
Question No.	Rule §192	Description		U/ N	N/A	N/C				
51	.1011	Does the operator have written procedures specifying which records demonstrating compliance with Subpart P will be maintained for at least 10 years?								
Inspector's Comments										
52	.1011	Does the operator have written procedures specifying that copies of superseded integrity management plans will be maintained for at least 10 years?								
Inspector's Comments			•							
53	53 .1011 Has the operator maintained the required records?									

PHMSA Form 22 - Gas Distribution System DIMP Inspection, September 23, 2011, Rev 0

Inspector's Comments	

Table 1: Threat Addressed, Measure to Reduce Risk, and Performance Measure

For the top five highest ranked risks from the operator's risk ranking list the following:

- Primary threat category (corrosion, natural forces, excavation damage, other outside force damage, material or weld, equipment failure, incorrect operation, and other concerns);
- Threat subcategory (GPTC threat subcategories are acceptable. Try to be specific. Example, failing bonnet bolts of gate valve, manufacturer name, model #);
- Measure to reduce the risk (list the one measure the operator feels is most important to reducing the risk);
- Associated performance measure.

	Primary Threat Category	Threat Subcategory, as appropriate	Measure to Reduce Risk	Performance Measure		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
Other Inspector Comments						