OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA

IN THE MATTER OF THE PETITIONS FOR)	ORDER APPROVING
SUSPENSION OR MODIFICATION OF 47)	MODIFICATION TO
U.S.C. SECTION 251(b)(2) OF THE)	PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE
COMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1934 AS)	
AMENDED)	TC08-006 through TC08-027

On February 8, 2008, Petitioners filed petitions with the Public Utilities Commission (Commission) for a suspension and modification of the number portability requirement in Section 251(b)(2) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Petitioners requested modification of intermodal LNP and LNP to VolP providers concerns the transport of ported calls. Petitioners claimed that, at present, not all of the necessary transport arrangements are in place with wireless carriers and VoIP providers to properly route calls to ported local numbers. Petitioners also requested immediate temporary suspension of the Section 251(b)(2) requirement pending this Commission's consideration of this request. Petitioners respectively requested that the Commission: (A) Issue an interim order by no later than May 8, 2008, which would suspend intermodal LNP implementation pending the issuance of a final order on the separate requests for suspension and modification of the LNP requirements that are presented herein; (B) Issue a final order granting a temporary suspension of the present LNP implementation deadline of May 8, 2008, as requested herein: (C) Issue a final order granting a modification of intermodal LNP such that Petitioners will not be required to pay the costs associated with transporting traffic beyond its established local calling areas to numbers that have been ported to other carriers; and (D) Grant Petitioners such other and further relief as the Commission may deem proper.

The Commission has jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to SDCL Chapters 1-26 and 49-31, and 47 U.S.C. sections 251 and 252. The Commission may rely upon any or all of these or other laws of this state in making its determination.

On June 25, 2008, the Commission received from the Petitioners a Motion for Modification of the Stipulation for Procedure Schedule. On June 27, 2008, the Commission received Alltel's Opposition to the Motion for Modification of the Stipulation for Procedure Schedule. On June 27, 2008, a conference call was held to discuss the motion. Based on the conference call, the parties to the above-listed dockets agreed to the following modifications to the procedural schedule:

Responses to discovery requests that were previously due June 30, 2008 are now due July 9, 2008;

Petitioners' direct testimony that was previously due June 30, 2008 is now due July 9, 2008; and

All other dates, except for the hearing dates, shall be continued for a similar time frame.

Another conference call was held as scheduled on July 7, 2008. Based on the conference call, the parties to the above-listed dockets agreed to further modifications of the procedural schedule. In addition, the Petitioners agreed to withdraw their Motion for Modification. The modifications as agreed to by all of the parties are as follows:

Responses to discovery requests are due July 15, 2008;

On or before July 15, 2008, Petitioners shall serve and file direct testimony, including exhibits;

Intervenors may serve additional discovery on Petitioners on or before July 22, 2008, which shall be limited to new matters in direct testimony. Petitioners shall respond to the additional discovery on or before July 29, 2008;

On or before August 5, 2008, any Intervenor may serve and file rebuttal testimony, including exhibits;

Petitioners may serve additional discovery on Intervenors on or before August 12, 2008, which shall be limited to new matters in rebuttal testimony. Intervenors shall respond to the additional discovery on or before August 19, 2008;

On or before August 26, 2008, any Petitioner shall serve and file reply testimony, including exhibits, which shall be limited to new matters in rebuttal testimony.

At its July 8, 2008 meeting, the Commission approved the modifications to the procedural schedule as agreed to by the parties.

In the Stipulation for Procedural Schedule filed April 22, 2008, the parties had agreed that exhibits that may be used on cross-examination would be provided to the other party on or before August 11, 2008. Given the changes to the other dates in the Stipulation, the parties have agreed to change this date to September 2, 2008.

It is therefore

ORDERED, that exhibits that may be used on cross-examination shall be provided to the other party on or before September 2, 2008.

Dated at Pierre, South Dakota, this ______ day of August, 2008.

##