1 Lauckhart.

2 Mr. Uda, please proceed with your redirect.

3 MR. UDA: Thank you, Mr. Smith.

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. UDA:

6 **Q.** Mr. Lauckhart, I want to ask you a few questions

7 about Mr. Brogan's examination of you. Specifically, he

8 asked you on page 3 about this whole legally enforceable

9 obligation issue. And I think you've established you're

10 not a legal expert.

11 But my question for you is are you aware whether

12 FERC has a role to play in whether or not the states

13 properly implement PURPA regulations, including LEO

14 obligations?

15 A. Well, it's my understanding that FERC sets some

16 quidelines on what can be used to determine if an LEO has

17 been established. There's some flexibility in there for

18 states to provide additional guidelines as long as they

19 don't violate the FERC guidelines.

20 Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Brogan also led you through

21 your Exhibit 3, I believe Column C, although I can't

22 really read my own handwriting. It has to do with your

23 calculation of rates to be paid to Oak Tree over the

24 20-year term commencing in 2012 and constant 2010 dollars?

25 A. Yes.

1

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

23

Q. And are you there?

Α. Yes.

3 Q. And Mr. Brogan asked you and you agreed subject to

4 check that that's 175 percent increase. I want to ask

5 you first is that a valid way of looking at those

6 numbers?

7 A. Well, I don't know if it's valid or not, but there

8 are some observations we should make here. First of all,

9 they essentially double between 2012 and 2016. These are

10 wholesale power rates, not retail rates.

Wholesale spot market power rates are doubling here between 2012 and 2016. That's primarily caused by an expectation in the market not only our forecast but in the futures market that Steve Lewis used that gas prices are now exceedingly low, for whatever reason we talked

16 about, and that is going to revert by 2016.

So you can see wholesale prices will move at gas prices, and it's the fact that gas prices are exceedingly

low right now -- if you looked at my chart on where gas

19 20 prices have historically been, they're exceedingly low

21 right now. And people don't think that's going to

22 last -- you know, by 2016 that's going to go way up.

So a lot of this increase is caused simply by the 24 world's expectation, our expectation, the future market's

25 expectations. Those gas prices are going to go back up.

1 So the majority of that or a lot of that is caused by 2

3 The balance is, of course, our view that things are

4 going to get tighter. And when we say \$90 seems to be

5 exceedingly high, it was not that long ago that \$90 was

6 the going prices in these markets. So this shouldn't be

viewed as an extreme case.

R **Q.** So I want to ask you another question about your

9 calculations in this case. And you were asked questions

10 about respect to how you deal with a situation where on

11 any given hour NorthWestern is long on resources and if

12 it buys output from a qualifying facility, in this case

13 Oak Tree, that NorthWestern might have to sell it at less

14 than what it's buving at.

15 And my question is does your long-term forecast take

16 this into account?

17 A. Yes. I mean, if you look at our hourly prices that

18 we have, 176,000 of them between now and 2031, there are

19 many hours when we think the spot market prices is below

20 \$54. And we're saying, you know, it only has -- whatever

21 that value is, \$20 or whatever it is on that hour, we're

22 only giving it, the Oak Tree project, that value on that

23 hour. But, of course, there are other hours when the

24 price is well above \$54, and we've taken all of that into

25 account when we come up with the avoided costs that I

132

produced here called my brown value avoided cost.

2 Q. Mr. Brogan asked you -- I believe it's on your

3 rebuttal testimony, page 9. This has to do with your

opinion about the South Dakota Renewable Energy Objective

5 versus the Montana Renewable Portfolio Standard. And he

6 asked you if you were aware that there had been utilities

7 that had been cited for violating those standards.

My first question is do you know anything about

9 those situations?

8

130

10 A. No. I don't know why they were cited. I don't know

11 if they came in and asked for a variation and didn't get

12 it. I don't know anything about it.

13 **Q.** Okay. But would it change your opinion that the two

14 laws that are essentially the same in effect that if the

15 utility can make the demonstration that it needs a

16 waiver, that it doesn't have to be fined?

17 A. That's my view. And I think NorthWestern is more

18 sophisticated than some of those organizations that got

19 fined. And they will definitely go in and ask for a

20 waiver if they think they didn't meet it because it

21 wasn't cost-effective.

22 **Q.** Okay. Mr. Brogan asked you a question with respect

23 to Mr. Lewis's gas price forecast and asked you isn't

24 indeed this in the low end of your natural gas price

25 forecast. Do you recall those questions?

245 1 everyone's getting kind of tired tonight. And I think we're going to do fine tomorrow with that. I don't think there's going to be a very lengthy Staff presentation, is 4 there? 5 MS. SEMMLER: Oh, yeah. 6 MR. SMITH: There is? 7 MS. SEMMLER: No. 8 MR. SMITH: Why don't we recess for, what do you 9 think, 10 minutes, Cheri, or 15? 10 Why don't we say quarter after. All right. 11 Quarter after. 12 (A short recess is taken) 13 MR. SMITH: I think we're at a quarter after, 14 the appointed time for our -- so we'll reconvene the 15 hearing. 16 This is EL11-006 Oak Tree v. NorthWestern. 17 Mr. Bleau LaFave is on the stand, and, Oak Tree, your 18 cross-examination may resume. 19 Q. (BY MR. UDA) Okay. I wanted to ask you, 20 Mr. LaFave, this is again in Exhibit 3, and it would be 21 Attachment 10, Tab 10, which is a letter from me to you 22 dated February 25, 2011. It's previously been referred 23 to as our LEO letter. 24 And, specifically, once you're there, I would like 25 to refer you to the last paragraph of that letter. It's 246 1 on page 2. A. Okay. Q. Okay. At this point did I state to you whether -to indicate whether or not NorthWestern intends to negotiate to produce a mutually satisfactory arrangement 6 for both parties? 7 A. Did you state to me in this letter? Q. February 25, 2011. Page 2 of 2, last paragraph. Maybe I should just read it, and then you can -- I'll ask 10 you a question. 11 A. Okay. 12 Q. "Oak Tree formally requests a response to this 13 letter by March 1, 2011 on whether NorthWestern intends 14 to accept Oak Tree's offer or whether NorthWestern Energy 15 intends to negotiate with Oak Tree to produce a mutually 16 satisfactory arrangement for both parties." 17 Do you see that? 18 A. Yes, I do. 19 Q. So we did say why don't you negotiate with us to 20 produce a mutually satisfactory arrangement; correct? 21 A. You requested a response from NorthWestern on 22 whether it intends to negotiate with Oak Tree to produce 23 a mutually satisfactory arrangement for both parties, 24 yes. 25 **Q.** Okay. Do you recall what your response was? If you 04/11/2012 04:17:27 PM Page 245 to 248 of 295 62 of 116 sheets