1	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
2	OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA
3	=======================================
4	IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT EL11-006 BY OAK TREE ENERGY, LLC, AGAINST
5	NORTHWESTERN ENERGY FOR REFUSING TO ENTER INTO A PURCHASE POWER
6	AGREEMENT
7	=======================================
8	Transcript of Proceedings January 22, 2013
9	
10	
11	
12	BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, GARY HANSON, CHAIRMAN
13	KRISTIE FIEGEN, COMMISSIONER CHRIS NELSON, COMMISSIONER
14	COMMISSION STAFF
15	John Smith Karen Cremer
16	Greg Rislov
17	Brian Rounds (by telephone) Sherry Dickerson
18	APPEARANCES
19	Michael Uda, Oak Tree (by telephone) Al Brogan, NorthWestern Energy (by telephone)
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR
24	
25	

```
1
              TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the
 2
     above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State
 3
     Capitol Building, Room 423, 500 East Capitol Avenue,
     Pierre, South Dakota, on the 22nd day of January, 2013,
 4
 5
     commencing at 2:30 p.m.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CHAIRMAN HANSON: It is approximately 2:30 p.m., January 23, 2013. This is the Ad Hoc Commission meeting of the South Dakota Public Utilities Commission. We are in Room 423 of the State Capitol Building. My name is Gary Hanson. With me today are Commissioners Chris Nelson and Kristie Fiegen.

2.3

This is the Ad Hoc Commission meeting of the matter of the Complaint by Oak Tree Energy, LLC against NorthWestern for refusing to enter into a purchase power agreement. We have one -- that is the only item that we have before us today. At least the only docket that we have before us today.

On December 26, 2012 the Commission issued a Procedural Order setting forth a schedule for posthearing briefing, oral argument, and decision. I'd like to thank all the parties for complying with the Order and all the deadlines for the filing. We did mention in that Order that there would be the opportunity for oral arguments if parties desired to have that.

I would remind the folks at this time that the Order for the Notice of Hearing set forth issues of proper application of the hybrid method with no inclusion of carbon costs, proper natural input to use in the hybrid method based on market conditions and projections as of the LEO of February 25, 2011, and the proper

electric market rates, the proper capacity contribution and resulting capacity credits to be included in the avoided cost, and the avoided cost level that --NorthWestern's avoided cost levelized or actual over a

20-year period.

2.3

I will proceed by asking the parties whether or not they wish to participate in oral arguments. If they do, we will entertain five minutes from each party.

We'll have Oak Tree, then NorthWestern, then staff, then NorthWestern, and then Oak Tree. The final to -
NorthWestern Energy's opportunity to speak we'll limit to 2 minutes. If you so desire to proceed.

We have had a significant amount of argument and presentations, hearings on this matter, but if there is something that you would like to remind us of in this 11th hour, we'd be happy to entertain that.

Oak Tree, do you wish to make a presentation at this time?

MR. UDA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I would. I do promise to be brief.

Mr. Chairman, I think at this point it's pretty clear that we argued in our opening hearing -- second hearing brief we don't believe that NorthWestern Energy's approach to the avoided cost methodology is lawful. I think the --

(Discussion off the record)

2.3

MR. UDA: Oak Tree's position is that the recent Exelon -- is that --

THE COURT REPORTER: That was it. Thank you.

MR. UDA: The Exelon Wind Decision, which is 140 FERC Paragraph 61,152 indicates that the methodology chosen by NorthWestern at our most recent hearing is unlawful. And there wasn't really any rebuttal to that from NorthWestern. So we will rely on our briefs on that issue.

So I think what we're really talking about is really at this point Mr. Rounds' calculations, which were represented in his spreadsheets just prior to the technical hearing.

And Mr. Lauckhart made four adjustments to those. He believes those adjustments were valid. And starting with the whole issue of how one were to calculate the load for NorthWestern, we believe inclusion of the wholesale sales that were made by NorthWestern is a significant portion of their obligation set they need to use their coal generation to meet.

It's not a cost issue that matters to Oak Tree.

It's really more just when these sales are made

represents an additional market. And so we think that

that should have been included in the load calculations.

And the second issue is the displacement of Big Stone and Neal 4 as of the year 2016. We believe that the evidence is very clear in this hearing that they were avoidable. The upgrades to Big Stone and Neal 4 for control requirements were avoidable as of February 25, 2011, and I think the arguments to the contrary are not supported by any record in evidence.

2.3

The third adjustment that Mr. Lauckhart made was the issue of a capacity cost. And the argument from both Staff and from NorthWestern seems to be, well, you can't possibly say that Oak Tree would replace, for example, the Aberdeen facility.

But the point is that the Aberdeen facility is still avoidable at the time that Oak Tree incurred an LEO. And it was not the case that Oak Tree would be an adequate substitute, but if there had been a long-term calculation of what an avoided capacity purchase would be over 20 years, that would not be at the rates either established by Mr. Rounds' spreadsheet or by that which Mr. LaFave prepared.

I believe that NorthWestern's move to a long-term decision to build capacity because of a shortage in the region and that nobody makes long-term capacity planning additions based on short-term markets, which appears to be the case for both Mr. Rounds and

Mr. LaFave. The fact is that these kinds of purchases would allow NorthWestern's avoided portion of the capacity that it would need over the next 20 years, and we don't think that the cost that's reflected in either Mr. LaFave or in Mr. Round's spreadsheet reflects the long-term avoided costs. And we think the only one, the avoided capacity cost that's actually in the record, is the one developed by Mr. Lauckhart.

2.3

And the last adjustment Mr. Lauckhart made is both NorthWestern and now Mr. Rounds are saying, well, you need to use 12.9 percent capacity contribution instead of the 20 recommended by Mr. Lauckhart.

Mr. Lauckhart believes that there's -- there's evidence in the record that Titan received a 20 percent capacity contribution in 2010 and it was over 30 percent for 2011, that MISO calculations averaged throughout the MISO footprint will range between roughly 0 and 30 percent and that 0 represents, of course, older technology that will be used by Oak Tree. And we don't see any reason why you wouldn't use Midwest Reliability Organization's estimate of 20 percent when NorthWestern's a member of MRO and not MISO.

And also we think the whole idea of annually recalculating the capacity payment is one that is likely to produce significant controversy and considerable

expense for the parties, and we really believe that it should be forecast in advance.

2.3

And we also, finally, believe that a levelized rate is probably appropriate in this case. Not saying that you can't set an annual forecast rate that is increased incrementally such as Mr. Rounds has done, but what we are saying is, for example, in the case of Titan there was a partially levelized rate and that partially levelized rate looked at financing that project.

And, you know, the goal under PURPA is to encourage these technologies, and it would encourage these technologies to use the levelized and partially levelized rate. And we think that probably the simplest thing to do would be roll the capacity payment in -- whatever that capacity payment is, into the annual payment just so there's a certain amount of certainty of revenue streams and that will also facilitate financing.

That's really all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Uda.

NorthWestern.

MR. BROGAN: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I guess just a couple very brief points. First the Exelon Decision is so much of a red herring that it really doesn't deserve a response.

Let me read from paragraph 8 of that Order which

indicates what it was about. Exelon complained in No. 3 -- and I am now quoting the Commission Order -- "uses a methodology to determine avoided cost rate for as-available energy that is inconsistent with the requirements of PURPA as set forth in the Commission's regulations."

We're not dealing with setting the avoided costs for as-available energy. Even more importantly in Paragraph 52 of that Order FERC stated "The problem with the methodology proposed by SDF and adopted by the Texas Commission is it is based on the price the QF would have been paid had it sold energy directly in the EIS market instead of using the methodology of calculating the cost to the utility" -- excuse me. "Instead of calculating what the cost to the utility would have been for self-supplied or purchased energy but for the presence of the QF or QFs in the market as required by the Commission's regulation."

The methodology proposed by NorthWestern, the hybrid methodology, whether we use it NorthWestern's way or Staff's way, clearly is not implicated by the Exelon Decision.

Secondly, we hear a lot about the importance in PURPA of promoting QFs, of providing it easy for them to achieve financing. I would point out that we've heard a

lot of that, but we haven't heard anything about what type of equity contributions or anything else that are being made, which also has a very important factor -- or are a very important factor in determining financing.

But what we haven't heard anyplace recently from Oak Tree is a focus on what the statute requires the cost to be paid to a QF is.

And first in 16 U.S.C. 824-83, Sub D1 the statute says the rates for such purchase, that is purchases from QFs, shall be just and reasonable to the electric consumers of the electric utility and in the public interest.

It goes on to say in -- excuse me. In D,
Incremental costs of alternative electric energy. "For
the purposes of this section, the term incremental cost
of an alternative electric energy means with respect to
electric energy purchased from a qualifying cogenerator
or qualifying small power producer the cost to the
electric utility of the electric energy but for the
purchase from such cogenerators or small power producer
such utility would generate or purchase from another
source."

It doesn't say anything about what it might get for selling in the wholesale market. It strictly talks about the cost.

```
1
              Mr. Commissioner and Commissioners, with that, I
2
     will be quiet. I think we've -- you know, as I looked at
 3
     this, I believe this is the only docket in 2011 that is
 4
     still open.
 5
              I'm glad and pleased that we've reached the
 6
     point where we're going to have a decision, and I think
     that the parties have provided you with lots of
7
8
     information upon which you can base your decision.
              Thank you.
10
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you.
                                             Staff.
11
              MS. CREMER: Good afternoon.
                                             This is
12
    Karen Cremer of Staff. I have nothing further to add on
13
     Staff's behalf and will rely on our previously filed
14
    brief.
15
              Mr. Rounds is on the phone, should you have any
16
     questions.
17
              Thank you.
18
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you very much,
     Ms. Cremer.
19
20
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: NorthWestern, your last bite?
21
              MR. BROGAN: Mr. Chairman, I have nothing to
22
     add.
2.3
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Oak Tree.
24
              MR. UDA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                                                   Just two
25
     quick points.
```

One, the whole point of the Exelon Decision was that the Texas Commission was setting avoided cost and based it on a single node that it knew to be congested in a market to which that -- those qualifying facilities did not have access.

2.3

2.4

Similarly, with respect to the market price input that NorthWestern prepared in this proceeding, a single congested node which is why they reduced it by 4 and a half dollars a megawatt hour and used the MISO market to which NorthWestern QFs do not have access. So I think it is ongoing.

The second thing I would like to say, the passage that Mr. Brogan just read to you from the statute is a direction to FERC to adopt rules consistent with those standards. That was what the Supreme Court considered in the American Petroleum Institute Case, which I believe was decided in 1982, which upheld the full avoided cost rate. This is not a direction to you; that is a direction to FERC.

So, in our minds, the full avoided cost rule is what you're attempting to achieve, and the question is, among the parties, who do you think has done the best job and what should that full avoided cost be?

And that's all I have to say.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you. Appreciate that

from all of the parties.

2.3

I failed to ask if there was anyone else on the telephones when we started the process here. Is there anyone else on the phone line?

MR. PAT MAKENS: Pat Makens, Oak Tree Energy.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Pat.

Anyone else?

Appreciate that.

At this juncture, we have the opportunity to make some remarks and discussions as Commissioners and decisions. And so I will close the hearing to that process.

Some Commissioners are known to have prepared motions prior to the meetings, and some prefer to vote individually on items as they appear. So I will look to the Commissioners to see whether or not anyone has any prepared motions or anything that they would like to start off with.

And Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I do have a motion. And I'll put it on the table at this point, and we can see where it rolls from there.

I would move that this Commission adopt for this docket the avoided cost rates as will be provided in the handout that I will send around. And for those of you on

the phone, Tina will be e-mailing this to you as we speak so everybody will have the same document that we're going to work off of.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Just for the process here, I'm going to ask if -- Commissioner Fiegen might have a -- were you planning to present one as well? You are.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Correct.

2.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Please proceed, Commissioner Nelson.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Thank you. The first thing that I'd like to say by way of explanation, and I say this to all three of the parties, in the two years that I've been on the Commission I have enjoyed working through this docket as much as any that we have dealt with. A lot of fascinating issues and very important issues.

The second thing that I would say to Mr. Rounds, I thought you did a phenomenal job of putting your model together. And the sheet that I have passed out comes from your model, and I have used your spreadsheet model in developing this. Your model was sound, and I felt very comfortable using that.

What I'd like to do is talk about the assumptions that I put into this model and how they differ from what Mr. Rounds had in the model.

The first assumption is that I took

NorthWestern's actual hourly load shape and converted it

into the EIPC hourly blocks. I like the block formula.

I liked how that worked into the model.

2.3

But as I think we had substantial testimony that it -- it wasn't perfect. And, in my mind, the way to make that perfect is to take NorthWestern's actual hourly load shape and load it into those blocks. And so that was the first thing that I did, the first change that I did to Mr. Rounds's model.

The second assumption that I made was to adopt Mr. Green's load growth forecast of 2.25 percent per year for the next 20 years. Now that comes from NorthWestern. I have no reason to quibble with that.

The next thing that I addressed is proper capacity costs. And I have gone with the \$36 per year figure growing at 5.84 percent per year.

And I understand that Oak Tree has some trouble with that \$36 figure. Oak Tree has said that it was a provisional offer and only for a short period of time. I found the offer to be credible, and while the offer may have been for a short time, I don't find anything in the record that would say that the offer could not be continued or that a similar offer might not be available at the end of the three or four years that this offer was

for. And I believe that those opportunities would be out there.

2.3

Especially considering that we are increasing the capacity cost to 5.84 percent per year. And that number came from NorthWestern. And so I am comfortable with that inflation factor in the area of capacity cost.

The next assumption that we go to is the appropriate capacity figure from the wind farm. And this scenario I struggled. And, frankly, I've been all over the board. At one point I was at 15.5. And then I went to 12.9. And, frankly, I have settled on 20 percent.

Because NorthWestern is part of MRO and not part of MISO, the 20 percent MRO figure most closely matches where this facility is going to be located, and I think probably most closely matches what the actual capacity will be. And so I am comfortable with the 20 percent figure times 18.915.

Number 5 assumption is that the RECs stay with Oak Tree. I don't want to mix those into this bag.

Oak Tree keeps them, and they can market them as they see best fit.

And, lastly, I find that NorthWestern's base generation is 191 megawatts.

And all of these assumptions then have been plugged into Mr. Rounds's model and spreadsheet and have

produced the document that you see before you.

2.3

The last thing that I would like to address is the issue of levelized versus what are titled on this sheet "Rounded Actual Yearly Figures."

And I appreciate the comments of all of the parties as to whether or not we had to go with a levelized or a modified levelized. And for my motion I am proposing that we go with the rounded actual numbers per year.

And the reason I propose that is I believe that best protects the rate payers of South Dakota. I fully understand that Oak Tree has every intention of operating for a full 20 years and being a good operator for 20 years. But I also understand that stuff happens. And sometimes businesses go out of business without ever -- you know, with no intention to do that.

And so to best protect the rate payers of South Dakota, should something awful happen to Oak Tree somewhere along the next 20 years, I propose that we use the rounded actual numbers. And you can see those in the proposal that I have laid out.

I believe that answers all of the questions that we have been asked to answer and provides a number that while neither side is going to be happy with, I believe this is the number that the inputs demand. And the

inputs have driven the numbers that you see on the proposal.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

2.3

2.4

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Commissioner

Nelson. I sincerely appreciate the amount of work you

went to on developing this. And I can tell you I support

at least some of the proposals you have here and struggle

with some.

And even up through today I have been going over some of these to the extent that I was on one side and the other. The levelized and the actual has created a lot of consternation for me. And this morning as I was working on it I kept convincing myself that actual was the way to go, and before I walked up here the last half-hour or hour I have been measuring it and measuring it and came down with I had decided I was going to support levelized.

But I have windows where I am willing to support and where I believe there's justification, and as long as proposals fit within those windows, then I plan to support them.

I am very interested in hearing what Commissioner Fiegen's proposal is at this time.

MR. SMITH: May I butt in for one second? I wanted to just confirm with the parties, have you

1 received the document that Chairman Nelson has passed 2 around here? 3 MR. UDA: Yes, I have. This is Mike Uda. 4 MR. SMITH: Okay. Al? 5 MR. BROGAN: Yes, I have, Mr. Smith. 6 MR. SMITH: Okay. Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Smith. 8 Commissioner Fiegen. COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I don't know 10 if you want me to move a substitute motion and then 11 discuss it or discuss my parameters within the current 12 motion. 13 CHAIRMAN HANSON: If you're able to express your 14 concerns with the present motion, how yours would fit 15 within that, that would be fine. If you have a handout, 16 we would entertain that. 17 However, the challenge is that there's a motion 18 on the table, and I wouldn't want you to -- well, you 19 have every right to make a substitute if you wish. 20 However, it's less juggling at this time if you'd explain 21 yours. 22 COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Okay. So with the 2.3 Chairman's direction, I'll talk a little bit about all of 24 us have really looked through lots of documentation and 25 details. And I think, like Commissioner Nelson

expressed, first of all, thank you to Oak Tree and
NorthWestern and the Public Utilities Commission Staff
for their dedication and their hard work. They have
worked very hard on details and given us a lot of
information and actually quite a bit of time to really

2.3

study this.

- My goal is to be fair, reasonable, but practical all at the same time.
 - I do not have a handout, but I will verbally tell you what I have done. Of course, I have my own worksheets. But, first of all, I used the Public Utilities Commission's exhibits and used their spreadsheets as a baseline.
 - So I used, again, Brian Rounds's Xcel spreadsheet and made modifications to that spreadsheet. I actually did agree with the Staff in their energy calculation. So I kept that the same.
 - Also I can't quite tell. I think the Staff changed in their second modification to 18.915 megawatts. That's what I put in my formula instead of the 19.5.

 Also on accredited capacity percentage, that is one, just like Commissioner Nelson said, I struggled with.
 - I really wanted to use the 15.5, but the more I looked into it, that was not available February 25, 2011.

 I looked at averaging, 20 percent and 12.9, coming with

16.45. But at the end of the day I went with 20 percent because I think that's something that we had from MRO, the Midwest Reliable Organization.

2.3

I used a capacity value different than

Commissioner Nelson. I believe that Oak Tree made a convincing argument that the avoidable cost is -- the formula would look at 141 kilowatts per hour with no inflation. So that's what I used for capacity value.

I have the Oak Tree retaining the renewable energy credits. And at the end of the day what I come up with is a levelized avoidable cost of 53.69 with those documentations used.

Of course, I would sure like the Staff to review that just to make sure my assumptions are correct in the formula. But it appears that we're pretty close there.

Some people ask about the 141. And I certainly asked that myself during the entire hearing. 141 just seemed like a large number. But when you looked at -- it is really taken down because you look at the 12.9 or the 20 percent and you're looking at more megawatts of around 4 megawatts instead of the 18 or 19. Or 3.7. Whatever. The megawatts are down. So that is absolutely -- the intermittence is an issue that has been addressed at that item.

You look at levelized avoided costs versus actual, and that's a tough one. But when utility companies come to the Commission for a rate case and they bring a brand new facility to us to put in the rate, of course, their costs are high in the beginning, and when the depreciation goes it becomes lower.

So it's reverse if we would use the actual costs, and that's why I went with levelized.

So that is my recommendation.

2.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Thank you, Commissioner
Fiegen. As Commissioner Nelson and Commissioner Fiegen
went through their presentations I should have discussed
a little bit more when Commissioner Nelson explained his
that I had come down on the side of Staff's energy
recommendations.

The capacity cost I -- Commissioner Nelson, I'm struggling with it being \$36. I was looking at it being higher than that. I do agree with you on the load growth of 2 and a quarter percent if we are looking at load growth. The wind farm capacity at 20 percent times 18.915 is what I had arrived at, and the RECs stay with Oak Tree. And NorthWestern generation is 191 megawatts. I agree with all of those things.

The levelized is where I have a challenge.

And I'd allow you to entertain those thoughts, if you

1 would.

2.3

2.4

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I think maybe the question that I've got for both of you that I didn't hear addressed is the first point that I made.

You know, we had quite a bit of testimony last time about the fact that NorthWestern's hourly load shape didn't match the EIPC load shapes that were used. And I didn't hear that either of you changed or corrected that.

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I did not.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Can you tell me why you're comfortable not changing that and making that as accurate as possible?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: As I went through it, I came up with 191. And I came up with the NorthWestern load shape as well.

You wanted to convert it to the EIPC?

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Yeah. The 191 is their generation, and when I'm talking about load shape I'm talking about their demand.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Right. I did not have a problem with that, as you had presented it. The basic challenge I had with your presentation is the capacity cost I felt should be higher and the levelized versus actual.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Understand.

```
1
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I kept with the current
2
    EIPC.
           And it's documented. It's available to anybody.
    And I decided that that was something I wasn't going to
 3
     fall on the sword on. And I felt comfortable with what
 4
    the Public Utilities Commission Staff have done with
 5
 6
    that.
7
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: What I'm observing is
8
     that -- and I think, Commissioner Fiegen, the two areas
     that we're not on the same page are on the capacity cost
10
     and this issue of what the proper load shape is. But
    apparently they must almost nearly washout because we're
11
12
    within 40 cents of each other.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Uh-huh. More than
14
     40 cents. Mine is 53.69 beginning in 2014.
15
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Oh, okay. So then we're
16
     about a buck 70 apart or a buck 60 apart.
17
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Yeah. In 2013 would be
     52.38. 52.38.
18
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: We're pausing for a moment
20
    here. Some discussions with counsel taking place.
21
    Almost called you Commissioner.
22
              Mr. Rislov, did you have anything that you
2.3
    wanted to -- felt compelled to speak on?
2.4
              MR. RISLOV: I don't really feel compelled,
25
    unless you feel compelled to ask me a question.
```

If I could have just

Yes.

```
1
              No.
                   I could -- I mean, there is a difference in
2
     the load shapes used between the two Commissioners, but
     there were other elements of the EIPC study that weren't
 3
 4
     exactly, let's say, in exact sync with NorthWestern but
     they did reflect this part of the country.
 6
              So Mr. Rounds did have some explanations on
7
     those differences and over time why certain things in his
8
     mind were leaving out. So I quess either recommendation
     is fine from my point of view.
10
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner [sic] Rislov, if
11
     we use the levelized instead of actual, which of those
     areas would we not need to include in a motion?
12
13
              MR. RISLOV: Excuse me? If you --
14
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: If we used a levelized cost as
15
     opposed to actual, which of the -- would we need to worry
16
     about the hourly load shape?
              MR. RISLOV: Well, I think the hourly load shape
17
18
     is going to determine your energy rate, and regardless of
19
     which one it's going to affect whether it's levelized or,
20
     you know, the exact annual payment, so to speak.
21
     hourly load shape affects either.
22
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Okay. Thank you.
2.3
              Commissioner Nelson, are you working on some
24
     things?
```

COMMISSIONER NELSON:

25

```
a moment to --
2
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Go right ahead. I'll tell you
 3
    both at this time that your capacity cost of $36 was
 4
     lower than the one that I was looking at.
 5
              Commissioner Fiegen, do you have anything at
 6
    this time?
7
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: No. I just like my
8
    discussion.
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. This is much like
10
    a genealogy. As you're looking at all of the
11
    ramifications and nuances of this item, it just branches
    off and branches off and branches off.
12
13
              There are many, many sub issues and items that
14
    affect other items so it becomes extremely complicated.
15
     That's why it takes time to work out even the small
16
     change in one area, how it affects the entire arena.
17
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Well, Commissioner Hanson,
     let me ask what capacity figure -- capacity cost would
18
19
    you --
20
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: I was looking at 56.56.
21
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: And where is that found in
22
    the record?
2.3
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: That's levelized from
24
    NorthWestern Energy. Like I say, I have windows that I'm
25
    working with, highs and lows and --
```

```
1
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: And then are you proposing
2
     any inflationary factor for the capacity cost?
 3
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: I considered that on -- when I
 4
    was looking at actual. I don't know that I spent any
 5
    time considering that when I was working with the
 6
     levelized cost.
7
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Well, if I could just
8
     throw three numbers on the table so you understand what
     the ramification of these three are.
10
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Sure.
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: My capacity proposal --
11
12
     and, fortunately, we're all on the same page with the
13
     20 percent times 18.915. So that's easy.
14
              My capacity proposal of 36 bucks times the
15
     inflation factor of 5.84 comes up with a total capacity
16
    payment over the 20 years of $6,773,894. Commissioner
17
    Fiegen's $141 with no inflation essentially doubles that.
18
     $12,801,672. And Commissioner Hanson, if I understood
19
     you right, going with a $56.56 capacity cost with no
20
     inflation, $5,135,195. So that's just so you know what
21
    the impact is.
22
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    I'm sorry. Could you
2.3
    repeat Commissioner Hanson's?
2.4
              COMMISSIONER NELSON:
                                    $5,135,195.
25
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Further discussion?
```

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I guess maybe a question.

So, Commissioner Hanson, where are you at on the issue of levelized versus actual?

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I like levelized. Now instead of simplifying it like that, I really struggled between actual and levelized. I mean, I really have struggled on it.

I think if I'm buying something, I want to know what the actual is. If I'm selling something, I want to know what the actual is. I just see the complications in the true-ups, the costs of going through the process, the potential of them coming before us every other year to try to resolve some issues. I just -- I think that, you know, complicates it significantly to go to levelized. That's where I fall out.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: Okay. If I could ask both of you, there was some discussion or some offer by Oak Tree that if we were to go with levelized, that they'd be willing to put some security forward to try to protect the rate payers should something go terribly wrong.

Is that something either of you considered?

COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: I did not.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I'm inclined not to go that route. And that's part of the concern I have for trying to use all of these different machinations in order to

```
1
    make something function. Overcomplicating something that
 2
    does not need to be.
              At the same time, I can see where you and I are
 3
 4
    not very far apart on the capacity cost. Of course, one
    and a half million to some folks is a lot. It's all
5
 6
    relative, isn't it?
7
              Commissioner Fiegen, did you have something?
8
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: The differences that
     Commissioner Nelson and I have are energy. The energy
10
    value.
11
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: I believe the difference
12
    is in the load shape.
13
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Right. Which goes down to
14
    the energy value.
15
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Correct.
                                              I think we are
16
     all comfortable using Staff's energy values. But the
17
     load shape is --
18
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Affects that.
19
              COMMISSIONER NELSON: Affects how that spreads
20
     out, and that's where our difference is. And, obviously,
21
     I'm interested in that being as accurate as possible, and
     that's why I went through the work of taking the hourly
22
2.3
     loads from NorthWestern and plugging them in.
2.4
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: And I truly believe the --
```

you know, the 141, I struggled with that in the

25

beginning. And the more I looked at it, it looks like to
me it's the most accurate item we can use for avoidable
cost.

2.3

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Basically, Commissioner

Nelson, the only differences that you have and I'm

considering the difference of capacity is levelized

versus actual. And the -- certainly the capacity cost is

within my window.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: And let me say I am certainly willing to move your direction on the levelized if you'll accept my capacity cost.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: I was playing monopoly with my 8 year old grandson the other day, and he was making very difficult proposals to me. And had you gone the other way and said that you wanted to -- me to move to actual, I would have said no. I'll move to -- I'll compromise on the capacity cost if you will compromise on the levelized. Yes.

COMMISSIONER NELSON: I am certainly willing to do that. So if I understand then, the only change in my proposal would be that in my motion would be that it would be levelized as opposed to actual.

CHAIRMAN HANSON: Correct. And that it would take Staff's energy proposals. Do you wish to -- well, do you wish to restate your motion then at this time?

1 COMMISSIONER NELSON: If that would be 2 acceptable. I would restate the motion adopting all of 3 the assumptions and figures as proposed on the sheet that I handed out and using the levelized cost, and if 4 5 operation begins in 2013, it would be \$53.31. 6 begins in 2014, \$55.34. 7 CHAIRMAN HANSON: All right. Discussion on that 8 motion. Commissioner Nelson, since it's your motion, do 10 you wish to discuss anything on it? 11 COMMISSIONER NELSON: I think the only thing that I would say -- and probably the biggest difference 12 13 that I've got with Commissioner Fiegen is that I'm -- I 14 very much want to see the most accurate hourly load shape 15 used. And this incorporates that. 16 I understand we have a difference on the 17 capacity value, but the fact that I'm utilizing the 18 inflation factor that NorthWestern put forward, that 19 moves it up, and I think these numbers are defendable and 20 arrive at a reasonable figure. 21 CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Fiegen, do you 22 wish to discuss anything on the motion? No? 2.3 In that case we will -- there being no further discussion, this is on the motion. Those in favor will 24

vote aye. Those opposed will vote no.

25

```
1
              Commissioner Fiegen.
2
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    Fiegen votes no.
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Nelson.
 3
 4
              COMMISSIONER NELSON:
                                    Aye.
 5
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Commissioner Hanson votes aye.
 6
    Motion carries.
7
              I don't believe there's any further business to
8
     come before us on this item. If not, is there a motion
     to adjourn?
10
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN:
                                    So moved.
11
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Moved by Fiegen. All those in
12
     favor, signify by saying aye.
13
              Commissioner Fiegen.
14
              COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Do I have to go first
15
     again? Commissioner Fiegen votes aye.
16
              CHAIRMAN HANSON:
                                Thank you. Commissioner
17
    Nelson.
18
              COMMISSIONER NELSON:
                                    Aye.
19
              CHAIRMAN HANSON: Hanson votes aye. Motion
20
     carries. We stand adjourned.
21
              Thank you all very, very much for your patience.
22
     And the process has been a long one but it sets some
2.3
    precedence and it was an incredibly complicated docket
24
     transcending a number of Commissioners here and
```

appreciate your working with us over that period of time.

25

```
MR. UDA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of
 1
2
     the Commission.
 3
              MR. BROGAN: Thank you.
             (The proceeding is concluded at 3:22 p.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 22nd day of
11	January, 2013, and that the attached is a true and
12	correct transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 6th day of
14	February, 2013.
15	
16	
17	
18	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
19	Notary Public and Registered Professional Reporter
20	Certified Realtime Reporter
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

\$	2016 [1] - 6:2	able [1] - 19:13	annual [3] - 8:5, 8:15,	based [4] - 3:24, 6:24,
	22 [1] - 1:8	above-entitled [2] -	25:20	9:11, 12:3
\$40,004,0 7 0	22nd [2] - 2:4, 34:10	2:2, 34:10	annually [1] - 7:23	baseline [1] - 20:13
\$12,801,672 _[1] -	23 [1] - 3:2	absolutely [1] - 21:23	answer [1] - 17:23	basic [1] - 23:21
27:18	25 [3] - 3:25, 6:5,	accept [1] - 30:11	answers [1] - 17:22	becomes [2] - 22:6,
\$141 [1] - 27:17	20:24	acceptable [1] - 31:2	anyplace [1] - 10:5	26:14
\$36 [4] - 15:16, 15:19,	26 [1] - 3:13	access [2] - 12:5,	apart [3] - 24:16, 29:4	BEFORE [1] - 1:12
22:17, 26:3	2:30 [2] - 2:5, 3:1	12:10	appear [1] - 13:15	beginning [3] - 22:5,
\$5,135,195 [2] - 27:20,	2.00 (2) 2.0, 0.1	accredited [1] - 20:21	APPEARANCES [1] -	24:14, 30:1
27:24	3	accurate [4] - 23:11,	1:18	begins [2] - 31:5, 31:6
\$53.31 [1] - 31:5	3	29:21, 30:2, 31:14	application [1] - 3:22	behalf [1] - 11:13
\$55.34 [1] - 31:6			• •	
\$56.56 [1] - 27:19	3 [1] - 9:2	achieve [2] - 9:25,	appointed [1] - 34:8	believes [2] - 5:16,
\$6,773,894 [1] - 27:16	3.7 [1] - 21:22	12:21	appreciate [5] - 12:25,	7:13
ψ0,773,034[1] - 27.10	30 [2] - 7:15, 7:17	actual [22] - 4:4, 15:2,	13:8, 17:5, 18:5,	best [4] - 12:22, 16:21,
		15:7, 16:15, 17:4,	32:25	17:11, 17:17
0	36 [1] - 27:14	17:8, 17:20, 18:11,	approach [1] - 4:24	between [3] - 7:17,
	3:22 [1] - 33:4	18:13, 22:2, 22:7,	appropriate [2] - 8:4,	25:2, 28:5
0 ros 7:47 7:40	_	23:24, 25:11, 25:15,	16:8	Big [2] - 6:2, 6:4
0 [2] - 7:17, 7:18	4	27:4, 28:3, 28:6,	area [2] - 16:6, 26:16	biggest [1] - 31:12
		28:9, 28:10, 30:7,	areas [2] - 24:8, 25:12	bit [4] - 19:23, 20:5,
1	4 0.0.04 40.0	30:15, 30:22	arena [1] - 26:16	22:13, 23:5
	4 [4] - 6:2, 6:4, 12:8,	ad [2] - 3:2, 3:7		bite [1] - 11:20
11th to 1:16	21:22	add [2] - 11:12, 11:22	argued [1] - 4:22	
11th [1] - 4:16	40 [2] - 24:12, 24:14	additional [1] - 5:24	argument [4] - 3:15,	block [1] - 15:3
12.9 [4] - 7:11, 16:11,	423 [2] - 2:3, 3:4		4:13, 6:9, 21:6	blocks [2] - 15:3, 15:8
20:25, 21:20		additions [1] - 6:24	arguments [3] - 3:18,	board [1] - 16:10
140 [1] - 5:6	5	address [1] - 17:2	4:7, 6:6	branches [3] - 26:11,
141 [4] - 21:7, 21:17,		addressed [3] - 15:15,	arrive [1] - 31:20	26:12
21:18, 29:25		21:24, 23:4	arrived [1] - 22:21	brand [1] - 22:4
15.5 [2] - 16:10, 20:23	5 [1] - 16:18	adequate [1] - 6:16	as-available [2] - 9:4,	Brian [2] - 1:16, 20:14
16 [1] - 10:8	5.84 [3] - 15:17, 16:4,	adjourn [1] - 32:9	9:8	brief [4] - 4:20, 4:23,
16.45 [1] - 21:1	27:15	adjourned [1] - 32:20	assumption [4] - 15:1,	8:22, 11:14
18 [1] - 21:22	500 [1] - 2:3	adjustment [2] - 6:8,	15:11, 16:7, 16:18	briefing [1] - 3:15
18.915 [4] - 16:17,	52 [1] - 9:9	7:9	assumptions [4] -	briefs [1] - 5:9
20:19, 22:21, 27:13	52.38 [2] - 24:18	adjustments [2] -	14:24, 16:24, 21:14,	bring [1] - 22:4
	53.69 [2] - 21:11,	5:15, 5:16		_
19 [1] - 21:22			31:3	Brogan [2] - 1:19,
19.5 [1] - 20:20	24:14	adopt [3] - 12:14,	attached [1] - 34:11	12:13
191 [4] - 16:23, 22:22,	56.56 [1] - 26:20	13:23, 15:11	attempting [1] - 12:21	BROGAN [4] - 8:21,
23:14, 23:17	_	adopted [1] - 9:10	available [5] - 9:4, 9:8,	11:21, 19:5, 33:3
1982 [1] - 12:17	6	adopting [1] - 31:2	15:24, 20:24, 24:2	buck [2] - 24:16
		advance [1] - 8:2	Avenue [1] - 2:3	bucks [1] - 27:14
2		affect [2] - 25:19,	averaged [1] - 7:16	build [1] - 6:22
_	60 [1] - 24:16	26:14	averaging [1] - 20:25	Building [2] - 2:3, 3:4
• 440 00 40	61,152 [1] - 5:6	affects [4] - 25:21.		• • •
2 [2] - 4 :12, 22:19	61,152 [1] - 5:6 6th [1] - 34:13	affects [4] - 25:21, 26:16, 29:18, 29:19	avoidable [6] - 6:4,	business [2] - 17:15,
		26:16, 29:18, 29:19	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7
2 [2] - 4:12, 22:19 2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3,	6th [1] - 34:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] -
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3,		26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21,	6th [1] - 34:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16,	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24
2.25 _[1] - 15:12 20 _[18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13,	6th [1] - 34:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8
2.25 _[1] - 15:12 20 _[18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19,	6th [1] - 34:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21,	6th [1] - 34:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16	6th [1] - 34:13 7 70 [1] - 24:16	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5	7 70 [1] - 24:16	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15	6th [1] - 34:13 7 70 [1] - 24:16	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15 2011 [5] - 3:25, 6:6,	7 70 [1] - 24:16	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:12, 32:15,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15	7 70 [1] - 24:16 8 8 [2] - 8:25, 30:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25 almost [2] - 24:11,	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15 2011 [5] - 3:25, 6:6, 7:15, 11:3, 20:24	7 70 [1] - 24:16 8 8 [2] - 8:25, 30:13 824-83 [1] - 10:8	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 Al [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25 almost [2] - 24:11, 24:21	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:12, 32:15, 32:18, 32:19	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15 2011 [5] - 3:25, 6:6, 7:15, 11:3, 20:24 2012 [1] - 3:13	7 70 [1] - 24:16 8 8 [2] - 8:25, 30:13	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25 almost [2] - 24:11, 24:21 alternative [2] - 10:14,	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:12, 32:15,	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4 C calculate [1] - 5:18 calculating [2] - 9:13, 9:15
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15 2011 [5] - 3:25, 6:6, 7:15, 11:3, 20:24 2012 [1] - 3:13 2013 [7] - 1:8, 2:4, 3:2,	7 70 [1] - 24:16 8 8 [2] - 8:25, 30:13 824-83 [1] - 10:8	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25 almost [2] - 24:11, 24:21 alternative [2] - 10:14, 10:16	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:12, 32:15, 32:18, 32:19	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4 C calculate [1] - 5:18 calculating [2] - 9:13, 9:15 calculation [2] - 6:17,
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15 2011 [5] - 3:25, 6:6, 7:15, 11:3, 20:24 2012 [1] - 3:13 2013 [7] - 1:8, 2:4, 3:2, 24:17, 31:5, 34:11,	7 70 [1] - 24:16 8 8 [2] - 8:25, 30:13 824-83 [1] - 10:8 A	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25 almost [2] - 24:11, 24:21 alternative [2] - 10:14, 10:16 American [1] - 12:16	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:12, 32:15, 32:18, 32:19	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4 C calculate [1] - 5:18 calculating [2] - 9:13, 9:15 calculation [2] - 6:17, 20:17
2.25 [1] - 15:12 20 [18] - 6:18, 7:3, 7:12, 7:14, 7:21, 15:13, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 17:13, 17:19, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:20, 27:13, 27:16 20-year [1] - 4:5 2010 [1] - 7:15 2011 [5] - 3:25, 6:6, 7:15, 11:3, 20:24 2012 [1] - 3:13 2013 [7] - 1:8, 2:4, 3:2,	7 70 [1] - 24:16 8 8 [2] - 8:25, 30:13 824-83 [1] - 10:8	26:16, 29:18, 29:19 afternoon [1] - 11:11 AGAINST [1] - 1:4 agree [3] - 20:16, 22:18, 22:23 AGREEMENT [1] - 1:6 agreement [1] - 3:10 ahead [1] - 26:2 AI [2] - 1:19, 19:4 allow [2] - 7:2, 22:25 almost [2] - 24:11, 24:21 alternative [2] - 10:14, 10:16	avoidable [6] - 6:4, 6:5, 6:14, 21:6, 21:11, 30:2 avoided [16] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 6:17, 7:2, 7:6, 7:7, 9:3, 9:7, 12:2, 12:18, 12:20, 12:23, 13:24, 22:1 awful [1] - 17:18 aye [7] - 31:25, 32:4, 32:5, 32:12, 32:15, 32:18, 32:19	business [2] - 17:15, 32:7 businesses [1] - 17:15 butt [1] - 18:24 buying [1] - 28:8 BY [1] - 1:4 C calculate [1] - 5:18 calculating [2] - 9:13, 9:15 calculation [2] - 6:17,

capacity [39] - 4:1,
4:2, 6:9, 6:17, 6:22,
6:24, 7:3, 7:7, 7:11,
7:14, 7:24, 8:14,
8:15, 15:16, 16:4,
16:6, 16:8, 16:15, 20:21, 21:4, 21:8,
22:16, 22:20, 23:22,
24:9, 26:3, 26:18,
27:2, 27:11, 27:14,
27:15, 27:19, 29:4,
30:6, 30:7, 30:11,
30:17, 31:17 Capitol [3] - 2:3, 3:4
carbon [1] - 3:23
carries [2] - 32:6,
32:20
case [7] - 6:15, 6:25,
8:4, 8:7, 12:16, 22:3,
31:23
cents [2] - 24:12, 24:14
certain [2] - 8:16, 25:7
certainly [4] - 21:17,
30:7, 30:10, 30:19
certainty [1] - 8:16
CERTIFICATE [1] -
34:2
Certified [2] - 34:6,
34:19 CERTIFY [1] - 34:8
Chairman [8] - 4:19,
4:21, 11:21, 11:24,
18:3, 19:1, 19:9,
33:1
cHAIRMAN [1] - 25:22
CHAIRMAN [39] -
1:12, 3:1, 8:19,
11:10, 11:18, 11:20,
11:23, 12:25, 13:6, 14:4, 14:8, 18:4,
19:7, 19:13, 22:10,
23:13, 23:20, 24:19,
25:10, 25:14, 26:2,
26:9, 26:20, 26:23,
27:3, 27:10, 27:25,
28:4, 28:23, 30:4,
30:12, 30:23, 31:7,
31:21, 32:3, 32:5,
32:11, 32:16, 32:19 chairman's [1] - 19:23
challenge [3] - 19:17,
22:24, 23:22
change [3] - 15:9,
26:16, 30:20
changed [2] - 20:19,
23:8
changing [1] - 23:11 CHERI [1] - 34:5
Cheri [2] - 1:23, 34:18

chosen [1] - 5:7 Chris [1] - 3:5
CHRIS [1] - 1:13
clear [2] - 4:22, 6:3
clearly [1] - 9:21
close [2] - 13:11,
21:15 closely [2] - 16:13,
16:15
coal [1] - 5:21
cogenerator [1] - 10:17
cogenerators [1] - 10:20
comfortable [6] -
14:22, 16:5, 16:16,
23:11, 24:4, 29:16
coming [2] - 20:25, 28:12
commencing [1] - 2:5
comments [1] - 17:5
COMMISSION [3] -
1:1, 1:12, 1:14
Commission [13] - 3:2, 3:3, 3:7, 3:13,
9:2, 9:11, 12:2,
13:23, 14:13, 20:2,
22:3, 24:5, 33:2
Commission's [3] - 9:5, 9:18, 20:12
Commissioner [38] -
8:21, 11:1, 13:19,
14:5, 14:8, 18:4, 18:23, 19:8, 19:25,
20:22, 21:5, 22:10,
22:11, 22:13, 22:16,
24:8, 24:21, 25:10,
25:23, 26:5, 26:17,
27:16, 27:18, 27:23,
28:2, 29:7, 29:9, 30:4, 31:9, 31:13,
31:21, 32:1, 32:3,
32:5, 32:13, 32:15,
32:16
COMMISSIONER [45]
- 1:13, 1:13, 13:20, 14:7, 14:10, 19:9,
19:22, 23:2, 23:9,
23:10, 23:17, 23:25,
24:1, 24:7, 24:13,
24:15, 24:17, 25:25,
26:7, 26:17, 26:21, 27:1, 27:7, 27:11,
27:22, 27:24, 28:1,
28:16, 28:22, 29:8,
29:11, 29:13, 29:15,
29:18, 29:19, 29:24, 30:9, 30:19, 31:1,

31:11, 32:2, 32:4,

32:10, 32:14, 32:18

13:13, 13:16, 25:2, 32:24 companies [1] - 22:3 compelled [3] - 24:23, 24:24, 24:25 complained [1] - 9:1 **COMPLAINT** [1] - 1:4 **Complaint** [1] - 3:8 complicated [2] -26:14, 32:23 complicates [1] -28:14 complications [1] -28:10 complying [1] - 3:16 compromise [2] -30:16, 30:17 concern [1] - 28:24 concerns [1] - 19:14 concluded [1] - 33:4 conditions [1] - 3:24 confirm [1] - 18:25 congested [2] - 12:3, 12.8 considerable [1] -7:25 considered [3] -12:16, 27:3, 28:21 considering [3] - 16:3, 27:5, 30:6 consistent [1] - 12:14 consternation [1] -18:12 consumers [1] - 10:11 continued [1] - 15:24 contrary [1] - 6:6 contribution [3] - 4:1, 7:11, 7:15 contributions [1] -10:2 control [1] - 6:5 controversy [1] - 7:25 convert [1] - 23:16 converted [1] - 15:2 convincing [2] -18:13, 21:6 correct [5] - 14:7, 21:14, 29:15, 30:23, 34:12 **corrected** [1] - 23:8 cost [39] - 4:3, 4:4, 4:24, 5:22, 6:9, 7:4, 7:7, 9:3, 9:14, 9:15, 10:6, 10:15, 10:18, 10:25, 12:2, 12:18,

12:20, 12:23, 13:24,

21:11, 22:16, 23:23,

16:4, 16:6, 21:6,

Commissioners [7] -

3:5, 11:1, 13:10,

24:9, 25:14, 26:3, 26:18, 27:2, 27:6, 27:19, 29:4, 30:3, 30:7, 30:11, 30:17, 31:4 costs [9] - 3:23, 7:6, 9:7. 10:14. 15:16. 22:1, 22:5, 22:8, 28:11 counsel [1] - 24:20 country [1] - 25:5 **COUNTY** [1] - 34:3 couple [1] - 8:22 course [5] - 7:18, 20:10, 21:13, 22:5, 29:4 COURT [1] - 5:4 Court [1] - 12:15 created [1] - 18:11 credible [1] - 15:21 credits [2] - 4:2, 21:10 Cremer [3] - 1:15, 11:12, 11:19 CREMER [1] - 11:11 **CRR** [1] - 1:23 current [2] - 19:11, 24:1 D

D1 [1] - 10:8 Dakota [7] - 2:2, 2:4, 3:3, 17:11, 17:18, 34:7, 34:13 **DAKOTA** [2] - 1:2, 34:1 **Dated** [1] - 34:13 **deadlines** [1] - 3:17 dealing [1] - 9:7 dealt [1] - 14:14 December [1] - 3:13 decided [3] - 12:17, 18:16, 24:3 Decision [1] - 12:1 decision [7] - 3:15, 5:5, 6:22, 8:23, 9:22, 11:6, 11:8 decisions [1] - 13:11 dedication [1] - 20:3 defendable [1] - 31:19 demand [2] - 17:25, 23:19 depreciation [1] - 22:6 deserve [1] - 8:24 desire [1] - 4:12 desired [1] - 3:19 details [2] - 19:25, 20:4 **determine** [2] - 9:3,

25:18 determining [1] - 10:4 developed [1] - 7:8 developing [2] -14:21, 18:6 **Dickerson** [1] - 1:17 differ [1] - 14:25 difference [6] - 25:1, 29:11, 29:20, 30:6, 31:12, 31:16 differences [3] - 25:7, 29:8, 30:5 different [2] - 21:4, 28:25 difficult [1] - 30:14 direction [5] - 12:14, 12:18, 12:19, 19:23, 30:10 directly [1] - 9:12 discuss [4] - 19:11, 31:10, 31:22 discussed [1] - 22:12 discussion [5] - 26:8, 27:25, 28:17, 31:7, 31:24 **Discussion** [1] - 5:1 discussions [2] -13:10, 24:20 displacement [1] - 6:1 **DO**[1] - 34:8 docket [5] - 3:11, 11:3, 13:24, 14:14, 32:23 document [3] - 14:2, 17:1, 19:1 documentation [1] -19:24 documentations [1] -21:12 **documented** [1] - 24:2 dollars [1] - 12:9 done [4] - 8:6, 12:22, 20:10, 24:5 doubles [1] - 27:17 down [5] - 18:16, 21:20, 21:23, 22:14, 29:13 driven [1] - 18:1 **duly** [1] - 34:8 duly-appointed [1] -

Ε

during [1] - 21:18

34:8

e-mailing [1] - 14:1 East [1] - 2:3 easy [2] - 9:24, 27:13 EIPC [5] - 15:3, 23:7,

23:16, 24:2, 25:3 EIS [1] - 9:12 either [6] - 6:18, 7:4, 23:8, 25:8, 25:21, 28:21 EL11-006 [1] - 1:4 electric [8] - 4:1, 10:11, 10:14, 10:16, 10:17, 10:19 elements [1] - 25:3 encourage [2] - 8:11 end [3] - 15:25, 21:1, 21:10 energy [19] - 3:8, 9:4, 9:8, 9:12, 9:16, 10:14, 10:16, 10:17, 10:19, 20:16, 21:10, 22:14, 25:18, 26:24, 29:9, 29:14, 29:16, 30:24 **ENERGY** [2] - 1:4, 1:5 Energy [2] - 1:19, 13:5 Energy's [2] - 4:11, 4:23 enjoyed [1] - 14:13 enter [1] - 3:9 **ENTER** [1] - 1:5 entertain [4] - 4:8, 4:16, 19:16, 22:25 entire [2] - 21:18, 26:16 entitled [2] - 2:2, 34.10 equity [1] - 10:2 **especially** [1] - 16:3 essentially [1] - 27:17 established [1] - 6:19 estimate [1] - 7:20 evidence [3] - 6:3, 6:7, 7:13 exact [2] - 25:4, 25:20 exactly [1] - 25:4 example [2] - 6:11, 8:7 excuse [3] - 9:14, 10:13, 25:13 **Exelon** [6] - 5:3, 5:5, 8:22, 9:1, 9:21, 12:1 exhibits [1] - 20:12 expense [1] - 8:1 explain [1] - 19:20 explained [1] - 22:13 explanation [1] -14:11 explanations [1] -25.6 express [1] - 19:13 expressed [1] - 20:1 extent [1] - 18:10 extremely [1] - 26:14

F

facilitate [1] - 8:17

facilities [1] - 12:4

fact [3] - 7:1, 23:6,

factor [6] - 10:3, 10:4,

16:6, 27:2, 27:15,

16:14, 22:4

31:17

31:18

failed [1] - 13:2

facility [4] - 6:12, 6:13,

fair [1] - 20:7 fall [2] - 24:4, 28:15 far [1] - 29:4 farm [2] - 16:8, 22:20 fascinating [1] - 14:15 favor [2] - 31:24, 32.12 February [4] - 3:25, 6:5, 20:24, 34:14 felt [4] - 14:21, 23:23, 24:4, 24:23 FERC [4] - 5:6, 9:9, 12:14, 12:19 Fiegen [15] - 3:6, 14:5, 19:8, 22:11, 24:8, 26:5, 29:7, 31:13, 31:21, 32:1, 32:2, 32:11, 32:13, 32:15 FIEGEN [18] - 1:13, 14:7, 19:9, 19:22, 23:9, 24:1, 24:13, 24:17, 26:7, 27:22, 28:22, 29:8, 29:13, 29:18, 29:24, 32:2, 32:10, 32:14 Fiegen's [2] - 18:23, 27:17 figure [7] - 15:17, 15:19, 16:8, 16:13, 16:17, 26:18, 31:20 figures [2] - 17:4, 31:3 filed [1] - 11:13 filing [1] - 3:17 final [1] - 4:10 finally [1] - 8:3 financing [4] - 8:9, 8:17, 9:25, 10:4 fine [2] - 19:15, 25:9 first [10] - 8:22, 10:8, 14:10, 15:1, 15:9, 20:1, 20:11, 23:4, 32.14 fit [3] - 16:21, 18:20. 19:14 five [1] - 4:8 focus [1] - 10:6 folks [2] - 3:20, 29:5

footprint [1] - 7:17 **FOR** [1] - 1:5 forecast [3] - 8:2, 8:5, 15:12 formula [4] - 15:3, 20:20, 21:7, 21:15 forth [3] - 3:14, 3:21, 9:5 **fortunately** [1] - 27:12 forward [2] - 28:19, 31:18 **four** [2] - 5:15, 15:25 frankly [2] - 16:9, 16:11 full [4] - 12:18, 12:20, 12:23. 17:13 fully [1] - 17:11 function [1] - 29:1

G

Gary [1] - 3:5 **GARY** [1] - 1:12 genealogy [1] - 26:10 generate [1] - 10:21 generation [4] - 5:21, 16:23, 22:22, 23:18 given [1] - 20:4 glad [1] - 11:5 goal [2] - 8:10, 20:7 grandson [1] - 30:13 Green's [1] - 15:12 Greg [1] - 1:16 growing [1] - 15:17 growth [3] - 15:12, 22:18, 22:20 guess [3] - 8:22, 25:8, 28:1

Н

half [3] - 12:9, 18:15, 29:5 half-hour [1] - 18:15 handed [1] - 31:4 handout [3] - 13:25, 19:15, 20:9 **HANSON** [40] - 1:12, 3:1, 8:19, 11:10, 11:18, 11:20, 11:23, 12:25, 13:6, 14:4, 14:8, 18:4, 19:7, 19:13, 22:10, 23:13, 23:20, 24:19, 25:10, 25:14, 25:22, 26:2, 26:9, 26:20, 26:23, 27:3, 27:10, 27:25, 28:4, 28:23, 30:4,

31:21, 32:3, 32:5, 32:11, 32:16, 32:19 Hanson [6] - 3:5, 26:17. 27:18. 28:2. 32:5. 32:19 Hanson's [1] - 27:23 happy [2] - 4:16, 17:24 hard [2] - 20:3, 20:4 hear [3] - 9:23, 23:3, 23:8 heard [3] - 9:25, 10:1, 10:5 hearing [8] - 4:22, 4:23, 5:7, 5:14, 6:3, 13:11, 18:22, 21:18 Hearing [1] - 3:21 hearings [1] - 4:14 held [1] - 2:1 **HEREBY** [1] - 34:8 herring [1] - 8:23 high [1] - 22:5 higher [2] - 22:18, 23:23 highs [1] - 26:25 hoc [2] - 3:2, 3:7 hour [5] - 4:16, 12:9, 18:15, 21:7 hourly [9] - 15:2, 15:3, 15:7, 23:6, 25:16, 25:17, 25:21, 29:22, 31:14 hybrid [3] - 3:22, 3:24, 9.20

30:12, 30:23, 31:7,

idea [1] - 7:23 impact [1] - 27:21 implicated [1] - 9:21 **importance** [1] - 9:23 **important** [3] - 10:3, 10:4, 14:15 importantly [1] - 9:8 IN [1] - 1:4 inclined [1] - 28:23 include [1] - 25:12 included [2] - 4:2, 5:25 inclusion [2] - 3:22, 5:18 inconsistent [1] - 9:4 incorporates [1] -31:15 increased [1] - 8:6 increasing [1] - 16:3 incredibly [1] - 32:23 incremental [2] -10:14, 10:15

incrementally [1] - 8:6 3 incurred [1] - 6:14 indicates [2] - 5:6, 9:1 individually [1] -13:15 inflation [6] - 16:6, 21:8, 27:15, 27:17, 27:20, 31:18 inflationary [1] - 27:2 **information** [2] - 11:8, input [2] - 3:23, 12:7 inputs [2] - 17:25, 18:1 instead [7] - 7:12, 9:13. 9:14. 20:20. 21:22, 25:11, 28:4 institute [1] - 12:16 intention [2] - 17:12, 17:16 interest [1] - 10:12 interested [2] - 18:22, 29:21 intermittence [1] -21:24 **INTO** [1] - 1:5 issue [9] - 5:10, 5:17, 5:22, 6:1, 6:9, 17:3, 21:24, 24:10, 28:2 issued [1] - 3:13 issues [5] - 3:21, 14:15, 14:16, 26:13, 28:13 item [5] - 3:10, 21:25, 26:11, 30:2, 32:8 items [3] - 13:15, 26:13, 26:14

J

January [4] - 1:8, 2:4, 3:2, 34:11 job [2] - 12:22, 14:18 John [1] - 1:15 juggling [1] - 19:20 juncture [1] - 13:9 justification [1] - 18:19

Κ

Karen [2] - 1:15, 11:12 keeps [1] - 16:20 kept [3] - 18:13, 20:17, 24:1 kilowatts [1] - 21:7 kinds [1] - 7:1 known [1] - 13:13

KRISTIE [1] - 1:13 Kristie [1] - 3:6
L
LaFave [3] - 6:20, 7:1, 7:5
laid [1] - 17:21
large [1] - 21:19
last [5] - 7:9, 11:20,
17:2, 18:14, 23:5
lastly [1] - 16:22
Lauckhart [6] - 5:15,
6:8, 7:8, 7:9, 7:12,
7:13
lawful [1] - 4:24
least [2] - 3:11, 18:7
leaving [1] - 25:8
LEO [2] - 3:25, 6:15
less [1] - 19:20
level [1] - 4:3
levelized [31] - 4:4,
8:3, 8:8, 8:9, 8:12,
8:13, 17:3, 17:7,
18:11, 18:17, 21:11,
22:1, 22:8, 22:24,
23:23, 25:11, 25:14,
25:19, 26:23, 27:6,
28:3, 28:4, 28:6,
28:14, 28:18, 30:6,
30:10, 30:18, 30:22,
31:4
likely [1] - 7:24
limit [1] - 4:11
line [1] - 13:4
LLC [2] - 1:4, 3:8
load [20] - 5:18, 5:25,
15:2, 15:8, 15:12,
22:18, 22:19, 23:6,
23:7, 23:14, 23:18,
24:10, 25:2, 25:16,
25:17, 25:21, 29:12,
29:17, 31:14
loads [1] - 29:23
located [1] - 16:14
long-term [4] - 6:16,
6:22, 6:23, 7:6
look [4] - 13:15, 21:7,
21:20, 22:1
looked [7] - 8:9, 11:2,
19:24, 20:24, 20:25,
21:19, 30:1
looking [7] - 21:21,
22:17, 22:19, 26:4,
26:10, 26:20, 27:4
looks [1] - 30:1
lower [2] - 22:6, 26:4
1

lows [1] - 26:25

М

machinations [1] -

mailing [1] - 14:1

Makens [1] - 13:5

MAKENS [1] - 13:5

5:24, 9:13, 9:17,

market [10] - 3:24, 4:1,

28:25

10:24, 12:4, 12:6, 12:10, 16:20 markets [1] - 6:24 match [1] - 23:7 matches [2] - 16:13, 16:15 matter [4] - 2:2, 3:8, 4:14, 34:10 **MATTER** [1] - 1:4 matters [1] - 5:22 McComsey [2] - 1:23, 34.18 MCCOMSEY [1] - 34:5 mean [2] - 25:1, 28:6 means [1] - 10:16 measuring [2] - 18:15 meet [1] - 5:21 meeting [2] - 3:2, 3:7 meetings [1] - 13:14 megawatt [1] - 12:9 megawatts [6] -16:23, 20:19, 21:21, 21:22, 21:23, 22:22 member [1] - 7:21 members [1] - 33:1 mention [1] - 3:17 method [2] - 3:22, 3:24 methodology [7] -4:24, 5:6, 9:3, 9:10, 9:13, 9:19, 9:20 Michael [1] - 1:18 Midwest [2] - 7:20, 21:3 might [3] - 10:23, 14:5, 15:24 Mike [1] - 19:3 million [1] - 29:5 mind [2] - 15:6, 25:8 minds [1] - 12:20 mine [1] - 24:14 minutes [2] - 4:8, 4:12 MISO [5] - 7:16, 7:22, 12:9, 16:13 mix [1] - 16:19 model [9] - 14:18, 14:20, 14:21, 14:24, 14:25, 15:4, 15:10, 16:25 modification [1] -

20:19 modifications [1] -20:15 modified [1] - 17:7 moment [2] - 24:19, 26.1 monopoly [1] - 30:12 morning [1] - 18:12 most [5] - 5:7, 16:13, 16:15, 30:2, 31:14 motion [17] - 13:20, 17:7, 19:10, 19:12, 19:14, 19:17, 25:12, 30:21, 30:25, 31:2, 31:8, 31:9, 31:22, 31:24, 32:6, 32:8, 32:19 motions [2] - 13:14, 13:17 move [6] - 6:21, 13:23, 19:10, 30:10, 30:15, 30:16 moved [2] - 32:10, 32:11 moves [1] - 31:19 MR [17] - 4:19, 5:2, 5:5, 8:21, 11:21, 11:24, 13:5, 18:24, 19:3, 19:4, 19:5, 19:6, 24:24, 25:13, 25:17, 33:1, 33:3 MRO [4] - 7:21, 16:12, 16:13, 21:2

22:16, 25:23, 29:9, 30:5, 31:9, 32:3, 32:17 new [1] - 22:4 next [5] - 7:3, 15:13, 15:15, 16:7, 17:19 nobody [1] - 6:23 node [2] - 12:3, 12:8 NORTHWESTERN [1] - 1:5 NorthWestern [27] -1:19, 3:9, 4:9, 4:10, 4:11, 4:23, 5:7, 5:9, 5:18, 5:19, 6:10, 7:10, 8:20, 9:19, 11:20, 12:7, 12:10, 15:13, 16:5, 16:12, 20:2, 22:22, 23:14, 25:4, 26:24, 29:23, 31:18 NorthWestern's [9] -4:4, 6:21, 7:2, 7:21, 9:20, 15:2, 15:7, 16:22, 23:6 **Notary** [2] - 34:7, 34:18 nothing [2] - 11:12, 11:21 Notice [1] - 3:21 nuances [1] - 26:11 number [6] - 16:5, 16:18, 17:23, 17:25, 21:19, 32:24 numbers [5] - 17:8, 17:20, 18:1, 27:8,

Ν

MS [1] - 11:11

must [1] - 24:11

name [1] - 3:4 natural [1] - 3:23 Neal [2] - 6:2, 6:4 nearly [1] - 24:11 need [6] - 5:20, 7:3, 7:11, 25:12, 25:15, 29:2 **NELSON** [27] - 1:13, 13:20, 14:10, 23:2, 23:10, 23:17, 23:25, 24:7, 24:15, 25:25, 26:17, 26:21, 27:1, 27:7, 27:11, 27:24, 28:1, 28:16, 29:11, 29:15, 29:19, 30:9, 30:19, 31:1, 31:11, 32:4, 32:18 Nelson [17] - 3:6, 13:19, 14:9, 18:5, 19:1, 19:25, 20:22, 21:5, 22:11, 22:13,

oak [1] - 3:8 OAK [1] - 1:4 Oak [24] - 1:18, 4:9, 4:10, 4:17, 5:2, 5:22, 6:11, 6:14, 6:15, 7:19, 10:6, 11:23, 13:5, 15:18, 15:19, 16:19, 16:20, 17:12, 17:18, 20:1, 21:5, 21:9, 22:22, 28:17 obligation [1] - 5:20 **observing** [1] - 24:7 obviously [1] - 29:20 **OF** [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 2:1, 34:1, 34:3 offer [7] - 15:20, 15:21, 15:23, 15:24, 15:25, 28:17 **old** [1] - 30:13 older [1] - 7:18

0

31:19

one [17] - 3:10, 5:17, 7:6, 7:8, 7:24, 12:1, 14:6, 16:10, 18:10, 18:24, 20:21, 22:2, 25:19, 26:4, 26:16, 29:4. 32:22 ongoing [1] - 12:11 **Onida** [1] - 34:13 open [1] - 11:4 opening [1] - 4:22 operating [1] - 17:12 operation [1] - 31:5 operator [1] - 17:13 opportunities [1] -16:1 opportunity [3] - 3:18, 4:11, 13:9 opposed [3] - 25:15, 30:22, 31:25 **oral** [3] - 3:15, 3:18, 4:7 order [6] - 3:14, 3:16, 3:17, 8:25, 9:9, 28:25 Order [2] - 3:21, 9:2 organization [1] - 21:3 Organization's [1] -7:20 overcomplicating [1] - 29:1 own [1] - 20:10

Ρ

p.m [3] - 2:5, 3:1, 33:4

page [2] - 24:9, 27:12 paid [2] - 9:12, 10:7 paragraph [3] - 5:6, 8:25, 9:9 parameters [1] - 19:11 part [4] - 16:12, 25:5, 28:24 partially [3] - 8:8, 8:12 participate [1] - 4:7 parties [10] - 3:16, 3:19, 4:6, 8:1, 11:7, 12:22, 13:1, 14:12, 17:6, 18:25 party [1] - 4:8 passage [1] - 12:13 passed [2] - 14:19, 19:1 **PAT** [1] - 13:5 pat [1] - 13:5 Pat [1] - 13:6 patience [1] - 32:21 pausing [1] - 24:19 payers [3] - 17:11, 17:17, 28:20

payment [6] - 7:24, 8:14, 8:15, 8:16, 25:20, 27:16 people [1] - 21:17 per [6] - 15:12, 15:16, 15:17, 16:4, 17:9, 21.7 percent [17] - 7:11, 7:14, 7:15, 7:17, 7:21, 15:12, 15:17, 16:4, 16:11, 16:13, 16:16, 20:25, 21:1, 21:21, 22:19, 22:20, 27:13 percentage [1] - 20:21 perfect [2] - 15:6, 15:7 period [3] - 4:5, 15:20, 32.25 petroleum [1] - 12:16 phenomenal [1] -14:18 phone [3] - 11:15, 13:4, 14:1 Pierre [1] - 2:4 place [1] - 24:20 plan [1] - 18:20 planning [2] - 6:24, 14.6 playing [1] - 30:12 pleased [1] - 11:5 plugged [1] - 16:25 plugging [1] - 29:23 point [10] - 4:21, 5:12, 6:13, 9:25, 11:6, 12:1, 13:21, 16:10, 23:4, 25:9 points [2] - 8:22, 11:25 portion [2] - 5:20, 7:2 position [1] - 5:2 possible [2] - 23:12, 29:21 possibly [1] - 6:11 posthearing [1] - 3:14 potential [1] - 28:12 power [3] - 3:9, 10:18, 10:20 **POWER** [1] - 1:5 practical [1] - 20:7 precedence [1] -32:23 prefer [1] - 13:14 prepared [4] - 6:20, 12:7, 13:13, 13:17 presence [1] - 9:17 present [2] - 14:6, 19.14 presentation [2] -4:17, 23:22 presentations [2] -

4:14, 22:12 presented [1] - 23:21 pretty [2] - 4:21, 21:15 previously [1] - 11:13 price [2] - 9:11, 12:6 problem [2] - 9:9, 23:21 procedural [1] - 3:14 proceed [3] - 4:6, 4:12, 14:8 proceeding [2] - 12:7, 33:4 PROCEEDINGS [1] -2:1 Proceedings [1] - 1:8 proceedings [2] -34:9, 34:12 process [5] - 13:3, 13:12, 14:4, 28:11, 32:22 produce [1] - 7:25 produced [1] - 17:1 producer [2] - 10:18, 10.20 Professional [2] -34:6, 34:19 project [1] - 8:9 projections [1] - 3:24 promise [1] - 4:20 promoting [1] - 9:24 proper [6] - 3:22, 3:23, 3:25, 4:1, 15:15, 24:10 proposal [6] - 17:21, 18:2, 18:23, 27:11,

27:14, 30:21

17:19

27:1

28:19

13:24

34:18

public [1] - 10:12

purchase [5] - 3:9,

6:17, 10:9, 10:20,

9:19, 31:3

proposals [4] - 18:7, 18:20, 30:14, 30:24 propose [2] - 17:10, proposed [3] - 9:10, proposing [2] - 17:8, protect [2] - 17:17, protects [1] - 17:11 provided [2] - 11:7, provides [1] - 17:23 providing [1] - 9:24 provisional [1] - 15:20 **PUBLIC** [2] - 1:1, 1:12 Public [6] - 3:3, 20:2, 20:11, 24:5, 34:7, **PURCHASE** [1] - 1:5

10:21 purchased [2] - 9:16, 10:17 purchases [2] - 7:1, 10:10 PURPA [3] - 8:10, 9:5, 9.24 purposes [1] - 10:15 put [6] - 13:21, 14:24, 20:20, 22:4, 28:19, 31:18 putting [1] - 14:18

Q

QF [3] - 9:11, 9:17, 10:7 **QFs** [4] - 9:17, 9:24, 10:10, 12:10 qualifying [3] - 10:17, 10:18, 12:4 quarter [1] - 22:19 questions [2] - 11:16, 17.22 quibble [1] - 15:14 quick [1] - 11:25 quiet [1] - 11:2 quite [3] - 20:5, 20:18, 23:5 quoting [1] - 9:2

R

ramification [1] - 27:9 ramifications [1] -26:11 range [1] - 7:17 rate [13] - 8:4, 8:5, 8:8, 8:9, 8:13, 9:3, 12:18, 17:11, 17:17, 22:3, 22:4, 25:18, 28:20 rates [4] - 4:1, 6:18, 10:9, 13:24 reached [1] - 11:5 read [2] - 8:25, 12:13 really [14] - 5:8, 5:11, 5:12, 5:23, 8:1, 8:18, 8:23, 19:24, 20:5, 20:23, 21:20, 24:24, 28:5, 28:6 Realtime [2] - 34:6, 34:19 reason [3] - 7:19, 15:14, 17:10 reasonable [3] -10:10, 20:7, 31:20 rebuttal [1] - 5:8 recalculating [1] -

7:24 reverse [1] - 22:7 received [2] - 7:14, 19:1 recent [2] - 5:2, 5:7 recently [1] - 10:5 recommendation [2] -22:9, 25:8 recommendations [1] - 22:15 recommended [1] -7:12 record [6] - 5:1, 6:7, 7:7, 7:14, 15:23, 26:22 **RECs** [2] - 16:18, 22:21 red [1] - 8:23 reduced [1] - 12:8 reflect [1] - 25:5 reflected [1] - 7:4 reflects [1] - 7:5 refusing [1] - 3:9 **REFUSING** [1] - 1:5 regardless [1] - 25:18 region [1] - 6:23 Registered [2] - 34:5, 34:19 rules [1] - 12:14 regulation [1] - 9:18 regulations [1] - 9:6 relative [1] - 29:6

Reliability [1] - 7:20 reliable [1] - 21:3

rely [2] - 5:9, 11:13 remarks [1] - 13:10 remind [2] - 3:20, 4:15 renewable [1] - 21:9 repeat [1] - 27:23 replace [1] - 6:11 Reported [1] - 1:23 reporter [1] - 34:9 **REPORTER** [1] - 5:4 Reporter [4] - 34:6, 34:19, 34:19 represented [1] - 5:13 represents [2] - 5:24, 7:18 required [1] - 9:17 requirements [2] -6:5. 9:5 requires [1] - 10:6 resolve [1] - 28:13

respect [2] - 10:16, 12:6 response [1] - 8:24 restate [2] - 30:25, 31:2 resulting [1] - 4:2

retaining [1] - 21:9

revenue [1] - 8:17

review [1] - 21:14 Rislov [3] - 1:16, 24:22, 25:10 RISLOV [3] - 24:24, 25:13, 25:17 roll [1] - 8:14 rolls [1] - 13:22 Room [1] - 2:3 **room** [1] - 3:4 roughly [1] - 7:17 round's [1] - 7:5 rounded [3] - 17:4, 17:8, 17:20 Rounds [1] - 1:16 rounds [7] - 6:25, 7:10, 8:6, 11:15, 14:17, 14:25, 25:6 rounds' [2] - 5:12, 6:19 rounds's [2] - 15:10, 16:25 Rounds's [1] - 20:14 route [1] - 28:24 RPR [1] - 1:23 rule [1] - 12:20

S

sales [2] - 5:19, 5:23 **scenario** [1] - 16:9 schedule [1] - 3:14 **SDF** [1] - 9:10 second [7] - 4:22, 6:1, 12:12, 14:17, 15:11, 18:24, 20:19 secondly [1] - 9:23 section [1] - 10:15 security [1] - 28:19 see [10] - 7:19, 13:16, 13:21, 16:20, 17:1, 17:20, 18:1, 28:10, 29:3, 31:14 self [1] - 9:16 self-supplied [1] -9.16 selling [2] - 10:24, 28:9 send [1] - 13:25 set [4] - 3:21, 5:20, 8:5. 9:5 sets [1] - 32:22 **setting** [3] - 3:14, 9:7, 12:2 settled [1] - 16:11 shall [1] - 10:10 shape [12] - 15:2, 15:8, 23:6, 23:15,

23:18, 24:10, 25:16, 25:17, 25:21, 29:12, 29:17, 31:14 shapes [2] - 23:7, 25:2 sheet [3] - 14:19, 17:4, 31:3 Sherry [1] - 1:17 **short** [3] - 6:24, 15:20, 15:22 **short-term** [1] - 6:24 shortage [1] - 6:23 **shorthand** [2] - 34:9 sic [1] - 25:10 side [3] - 17:24, 18:10, 22:14 significant [3] - 4:13, 5:20, 7:25 significantly [1] -28:14 signify [1] - 32:12 similar [1] - 15:24 **similarly** [1] - 12:6 simplest [1] - 8:13 simplifying [1] - 28:5 sincerely [1] - 18:5 single [2] - 12:3, 12:8 small [3] - 10:18, 10:20, 26:15 **Smith** [3] - 1:15, 19:5, 19:7 SMITH [3] - 18:24, 19:4, 19:6 sold [1] - 9:12 sometimes [1] - 17:15 somewhere [1] -17:19 sorry [1] - 27:22 sound [1] - 14:21 source [1] - 10:22 **SOUTH** [2] - 1:2, 34:1 South [7] - 2:2, 2:4, 3:3, 17:11, 17:18, 34:7, 34:13 spent [1] - 27:4 spreads [1] - 29:19 spreadsheet [6] -6:19, 7:5, 14:20, 16:25, 20:15 spreadsheets [2] -5:13, 20:13 **SS** [1] - 34:2 Staff [8] - 6:10, 11:10, 11:12, 20:2, 20:16, 20:18, 21:13, 24:5 staff [1] - 4:9 **STAFF** [1] - 1:14 Staff's [5] - 9:21, 11:13, 22:14, 29:16, 30:24 stand [1] - 32:20

standards [1] - 12:15 start [1] - 13:18 started [1] - 13:3 starting [1] - 5:17 State [3] - 2:2, 3:4, 34:7 **STATE** [2] - 1:2, 34:1 statute [3] - 10:6, 10:9, 12:13 stay [2] - 16:18, 22:21 still [2] - 6:14, 11:4 Stone [2] - 6:2, 6:4 streams [1] - 8:17 strictly [1] - 10:24 struggle [1] - 18:7 struggled [5] - 16:9, 20:22, 28:5, 28:6, 29:25 struggling [1] - 22:17 study [2] - 20:6, 25:3 stuff [1] - 17:14 **sub** [2] - 10:8, 26:13 substantial [1] - 15:5 **substitute** [3] - 6:16, 19:10, 19:19 **SULLY** [1] - 34:3 supplied [1] - 9:16 support [4] - 18:6, 18:17, 18:18, 18:21 supported [1] - 6:7 Supreme [1] - 12:15 sword [1] - 24:4 **sync** [1] - 25:4

Т

table [3] - 13:21, 19:18, 27:8 talks [1] - 10:24 technical [1] - 5:14 technologies [2] -8:11, 8:12 technology [1] - 7:18 telephone [3] - 1:16, 1:18, 1:19 telephones [1] - 13:3 term [6] - 6:16, 6:22, 6:23, 6:24, 7:6, 10:15 terribly [1] - 28:20 testimony [2] - 15:5, 23.5 **Texas** [2] - 9:11, 12:2 **THE** [6] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4, 1:12, 5:4 third [1] - 6:8 thoughts [1] - 22:25 three [4] - 14:12, 15:25, 27:8, 27:9

throughout [1] - 7:16 throw [1] - 27:8 Tina [1] - 14:1 **Titan** [2] - 7:14, 8:7 titled [1] - 17:3 **TO**[1] - 1:5 today [4] - 3:5, 3:11, 3:12, 18:9 together[1] - 14:19 took [2] - 15:1, 34:9 total [1] - 27:15 tough [1] - 22:2 transcending [1] -32:24 **TRANSCRIPT** [1] - 2:1 Transcript [1] - 1:8 transcription [1] -34:12 tree [1] - 3:8 TREE [1] - 1:4 Tree [23] - 1:18, 4:9, 4:10, 4:17, 5:22, 6:11, 6:14, 6:15, 7:19, 10:6, 11:23, 13:5, 15:18, 15:19, 16:19, 16:20, 17:12, 17:18, 20:1, 21:5, 21:9, 22:22, 28:18 **Tree's** [1] - 5:2 trouble [1] - 15:18 true [2] - 28:11, 34:11 true-ups [1] - 28:11 truly [1] - 29:24 try [2] - 28:13, 28:19 trying [1] - 28:24 two [4] - 11:24, 14:12, 24:8, 25:2 **type** [1] - 10:2

U

U.S.C [1] - 10:8 **Uda** [3] - 1:18, 8:19, 19:3 **UDA** [6] - 4:19, 5:2, 5:5, 11:24, 19:3, 33:1 under [1] - 8:10 understood [1] -27:18 unlawful [1] - 5:8 unless [1] - 24:25 up [7] - 18:9, 18:14, 21:10, 23:14, 27:15, 31:19 **upgrades** [1] - 6:4 upheld [1] - 12:17 ups [1] - 28:11 uses [1] - 9:3

UTILITIES [2] - 1:1, 1:12 Utilities [4] - 3:3, 20:2, 20:12, 24:5 utility [6] - 9:14, 9:15, 10:11, 10:19, 10:21, 22:2 utilizing [1] - 31:17

V

valid [1] - 5:16 value [5] - 21:4, 21:8, 29:10, 29:14, 31:17 values [1] - 29:16 verbally [1] - 20:9 versus [5] - 17:3, 22:1, 23:23, 28:3, 30:7 view [1] - 25:9 vote [3] - 13:14, 31:25 votes [4] - 32:2, 32:5, 32:15, 32:19

W

walked [1] - 18:14

washout [1] - 24:11 whole [3] - 5:17, 7:23, 12:1 wholesale [2] - 5:19, 10:24 willing [4] - 18:18, 28:19, 30:10, 30:19 wind [2] - 16:8, 22:20 Wind [1] - 5:5 window [1] - 30:8 windows [3] - 18:18, 18:20, 26:24 wish [7] - 4:7, 4:17, 19:19, 30:24, 30:25, 31:10, 31:22 WITTLER [1] - 34:5 Wittler [2] - 1:23, 34:18 worksheets [1] -20:11 worry [1] - 25:15

X

Xcel [1] - 20:14

Υ

year [8] - 6:2, 15:12, 15:16, 15:17, 16:4, 17:9, 28:12, 30:13

yearly [1] - 17:4 years [9] - 6:18, 7:3, 14:12, 15:13, 15:25, 17:13, 17:14, 17:19, 27:16