	3
	1 CHAIRMAN NELSON: TC10-026, In the matter of the
	2 Complaint filed by Sprint Communications Company, LP,
	 against Native American Telecom, LLC, regarding
1 THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 2 OF THE STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA	 4 telecommunications services.
3 =====================================	5 Before I go any further, Mr. Swier, are you on
4 IN THE MATTER OF THE COMPLAINT FILED TC10-026 BY SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, LP	6 the line?
5 AGAINST NATIVE AMERICAN TELECOM, LLC REGARDING TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES	
6	
7 Transcript of Proceedings 8 July 17, 2012	8 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. The questions
9 =====================================	9 we're dealing with today, shall the Commission grant
10 BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, CHRIS NELSON, CHAIRMAN	10 Native American Telecom, LLC's Motion to Dismiss and
11 KRISTIE FIEGEN, VICE CHAIRMAN GARY HANSON, COMMISSIONER	11 shall the Commission grant Motion to Compel NAT to answer
12 COMMISSION STAFF 13 Rolayne Ailts Wiest	12 discovery?
13 Rolayne Ailts Wiest Karen Cremer 14 Kara Semmler (by telephone)	13 At this point I think before we proceed I'm
Ryan Soye 15 Greg Rislov	14 going to turn to Ms. Wiest who may have a few questions
Dave Jacobson 16 Jon Thurber Brian Rounds	15 before we begin arguments on each of the two questions.
17 Chris Daugaard Patrick Steffensen	16 MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes. With respect to the
18 Matthew Tysdal Brittany Mehlhaff 19 Deb Gregg	17 Motion to Dismiss, my question to both of you
Joy Irving 20	18 CHAIRMAN NELSON: And before I begin, maybe if I
APPEARANCES 21 Contt Suior (by telephone)	19 could have Sprint's counsel just identify themselves at
Scott Swier (by telephone) 22 Scott Knudson Tom Tobin	20 the table for everybody.
23	21 MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
24 Reported By Cheri McComsey Wittler, RPR, CRR 25	22 Scott Knudson for Sprint Communications. And with me is
	23 Tom Tobin, also representing Sprint Communications.
	24 One more time. Scott Knudson representing
	25 Sprint Communications, and with me at counsel table is
2	4
1 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, held in the	1 Tom Tobin, also representing Sprint.
2 above-entitled matter, at the South Dakota State Capitol	2 CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Go ahead.
3 Building, 500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, South Dakota,	3 MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes. My question to the
4 on the 17th day of July, 2012, commencing at 9:30 a.m.	4 parties with respect to the Motion to Dismiss is I
5	5 noticed that with the Motion to Dismiss, the Brief and
6	6 with the reply to that Brief by Sprint, both parties did
7	7 submit Affidavits and additional material.
8	8 And my question is pursuant to 15-6-12(b), if a
9	9 party submits additional outside of the Pleadings, then
10	10 should this be treated as a Motion for Summary
11	11 Judgment?
12	12 CHAIRMAN NELSON: I would turn to Mr. Swier for
13	13 his answer first.
14	14 MR. SWIER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
15	15 I believe that under the circumstances in this
16	16 case under the Mootness Doctrine that we can still
17	17 proceed under a 12(b) Motion to Dismiss, and this does
18	18 not have to be transferred to a Motion for Summary
19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
20	20 However, if the Commission determines that it is
21	21 a Motion for Summary Judgment, then I still believe the
22	22 analysis would be the same, and based on what is in the
23	23 record we think the result would be the same.
24	24 However, I think we can still proceed under
25 1 of 24 sheets Page 1 t	25 Rule 12(b) here. :0 4 of 57 07/24/2012 03:47:50
1 of 24 sheets Page 1 t	to 4 of 57 07/24/2012 03:47:50 l

	5		7
1	MS. AILTS WIEST: When you said we can still	1	MR. SWIER: I think we're proceeding under both
2	proceed, would that mean that the Commission would not	2	Rule $12(b)(1)$ and $12(b)(5)$. I think the court can make
3	consider any of the Affidavits submitted by the either	3	its decision here under either of those provisions.
4	party because those would be matters outside of the	4	And I guess I agree with Mr. Knudson that
5	Pleadings?	5	procedurally the case is teed up under a 12(b) Motion,
6	MR. SWIER: Well, I think that regarding that,	6	and that is appropriate here, under either 12(b)(1) or
7	with the 12(b) Motion I think that based on the	7	12(b)(5).
8	circumstances in the case that we can still proceed with	8	MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, at this point I guess we
9	12(b). Because I think the only additional information	9	can listen to the arguments of the parties.
10	we've provided was simply an acknowledgment that NAT will	10	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. At this point we will
11	pay the \$281 that Sprint is alleging in damages here.	11	proceed with arguments on the Motion to Dismiss.
12	So I think when you look at that, I don't think	12	Mr. Swier.
13	this has to be transferred to a Motion for Summary	13	MR. SWIER: Thank you.
14	Judgment because that is the only matter that's outside	14	Mr. Chair, members of the Commission, on May 5
15	the Pleading here.	15	of 2010 Sprint filed an Amended Complaint in this matter.
16	MS. AILTS WIEST: So that's outside the	16	That Amended Complaint asked the Commission to rule on
17	Pleading, but we can still consider it?	17	four very specific items.
18		18	The first was that Sprint's Complaint asked the
19		19	Commission to declare that it has the sole authority to
20		20	regulate Sprint's interexchange services within the State
21	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	21	of South Dakota.
22	5,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	22	The second item the Complaint requested was
23		23	declaring that the Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Utility
24	·	24	Authority lacks jurisdiction over Sprint.
25		25	The third item the Complaint requests was a
	6 Mr. Knudeen fer bis response		8
1	·	1	declaration that NAT must seek a Certificate of Authority from the Commission before it can assess charges for
3	·	2	switched access service.
4		4	And, finally, the fourth item the Complaint
5		5	requests is an award of money damages in an amount to be
6		6	determined.
7		7	We believe based on the Commission's previous
8		8	jurisdictional decisions and the fact that NAT has agreed
9	Judgment.	9	to confess judgment in this case for \$281 that the issues
10	-	10	in this case are now moot.
11	these Affidavits really are not in dispute with respect	11	Going back to the first two issues, which are
12		12	the jurisdictional issues, as the Court will remember
13	to the Commission's jurisdiction, which is the mootness	40	-
14	5	13	or excuse me. As the Commission will remember, the
15	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering	13 14	or excuse me. As the Commission will remember, the Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive
16	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the		
4-	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions.	14	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive
17	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions.	14 15	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees.
17	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he	14 15 16	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County
	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he refers to 12(b)(1), but he also refers to 12(b)(5). And	14 15 16 17	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County Circuit Court. The Buffalo County Circuit Court affirmed
18	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he refers to 12(b)(1), but he also refers to 12(b)(5). And the statute says if you're talking about 12(b)(5), it	14 15 16 17 18	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County Circuit Court. The Buffalo County Circuit Court affirmed the Commission's decision. The Circuit Court, indeed,
18 19	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he refers to 12(b)(1), but he also refers to 12(b)(5). And the statute says if you're talking about 12(b)(5), it	14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County Circuit Court. The Buffalo County Circuit Court affirmed the Commission's decision. The Circuit Court, indeed, found that exclusive jurisdiction in this case relies
18 19 20	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he refers to 12(b)(1), but he also refers to 12(b)(5). And the statute says if you're talking about 12(b)(5), it says that if there are matters outside the Pleadings and not excluded by the court, the Motion shall be treated as	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County Circuit Court. The Buffalo County Circuit Court affirmed the Commission's decision. The Circuit Court, indeed, found that exclusive jurisdiction in this case relies or lies with the Commission and that the Crow Creek
18 19 20 21	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he refers to 12(b)(1), but he also refers to 12(b)(5). And the statute says if you're talking about 12(b)(5), it says that if there are matters outside the Pleadings and not excluded by the court, the Motion shall be treated as one for summary judgment.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County Circuit Court. The Buffalo County Circuit Court affirmed the Commission's decision. The Circuit Court, indeed, found that exclusive jurisdiction in this case relies or lies with the Commission and that the Crow Creek Tribal Utility Authority has no jurisdiction over
18 19 20 21 22	argument. So I think we can go forward today considering the record before the Commission, including the additional submissions. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would just note that in Mr. Swier's Brief supporting his Motion to Dismiss he refers to 12(b)(1), but he also refers to 12(b)(5). And the statute says if you're talking about 12(b)(5), it says that if there are matters outside the Pleadings and not excluded by the court, the Motion shall be treated as one for summary judgment. So what are we proceeding under? Are we just	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission has already ruled that it has the exclusive jurisdiction regarding intrastate switched access fees. That decision was appealed to the Buffalo County Circuit Court. The Buffalo County Circuit Court affirmed the Commission's decision. The Circuit Court, indeed, found that exclusive jurisdiction in this case relies or lies with the Commission and that the Crow Creek Tribal Utility Authority has no jurisdiction over Sprint.

	9		11
1	The third item that Sprint requested from the	1	bound by the same doctrine that the courts have followed
2	Commission was that the Commission declare that NAT has	2	with respect to mootness. But assuming that Doctrine
3	to apply for a Certificate of Authority in South Dakota.	3	does apply, and even under the definition of mootness the
4	As the Commission is aware, in TC11-087 NAT has	4	courts use, this case is not moot. There is relief that
5	applied for a Certificate of Authority for the	5	this Commission can grant Sprint pursuant to its
6	Commission with the Commission.	6	Complaint for Declaratory Relief.
7	In other words, the Commission at this point in	7	The issue specifically is that we seek a
8	10-26 cannot grant any further relief. The only relief	8	declaration regarding the fact that NAT must get a
9	the Commission could grant with regard to this request is	9	Certificate of Authority from this Commission and must
10	that NAT apply for a Certificate of Authority. That's	10	have a lawful tariff on file before it provides services,
11	already been done.	11	intrastate basis, in the State of South Dakota.
12	And, finally, the fourth item that Sprint asked	12	This issue remains before the Commission. This
13	for is money damages. It is undisputed that Sprint's	13	issue was not a question of money.
14	entire money damages in this case are approximately \$281.	14	So I'd also like to point out that even if the
15	It simply does not make any business sense for NAT to	15	Commission were to grant the relief requested, legal
16	continue to expend tens of thousands of dollars in	16	authorities in this state support the Commission
17	attorney fees in a case where Sprint's damages are \$281.	17	continuing to assert jurisdiction.
18	We have agreed and told Sprint that we will	18	There's a case cited by NAT itself, the
19	refund we will provide them with their \$281 in	19	Clark v. Beadle County case, and that was a case where
20	damages. NAT will not be charging Sprint for any	20	there was a dispute over whether or not there was a
21	intrastate switched access fees that occur before the	21	lawful contract for a construction project. And
22	Commission ultimately grants their sort of authority.	22	taxpayers were opposing the contract. By the time this
23	So in sum, the Commission no longer can declare	23	got litigated the project had been completed.
24	any money damages and award them to Sprint because the	24	So, in effect, that would be the same situation
25	money damages are \$281. NAT's agreed to pay those \$280.	25	here, that the Commission grants the Certificate of
	10		12
1	As such, there's nothing under Sprint's Amended Complaint	1	Authority. The court said the case is not moot. The
2	that the Commission can give them anymore relief.	2	Complainant can go back into court and seek additional
3	The jurisdictional issues have been decided.	3	relief.
4	The damages issues, we've now given them \$281, as we said	4	We think that each stands for the proposition
5	where the second of the second s		We think that case stands for the proposition
6	we would. And, lastly, we've applied for a Certificate	5	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule
6	of Authority.	6	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of
7	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become	6 7	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of
7 8	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to	6 7 8	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority.
7 8 9	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is	6 7 8 9	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much
7 8 9 10	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should	6 7 8 9 10	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any
7 8 9 10 11	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket.	6 7 8 9 10 11	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the
7 8 9 10 11 12	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean. Sprint brought its Complaint to get a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you voluntarily cease the offending conduct, that does not
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean. Sprint brought its Complaint to get a declaration that NAT cannot provide local exchange	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you voluntarily cease the offending conduct, that does not moot the case. We cite two cases in our Brief that I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean. Sprint brought its Complaint to get a declaration that NAT cannot provide local exchange services without a Certificate of Authority from this	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you voluntarily cease the offending conduct, that does not moot the case. We cite two cases in our Brief that I want to bring to the Commission's attention.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean. Sprint brought its Complaint to get a declaration that NAT cannot provide local exchange services without a Certificate of Authority from this Commission.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you voluntarily cease the offending conduct, that does not moot the case. We cite two cases in our Brief that I want to bring to the Commission's attention. One of these is the <u>Kidder Peabody</u> case, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean. Sprint brought its Complaint to get a declaration that NAT cannot provide local exchange services without a Certificate of Authority from this Commission. Now if the Doctrine of Mootness applies and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you voluntarily cease the offending conduct, that does not moot the case. We cite two cases in our Brief that I want to bring to the Commission's attention. One of these is the <u>Kidder Peabody</u> case, and that case involved a merger where the succeeding or
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of Authority. So because of that, this case has really become moot. The Mootness Doctrine clearly applies to administrative agencies. And because of the case is moot, the docket should now be closed, and there should be no further proceedings with regard to this docket. Thank you. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Knudson. MR. KNUDSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think you can appreciate the position of Sprint here, that its action brought in 2010 against Native American Telecom or NAT is not moot as that principle is understood to mean. Sprint brought its Complaint to get a declaration that NAT cannot provide local exchange services without a Certificate of Authority from this Commission.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that this Commission can go forward, rule on 11-87, rule on 10-26 and make a determination as to the propriety of NAT's operating in this state without a Certificate of Authority. I'd also like to point out NAT's making much about, well, we're offering to forego collecting any further bills from Sprint until we get approval from the Commission, and we'll refund the money that's been paid. At this point I understand that they've never tendered the money to Sprint for the money that Sprint paid pursuant to the first two invoices it received. But more important there's an important legal distinction to be made with respect to NAT's position on mootness here. The Doctrine of Mootness says that if you voluntarily cease the offending conduct, that does not moot the case. We cite two cases in our Brief that I want to bring to the Commission's attention. One of these is the <u>Kidder Peabody</u> case, and

	13		15
1	Ivan Boesky can make money on this transaction. We're	1	And the FCC is going to be concerned about
2	going to sue you under the securities loss, federal	2	whether or not NAT is lawfully operating as a local
3	securities loss.	3	exchange carrier and then would be entitled to offer
4	They got into that dispute. The successor	4	terminating access services in interstate commerce.
5	company sued under state law in Texas. Kidder Peabody	5	If NAT is not operating lawfully as a local
6	countersued, brought a dec. action, declaratory judgment	6	exchange carrier, then it begs the question whether it
7	action, in Federal Court. And the company the	7	can charge for terminating access service on interstate
8	successor company said we won't bring any federal	8	commerce.
9	securities law claims; therefore, your action for	9	We think the Commission should rule in the first
10	declaratory relief in Federal Court is moot because we	10	instance on that question, which is why we urge the
11	won't bring any federal securities law claims, therefore,	11	Commission to go forward and address the merits of
12	your allegation that you are not in violation of federal	12	Sprint's Complaint.
13	securities laws is moot.	13	I think an important practical issue here is
14	The Second Circuit very expressly on this point	14	that a company should not be able to profit from
15	said no. This case is still viable. Simply because you	15	operating illegally in this state, and for now NAT has
16	promise not to bring this claim doesn't mean it's moot.	16	been charging and collecting on some intrastate invoices.
17	So I'd apply the principle that voluntary cessation of	17	There may be collateral benefits to other interexchange
18	the offending conduct does not moot the case to <u>Kidder</u>	18	carriers who have been unlawfully charged intrastate
19	Peabody and affirm the court's jurisdiction.	19	services by NAT. We're not carrying water for these
20	We believe this situation that NAT presents to	20	companies, but that's a practical consideration as well
21	the Commission is analogous, and, therefore, under the	21	for the Commission to consider.
22	Doctrine of Mootness and the exception of voluntarily	22	I'd also want to point out that there is another
23	cessation of offending conduct there is no argument any	23	docket before the Commission, 09-098, which is
24	longer. The Commission has jurisdiction to go forward	24	South Dakota Network v. Sprint Communications, and a
25	and rule on Sprint's Complaint. 14	25	portion of the damages action that South Dakota Network 16
1	There are practical considerations for this	1	is bringing against Sprint involves the services that
2	Commission as well in going forward in this docket.	2	NAT had been providing that would operate over SDN's
3	First I think the Commission should understand that it	3	network.
4	needs to enforce South Dakota Law.	4	So in sum we urge that the Commission deny NAT's
5	NAT has been operating without a Certificate of	5	Motion to Dismiss on mootness grounds. This case is not
6	Authority from this Commission providing intrastate	6	moot. The Commission has jurisdiction to move forward,
7	services since 2009 without a Certificate of Authority	7	and it should exercise that authority that's it has.
8	from the Commission.	8	Thank you.
9	It now concedes that it needs that Certificate	9	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Swier, brief rebuttal.
10	of Authority, but it doesn't have it. So I think it's	10	MR. SWIER: Thank you.
11	important precedentially for the Commission to go forward	11	The Commission has to look at what Sprint's
12	and rule on that issue in 10-26.	12	Complaint requests in TC10-26. We've already gone
13	The precedential value of that decision is also	13	through the four very specific items that Sprint has
14	important with respect to saying, first of all, to NAT	14	asked the Commission to rule upon: The jurisdictional
15	you need a Certificate of Authority or to anybody else	15	issues, which are complete, the Certificate of Authority,
16	who tries to structure a situation like NAT to come into	16	which NAT has now applied for, and the money damages of
17	South Dakota and operate under some kind of authority	17	\$281, which NAT has agreed to pay to Sprint.
18	from a tribal organization but try to provide intrastate	18	If this case goes forward, what is the
19	services without a Certificate of Authority from this	19	Commission what type of remedy is the Commission going
20	Commission this Commission should commission to	20	to provide here? Through its decisions and through NAT's
21	Commission, this Commission should say you cannot do	24	actions all four of the requests that Contrat has relied
	that.	21	actions, all four of the requests that Sprint has asked
22 23	that. That has also important practical considerations	22	for have now been decided. The case is over. There is
22 23 24	that.		

	17		19
1	cases, be it federal, administrative, or state cases, is	1	"The South Dakota Supreme Court has reviewed this
2	entirely irrelevant when it comes to the four specific	2	jurisdictional dispute under a similar context and has
3	matters in this particular docket.	3	found that the Tribe does not have jurisdiction."
4	There is simply nothing else that Sprint can be	4	So under Sprint's Amended Complaint, number two,
5	awarded that it hasn't already gotten. So because of	5	it asks for a declaration that the Tribal Utility
6	that, this is the classic case that a case is simply	6	Authority lacks jurisdiction. That's exactly what the
7	moot. There is nothing else the Commission can provide	7	court said. It said that the Tribe does not have
8	here.	8	jurisdiction in this intrastate telecommunications
9	Now regarding the Kidder Peabody case, that	9	issue.
10	case, of course, was never cited by Sprint in its Reply	10	So I think it's very clear that the Tribal
11	Brief. So, of course, at this time I can't make any type	11	Utility Authority lacks jurisdiction, that the Commission
12	of cogent argument regarding that case because it wasn't	12	has sole authority to regulate intrastate
13	cited previously by Sprint.	13	telecommunications services. So those two jurisdictional
14	However, when you look at the four items that	14	issues right off the bat have been decided by both the
15	are requested here, we think it's very clear that the	15	Commission and affirmed by the court.
16	Commission can no longer grant anything else under this	16	So I don't know really, Mr. Chair, how much
17	Complaint. And we think it's clear that the Commission	17	clearer both the Commission and court can be. The Tribe
18	must dismiss this matter on mootness grounds.	18	has no jurisdiction. The Commission has full
19	Thank you.	19	jurisdiction over intrastate telecommunications
20	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.	20	matters.
21	Questions from the Commission.	21	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.
22	MS. AILTS WIEST: Do you want to hear from	22	Mr. Knudson, I didn't hear you address that
23	Staff?	23	point specifically. Can you give us your position on
24	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Staff.	24	that?
25	MS. CREMER: Staff would like to hear your	25	MR. KNUDSON: You are correct. I focused on the
	18		20
1	questions because before I recommend anything, I need	1	third part of our declaratory relief, which is the need
2	clarification also.	2	for a Certificate of Authority.
3	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Yeah. Let's go ahead. We'll ask our questions. I'm good with that.	3	I think what we said in our Brief and I think
	· -	4	what I would say here is the same. I think you do observe correctly in the Circuit Court Opinion it doesn't
5 6	Starting with Mr. Swier, you used the word and said that the Circuit Court found that we had "exclusive	6	squarely hold that the Crow Creek Sioux Tribal Utility
7	jurisdiction." And that's an important point for me. I	7	Authority lacks jurisdiction over Sprint.
8	just breezed through the Court's decision, and I'm not	8	It does hold that the Commission has
9	finding that strong of language.	9	jurisdiction to move here, and it didn't need to defer to
10	Can you help me out there?	10	the tribal court jurisdiction in this instance.
11	MR. SWIER: Sure. Let's first take a look at	11	I think that it's good language in that
12	the Commission's May 4 Order, 2011 Order. This Order	12	decision, but if you roll back to the Order being
13	specifically states that "The Commission has clear	13	appealed, which is the Order of this Commission, I don't
14	jurisdiction over intrastate telecommunications."	14	think you can read that Order as saying that the
15	The Order then went on to say that "The	15	Commission has concluded it has the sole authority to
16	Commission's jurisdiction over intrastate	16	regulate Sprint's interexchange services within the State
17	telecommunications services is extensive." And that was	17	of South Dakota.
18	quoting the Cheyenne River case back in 1999.	18	So I think we're still seeking the relief sought
19	On appeal here's what the Circuit Court	19	in our declaratory relief in terms of paragraph 1 for our
20	specifically said: "The issue presented in this case is	20	prayer for relief. So the Order we issued earlier didn't
21	whether or not the South Dakota PUC or the Tribal Utility	21	squarely hold in Sprint's favor on that particular
22	Authority has jurisdiction over this matter with respect	22	point.
23	to intrastate telecommunications." That's page 4 of the	23	CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I might follow up on that,
24	Circuit Court Decision.	24	I think everybody is under agreement that and
25	Here's how the Circuit Court answered that:	25	specifically our Order indicated that we have

	21		23
1	jurisdiction. And so the remaining question is what, if	1	the issues that are before us.
2	any, jurisdiction the Tribe has.	2	And I really think, Mr. Chairman, that we need
3	The Circuit Court said, and Mr. Swier has	3	to have that go through the entire duration and
4	already quoted this, it's quite clear that the Tribe does	4	culmination of the COA prior to dismissing this
5	not have jurisdiction over calls that would originate off	5	particular docket. And I say that now rather than after
6	the reservation and terminate on the reservation or	6	a Motion because I want to hear what Mr. Swier might have
7	otherwise originate on the reservation and terminate off	7	to say.
8	the reservation.	8	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Swier.
9	How can you construe that as meaning the Tribe	9	MR. SWIER: Thank you for the question.
10	has any jurisdiction over Sprint?	10	Well, again, when you look I think we have to
11	MR. KNUDSON: I think you would conclude that	11	look specifically at this particular docket. I think
12	based on that holding that the Tribe would not have	12	it's improper to bring in what's happening in other
13	authority over those services over Sprint, absent	13	dockets.
14	Sprint's consent, which has not been given.	14	Sprint asked in this docket for four specific
15	CHAIRMAN NELSON: So would point number 2 not	15	things. One, that NAT has to get a Certificate of
16	already be resolved?	16	Authority. All right. The only thing the Commission
17	MR. KNUDSON: I think you could say that there	17	could order here in this docket is that NAT seek a
18	still remains the issue of what happens to traffic within	18	Certificate of Authority. The jurisdictional issues are
19	the exterior boundaries of the reservation. And that	19	decided. The damages issues are decided. The only thing
20	Order of the Circuit Court didn't specifically address	20	this Commission could continue to ask is for NAT to get a
21	that narrower niche of services.	21	Certificate of Authority.
22	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Does Sprint have traffic	22	Commissioner Hanson, as you indicated, NAT has
23	that is exclusively within the boundaries of the	23	now done that. There is a live docket happening in
24	reservation?	24	11-087. So for this Commission to continue with this
25	MR. KNUDSON: I don't believe it does, sir.	25	case when the ultimate result is going to be you're going
	22		24
1	CHAIRMAN NELSON: So is that question not	1	to issue a decision saying we need to seek a Certificate
2	already answered?	2	of Authority, that's already been done.
3	MR. KNUDSON: I think as a practical matter it	3	So I understand your concern about potentially
4	probably has been.	4	dismissing the Certificate of Authority action, but you
5	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.	5	have to look at the four corners of this case. NAT has
6	Other questions from the Commission?	6	already done what Sprint wanted, and that's to seek a
7	Mr. Hanson. Commissioner Hanson.	7	Certificate of Authority.
8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Works. It shows our	8	So the Certificate of Authority matter is
9	age difference.	9	entirely different from the mootness issues in this case.
10	It's an interesting point that you make, you	10	That's why I don't believe this case can move forward.
11	know, about the traffic, that excludes that one little	11	The issues are moot because that Certificate of Authority
12	tiny piece. And maybe we'll be back here in future years	12	application is pending.
13	going over that.	13	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
14	Mr. Swier, I'm concerned with ambiguities, and	14 15	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional questions.
15 16	there seems to be a number of ambiguities in responses to Sprint's well, to the requirements that you reply to	16	I have one additional for Mr. Knudson.
17			Regarding the point 4, the monetary damages, Mr. Swier
	document requests.	17	states that the monetary damages are undisputed by
18 19	Primarily right now I'm concerned with the COA	18 19	Sprint.
20	and the fact that you state that you've applied for a COA but yet the NAT has applied for a COA in the past and	20	Do you concur with that?
20	withdrawn that COA.	20	MR. KNUDSON: I would say yes, we're in agreement as to the amount that Sprint had paid NAT for
21	We can be in the this can be a never ending	21	which it would seek a refund.
22	story going back and forth and back and forth if we	22	CHAIRMAN NELSON: But your issue is really the
23 24	choose to dismiss this at this time without having	23	precedential value of a ruling on that; is that
24	accomplished all of the or at least disposed of all of	24	correct?
	Accomplished all of the of at least disposed of all of Page 21 t		

	25		27
1	MR. KNUDSON: Yes. It expands to the larger	1	MS. AILTS WIEST: So with respect to your fourth
2	issue. And I would like to address the question of	2	request for relief awarding money damages, is that
3	Commissioner Hanson, if I may.	3	essentially gone?
4	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.	4	Have you agreed on the amount of money damages?
5	MR. KNUDSON: I think it's important and here on	5	MR. KNUDSON: Well, I don't think we're
6	the bigger picture issue, Sprint is engaged in a	6	disputing the amount of money damages. But it's not gone
7	jurisdictional fight with NAT as to who regulates NAT	7	in the sense that if you're looking at mootness, that
8	within the State of South Dakota.	8	issue still is out there, and the question is haven't
9	And it brought this action in 10-26. And then	9	been paid, what about the invoices that were sent to
10	as you point out, NAT, which had previously withdrawn its	10	Sprint that added up to several thousand dollars. Those
11	application for a Certificate of Authority, then files	11	also are an issue in this case.
12	one in 11-087. But as the Commission knows, now NAT has	12	They say we will waive our right to enforce
13	appealed your rulings on discovery and intervention in	13	that. That waiver isn't binding going forward. So under
14	that case tying that docket up while it sits in Buffalo	14	the Mootness Doctrine and the Kidder Peabody case, which
15	County Circuit Court.	15	is cited in our Reply Brief on the Motion to Compel which
16	But what you know, if that appeal goes	16	is also the mootness argument so they can't just say
17	adverse, what is to prevent NAT from then withdrawing its	17	we will voluntarily quit the offending conduct and then
18	application in 11-87?	18	try to deprive this Commission of jurisdiction. Kidder
19	So I think what we need to do is tie 11-87 and	19	Peabody
20	10-26 together because they intersect on this issue as to	20	The other case we cited, the Friends of the
21	whether or not NAT has to have a Certificate of Authority	21	Earth case from the U.S. Supreme Court comes from the
22	and whether it's entitled to such a Certificate of	22	same principle, which is sure you're in compliance now
23	Authority given its financial picture, its prior conduct,	23	but you might not be in compliance with your permit in
24	bring this all together.	24	the future. The court still has jurisdiction to rule on
25	I point out that what we say in the prayer for	25	the request and enforce the terms.
	26		28
1	relief is there must be a lawful tariff on file with the	1	So I think on mootness grounds we feel confident
2	Commission. You need a Certificate of Authority to have	2	that this case and this Commission has jurisdiction to
3	that happen. We're asking for a practical construction	3	move forward on 10-26 as well as 11-87.
4	of that request for relief. Let's bring this all	4	MS. AILTS WIEST: But you're not contesting the
5	together.	5	actual amounts that NAT has come up with, with respect to the refund amount?
6	I would also point out that the discovery being sought in 10-26 overlaps with the discovery being sought	6	MR. KNUDSON: No, we're not.
8	of NAT in 09-098, which is the South Dakota Network case.	8	MS. AILTS WIEST: So the parties could agree on
9	And before the Commission you heard the parties come to	9	that damages issue; right?
10	an agreement as to NAT's going to provide discovery in	10	MR. KNUDSON: Well, we are saying it's not
11	10-26 and Sprint would hold in abeyance any effort to	11	this is not simply a case about money damages.
12	enforce a subpoena on that in 09-098.	12	MS. AILTS WIEST: Right. I'm only speaking with
13	So these all come together. That's one of the	13	respect to your number 4 request for relief.
14	practical considerations the Commission should have in	14	MR. KNUDSON: That's right. We know what we
15	denying this Motion to Dismiss.	15	paid improperly unnecessarily to NAT, and that's the
16	Because you can reach the end result determining	16	amount of money we seek as a refund under this
17	what is the effect of NAT's failure to have a Certificate	17	proceeding.
18	of Authority when you decide whether or not to grant it	18	MS. AILTS WIEST: And given the pending COA in
19	or deny it in 11-87 and then address that issue in 10-26	19	the other case, would it make sense to just hold this
20	at the same time.	20	case in abeyance at this point?
21	Meanwhile, we'd like to get our discovery done	21	I understand your point on the 09-098 and
22	in 10-26.	22	discovery, but you can proceed with the discovery
23	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional questions.	23	request, I would assume, in that docket.
24		24	It's not necessarily depend on this case, is
24	MS. AILTS WIEST: I do.		

	29		31
1	MR. KNUDSON: Well, I think that the Commission	1	motions to move forward on this issue? And if there are
2	should look from the point of view of whether or not it	2	not, we will go to take arguments on
3	would be economizing any of its resources if we get a	3	MS. AILTS WIEST: Karen.
4	decision in 10-26 to require NAT to produce the discovery	4	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Oh, yes. I apologize,
5	it promised to produce, we don't have to do it in 09-098.	5	Ms. Cremer.
6	So you either do it once in 10-26 or you have to	6	MS. CREMER: No. And I really don't want to
7	do it 09-098. We won't be sparing the Commission of any	7	weigh in on any of this, quite frankly, other than to say
8	time or effort in seeking that discovery. So I think we	8	I would just note, you know, your concern about the
9	as a practical matter can tie 10-26 with 11-87, move	9	NAT withdrawing their COA in TC11-087, I would note we
10	forward with the discovery in 10-26, which solves the	10	have a rule, and it's 20:10:01:02.4, and that is the
11	issue in 09-098, and gets a consolidated, coordinated	11	withdrawal and dismissal of a Pleading prior to a final
12	ruling on these jurisdictional issues, the implications	12	order.
13	of operating without a Certificate of Authority.	13	And what it says in there is the Commission a
14	And if the Commission were to deny the request,	14	Pleading may be dismissed or withdrawn prior to the
15	then a clear ruling on that point, it's a legal effect	15	filing prior to the entry of a final order by the
16	within the State of South Dakota would be important. So	16	Commission if a stipulated agreement is filed and the
17	we don't see NAT running to tribal court to try to seek	17	Commission does not find that the public interest
18	some kind of relief under its tribal tariff.	18	requires the proceeding to be continued.
19	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Swier, would you like to	19	My point being they could apply to withdraw, but
20	address Ms. Wiest's abeyance suggestion?	20	you don't have to grant that for the COA. So I don't
21	MR. SWIER: Well, I think that that's a	21	know if that if that helps with any concerns on that
22	potential practical idea. But here's my argument with	22	at all.
23	that is you cannot keep a case in abeyance when there is	23	As to the economizing of resources, that has not
24	no further relief to be provided.	24	been the case here, putting these all together. Clearly
25	I mean, in this case there is simply no other	25	that has not worked. I can almost guarantee that no
	30		32
			52
1	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a	1	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to
1 2		1 2	
	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper.		matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to
2	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we	2	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the
2 3 4 5	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other	2 3 4 5	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I
2 3 4 5 6	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets.	2 3 4 5 6	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over.
2 3 4 5 6 7	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case,	2 3 4 5 6 7	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what it would be if we just continued to proceed in each case	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release saying that there will be no back-billing and some sort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what it would be if we just continued to proceed in each case individually.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release saying that there will be no back-billing and some sort of a something, stipulation, settlement, something, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what it would be if we just continued to proceed in each case individually. And there's simply nothing here that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release saying that there will be no back-billing and some sort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what it would be if we just continued to proceed in each case individually.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release saying that there will be no back-billing and some sort of a something, stipulation, settlement, something, that would prohibit that back-billing that they seem to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what it would be if we just continued to proceed in each case individually. And there's simply nothing here that the Commission can give Sprint anymore. The case is over in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release saying that there will be no back-billing and some sort of a something, stipulation, settlement, something, that would prohibit that back-billing that they seem to be concerned about. So if that can I wouldn't dismiss at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	relief the Commission can provide here. So to keep a docket open when the Commission knows that the matters are moot I think is improper. I think the case should just be dismissed and we can deal with the other dockets, you know, in those other dockets. But when we're looking at this particular case, I think dismissal based on mootness is the actually the most practical way to go here. Because the issues in those other cases are different than what they are in 10-26. So it would seem for economy reasons that this case should be dismissed, that we should proceed with 09-098 in that docket, we should proceed with the CLEC application in 11-87 in that docket. To all the sudden take this docket now and meld it into the other dockets I think is not only improper but it's going to make it even more of a mess than what it would be if we just continued to proceed in each case individually. And there's simply nothing here that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	matter what they respond in discovery it's not going to fit TC09-098. And so I just don't buy that argument at all. As to Sprint's first issue, I think the Commission's jurisdiction has clearly been decided, and I think that has been gone over. I guess the Commission, if this would satisfy Sprint in order to wrap this up and make this docket go away, you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes found in Chapter 49 and receive a COA from this Commission and file a tariff before it can offer intrastate services in South Dakota. And if that satisfies Sprint, that appears that's what I heard them saying. Well, then that might help this go away. The other thing is write the check for \$281 and cash it, and then you've got your money. And I find it hard to believe that Sprint's attorneys cannot come up with some sort of a release saying that there will be no back-billing and some sort of a something, stipulation, settlement, something, that would prohibit that back-billing that they seem to be concerned about.

	33		35
1	very little that the Commission can offer here.	1	cycle and never getting that ultimately resolved. That
2	The other thing would be discovery. I'm not	2	is literally my problem, my only hang-up at this point
3	clear at all what discovery is needed or what's it needed	3	with dismissing this.
4	for in this docket. I get it that they need it for their	4	I am very intrigued with Ms. Wiest's suggestion
5	other dockets, but I'm not sure this is the proper forum	5	that perhaps this needs to be held in abeyance while some
6	to get discovery in another matter.	6	of these others move forward and we actually see how the
7	Thank you.	7	COA application turns out.
8	CHAIRMAN NELSON: I was most intrigued by your	8	I guess those are my thoughts at this point.
9	comment that you felt we could make a declaration on	9	Other discussion?
10	point number 3.	10	COMMISSIONER FIEGEN: Mr. Chairman, I think a
11	Can we make that as part of a Motion to	11	lot of us would love to dismiss this case today, but I
12	Dismiss?	12	don't believe we can because the COA is something that we
13	MS. CREMER: Is it point 2 or 3? I don't think	13	have to make sure that we have and that NAT abides by the
14	the Commission can declare and I should have probably	14	rules of this state in Chapter 49.
15	made that clear the jurisdiction of another	15	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair.
16	Commission. I don't think you can say	16	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
17	CHAIRMAN NELSON: No. I'm on point 3. Talking	17	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I agree with you fully, as
18	about making a declaration that they must seek a	18	I expressed earlier, and that is the one issue that
19	Certificate of Authority.	19	pushed me over the ledge, so to speak. Plus that fact
20	MS. CREMER: Yeah. I think you can do that.	20	that if we're going to continue on any road with this, we
21	It's a given. You know, everybody has to follow our	21	can't dismiss it. If we are going to pursue any of the
22	statutes and that, but if that would make Sprint feel	22	other issues in this docket, then whether it's discovery
23	better and be amenable to dismissing that, then I think	23	or anything else, we have to deal with dismissal.
24	you could declare that NAT must abide by the statutes	24	If we're going to look at anything else further,
25	found in Chapter 49 and receive a Certificate of	25	then we have to have the docket open. So I just feel
	34		36
1	Authority before it can offer intrastate services. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Okay. Questions of Staff.	1	that we cannot dismiss it. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Ms. Wiest.
3	Okay. At this point I will ask if there are any	3	MS. AILTS WIEST: The Commission may want to
4	motions in regard to this Motion to Dismiss. And if	4	take this under advisement, perhaps consistent with what
5	there are not, then we will move very quickly and take	5	Staff has stated. I don't know if Staff wanted to try to
6	arguments on discovery.	6	work with the parties with respect to that issue on
7	Seeing none Commissioner Hanson.	7	damages or that declaration, but it might be helpful if
8	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I still feel	8	that was explored before the Commission ruled on either
9	that there are for a person who's not interested in	9	Motion.
10	weighing in, you certainly weighed in with some real	10	CHAIRMAN NELSON: I will just that makes
11	interesting positions. And not that I disagree with	11	sense to me. If there's any possible way of pairing this
12	them, but I still believe that there are unresolved	12	thing down, I would like to give an opportunity to pursue
13	issues with this particular docket, and for that reason I	13	that.
14	would at least like to float the Motion and see what	14	I'm going to offer a substitute Motion to Defer
15	see where it comes down.	15	action on the Motion to Dismiss for two weeks. And my
16	Mr. Chairman, I will move in this docket in	16	only purpose in that is to give Staff and the parties an
17	TC10-026 that the Commission does not grant Native	17	opportunity to try to pare this down.
18	American Telecom's LLC's Motion to Dismiss.	18	Further discussion on the Substitute Motion?
19	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Discussion on the Motion?	19	Commissioner Hanson.
20	Let me I am very close to moving to dismiss.	20	COMMISSIONER HANSON: I appreciate that offer,
			and I don't know that I'd he enneged to it. But am I
21	My biggest hang-up is what Commissioner Hanson has	21	and I don't know that I'd be opposed to it. But am I
21 22	My biggest hang-up is what Commissioner Hanson has already talked about, the fact that NAT at one point	22	incorrect that in order to do anything further, we have
21 22 23	My biggest hang-up is what Commissioner Hanson has already talked about, the fact that NAT at one point applied for a COA and then withdrew it and has now come	22 23	incorrect that in order to do anything further, we have to deal with the Motion to Dismiss?
21 22	My biggest hang-up is what Commissioner Hanson has already talked about, the fact that NAT at one point	22	incorrect that in order to do anything further, we have

	37		39
1	continuing?	1	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. We are then on to
2	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Essentially we would be	2	the Motion to Compel.
3	ignoring that Motion.	3	Mr. Knudson.
4	The reason that I wanted to open it up for	4	MR. KNUDSON: Given the tenor of the discussion
5	motions at this point is that if a Motion to if a	5	on the Motion to Dismiss, I'm reluctant to pound the
6	Motion from this Commission to actually dismiss passed,	6	table on the Motion to Compel because it's not clear to
7	then we wouldn't have to go any further.	7	me where you're going with respect to 10-26. But let me
8	But if we defer ruling on that, we can still	8	tell you why we believe we're entitled to this
9	take action on well, take argument and/or action on	9	discovery.
10	the additional question.	10	And it comes up in the context of why there is a
11	MS. AILTS WIEST: Right. I would I'm sorry.	11	bigger picture here, and you can't just put blinders on
12	COMMISSIONER HANSON: We'd still be doing that,	12	and deal with 10-26 without considering what else is
13	though. That's where I'm trying to I mean, you're	13	going on before the Commission on these parties.
14	leaving the docket open. We can always deal with a	14	We've articulated why we believe the case is not
15	dismissal in the future.	15	moot. In that context Sprint's entitled to discovery set
16	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Let me ask our counsel that	16	out as described in our opening Brief. And that Brief
17	question.	17	then, of course, goes through the request that the
18	If we were to support Commissioner Hanson's	18	parties agreed NAT would comply to. This was agreed to
19	original Motion to Deny that Motion to Dismiss, would we	19	in front of the Commission and read into the record.
20	be able to reopen that on our own Motion at a later time,	20	NAT's obligations to respond are not
21	or would that take a Motion from NAT?	21	discretionary with NAT. They're mandatory. And we cite
22	MR. SWIER: This is Mr. Swier.	22	the Schwartz case from the South Dakota Supreme Court.
23	I think from a procedural standpoint the	23	And that Court affirmed Circuit Court's dismissal of the
24	Commission could always go back and reconsider any	24	case when the Plaintiff refused to answer questions about
25	decision that it could make either at the request of the	25	his drug use in a personal injury action.
	38		40
1	parties or on the Commission's own volition.	1	And the Court said you don't get to choose which
2	MS. AILTS WIEST: At this	2	discovery you want to answer, unless you get an order
3	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you for that.	3	from the Court saying you don't have to answer it. And
4	Ms. Wiest.	4	in <u>Schwartz</u> , he was ordered to answer those questions.
5	MS. AILTS WIEST: I think at this point it would	5	The Plaintiff refused. The case was dismissed.
6	be preferable to actually not vote and defer voting on	6	Here we have a situation where we've got a
7	the Motion to Dismiss and on the Motion to Compel, though	7	representation and a promise to you that NAT will provide
8	we certainly can hear arguments on the Motion to Compel,	8	this discovery, and that's still the agreement that was
9	rather than voting on the Motion to Dismiss and perhaps	9	what Sprint exceeded to in November of last year.
10	reconsidering later.	10	So what we've received thus far with respect to
11 12	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Additional discussion on the substitute Motion to Defer action on	11 12	those discovery responses were wholly inadequate. And I
13	the Motion to Dismiss for two weeks.	12	just have to say you cannot allow a party to flout the rules of discovery before the Commission.
14	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chairman, I think it's	14	And so I think it's important to take a stand on
15	procedurally incorrect for us to pursue that particular	15	Sprint's Motion to Compel and send a message to NAT,
16	avenue.	16	which would be a message to other parties before the
17	However, in order to remove any consternation at	17	Commission going forward.
18		18	Also you might have noticed this before earlier
1	the desk. I will remove my Motion at this time, and we		
19	the desk, I will remove my Motion at this time, and we can continue with the docket, not withstanding the fact	19	
19 20	can continue with the docket, not withstanding the fact		that you do have the parallel discovery served on NAT by
		19	that you do have the parallel discovery served on NAT by way of subpoena in the SDN case. So if you defer on our
20	can continue with the docket, not withstanding the fact that there's a a Motion by or a request to move by Native American to dismiss it.	19 20	that you do have the parallel discovery served on NAT by
20 21	can continue with the docket, not withstanding the fact that there's a a Motion by or a request to move by	19 20 21	that you do have the parallel discovery served on NAT by way of subpoena in the SDN case. So if you defer on our Motion to Compel in 10-26, which if you're not going to
20 21 22	can continue with the docket, not withstanding the fact that there's a a Motion by or a request to move by Native American to dismiss it. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Understood. I will remove my	19 20 21 22	that you do have the parallel discovery served on NAT by way of subpoena in the SDN case. So if you defer on our Motion to Compel in 10-26, which if you're not going to grant it, I would urge you to defer it because we don't
20 21 22 23	can continue with the docket, not withstanding the fact that there's a a Motion by or a request to move by Native American to dismiss it. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Understood. I will remove my substitute Motion first and then you can remove yours and	19 20 21 22 23	that you do have the parallel discovery served on NAT by way of subpoena in the SDN case. So if you defer on our Motion to Compel in 10-26, which if you're not going to grant it, I would urge you to defer it because we don't need any ruling on the merits denying it when we want to

	41		43
1	date, I think we will.	1	ultimately you dismiss it. So at this point I would hold
2	And I think as part of the prophylactic need to	2	this one also.
3	keep parties focused on their compliance with these rules	3	But maybe the parties if Sprint doesn't feel
4	Sprint has sought an award of attorneys' fees because	4	NAT has responded fully enough and that's somewhat
5	we've been back before the Commission, been back before	5	their Motion to Compel. You know, they have done that.
6	the Commission to get this discovery.	6	I don't know where they are on the standoff there.
7	You shouldn't allow NAT to continue to flout its	7	You know, I too got the CD with all of their
8	obligations under the rules and to this Commission; and,	8	responses. I don't know if that adequately addresses.
9	therefore, we urge you to grant our Motion, but in the	9	Or once they've reviewed all that material is the Motion
10	context of what's going on in 10-26 if you're not going	10	to Compel what's left?
11	to grant it, then don't deny it. Just defer it.	11	Again, a lot of it seems to go to the other
12	Thank you.	12	dockets, and I wish we could just keep a bright line
13	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.	13	division between those dockets and everyone just pursue
14	Mr. Swier.	14	what they need in those dockets rather than just this
15	MR. SWIER: Thank you, Mr. Chair.	15	mixing and matching it's like a shotgun approach, and
16	First of all, I want to address the inadequacy	16	it just has not worked well here.
17	allegation from Sprint. Pursuant to our agreement, we	17	And so I guess, both parties, respond more
18	provided Sprint with a CD full of responsive materials.	18	fully, and if you've got an objection, file it and let's
19	So to say that we just ignored the agreement is	19	hear it. And that's about all I can say.
20	absolutely incorrect. We've provided a CD full of	20	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Rebuttal from Sprint.
21	information to Sprint.	21	MR. KNUDSON: Thank you.
22	Whether Sprint thinks it's inadequate or not is	22	With respect to the question of the adequacy of
23	another issue. We believe much of their discovery has	23	responses, we wouldn't have brought this Motion if we
24	also been wholly inadequate.	24	thought we had gotten adequate responses.
25	Nonetheless, put that issue to the side a	25	We've been through the CDs, voluminouses of
	42		44
1	moment. What we're dealing with here is the case that we	1	it depends on who's making the claim. It's not that
2	believe is clearly moot. NAT has no obligation to	2	large a quantity of documents. The checking account
3	provide any further discovery in a case that is moot.	3 4	records for a year and a half stopping at the end of 2010
4	That's just something that from a common sense perspective you don't keep litigating a case when there's	5	only partially respond to our requests, and they're not brought up to date.
6	no further remedy that can be provided by the	6	So we think we've laid out in our opening Brief
7	decision-making body.	7	why the responses were inadequate on their face. So it's
8	So, again, I don't think that we can really take	8	not like we've not looked at this material but when we
9	up this issue in this particular docket. If Sprint has a	9	tried to meet and confer we're basically saying we're not
10	problem with NAT's production in 09-098, they can proceed	10	going to produce anything more than we've produced and so
11	in that case. If Sprint has a problem with NAT's	11	that's why we're here on that Motion.
12	production in the CLEC application, then it can proceed	12	, Now if the Commission thinks it needs to defer
13	in that case.	13	on 10-26, then we'll have to revisit the issue in the
14	But, number one, we think that we have provided	14	other docket to see what we want. But the discovery
15	more than adequate material to Sprint. And, number two,	15	overlaps very much. And because there may be different
16	again, because this case is clearly moot, we have no	16	issues in each docket, the information being sought can
17	further obligation to provide voluminous discovery in a	17	relate to a number of issues.
18	case that's moot.	18	And the test is for whether they have the
19	So that would be my position on the discovery	19	proper request is whether they're reasonably calculated
20	issue.	20	to lead to discovery of admissible evidence. It's a very
21	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.	21	liberal and broad standard. And you can ask for
22	Staff. Didn't forget you on this one.	22	information that's going to relate to a number of issues.
1		23	And it may be the same information but it can be used to
23	MS. CREMER: I wish you had.		-
23 24	MS. CREMER: I wish you had. Again, until a decision is made in the Motion to Dismiss, it would be difficult to order this granted if	24 25	address different legal issues that are being raised in these three dockets.

	45		47
1	So we do believe that we're entitled to this	1	MR. KNUDSON: In terms of the refund being
2	discovery. We've pushed to get this information. We	2	sought?
3	thought we would get it, and we have not. And I think	3	MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes. The entire damages
4	the Commission has to keep in mind that these rules are	4	issue.
5	to be followed. We can't permit this kind of conduct to	5	MR. KNUDSON: No. We're not fighting over that
6	go on, even in this docket.	6	part of the case.
7	Thank you.	7	MS. AILTS WIEST: None of these requests when
8	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Questions from the	8	you're talking about bills and those kind of things are
9	Commission?	9	related to your damages request?
10	MR. SWIER: Mr. Chair, could I respond to that	10	MR. KNUDSON: They go more to what the
11	briefly?	11	jurisdiction of the Commission with respect to NAT's
12	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Certainly.	12	activities within the State of South Dakota.
13	MR. SWIER: You know, I don't know exactly what	13	MS. AILTS WIEST: I would also note that trying
14	kind of conduct that Sprint is alleging that NAT has not	14	to go through this and analyze it it's somewhat of a
15	done here. We have provided them with discovery. We	15	problem because, for example, in Document Request No. 4
16	obviously have issues with their discovery also.	16	the response was attached to Exhibit 6, and then you
17	It was our feeling that because this case is	17	state what they did didn't produce but I'm not sure what
18	moot, that no discovery was needed. Now we know for sure	18	they did produce in certain cases because their actual
19	that three out of the four issues that's in their	19	exhibits with respect to their responses were not
20	Amended Complaint, those are taken care of. So clearly	20	included.
21	any discovery that relates to the jurisdictional issues	21	MR. KNUDSON: Well, if I understood correctly
22	or the damages issues, that clearly is moot.	22	from Ms. Cremer, Staff did have our responses.
23	So we're under no obligation to supplement our	23	No. 4, to produce minutes, meetings,
24	discovery with regard to issues that are clearly moot.	24	resolutions, and NAT's bylaws. And, quite candidly,
25	And I think everybody agrees that the jurisdictional	25	that's not very difficult to understand. And other than
	46		
		4	48
1	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page	1	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any
2	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there.	2	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None.
2 3	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're	2 3	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I
2 3 4	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of	2 3 4	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I
2 3 4 5	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that	2 3 4 5	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't
2 3 4 5 6	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say	2 3 4 5 6	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then
2 3 4 5 6 7	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to
2 3 4 5 6	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect.	2 3 4 5 6	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely incorrect. And I just want to make sure that that's on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to respond to this discovery request so why are you bringing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely incorrect. And I just want to make sure that that's on the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to respond to this discovery request so why are you bringing them up now, I guess?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely incorrect. And I just want to make sure that that's on the record. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to respond to this discovery request so why are you bringing them up now, I guess? MR. SWIER: Well, because when you look at this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely incorrect. And I just want to make sure that that's on the record. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Ms. Wiest.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to respond to this discovery request so why are you bringing them up now, I guess? MR. SWIER: Well, because when you look at this from a business decision, we didn't know at the time that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely incorrect. And I just want to make sure that that's on the record. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Ms. Wiest. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would have a question for Sprint first. Are there any of the outstanding data requests	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to respond to this discovery request so why are you bringing them up now, I guess? MR. SWIER: Well, because when you look at this from a business decision, we didn't know at the time that Sprint's damages in this case were \$281. And when you look at this from just a purely common sense business perspective, why would we continue after we've provided
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and the damages issues, everybody's on the same page there. So just from a practical perspective, we're under no obligation to expend tens of thousands of dollars to provide supplemental discovery on issues that aren't even teed up, so to speak, anymore. So to say that we've been shirking our responsibility and we're not following the rules is absolutely incorrect. What's happening here is Sprint wants to obtain discovery in other cases that either, number one, it hasn't been able to obtain based primarily on Federal Court rulings, and, number two, they're simply trying to once again keep this case alive so that they can simply beat down on NAT requiring supplemental discovery. But, again, to say that we're not following the rules and we're playing games is absolutely incorrect. And I just want to make sure that that's on the record. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Ms. Wiest. MS. AILTS WIEST: I would have a question for Sprint first.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	getting the LLC Operating Agreement, we don't get any minutes of any meetings or resolutions. None. MS. AILTS WIEST: Well, my question was just I just didn't know what they had included in Exhibit 6. I understand that Staff may have received it, but I don't believe it was filed in the Commission's docket. So then I wouldn't have received it. And I don't have access to what Staff has. And maybe a question for Mr. Swier is if I understand the time line correctly, I believe that NAT agreed to respond to this discovery request after the Commission issued its Decision and after the Circuit Court issued its Decision. So why weren't your mootness arguments that you actually made before you guys settled this issue they didn't seem to matter when you came to an agreement to respond to this discovery request so why are you bringing them up now, I guess? MR. SWIER: Well, because when you look at this from a business decision, we didn't know at the time that Sprint's damages in this case were \$281. And when you look at this from just a purely common sense business

	49		51
1	Sprint's total claim there was \$281. We offered them,	1	whether or not NAT was operating illegally in the State
2	look, we'll pay you the \$281 and the case goes away.	2	of South Dakota. And so that's the nature of the
3	At the time the original production was provided	3	discovery we're seeking. And that's what the discovery
4	we didn't know what those damages were. We assumed that	4	was directed toward.
5	they'd be substantially larger than \$281. But when they	5	For example, requesting the minutes and bylaws
6	were \$281 NAT made the business decision that rather than	6	could go to determining what kind of business decisions
7	proceed with tens of thousands of dollars in attorneys	7	NAT was making, whether it was electing to go forward
8	fees, it would pay the \$281, which I think any good	8	without a Certificate of Authority because it thought it
9	business person would probably do.	9	could hide under the jurisdiction of the tribal court and
10	So from the time that we made that voluminous	10	not deflect any inquiry under state law.
11	initial production to this Motion NAT made a business	11	Alternatively, to say that if we get pushed back
12	decision not to fight over \$281. That's why the Motion	12	in a state area, we can just tender back the small amount
13	for mootness wasn't brought until it was.	13	of money we seem to have collected and force Sprint to go
14	MS. AILTS WIEST: And exactly when did you	14	away before the PUC. I mean, that's another kind of
15	discover that?	15	business decision we could find out if they produced any
16	MR. SWIER: That it was \$281?	16	minutes of their board meetings.
17	MS. AILTS WIEST: Yes.	17	So that's the kind of discovery. We narrowed it
18	MR. SWIER: It would have been in an e-mail from	18	down, and that's what we were seeking to establish. So
19	Mr. Schenkenberg dated Friday, February 24, 2012, at	19	to say NAT did not know until Mr. Schenkenberg told them
20	12:07 p.m. And our initial production was done, I	20	what we believe the dollar amount was, well, it's based
21	believe, in December of 2011.	21	on the bills we got from NAT, and those are from NAT's
22	MS. AILTS WIEST: So you had not checked your	22	own billing agent. And so to say we didn't know is they
23	own records to see what was owed prior to that time?	23	didn't look. But that's, you know, what we're trying to
24	MR. SWIER: Well, I think what the deal was is	24	do with our discovery.
25	if we would have checked our own records, based on the	25	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Thank you. Additional
	50		52
1	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint	1	questions.
2	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why	2	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair.
2 3	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do	2 3	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson.
2 3 4	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?	2 3 4	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in
2 3 4 5	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no	2 3 4 5	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and
2 3 4 5 6	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim?	2 3 4 5 6	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with
2 3 4 5 6 7	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any	2 3 4 5 6 7	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd like to know which of your discovery issues go to points 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd like to know which of your discovery issues go to points No. 1 and 2 on jurisdiction? MR. KNUDSON: Well, using the touchstone as to what the standard for discovery requests is, which is the liberal one I mentioned earlier, what we believe here is that the discovery that was the subset that was presented 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a slap in the face to the Commission to refuse to work with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a slap in the face to the Commission to refuse to work with the other parties in a discovery because this is an open
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd like to know which of your discovery issues go to points No. 1 and 2 on jurisdiction? MR. KNUDSON: Well, using the touchstone as to what the standard for discovery requests is, which is the liberal one I mentioned earlier, what we believe here is that the discovery that was the subset that was presented to the Commission which relates to NAT's business dealings in the State of South Dakota go to issues 1 and 2 and that we were seeking discovery as to how many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a slap in the face to the Commission to refuse to work with the other parties in a discovery because this is an open docket.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd like to know which of your discovery issues go to points No. 1 and 2 on jurisdiction? MR. KNUDSON: Well, using the touchstone as to what the standard for discovery requests is, which is the liberal one I mentioned earlier, what we believe here is that the discovery that was the subset that was presented to the Commission which relates to NAT's business dealings in the State of South Dakota go to issues 1 and 2 and that we were seeking discovery as to how many customers, where were they located, is there any business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, Have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a slap in the face to the Commission to refuse to work with the other parties in a discovery because this is an open docket. You have an agreement. The Commission placed a </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd like to know which of your discovery issues go to points No. 1 and 2 on jurisdiction? MR. KNUDSON: Well, using the touchstone as to what the standard for discovery requests is, which is the liberal one I mentioned earlier, what we believe here is that the discovery that was the subset that was presented to the Commission which relates to NAT's business dealings in the State of South Dakota go to issues 1 and 2 and that we were seeking discovery as to how many customers, where were they located, is there any business wholly within the reservation, do you have customers who	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, Have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a slap in the face to the Commission to refuse to work with the other parties in a discovery because this is an open docket. You have an agreement. The Commission placed a Sprint Motion to Compel on the agenda in November 22 of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	way these dockets have been going, I don't think Sprint probably would have agreed with our numbers. That's why I specifically asked Sprint in February, hey, how much do you guys actually claim that we owe you? MS. AILTS WIEST: And prior to that you had no idea what you had owed them on the intrastate claim? MR. SWIER: No, we hadn't been provided any discovery from them on what their damages were. MS. AILTS WIEST: That's all I have. CHAIRMAN NELSON: If I could ask Sprint a similar question to what Ms. Wiest asked, she asked which of your discovery issues went to point No. 4. And I'd like to know which of your discovery issues go to points No. 1 and 2 on jurisdiction? MR. KNUDSON: Well, using the touchstone as to what the standard for discovery requests is, which is the liberal one I mentioned earlier, what we believe here is that the discovery that was the subset that was presented to the Commission which relates to NAT's business dealings in the State of South Dakota go to issues 1 and 2 and that we were seeking discovery as to how many customers, where were they located, is there any business	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>questions. COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Chair. CHAIRMAN NELSON: Commissioner Hanson. COMMISSIONER HANSON: When we write letters in response, at least when I do, in response to issues and I'm going to send a letter to the editor I often sit with that letter for a day or two before I send it. We're not given that opportunity here during these meetings, and sometimes I wonder if my feelings of being compelled to speak whether they're well thought out or not. And so I try not to say some things I may regret later. Having prefaced my remarks with that, Mr. Swier, I have to say thank you to you for arguing my point that we need to first deal with the Motion to Dismiss first because you're going to use that and simply continue to say you won't respond because the issues are moot and because the Commission has not made that decision. And I'm frustrated with that. I think it's a slap in the face to the Commission to refuse to work with the other parties in a discovery because this is an open docket. You have an agreement. The Commission placed a</pre>

	53		55
1	that NAT would produce considerable amount of	1	The fact of the matter is whether you like NAT
2	information, that counsel for Sprint read that agreement	2	or not, this is a purely legal decision regarding our
3	into the record. We have that. It's very lengthy.	3	Motion here. And to say that we've been playing games
4	It comprises the Interrogatories that you have	4	without really knowing what's been going on behind the
5	given some answer to, but your answers are ambiguous.	5	scenes I think is extremely unfortunate.
6	They are open to interpretation. They do not succinctly	6	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Mr. Swier, so that we
7	state or answer the questions that were submitted to	7	don't get into too much of a match in each other's pocket
8	you.	8	here, I'm just simply going to say that I have read the
9	I think it's disingenuous to argue that we	9	Interrogatories. I have read the requests. I have read
10	should ignore past bad behavior and then basically	10	the responses.
11	continue with that bad behavior. And I'm really	11	I have seen how ambiguous and how
12	disappointed in hearing your arguments because they are	12	multi-interpretive they are. And that is not by
13	so circular. And this has been going on for such a long	13	accident. And refusal to answer additional questions is
14	period of time, and I don't think we're going to resolve	14	not by accident either.
15	things in a fashion that in good business practices that	15	Your actions speak much, much louder than your
16	you would resolve.	16	words in this particular situation. And you can say it's
17	You say it's only that there's no question on	17	disingenuous, but it's based on fact, black-and-white, on
18	the dollar amount. It's pretty simple to write the check	18	the papers that have been filed.
19	and get that taken care of. There are matters that will	19	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
20	remain unresolved until the Commission just takes the	20	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Additional questions.
21	action basically. And we've gone through the court	21	I'm going to take just a moment.
22	process. You appeal whatever we make for a	22	(Pause)
23	determination.	23	CHAIRMAN NELSON: At this point we are open to
24	It's obvious that you are not working to resolve	24	any motions from the Commission.
25	this at least it's extremely obvious to me that you	25	I will tell you my thought at this point would
	54		56
1	are not genuinely, sincerely working to resolve the	1	be to take this under advisement for two weeks. But this
2	issues that exist here. Otherwise, they would have been	2	is a time for any motions if anybody would like to.
3	resolved some period of time ago.	3	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Like to?
4	And, Mr. Swier, if you consider that a question,	4	CHAIRMAN NELSON: You knew who I was talking to.
5	then I'm sure I would enjoy listening to your response	5	COMMISSIONER HANSON: Compelled to, like to.
6	again.	6	Seeing no motions, we will take this under
7	Thank you, Mr. Chair.	7	advisement.
8	CHAIRMAN NELSON: Mr. Swier, would you care to	8	I think anybody that has watched me for a while
9 10	respond?	9 10	knows I don't like to let these things hang around very
11	MR. SWIER: Well, I'm not going to respond because, number one, as far as what we've done to work	11	long. And so I would hope over the next couple of weeks we could maybe resolve some of these issues and, if not,
12	with parties, to be perfectly honest I don't think that	12	come back and deal with the questions that were asked
13	Commissioner Hanson is privy to any of that and how we	13	today.
14	have tried to work with Sprint.	14	I appreciate both parties and their assistance
15	This is not a one-way street when it comes to	15	to us today to try to bring this to a close.
16	discovery disputes and disputes. We have tried to make	16	MR. KNUDSON: Thank you.
17	this case as clear for the Commission as possible. The	17	
18	fact is you have no other authority to order anything	18	
19	else under this docket.	19	
20	So to say that we aren't working with Sprint, to	20	
21	say that we are playing games, I think is extremely	21	
22	disingenuous of anybody who would claim that. With all	22	
23	due respect. And these kind of claims have been made	23	
24	against NAT since the beginning of this docket, which is	24	
25	incredibly unfortunate.	25	
07/2/	2/2012 03·47·50 PM Page 5	3 to 56 (nf 57 14 of 24 sheet

	57
1	STATE OF SOUTH DAKOTA)
2	:SS CERTIFICATE
3	COUNTY OF SULLY)
4	,
5	I, CHERI MCCOMSEY WITTLER, a Registered
6	Professional Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter and
7	Notary Public in and for the State of South Dakota:
8	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that as the duly-appointed
9	shorthand reporter, I took in shorthand the proceedings
10	had in the above-entitled matter on the 17th day of July,
11	2012, and that the attached is a true and correct
12	transcription of the proceedings so taken.
13	Dated at Onida, South Dakota this 24th day of
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
14	July, 2012.
16	
17	
18	Chari MaCamaay Wittlar
10	Cheri McComsey Wittler,
40	Notary Public and
19	Registered Professional Reporter
	Certified Realtime Reporter
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	-			
\$	20:10:01:02.4 [1] -	5:10	7:8, 17:22, 26:24,	11:3, 13:17, 31:19
Ψ	31:10	action [13] - 10:16,	27:1, 28:4, 28:8,	appointed [1] - 57:8
	22 [1] - 52:23	13:6, 13:7, 13:9,	28:12, 28:18, 31:3,	appreciate [3] - 10:15,
\$280 [1] - 9:25	24 [1] - 49:19	15:25, 24:4, 25:9,	36:3, 37:11, 38:2,	36:20, 56:14
\$281 [17] - 5:11, 8:9,	24th [1] - 57:13	36:15, 37:9, 38:12,	38:5, 46:21, 47:3,	approach [1] - 43:15
9:14, 9:17, 9:19,	24(11 [1] - 57.15		47:7, 47:13, 48:3,	
9:25, 10:4, 16:17,		39:25, 53:21		appropriate [1] - 7:6
	3	actions [2] - 16:21,	49:14, 49:17, 49:22,	approval [1] - 12:11
32:16, 48:21, 49:1,		55:15	50:5, 50:9	area [1] - 51:12
49:2, 49:5, 49:6,	9 00:10 00:10	activities [1] - 47:12	alive [1] - 46:13	argue [1] - 53:9
49:8, 49:12, 49:16	3 [3] - 33:10, 33:13,	actual [2] - 28:5, 47:18	allegation [3] - 5:23,	arguing [1] - 52:13
	33:17	added [1] - 27:10	13:12, 41:17	argument [7] - 6:13,
0		additional [14] - 4:7,	alleging [2] - 5:11,	13:23, 17:12, 27:16,
	- 4	4:9, 5:9, 6:15, 12:2,	45:14	29:22, 32:2, 37:9
		24:14, 24:15, 26:23,	allow [2] - 40:12, 41:7	arguments [8] - 3:15,
09-098 [10] - 15:23,		30:24, 37:10, 38:11,	almost [1] - 31:25	•
26:8, 26:12, 28:21,	4 [7] - 18:12, 18:23,	51:25, 55:13, 55:20	alternatively [1] -	7:9, 7:11, 31:2, 34:6,
29:5, 29:7, 29:11,	24:16, 28:13, 47:15,		• • •	38:8, 48:14, 53:12
30:14, 40:24, 42:10	47:23, 50:12	address [8] - 15:11,	51:11	articulated [1] - 39:14
	49 [3] - 32:10, 33:25,	19:22, 21:20, 25:2,	ambiguities [2] -	assert [1] - 11:17
1	35:14	26:19, 29:20, 41:16,	22:14, 22:15	assess [1] - 8:2
		44:24	ambiguous [2] - 53:5,	assistance [1] - 56:14
	5	addresses [1] - 43:8	55:11	assume [1] - 28:23
1 [3] - 20:19, 50:14,	5	adequacy [1] - 43:22	amenable [1] - 33:23	assumed [1] - 49:4
50:20		adequate [2] - 42:15,	Amended [5] - 7:15,	assuming [1] - 11:2
10-26 [20] - 9:8, 12:6,	5 [1] - 7:14	43:24	7:16, 10:1, 19:4,	• • •
14:12, 25:9, 25:20,	500 [1] - 2:3	adequately [1] - 43:8	45:20	attached [2] - 47:16,
	566 [1] - 2.5			57:11
26:7, 26:11, 26:19,	<u> </u>	administrative [3] -	American [5] - 3:3,	attention [1] - 12:21
26:22, 28:3, 29:4,	6	10:9, 10:24, 17:1	3:10, 10:17, 34:18,	attorney [1] - 9:17
29:6, 29:9, 29:10,		admissible [1] - 44:20	38:21	attorneys [2] - 32:18,
30:11, 39:7, 39:12,	6 m 47:16 49:4	adverse [1] - 25:17	AMERICAN [1] - 1:5	49:7
40:21, 41:10, 44:13	6 [2] - 47:16, 48:4	advisement [3] - 36:4,	amount [10] - 8:5,	attorneys' [1] - 41:4
11-087 [2] - 23:24,		56:1, 56:7	24:21, 27:4, 27:6,	authorities [1] - 11:16
25:12	9	advisor [1] - 12:24	28:6, 28:16, 51:12,	authority [10] - 7:19,
11-87 [7] - 12:5, 25:18,		affidavits [1] - 4:7	51:20, 53:1, 53:18	
25:19, 26:19, 28:3,		Affidavits [2] - 5:3,	amounts [1] - 28:5	7:24, 8:21, 9:22,
29:9, 30:15	9:30 [1] - 2:4	6:11	analogous [1] - 13:21	14:17, 16:7, 19:12,
			-	20:15, 21:13, 54:18
12(b [5] - 4:17, 4:25,	A	affirm [1] - 13:19	analysis [1] - 4:22	Authority [37] - 8:1,
5:7, 6:4, 7:5		affirmed [3] - 8:17,	analyze [1] - 47:14	9:3, 9:5, 9:10, 10:6,
12(b) [1] - 5:9		19:15, 39:23	answer [9] - 3:11,	10:21, 11:9, 12:1,
12(b)(1 [4] - 6:18,	a.m [1] - 2:4	AGAINST [1] - 1:5	4:13, 39:24, 40:2,	12:8, 14:6, 14:7,
6:24, 7:2, 7:6	abeyance [5] - 26:11,	age [1] - 22:9	40:3, 40:4, 53:5,	14:10, 14:15, 14:19,
12(b)(5 [1] - 6:19	28:20, 29:20, 29:23,	agencies [1] - 10:9	53:7, 55:13	16:15, 18:22, 19:6,
12(b)(5) [3] - 6:18, 7:2,	35:5	agency [1] - 10:24	answered [3] - 18:25,	19:11, 20:2, 20:7,
7:7	abide [2] - 32:9, 33:24	agenda [1] - 52:23	22:2, 46:24	23:16, 23:18, 23:21,
12:07 [1] - 49:20	abides [1] - 35:13	-	answers [1] - 53:5	
15-6-12(b [1] - 4:8	able [3] - 15:14, 37:20,	agent [1] - 51:22	apologize [1] - 31:4	24:2, 24:4, 24:7,
17 [1] - 1:8	46:11	ago [1] - 54:3		24:8, 24:11, 25:11,
		agree [4] - 7:4, 28:8,	appeal [3] - 18:19,	25:21, 25:23, 26:2,
17th [2] - 2:4, 57:10	above-entitled [2] -	32:25, 35:17	25:16, 53:22	26:18, 29:13, 33:19,
1999 [1] - 18:18	2:2, 57:10	agreed [9] - 8:8, 9:18,	appealed [3] - 8:16,	34:1, 51:8
	absent [1] - 21:13	9:25, 16:17, 27:4,	20:13, 25:13	Avenue [1] - 2:3
2	absolutely [3] - 41:20,	39:18, 48:11, 50:2	APPEARANCES [1] -	avenue [1] - 38:16
	46:8, 46:16	agreement [12] -	1:20	award [3] - 8:5, 9:24,
	access [6] - 8:3, 8:15,	20:24, 24:21, 26:10,	application [6] -	41:4
2 [4] - 21:15, 33:13,	9:21, 15:4, 15:7,	31:16, 40:8, 41:17,	24:12, 25:11, 25:18,	awarded [1] - 17:5
50:14, 50:21	48:7		30:15, 35:7, 42:12	
2009 [1] - 14:7	accident [2] - 55:13,	41:19, 48:1, 48:16,	applied [6] - 9:5, 10:5,	awarding [1] - 27:2
2010 [3] - 7:15, 10:16,	55:14	52:22, 52:25, 53:2		aware [1] - 9:4
44:3		agrees [1] - 45:25	16:16, 22:19, 22:20,	
2011 [2] - 18:12, 49:21	accomplished [1] -	ahead [2] - 4:2, 18:3	34:23	B
	22:25	Ailts [1] - 1:13	applies [2] - 10:8,	<u> </u>
2012 [5] - 1:8, 2:4,	account [1] - 44:2	AILTS [29] - 3:16, 4:3,	10:23	hash h ^{ann}
49:19, 57:11, 57:14	acknowledgment [1] -	5:1, 5:16, 5:24, 6:16,	apply [5] - 9:3, 9:10,	back-billing [2] -
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

32:19, 32:21	25:9, 43:23, 44:5,	CDs [1] - 43:25	check [2] - 32:15,	15:8
bad [2] - 53:10, 53:11	49:13	cease [1] - 12:19	53:18	COMMISSION [3] -
based [10] - 4:22, 5:7,	Buffalo [3] - 8:16,	certain [1] - 47:18	checked [2] - 49:22,	1:1, 1:10, 1:12
5:22, 8:7, 21:12,	8:17, 25:14	certainly [4] - 25:4,	49:25	Commission [113] -
30:8, 46:11, 49:25,	Building [1] - 2:3	34:10, 38:8, 45:12	checking [1] - 44:2	3:9, 3:11, 4:20, 5:2,
51:20, 55:17	business [11] - 9:15,	Certificate [33] - 8:1,	Cheri [2] - 1:24, 57:18	6:6, 6:14, 7:14, 7:16
basis [1] - 11:11	48:20, 48:22, 49:6,	9:3, 9:5, 9:10, 10:5,	CHERI [1] - 57:5	7:19, 8:2, 8:13, 8:14
5.	49:9, 49:11, 50:19,	10:21, 11:9, 11:25,	Cheyenne [1] - 18:18	8:20, 8:24, 9:2, 9:4,
Beadle [1] - 11:19	50:22, 51:6, 51:15,	12:7, 14:5, 14:7,	choose [3] - 22:24,	9:6, 9:7, 9:9, 9:22,
Deat [1] - 46:14	53:15	14:9, 14:15, 14:19,	40:1, 40:25	9:23, 10:2, 10:22,
Decome [1] - 10:7	buy [1] - 32:2	16:15, 20:2, 23:15,	CHRIS [1] - 1:10	11:5, 11:9, 11:12,
BEFORE [1] - 1:10	BY [1] - 1:4	23:18, 23:21, 24:1,	Chris [1] - 1:17	11:15, 11:16, 11:25
Degin [2] - 3:15, 3:18	bylaws [2] - 47:24,	24:4, 24:7, 24:8,	circuit [1] - 13:14	12:5, 12:12, 13:21,
Deginning [1] - 54:24	51:5	24:11, 25:11, 25:21,		13:24, 14:2, 14:3,
	01.0	25:22, 26:2, 26:17,	Circuit [13] - 8:17,	14:6, 14:8, 14:11,
begs [1] - 15:6	С	29:13, 33:19, 33:25,	8:18, 18:6, 18:19,	14:20, 15:9, 15:11,
Dehavior [2] - 53:10,	C	- 51:8	18:24, 18:25, 20:5,	15:21, 15:23, 16:4,
53:11		CERTIFICATE [1] -	21:3, 21:20, 25:15,	16:6, 16:11, 16:14,
behind [1] - 55:4	calculated [1] - 44:19	57:2	39:23, 48:12	16:19, 16:24, 17:7,
enefits [1] - 15:17	candidly [1] - 47:24	Certified [2] - 57:6,	circular [1] - 53:13	17:16, 17:17, 17:21
better [1] - 33:23	cannot [7] - 9:8,	57:19	circumstances [2] -	18:13, 19:11, 19:15
Detween [1] - 43:13	10:20, 14:20, 29:23,		4:15, 5:8	19:17, 19:18, 20:8,
bigger [2] - 25:6,	32:18, 36:1, 40:12	CERTIFY [1] - 57:8	cite [2] - 12:20, 39:21	20:13, 20:15, 22:6,
39:11	Capitol [2] - 2:2, 2:3	cessation [2] - 13:17,	cited [5] - 11:18,	23:16, 23:20, 23:24
biggest [1] - 34:21	care [3] - 45:20, 53:19,	13:23	17:10, 17:13, 27:15,	25:12, 26:2, 26:9,
5 billing [3] - 32:19,	54:8	chair [9] - 3:7, 4:14,	27:20	26:14, 27:18, 28:2,
32:21, 51:22	carrier [2] - 15:3, 15:6	7:14, 19:16, 35:15,	claim [6] - 13:16, 44:1,	29:1, 29:7, 29:14,
5 jills [3] - 12:11, 47:8,	carriers [1] - 15:18	41:15, 45:10, 52:2,	49:1, 50:4, 50:6,	30:1, 30:2, 30:22,
51:21	carrying [1] - 15:19	54:7	54:22	31:13, 31:16, 31:17
5 oinding [1] - 27:13	case [76] - 4:16, 5:8,	chairman [8] - 10:14,	claims [3] - 13:9,	
black [1] - 55:17		23:2, 24:13, 34:8,	13:11, 54:23	32:7, 32:11, 33:1,
black-and-white [1] -	7:5, 8:9, 8:10, 8:19,	34:16, 35:10, 38:14,	clarification [1] - 18:2	33:14, 33:16, 34:17
55:17	9:14, 9:17, 10:7,	55:19	Clark [1] - 11:19	36:3, 36:8, 37:6,
olinders [1] - 39:11	10:9, 11:4, 11:18,	CHAIRMAN [56] -	classic [1] - 17:6	37:24, 39:13, 39:19
board [1] - 51:16	11:19, 12:1, 12:4,	1:10, 1:11, 3:1, 3:8,	clear [10] - 17:15,	40:13, 40:17, 41:5,
oody [1] - 42:7	12:20, 12:22, 12:23,	3:18, 4:2, 4:12, 5:25,	17:17, 18:13, 19:10,	41:6, 41:8, 44:12,
Boesky [1] - 13:1	13:15, 13:18, 14:23,	6:25, 7:10, 10:13,	21:4, 29:15, 33:3,	45:4, 45:9, 47:11,
bound [1] - 11:1	16:5, 16:18, 16:22,	16:9, 17:20, 17:24,	33:15, 39:6, 54:17	48:12, 50:19, 52:17
oundaries [2] -	16:25, 17:6, 17:9,	18:3, 19:21, 20:23,	clearer [1] - 19:17	52:19, 52:22, 53:20
21:19, 21:23	17:10, 17:12, 18:18,	21:15, 21:22, 22:1,	clearly [8] - 10:8,	54:17, 55:24
pranch [1] - 10:25	18:20, 23:25, 24:5,	22:5, 23:8, 24:14,	31:24, 32:5, 42:2,	Commission's [10] -
preezed [1] - 18:8	24:9, 24:10, 25:14,	24:23, 25:4, 26:23,	42:16, 45:20, 45:22,	6:5, 6:12, 8:7, 8:18,
Brian [1] - 1:16	26:8, 27:11, 27:14,	26:25, 29:19, 30:24,	45:24	12:21, 18:12, 18:16
	27:20, 27:21, 28:2,	31:4, 33:8, 33:17,	CLEC [2] - 30:14,	32:5, 38:1, 48:6
Brief [9] - 6:17, 10:24,	28:11, 28:19, 28:20,	34:2, 34:19, 35:16,	42:12	Commissioner [11] -
12:20, 17:11, 20:3,	28:24, 29:23, 29:25,	36:2, 36:10, 37:2,	close [2] - 34:20,	3:21, 22:7, 23:22,
27:15, 39:16, 44:6	30:4, 30:7, 30:13,	37:16, 38:3, 38:11,	56:15	25:3, 34:7, 34:21,
prief [3] - 4:5, 4:6,	30:19, 30:22, 30:23,	38:22, 39:1, 41:13,	closed [1] - 10:10	35:16, 36:19, 37:18
16:9	31:24, 35:11, 39:14,	42:21, 43:20, 45:8,		52:3, 54:13
oriefly [1] - 45:11	39:22, 39:24, 40:5,	45:12, 46:19, 50:10,	COA [12] - 22:18,	COMMISSIONER [16
oright [1] - 43:12	40:20, 42:1, 42:3,	51:25, 52:3, 54:8,	22:19, 22:20, 22:21,	- 1:11, 22:8, 24:13,
oring [9] - 12:21, 13:8,	42:5, 42:11, 42:13,	55:20, 55:23, 56:4	23:4, 28:18, 31:9,	34:8, 35:10, 35:15,
13:11, 13:16, 23:12,	42:16, 42:18, 45:17,	challenging [1] - 6:5	31:20, 32:10, 34:23,	35:17, 36:20, 37:12
25:24, 26:4, 40:24,	46:13, 47:6, 48:21,	Chapter [1] - 35:14	35:7, 35:12	38:14, 38:25, 52:2,
56:15	49:2, 54:17	chapter [2] - 32:10,	cogent [1] - 17:12	52:4, 55:6, 56:3,
oringing [2] - 16:1,	cases [6] - 12:20,	33:25	collateral [1] - 15:17	56:5
48:17	17:1, 30:10, 46:10,	charge [1] - 15:7	collected [1] - 51:13	common [2] - 42:4,
Brittany [1] - 1:18	47:18	charged [1] - 15:18	collecting [2] - 12:10,	48:22
broad [1] - 44:21	cash [1] - 32:16	-	15:16	Communications [3]
prought [8] - 10:16,	CD [3] - 41:18, 41:20,	charges [1] - 8:2	commencing [1] - 2:4	3:22, 3:23, 15:24
10:19, 13:6, 14:23,	43:7	charging [2] - 9:20, 15:16	comment [1] - 33:9	COMMUNICATIONS

	eeneelideted	40.00	decision making w	E0:10 E0:04 E4:0
[1] - 1:4	consolidated [1] -	42:23	decision-making [1] -	50:18, 50:21, 51:3, 3
communications [2] -	29:11	Cremer [3] - 1:13,	42:7	51:17, 51:24, 52:20,
3:2, 3:25	consternation [1] -	31:5, 47:22	decisions [3] - 8:8,	54:16
companies [1] - 15:20	38:17	Crow [3] - 7:23, 8:20,	16:20, 51:6	discretionary [1] -
COMPANY [1] - 1:4	construction [2] -	20:6	declaration [7] - 8:1,	39:21
company [6] - 3:2,	11:21, 26:3	CRR [1] - 1:24	10:20, 11:8, 19:5,	discussion [5] -
12:24, 13:5, 13:7,	construe [1] - 21:9	culmination [1] - 23:4	33:9, 33:18, 36:7	34:19, 35:9, 36:18,
13:8, 15:14	contesting [1] - 28:4	customers [2] - 50:22,	declaratory [5] - 11:6,	38:12, 39:4
Compel [11] - 3:11,	context [5] - 6:3, 19:2,	50:23	13:6, 13:10, 20:1,	disingenuous [3] -
27:15, 38:7, 38:8,	39:10, 39:15, 41:10	cycle [1] - 35:1	20:19	53:9, 54:22, 55:17
39:2, 39:6, 40:15,	continue [9] - 9:16,		declare [6] - 7:19, 9:2,	dismiss [10] - 17:18,
40:21, 43:5, 43:10,	23:20, 23:24, 35:20,	D	9:23, 32:9, 33:14,	22:24, 32:23, 34:20,
52:23	38:19, 41:7, 48:23,		- 33:24	35:11, 35:21, 36:1,
compelled [2] - 52:9,	52:15, 53:11	Dakota 1001 2:2 2:2	declaring [1] - 7:23	37:6, 38:21, 43:1
56:5	continued [2] - 30:19,	Dakota [22] - 2:2, 2:3,	defer [9] - 20:9, 36:14,	Dismiss [21] - 3:10,
Complainant [1] -	31:18	7:21, 9:3, 11:11, 14:4, 14:17, 15:24,	37:8, 38:6, 38:12,	3:17, 4:4, 4:5, 4:17,
12:2	continuing [2] - 11:17,		40:20, 40:22, 41:11,	6:17, 7:11, 16:5,
COMPLAINT [1] - 1:4	37:1	15:25, 18:21, 19:1,	44:12	26:15, 33:12, 34:4,
Complaint [16] - 3:2,	contract [2] - 11:21,	20:17, 25:8, 26:8,	definition [1] - 11:3	34:18, 36:15, 36:23,
7:15, 7:16, 7:18,	11:22	29:16, 32:12, 39:22,	deflect [1] - 51:10	37:19, 38:7, 38:9,
7:22, 7:25, 8:4, 10:1,	converting [1] - 6:8	47:12, 50:20, 51:2,	deny [5] - 16:4, 26:19,	38:13, 39:5, 42:25,
10:19, 11:6, 13:25,	coordinated [1] -	57:7, 57:13	29:14, 37:19, 41:11	52:14
15:12, 16:12, 17:17,	29:11	DAKOTA [2] - 1:2,	denying [2] - 26:15,	dismissal [5] - 30:8,
19:4, 45:20	corners [1] - 24:5	57:1	40:23	31:11, 35:23, 37:15,
complete [2] - 5:20,	correct [3] - 19:25,	damages [28] - 5:11,	deprive [1] - 27:18	39:23
16:15	24:25, 57:11	8:5, 9:13, 9:14, 9:17,	described [1] - 39:16	dismissed [5] - 30:4,
completed [1] - 11:23	correctly [3] - 20:5,	9:20, 9:24, 9:25,	desk [1] - 38:18	30:13, 31:14, 36:25,
compliance [3] -	47:21, 48:10	10:4, 15:25, 16:16,	determination [2] -	40:5
27:22, 27:23, 41:3	counsel [5] - 3:19,	23:19, 24:16, 24:17,	12:6, 53:23	dismissing [4] - 23:4,
comply [1] - 39:18	3:25, 37:16, 52:25,	27:2, 27:4, 27:6,	determined [2] - 8:6,	24:4, 33:23, 35:3
comprises [1] - 53:4	53:2	28:9, 28:11, 36:7,	8:24	disposed [1] - 22:25
concedes [1] - 14:9	countersued [1] -	45:22, 46:1, 46:25,	determines [1] - 4:20	dispute [4] - 6:11,
concern [2] - 24:3,	13:6	47:3, 47:9, 48:21,	determining [2] -	11:20, 13:4, 19:2
31:8	County [4] - 8:16,	49:4, 50:8	26:16, 51:6	disputes [2] - 54:16
concerned [5] - 15:1,	8:17, 11:19, 25:15	data [1] - 46:23	difference [1] - 22:9	disputing [1] - 27:6
22:14, 22:18, 32:22,	COUNTY [1] - 57:3	date [2] - 41:1, 44:5	different [4] - 24:9,	distinction [1] - 12:17
34:25	couple [1] - 56:10	Dated [1] - 57:13	30:10, 44:15, 44:24	division [1] - 43:13
concerns [1] - 31:21	course [3] - 17:10,	dated [1] - 49:19	difficult [2] - 42:25,	DO [1] - 57:8
conclude [1] - 21:11	17:11, 39:17	Daugaard [1] - 1:17	47:25	docket [32] - 10:10,
concluded [1] - 20:15	court [14] - 6:21, 7:2,	Dave [1] - 1:15	directed [1] - 51:4	10:11, 14:2, 15:23,
concur [2] - 6:3, 24:19	8:17, 12:1, 12:2,	deal [8] - 30:5, 35:23,		17:3, 23:5, 23:11,
conduct [7] - 12:19,	16:24, 19:7, 12:15,	36:23, 37:14, 39:12,	disagree [1] - 34:11	23:14, 23:17, 23:23,
13:18, 13:23, 25:23,	19:17, 20:10, 27:24,	49:24, 52:14, 56:12	disappointed [1] -	25:14, 28:23, 30:2,
27:17, 45:5, 45:14		dealing [2] - 3:9, 42:1	53:12	30:14, 30:15, 30:16,
	29:17, 51:9, 53:21	dealings [1] - 50:20	discover [1] - 49:15	32:8, 33:4, 34:13,
confer [1] - 44:9	Court [22] - 8:12, 8:17,	Deb [1] - 1:19	discovery [55] - 3:12,	34:16, 35:22, 35:25,
confess [1] - 8:9	8:18, 13:7, 13:10,	dec [1] - 13:6	25:13, 26:6, 26:7,	37:14, 38:19, 42:9,
confident [1] - 28:1	14:25, 18:6, 18:19,	December [1] - 49:21	26:10, 26:21, 28:22,	44:14, 44:16, 45:6,
consent [1] - 21:14	18:24, 18:25, 19:1,	decide [1] - 26:18	29:4, 29:8, 29:10,	48:6, 52:21, 54:19,
consider [5] - 5:3,	20:5, 21:3, 21:20,	decided [6] - 10:3,	32:1, 33:2, 33:3,	48.0, 52.21, 54.19, 54:24
5:17, 6:7, 15:21,	25:15, 27:21, 39:22,	16:22, 19:14, 23:19,	33:6, 34:6, 35:22,	dockets [10] - 23:13,
54:4	39:23, 40:1, 40:3,	32:5	39:9, 39:15, 40:2,	
considerable [1] -	46:12, 48:13	decision [20] - 7:3,	40:8, 40:11, 40:13,	30:5, 30:6, 30:17,
53:1	Court's [2] - 18:8,	8:16, 8:18, 14:13,	40:19, 40:25, 41:6,	33:5, 43:12, 43:13,
consideration [1] -	39:23	18:8, 18:24, 20:12,	41:23, 42:3, 42:17,	43:14, 44:25, 50:1
15:20	court's [1] - 13:19	24:1, 29:4, 37:25,	42:19, 44:14, 44:20,	doctrine [2] - 11:1,
considerations [3] -	courts [2] - 11:1, 11:4	42:7, 42:24, 48:12,	45:2, 45:15, 45:16,	11:2
14:1, 14:22, 26:14	Creek [3] - 7:23, 8:20,	42:7, 42:24, 48:12, 48:13, 48:20, 49:6,	45:18, 45:21, 45:24,	Doctrine [6] - 4:16,
considering [2] - 6:13,	20:6		46:5, 46:10, 46:14,	10:8, 10:23, 12:18,
39:12	CREMER [5] - 17:25,	49:12, 51:15, 52:17,	48:11, 48:17, 50:8,	13:22, 27:14
consistent [1] - 36:4	31:6, 33:13, 33:20,	55:2	50:12, 50:13, 50:16,	Document [1] - 47:15
	, , ,		. , ;	1

document [2] - 22:17,	45:13, 49:14	fighting [1] - 47:5	G	hold [6] - 20:6, 20:8, 4
48:24	example [2] - 47:15,	file [4] - 11:10, 26:1,	0	20:21, 26:11, 28:19,
documents [1] - 44:2	51:5	32:11, 43:18		43:1
dollar [2] - 51:20,	exceeded [1] - 40:9	filed [5] - 3:2, 7:15,	games [3] - 46:16,	holding [1] - 21:12
53:18	exception [1] - 13:22	31:16, 48:6, 55:18	54:21, 55:3	honest [1] - 54:12
dollars [4] - 9:16,	exchange [3] - 10:20,	FILED [1] - 1:4	GARY [1] - 1:11	hope [1] - 56:10
27:10, 46:5, 49:7	15:3, 15:6	files [1] - 25:11	genuinely [1] - 54:1	• • •
done [9] - 9:11, 23:23,	excluded [1] - 6:21	filing [1] - 31:15	given [9] - 10:4, 21:14,	
24:2, 24:6, 26:21,	excludes [1] - 22:11	final [2] - 31:11, 31:15	25:23, 28:18, 33:21,	•
43:5, 45:15, 49:20,	exclusive [3] - 8:14,	finally [2] - 8:4, 9:12	39:4, 40:25, 52:8,	
54:11	8:19, 18:6	financial [2] - 12:24,	53:5	idea [2] - 29:22, 50:6
down [5] - 34:15,	exclusively [1] - 21:23	25:23	grant [14] - 3:9, 3:11,	identify [1] - 3:19
36:12, 36:17, 46:14,	excuse [2] - 8:13,	first [14] - 4:13, 7:18,	8:25, 9:8, 9:9, 11:5,	ignore [1] - 53:10
51:18	16:24	8:11, 12:15, 14:3,	11:15, 17:16, 26:18,	ignored [1] - 41:19
drug [1] - 39:25	executive [1] - 10:25	14:14, 15:9, 18:11,	31:20, 34:17, 40:22,	ignoring [2] - 36:25,
due [1] - 54:23	exercise [1] - 16:7	32:4, 38:23, 41:16,	41:9, 41:11	37:3
duly [1] - 57:8	Exhibit [2] - 47:16,	46:22, 52:14	granted [1] - 42:25	illegally [2] - 15:15,
duly-appointed [1] -	48:4	fit [1] - 32:2	grants [2] - 9:22,	51:1
57:8	exhibits [1] - 47:19	float [1] - 34:14	11:25	implications [2] -
duration [1] - 23:3	exist [1] - 54:2	flout [2] - 40:12, 41:7	Greg [1] - 1:15	16:25, 29:12
during [1] - 52:8	expands [1] - 25:1	focused [2] - 19:25,	Gregg [1] - 1:19	important [10] - 12:16,
-	expend [2] - 9:16,	41:3	grounds [3] - 16:5,	14:11, 14:14, 14:22,
E	46:4	follow [2] - 20:23,	17:18, 28:1	15:13, 18:7, 25:5,
	explored [1] - 36:8	33:21	guarantee [1] - 31:25	29:16, 40:14
	expressed [1] - 35:18	followed [2] - 11:1,	guess [6] - 7:4, 7:8,	improper [3] - 23:12,
e-mail [1] - 49:18	expressly [1] - 13:14	45:5	32:7, 35:8, 43:17,	30:3, 30:17
Earth [1] - 27:21	extensive [1] - 18:17	following [2] - 46:8,	48:18	improperly [1] - 28:15
East [1] - 2:3	exterior [1] - 21:19	46:15	guys [2] - 48:15, 50:4	IN [1] - 1:4
economizing [2] -	extremely [3] - 53:25,	force [1] - 51:13		inadequacy [1] -
29:3, 31:23	54:21, 55:5	forego [1] - 12:10	H	41:16
economy [1] - 30:12		forget [1] - 42:22		- inadequate [4] -
editor [1] - 52:6	F	forth [2] - 22:23	half [1] - 44:3	40:11, 41:22, 41:24,
effect [3] - 11:24,	-	forum [1] - 33:5	hang [3] - 34:21, 35:2,	44:7
26:17, 29:15		forward [17] - 6:13,	56:9	included [2] - 47:20,
effort [2] - 26:11, 29:8	face [2] - 44:7, 52:19	12:5, 13:24, 14:2,	hang-up [2] - 34:21,	48:4
either [8] - 5:3, 7:3,	fact [9] - 8:8, 11:8,	14:11, 15:11, 16:6,	35:2	including [2] - 6:14,
7:6, 29:6, 36:8,	22:19, 34:22, 35:19,	16:18, 24:10, 27:13,	Hanson [10] - 22:7,	52:25
37:25, 46:10, 55:14	38:19, 54:18, 55:1,	28:3, 29:10, 31:1,	23:22, 25:3, 34:7,	incorrect [5] - 36:22,
electing [1] - 51:7	55:17	35:6, 38:24, 40:17,	34:21, 35:16, 36:19,	38:15, 41:20, 46:8, 46:17
end [2] - 26:16, 44:3	facts [1] - 6:10	51:7	52:3, 54:13	incredibly [1] - 54:25
ending [1] - 22:22 enforce [5] - 14:4,	failure [1] - 26:17	four [8] - 7:17, 16:13,	HANSON [15] - 1:11,	indeed [1] - 8:18
•••	far [2] - 40:10, 54:11	16:21, 17:2, 17:14,	22:8, 24:13, 34:8,	indicated [2] - 20:25,
26:12, 27:12, 27:25, 40:24	fashion [1] - 53:15	23:14, 24:5, 45:19	35:15, 35:17, 36:20,	23:22
	favor [1] - 20:21	fourth [3] - 8:4, 9:12,	37:12, 38:14, 38:25,	individually [1] -
engaged [1] - 25:6	FCC [2] - 14:25, 15:1	27:1	52:2, 52:4, 55:6,	30:20
enjoy [1] - 54:5	February [2] - 49:19,	frankly [1] - 31:7	56:3, 56:5	information [8] - 5:9,
entire [3] - 9:14, 23:3, 47:3	50:3	Friday [1] - 49:19	Hanson's [1] - 37:18	12:25, 41:21, 44:16,
	federal [6] - 13:2,	Friends [1] - 27:20	hard [1] - 32:17	44:22, 44:23, 45:2,
entirely [2] - 17:2, 24:9	13:8, 13:11, 13:12,	front [1] - 39:19	hear [6] - 17:22,	53:2
24:9 entitled [7] - 2:2, 15:3,	14:23, 17:1	frustrated [1] - 52:18	17:25, 19:22, 23:6,	initial [2] - 49:11,
	Federal [4] - 13:7,	full [3] - 19:18, 41:18,	38:8, 43:19	49:20
25:22, 39:8, 39:15, 45:1, 57:10	13:10, 14:25, 46:11	41:20	heard [2] - 26:9, 32:14	injury [1] - 39:25
	feelings [1] - 52:9	fully [4] - 35:17, 43:4,	hearing [1] - 53:12	inquiry [1] - 51:10
entry [1] - 31:15	fees [5] - 8:15, 9:17,	43:18, 46:24	held [2] - 2:1, 35:5	inside [1] - 12:25
essentially [2] - 27:3, 37:2	9:21, 41:4, 49:8	future [3] - 22:12,	help [2] - 18:10, 32:15	instance [2] - 15:10,
	felt [1] - 33:9	27:24, 37:15	helpful [1] - 36:7	20:10
establish [2] - 50:25,	few [1] - 3:14		helps [1] - 31:21	interest [1] - 31:17
				Interest [1] - 31.17
51:18 ovidence #1 44:20	FIEGEN [2] - 1:11,		HEREBY [1] - 57:8	
51:18 evidence [1] - 44:20 exactly [3] - 19:6,	35:10 fight [2] - 25:7, 49:12		HEREBY [1] - 57:8 hide [1] - 51:9	interested [1] - 34:9 interesting [2] - 22:10,

34:11	J	10:13, 19:22, 24:15,	30:7	24:17
interexchange [3] -		39:3	loss [2] - 13:2, 13:3	money [18] - 8:5, 9:13
7:20, 15:17, 20:16	1	KRISTIE [1] - 1:11	louder [1] - 55:15	9:14, 9:24, 9:25,
interpretation [1] -	Jacobson [1] - 1:15		love [1] - 35:11	11:13, 12:12, 12:14,
53:6	Jon [1] - 1:16	L	LP [2] - 1:4, 3:2	13:1, 16:16, 27:2,
interpretive [1] - 55:12	Joy [1] - 1:19		-	27:4, 27:6, 28:11,
Interrogatories [2] -	Judgment [7] - 4:11,		Μ	28:16, 32:16, 51:13
53:4, 55:9	4:19, 4:21, 5:14,	lacks [4] - 7:24, 19:6,		moot [24] - 8:10, 10:8,
ntersect [1] - 25:20	5:20, 5:22, 6:9	19:11, 20:7		10:10, 10:17, 11:4,
nterstate [2] - 15:4,	judgment [3] - 6:22,	laid [1] - 44:6	mail [1] - 49:18	12:1, 12:20, 13:10,
15:7	8:9, 13:6	language [2] - 18:9,	mandatory [1] - 39:21	13:13, 13:16, 13:18,
ntervention [1] -	July [4] - 1:8, 2:4,	20:11	match [1] - 55:7	16:6, 17:7, 24:11,
	57:10, 57:14	large [1] - 44:2	matching [1] - 43:15	30:3, 39:15, 42:2,
25:13	jurisdiction [35] - 6:5,	larger [2] - 25:1, 49:5	material [4] - 4:7,	42:3, 42:16, 42:18,
ntrastate [16] - 8:15,		last [2] - 40:9, 52:24	42:15, 43:9, 44:8	
9:21, 11:11, 14:6,	6:12, 7:24, 8:15,	lastly [1] - 10:5		45:18, 45:22, 45:24
14:18, 15:16, 15:18,	8:19, 8:21, 11:17,	-	materials [1] - 41:18	52:16
18:14, 18:16, 18:23,	13:19, 13:24, 16:6,	law [4] - 13:5, 13:9,	matter [15] - 2:2, 3:1,	mootness [14] - 5:23,
19:8, 19:12, 19:19,	18:7, 18:14, 18:16,	13:11, 51:10	5:14, 6:5, 7:15,	6:12, 11:2, 11:3,
32:12, 34:1, 50:6	18:22, 19:3, 19:6,	Law [1] - 14:4	17:18, 18:22, 22:3,	12:18, 16:5, 17:18,
ntrigued [2] - 33:8,	19:8, 19:11, 19:18,	lawful [3] - 11:10,	24:8, 29:9, 32:1,	24:9, 27:7, 27:16,
35:4	19:19, 20:7, 20:9,	11:21, 26:1	33:6, 48:16, 55:1,	28:1, 30:8, 48:14,
nvoices [3] - 12:15,	20:10, 21:1, 21:2,	lawfully [2] - 15:2,	57:10	49:13
15:16, 27:9	21:5, 21:10, 27:18,	15:5	MATTER [1] - 1:4	Mootness [6] - 4:16,
	27:24, 28:2, 32:5,	laws [1] - 13:13	matters [7] - 5:4, 6:7,	10:8, 10:23, 12:18,
nvolved [1] - 12:23	33:15, 47:11, 50:14,	lead [1] - 44:20	6:20, 17:3, 19:20,	13:22, 27:14
nvolves [1] - 16:1	51:9	least [4] - 22:25,	30:2, 53:19	
rrelevant [1] - 17:2				most [2] - 30:9, 33:8
rving [1] - 1:19	jurisdictional [13] -	34:14, 52:5, 53:25	Matthew [1] - 1:18	Motion [69] - 3:10,
ssue [31] - 6:8, 11:7,	8:8, 8:12, 8:23, 8:25,	leaving [1] - 37:14	MCCOMSEY [1] - 57:5	3:11, 3:17, 4:4, 4:5,
11:12, 11:13, 14:12,	10:3, 16:14, 19:2,	ledge [1] - 35:19	McComsey [2] - 1:24,	4:10, 4:17, 4:18,
15:13, 18:20, 19:9,	19:13, 23:18, 25:7,	left [1] - 43:10	57:18	4:21, 5:7, 5:13, 5:19
21:18, 24:1, 24:23,	29:12, 45:21, 45:25	legal [5] - 11:15,	mean [6] - 5:2, 10:18,	5:21, 6:4, 6:8, 6:17,
25:2, 25:6, 25:20,		12:16, 29:15, 44:24,	13:16, 29:25, 37:13,	6:21, 7:5, 7:11, 16:5
26:19, 27:8, 27:11,	K	55:2	51:14	23:6, 26:15, 27:15,
		lengthy [1] - 53:3	meaning [1] - 21:9	33:11, 34:4, 34:14,
28:9, 29:11, 31:1,		letter [2] - 52:6, 52:7	meanwhile [1] - 26:21	34:18, 34:19, 36:9,
32:4, 35:18, 36:6,	Kara [1] - 1:14	letters [1] - 52:4	meet [1] - 44:9	36:14, 36:15, 36:18
41:23, 41:25, 42:9,	Karen [2] - 1:13, 31:3			36:23, 36:24, 36:25
42:20, 44:13, 46:25,	keep [7] - 29:23, 30:1,	liberal [2] - 44:21,	meeting [1] - 52:24	
47:4, 48:15	41:3, 42:5, 43:12,	50:17	meetings [4] - 47:23,	37:3, 37:5, 37:6,
ssued [3] - 20:20,	45:4, 46:13	lies [1] - 8:20	48:2, 51:16, 52:8	37:19, 37:20, 37:21
48:12, 48:13	Kidder [6] - 12:22,	line [3] - 3:6, 43:12,	Mehlhaff [1] - 1:18	38:7, 38:8, 38:9,
ssues [37] - 8:9, 8:11,		48:10	meld [1] - 30:16	38:12, 38:13, 38:18
8:12, 8:23, 8:25,	13:5, 13:18, 17:9,	listen [1] - 7:9	members [2] - 7:14,	38:20, 38:23, 39:2,
10:3, 10:4, 14:24,	27:14, 27:18	listening [1] - 54:5	50:24	39:5, 39:6, 40:15,
16:15, 19:14, 23:1,	kind [9] - 14:17, 29:18,	literally [1] - 35:2	mentioned [1] - 50:17	40:21, 40:24, 41:9,
23:18, 23:19, 24:9,	45:5, 45:14, 47:8,	litigate [1] - 16:23	merger [1] - 12:23	42:24, 43:5, 43:9,
	51:6, 51:14, 51:17,	• • • •	merits [2] - 15:11,	43:23, 44:11, 49:11
24:11, 29:12, 30:9,	54:23	litigated [1] - 11:23		49:12, 52:14, 52:23
34:13, 35:22, 44:16,	knowing [1] - 55:4	litigating [1] - 42:5	40:23	55:3
44:17, 44:22, 44:24,	knows [3] - 25:12,	live [1] - 23:23	mess [1] - 30:18	motions [6] - 31:1,
45:16, 45:19, 45:21,	30:2, 56:9	LLC [3] - 1:5, 3:3, 48:1	message [2] - 40:15,	
45:22, 45:24, 46:1,	KNUDSON [24] - 3:21,	LLC's [2] - 3:10, 34:18	40:16	34:4, 37:5, 55:24,
46:5, 50:12, 50:13,	6:2, 10:14, 19:25,	local [3] - 10:20, 15:2,	might [6] - 20:23,	56:2, 56:6
50:20, 52:5, 52:16,		15:5	23:6, 27:23, 32:14,	move [11] - 16:6, 20:9
54:2, 56:11	21:11, 21:17, 21:25,	located [1] - 50:22	36:7, 40:18	24:10, 28:3, 29:9,
tem [5] - 7:22, 7:25,	22:3, 24:20, 25:1,	look [13] - 5:12, 16:11,	mind [1] - 45:4	31:1, 34:5, 34:16,
······································	25:5, 27:5, 28:7,		minutes [4] - 47:23,	35:6, 38:20, 38:24
8.4 9.1 9.12	28:10, 28:14, 29:1,	17:14, 18:11, 23:10,		moving [1] - 34:20
8:4, 9:1, 9:12	20.10, 20.14, 20.1,		48:2, 51:5, 51:16	-
tems [3] - 7:17, 16:13,	39:4, 43:21, 47:1,	23:11, 24:5, 29:2,		MR [44] - 3:7, 3:21
tems [3] - 7:17, 16:13, 17:14		35:24, 48:19, 48:22,	mixing [1] - 43:15	MR [44] - 3:7, 3:21, 4:14 5:6 5:18 6:2
tems [3] - 7:17, 16:13, 17:14 tself [1] - 11:18	39:4, 43:21, 47:1,	35:24, 48:19, 48:22, 49:2, 51:23	mixing [1] - 43:15 moment [2] - 42:1,	4:14, 5:6, 5:18, 6:2,
tems [3] - 7:17, 16:13, 17:14	39:4, 43:21, 47:1, 47:5, 47:10, 47:21,	35:24, 48:19, 48:22,	mixing [1] - 43:15	MR [44] - 3:7, 3:21, 4:14, 5:6, 5:18, 6:2, 7:1, 7:13, 10:14, 16:10, 18:11, 19:25,

21:11, 21:17, 21:25,	16:4, 16:20, 26:10,	November [2] - 40:9,	order [16] - 18:12,	16:17, 49:2, 49:8 6
22:3, 23:9, 24:20,	26:17, 39:20, 42:10,	52:23	20:12, 20:14, 20:25,	Peabody [6] - 12:22,
25:1, 25:5, 27:5,	42:11, 47:11, 47:24,	number [12] - 19:4,	21:20, 23:17, 31:12,	13:5, 13:19, 17:9,
28:7, 28:10, 28:14,	50:19, 51:21	21:15, 22:15, 28:13,	31:15, 32:8, 36:22,	27:14, 27:19
29:1, 29:21, 37:22,	Native [5] - 3:3, 3:10,	33:10, 42:14, 42:15,	38:17, 40:2, 42:25,	pending [2] - 24:12,
39:4, 41:15, 43:21,	10:17, 34:17, 38:21	44:17, 44:22, 46:10,	54:18	28:18
45:10, 45:13, 47:1,	NATIVE [1] - 1:5	46:12, 54:11	Order [3] - 18:15,	perfectly [1] - 54:12
47:5, 47:10, 47:21,	nature [2] - 6:4, 51:2	numbers [1] - 50:2	20:13, 20:20	perhaps [3] - 35:5,
48:19, 49:16, 49:18,	necessarily [2] -		ordered [1] - 40:4	36:4, 38:9
49:24, 50:7, 50:15,	10:25, 28:24	0	organization [1] -	period [2] - 53:14,
54:10, 56:16	necessary [1] - 5:22	_	14:18	54:3
MS [34] - 3:16, 4:3,	need [13] - 14:15,		original [2] - 37:19,	permit [2] - 27:23,
5:1, 5:16, 5:24, 6:16,	18:1, 20:1, 20:9,	objection [1] - 43:18	49:3	45:5
7:8, 17:22, 17:25,	23:2, 24:1, 25:19,	obligation [4] - 42:2,	originate [2] - 21:5,	person [2] - 34:9, 49:9
26:24, 27:1, 28:4,	26:2, 33:4, 40:23,	42:17, 45:23, 46:4	21:7	personal [1] - 39:25
28:8, 28:12, 28:18,	41:2, 43:14, 52:14	obligations [2] -	otherwise [3] - 6:10,	perspective [3] - 42:5,
31:3, 31:6, 33:13,	needed [3] - 33:3,	39:20, 41:8	21:7, 54:2	46:3, 48:23
33:20, 36:3, 37:11,	45:18	observe [1] - 20:5	outside [6] - 4:9, 5:4,	picture [3] - 25:6,
38:2, 38:5, 42:23,	needs [4] - 14:4, 14:9,	obtain [2] - 46:9,	5:14, 5:16, 6:7, 6:20	25:23, 39:11
46:21, 47:3, 47:7,	35:5, 44:12	46:11	outstanding [1] -	piece [1] - 22:12
47:13, 48:3, 49:14,	NELSON [55] - 1:10,	obvious [2] - 53:24,	46:23	Pierre [1] - 2:3
49:17, 49:22, 50:5,	3:1, 3:8, 3:18, 4:2,	53:25 obviously [1] - 45:16	overlaps [2] - 26:7,	placed [1] - 52:22
50:9	4:12, 5:25, 6:25,	occur [1] - 9:21	44:15	plaintiff [2] - 39:24,
multi [1] - 55:12	7:10, 10:13, 16:9,		owe [1] - 50:4	40:5
multi-interpretive [1] -	17:20, 17:24, 18:3,	OF [6] - 1:2, 1:4, 2:1, 57:1, 57:3	owed [2] - 49:23, 50:6	playing [3] - 46:16,
55:12	19:21, 20:23, 21:15,	offending [4] - 12:19,	own [5] - 37:20, 38:1,	54:21, 55:3
must [8] - 8:1, 11:8, 11:9, 17:18, 26:1,	21:22, 22:1, 22:5,	13:18, 13:23, 27:17	49:23, 49:25, 51:22	Pleading [4] - 5:15,
32:9, 33:18, 33:24	23:8, 24:14, 24:23,	offer [6] - 15:3, 32:11,		5:17, 31:11, 31:14
32.9, 33.10, 33.24	25:4, 26:23, 26:25,	33:1, 34:1, 36:14,	P	Pleadings [4] - 4:9,
N	29:19, 30:24, 31:4, 33:8, 33:17, 34:2,	36:20		5:5, 6:7, 6:20
	JJ.0, JJ.17, J4.2,	00.20		pluo (4) 25.10
		offered [1] - 49.1	p.m [1] - 49:20	plus [1] - 35:19
	34:19, 35:16, 36:2,	offered [1] - 49:1 offering [1] - 12:10	p.m [1] - 49:20	pocket [1] - 55:7
narrowed [1] - 51:17		offering [1] - 12:10	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8,
narrowed [1] - 51:17 narrower [1] - 21:21	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14,
narrower [1] - 21:21	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - $36:11$ papers [1] - $55:18$ paragraph [1] - $20:19$ parallel [1] - $40:19$ pare [1] - $36:17$ part [5] - $10:25, 20:1$,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3 Network [2] - 15:25,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3 Network [2] - 15:25, 26:8	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3 Network [2] - 15:25, 26:8 never [4] - 12:13,	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3 Network [2] - 15:25, 26:8 never [4] - 12:13, 17:10, 22:22, 35:1	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3 Network [2] - 15:25, 26:8 never [4] - 12:13, 17:10, 22:22, 35:1 next [1] - 56:10	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17,	34:19, 35:16, 36:2, 36:10, 37:2, 37:16, 38:3, 38:11, 38:22, 39:1, 41:13, 42:21, 43:20, 45:8, 45:12, 46:19, 50:10, 51:25, 52:3, 54:8, 55:20, 55:23, 56:4 network [2] - 15:24, 16:3 Network [2] - 15:25, 26:8 never [4] - 12:13, 17:10, 22:22, 35:1 next [1] - 56:10 niche [1] - 21:21	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25,	$\begin{array}{c} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{offering [1] - 12:10} \\ \text{often [1] - 52:6} \\ \text{once [3] - 29:6, 43:9,} \\ 46:13 \\ \text{one [17] - 3:24, 6:22,} \\ 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, \\ 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, \\ 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, \\ 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, \\ 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 \\ \text{one-way [1] - 54:15} \\ \text{one-way [1] - 57:13} \\ \text{open [7] - 30:2, 35:25,} \\ 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, \\ 53:6, 55:23 \\ \text{opening [2] - 39:16,} \\ \end{array}$	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13,	$\begin{array}{c} 34:19,\ 35:16,\ 36:2,\\ 36:10,\ 37:2,\ 37:16,\\ 38:3,\ 38:11,\ 38:22,\\ 39:1,\ 41:13,\ 42:21,\\ 43:20,\ 45:8,\ 45:12,\\ 46:19,\ 50:10,\ 51:25,\\ 52:3,\ 54:8,\ 55:20,\\ 55:23,\ 54:8,\ 55:20,\\ 55:23,\ 56:4\\ \hline network\ [2]-15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \hline Network\ [2]-15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \hline never\ [4]-12:13,\\ 17:10,\ 22:22,\ 35:1\\ \hline next\ [1]-56:10\\ \hline niche\ [1]-21:21\\ \hline none\ [3]-34:7,\ 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21,	$\begin{array}{c} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} \text{offering [1] - 12:10} \\ \text{often [1] - 52:6} \\ \text{once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, } \\ 46:13 \\ \text{one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, } \\ 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, \\ 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, \\ 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, \\ 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, \\ 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 \\ \text{one-way [1] - 54:15} \\ \text{Onida [1] - 57:13} \\ \text{open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, } \\ 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, \\ 53:6, 55:23 \\ \text{opening [2] - 39:16, } \\ 44:6 \\ \text{operate [2] - 14:17, } \\ 16:2 \\ \text{operating [8] - 12:7, } \end{array}$	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19,	$\begin{array}{r} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5,	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4,	$\begin{array}{c} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \text{offering [1] - 12:10} \\ \text{often [1] - 52:6} \\ \text{once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, } \\ 46:13 \\ \text{one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, } \\ 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, \\ 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, \\ 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, \\ 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, \\ 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 \\ \text{one-way [1] - 54:15} \\ \text{Onida [1] - 57:13} \\ \text{open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, } \\ 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, \\ 53:6, 55:23 \\ \text{opening [2] - 39:16, } \\ 44:6 \\ \text{operate [2] - 14:17, } \\ 16:2 \\ \text{operating [8] - 12:7, } \\ 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, \\ 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, \\ \end{array}$	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4,	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4, 45:14, 46:14, 48:10,	$\begin{array}{c} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] - \\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{note} [4] - 6:16, 31:8,\\ 31:9, 47:13\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, 51:1	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4, 40:12	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11, 54:17
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4,	$\begin{array}{r} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{note} [4] - 6:16, 31:8,\\ 31:9, 47:13\\ \textbf{nothing} [5] - 10:1,\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, 51:1 opinion [1] - 20:5	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4, 40:12 passed [1] - 37:6	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11, 54:17 potential [1] - 29:22
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4, 45:14, 46:14, 48:10, 49:6, 49:11, 51:1,	$\begin{array}{r} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{note} [4] - 6:16, 31:8,\\ 31:9, 47:13\\ \textbf{nothing} [5] - 10:1,\\ 16:23, 17:4, 17:7,\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - 3:24, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, 51:1 opinion [1] - 20:5 opportunity [3] -	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4, 40:12 passed [1] - 37:6 past [2] - 22:20, 53:10	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11, 54:17 potential [1] - 29:22 potentially [1] - 24:3
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4, 45:14, 46:14, 48:10, 49:6, 49:11, 51:1, 51:7, 51:19, 51:21,	$\begin{array}{r} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{note} [4] - 6:16, 31:8,\\ 31:9, 47:13\\ \textbf{nothing} [5] - 10:1,\\ 16:23, 17:4, 17:7,\\ 30:21\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, 51:1 opinion [1] - 20:5 opportunity [3] - 36:12, 36:17, 52:8	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4, 40:12 passed [1] - 37:6 past [2] - 22:20, 53:10 Patrick [1] - 1:17	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 position [4] - 10:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11, 54:17 potential [1] - 29:22 potentially [1] - 24:3 pound [1] - 39:5
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4, 45:14, 46:14, 48:10, 49:6, 49:11, 51:1, 51:7, 51:19, 51:21, 52:24, 53:1, 54:24,	$\begin{array}{c} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{note} [4] - 6:16, 31:8,\\ 31:9, 47:13\\ \textbf{nothing} [5] - 10:1,\\ 16:23, 17:4, 17:7,\\ 30:21\\ \textbf{noticed} [2] - 4:5,\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, 51:1 opinion [1] - 20:5 opportunity [3] - 36:12, 36:17, 52:8 opposed [1] - 36:21	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4, 40:12 passed [1] - 37:6 past [2] - 22:20, 53:10 Patrick [1] - 1:17 Pause [1] - 55:22	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11, 54:17 potential [1] - 29:22 potentially [1] - 24:3 pound [1] - 39:5 practical [11] - 14:1,
narrower [1] - 21:21 NAT [71] - 3:11, 5:10, 8:1, 8:8, 9:2, 9:4, 9:10, 9:15, 9:20, 10:17, 10:20, 11:8, 11:18, 13:20, 14:5, 14:14, 14:16, 14:23, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 15:19, 16:2, 16:16, 16:17, 22:20, 23:15, 23:17, 23:20, 23:22, 24:5, 24:21, 25:7, 25:10, 25:12, 25:17, 25:21, 26:8, 28:5, 28:15, 29:4, 29:17, 31:9, 32:9, 32:25, 33:24, 34:22, 35:13, 37:21, 39:18, 39:21, 40:7, 40:15, 40:19, 41:7, 42:2, 43:4, 45:14, 46:14, 48:10, 49:6, 49:11, 51:1, 51:7, 51:19, 51:21, 52:24, 53:1, 54:24, 55:1	$\begin{array}{r} 34:19, 35:16, 36:2,\\ 36:10, 37:2, 37:16,\\ 38:3, 38:11, 38:22,\\ 39:1, 41:13, 42:21,\\ 43:20, 45:8, 45:12,\\ 46:19, 50:10, 51:25,\\ 52:3, 54:8, 55:20,\\ 55:23, 56:4\\ \textbf{network} [2] - 15:24,\\ 16:3\\ \textbf{Network} [2] - 15:25,\\ 26:8\\ \textbf{never} [4] - 12:13,\\ 17:10, 22:22, 35:1\\ \textbf{next} [1] - 56:10\\ \textbf{niche} [1] - 21:21\\ \textbf{none} [3] - 34:7, 47:7,\\ 48:2\\ \textbf{nonetheless} [1] -\\ 41:25\\ \textbf{Notary} [2] - 57:7,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{note} [4] - 6:16, 31:8,\\ 31:9, 47:13\\ \textbf{nothing} [5] - 10:1,\\ 16:23, 17:4, 17:7,\\ 30:21\\ \end{array}$	offering [1] - 12:10 often [1] - 52:6 once [3] - 29:6, 43:9, 46:13 one [17] - $3:24$, 6:22, 12:22, 22:11, 23:15, 24:15, 25:12, 26:13, 34:22, 35:18, 42:14, 42:22, 43:2, 46:10, 50:17, 54:11, 54:15 one-way [1] - 54:15 Onida [1] - 57:13 open [7] - 30:2, 35:25, 37:4, 37:14, 52:20, 53:6, 55:23 opening [2] - 39:16, 44:6 operate [2] - 14:17, 16:2 operating [8] - 12:7, 14:5, 15:2, 15:5, 15:15, 29:13, 48:1, 51:1 opinion [1] - 20:5 opportunity [3] - 36:12, 36:17, 52:8	page [2] - 18:23, 46:1 paid [5] - 12:12, 12:15, 24:21, 27:9, 28:15 pairing [1] - 36:11 papers [1] - 55:18 paragraph [1] - 20:19 parallel [1] - 40:19 pare [1] - 36:17 part [5] - 10:25, 20:1, 33:11, 41:2, 47:6 partially [1] - 44:4 particular [9] - 17:3, 20:21, 23:5, 23:11, 30:7, 34:13, 38:15, 42:9, 55:16 parties [17] - 4:4, 4:6, 7:9, 26:9, 28:8, 36:6, 36:16, 38:1, 39:13, 39:18, 40:16, 41:3, 43:3, 43:17, 52:20, 54:12, 56:14 party [3] - 4:9, 5:4, 40:12 passed [1] - 37:6 past [2] - 22:20, 53:10 Patrick [1] - 1:17	pocket [1] - 55:7 point [39] - 3:13, 7:8, 7:10, 9:7, 11:14, 12:9, 12:13, 13:14, 15:22, 18:7, 19:23, 20:22, 21:15, 22:10, 24:16, 25:10, 25:25, 26:6, 28:20, 28:21, 29:2, 29:15, 30:25, 31:19, 32:24, 33:10, 33:13, 33:17, 34:3, 34:22, 35:2, 35:8, 37:5, 38:5, 43:1, 50:12, 52:13, 55:23, 55:25 points [1] - 50:13 portion [1] - 15:25 positions [1] - 30:15, 12:17, 19:23, 42:19 positions [1] - 34:11 possible [2] - 36:11, 54:17 potential [1] - 29:22 potentially [1] - 24:3 pound [1] - 39:5 practical [11] - 14:1, 14:22, 15:13, 15:20,

46:3	44:19	reached [1] - 52:24	11:15, 12:3, 13:10,	respect [18] - 3:16, 7
practices [1] - 53:15	prophylactic [1] - 41:2	read [6] - 20:14,	16:23, 20:1, 20:18,	4:4, 6:7, 6:11, 11:2,
prayer [2] - 20:20,	proposition [1] - 12:4	39:19, 53:2, 55:8,	20:19, 20:20, 26:1,	12:17, 14:14, 18:22,
25:25	propriety [1] - 12:6	55:9	26:4, 27:2, 28:13,	27:1, 28:5, 28:13,
precedential [2] -	provide [12] - 9:19,	real [1] - 34:10	29:18, 29:24, 30:1	36:6, 39:7, 40:10,
14:13, 24:24	10:20, 14:18, 16:20,	really [9] - 6:11, 10:7,	relies [1] - 8:19	43:22, 47:11, 47:19,
precedentially [1] -	16:24, 17:7, 26:10,	19:16, 23:2, 24:23,	reluctant [1] - 39:5	54:23
14:11	30:1, 40:7, 42:3,	31:6, 42:8, 53:11,	remain [1] - 53:20	respond [10] - 32:1,
prefaced [1] - 52:12	42:17, 46:5	55:4	remaining [1] - 21:1	39:20, 43:17, 44:4,
preferable [1] - 38:6	provided [10] - 5:10,	Realtime [2] - 57:6,	remains [2] - 11:12,	45:10, 48:11, 48:17,
presented [2] - 18:20,	29:24, 41:18, 41:20,	57:19	21:18	52:16, 54:9, 54:10
50:18	42:6, 42:14, 45:15,	reason [2] - 34:13,	remarks [1] - 52:12	responded [1] - 43:4
presents [1] - 13:20	48:23, 49:3, 50:7	37:4	remedy [2] - 16:19,	response [6] - 6:1,
pretty [1] - 53:18	provides [1] - 11:10	reasonably [1] - 44:19	42:6	47:16, 48:24, 52:5,
prevent [1] - 25:17	providing [2] - 14:6,	reasons [1] - 30:12	remember [2] - 8:12,	54:5
previous [1] - 8:7	16:2	rebuttal [2] - 16:9,	8:13	responses [11] -
previously [2] - 17:13,	provisions [1] - 7:3	43:20	remove [4] - 38:17,	22:15, 40:11, 40:25,
25:10	Public [2] - 57:7,	receive [2] - 32:10,	38:18, 38:22, 38:23	43:8, 43:23, 43:24,
primarily [2] - 22:18,	57:18	33:25	reopen [1] - 37:20	44:7, 47:19, 47:22,
46:11	public [1] - 31:17	received [4] - 12:15,	reply [2] - 4:6, 22:16	48:25, 55:10
principle [3] - 10:18,	PUBLIC [2] - 1:1, 1:10	40:10, 48:5, 48:7	Reply [2] - 17:10,	responsibility [1] -
13:17, 27:22	PUC [2] - 18:21, 51:14	recommend [1] - 18:1	27:15	46:7
privy [1] - 54:13	purely [2] - 48:22,	reconsider [1] - 37:24	Reported [1] - 1:24	responsive [1] - 41:18
problem [4] - 35:2,	55:2	reconsidering [1] -	Reporter [4] - 57:6,	result [3] - 4:23,
42:10, 42:11, 47:15	purpose [1] - 36:16	38:10	57:19, 57:19	23:25, 26:16
procedural [1] - 37:23	pursuant [4] - 4:8,	record [5] - 4:23, 6:14,	reporter [1] - 57:9	reviewed [2] - 19:1,
procedurally [2] - 7:5,	11:5, 12:15, 41:17	39:19, 46:18, 53:3	representation [1] -	43:9
38:15	pursue [4] - 35:21,	record's [1] - 5:20	40:7	revisit [1] - 44:13
proceed [13] - 3:13,	36:12, 38:15, 43:13	records [3] - 44:3,	representing [3] -	Rislov [1] - 1:15
4:17, 4:24, 5:2, 5:8,	pushed [3] - 35:19,	49:23, 49:25	3:23, 3:24, 4:1	River [1] - 18:18
7:11, 28:22, 30:13,	45:2, 51:11	referred [2] - 14:24	request [15] - 9:9,	road [1] - 35:20
30:14, 30:19, 42:10,	put [2] - 39:11, 41:25	refers [2] - 6:18	26:4, 27:2, 27:25,	Rolayne [1] - 1:13
42:12, 49:7	putting [1] - 31:24	refund [6] - 9:19,	28:13, 28:23, 29:14,	roll [1] - 20:12
proceeding [5] - 6:23,		12:12, 24:22, 28:6,	37:25, 38:20, 39:17,	Rounds [1] - 1:16
6:24, 7:1, 28:17,	Q	28:16, 47:1	44:19, 47:9, 47:15,	RPR [1] - 1:24
31:18		refusal [1] - 55:13	48:11, 48:17	rule [12] - 4:25, 6:6,
proceedings [3] -		refuse [1] - 52:19	requested [4] - 7:22,	7:2, 7:16, 12:5,
10:11, 57:9, 57:12	quantity [1] - 44:2	refused [2] - 39:24,	9:1, 11:15, 17:15	13:25, 14:12, 15:9,
PROCEEDINGS [1] -	questions [19] - 3:8,	40:5	requesting [1] - 51:5	16:14, 27:24, 31:10
2:1	3:14, 3:15, 17:21,	regard [4] - 9:9, 10:11,	requests [10] - 7:25,	ruled [2] - 8:14, 36:8
Proceedings [1] - 1:7	18:1, 18:4, 22:6,	34:4, 45:24	8:5, 16:12, 16:21,	rules [7] - 35:14,
process [1] - 53:22	24:14, 26:23, 30:24,	REGARDING [1] - 1:5	22:17, 44:4, 46:23,	40:13, 41:3, 41:8,
produce [7] - 29:4,	34:2, 39:24, 40:4,	regarding [9] - 3:3,	47:7, 50:16, 55:9	45:4, 46:8, 46:16
29:5, 44:10, 47:17,	45:8, 52:1, 53:7,	5:6, 8:15, 8:25, 11:8,	require [1] - 29:4	ruling [5] - 24:24,
47:18, 47:23, 53:1	55:13, 55:20, 56:12	17:9, 17:12, 24:16,	requirements [1] -	29:12, 29:15, 37:8,
produced [2] - 44:10,	quickly [1] - 34:5	55:2	22:16	40:23
51:15	quit [1] - 27:17	Registered [2] - 57:5,	requires [1] - 31:18	rulings [2] - 25:13,
production [5] -	quite [3] - 21:4, 31:7,	57:19	requiring [1] - 46:14	46:12
42:10, 42:12, 49:3,	47:24	regret [1] - 52:11	reservation [7] - 21:6,	running [1] - 29:17
49:11, 49:20	quoted [1] - 21:4 quoting [1] - 18:18	regulate [3] - 7:20,	21:7, 21:8, 21:19,	Ryan [1] - 1:14
Professional [2] -	quoting [i] - 10.10	19:12, 20:16	21:24, 50:23	
57:6, 57:19	D	regulates [1] - 25:7	resolutions [2] -	S
profit [1] - 15:14	R	relate [3] - 44:17,	47:24, 48:2	
prohibit [1] - 32:21		44:22, 46:24	resolve [5] - 53:14,	satisfies [1] - 32:13
project [2] - 11:21,	raised [2] - 6:10,	related [1] - 47:9	53:16, 53:24, 54:1,	satisfy [1] - 32:7
11:23	44:24	relates [2] - 45:21,	56:11	scenes [1] - 55:5
promise [2] - 13:16,	rather [4] - 23:5, 38:9,	50:19	resolved [3] - 21:16,	Schenkenberg [2] -
40:7	43:14, 49:6	release [1] - 32:18	35:1, 54:3	49:19, 51:19
promised [1] - 29:5	reach [1] - 26:16	relief [21] - 8:24, 9:8,	resources [2] - 29:3,	Schwartz [2] - 39:22,
proper [2] - 33:5,		10:2, 11:4, 11:6,	31:23	JUIIWAILE [2] - 39.22,

40:4	sit [1] - 52:6	50:10, 51:13, 52:23,	submits [1] - 4:9	tariff [4] - 11:10, 26:1, 8
Scott [4] - 1:21, 1:22,	sits [1] - 25:14	52:24, 53:2, 54:14,	submitted [2] - 5:3,	29:18, 32:11
3:22, 3:24	situation [5] - 11:24,	54:20	53:7	taxpayers [1] - 11:22
SDN [1] - 40:20	13:20, 14:16, 40:6,	SPRINT [1] - 1:4	subpoena [3] - 26:12,	TC09-098 [1] - 32:2
SDN's [1] - 16:2	55:16	Sprint's [20] - 3:19,	40:20, 40:24	TC10-026 [3] - 1:4,
second [2] - 7:22,	slap [1] - 52:19	7:18, 7:20, 9:13,	subset [1] - 50:18	3:1, 34:17
13:14	small [1] - 51:12	9:17, 10:1, 13:25,	substantially [1] -	TC10-26 [1] - 16:12
securities [5] - 13:2,	sole [3] - 7:19, 19:12,	15:12, 16:11, 19:4,	49:5	TC11-087 [2] - 9:4,
13:3, 13:9, 13:11,	20:15	20:16, 20:21, 21:14,	substitute [4] - 36:14,	31:9
13:13	solely [1] - 46:25	22:16, 32:4, 32:17,	36:18, 38:12, 38:23	teed [2] - 7:5, 46:6
see [7] - 29:17, 32:24,	solves [1] - 29:10	39:15, 40:15, 48:21,	succeeding [1] -	TELECOM [1] - 1:5
34:14, 34:15, 35:6,	sometimes [1] - 52:9	49:1	12:23	Telecom [3] - 3:3,
44:14, 49:23	somewhat [2] - 43:4,	squarely [2] - 20:6,	successor [3] - 12:24,	3:10, 10:17
seeing [2] - 34:7, 56:6	47:14	20:21	13:4, 13:8	Telecom's [1] - 34:18
seek [10] - 8:1, 11:7,	sorry [1] - 37:11	SS [1] - 57:2	succinctly [1] - 53:6	telecommunications
12:2, 23:17, 24:1,	sort [3] - 9:22, 32:18,	STAFF [1] - 1:12	sudden [1] - 30:16	[7] - 3:4, 18:14,
24:6, 24:22, 28:16,	32:19	Staff [11] - 17:23,	sue [1] - 13:2	18:17, 18:23, 19:8,
29:17, 33:18	sorts [2] - 32:24,	17:24, 17:25, 34:2,	sued [1] - 13:5	19:13, 19:19
seeking [5] - 20:18,	50:25	36:5, 36:16, 42:22,	suggested [1] - 10:24	TELECOMMUNICATI
29:8, 50:21, 51:3,	sought [6] - 20:18,	47:22, 48:5, 48:8	suggestion [2] -	ONS [1] - 1:5
51:18	26:7, 41:4, 44:16,	stand [1] - 40:14	29:20, 35:4	telephone [2] - 1:14,
seem [4] - 30:12,	47:2	standard [2] - 44:21,	SULLY [1] - 57:3	1:21
32:21, 48:16, 51:13	South [22] - 2:2, 2:3,	50:16	sum [2] - 9:23, 16:4	tender [1] - 51:12
Semmler [1] - 1:14	7:21, 9:3, 11:11,	standoff [1] - 43:6	Summary [7] - 4:10,	tendered [1] - 12:14
send [3] - 40:15, 52:6,	14:4, 14:17, 15:24,	standpoint [1] - 37:23	4:18, 4:21, 5:13,	tenor [1] - 39:4
52:7	15:25, 18:21, 19:1,	stands [1] - 12:4	5:20, 5:21, 6:8	tens [3] - 9:16, 46:4,
sense [6] - 9:15, 27:7,	20:17, 25:8, 26:8,	starting [1] - 18:5	summary [1] - 6:22	49:7
28:19, 36:11, 42:4,	29:16, 32:12, 39:22,	State [10] - 2:2, 7:20,	supplement [1] -	terminate [2] - 21:6,
48:22	47:12, 50:20, 51:2,	11:11, 20:16, 25:8,	45:23	21:7
sent [1] - 27:9	57:7, 57:13	29:16, 47:12, 50:20,	supplemental [2] -	terminating [2] - 15:4,
served [1] - 40:19	SOUTH [2] - 1:2, 57:1	51:1, 57:7	46:5, 46:14	15:7
service [2] - 8:3, 15:7	Soye [1] - 1:14	state [11] - 11:16,	support [2] - 11:16,	terms [3] - 20:19,
SERVICES [1] - 1:5	sparing [1] - 29:7	12:7, 13:5, 15:15,	37:18	27:25, 47:1
services [16] - 3:4,	speaking [1] - 28:12	17:1, 22:19, 35:14,	supporting [1] - 6:17	test [1] - 44:18
7:20, 10:21, 11:10,	specific [4] - 7:17,	47:17, 51:10, 51:12,	Supreme [3] - 19:1,	Texas [1] - 13:5
14:7, 14:19, 15:4,	16:13, 17:2, 23:14	53:7	27:21, 39:22	THE [5] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:4,
15:19, 16:1, 18:17,	specifically [8] - 11:7,	STATE [2] - 1:2, 57:1	surely [1] - 5:21	1:10
19:13, 20:16, 21:13,	18:13, 18:20, 19:23,	states [2] - 18:13,	Swier [21] - 1:21, 3:5,	themselves [1] - 3:19
21:21, 32:12, 34:1	20:25, 21:20, 23:11,	24:17	4:12, 6:3, 6:25, 7:12,	therefore [4] - 13:9,
set [1] - 39:15	50:3	statute [1] - 6:19	16:9, 18:5, 21:3,	13:11, 13:21, 41:9
settled [1] - 48:15	Sprint [69] - 3:2, 3:22,	statutes [3] - 32:10,	22:14, 23:6, 23:8,	they've [2] - 12:13,
settlement [1] - 32:20	3:23, 3:25, 4:1, 4:6,	33:22, 33:24	24:16, 29:19, 37:22,	43:9
several [1] - 27:10	5:11, 5:24, 7:15,	Steffensen [1] - 1:17	41:14, 48:9, 52:12,	thinks [2] - 41:22,
shall [3] - 3:9, 3:11,	7:24, 8:22, 9:1, 9:12,	still [16] - 4:16, 4:21,	54:4, 54:8, 55:6	44:12
6:21	9:18, 9:20, 9:24,	4:24, 5:1, 5:8, 5:17,	SWIER [20] - 3:7, 4:14,	third [3] - 7:25, 9:1,
shirking [1] - 46:7	10:16, 10:19, 11:5,	13:15, 20:18, 21:18,	5:6, 5:18, 7:1, 7:13,	20:1
shorthand [2] - 57:9	12:11, 12:14, 14:23,	27:8, 27:24, 34:8,	16:10, 18:11, 23:9,	thoughts [1] - 35:8
shotgun [1] - 43:15	15:24, 16:1, 16:13,	34:12, 37:8, 37:12,	29:21, 37:22, 41:15,	thousand [1] - 27:10
shows [1] - 22:8	16:17, 16:21, 17:4,	40:8	45:10, 45:13, 48:19,	thousands [3] - 9:16,
side [1] - 41:25	17:10, 17:13, 20:7,	stipulated [1] - 31:16	49:16, 49:18, 49:24,	46:4, 49:7
similar [2] - 19:2,	21:10, 21:13, 21:22, 23:14, 24:6, 24:18,	stipulation [1] - 32:20	50:7, 54:10	three [3] - 14:24,
50:11	24:21, 25:6, 26:11,	stopping [1] - 44:3	Swier's [1] - 6:17	44:25, 45:19
simple [1] - 53:18	27:10, 30:22, 32:8,	story [1] - 22:23	switched [3] - 8:3,	Thurber [1] - 1:16
simply [12] - 5:10,	32:13, 33:22, 40:9,	street [1] - 54:15	8:15, 9:21	tie [2] - 25:19, 29:9
9:15, 13:15, 17:4,	41:4, 41:17, 41:18,	strong [1] - 18:9		tiny [1] - 22:12
17:6, 28:11, 29:25,	41:21, 41:22, 42:9,	structure [1] - 14:16	T	Tobin [3] - 1:22, 3:23,
30:21, 46:12, 46:13,	41.21, 41.22, 42.9, 42:11, 42:15, 43:3,	subject [1] - 6:5		4:1
52:15, 55:8	43:20, 45:14, 46:9,	submissions [1] -	table [3] - 3:20, 3:25,	today [5] - 3:9, 6:13,
sincerely [1] - 54:1	46:22, 50:1, 50:3,	6:15	39:6	35:11, 56:13, 56:15
Sioux [2] - 7:23, 20:6		submit [1] - 4:7		together [5] - 25:20,

		1
25:24, 26:5, 26:13,	6:24, 7:1, 7:3, 7:5,	watched [1] - 56:8
31:24	7:6, 10:1, 11:3, 13:2,	water [1] - 15:19
Tom [3] - 1:22, 3:23,	13:5, 13:21, 14:17,	weeks [4] - 36:15,
4:1	17:16, 19:2, 19:4,	38:13, 56:1, 56:10
took [1] - 57:9	20:24, 27:13, 28:16,	weigh [1] - 31:7
total [1] - 49:1	29:18, 36:4, 41:8,	weighed [1] - 34:10
touchstone [1] - 50:15	45:23, 46:4, 51:9,	weighing [1] - 34:10
toward [1] - 51:4	51:10, 54:19, 56:1,	white [1] - 55:17
traffic [3] - 21:18,	56:6	wholly [3] - 40:11,
21:22, 22:11	understood [3] -	41:24, 50:23
transaction [1] - 13:1	10:18, 38:22, 47:21	Wiest [7] - 1:13, 3:14,
Transcript [1] - 1:7	undisputed [2] - 9:13,	26:25, 36:2, 38:4,
TRANSCRIPT [1] - 2:1	24:17	46:20, 50:11
transcription [1] -	unfortunate [2] -	WIEST [29] - 3:16, 4:3,
57:12	54:25, 55:5	5:1, 5:16, 5:24, 6:16,
transfer [1] - 5:21	unlawfully [1] - 15:18	7:8, 17:22, 26:24,
transferred [2] - 4:18,	unless [1] - 40:2	27:1, 28:4, 28:8,
5:13	unnecessarily [1] -	28:12, 28:18, 31:3,
treated [2] - 4:10, 6:21	28:15	36:3, 37:11, 38:2,
Tribal [4] - 18:21,	unresolved [2] -	38:5, 46:21, 47:3,
19:5, 19:10, 20:6	34:12, 53:20	47:7, 47:13, 48:3,
tribal [7] - 8:21, 14:18,	up [15] - 7:5, 20:23,	49:14, 49:17, 49:22,
20:10, 29:17, 29:18,	25:14, 27:10, 28:5,	50:5, 50:9
50:24, 51:9	32:8, 32:18, 34:21,	Wiest's [2] - 29:20,
tribe [7] - 19:3, 19:7,	35:2, 37:4, 39:10,	35:4
19:17, 21:2, 21:4,	42:9, 44:5, 46:6,	wish [3] - 36:25,
21:9, 21:12	48:18	42:23, 43:12
Tribe [1] - 7:23	urge [4] - 15:10, 16:4,	withdraw [1] - 31:19
tried [3] - 44:9, 54:14,	40:22, 41:9	withdrawal [1] - 31:11
54:16	UTILITIES [2] - 1:1,	withdrawing [2] -
tries [1] - 14:16	1:10	25:17, 31:9
true [1] - 57:11	Utility [4] - 18:21,	withdrown tot 22.21
	•••	withdrawn [3] - 22:21,
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6	25:10, 31:14
	•••	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] -
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24,
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13,	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8
$\label{eq:result} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{try} \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12	$\begin{array}{c} 25:10,\ 31:14\\ \textbf{withdrew}\ [1]-34:23\\ \textbf{withstanding}\ [1]-\\38:19\\ \textbf{WITTLER}\ [1]-57:5\\ \textbf{Wittler}\ [2]-1:24,\\57:18\\ \textbf{wonder}\ [1]-52:9\\ \textbf{word}\ [1]-18:5\\ \textbf{words}\ [2]-9:7,\ 55:16\\ \textbf{works}\ [1]-22:8\\ \textbf{wrap}\ [1]-32:8\\ \textbf{write}\ [3]-32:15,\ 52:4,\\ \end{array}$
$try [7] - 14:18, 27:18,29:17, 36:5, 36:17,52:11, 56:15trying [4] - 37:13,46:12, 47:13, 51:23\\turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12,5:25turned [1] - 12:24turns [1] - 35:7two [12] - 3:15, 8:11,12:15, 12:20, 19:4,19:13, 36:15, 38:13,42:15, 46:12, 52:7,56:1$	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8
$try [7] - 14:18, 27:18,29:17, 36:5, 36:17,52:11, 56:15trying [4] - 37:13,46:12, 47:13, 51:23\\turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12,5:25turned [1] - 12:24turns [1] - 35:7two [12] - 3:15, 8:11,12:15, 12:20, 19:4,19:13, 36:15, 38:13,42:15, 46:12, 52:7,56:1tying [1] - 25:14$	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] -	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18
$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{try} \ [7] - 14:18, 27:18, \\ 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, \\ 52:11, 56:15 \\ \mbox{trying} \ [4] - 37:13, \\ 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 \\ \mbox{turn} \ [3] - 3:14, 4:12, \\ 5:25 \\ \mbox{turned} \ [1] - 12:24 \\ \mbox{turns} \ [1] - 35:7 \\ \mbox{two} \ [12] - 3:15, 8:11, \\ 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, \\ 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, \\ 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, \\ 56:1 \\ \mbox{tying} \ [1] - 25:14 \\ \mbox{type} \ [2] - 16:19, 17:11 \\ \end{array}$	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10	$\begin{array}{c} 25:10,\ 31:14\\ \textbf{withdrew}\ [1]-34:23\\ \textbf{withstanding}\ [1]-38:19\\ \textbf{WITTLER}\ [1]-57:5\\ \textbf{Wittler}\ [2]-1:24,\\ 57:18\\ \textbf{wonder}\ [1]-52:9\\ \textbf{word}\ [1]-18:5\\ \textbf{words}\ [2]-9:7,\ 55:16\\ \textbf{works}\ [1]-22:8\\ \textbf{wrap}\ [1]-32:8\\ \textbf{write}\ [3]-32:15,\ 52:4,\\ \end{array}$
$try [7] - 14:18, 27:18,29:17, 36:5, 36:17,52:11, 56:15trying [4] - 37:13,46:12, 47:13, 51:23\\turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12,5:25turned [1] - 12:24turns [1] - 35:7two [12] - 3:15, 8:11,12:15, 12:20, 19:4,19:13, 36:15, 38:13,42:15, 46:12, 52:7,56:1tying [1] - 25:14$	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] -	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y
$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{try} \ [7] - 14:18, 27:18, \\ 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, \\ 52:11, 56:15 \\ \mbox{trying} \ [4] - 37:13, \\ 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 \\ \mbox{turn} \ [3] - 3:14, 4:12, \\ 5:25 \\ \mbox{turned} \ [1] - 12:24 \\ \mbox{turns} \ [1] - 35:7 \\ \mbox{two} \ [12] - 3:15, 8:11, \\ 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, \\ 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, \\ 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, \\ 56:1 \\ \mbox{tying} \ [1] - 25:14 \\ \mbox{type} \ [2] - 16:19, 17:11 \\ \end{array}$	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19,	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17 voluntary [1] - 13:17	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3,
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18 U U.S [1] - 27:21	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 $utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21$ V $value [2] - 14:13, 24:24$ $viable [1] - 13:15$ $VICE [1] - 1:11$ $view [1] - 29:2$ $violation [1] - 13:12$ $volition [1] - 38:1$ $voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10$ $voluminouses [1] - 43:25$ $voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17$ $voluntary [1] - 13:17$ $vote [1] - 38:6$	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18 U U.S [1] - 27:21 ugly [1] - 34:25	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 $utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21$ V $value [2] - 14:13, 24:24$ $viable [1] - 13:15$ $VICE [1] - 1:11$ $view [1] - 29:2$ $violation [1] - 13:12$ $volition [1] - 38:1$ $voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10$ $voluminouses [1] - 43:25$ $voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17$ $voluntary [1] - 13:17$ $vote [1] - 38:6$	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18 U U.S [1] - 27:21	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17 voluntary [1] - 13:17 vote [1] - 38:6 voting [2] - 38:6, 38:9	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18 U U.S [1] - 27:21 ugly [1] - 34:25 ultimate [1] - 23:25	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17 voluntary [1] - 13:17 vote [1] - 38:6 voting [2] - 38:6, 38:9 W	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18 U U.S [1] - 27:21 ugly [1] - 34:25 ultimate [1] - 23:25 ultimatel [3] - 9:22,	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17 voluntary [1] - 13:17 vote [1] - 38:6 voting [2] - 38:6, 38:9 W waive [1] - 27:12	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12
try [7] - 14:18, 27:18, 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, 52:11, 56:15 trying [4] - 37:13, 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 turn [3] - 3:14, 4:12, 5:25 turned [1] - 12:24 turns [1] - 35:7 two [12] - 3:15, 8:11, 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, 56:1 tying [1] - 25:14 type [2] - 16:19, 17:11 Tysdal [1] - 1:18 U U.S [1] - 27:21 ugly [1] - 34:25 ultimate [1] - 23:25 ultimately [3] - 9:22, 35:1, 43:1	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17 voluntary [1] - 13:17 vote [1] - 38:6 voting [2] - 38:6, 38:9 W waive [1] - 27:12 waiver [1] - 27:13	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12
$\label{eq:response} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{try} [7] - 14:18, 27:18, \\ 29:17, 36:5, 36:17, \\ 52:11, 56:15 \\ \mbox{trying} [4] - 37:13, \\ 46:12, 47:13, 51:23 \\ \mbox{turn} [3] - 3:14, 4:12, \\ 5:25 \\ \mbox{turned} [1] - 12:24 \\ \mbox{turns} [1] - 35:7 \\ \mbox{two} [12] - 3:15, 8:11, \\ 12:15, 12:20, 19:4, \\ 19:13, 36:15, 38:13, \\ 42:15, 46:12, 52:7, \\ 56:1 \\ \mbox{tying} [1] - 25:14 \\ \mbox{type} [2] - 16:19, 17:11 \\ \mbox{Tysdal} [1] - 1:18 \\ \hline \\ $	19:5, 19:11, 20:6 utility [2] - 7:23, 8:21 V value [2] - 14:13, 24:24 viable [1] - 13:15 VICE [1] - 1:11 view [1] - 29:2 violation [1] - 13:12 volition [1] - 38:1 voluminous [2] - 42:17, 49:10 voluminouses [1] - 43:25 voluntarily [3] - 12:19, 13:22, 27:17 voluntary [1] - 13:17 vote [1] - 38:6 voting [2] - 38:6, 38:9 W waive [1] - 27:12	25:10, 31:14 withdrew [1] - 34:23 withstanding [1] - 38:19 WITTLER [1] - 57:5 Wittler [2] - 1:24, 57:18 wonder [1] - 52:9 word [1] - 18:5 words [2] - 9:7, 55:16 works [1] - 22:8 wrap [1] - 32:8 write [3] - 32:15, 52:4, 53:18 Y year [3] - 40:9, 44:3, 52:24 years [1] - 22:12